

Diffusivities bounds in the presence of Weyl corrections

Ali Mokhtari^{a1}, Seyed Ali Hosseini Mansoori^{b,c2}, Kazem Bitaghsir Fadafan^{b3}

^a *Department of Physics, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran 14155-4838, Iran*

^b *Faculty of Physics, Shahrood University of Technology, P.O.Box 3619995161 Shahrood, Iran*

^c *School of Astronomy, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the behavior of the thermoelectric DC conductivities in the presence of Weyl corrections with momentum dissipation in the incoherent limit. Moreover, we compute the butterfly velocity and study the charge and energy diffusion with broken translational symmetry. Our results show that the Weyl coupling γ , violates the bounds on the charge and energy diffusivity. It is also shown that the Weyl corrections violate the bound on the DC electrical conductivity in the incoherent limit.

¹e-mail:ali.mokhtari.jazi@gmail.com

²e-mail:shosseini@shahroodut.ac.ir;shosseini@ipm.ir

³e-mail:bitaghsir@shahroodut.ac.ir

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Black brane solutions with Weyl correction	3
3	Thermoelectric DC conductivities	5
4	Diffusivities in the incoherent limit	6
5	Discussion	8
A	Calculating the black brane solution	9
B	Thermoelectric transports calculations	10
C	Butterfly velocity calculation	14
D	Holographic stress tensor and the heat current	16
E	Weyl coupling bound in the presence of two axions	17

1 Introduction

It seems that the minimum relaxation timescale of strongly correlated materials, *e.g.* strange metals, is responsible for the universal transport behavior of such systems. For example, the Ohmic resistivity of strange metals depends linearly on temperature which is in contrast with Fermi liquid metals where the Ohmic resistivity depends quadratically on temperature [1]. Based on experimental results, the strange metals in condensed matter physics are generically strongly interacting many-body quantum physics. Thus the usual perturbative approaches are not efficient to study them requiring non-perturbative new methods [2, 3].

One important new tool for studying these systems in the condensed matter is the gauge-string duality [4]. It maps strongly correlated systems to classical gravity in higher dimensional space. With using this approach, many different aspects of such systems in the condensed matter, *e.g.* transport properties of strange metals, have been studied [5]. One important result is that one may study bounds on the electrical conductivity σ and the thermal conductivity κ in strongly correlated systems [6, 7]. For example, based on the near horizon geometry of black holes, σ has been studied in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. However, it has been shown that considering interactions of the Maxwell term with additional fields or studying the non-linear deformation of the theory leads to violation of the proposed bound [13, 14, 15, 65, 17, 18]. One should notice that such bounds on the transport properties should be verified experimentally and even investigate theoretically.

It is interesting that in the incoherent limit the transport is given by the diffusion of charge and energy and the microscopic mechanism of the momentum dissipation does not play any role. In this limit, the velocity of the quantum excitations v and the minimum relaxation timescale τ enter a universal bound for the diffusivities as [19]

$$D > v^2\tau \tag{1.1}$$

here, D is the diffusion constant of the system. It is conjectured that this bound is related to the universal behavior of the strange metals. Recently it was proposed that the characteristic velocity of v should be the butterfly velocity v_B which is related to the speed of propagation of information through a quantum system [20, 21]. The idea is based on the statement that the classical chaos is related to the behavior of the initial states. Recently possible constraints by quantum chaos on the hydrodynamics have been studied in [23]. With using the holography, the butterfly velocity has been computed in [24] and [25].

The proposed bound $D > v_B^2 \tau$, has been studied in simple models with momentum relaxation from holography [20, 21, 26]. In the incoherent limit, the diffusion constant contains the energy diffusion constant $D_c = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$ sector and the charge diffusion constant $D_e = \frac{\kappa}{C_q}$ sector where χ and C_q are charge susceptibility and heat capacity, respectively. One should notice that χ not only depends on the horizon data but also the full bulk theory, then a violation of D_c is expected. Therefore, one does not expect to find a universal behavior in the presence of new interactions. However, from the definition of the D_e , one concludes that it is given from the horizon behavior of the background and it is likely to observe universal behavior [22]. Indeed, the violation bound of D_c has been studied in [32, 18, 26]. One finds the study of diffusion and butterfly velocity at finite density in [33]. Butterfly velocity and thermal diffusivity in anisotropic systems have been studied in [27, 28, 29]. In the quantum region, charge diffusion constant was studied in [30] from holography.

It could be very interesting to understand to which extent the bound is generic. For example, in Horndeski models where one considers the scalar-tensor theories and new scalar derivatively coupled to the gravity [31]. It is shown that in this model a subleading contribution to the thermoelectric conductivities appears which does not violate the energy diffusion and the thermal conductivity bounds. The other example is considering the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) background as higher derivative gravity. It is shown in [34] how the conductivity can be obtained by studying the auxiliary fluid on a curved black hole horizon. Also it was shown that in GB gravity, the thermoelectric conductivity is independent of the GB coupling [35]. The universal properties of the strange metals and the electrical DC conductivity of massive $N = 2$ hypermultiplet fields in the GB background have been studied in [45] and [46], respectively.

Another way to check the bound is to consider coupling of the Maxwell term to the bulk Weyl tensor. It provides a very clean test of the universality bounds on the energy diffusion at a higher order. In this case, one should analyze the bound by considering higher dimension operators in the bulk background. Such terms give interesting effects on the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio ($\frac{\eta}{s}$) [36]. These terms are expected to arise in a string theory as corrections to the effective low energy field theory in a top-down approach. There are different combinations of such terms in the five-dimensional AdS spaces, but in this paper we consider simplified action by a Weyl coupling, γ . In a general curved spacetime background, QED at 1-loop leads also to the Weyl coupling term [39].

One important motivation for considering such a coupling is the fact that it introduces a new parameter in the action which might affect the bounds non-trivially. One should notice that modifying the bounds in the presence of Weyl corrections might indicate some generic features of a theory which contains all possible higher derivative couplings. Indeed, in [36, 37] it was argued that the Weyl coupling captures the charge transport physics, significantly. They computed the correction of σ and D due to the γ , Weyl coupling. By introducing the momentum dissipation into a neutral plasma with Weyl coupling, σ has been studied

in [40]. The charge response and bounds on the DC conductivity in the disordered system with higher derivative Weyl model and holographic metal-insulator transition have been studied in [41] and [43], respectively. Weyl holographic superconductors in the Lifshitz black hole geometry have been studied in [44]. Holographic superconductors with momentum relaxation and Weyl coupling were studied in [42]. To study Weyl corrections in different setups see [47, 48, 49].

In this paper, we consider the Weyl correction in the Einstein-Maxwell action in the four-dimensional background. The momentum relaxation is introduced by a set of free axion field. First, we consider γ as a small number and find the analytic charged black brane solution in this background as [43, 47, 48]. Next, we study bounds by computing the thermoelectric quantities in the incoherent limit. It is shown that the bound on the electric DC conductivity is violated and it should be modified in the presence of the Weyl corrections. Interestingly we find that the bound on the thermal conductivity does not change in this case. We also compute the butterfly velocity in this theory. Our results show that the Weyl coupling γ , modifies the proposed bound for D_e in the incoherent limit. It has been shown also that such bounds in the inhomogeneous Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev chains can be violated [66].

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we construct the black brane solution up to linear order in γ . We provide the reader with more details about the computations in the appendix A and E. In section 3, we compute the thermoelectric DC conductivities in the Weyl background. The technical details of the derivation of the conductivities are given in the appendix B and relation of holographic stress tensor and the heat current will be discussed in D. In section 4, diffusivities in the incoherent limit have been studied. We give the details computation of the butterfly velocity in the appendix C. In the last section we discuss and summarize our results.

2 Black brane solutions with Weyl correction

In this section, we consider a Weyl correction of the Maxwell field and two scalar fields in four dimensions via the following action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{6}{L^2} - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \gamma L^2 C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^i \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu$ and $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ is the Weyl tensor [36]. Because of causality constraints and stability of the system, γ has been limited as [38]. However, involving the linear axions the bound will be modified as

$$-\frac{1}{8} < \gamma < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2.2)$$

We present the derivation in the appendix E. The free massless scalar fields are responsible for breaking of the translational invariance and lead to momentum dissipation. Hereafter we set the AdS radius $L = 1$.

From the action (2.1) one obtains the following relevant Einstein's equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - 6g_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_\mu(F^{\mu\lambda} - 4\gamma C^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}F_{\nu\rho}) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi^i = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{2}(g^{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{\gamma}{2}[g_{\mu\nu}C_{\delta\sigma\rho\lambda}F^{\delta\sigma}F^{\rho\lambda} - 6g_{\delta\mu}R_{\nu\sigma\rho\lambda}F^{\delta\sigma}F^{\rho\lambda} \\ & - 4\nabla_\delta\nabla_\rho(F^\rho{}_\mu F^\delta{}_\nu) + 2\nabla^\sigma\nabla_\sigma(F_\mu{}^\rho F_{\nu\rho}) + 2g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\sigma\nabla_\delta(F^\delta{}_\rho F^{\sigma\rho}) - 4\nabla_\delta\nabla_\mu(F_{\nu\rho}F^{\delta\rho}) \\ & + 4R_{\delta\sigma}F^\delta{}_\mu F^\sigma{}_\nu + 8R_{\mu\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{2}{3}R_{\mu\nu}F^{\delta\sigma}F_{\delta\sigma} - \frac{2}{3}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho(F^{\delta\sigma}F_{\delta\sigma}) \\ & + \frac{2}{3}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\mu(F^{\delta\sigma}F_{\delta\sigma}) - \frac{4}{3}Rg^{\delta\sigma}F_{\delta\mu}F_{\sigma\nu}] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^2\partial_\mu\phi^i\partial_\nu\phi^i + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^2g_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha\phi^i\partial^\alpha\phi^i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Now, we want to construct a black brane solution with asymptotic AdS spacetime by solving above equations. We take the following ansatz for the metric and the gauge field and the scalar fields as

$$ds^2 = -f(r)e^{-2\zeta(r)}dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + r^2(dx^2 + dy^2), \quad (2.7)$$

$$A = A_t(r)dt \quad \phi^i = kx^i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.8)$$

The backreaction of the gauge field on the metric makes the system difficult to solve analytically. Therefore, we will solve the system perturbatively, up to linear order in γ and find out the metric and the gauge field equations as [43, 47, 48, 36]. We start with the following forms for $f(r)$, $\zeta(r)$ and $A_t(r)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= f^0(r)(1 + \gamma\mathcal{F}(r)), \\ \zeta(r) &= \zeta^0(r) + \gamma\zeta^1(r), \\ A_t(r) &= A_t^0(r) + \gamma A_t^1(r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $f^0(r)$, $\zeta^0(r)$ and $A_t^0(r)$ are the leading order solutions in four dimensional AdS space, with

$$\begin{aligned} f^0(r) &= \frac{q^2}{4r^2} + r^2 - \frac{q^2}{4rr_h} - \frac{r_h^3}{r} - \frac{1}{2}k^2 + \frac{k^2r_h}{2r}, \\ \zeta^0(r) &= 0, \\ A_t^0(r) &= q\left(\frac{1}{r_h} - \frac{1}{r}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Here q is the charge density of the dual CFT. Moreover, the expressions for $\mathcal{F}(r)$, $\zeta^1(r)$ and $A_t^1(r)$ can be obtained as (See Appendix A),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(r) &= \frac{-1}{f_0(r)}\left(\frac{5k^2q^2r_h}{12r^5} + \frac{4k^2q^2}{9r^4} - \frac{k^2q^2}{36rr_h^3} - \frac{q^4}{5r^6} + \frac{5q^4}{24r^5r_h} - \frac{q^4}{120rr_h^5} + \frac{5q^2r_h^3}{6r^5} - \frac{4q^2}{3r^2} + \frac{q^2}{2rr_h}\right), \\ \zeta^1(r) &= -\frac{q^2}{6r^4}, \\ A_t^1(r) &= \frac{k^2qr_h}{r^4} - \frac{4k^2q}{9r^3} - \frac{5k^2q}{9r_h^3} + \frac{23q^3}{30r^5} - \frac{q^3}{2r^4r_h} - \frac{4q^3}{15r_h^5} - \frac{2qr_h^3}{r^4} + \frac{2q}{r_h}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The Hawking temperature of the black brane is given by the following formula,

$$T = \frac{f'(r)e^{-\zeta(r)}}{4\pi} \Big|_{r=r_h} = \frac{e^{\frac{\gamma q^2}{6r_h^4}} (-2k^2 r_h^2 - q^2 + 12r_h^4) (3r_h^4 - 2\gamma q^2)}{48\pi r_h^7}, \quad (2.12)$$

By setting $\gamma = 0$, as one expects, we get back the Hawking temperature of an RN-AdS black hole. We can also calculate the entropy of the black hole using the Wald formula [50],

$$S_{Wald} = -2\pi \int d^2x \sqrt{h} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\rho\lambda} \quad (2.13)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian, $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the binormal killing vector normalised by $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} = -2$ and h is the determinant of the two-sphere metric. At the leading order in γ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Wald} = -2\pi \int d^2x \sqrt{h} \Big[& \left(1 + \frac{\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}}{3} \right) g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\lambda} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\rho\lambda} + \gamma F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\lambda} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\rho\lambda} \\ & - 2\gamma g^{\mu\nu} F^{\sigma\rho} F_{\rho}{}^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{\sigma\mu} \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} \Big] = 4\pi r_h^2 - \frac{8\pi\gamma q^2}{3r_h^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

According to the gauge-string duality, we then write the charge density q with respect to the chemical potential μ of the boundary field theory as follows:

$$q = r_h \mu - \gamma \left(2\mu r_h - \frac{5k^2 \mu}{9r_h} - \frac{4\mu^3}{15r_h} \right). \quad (2.15)$$

3 Thermoelectric DC conductivities

Consider the linear response of electric current \mathcal{J} and a heat current \mathcal{J}_Q to the small electric field E and a small temperature gradient ∇T . The thermo-electric conductivities are defined through the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J} \\ \mathcal{J}_Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \alpha T \\ \bar{\alpha} T & \bar{\kappa} T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ -\nabla T/T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this matrix σ is the electric conductivity and α , $\bar{\alpha}$ are the thermoelectric conductivities, and $\bar{\kappa}$ is the thermal conductivity.

Following the analysis in [8], one arrives at the following expressions for the full set of thermoelectric DC conductivities of the dual deformed *CFT*.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= 1 + \frac{\mu^2}{k^2} + \gamma \left(4 - \frac{4\mu^2}{k^2} + \frac{8\mu^4}{15k^2 r_h^2} - \frac{4k^2}{3r_h^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{9r_h^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \\ \alpha &= \frac{4\pi\mu r_h}{k^2} + \gamma \left(\frac{20\pi\mu}{9r_h} - \frac{8\pi\mu^3}{5k^2 r_h} - \frac{8\pi\mu r_h}{k^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \\ \bar{\alpha} &= \frac{4\pi\mu r_h}{k^2} + \gamma \left(\frac{20\pi\mu}{9r_h} - \frac{8\pi\mu^3}{5k^2 r_h} - \frac{8\pi\mu r_h}{k^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \\ \bar{\kappa} &= \frac{16\pi^2 r_h^2 T}{k^2} - \gamma \frac{64\pi^2 \mu^2 T}{3k^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

One finds the detailed analysis of the full DC conductivity matrix elements in the appendix B. Also the careful holographic renormalization of the model has been done in the appendix

D. It is easy to check that for the case of $\gamma = 0$ one can obtain the previous known results [8]. In a translational invariant system, the zero-frequency conductivity has been studied in [38, 37]. In [51] it is proved that if a model preserves the time reversal symmetry, the Onsager relation is satisfied and therefore $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$. It is obvious that the Weyl model is invariant under the time reversal symmetry as well. Note that recently and coincidentally with this work, a similar paper has been published [67] and the authors argue that the Weyl term breaks the time reversal invariance which is conflict with our results. We mentioned their main mistake in the appendix B.

Also, we define the thermal conductivity $\kappa = \bar{\kappa} - \alpha\bar{\alpha}T/\sigma$ at zero electric current. So we have

$$\kappa = \frac{16\pi^2 r_h^2 T}{k^2 + \mu^2} - \frac{16\pi^2 T \gamma (170k^2 \mu^2 + 129\mu^4 - 360\mu^2 r_h^2)}{45(k^2 + \mu^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \quad (3.3)$$

We express κ in terms of horizon geometry as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa = & \frac{4\pi f'(r)}{f''(r)} + 4\pi\gamma f'(r) \times \\ & \left(\frac{-3r^2 \zeta^1(r) f''(r) - 16r^2 f''(r)^2 + 96r^2 f''(r) + 9r^2 f'(r) \zeta^1(r)' - 192r f'(r) + 64f'(r)^2}{3r^2 f''(r)^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

One finds the result of [22] at $\gamma = 0$. Thus in the presence of Weyl corrections the formula of κ in terms of the horizon data should be modified.

4 Diffusivities in the incoherent limit

We investigate behavior of the conductivities in the incoherent limit in order to check the universal bounds proposed on the electrical conductivity and the thermal conductivity in [6, 7].

One could consider the incoherent limit [19] as follows:

$$\frac{k}{\mu} \gg 1, \quad \frac{k}{T} \gg 1, \quad \text{with } \frac{\mu}{T} \text{ finite} \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, it is essential to clarify the relation between r_h and k in the incoherent limit. For this purpose, we expand the temperature (2.12) as,

$$16\pi \left(\frac{r_h}{k}\right)^3 \left(\frac{T}{k}\right) = -2\gamma\delta^2 \left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)^2 - \frac{\gamma}{30} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)^4 - \frac{\gamma}{9} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)^2 + 12\delta^4 - \delta^2 \left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)^2 - 2\delta^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\delta = r_h/k$. Then in the incoherent limit, it is obvious that $r_h^{inc} = k/\sqrt{6}$. It means that the horizon radius becomes proportional to the strength of momentum dissipation k . Therefore in this setup, the electric, thermoelectric and thermal conductivities reduce to:

$$\sigma = 1 - 4\gamma + \mathcal{O}(1/k^2) \quad (4.3)$$

$$\alpha = \bar{\alpha} = \frac{4\pi\mu}{\sqrt{6}k} + \mathcal{O}(1/k^2) \quad (4.4)$$

$$\kappa = \frac{8\pi^2 T}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1/k^2). \quad (4.5)$$

As it was mentioned in the introduction, σ in simple holographic disorder systems is bounded as [6]

$$\sigma \geq 1. \quad (4.6)$$

However, it is violated in the presence of Weyl corrections. It was found that the bound can be modified by considering additional couplings to the Maxwell term or with non-linear deformation [14, 15, 65, 17, 18].

Regarding the constraints on the γ , one may modify the bound in this model as follows:

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_{bound} \equiv 1 - 4\gamma. \quad (4.7)$$

It is very interesting to study the proposed bound on the thermal conductivity in the presence of Weyl coupling. In the incoherent limits, one finds

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{T}\right)^{inc} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1/k^2) \quad (4.8)$$

It is surprising that the above relation satisfies the bound on the thermal conductivity, i.e., $\frac{\kappa}{T} \geq \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{C} is a non zero $\mathcal{O}(1)$ number which it may rely on the different parameters and couplings of the model [7]. It should be noted that the Weyl coupling does not affect the universal value of the bound on κ/T .⁴

We are interested in computing D_c and D_e in the incoherent limit to check the universal bounds obtained in [20, 21]. First of all, we need to compute the heat capacity and the charge susceptibility which are defined as follows:

$$C_q = T \left(\frac{ds}{dT} \right)_q, \quad \chi = \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial \mu} \right)_T. \quad (4.9)$$

From Eqs. (2.14) and (2.12) for Wald entropy and temperature, one could derive the heat capacity formula at constant charge density as follows [56]:

$$C_q = T \left(\frac{ds}{dr_h} \right) \left(\frac{dT}{dr_h} \right)^{-1} = \frac{128\pi^2 r_h^3 T}{2k^2 + \mu^2 + 12r_h^2} - \frac{64\pi^2 T \gamma r_h (10k^2 \mu^2 + 3\mu^4 + 60\mu^2 r_h^2)}{15(2k^2 + \mu^2 + 12r_h^2)^2} \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover by making use of Eqs. (2.12) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \left[\frac{\partial q}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r_h} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial q}{\partial r_h} \right] \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_h} \right)^{-1} = \frac{r_h (2k^2 + 3\mu^2 + 12r_h^2)}{2k^2 + \mu^2 + 12r_h^2} + \\ &\frac{\gamma}{45r_h} (2k^2 + \mu^2 + 12r_h^2)^{-2} \left[63\mu^4 (k^2 + 6r_h^2) + 4\mu^2 (k^2 + 6r_h^2) (41k^2 + 126r_h^2) \right. \\ &\left. + 20(5k^2 - 18r_h^2) (k^2 + 6r_h^2)^2 + 9\mu^6 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

⁴In addition to thermal conductivity bounds, we noticed that the relation:

$$sT\alpha - q\bar{\kappa} = 0,$$

which was violated in [31, 18], does hold in our model.

In the incoherent limit, the heat capacity and susceptibility take the below forms, respectively.

$$C_q^{inc} = \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi^2 kT \quad (4.12)$$

$$\chi^{inc} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{6}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \gamma \right) \quad (4.13)$$

Regarding (C.18) in the incoherent limit, the butterfly velocity also becomes:

$$v_B^2{}^{inc} = \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi T}{k} (1 + 8\gamma). \quad (4.14)$$

We present the derivation in appendix C.

Now we define the charge and energy diffusion as

$$D_c = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}, \quad D_e = \frac{\kappa}{C_q}. \quad (4.15)$$

Therefore, in the incoherent regime the charge and energy diffusion of our Weyl model can be written as:

$$\left. \frac{D_c T}{v_B^2} \right|^{inc} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{40}{3} \gamma \right), \quad \left. \frac{D_e T}{v_B^2} \right|^{inc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 - 8\gamma). \quad (4.16)$$

It is obvious that both diffusivities are dependent on the Weyl coupling γ due to the modification of the heat capacity and susceptibility and butterfly velocity. To avoid negative values for the charge diffusion, one should consider γ in the range of $-\frac{1}{8} < \gamma < \frac{3}{40}$. Therefore, in the presence of Weyl corrections the universal bound on the charge diffusion is violated in the incoherent limit. On the other hand, one finds that the energy diffusion is positive in the range of $-\frac{1}{8} < \gamma < \frac{1}{8}$. It means that the modified bound on D_e in the presence of γ can not be universal in the incoherent limit [20, 21].

5 Discussion

In this paper, we studied the behavior of the thermoelectric DC conductivities in the presence of Weyl corrections with momentum dissipation in the incoherent limit. We considered the bulk Abelian action which contains the coupling of the Maxwell term and the Weyl tensor. The Weyl coupling γ , is constrained from the casualty of the dual theory. The free massless axion field is responsible for breaking the translational symmetry. Considering γ as a small parameter, we constructed the black brane solution analytically as [43]. Then we computed the thermoelectric conductivities and studied them in the incoherent limit. In (4.7), it was shown that the bound on the DC conductivity, σ is violated. Moreover, we computed the butterfly velocity and diffusion with broken translational symmetry. It was found that the Weyl coupling γ , corrects the proposed bound for the diffusivities in the incoherent limit. We also found that the bounds on the charge and energy diffusion were violated. This fact that in the incoherent limit the Weyl coupling γ , affect the $\frac{D_e T}{v_B^2}$ bound is surprising. This result is not the same as the linear axion model [20, 21] and Horndeski theory [31]. One should notice that this is a highly non trivial check of the proposed bounds on the energy diffusivity and thermal conductivity which implies that it would be very important to further study them in different backgrounds.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank J. Gauntlett, A. Lucas, R. Rodgers and R. Davison for useful discussions. Especially thank A. O'Bannon for reading the manuscript and comments. We also thank V. Jahnke for discussions on the butterfly velocity. KBF wishes to thank the University of Southampton for hospitality during the course of this work. We would like to thank the referee for his/her instructive comments.

A Calculating the black brane solution

The functions $\mathcal{F}(r)$, $\zeta^1(r)$ and $A_t^1(r)$ are obtained by solving Eqs.(2.3) and (2.4) up to the linear order in γ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(r) &= \frac{1}{f_0(r)} \left(\frac{k_2}{r} - \frac{5k^2q^2r_h}{12r^5} + \frac{4k^2q^2}{9r^4} - \frac{q^4}{5r^6} + \frac{5q^4}{24r^5r_h} + \frac{5q^2r_h^3}{6r^5} - \frac{4q^2}{3r^2} \right), \\ \zeta^1(r) &= -\frac{q^2}{6r^4} + k_1, \\ A_t^1(r) &= -\frac{k_3}{r} + k_4 - \frac{k^2qr_h}{2r^4} + \frac{2k^2q}{9r^3} - \frac{13q^3}{30r^5} + \frac{q^3}{4r^4r_h} + \frac{qr_h^3}{r^4}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

where k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , and k_4 are dimensionless integration constants and r_h in above relations indicates the position of the event horizon of the black hole. Now, we determine those constants by imposing several constraints on the above functions as [47, 48, 36]. To evaluate k_1 , we consider the asymptotic behaviour of the black hole metric,

$$ds^2|_{r \rightarrow \infty} = -(fe^{-2\chi})_{\infty} dt^2 + r^2(dx^2 + dy^2).\tag{A.2}$$

where $(fe^{-2\zeta})_{\infty} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(r)e^{-2\zeta(r)}$. It corresponds to the geometry of the dual CFT at the boundary. The speed of light in the dual theory should be unity, which requires that $(fe^{-2\zeta})_{\infty} = r^2$. Then one finds that $k_1 = 0$. We also count the value of k_3 by requiring that the charge density q remains fixed. Moreover, one could write the Maxwell equation, eq.(2.4) in the form $\nabla_{\mu} X^{\mu\lambda} = 0$, where, $X^{\mu\lambda}$ is an antisymmetric tensor. Therefore, one can define the dual of $(*X)_{xy}$ which is a constant and appropriate to consider this constant as the fixed charge density q . Because the quantity $(*X)_{xy}$ does not depend on r , one may define

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (*X)_{xy} = q.\tag{A.3}$$

The left hand side of above equation in the asymptotic limit can be calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (*X)_{xy} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r^2 e^{\zeta(r)} (F_{rt} - 8\gamma L^2 C_{rt}{}^{rt} F_{rt})] \\ &= (1 + \gamma k_3)q.\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

Now comparing the (A.3) with (A.4), we arrive at $k_3 = 0$. On the other hand, in order to determine k_2 , one needs to impose the condition, $f_0(r)\mathcal{F}(r)|_{r=r_h} = 0$. Thus we obtain k_2 as,

$$k_2 = -\frac{k^2q^2}{36r_h^3} - \frac{q^4}{120r_h^5} + \frac{q^2}{2r_h}.\tag{A.5}$$

Since the A_t vanishes at the horizon, we can count up the last constant k_4 . Using this condition, one concludes that $A_t^1(r_h) = 0$ and the constant k_4 is given by,

$$k_4 = -\frac{5k^2q}{9r_h^3} - \frac{4q^3}{15r_h^5} + \frac{2q}{r_h}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

B Thermoelectric transports calculations

In order to compute the conductivities, we follow the procedure established in [8]. Therefore, we consider the following perturbations,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tx} &\rightarrow t\delta h(r) + \delta g_{tx}(r), \\ g_{rx} &\rightarrow r^2\delta g_{rx}(r), \\ A_x &\rightarrow t\delta a(r) + \delta A_x(r) \\ \phi_1 &\rightarrow kx + \delta\phi_1(r). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

By substituting these perturbations in (2.3), one finds the xx and rx components of Einstein equations⁵. The xx Einstein equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3}{2}r^2f'\zeta' + \frac{1}{2}r^2f'' + rf' - r^2f\zeta'' + r^2f\zeta'^2 - rf\zeta' - \frac{1}{4}r^2e^{2\zeta}A_t'^2 - 3r^2 - \frac{1}{3}\gamma r^2e^{2\zeta}f''A_t'^2 \\ & + \frac{5}{3}\gamma r^2e^{2\zeta}f'A_t'^2\zeta' + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2e^{2\zeta}f'A_t'A_t'' + \frac{4}{3}\gamma re^{2\zeta}f'A_t'^2 + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2fe^{2\zeta}A_t''^2 + \frac{4}{3}\gamma r^2fe^{2\zeta}A_t'^2\zeta'' \\ & + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2fA_t''e^{2\zeta}A_t' + 2\gamma r^2fe^{2\zeta}A_t'A_t''\zeta' + \frac{4}{3}\gamma rfe^{2\zeta}A_t'^2\zeta' + \frac{8}{3}\gamma rfe^{2\zeta}A_t'A_t'' = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Also the rx Einstein equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}r^2\delta g_{rx}f'' - \frac{3}{2}r^2\delta g_{rx}f'\zeta' + r\delta g_{rx}f' - r^2f\delta g_{rx}\zeta'' + r^2f\delta g_{rx}\zeta'^2 - \frac{\delta ae^{2\zeta}A'}{2f} - \\ & rf\delta g_{rx}\zeta' - \frac{e^{2\zeta}\delta h'}{2f} + \frac{\delta he^{2\zeta}}{rf} + \frac{1}{2}k^2\delta g_{rx} - \frac{1}{2}k\delta\phi_1' - \frac{1}{4}r^2\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A'^2 - 3r^2\delta g_{rx} - \\ & \frac{1}{3}\gamma r^2\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}f''A'^2 - \frac{2\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}f''A'}{3f} + \frac{5}{3}\gamma r^2\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}f'A'^2\zeta' + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}f'A'A'' + \\ & \frac{\gamma e^{2\zeta}f'A'\delta a'}{f} + \frac{2\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}f'A'\zeta'}{f} + \frac{4\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}f'A'}{3rf} + \frac{4}{3}\gamma r\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}f'A'^2 + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2f\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A''^2 + \\ & \frac{4}{3}\gamma r^2f\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A'^2\zeta'' + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r^2fA''' \delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A' + 2\gamma r^2f\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A'A''\zeta' + \frac{4}{3}\gamma rf\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A'^2\zeta' + \\ & \frac{4\gamma e^{4\zeta}A'^2\delta h'}{3f} - \frac{8\gamma\delta he^{4\zeta}A'^2}{3rf} + \frac{8}{3}\gamma rf\delta g_{rx}e^{2\zeta}A'A'' - \frac{4\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}A'}{3r^2} + \gamma e^{2\zeta}A''\delta a' + \gamma e^{2\zeta}A'\delta a'' + \\ & \frac{4}{3}\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}A'\zeta'' - \frac{4}{3}\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}A'\zeta'^2 - \frac{4\gamma\delta ae^{2\zeta}A'\zeta'}{3r} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

⁵One should notice that our results (B.2) and (B.3) disagree with that in [67]. We checked that there are some missing terms in that paper.

By resolving f'' from (B.2), the above equation simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\gamma e^{2\zeta} f' A' \delta a'}{f} + \frac{8\gamma \delta a e^{2\zeta} f' A'}{3rf} - \frac{\gamma \delta a e^{4\zeta} A'^3}{3f} - \frac{4\gamma \delta a e^{2\zeta} A'}{f} + \frac{4\gamma e^{4\zeta} A'^2 \delta h'}{3f} - \frac{8\gamma \delta h e^{4\zeta} A'^2}{3rf} - \\ & \frac{\delta a e^{2\zeta} A'}{2f} - \frac{e^{2\zeta} \delta h'}{2f} + \frac{\delta h e^{2\zeta}}{rf} + \frac{1}{2} k^2 \delta g_{rx} - \frac{1}{2} k \delta \phi'_1 - \frac{4\gamma \delta a e^{2\zeta} A'}{3r^2} + \gamma e^{2\zeta} A'' \delta a' + \gamma e^{2\zeta} A' \delta a'' - \\ & \frac{8\gamma \delta a e^{2\zeta} A' \zeta'}{3r} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Regarding the perturbation in (2.9), one gets the rx Einstein equation (B.3) up to the linear order in γ as follows:

$$\delta \phi'_1 - k \delta g_{rx} + \frac{r^2}{k f^0} \left[\frac{\delta h}{r^2} \right]' + \frac{\delta a A_t^{0'}}{k f^0} + \gamma K_0 = 0, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where K_0 is a function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \frac{\delta a A_t^{0'}}{k f^0} \left[8 + \frac{8f^0}{3r^2} - \mathcal{F} + 2\zeta^1 + \frac{2}{3} (A_t^{0'})^2 - \frac{16}{3r} f^{0'} \right] + \frac{\delta a A_t^{1'}}{k f^0} \\ &+ \frac{1}{k f^0} \left[\left[\frac{\delta h}{r^2} \right]' \left[-\frac{8r^2}{3} A_t^{0'^2} - r^2 \mathcal{F} + 2r^2 \zeta^1 \right] - 2 \left[\delta a' A_t^{0'} f^0 \right]' \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Now we can solve the δg_{rx} as,

$$\delta g_{rx} = \frac{\delta \phi'_1}{k} + \frac{r^2}{k^2 f^0} \left[\frac{\delta h}{r^2} \right]' + \frac{\delta a A_t^{0'}}{k^2 f^0} + \frac{\gamma}{k} K_0. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

In addition, from Maxwell equation (2.4), one can easily derive a radially conserved current called electric current \mathcal{J} as

$$\mathcal{J} = -\sqrt{-g} (F^{rx} - 4\gamma C^{rx\rho\lambda} F_{\rho\lambda}) \quad (\text{B.8})$$

in which for our model, it yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -\frac{4}{3} \gamma \delta g_{tx} A^{0'} f^{0''} - \frac{4}{3} \gamma t \delta h A^{0'} f^{0''} + \frac{8\gamma \delta g_{tx} A^{0'} f^{0'}}{3r} + \frac{8\gamma t \delta h A^{0'} f^{0'}}{3r} + \frac{4\gamma f^0 \delta g_{tx} A^{0'}}{3r^2} \\ &+ \frac{4\gamma t f^0 \delta h A^{0'}}{3r^2} + 2\gamma f^0 A^{0'} \delta g_{tx}'' - \frac{4\gamma f^0 A^{0'} \delta g_{tx}'}{r} + 2\gamma t f^0 A^{0'} \delta h'' - \frac{4\gamma t f^0 A^{0'} \delta h'}{r} \\ &- \gamma \delta g_{tx} \zeta^1 A^{0'} - \delta g_{tx} A^{0'} - \gamma t \delta h \zeta^1 A^{0'} - t \delta h A^{0'} - \gamma \delta g_{tx} A^{1'} - \gamma \mathcal{F} \delta A_x' - \gamma t \mathcal{F} \delta a' \\ &- \gamma t \delta h A^{1'} + \frac{2}{3} \gamma f^0 f^{0''} \delta A_x' + \frac{2}{3} \gamma t f^0 f^{0''} \delta a' - \frac{4\gamma f^0 f^{0'} \delta A_x'}{3r} - \frac{4\gamma t f^0 f^{0'} \delta a'}{3r} \\ &+ \frac{4\gamma f^{02} \delta A_x'}{3r^2} + \frac{4\gamma t f^{02} \delta a'}{3r^2} + \gamma f^0 \zeta^1 \delta A_x' - f^0 \delta A_x' + \gamma t f^0 \zeta^1 \delta a' - t f^0 \delta a'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

For a general class of gravity theories, the Noether theorem can also be used to derive a general formula for the radially conserved heat current in AdS planar black holes with certain transverse and traceless perturbations,

$$\mathcal{G}_{gravity}^{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \nabla_\rho \zeta_\sigma - 4 \zeta_\sigma \nabla_\rho \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian and ζ_σ is an infinitesimal diffeomorphism $\zeta_\sigma = \delta x_\sigma$ [52, 55, 53, 54]. For the Einstein-Hilbert term, for instance, we have compacted form $\mathcal{G}_{gravity}^{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla^\mu\zeta^\nu$. Then for an arbitrary Killing vector ζ^μ , we can define a two-form \mathcal{H} by:

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{G}_{gravity}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{G}_A^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Note that the second contribution is associated with the minimally-coupled Maxwell field which for our model,

$$\mathcal{G}_A^{\mu\nu} = \zeta^\sigma A_\sigma (F^{\mu\nu} - 4\gamma C^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} F_{\rho\lambda}) \quad (\text{B.12})$$

For a timelike Killing vector $\zeta = \partial_t$, we consider the x component of (B.11) to deduce that $\partial_r(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{H}^{rx}) = 0$ and thus $\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{H}^{rx}$ is a constant. It is worth noting that for the electric current term \mathcal{J} , we arrive at the same result as (B.8). Finally, the heat current is defined as,

$$\mathcal{J}_Q = \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{H}^{rx} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Substituting now the perturbations (B.1) in equation (B.13), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_Q = & 2\gamma f^{02} A^{0''} \delta A_{x'} + 2\gamma t f^{02} A^{0''} \delta a' + 2\gamma f^0 A^{0'} f^{0'} \delta A_{x'} + \frac{2}{3} \gamma \delta g_{tx} A^{0'2} f^{0'} + 2\gamma t f^0 A^{0'} f^{0'} \delta a' \\ & + \frac{2}{3} \gamma t \delta h A^{0'2} f^{0'} + 2\gamma f^{02} A^{0'} \delta A_{x''} + \frac{4\gamma f^{02} A^{0'} \delta A_{x'}}{r} + \frac{4}{3} \gamma f^0 A^{0'2} \delta g_{tx}' + \frac{4\gamma f^0 \delta g_{tx} A^{0'2}}{r} \\ & + 2\gamma t f^{02} A^{0'} \delta a'' + \frac{4\gamma t f^{02} A^{0'} \delta a'}{r} + \frac{4}{3} \gamma t f^0 A^{0'2} \delta h' + \frac{4\gamma t f^0 \delta h A^{0'2}}{r} + 4\gamma f^0 \delta g_{tx} A^{0'} A^{0''} \\ & + 4\gamma t f^0 \delta h A^{0'} A^{0''} + \gamma \delta g_{tx} \zeta^1 f^{0'} - \delta g_{tx} f^{0'} + \gamma t \delta h \zeta^1 f^{0'} - t \delta h f^{0'} - \gamma f^0 \zeta^1 \delta g_{tx}' \\ & + 2\gamma f^0 \delta g_{tx} \zeta^1 + f^0 \delta g_{tx}' - \gamma t f^0 \zeta^1 \delta h' + 2\gamma t f^0 \delta h \zeta^1 + t f^0 \delta h' - \gamma \delta g_{tx} \mathcal{F}' - \gamma t \delta h \mathcal{F}' \\ & + \gamma \mathcal{F} \delta g_{tx}' + \gamma t \mathcal{F} \delta h' - A_t \mathcal{J} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Next, we assume $\delta a(r) = -E + C A_t(r)$ and $\delta h(r) = -C f(r) e^{-2\zeta(r)}$ in order to cancel the terms depend on t in \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}_Q in equations (B.9) and (B.14). One also finds the near horizon behavior of δg_{rx} as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_{rx} \approx & \frac{E}{f^0} \left[\frac{16\gamma A_t^0 f'^0}{3k^2 r} - \frac{2\gamma A_t^0(r)^3}{3k^2} - \frac{8\gamma A_t^0(r)}{k^2} - \frac{A_t^0(r)}{k^2} - \frac{\gamma A_t^1}{k^2} \right] \\ & + \frac{C}{f^0} \left[\frac{2\gamma A_t^0(r)^2 f'^0(r)}{3k^2} + \frac{2\gamma \zeta^1(r) f'^0(r)}{k^2} - \frac{\gamma \mathcal{F}(r) f'^0(r)}{k^2} - \frac{f'^0(r)}{k^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Now we consider the asymptotic behavior near the horizon $r = r_h$. Since we consider the boundary condition at the future horizon, we will use ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates (v, r) defined as $v = t + \int \frac{dr}{e^{-\zeta(r)} f(r)}$ here. First, the gauge field should be regular at the future horizon, so from equation (B.1) we conclude that δA_x should satisfy

$$\delta A_x = -E \int \frac{dr}{e^{-\zeta(r)} f(r)} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

near horizon $r = r_h$. In addition, we can find that $\delta g_{rx} \sim \frac{1}{r-r_h}$ is divergence as $r \rightarrow r_h$. Therefore, in order to obtain the singular part of the metric in the ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates we should require the metric perturbation behaves as

$$\delta g_{tx} = r^2 e^{-\zeta(r)} f(r) \delta g_{rx} - C e^{-2\zeta(r)} f(r) \int \frac{dr}{e^{-\zeta(r)} f(r)}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

We can now compute the electric and heat currents at the horizon. Therefore, the thermo-electric conductivities can be defined as:

$$\sigma = \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(r_h)}{\partial E}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(r_h)}{\partial C}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_Q(r_h)}{\partial E}, \quad \bar{\kappa} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_Q(r_h)}{\partial C}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

where all the quantities have to be computed at the horizon $r = r_h$. One obtains following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= 1 + \frac{r^2 A_t'(r)^2}{k^2} - \frac{2\gamma}{3k^2 r} \left[-2r^3 A_t'(r)^2 f''(r) + 12r^2 A_t'(r)^2 f'(r) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. 3r^3 \zeta^1(r) A_t'(r)^2 - r^3 A_t'(r)^4 - 12r^3 A_t'(r)^2 + k^2 r f''(r) - 2k^2 f'(r) \right], \\ \alpha &= \frac{4\pi r^2 A_t'(r)}{k^2} + \frac{16\pi \gamma r^2 A_t'(r) f''(r)}{3k^2} - \frac{32\pi \gamma r A_t'(r) f'(r)}{3k^2} + \frac{4\pi \gamma r^2 \zeta^1(r) A_t'(r)}{k^2} - \frac{8\pi \gamma r^2 A_t'(r)^3}{3k^2}, \\ \bar{\alpha} &= \frac{4\pi r^2 A_t'(r)}{k^2} - \frac{64\pi \gamma r A_t'(r) f'(r)}{3k^2} + \frac{4\pi \gamma r^2 \zeta^1(r) A_t'(r)}{k^2} + \frac{32\pi \gamma r^2 A_t'(r)}{k^2}, \\ \bar{\kappa} &= \frac{4\pi r^2 f'(r)}{k^2} + \frac{4\gamma (-4\pi r^2 A_t'(r)^2 f'(r)^2 - 3\pi r^2 \zeta^1(r) f'(r)^2)}{3k^2 f'(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

As it seems the terms contain γ in α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are not equal, but as we show below they are exactly equal. We start with the r component of the Maxwell equation which is,

$$\begin{aligned} &8\gamma r e^\zeta A_t' f' \zeta' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 f e^\zeta \zeta''' A_t' - \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 f e^\zeta A_t' \zeta'^3 - \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 f e^\zeta A_t' \zeta' \zeta'' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma r f e^\zeta A_t' \zeta'' \\ &- \frac{4}{3} \gamma r^2 e^\zeta A_t'' f'' + 4\gamma r^2 e^\zeta A_t'' f' \zeta' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma r e^\zeta A_t'' f' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 f e^\zeta A_t'' \zeta'' - \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 f e^\zeta A_t'' \zeta'^2 \\ &- \frac{8}{3} \gamma f e^\zeta A_t'' - \frac{4}{3} \gamma r^2 f''' e^\zeta A_t' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma r^2 e^\zeta A_t' f'' \zeta' + \frac{20}{3} \gamma r^2 e^\zeta A_t' f' \zeta'' + \frac{4}{3} \gamma r^2 e^\zeta A_t' f' \zeta'^2 - r^2 e^\zeta A_t'' \\ &- 8\gamma r f e^\zeta A_t' \zeta'^2 - \frac{16}{3} \gamma f e^\zeta A_t' \zeta' - r^2 e^\zeta A_t' \zeta' - 2r e^\zeta A_t' - \frac{8}{3} \gamma r f e^\zeta A_t'' \zeta' = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

In addition the (r, r) component of the Einstein equation is,

$$\begin{aligned} &- \frac{8\gamma e^{2\zeta} f' A_t'^2 \zeta'}{3f} + \frac{2\gamma e^{2\zeta} f'' A_t'^2}{3f} - \frac{2\gamma e^{2\zeta} f' A_t'^2}{rf} - \frac{2\gamma e^{2\zeta} f' A_t' A_t''}{3f} + \frac{f'}{rf} + \frac{k^2}{2r^2 f} + \frac{e^{2\zeta} A_t'^2}{4f} \\ &- \frac{3}{f} + \frac{8\gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t'^2}{3r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{4}{3} \gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t'^2 \zeta'' + \frac{8}{3} \gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t'^2 \zeta'^2 + \frac{4\gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t'^2 \zeta'}{r} + \frac{4}{3} \gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t' A_t'' \zeta' \\ &+ \frac{4\gamma e^{2\zeta} A_t' A_t''}{3r} - \frac{2\zeta'}{r} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Notice that one can ignore the terms which are non linear order in γ like $\gamma e^{2\zeta}$, $e^{2\zeta} \zeta'$, $e^{2\zeta} \zeta''$. By solving the $A_t''(r)$ in both of the equations (B.20) and (B.21) and comparing them to each other, one can solve the $A_t'(r)$ in terms of the metric components and k . Next by substituting the $A_t'(r)$, $A_t''(r)$, and $A_t'''(r)$ in xx component of the Einstein equation (B.2), we can also solve k in terms of the metric components. Then by replacing $A'(r)$ and k in those terms which do not seem to be equal in α and $\bar{\alpha}$ relations and by evaluating at the horizon one obtains

$$\alpha = \bar{\alpha} = \frac{4\pi r^2 A_t'(r)}{k^2} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi\gamma (3r(\zeta^1(r) + 8) - 16f'(r)) \sqrt{r f''(r) - 6r^2 + 2r f'(r)}}{3r^2 f''(r) - 36r^2 + 12r f'(r)}. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Note that we have used the assumption of $\delta\phi_1$ is regular at the horizon.

C Butterfly velocity calculation

In a quantum system, the butterfly effect can be characterized by the out-of-time-order corrector (OTOC). After the scrambling time t_* , the exponential deviation of OTOC takes the form,

$$\frac{\langle V_x(0)W_y(t)V_x(0)W_y(t) \rangle_\beta}{\langle V_x(0)V_x(0) \rangle_\beta \langle W_y(t)W_y(t) \rangle_\beta} \sim 1 - e^{\lambda_L \left(t - t_* - \frac{|x-y|}{v_B} \right)}, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where V and W are two generic Hermitian operators. Furthermore, λ_L is the Lyapunov exponent and v_B is the butterfly velocity. The Lyapunov exponent is, $\lambda_L = \frac{2\pi}{\beta}$, where β is inverse of Hawking temperature. And also the butterfly velocity should be identified by the velocity of shock wave by which the perturbation spreads in the space [57, 24, 58]. Moreover, the butterfly effect is obtained in D-dimensional gravitational theory containing higher order derivatives and Massive gravities [59, 60, 61]. Now we study a shock wave solution of our model when the above black hole solution is perturbed by injecting a small amount of energy. To proceed, it is useful to re-write the black brane solution in the Kruskal coordinates:

$$u v = -e^{\sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}r_*}, \quad u/v = -e^{-\sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}t}, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where $dr_* = \frac{dr}{\sqrt{f(r)h(r)}}$ and $h(r) = f(r)e^{-2\zeta(r)}$. By making use of this coordinate system, the metric and the gauge field can be recast into the following form ⁶

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2 A(uv) du dv + B(uv) dx^i dx^i, \\ A &= A_\mu dx^\mu = -\Phi(uv)vdu + \Phi(uv)udv \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where functions appearing in the metric are related by the following relations:

$$A(uv) = \frac{2}{uv} \frac{h(r)}{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}, \quad B(uv) = r^2, \quad \Phi(uv) = \frac{1}{uv} \frac{A_t(r)}{\sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

for which the horizon location $r = r_h$ is mapped into $uv = 0$. We perturb it by releasing a particle from the boundary at $x = 0$. For the late time $t_w > \beta$ the localized stress tensor resulted from this particle is given by

$$\delta T_{uu}^{shock} = E e^{2\pi t_w/\beta} \delta(u) \delta(x) \quad (\text{C.5})$$

where $\beta = 1/T$ and E denotes the asymptotic energy of the particle. The back reaction of this pulse of energy in the left side of the geometry is obtained when there is a shift $v \rightarrow v + h(x, t_w)$, where $h(x, t_w)$ is a function that can be determined from Einstein's equations. So we have,

$$ds^2 = 2 A(uv) du dv + B(uv) dx^i dx^i - 2 A(uv) h(x, t_w) \delta(u) du^2 \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Now let us find the function $h(x, t_w)$ such that the above ansatz satisfies the Einstein's equations,

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \delta G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \delta T_{\mu\nu} + \delta T_{\mu\nu}^{shock} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

⁶In the first version, we had a mistake in defining A in the Kruskal coordinate.

Assuming the Einstein's equations (2.3) are satisfied in background metric (C.3), one can derive a second order differential equation for $h(x, t_w)$ near the horizon at the leading order of the perturbation by plugging the perturbed ansatz metric (C.6) in Einstein equation as

$$[\partial_i^2 - m^2] h(x^i, t_w) = \frac{3A(0)^3 B(0) E e^{2\pi t_w / \beta} \delta(x)}{16\gamma A(0)^2 \Phi(0)^2 + 3A(0)^4} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

where the effective mass reads:

$$m^2 = \frac{8\gamma \Phi(0) (-2B(0)\Phi(0)A'(0) + A(0)\Phi(0)B'(0) + 4A(0)B(0)\Phi'(0)) - 3A(0)^3 B'(0)}{16\gamma A(0)^2 \Phi(0)^2 + 3A(0)^4} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

At large distances $|x|$, the solution takes the form:

$$h(x, t_w) \sim \frac{E e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}(t_w - t^*) - m|x|}}{|x|^{1/2}} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

where t^* is the scrambling time. One can then read off the Liapunov exponent and the butterfly velocity as $\lambda_L = \frac{2\pi}{\beta}$, and $v_B^2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta m}$, respectively. Now in order to obtain the butterfly velocity, we need to rewrite the function $A(0)$, $B(0)$, $\Phi(0)$ and their derivatives in the original (t, r, x, y) coordinates. Near the horizon,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &\approx f'(r_h)(r - r_h) + \frac{f''(r_h)(r - r_h)^2}{2} + \dots \\ h(r) &\approx h'(r_h)(r - r_h) + \frac{h''(r_h)(r - r_h)^2}{2} \dots \\ A_t(r) &\approx A'_t(r_h)(r - r_h) + \frac{A''_t(r_h)(r - r_h)^2}{2} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

we have a relation

$$r^* = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(r)f(r)}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}} \ln(r - r_h) \quad (\text{C.12})$$

Thus above relations imply that

$$uv \approx -c_0(r - r_h) \quad (\text{C.13})$$

$$A(0) \approx -\frac{2}{c_0 f'(r_h)} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$\Phi(0) \approx -\frac{A'_t(r_h)}{c_0 \sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A'(0) &= \frac{dA(uv)}{d(uv)} \Big|_{u=0} = \frac{dA(uv)}{dr^*} \frac{dr^*}{d(uv)} \Big|_{r_h} = \frac{h''(r_h)}{c_0^2 f'(r_h)h'(r_h)} \\ \Phi'(0) &= \frac{A''(r_h)}{2c_0^2 \sqrt{f'(r_h)h'(r_h)}} \quad ; \quad B'(0) = -\frac{2r_h}{c_0} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

Considering above equations and using Einstein and Maxwell equations, the effective mass formulas reduces to

$$m^2 = r_h f'(r_h) + 8\gamma r_h f'(r_h) - \frac{8}{3}\gamma f'(r_h)^2 + \frac{2}{3}\gamma r_h^2 f''(r_h)^2 - 4\gamma r_h^2 f''(r_h) \quad (\text{C.17})$$

One can now obtain the butterfly velocity as follows:

$$v_B^2 = \frac{\pi T e^{\frac{\gamma a^2}{6r_h^4}}}{r_h R} \quad (\text{C.18})$$

where

$$R = 1 + 8\gamma - \frac{8\gamma f'(r)}{3r} + \frac{2\gamma r (f''(r) - 6) f''(r)}{3f'(r)}. \quad (\text{C.19})$$

for the case $\gamma = 0$, it is consistent with the universal formula for butterfly velocities in [62].

D Holographic stress tensor and the heat current

In this section, we are interesting in showing that the radially-conserved bulk current \mathcal{J}_Q in (B.14) matches to the thermal current Q , up to a term depending on the time linearly on the AdS boundary at $r \rightarrow \infty$. At the boundary, the bulk current \mathcal{J}_Q behaves like:

$$\mathcal{J}_Q|_{r \rightarrow \infty} = f^0 \delta g'_{tx} - f^{0'} \delta g_{tx} + \gamma \left(f_0 (\mathcal{F} - \zeta^1) \delta g'_{tx} - f_0' (\mathcal{F} - \zeta \zeta^1) \delta g_{tx} - f^0 (\mathcal{F}' - 2\zeta^1) \delta g_{tx} \right) |_{r \rightarrow \infty} - \mu \mathcal{J} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

in above relation, we have used the value of A_t at infinity, i.e., the chemical potential, $A_t(\infty) = \mu$. We now focus on the boundary conserved currents through the derivation of the holographic stress tensor. For this purpose, one needs to construct a holographically renormalised on-shell action (S_{ren}) [63] by adding some terms to the bulk action (2.1).

$$S_{ren} = S_{bulk} + S_{GH} + S_{ct} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where the second term is the Gibbons-Hawking term,

$$S_{GH} = 2 \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} K \quad (\text{D.3})$$

which is required for a well defined variational problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions, where γ is the determinant of the induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ at the boundary and K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature, $K^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu n^\nu$ where n_μ is the normal vector of the boundary. The last term is counterterms [64],

$$S_{ct} = \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[-4 + \mathcal{R}[\gamma] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi_i \nabla_\nu \phi_i \right] \quad (\text{D.4})$$

which is required to cancel out the UV divergence. Note that in our case the metric on the boundary is flat, so \mathcal{R} vanishes. The renormalized stress tensor $T_{ren}^{\mu\nu}$ and the covariant current J^μ can then be calculated by:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\delta S_{ren}}{\delta \gamma_{\mu\nu}} = -2 \left[K^{\mu\nu} - K \gamma^{\mu\nu} + 2\gamma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla^\mu \phi_i \nabla^\nu \phi_i + \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho \phi_i \nabla^\rho \phi_i) \right] \quad (\text{D.5})$$

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta S_{ren}}{\delta A_\mu} = -n_\rho F^{\rho\mu} + 4\gamma C^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} n_\nu \quad (\text{D.6})$$

Considering the perturbation (B.1) about the black brane, one can obtain elements of the stress tensor as follows:

$$T^{xx} = -\frac{4}{r^2} + \sqrt{\frac{f}{h}} \left[2 + r \frac{h'}{h} \right] \quad (\text{D.7})$$

$$T^{tx} = \frac{1}{r^2 h} \left[-4\delta g_{tx}(t, r) + \sqrt{f} \left(2\delta g_{tx}(t, r) + \partial_r \delta g_{tx}(t, r) \right) \right] \quad (\text{D.8})$$

where a prime denotes the derivative respect to r . Now, we can find that

$$r^2 \sqrt{h} \left(h T^{tx} - \delta g_{tx}(t, r) T^{xx} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{f}{h}} \left(h \partial_r \delta g_{tx}(t, r) - h' \delta g_{tx}(t, r) \right) \quad (\text{D.9})$$

According to the especial linearised perturbation (B.1), we are able to obtain the time-dependent source for T^{xt} as follows:

$$T^{tx} = \frac{1}{r^2 h} \left[-4\delta g_{tx}(r) + \sqrt{f} \left(2\delta g_{tx}(r) + \partial_r \delta g_{tx}(r) \right) \right] - \mathcal{C} t T^{xx} \equiv T_0^{tx} - \mathcal{C} t T^{xx} \quad (\text{D.10})$$

where T_0^{tx} denotes the time-independent part of stress tensor. Regarding (D.10), it is easy to prove that all of the time-dependence terms in (D.9) drop out and therefore we finally have:

$$r^2 \sqrt{h} \left(h T_0^{tx} - \delta g_{tx}(r) T^{xx} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{f}{h}} \left(h \partial_r \delta g_{tx}(r) - h' \delta g_{tx}(r) \right) \quad (\text{D.11})$$

Evaluating both sides of the above expression on the boundary ($r \rightarrow \infty$) up to linear order in γ , we arrive at:

$$r^5 T^{tx} = f^0 \delta g'_{tx} - f^{0'} \delta g_{tx} + \gamma \left(f_0 (\mathcal{F} - \zeta^1) \delta g'_{tx} - f_0' (\mathcal{F} - \zeta^1) \delta g_{tx} - f^0 (\mathcal{F}' - 2\zeta^{1'}) \delta g_{tx} \right) \Big|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \quad (\text{D.12})$$

It should be noted that in the large- r limit the metric functions shift to their asymptotic forms $f^0 \sim r^2$ and $\zeta^1 \sim \mathcal{F} \sim 0$. We have also $\delta g_{tx}(r) \sim 1/r$, $T^{\mu\nu} \sim 1/r^5$, and $J^\mu \sim 1/r^3$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, one can show that J^x matches the electric current $\sqrt{-\gamma} \mathcal{J}$ on the boundary exactly. Therefore, from (D.12), up to a term depending on the time linearly, we can conclude that

$$Q = r^5 T^{tx} + \mu r^3 J^x \quad (\text{D.13})$$

At linear order in the perturbation, it yields:

$$Q = f^0 \delta g'_{tx} - f^{0'} \delta g_{tx} + \gamma \left(f_0 (\mathcal{F} - \zeta^1) \delta g'_{tx} - f_0' (\mathcal{F} - \zeta^1) \delta g_{tx} - f^0 (\mathcal{F}' - 2\zeta^{1'}) \delta g_{tx} \right) \Big|_{r \rightarrow \infty} - \mu \mathcal{J} \quad (\text{D.14})$$

Therefore, it is obvious that the radially-conserved Noether current (D.1) matches precisely to the thermal current on the boundary.

E Weyl coupling bound in the presence of two axions

The γ bound for the schwartzchild black hole with Weyl correction in 4 dimensions is computed in [38] which is $-1/12 < \gamma < 1/12$. Two of the authors in [44] computed the γ bounds for Lifshitz black holes with Weyl correction for arbitrary Lifshitz z in any

dimension which in the case of $z = 1$ and $d = 4$, the solution reduces to the results of [38]. In this paper and in the presence of the two axions we have a hairy black hole with

$$f(u) = \frac{r_h^2}{u^2}(1 - u^3 - \frac{u^2 k^2}{2r_h^2}(1 - u)), \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where $u = r_h/r$. Note that we ignore the corrections come from Maxwell terms just like the [38] which easily means taking $q = 0$. Now in order to compute the bound we followed the same recipe that have applied in [44] and [38]. Finally the potentials $V_0(u)$ and $W_0(u)$ which were introduced in [44] and [38], after expanding around $u = 0$ and $u = 1$, take the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= 1 + \frac{(4\gamma - 1)k^2 u^2}{2r_h^2} + \mathcal{O}(u^3), \\ V_0 &= \frac{(6r_h^2 - k^2)(-4\gamma k^2 + 12\gamma r_h^2 + 3r_h^2)}{-16\gamma k^2 r_h^2 + 48\gamma r_h^4 - 6r_h^4}(u - 1) \\ &+ \frac{(-32\gamma^2 k^6 + 3\gamma(96\gamma - 17)k^4 r_h^2 + 9(-96\gamma^2 + 52\gamma + 1)k^2 r_h^4 + 27(32(\gamma - 1)\gamma - 1)r_h^6)}{(8\gamma k^2 r_h + (3 - 24\gamma)r_h^3)^2}(u - 1)^2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}((u - 1)^3), \\ W_0 &= 1 - \frac{(4\gamma + 1)k^2 u^2}{2r_h^2} + \mathcal{O}(u^3), \\ W_0 &= \frac{(6r_h^2 - k^2)(-8\gamma k^2 + 24\gamma r_h^2 - 3r_h^2)}{-8\gamma k^2 r_h^2 + 24\gamma r_h^4 + 6r_h^4}(u - 1) \\ &+ \frac{(-32\gamma^2 k^6 + 3\gamma(96\gamma + 25)k^4 r_h^2 - 9(96\gamma^2 + 68\gamma - 1)k^2 r_h^4 + 27(8\gamma(4\gamma + 5) - 1)r_h^6)}{(4\gamma k^2 r_h - 3(4\gamma + 1)r_h^3)^2}(u - 1)^2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}((u - 1)^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.2})$$

For checking the causality in the dual CFT, we have to consider the following constraints on the expansion of the effective potentials at the boundary:

$$V_0(u \rightarrow 0) < 1, \quad W_0(u \rightarrow 0) < 1 \quad (\text{E.3})$$

In addition, these effective potentials, $V_0(u)$ and $W_0(u)$, show bound states with negative energies, which correspond to unstable quasi-normal modes in the bulk theory. For stability, we request that the energy has to be positive in all directions for a consistent CFT. For this aim, we have to consider the following constraints on the expansion of $V_0(u)$ and $W_0(u)$ near $u = 1$.

$$V_0(u \rightarrow 1) > 0, \quad W_0(u \rightarrow 1) > 0 \quad (\text{E.4})$$

As it is clear from equations (E.2), the bound depends on k and r_h . In the incoherent limit $k \rightarrow \sqrt{6}r_h$, these equations reduce to the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= 1 + 3(4\gamma - 1)u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3), \\ V_0 &= -\frac{3(4\gamma - 1)}{8\gamma + 1}(u - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}((u - 1)^3), \\ W_0 &= 1 - 3(4\gamma + 1)u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3), \\ W_0 &= -\frac{3(8\gamma + 1)}{4\gamma - 1}(u - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}((u - 1)^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

Now according to the relations (E.3), (E.4) and equations (E.5) we can investigate the γ bound as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{8} < \gamma < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (\text{E.6})$$

It is surprising that the above bound on the Weyl coupling can also be obtained by imposing positivity conditions on the butterfly velocity (4.14) and the conductivity (4.7). The same behavior for another model has been studied in [65].

References

- [1] S. Sachdev and B. Keimer, *Quantum Criticality*, *Phys. Today* 64N2 (2011) 29 [arXiv:1102.4628].
- [2] J. A. N. Bruin, H. Sakai, R. S. Perry and A. P. Mackenzie, *Similarity of scattering rates in metals showing T-linear resistivity*, *Science*, 339, 804 (2013).
- [3] J. C. Zhang, E. M. Levenson-Falk, B. J. Ramshaw, D. A. Bonn, R. Liang, W. N. Hardy, S. A. Hartnoll and A. Kapitulnik, *Anomalous Thermal Diffusivity in Underdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$* , *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 114 (2017) 5378 [arXiv:1610.05845].
- [4] S. A. Hartnoll, A. Lucas and S. Sachdev, *Holographic quantum matter*, arXiv:1612.07324].
- [5] J. Zaanen, Y.-W. Sun, Y. Liu and K. Schalm, *Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015.
- [6] S. Grozdanov, A. Lucas, S. Sachdev and K. Schalm, *Absence of disorder-driven metal-insulator transitions in simple holographic models*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) no.22, 221601 [arXiv:1507.00003].
- [7] S. Grozdanov, A. Lucas and K. Schalm, *Incoherent thermal transport from dirty black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) no.6, 061901 [arXiv:1511.05970].
- [8] A. Donos and J.P. Gauntlett, *Thermoelectric DC conductivities from black hole horizons*, *JHEP* 11 (2014) 081 [arXiv:1406.4742].
- [9] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Navier-Stokes Equations on Black Hole Horizons and DC Thermoelectric Conductivity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) no.12, 121901 [arXiv:1506.01360].
- [10] A. Donos and S. A. Hartnoll, *Interaction-driven localization in holography*, *Nature Phys.* **9** (2013) 649 [arXiv:1212.2998].
- [11] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett and C. Pantelidou, *Conformal field theories in $d = 4$ with a helical twist*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 066003 [arXiv:1412.3446].
- [12] E. Banks, A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Thermoelectric DC conductivities and Stokes flows on black hole horizons*, *JHEP* **1510** (2015) 103 [arXiv:1507.00234].
- [13] M. Baggioli and O. Pujolas, *On Effective Holographic Mott Insulators*, *JHEP* **1612** (2016) 107 [arXiv:1604.08915].

- [14] K. Bitaghsir Fadafan, *Conductivity bound from dirty black holes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 399 [arXiv:1602.05943].
- [15] M. Baggioli and O. Pujolas, *On holographic disorder-driven metal-insulator transitions*, *JHEP* **1701** (2017) 040 [arXiv:1601.07897].
- [16] B. Gouteraux, E. Kiritsis and W. J. Li, *Effective holographic theories of momentum relaxation and violation of conductivity bound*, *JHEP* **1604** (2016) 122 [arXiv:1602.01067].
- [17] A. M. Garcia-Garcia, B. Loureiro and A. Romero-Bermudez, *Transport in a gravity dual with a varying gravitational coupling constant*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) no.8, 086007 [arXiv:1606.01142].
- [18] M. Baggioli, B. Gouteraux, E. Kiritsis and W. J. Li, *Higher derivative corrections to incoherent metallic transport in holography*, *JHEP* **1703** (2017) 170 [arXiv:1612.05500].
- [19] S. A. Hartnoll, *Theory of universal incoherent metallic transport*, *Nature Phys.* **11** (2015) 54 [arXiv:1405.3651].
- [20] M. Blake, *Universal Charge Diffusion and the Butterfly Effect in Holographic Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) no.9, 091601 [arXiv:1603.08510].
- [21] M. Blake, *Universal Diffusion in Incoherent Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) no.8, 086014 [arXiv:1604.01754].
- [22] M. Blake, R. A. Davison and S. Sachdev, *Thermal diffusivity and chaos in metals without quasiparticles*, arXiv:1705.07896 [hep-th].
- [23] A. Lucas, *Constraints on hydrodynamics from many-body quantum chaos*, [arXiv:1710.01005].
- [24] D. A. Roberts, D. Stanford and L. Susskind, *Localized shocks*, *JHEP* **1503** (2015) 051 [arXiv:1409.8180].
- [25] D. A. Roberts and B. Swingle, *Lieb-Robinson Bound and the Butterfly Effect in Quantum Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) no.9, 091602 [arXiv:1603.09298].
- [26] R. A. Davison, W. Fu, A. Georges, Y. Gu, K. Jensen and S. Sachdev, *Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography*, *Phys. Rev. B* **95** (2017) no.15, 155131 [arXiv:1612.00849].
- [27] D. Ahn, Y. Ahn, H. S. Jeong, K. Y. Kim, W. J. Li and C. Niu, *Thermal diffusivity and butterfly velocity in anisotropic Q-Lattice models*, [arXiv:1708.08822].
- [28] D. Giataganas, U. Gursoy and J. F. Pedraza, *Strongly-coupled anisotropic gauge theories and holography*, [arXiv:1708.05691].
- [29] V. Jahnke, *Delocalizing Entanglement of Anisotropic Black Branes*, [arXiv:1708.07243].
- [30] Y. Ling and Z. Y. Xian, *Holographic Butterfly Effect and Diffusion in Quantum Critical Region*, *JHEP* **1709** (2017) 003 [arXiv:1707.02843].

- [31] M. Baggioli and W. J. Li, *Diffusivities bounds and chaos in holographic Horndeski theories*, *JHEP* **1707** (2017) 055 [arXiv:1705.01766].
- [32] A. Lucas and J. Steinberg, *Charge diffusion and the butterfly effect in striped holographic matter*, *JHEP* **1610** (2016) 143 [arXiv:1608.03286].
- [33] K. Y. Kim and C. Niu, “Diffusion and Butterfly Velocity at Finite Density,” *JHEP* **1706** (2017) 030 [arXiv:1704.00947 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, T. Griffin and L. Melgar, “DC Conductivity and Higher Derivative Gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017) no.13, 135015 [arXiv:1701.01389 [hep-th]].
- [35] L. Cheng, X. H. Ge and Z. Y. Sun, “Thermoelectric DC conductivities with momentum dissipation from higher derivative gravity,” *JHEP* **1504** (2015) 135 [arXiv:1411.5452 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, *Holographic hydrodynamics with a chemical potential*, *JHEP* **06** (2009) 006 [arXiv:0903.2834].
- [37] A. Ritz and J. Ward, *Weyl corrections to holographic conductivity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 066003 (2009) [arXiv:0811.4195].
- [38] R.C. Myers, S. Sachdev and A. Singh, *Holographic quantum critical transport without self-duality*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 066017 [arXiv:1010.0443].
- [39] I. T. Drummond and S. J. Hathrell, *QED vacuum polarization in a background gravitational field and its effect on the velocity of photons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 343 (1980).
- [40] J. P. Wu, *Momentum dissipation and holographic transport without self-duality*, [arXiv:1609.04729].
- [41] G. Fu, J. P. Wu, B. Xu and J. Liu, *Holographic response from higher derivatives with homogeneous disorder*, *Phys. Lett. B* **769** (2017) 569 [arXiv:1705.06672].
- [42] Y. Ling and X. Zheng, *Holographic superconductor with momentum relaxation and Weyl correction*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **917** (2017) 1 [arXiv:1609.09717].
- [43] Y. Ling, P. Liu, J. P. Wu and Z. Zhou, *Holographic Metal-Insulator Transition in Higher Derivative Gravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **766** (2017) 41 [arXiv:1606.07866].
- [44] S. A. Hosseini Mansoori, B. Mirza, A. Mokhtari, F. L. Dezaki and Z. Sherkatghanad, *Weyl holographic superconductor in the Lifshitz black hole background*, *JHEP* **1607** (2016) 111 [arXiv:1602.07245 [hep-th]].
- [45] K. B. Fadafan, *Strange metals at finite 't Hooft coupling*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) no.1, 2281 [arXiv:1208.1855 [hep-th]].
- [46] M. Ali-Akbari and K. B. Fadafan, *Conductivity at finite 't Hooft coupling from AdS/CFT*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **844** (2011) 397 [arXiv:1008.2430 [hep-th]].
- [47] A. Dey, S. Mahapatra and T. Sarkar, *Holographic Thermalization with Weyl Corrections*, *JHEP* **1601** (2016) 088 [arXiv:1510.00232].

- [48] A. Dey, S. Mahapatra and T. Sarkar, *Thermodynamics and Entanglement Entropy with Weyl Corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, no. 2, 026006 (2016) [arXiv:1512.07117].
- [49] S. Mahapatra, *Thermodynamics, Phase Transition and Quasinormal modes with Weyl corrections*, *JHEP* **1604** (2016) 142 [arXiv:1602.03007].
- [50] R. M. Wald, *Black hole entropy is the Noether charge*, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 3427 [arxiv:9307038].
- [51] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, T. Griffin, N. Lohitsiri and L. Melgar, *Holographic DC conductivity and Onsager relations*, *JHEP* **1707** (2017) 006 [arXiv:1704.05141].
- [52] Vivek Iyer, Robert M. Wald, *Some Properties of Noether Charge and a Proposal for Dynamical Black Hole Entropy*, *Phys.Rev. D* 50 (1994) 846-864 [arXiv:9403028].
- [53] Hai-Shan Liu, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, *Holographic Heat Current as Noether Current*, *JHEP* 1709 (2017) 146, [arXiv:1708.02329v1].
- [54] Wontae Kim, Shailesh Kulkarni, Sang-Heon Yi, *Quasi-Local Conserved Charges in Covariant Theory of Gravity*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 111 (2013) 8, 081101 [arXiv:1306.2138].
- [55] T.Padmanabhan, *Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New insights*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 111 (2013) 8, 081101, *Rept.Prog.Phys.* 73 (2010) 046901 [arXiv:0911.5004v2].
- [56] S. A. H. Mansoori, B. Mirza and M. Fazel, *Hessian matrix, specific heats, Nambu brackets, and thermodynamic geometry*, *JHEP* **1504** (2015) 115 [arXiv:1411.2582].
- [57] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *Black holes and the butterfly effect*, *JHEP* 1403 (2014) 067 [arXiv:1306.0622].
- [58] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *Stringy effects in scrambling*, *JHEP* 1505 (2015) 132 [arXiv:1412.6087].
- [59] M. Alishahiha, A. Davody, A. Naseh and S. F. Taghavi, *On Butterfly effect in Higher Derivative Gravities*, *JHEP* 1611, 032 (2016) [arXiv:1610.02890].
- [60] M. M. Qaemmaqami, *Criticality in Third Order Lovelock Gravity and Butterfly effect*, [arXiv:1705.05235].
- [61] M. M. Qaemmaqami, *On the Butterfly Effect in 3D Gravity*, [arXiv:1707.00509].
- [62] X. H. Feng and H. Lu, *Butterfly Velocity Bound and Reverse Isoperimetric Inequality*, *Phys. Rev. D* 95, no. 6, 066001 (2017) [arXiv:1701.05204].
- [63] M. Bianchi, D. Z. Freedman, and K. Skenderis, *Holographic renormalization*, **Nucl.Phys.** B 631 (2002) 159194, [hep-th/0112119].
- [64] K. Y. Kim, K. K. Kim, Y. Seo and S. J. Sin, *Coherent/incoherent metal transition in a holographic model*, **JHEP** 1412, 170 (2014) [arXiv:1409.8346 [hep-th]].
- [65] B. Goutraux, E. Kiritsis and W. J. Li, *Effective holographic theories of momentum relaxation and violation of conductivity bound*, **JHEP** 1604, (2016) 122 [arXiv:1602.01067].

- [66] Y. Gu, A. Lucas and X. L. Qi, *Energy diffusion and the butterfly effect in inhomogeneous Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev chains*, SciPost Phys. **2** (2017) no.3, 018 [arXiv:1702.08462].
- [67] W.J. Li, P. Liu, J.P. Wu, *Weyl corrections to diffusion and chaos in holography*, **JHEP** 1804 (2018) 115 [arXiv:1710.07896].