

# Convergence Analysis of $l_0$ -RLS Adaptive Filter

B. K. Das<sup>1</sup>, Member, IEEE, S. Mukhopadhyay<sup>2</sup>, Member, IEEE, and M. Chakraborty<sup>3</sup>, Senior Member, IEEE  
Copyright (c) 2017 BIJIT KUMAR DAS. Personal use of this material is permitted.

## Abstract

This paper presents first and second order convergence analysis of the sparsity aware  $l_0$ -RLS adaptive filter. The theorems 1 and 2 state the steady state value of mean and mean square deviation of the adaptive filter weight vector.

**Index terms**–Sparse Systems, Adaptive Filter,  $l_0$ -Recursive Least Squares, Mean Square Deviation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The  $l_0$ -pseudonorm which measures sparsity by counting the number of nonzero elements in a vector can not be directly used for the regularization purpose and it is often approximated by some continuous functions, e.g., the  $l_1$ -norm or the absolute sum, the log-sum etc. Another approximation of  $l_0$ -norm by some exponential function has also been proposed in [5] and has been used to derive a new sparsity aware adaptive filter popularly called  $l_0$ -LMS. This algorithm manifests excellent behavior in terms of convergence speed and steady-state mean square deviation for proper choice of a parameter responsible for promoting sparsity. Similarly, the conventional recursive least squares (RLS) algorithm has also been modified to get advantage of the sparsity using  $l_1$ -norm penalty in [9]-[7], and [8]. In [7],  $l_0$ -norm regularized RLS has been proposed, and it outperforms the standard RLS and the aforementioned sparsity-aware algorithms [3], [5], [9], and [8]. In this chapter, a theoretical analysis of the  $l_0$ -norm regularized recursive least squares ( $l_0$ -RLS) is carried out. Inspired by the work in [6], relevant common assumptions are taken along with some new ones and their applicability is discussed. Then, we also propose a combination scheme to improve the performance of the  $l_0$ -RLS algorithm in a scenario where signal-to-noise (SNR) varies over time. The proposed approach combines the output of  $M$  differently parameterized  $l_0$ -RLS adaptive filters, where the combiner coefficients are adapted to extract the best out of the overall combination for different levels of SNR. Finally, a new sparsity promoting non-convex function is proposed, and a sparse RLS adaptive algorithm based on this is derived.

## II. BRIEF REVIEW OF THE $l_0$ -RLS ALGORITHM

In [7], an RLS based sparse adaptive filter has been proposed where the cost function uses certain differentiable approximation of the  $l_0$  norm. In particular,  $\|\mathbf{w}(n)\|_0$  as

$$\|\mathbf{w}(n)\|_0 \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (1 - \exp(-\alpha|w_i(n)|)), \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a parameter to be chosen carefully so that if  $w_i(n) \neq 0$ , but  $|w_i(n)|$  is small;  $\alpha|w_i(n)| \approx 0$ . This way, if  $w_i(n)$  is zero, or  $|w_i(n)|$  is small, the corresponding factor  $(1 - \exp(-\alpha|w_i(n)|)) \approx 0$ , and if  $|w_i(n)|$  is large,  $(1 - \exp(-\alpha|w_i(n)|)) \approx 1$ , which make  $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (1 - \exp(-\alpha|w_i(n)|))$  a good approximation of  $\|\mathbf{w}(n)\|_0$ .

Using the above, the RLS cost function at index  $n$  is given by

$$\xi(n) = \sum_{m=0}^n \lambda^{n-m} e^2(m) + \gamma \|\mathbf{w}(n)\|_0, \quad (2)$$

where, as before,  $e(m) = d(m) - y(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $y(m) = \mathbf{x}^T(m)\mathbf{w}(m)$ ,  $d(m) = \mathbf{x}^T(m)\mathbf{w}_0 + v(m)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(m) = [x(m), x(m-1), \dots, x(m-N+1)]^T$ ,  $v(m)$  is an additive observation noise independent of any input  $x(l) \forall m, l$ , and the parameter  $\gamma$  is the Lagrange multiplier that controls the balance between estimation error and sparsity promoting penalty. Also, the function  $\|\mathbf{w}(n)\|_0$  is to be replaced by the abovementioned approximation.

The RLS adaptive algorithm which minimizes  $\xi(n)$  and is proposed in [7] can be obtained as:

$$\mathbf{w}(n) = \mathbf{w}(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)\epsilon(n) + \beta\mathbf{P}(n)g(\mathbf{w}(n-1)), \quad (3)$$

The authors are with the department of Electronics and Electrical Communication Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, INDIA (email : <sup>1</sup> bijitbijit@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup> samratphysics@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup> mrityun@ece.iitkgp.ernet.in).

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \gamma(1 - \lambda), \\ \epsilon(n) &= d(n) - \mathbf{w}^T(n-1)\mathbf{x}(n) \quad : \text{ a priori error} \\ \mathbf{k}(n) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{x}(n)}{\lambda + \mathbf{x}^T(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{x}(n)}, \\ \mathbf{P}(n) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} [\mathbf{P}(n-1) - \mathbf{k}(n)\mathbf{x}^T(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)],\end{aligned}$$

and the initialization is done as  $\mathbf{P}(-1) = \delta\mathbf{I}$  ( $\delta$  being a very small positive number).

The function  $g(\mathbf{w}(n-1))$  is given by  $-\nabla^s\{\|\mathbf{w}(n-1)\|_0\}$ , where  $\|\mathbf{w}(n-1)\|_0$  is to be replaced by the aforementioned approximation of the  $l_0$  norm. This means  $g(w_j(n-1))$  is given by  $-\frac{d}{dw_j(n-1)}[1 - \exp(-\alpha|w_j(n-1)|)]$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .

In [7], an approximation of  $g(t)$  for scalar variable 't' is used which is as follows:

For  $|t|$  large enough,  $1 - \exp(-\alpha|t|) \approx 1$ , and thus,  $g(t) = 0$ . On the other hand, for  $|t|$  small s. t.  $\alpha|t| \leq 1$ ,  $1 - \exp(-\alpha|t|) \approx \alpha|t| - \frac{\alpha^2 t^2}{2}$ , and thus  $g(t) \approx \alpha^2 t - \alpha \text{sgn}(t)$ .

Formally,  $g(t)$  is then defined as,

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha^2 t - \alpha \text{sgn}(t), & |t| \leq 1/\alpha, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that the third term in the RHS of (3) resulting from  $g(\mathbf{w}(n-1))$  is the *zero-point attraction* term and the range  $(-1/\alpha, 1/\alpha)$  is called the *attraction* range. Lastly, the notations  $\mathcal{C}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_S$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_L$  are used to indicate sets of indices for zero valued tap (i.e. exactly zero), small valued tap (i.e.  $|w_{0,i}| \leq \alpha$ ), and large valued tap (i.e.  $|w_{0,i}| > \alpha$ ) of  $\mathbf{w}_0$  respectively.

### III. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

For both first and second order convergence analysis of the  $l_0$ -RLS algorithm [7], we adopt the following assumptions (as also made in [6]):

- 1) The data sequence  $x(n)$  is a white sequence with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_x^2$  and is independent of the additive noise sequence  $v(n)$  which is also assumed to be a zero mean sequence.
- 2) The incoming sequence of vectors  $\mathbf{x}(n)$  and the filter weight vector  $\mathbf{w}(n)$  are independent. As we already know, this is the *Independence Assumption* ([10], [11]), and is widely adopted in adaptive filtering literature for simplified analysis of the adaptive filtering algorithms.
- 3) As in the popular adaptive filtering literature [10], [11], the forgetting factor  $\lambda$  is chosen *sufficiently* close to 1 so that for large  $n$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(n) \approx (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{R}^{-1}$  where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the autocorrelation matrix of the incoming data sequence, i.e.,  $\mathbf{R} = E[\mathbf{x}(n)\mathbf{x}^T(n)]$ .
- 4) At the steady state, the  $k^{\text{th}}$  tap of the adaptive filter,  $w_k(n)$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_0$  is Gaussian distributed.
- 5) It is assumed that, for large  $n$ ,  $w_k(n)$  are of the same sign as that of  $w_{0,k}$  for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L \cup \mathcal{C}_S$ .
- 6) It is also assumed that, for large  $n$ ,  $w_k(n)$  lies outside attraction range for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L$  and inside attraction range for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S \cup \mathcal{C}_0$ , almost surely.

#### A. Convergence in Mean

The first order convergence behavior of the  $l_0$ -RLS algorithm is described by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** Under the assumptions 1 - 6 above, the deviation coefficients  $\tilde{w}_k(n) := w_k(n) - w_{0,k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , asymptotically converge in mean to the following:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k(n)] = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta\alpha^2 - \sigma_x^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\text{sgn}[w_k(n)]], & k \in \mathcal{C}_0, \\ \frac{\beta}{\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2} g(w_{0,k}), & k \in \mathcal{C}_S, \\ 0, & k \in \mathcal{C}_L. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* From (3), we have,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n) = \mathbf{w}(n) - \mathbf{w}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1) + \epsilon(n)\mathbf{k}(n) + \beta\mathbf{P}(n)g(\mathbf{w}(n-1)). \quad (6)$$

Replacing  $\epsilon(n)$  by  $d(n) - \mathbf{x}^T(n)\mathbf{w}(n-1) = d(n) - \mathbf{x}^T(n)[\mathbf{w}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1)]$ , and  $d(n)$  by  $\mathbf{x}^T(n)\mathbf{w}_0 + v(n)$ , and noting from (??) that  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k}(n)\mathbf{x}^T(n) = \lambda\mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{P}^{-1}(n-1)$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n) &= \lambda\mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{P}^{-1}(n-1)\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1) \\ &+ \mathbf{k}(n)v(n) + \beta\mathbf{P}(n)g(\mathbf{w}(n-1)).\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Substituting  $\mathbf{k}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{x}(n)$  in (7) and using the assumption 3 for large  $n$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n) &= \lambda\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1) + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{x}(n)v(n) \\ &+ \beta(1 - \lambda)\mathbf{R}^{-1}g(\mathbf{w}(n-1)).\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Using assumption 1, the above can be simplified further as,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n) &= \lambda \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1) + \frac{(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} \mathbf{x}(n)v(n) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} g(\mathbf{w}(n-1)).\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Using expectation operator on both sides of the above equation, and from the orthogonality of  $x(n)$  and  $v(n)$  (assumption 1), we obtain, for large  $n$ ,

$$E[\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n)] = \lambda E[\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1)] + \frac{\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} E[g(\mathbf{w}(n-1))]. \quad (10)$$

To evaluate  $g(\mathbf{w}(n-1))$ , we analyze its  $k^{\text{th}}$  component  $g(w_k(n-1))$  for the three cases:  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ ,  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S$ , and  $k \in \mathcal{C}_0$  separately.

First consider the case for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ . From the definition of the function  $g(\cdot)$  as given by (4) and the assumption 6, it follows directly that, for large  $n$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ :  $g(w_k(n-1)) = 0$  almost surely, and thus,

$$E[g(w_k(n-1))] = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , from (10), it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k(n)] = 0$ , for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ .

Next, for evaluating  $g(w_k(n-1))$  for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S$ , we invoke the assumptions 5 and 6. From the definition in (4), and following the approach in [6], it is easy to see that for large  $n$  and  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S$ , the following is satisfied almost surely:

$$\begin{aligned}g(w_k(n-1)) &= \alpha^2 w_k(n-1) - \alpha \text{sgn}(w_k(n-1)) = \alpha^2 w_k(n-1) - \alpha \text{sgn}(w_{0,k}) \\ &= \alpha^2 \tilde{w}_k(n-1) + \alpha^2 w_{0,k} - \alpha \text{sgn}(w_{0,k}) = \alpha^2 \tilde{w}_k(n-1) + g(w_{0,k}).\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Substituting in (10), and simplifying, we then obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k(n)] = \frac{\beta}{\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2} g(w_{0,k}), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathcal{C}_S. \quad (13)$$

Finally, we consider the case  $k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

Using the definition of  $g(\cdot)$  in (4), and recalling the fact that, in this case,  $\tilde{w}_k(n) = w_k(n)$ , it is easy to see that for large  $n$ , the following is satisfied almost surely:

$$\begin{aligned}g(w_k(n-1)) &= \alpha^2 w_k(n-1) - \alpha \text{sgn}(w_k(n-1)), \\ &= \alpha^2 \tilde{w}_k(n-1) - \alpha \text{sgn}(w_k(n-1)), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathcal{C}_0.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

Substituting in (10) and simplifying, we can obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k(n)] = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta\alpha^2 - \sigma_x^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\text{sgn}[w_k(n)]], \quad k \in \mathcal{C}_0. \quad (15)$$

■

#### IV. STEADY STATE MEAN SQUARE PERFORMANCE OF THE $l_0$ -RLS

**Theorem 2** Under assumptions 1-6 above, the steady state mean square deviation of the  $l_0$ -RLS adaptive filter is given by

$$\begin{aligned}D(\infty) &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n)||^2] \\ &= D_L(\infty) + D_S(\infty) + D_0(\infty),\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

where

$$D_L(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}_L} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_L|(1-\lambda)\sigma_v^2}{(1+\lambda)\sigma_x^2}, \quad (17)$$

$$D_S(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}_S} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_S|(1-\lambda)^2\sigma_v^2}{(1-\lambda'^2)\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\beta'G_s}{(1-\lambda'^2)}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\lambda' = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \frac{2\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)\alpha^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2\alpha^4}{\sigma_x^4}}$ ,  $\beta' = \frac{2\beta^2\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2(\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2)} + \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2}{\sigma_x^4} + \frac{2\beta^3(1-\lambda)^2\alpha^2}{\sigma_x^4(\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2)}$ , and  $G_s = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_S} g^2(w_{0,i})$ ,

and,

$$D_0(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}_0} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = -|\mathcal{C}_0|(b_\omega \omega + c_\omega), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \omega &= (-b_\omega + \sqrt{b_\omega^2 - 4c_\omega})/2, \\ b_\omega &= \frac{4\alpha\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1-\lambda'^2)\sigma_x^2} \left( \lambda + \frac{\alpha^2\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} \right), \\ c_\omega &= -\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{1-\lambda'^2} \left( \alpha^2 \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma_x^4} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_x^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We begin by investigating the evolution of the autocorrelation matrix of the filter weight deviation vector. From (9), discarding the terms involving  $v(n)$  which is orthogonal to rest of the variables, we obtain

$$E[\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T(n)] = \mathbf{M}_1 + (\mathbf{M}_2 + \mathbf{M}_2^T) + \mathbf{M}_3 + \mathbf{M}_4, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_1 = \lambda^2 E[\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1)\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T(n-1)], \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \frac{\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} E[\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(n-1)g(\mathbf{w}^T(n-1))], \quad (22)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_3 = \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2}{\sigma_x^4} E[g(\mathbf{w}(n-1))g(\mathbf{w}^T(n-1))], \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_4 = \frac{(1-\lambda)^2\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_x^4} E[\mathbf{x}(n)\mathbf{x}^T(n)]. \quad (24)$$

Taking the  $k^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element of the weight deviation autocorrelation matrix, we obtain the corresponding evolution equation:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] &= \lambda^2 E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n-1)] + \frac{2\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1) \\ &+ g(w_k(n-1))] + \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2}{\sigma_x^4} E[g^2(w_k(n-1))] \\ &+ \frac{(1-\lambda)^2\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

To evaluate  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)]$  recursively using (25), we need to evaluate the terms  $E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)g(w_k(n-1))]$  and  $E[g^2(w_k(n-1))]$ , for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . First consider the case of  $k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ . From assumptions 6 and for large  $n$ , as seen earlier,  $g(w_k(n)) = 0$  ( $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_L$ ) almost surely.

This means that for large  $n$ ,  $E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)g(w_k(n-1))] = 0$  and  $E[g^2(w_k(n-1))] = 0$ . Substituting in (25) and noting that  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , (25) gives rise to the following stable, steady state solution:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = \frac{(1-\lambda)\sigma_v^2}{(1+\lambda)\sigma_x^2}. \quad (26)$$

From this,  $D_L(\infty)$  as given by (17) follows trivially.

Next we consider  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S$ . From (12), one can write,

$$E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)g(w_k(n-1))] = \alpha^2 E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n-1)] + E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)]g(w_{0,k}), \quad (27)$$

and

$$E[g^2(w_k(n-1))] = \alpha^4 E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n-1)] + g^2(w_{0,k}) + 2\alpha^2 g(w_{0,k})E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)]. \quad (28)$$

Substituting in (25), we have, for  $k \in \mathcal{C}_S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] &= \lambda^2 E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n-1)] + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_x^2} \\ &+ \left( \frac{2\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} + 2\frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2\alpha^2}{\sigma_x^4} \right) g(w_{0,k})E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)] \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2}{\sigma_x^4} g^2(w_{0,k}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $\lambda' = +\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \frac{2\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)\alpha^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2\alpha^4}{\sigma_x^4}}$ .

For large  $n$ , from (5),  $E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)]$  can be replaced by its steady state value  $\frac{\beta}{\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2}g(w_0, k)$ .

The stability of (29) will then require  $\lambda'$  to be less than 1. Since  $\beta = \gamma(1-\lambda)$  where  $\gamma$  is very very small ( $\approx 10^{-4}$ ) while  $\lambda \approx 1$ , and  $\alpha$  is typically in the range of 50 – 60, for practical signals with  $\sigma_x^2 \approx 1$ , it is easy to see that  $\lambda'^2 \approx \lambda^2$  and since  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , (29) corresponds to a stable system, with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = \frac{(1-\lambda)^2\sigma_v^2}{(1-\lambda'^2)\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\beta'g^2(w_{0,k})}{(1-\lambda'^2)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{C}_S, \quad (30)$$

where  $\beta' = \frac{2\beta^2\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2(\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2)} + \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)^2}{\sigma_x^4} + 2\frac{\beta^3(1-\lambda)^2\alpha^2}{\sigma_x^4(\sigma_x^2 - \beta\alpha^2)}$ .

From (30),  $D_S(\infty)$  as given by (18), follows directly.

Lastly we consider the case of  $k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , for which we have  $\tilde{w}_k(n-1) = w_k(n-1)$ . Since  $\beta$  is very very small and thus  $\beta\alpha^2 \ll \sigma_x^2$ , from (5), it is safe to assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k(n)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[w_k(n)] \approx 0$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

Also, as per assumption 4,  $w_k(n) \forall k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , is Gaussian distributed in the steady state. One can then apply *Price's theorem* [12] and (14) to write the following:

$$E[\tilde{w}_k(n-1)g(w_k(n-1))] = \alpha^2 E[w_k^2(n-1)] - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha \sqrt{E[w_k^2(n-1)]}, \quad (31)$$

and

$$E[g^2(w_k(n-1))] = \alpha^4 E[w_k^2(n-1)] + \alpha^2 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^3 \sqrt{E[w_k^2(n-1)]}. \quad (32)$$

Substituting in (25), and using the notation  $\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{E[w_k^2(n)]}$ , we then obtain,

$$\omega^2 + b_\omega\omega + c_\omega = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$b_\omega = \frac{4\alpha\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1-\lambda'^2)\sigma_x^2} \left( \lambda + \frac{\alpha^2\beta(1-\lambda)}{\sigma_x^2} \right),$$

$$c_\omega = -\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{1-\lambda'^2} \left( \alpha^2 \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma_x^4} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_x^2} \right).$$

The roots of (33) are given by  $\omega = \frac{-b_\omega \pm \sqrt{b_\omega^2 - 4c_\omega}}{2}$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

Under stability assumption,  $0 < \lambda' < 1$  and thus  $b_\omega > 0$ ,  $c_\omega < 0$ . Eq.(33) then has only one positive root given by  $\omega = \frac{-b_\omega + \sqrt{b_\omega^2 - 4c_\omega}}{2}$ , which provides the steady state value of  $+\sqrt{E[w_k^2(n)]}$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

For the above choice of  $\omega$ , from (33), one can also write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{w}_k^2(n)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[w_k^2(n)] = \omega^2 = -b_\omega\omega - c_\omega$ . From this,  $D_0(\infty)$  as given by (19) follows trivially. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Hansler, "The hands-free telephone problem- an annotated bibliography", *Signal Processing*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 259-271, Jun. 1992.
- [2] C. Paleologu, J. Benesty, and S. Ciochina, *Sparse Adaptive Filters for Echo Cancellation*, Morgan and Claypool Publishers, June 2010.
- [3] Y. Chen, Y. Gu, and A. O. Hero, "Sparse LMS for System Identification", *Proc. IEEE ICASSP-2009*, April 2009, Taipei, Taiwan.
- [4] Y. Chen, Y. Gu, and A. O. Hero, "Regularized least-mean-square algorithms," *Arxiv preprint arXiv:1012.5066v2[stat.ME]*, Dec. 2010.
- [5] Y. Gu, J. Jin, and S. Mei, " $l_0$  norm constraint LMS algorithm for Sparse System Identification", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 16, No. 9, pp. 774-777, Sept. 2009.
- [6] G. Su, J. Jin, Y. Gu, and J. Wang, "Performance analysis of  $l_0$  norm constraint least mean square algorithm", *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, vol. 60, No. 5, pp. 2223-2235, Sept. 2012.
- [7] E. M. Eksioglu and A. K. Tanc, "RLS algorithm with convex regularization", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 470-473, Aug. 2011.
- [8] D. Angelosante, J. A. Bazerque, and G. B. Giannakis, "Online adaptive estimation of sparse signals: where RLS meets the  $l_1$ -norm", *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, vol. 58, No. 7, pp. 3436-3447, July 2010.
- [9] B. Babadi, N. Kalouptsidis, and V. Tarokh, "SPARLS: the sparse RLS algorithm", *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, vol. 58, No. 8, pp. 4013-4025, Aug. 2010.
- [10] S. Haykin, *Adaptive Filter Theory*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1986.
- [11] A. H. Sayed, *Fundamentals of Adaptive Filtering*, New York, Wiley, 2003.
- [12] A. Papoulis and S. U. Pillai, *Probability, Random Variables and Stochastic Processes*, McGraw Hills.