

# SAMPLE PATH PROPERTIES OF REFLECTED GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

KAMIL MARCIN KOSIŃSKI AND PENG LIU

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a stationary queueing process  $Q_X$  fed by a centered Gaussian process  $X$  with stationary increments and variance function satisfying classical regularity conditions. A criterion when, for a given function  $f$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(Q_X(t) > f(t) \text{ i.o.})$  equals 0 or 1 is provided. Furthermore, an Erdős–Révész type law of the iterated logarithm is proven for the last passage time  $\xi(t) = \sup\{s : 0 \leq s \leq t, Q_X(s) \geq f(s)\}$ . Both of these findings extend previously known results that were only available for the case when  $X$  is a fractional Brownian motion.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $X = \{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and almost surely continuous sample paths. Given  $c > 0$ , consider a *reflected* (at 0) Gaussian process  $Q_X = \{Q_X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  given by the following formula

$$(1) \quad Q_X(t) = X(t) - ct + \max\left(Q_X(0), -\inf_{s \in [0, t]} (X(s) - cs)\right).$$

It is well known in queueing and risk theory, e.g., [20], that the unique stationary solution of (1) has the following representation

$$Q_X(t) = \sup_{-\infty < s \leq t} (X(t) - X(s) - c(t - s)).$$

Due to numerous application,  $Q_X$  has been studied in the literature under different levels of generality, e.g., [2, 3, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16].

Let  $f$  be any positive nondecreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Kolmogorov’s zero-one law implies that the process  $Q_X$  crosses the function  $f$  infinitely many times with probability 0 or 1. Assume that  $\mathbb{P}(Q_X(t) > f(t) \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  and define  $\xi_f = \{\xi_f(t) : t \geq 0\}$  as the last crossing time before time  $t$ , that is,

$$\xi_f(t) = \sup\{s : 0 \leq s \leq t, Q_X(s) \geq f(s)\}.$$

By the assumption on  $f$  it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi_f(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_f(t) - t) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The purpose of this paper is to provide a tractable criterion to verify the zero-one law as well as to give the asymptotic lower bound on  $\xi_f(t) - t$ . Erdős and Révész [10] investigated the lower bound in the case when  $Q_X$  is substituted by Brownian motion  $W$  and  $f(t) = \sqrt{2t \log_2 t}$  with  $\log_2 t = \log \log t$ . Subsequently similar results are known as Erdős–Révész type law of the iterated logarithm.

In the reminder of the paper we impose the following assumptions on variance function  $\sigma^2$  of  $X$ :

**AI:**  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^2(t)/t^{2\alpha_\infty} = A_\infty$ , for some  $A_\infty > 0$ ,  $\alpha_\infty \in (0, 1)$ . Further,  $\sigma^2$  is positive and twice continuously differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$  with its first derivative  $\dot{\sigma}^2$  and second derivative  $\ddot{\sigma}^2$  being ultimately monotone at  $\infty$ .

**AII:**  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma^2(t)/t^{2\alpha_0} = A_0$ , for some  $A_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$ .

Assumptions **AI–AII** allow us to cover models that play important role in Gaussian storage models, including both aggregations of *fractional Brownian motions* and *integrated stationary Gaussian processes*; see, e.g., [2, 3, 12, 16]. In further analysis we tacitly assume that the variance function  $\sigma^2$  of  $X$  satisfies both **AI** and **AII**. Our first contribution is the following criterion; see, e.g., [19, 23] for similar results in the classical setting of *non-reflected* stationary Gaussian process.

---

*Date:* November 27, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60F15, 60G70; Secondary: 60G22.

*Key words and phrases.* Extremes of Gaussian fields, storage processes, Gaussian processes, law of the iterated logarithm.

**Theorem 1.** For all positive and nondecreasing functions  $f$  on some interval  $[T, \infty)$ ,  $T > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_X(t) > f(t) \text{ i.o.}) = 0 \text{ or } 1,$$

according as the integral

$$\int_T^\infty \frac{\psi(f(u))}{f(u)} du \text{ is finite or infinite,}$$

where

$$\psi(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, u]} Q_X(t) > u\right).$$

With  $\overleftarrow{m}$  being the generalized inverse of

$$m(u) = \inf_{t \geq 0} \frac{u(1+ct)}{\sigma(ut)},$$

define function  $f_p$  by

$$(2) \quad f_p(t) = \overleftarrow{m}\left(\sqrt{2\left(\log t + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)} - p\right)\log_2 t\right)}\right), \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}{\alpha_\infty} & \alpha_\infty \geq 1/2 \\ \frac{2(1+\alpha_0-2\alpha_\infty)}{\alpha_0} & \alpha_\infty < 1/2 \end{cases},$$

and a positive constant  $\mathcal{C}$  as

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}})^2 \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \zeta_{\alpha_\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2A_\infty}}{A}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{1-\alpha_\infty}},$$

where the remaining constants are defined in [Section 3](#). Since the exact asymptotics of  $\psi(u)$ , as  $u$  grows large, were found in [\[8\]](#), c.f., [Lemma 1](#), it follows that

$$(3) \quad \frac{\psi(f_p(u))}{f_p(u)} = \mathcal{C}(u \log^{1-p} u)^{-1}(1+o(u)), \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by [Theorem 1](#),  $\mathbb{P}(Q_{B_H}(t) > f_p(t) \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  provided that  $p \geq 0$ , which leads to the following conclusion after deriving the exact asymptotics of  $f_p$ .

**Corollary 1.**

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_X(t)}{(\log t)^{\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}}} = \left(\frac{2A_\infty}{A^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}} \text{ a.s.}$$

Our second contribution is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** If  $p > 1$ , then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_{f_p}(t) - t}{h_p(t)} = -1 \text{ a.s.}$$

If  $p \in (0, 1]$ , then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_{f_p}(t)/t)}{h_p(t)/t} = -1 \text{ a.s.,}$$

where

$$h_p(t) = p \frac{f_p(t)}{\psi(f_p(t))} \log_2 t.$$

[Theorem 2](#) shows that for  $t$  big enough, there exists an  $s$  in  $[t - h_p(t), t]$  such that  $Q_X(s) \geq f_p(s)$  and that the length of the interval  $h_p(t)$  is smallest possible. [Theorem 1](#) and [Theorem 2](#) generalize the main results of [\[7\]](#), which considered the special case when  $X \equiv B_H$  is a fractional Brownian motion with any Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ ; see also [\[6, 21\]](#) for similar results for non-reflected Gaussian processes and Gaussian order statistics. The organization of the rest of paper is as follows. The notation and examples of Gaussian processes  $X$  that fall under our framework are displayed in [Section 2](#) followed by properties of the storage process  $Q_X$  in [Section 3](#). [Section 4](#) gives two useful tools and some auxiliary lemmas for the proof of the main results which are presented in [Section 5](#).

## 2. NOTATION AND SPECIAL CASES

We write  $f(u) \sim g(u)$  if  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u)/g(u) = 1$ . By  $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$  we denote the generalized inverse function to  $\sigma$ ,  $\Psi$  denotes the tail distribution function of the standard Normal random variable. Function  $f$  is ultimately monotone if there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $f$  is monotone over  $(M, \infty)$ . For a centered continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments  $V = \{V(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , such that  $V(0) = 0$ ,

$$(4) \quad \text{Cov}(V(t), V(s)) = \frac{\sigma_V^2(t) + \sigma_V^2(s) - \sigma_V^2(t-s)}{2},$$

we introduce *the generalized Pickands' constant* on a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$\mathcal{H}_V(E) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \sup_{t \in E} \left( \sqrt{2}V(t) - \sigma_V^2(t) \right) \right).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_V = \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_V([0, S])}{S}.$$

We refer to [5] for the finiteness of  $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$  and to [4, 9] for the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_V \in (0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, see [2, 3] for the analysis of other properties of Pickands'-type constants.

**Special cases.** *Fractional Brownian motion.* Let  $B_H = \{B_H(t) : t \geq 0\}$  denote fBm with Hurst index  $H \in (0, 1]$  which is a centered Gaussian processes with continuous sample paths and covariance function satisfying

$$\text{Cov}(B_H(t), B_H(s)) = \frac{|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}}{2}, \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

Direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(t) &= |t|^{2H}, \quad m(u) = Au^{1-H}, \quad A = \left( \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \right)^{-H} \frac{1}{1-H}, \quad B = \left( \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \right)^{-H-2} H, \\ \overleftarrow{m}(u) &= A^{-\frac{1}{1-H}} u^{\frac{1}{1-H}}, \quad f_p(u) = \left( \frac{2}{A^2} \left( \log u + \left( \frac{2-3H}{2H(1-H)} - p \right) \log_2 u \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-H)}}, \\ h_p(u) &= p \mathcal{C}^{-1} u \log^{1-p} u \log_2 u, \quad \mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{H}_{B_H})^2 \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H}}{1+c\tau^*} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{A} \right)^{\frac{2-3H}{H(1-H)}}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tau^* = \frac{H}{c(1-H)}$ . This coincides with [7, Theorem 1 and 2].

*Short-range dependent Gaussian integrated processes.* Let  $X(t) = \int_0^t Y(s) ds$  where  $Y$  is a centered stationary Gaussian process with unit variance and correlation function  $r(t) = \text{Cov}(Y(s+t), Y(s)), s \geq 0, t \geq 0$ . We say that  $X$  possesses short-range dependence property if:

- S1:**  $r$  is a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tr(t) = 0$ ;
- S2:**  $r$  is decreasing over  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\int_0^\infty r(t) dt = \frac{1}{G}$  for some  $0 < G < \infty$ ;
- S3:**  $\int_0^\infty s^2 |r(s)| ds < \infty$ .

The above assumptions go line by line the same as the assumptions in [3] except a little modification. **S1-S3** cover wide range of stationary Gaussian processes such as the process with correlation function

$$r(t) = e^{-|t|^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2].$$

In particular if  $r(t) = e^{-|t|}$ ,  $X$  is the so-called Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Apparently, if **S1-S3** are satisfied, then

$$\sigma^2(t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^s r(v) dv ds$$

satisfies **AI-AII**. Note that

$$\sigma^2(t) \sim t^2, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \quad \sigma^2(t) \sim \frac{2}{G}t, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

[3, Proposition 6.1] shows that

$$m^2(u) = 2Gu + 2G^2G_1 + o(1), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

with  $G_1 = \int_0^\infty tr(t) dt$ . This indicates that  $m(u)$  can be replaced by  $\widehat{m}(u) = \sqrt{2Gu + 2G^2G_1}$  in Lemma 1 and Theorem 2. Under this replacement, we have that

$$\overleftarrow{m}(u) = \frac{u^2}{2G} - GG_1, \quad f_p(t) = \frac{1}{G} (\log t + (1-p) \log_2 t) - GG_1$$

and

$$h_p(u) = p\mathcal{C}^{-1}u \log^{1-p} u \log_2 u, \quad \mathcal{C} = \frac{2(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{1/2}})^2 \left(\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{cG}\right)\right)^{-2}}{A^{3/2}\sqrt{BG}},$$

with  $\eta_{1/2} = \frac{cG}{\sqrt{2}}X\left(\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{cG}\right)t\right)$ ,  $A = 2c^{1/2}$ , and  $B = \frac{1}{2}c^{5/2}$ .

### 3. PROPERTIES OF THE STORAGE PROCESS

Before we present our auxiliary results, we need to introduce some notation and state some properties of the supremum of the process  $Q_X$  as derived in [13, 18]. We begin with the relation

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} Q_X(t) > u\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\substack{s \in [0, T/u] \\ \tau \geq 0}} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u)\right), \quad \text{for any } T > 0,$$

where

$$Z_u(s, \tau) = \frac{X(u(\tau + s)) - X(us)}{u(1 + c\tau)} m(u).$$

Note that  $Z_u(s, \tau)$  is a Gaussian field, stationary in  $s$ , but not in  $\tau$ . The variance  $\sigma_u^2(\tau)$  of  $Z_u(s, \tau)$  equals  $\frac{\sigma^2(u\tau)}{(u(1+c\tau))^2} m^2(u)$  and  $\sigma_u(\tau)$  has a single maximum point at  $\tau(u)$  for  $u$  sufficiently large with  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tau(u) = \tau^*$ , where

$$(6) \quad \tau^* = \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}.$$

Taylor's formula shows that, for each  $u > 0$  sufficiently large,

$$\sigma_u(\tau) = \sigma_u(\tau(u)) + \dot{\sigma}_u(\tau(u))(\tau - \tau(u)) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma}_u(\xi)(\tau - \tau(u))^2$$

with  $\xi \in (\tau, \tau(u))$ . Noting that  $\sigma_u(\tau(u)) = 1$ , for  $u$  sufficiently large,  $\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau(u)) = 0$  and for  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \delta_u = 0$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\tau - \tau(u)| < \delta_u} \left| \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma}_u(\xi) - \frac{B}{2A} \right| = 0,$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \neq \tau(u), |\tau - \tau(u)| < \delta_u} \left| \frac{1 - \sigma_u(\tau)}{\frac{B}{2A}(\tau - \tau(u))^2} - 1 \right| = 0$$

with  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \delta_u = 0$ , where

$$(8) \quad A = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-\alpha_\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_\infty}, \quad B = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-\alpha_\infty - 2} \alpha_\infty.$$

Let  $r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau')$  be the correlation function of  $Z_u(s, \tau)$  and  $Z_{u'}(s', \tau')$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') &= \frac{-\sigma^2(|us - u's' + u\tau - u'\tau'|) + \sigma^2(|us - u's' + u\tau|) + \sigma^2(|us - u's' - u'\tau'|) - \sigma^2(|us - u's'|)}{2\sigma(u\tau)\sigma(u'\tau')}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$r_u(s, \tau, s', \tau') = r_{u,u}(s, \tau, s', \tau').$$

Then Lemma 5.4 in [8] gives that with  $\delta_u > 0$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \delta_u = 0$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(s, \tau) \neq (s', \tau'), |\tau - \tau(u)|, |\tau' - \tau(u)|, |s - s'| \leq \delta_u} \left| \frac{1 - r_u(s, \tau, s', \tau')}{\frac{\sigma^2(u|s - s' + \tau - \tau'|) + \sigma^2(u|s - s'|)}{2\sigma^2(u\tau^*)}} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Now assume that

$$(10) \quad \frac{u\tau + u'\tau'}{|us - u's'|} < \frac{1}{2},$$

and without loss of generality,  $us > u's'$ . Then Taylor's formula gives that

$$r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') = \frac{-\ddot{\sigma}^2(|us - u's' + v_1 - v_2|)u\tau u'\tau'}{2\sigma(u\tau)\sigma(u'\tau')},$$

with  $v_1 \in (0, u\tau), v_2 \in (0, u'\tau')$ . Noting that by (10)

$$|us - u's' + v_1 - v_2| \geq u\tau + u'\tau,$$

in light of [1, Theorem 1.7.2] and by **AI-AII** we have

$$\frac{|us - u's' + v_1 - v_2|^2 \ddot{\sigma}^2(|us - u's' + v_1 - v_2|)}{\sigma^2(|us - u's' + v_1 - v_2|)} \rightarrow 2\alpha_\infty(2\alpha_\infty - 1), \text{ as } u\tau, u'\tau' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$(11) \quad r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') \sim -\alpha_\infty(2\alpha_\infty - 1) \left| \frac{\sqrt{u\tau u'\tau'}}{us - u's' + v_1 - v_2} \right|^{2\lambda}, \text{ as } u\tau, u'\tau' \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\lambda = 1 - \alpha_\infty > 0$ . This implies that for any  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  if

$$\frac{u\tau + u'\tau'}{|us - u's'|} < \epsilon,$$

then, for  $u\tau$  and  $u'\tau'$  both sufficiently large,

$$(12) \quad |r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau')| \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)^{2(\alpha_\infty - 1)} \left| \frac{\sqrt{u\tau u'\tau'}}{us - u's'} \right|^{2\lambda}.$$

Next we focus on the case when  $u \sim u'$ ,  $|s - s'| \leq M$  and  $|\tau - \tau_0|, |\tau' - \tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')$  with  $\tau^*$  defined in (6) and  $\lim_{u, u' \rightarrow \infty} \delta(u, u') = 0$ . In light of **AI** and **AII**, noting that  $\sigma^2$  is bounded over any compact interval, using uniform convergence theorem in [1] we have that, for  $u \sim u'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{u, u' \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|s-s'| \leq M, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} \left| \frac{\sigma^2(|us - u's' + u\tau|) + \sigma^2(|us - u's' - u'\tau'|)}{\sigma^2(u)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - |s - s' + \tau^*|^{2\alpha_\infty} - |s - s' - \tau^*|^{2\alpha_\infty} \right| = 0, \\ & \lim_{u, u' \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|s-s'| \leq M, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} \left| \frac{\sigma^2(|us - u's' + u\tau - u'\tau'|) + \sigma^2(|us - u's'|)}{\sigma^2(u)} - 2|s - s'|^{2\alpha_\infty} \right| = 0, \\ & \lim_{u, u' \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|s-s'| \leq M, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} \left| \frac{\sigma(u\tau)\sigma(u'\tau')}{\sigma^2(u)} - |\tau^*|^{2\alpha_\infty} \right| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $u \sim u'$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{u, u' \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|s-s'| \leq M, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} |r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') - g(s - s')| = 0,$$

with

$$g(t) = \frac{|t + \tau^*|^{2\alpha_\infty} + |t - \tau^*|^{2\alpha_\infty} - 2|t|^{2\alpha_\infty}}{2(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}.$$

Note that  $g(0) = 1$  and for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there exists  $0 < c_\delta < 1/2$  such that

$$(14) \quad \inf_{|t| < c_\delta} g(t) > \delta, \quad \sup_{|t| > \delta} g(t) < 1 - c_\delta.$$

The proof of (14) is postponed to Appendix. Following (13) and (14), we have that with  $u \sim u'$ , for  $u$  sufficiently large,

$$(15) \quad \inf_{|s-s'| < c_\delta, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') > \delta/2,$$

$$(16) \quad \sup_{|s-s'| > \delta, |\tau-\tau^*|, |\tau'-\tau^*| \leq \delta(u, u')} r_{u,u'}(s, \tau, s', \tau') < 1 - c_\delta/2 < 1.$$

**3.1. Asymptotics.** Let  $\tau^*(u) = (\log m(u))/m(u)$  and  $J(u) = \{\tau : |\tau - \tau(u)| \leq \tau^*(u)\}$ . Due to the following lemma, while analyzing tail asymptotics of the supremum of  $Z_u$ , we can restrict the considered domain of  $(s, \tau)$  to a strip  $J(u)$ .

**Lemma 1** ([8], Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 3.3). *There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $v, T > 0$ ,*

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in [0, T] \times (J(u))^c} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \leq CT \frac{u^\gamma}{m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) \exp \left( -\frac{b}{4} \log^2(m(u)) \right),$$

where  $b = B/(2A)$ . Furthermore, for any  $T > 0$  such that, there exist  $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $H' \in (-\gamma/2, 0)$ , such that  $u^{H'} < T < \exp(cm^2(u))$  for  $u$  sufficiently large,

$$(18) \quad \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in [0, T] \times J(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) = (\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}})^2 \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \zeta_{\alpha_\infty} T \frac{u^\gamma}{m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) (1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$\eta_{\alpha_\infty}(t) = \begin{cases} B_{\alpha_\infty}(t) & \alpha_\infty > 1/2 \\ \frac{1+c\tau^*}{\sqrt{2A_\infty\tau^*}} X \left( \frac{\sqrt{2A_\infty\tau^*}}{1+c\tau^*} t \right) & \alpha_\infty = 1/2 \\ B_{\alpha_0}(t) & \alpha_\infty < 1/2 \end{cases}, \quad \zeta_{\alpha_\infty} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2A_\infty}(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}{1+c\tau^*} \right)^{-2/\alpha_\infty} & \alpha_\infty > 1/2 \\ \left( \frac{\sqrt{2A_\infty}(\tau^*)}{1+c\tau^*} \right)^{-2} & \alpha_\infty = 1/2 \\ \left( \frac{\sqrt{2A_\infty}(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}{\sqrt{A_0}(1+c\tau^*)} \right)^{-2/\alpha_0} & \alpha_\infty < 1/2 \end{cases},$$

with  $\gamma$  defined in (2) and  $\tau^*$  given by (6).

**3.2. Discretization.** For a fixed  $T, \theta > 0$  and some  $u > 0$ , let us define a discretization of the set  $[0, T] \times J(u)$  as follows

$$s_l = lq(u), \quad 0 \leq l \leq L, \quad L = [T/q(u)], \quad q(u) = \theta \frac{\Delta(u)}{u}, \quad \Delta(u) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(u\tau^*)}{u(1+c\tau^*)}$$

$$\tau_n = \tau(u) + nq(u), \quad 0 \leq |n| \leq N, \quad N = [\tau^*(u)/q(u)], \quad E_{l,n}(u) = [s_l, s_{l+1}] \times [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}].$$

Along the similar lines as in [13, Lemma 6] we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *There exist positive constants  $K_1, K_2, u_0 > 0$ , such that, for any  $\theta = \theta(u) > 0$  with  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \theta(u) = 0$ ,  $u \geq u_0$  and  $\eta \in (0, \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty))$*

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N}} Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) \leq m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}, \sup_{\substack{s \in [0, T] \\ \tau \in J(u)}} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \leq K_1 \frac{u^\gamma}{m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) e^{-\frac{\theta^{-2H}}{K_2}}$$

with  $H \in (0, \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) - \eta)$ .

*Proof.* Conditioning on  $Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) = m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}$ , we have for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) \leq m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}, \sup_{(s, \tau) \in E_{l,n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \\ &= \int_{\theta^\eta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)\sigma_u(\tau_n)} e^{-\frac{(m(u)-y/m(u))^2}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in E_{l,n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \middle| Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) = m(u) - \frac{y}{m(u)} \right) dy \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} \int_{\theta^\eta}^{\infty} e^{2y} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in E_{l,n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) - m(u) > 0 \middle| Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) = m(u) - \frac{y}{m(u)} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$Z_u(s, \tau) - m(u) \middle| Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) = m(u) - \frac{y}{m(u)} \stackrel{d}{=} Y_u(s, \tau) + h(u, y),$$

holds for  $(s, \tau) \in E_{l,n}(u)$ , where

$$Y_u(s, \tau) = Z_u(s, \tau) - r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)} Z_u(s_l, \tau_n),$$

$$h(u, y) = r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)} \left( m(u) - \frac{y}{m(u)} \right) - m(u).$$

Taylor's formula gives that

$$\begin{aligned} m(u)h(u, y) &= -m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n)) - m^2(u)r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)}\right) - r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)} y \\ &\leq -m^2(u)r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \frac{\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau)(\tau_n - \tau) + (1/2)\ddot{\sigma}_u(v)(\tau - \tau_n)^2}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)} - r_u(s, \tau, s_l, \tau_n) \frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n)} y, \end{aligned}$$

with  $v \in (\tau_n, \tau)$ . Using the fact that  $\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau(u)) = 0$  and  $\sup_{\tau \in J(u)} |\ddot{\sigma}_u(\tau)| \leq \frac{2B}{A}$  for  $u$  sufficiently large, by Taylor's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^2(u)|\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau)(\tau_n - \tau)| &= m^2(u)|(\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau) - \dot{\sigma}_u(\tau(u)))(\tau_n - \tau)| \\ &= m^2(u)|\tau_n - \tau| |\ddot{\sigma}_u(v_1)(\tau(u) - \tau)| \\ &\leq \frac{2B}{A} m^2(u) q(u) \tau^*(u) \\ &= \theta \frac{2B}{A} \frac{m(u)\Delta(u)}{u} \log m(u), \end{aligned}$$

with  $v_1 \in (\tau, \tau(u))$ . Note that by **AI-AII**

$$\frac{m(u)\Delta(u)}{u} \log m(u) \sim \mathbb{Q}u^{v_2} \log u, \quad \text{with } v_2 = \begin{cases} 2 - \alpha_\infty - 1/\alpha_\infty & \alpha_\infty \geq 1/2 \\ \frac{2\alpha_\infty - 1}{\alpha_0} - \alpha_\infty & \alpha_\infty < 1/2 \end{cases}.$$

Since  $v_2 < 0$  for all  $\alpha_\infty \in (0, 1]$ , then

$$m^2(u)|\dot{\sigma}_u(\tau)(\tau_n - \tau)| = o(\theta), \quad u \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$m^2(u)|\ddot{\sigma}_u(\theta)(\tau - \tau_n)^2| \leq K \left( \frac{m(u)\Delta(u)}{u} \right)^2 \theta^2 = o(\theta^2).$$

Due to the fact that  $y \geq \theta^\eta$  with  $0 < \eta < 1$ , we have

$$h(u, y) \leq -y(1 + o(1)).$$

Consequently, for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in E_{l, n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) - m(u) > 0 \mid Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) = m(u) - \frac{y}{m(u)} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in [0, 1]^2} m(u) \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} > \frac{y}{\sup_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} (1 + o(1)) \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in [0, 1]^2} m(u) \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} > \frac{y}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By (9) for  $u$  large enough

$$\begin{aligned} m^2(u) \text{Var} \left( \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} - \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs', \tau_n + q\tau')}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau')} \right) \\ &\leq 8m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau, s_l + qs', \tau_n + q\tau')) \\ &\leq 16m^2(u) \frac{\sigma^2(uq(u)|s - s'|) + \sigma^2(uq(u)|s + \tau - s' - \tau'|)}{2\sigma^2(u\tau^*)} \\ &\leq K \frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)\theta|s - s'|) + \sigma^2(\Delta(u)\theta|s + \tau - s' - \tau'|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))} \\ &\leq K \left( \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s - s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} \theta^{2\eta'} |s - s'|^{2\eta'} + \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s + \tau - s' - \tau'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} \theta^{2\eta'} |s + \tau - s' - \tau'|^{2\eta'} \right) \\ &\leq K \left( \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s - s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} + \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s + \tau - s' - \tau'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} \right) \theta^{2\eta'} (|s - s'|^{2\eta'} + |s + \tau - s' - \tau'|^{2\eta'}), \quad s, s', \tau, \tau' \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(t) = \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{t^{2\eta'}}$  and  $\eta' \in (\eta, \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty))$ . Then it follows from **AI** and **AII** that  $h(t) > 0, t > 0$  is a regularly varying function at both 0 and  $\infty$  with indices  $2(\alpha_0 - \eta') > 0$  and  $2(\alpha_\infty - \eta') > 0$  respectively; see [1] for the definition and properties of regularly varying functions. Next we focus on the boundedness

of  $\sup_{s,s' \in [0,1]} \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s-s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))}$ . If  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(u) = \infty$ , noting that  $h$  is bounded over any compact interval, then uniform convergence theorem in [1] gives that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s,s' \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s-s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} - (\theta|s-s'|)^{2(\alpha_\infty - \eta')} \right| = 0,$$

implying that there exists  $K_1 > 0$  such that for  $u$  large enough

$$\sup_{s,s' \in [0,1]} \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s-s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))} < K_1.$$

For the case  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(u) = 0$ , uniform convergence theorem in [1] can similarly show that the above argument holds. For  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(u) \in (0, \infty)$ , it is obvious that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s,s' \in [0,1]} h(\Delta(u)\theta|s-s'|) = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} h(\Delta(u)) \in (0, \infty).$$

Thus the boundedness of  $\sup_{s,s' \in [0,1]} \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s-s'|)}{h(\Delta(u))}$  also holds. The boundedness of  $\sup_{s,s',\tau,\tau' \in [0,1]} \frac{h(\Delta(u)\theta|s+\tau-s'-\tau'|)}{h(\Delta(u))}$  can be given similarly. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m^2(u) \mathbb{V}\text{ar} \left( \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} - \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs', \tau_n + q\tau')}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau')} \right) \\ \leq K\theta^{2\eta'} (|s-s'|^{2\eta'} + |\tau-\tau'|^{2\eta'}), \quad s, s', \tau, \tau' \in [0,1], \end{aligned}$$

with  $\eta' \in (\eta, \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty))$ . Similarly

$$\sup_{s,\tau \in [0,1]} m^2(u) \mathbb{V}\text{ar} \left( \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} \right) \leq K\theta^{2\eta'}.$$

Hence in light of Piterbarg inequality ([17, Theorem 8.1] or [8, Lemma 5.1]), we have for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s,\tau) \in [0,1]^2} m(u) \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} > \frac{y}{2} \right) \\ & \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s,\tau) \in [0,1]^2} \theta^{-\eta'} m(u) \frac{Y_u(s_l + qs, \tau_n + q\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_n + q\tau)} > \frac{y}{2} \theta^{-\eta'} \right) \\ & \leq K_1 (y\theta^{-\eta'})^{2/\eta'-1} e^{-\frac{(y\theta^{-\eta'})^2}{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) \leq m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}, \sup_{(s,\tau) \in E_{l,n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} \int_{\theta^\eta}^{\infty} e^{2y} (y\theta^{-\eta'})^{2/\eta'-1} e^{-\frac{(y\theta^{-\eta'})^2}{K}} dy \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} \theta^{\eta'} \int_{\theta^{\eta-\eta'}}^{\infty} e^{2y\theta^{\eta'}} y^{2/\eta'-1} e^{-\frac{y^2}{K}} dy \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2(\eta-\eta')}}{K_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality and (7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N}} Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) \leq m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}, \sup_{(s, \tau) \in [0, T] \times J(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L, |n| \leq N} \mathbb{P} \left( Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) \leq m(u) - \frac{\theta^\eta}{m(u)}, \sup_{(s, \tau) \in E_{l, n}(u)} Z_u(s, \tau) > m(u) \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L, |n| \leq N} \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2\sigma_u^2(\tau_n)}} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2(\eta-\eta')}}{K_2}} \\
& \leq L \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u)} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2(\eta-\eta')}}{K_2}} \sum_{|n| \leq N} e^{-\frac{m^2(u)(1+B(nq)^2/(4A))}{2}} \\
& \leq K_1 \left( \frac{u}{m(u)\Delta(u)} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{m^2(u)}{2}} \theta^{-2} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2(\eta-\eta')}}{K_2}} \\
& \leq K_1 \frac{u^\gamma}{m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) e^{-\frac{\theta^{2(\eta-\eta')}}{K_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, by following the same arguments as in [8, Theorems 3.3] with the supremum functional substituted by its discrete counterpart, the maximum, we state the following result. Note that the asymptotic result below is a discrete version of (18) in Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $T, \theta > 0$ , as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N}} Z_u(s_l, \tau_n) > m(u) \right) = (\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}^\theta)^2 \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \zeta_{\alpha_\infty} T \frac{u^\gamma}{m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) (1 + o(1)),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}^\theta = \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} S^{-1} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \sup_{t \in \theta \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, S]} (\sqrt{2} \eta_{\alpha_\infty}(t) - \mathbb{V}ar(\eta_{\alpha_\infty}(t))) \right)$ .

By the monotone convergence theorem, it follows that  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}^\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ , since  $H_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}$  is a positive, finite constant and  $\eta_{\alpha_\infty}$  has almost surely continuous sample paths. Consequently, when the discretization parameter  $\theta$  decreases to zero so that the number of discretization points grows to infinity, we recover (18).

#### 4. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

We begin with some auxiliary lemmas that are later needed in the proofs. The first lemma is [14, Theorem 4.2.1].

**Lemma 4** (Berman's inequality). *Suppose  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are standard normal variables with covariance matrix  $\Lambda^1 = (\Lambda_{i,j}^1)$  and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  similarly with covariance matrix  $\Lambda^0 = (\Lambda_{i,j}^0)$ . Let  $\rho_{i,j} = \max(|\Lambda_{i,j}^1|, |\Lambda_{i,j}^0|)$  and let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be real numbers. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^n \{\xi_j \leq u_j\} \right) - \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^n \{\eta_j \leq u_j\} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\Lambda_{i,j}^1 - \Lambda_{i,j}^0)^+ (1 - \rho_{i,j}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{u_i^2 + u_j^2}{2(1 + \rho_{i,j})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is a general form of the Borel-Cantelli lemma; cf. [22].

**Lemma 5** (Borel-Cantelli lemma). *Consider a sequence of event  $\{E_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ . If*

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(E_k) < \infty,$$

then  $\mathbb{P}(E_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . Whereas, if

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(E_k) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k \neq t \leq n} \mathbb{P}(E_k E_t)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(E_k))^2} \leq 1,$$

then  $\mathbb{P}(E_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

**Lemma 6.** For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $\rho$  depending only on  $\varepsilon, \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty$  and  $p$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{S < t \leq T} \frac{Q_X(t)}{f_p(t)} \leq 1\right) \leq \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{S + f_p(S)}^T \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u)\right) du\right) + KS^{-\rho},$$

for any  $T - f_p(S) \geq S \geq K$ , with  $f_p(T)/f_p(S) \leq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  being some universal positive constant.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be some positive constant. For the remainder of the proof let  $K$  and  $\rho$  be two positive constants depending only on  $\varepsilon, \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty$  and  $p$  that may differ from line to line. For any  $k \geq 0$  put  $s_0 = S$ ,  $y_0 = f_p(s_0)$ ,  $t_0 = s_0 + y_0$ ,  $x_0 = f_p(t_0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= t_{k-1} + \varepsilon x_{k-1}, & y_k &= f_p(s_k), & t_k &= s_k + y_k, & x_k &= f_p(t_k), \\ I_k &= (s_k, t_k], & \tilde{I}_k &= \frac{I_k}{x_k} = (\tilde{s}_k, \tilde{t}_k], & |\tilde{I}_k| &= \frac{y_k}{x_k}. \end{aligned}$$

From this construction, it is easy to see that the intervals  $I_k$  are disjoint. Furthermore,  $\delta(I_k, I_{k+1}) = \varepsilon x_k$ , and  $1 - \varepsilon \leq y_k/x_k \leq 1$ , for any  $k \geq 0$  and sufficiently large  $S$ . Note that, for any  $k \geq 0$ ,  $|I_k| \geq f_p(S)$ , therefore if  $T(S, \varepsilon)$  is the smallest number of intervals  $\{I_k\}$  needed to cover  $[S, T]$ , then  $T(S, \varepsilon) \leq [(T - S)/(f_p(S)(1 + \varepsilon))]$ . Moreover, since  $f_p(T)/f_p(S)$  is bounded by the constant  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  not depending on  $S$  and  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that,  $x_k/x_t \leq \mathcal{C}$  for any  $0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)$ .

Now let us introduce a discretization of the set  $\tilde{I}_k \times J(x_k)$  as in [Section 3.2](#). That is, for some  $\theta = \frac{\Delta(S)}{S}$ , define grid points

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k,l} &= \tilde{s}_k + lq_k, & 0 \leq l \leq L_k, & & L_k &= [(1 - \varepsilon)/q_k], & q_k &= \theta \frac{\Delta(x_k)}{x_k}, \\ \tau_{k,n} &= \tau(x_k) + nq_k, & 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k, & & N_k &= \lceil \tau^*(x_k)/q_k \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_p$  is an increasing function, it easily follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{S < t \leq T} \frac{Q_X(t)}{f_p(t)} \leq 1\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{T(S,\varepsilon)} \left\{ \sup_{t \in I_k} Q_X(t) \leq x_k \right\}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{T(S,\varepsilon)} \left\{ \sup_{\substack{s \in I_k/x_k \\ \tau \in J(x_k)}} Z_{x_k}(s, \tau) \leq m(x_k) \right\}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{T(S,\varepsilon)} \left\{ \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) \leq m(x_k) \right\}\right) \\ &\leq \prod_{k=0}^{T(S,\varepsilon)} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) \leq m(x_k)\right) + \sum_{0 \leq t < k \leq T(S,\varepsilon)} C_{k,t} =: P_1 + P_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Berman's inequality with

$$C_{k,t} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq p \leq L_t}} \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N_k \\ |m| \leq N_t}} \frac{|r_{x_k, x_t}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}, s_{t,p}, \tau_{t,m})|}{\sqrt{1 - r_{x_k, x_t}^2(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}, s_{t,p}, \tau_{t,m})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2}(m^2(x_k) + m^2(x_t))}{1 + |r_{x_k, x_t}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}, s_{t,p}, \tau_{t,m})|}\right).$$

*Estimation of  $P_1$ :*

Since for any  $u$  the process  $Z_u$  is stationary in the first variable, from [Lemma 3](#) we have that, as  $S \rightarrow \infty$  (noting that  $\theta = \frac{\Delta(S)}{S} \rightarrow 0$ )

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) > m(x_k)\right) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s, \tau) \in \tilde{I}_k \times J(x_k)} Z_{x_k}(s, \tau) > m(x_k)\right)$$

uniformly with respect to  $0 \leq k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)$ . Hence for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , sufficiently large  $S$  and small  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\leq \exp \left( - \sum_{k=0}^{T(S, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) > m(x_k) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( - \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{T(S, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s, \tau) \in \tilde{I}_k \times J(x_k)} Z_{x_k}(s, \tau) > m(x_k) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (5) combined with Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\leq \exp \left( - \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{T(S, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{I}_k \\ \tau \geq 0}} Z_{x_k}(s, \tau) > m(x_k) \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( - \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{T(S, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, \frac{y_k}{x_k} f_p(t_k)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(t_k) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( - \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{T(S, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(t_k)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(t_k) \right) \frac{f_p(s_k)}{f_p(t_k)} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( - \left(1 - \varepsilon\right) \int_{S+f_p(S)}^T \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u) \right) du \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of  $P_2$ :*

For any  $0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq L_k$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_k s_{k,l} - x_t s_{t,p} &= (s_k + x_k l q_k) - (s_t + x_t p q_t) \\ &= \sum_{i=t}^{k-1} (y_i + \varepsilon x_i) + x_k l q_k - x_t p q_t \geq \sum_{i=t}^{k-1} (y_i + \varepsilon x_i) - y_t \\ &\geq \sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\lambda = 1 - \alpha_\infty$ . Hence we can find  $s_0 > 2$  such that for  $S$  sufficiently large,  $k - t \geq 2s_0$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq L_k$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_t$ ,  $|n| \leq N_k$  and  $|m| \leq N_t$

$$\frac{x_k \tau_{k,n} + x_t \tau_{t,m}}{|x_k s_{k,l} - x_t s_{t,p}|} \leq \frac{x_k (\tau_{k,n} + \tau_{t,m})}{\sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i} < 1/3,$$

which applied to (12) indicates that for  $k - t \geq s_0$  and  $S$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,t}^* &:= \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k, 0 \leq p \leq L_t \\ |n| \leq N_k, |m| \leq N_t}} |r_{x_k, x_t}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}, s_{t,p}, \tau_{t,m})| \\ &\leq 3^{2(1-\alpha_\infty)} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k, 0 \leq p \leq L_t \\ |n| \leq N_k, |m| \leq N_t}} \left| \frac{\sqrt{x_k \tau_{k,n} x_t \tau_{t,m}}}{\sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i} \right|^{2\lambda} \\ &\leq 3^{2(1-\alpha_\infty)} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k, 0 \leq p \leq L_t \\ |n| \leq N_k, |m| \leq N_t}} \left| \frac{x_k \tau_{k,n}}{\sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i} \right|^\lambda \left| \frac{x_t \tau_{t,m}}{\sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i} \right|^\lambda \\ (19) \quad &\leq K \left| \frac{x_t}{\sum_{i=t+1}^{k-1} y_i} \right|^\lambda \leq K(k-t)^{-\lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq k - t \leq s_0$ , it follows that  $x_k \sim x_t$ ,  $\tau_{k,l} \rightarrow \tau^*$  and  $\tau_{t,p} \rightarrow \tau^*$  as  $S \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $s_{k,l} - s_{t,p} \geq \varepsilon x_t / x_k > \varepsilon / 2$  for  $S$  sufficiently large. Therefore, by (16) there exists a positive constant  $\zeta \in (0, 1)$  depending only

on  $\varepsilon$  such that for  $S$  sufficiently large

$$(20) \quad \sup_{1 \leq k-t \leq s_0} r_{k,t}^* = \sup_{1 \leq k-t \leq s_0} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k, 0 \leq p \leq L_t \\ |n| \leq N_k, |m| \leq N_t}} |r_{x_k, x_t}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}, s_{t,p}, \tau_{t,m})| \leq \zeta < 1.$$

Finally, note that; c.f., (2),

$$N_k \leq L_k \leq \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)x_k}{\theta \Delta(x_k)} \leq K x_k^{2\gamma} \leq K (\log t_k)^{\frac{\gamma}{(1-\alpha_\infty)}},$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{m^2(x_k)}{2}\right) = \frac{(\log t_k)^{p - \frac{\gamma-1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}}}{t_k},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}} \sum_{0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)} L_k L_t N_k N_t r_{k,t}^* \exp\left(-\frac{m^2(x_k) + m^2(x_t)}{2(1+r_{k,t}^*)}\right) \\ &\leq K \left( \sum_{\substack{0 < k-t \leq s_0 \\ 0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)}} + \sum_{\substack{k-t > s_0 \\ 0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)}} \right) (\cdot) \\ &\leq K \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k^{8\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{m^2(x_k)}{1+\zeta}\right) + \sum_{\substack{k-t > s_0 \\ 0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)}} (x_k x_t)^{4\gamma} (k-t)^{-\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{m^2(x_k) + m^2(x_t)}{2(1+\frac{\lambda}{4})}\right) \right) \\ &\leq K \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k^{-\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{\zeta}}} + \sum_{\substack{k-t > s_0 \\ 0 \leq t < k \leq T(S, \varepsilon)}} t_k^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}} t_t^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}} (k-t)^{-\lambda} \right) \\ &\leq K \left( \sum_{k=[S]}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{\zeta}}} + \sum_{[S] \leq t < k \leq \infty} k^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}} t^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}} (k-t)^{-\lambda} \right) \\ &\leq K S^{-\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from basic algebra.  $\square$

Let  $S > 0$  be any fixed number,  $a_0 = S$ ,  $y_0 = f_p(a_0)$  and  $b_0 = a_0 + y_0$ . For  $i > 0$ , define

$$(21) \quad a_i = b_{i-1}, \quad y_i = f_p(a_i), \quad b_i = a_i + y_i, \quad M_i = (a_i, b_i], \quad \tilde{M}_i = \frac{M_i}{y_i} = (\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i].$$

From this construction, it is easy to see that the intervals  $M_i$  are disjoint,  $\cup_{j=0}^i M_j = (S, b_i]$ , and  $|\tilde{M}_i| = 1$ .

Now let us introduce a discretization of the set  $\tilde{M}_i \times J(y_i)$  as in Section 3.2. That is, for  $\theta = \frac{\Delta(S)}{S}$ , define grid points

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{i,l} &= \tilde{a}_i + l q_i, \quad 0 \leq l \leq L_i, \quad L_i = \lfloor 1/q_i \rfloor, \quad q_i = \theta \frac{\Delta(y_i)}{y_i}, \\ \tau_{i,n} &= \tau(y_i) + n q_i, \quad 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i, \quad N_i = \lfloor \tau^*(y_i)/q_i \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

With the above notation, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists positive constants  $K$  and  $\rho$  depending only on  $\varepsilon, \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty$  and  $p$  such that, with  $\theta_i = (m(y_i))^{-4/\hat{\alpha}}$ , where  $\hat{\alpha} = \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{i=0}^{\lfloor (T-S)/f_p(S) \rfloor} \left\{ \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i}} Z_{y_i}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}) \leq m(y_i) - \frac{\theta_i^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i)} \right\} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -(1+\varepsilon) \int_S^T \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u) \right) du \right) - K S^{-\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $T - f_p(S) \geq S \geq K$ , with  $f_p(T)/f_p(S) \leq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  being some universal positive constant.

*Proof.* Put

$$\hat{m}(y_i) = m(y_i) - \frac{\theta_i^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i)}, \quad I = [(T - S)/f_p(S)].$$

Similarly as in the proof of [Lemma 6](#) we find that Berman's inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{i=0}^I \left\{ \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i}} Z_{y_i}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}) \leq m(y_i) - \frac{\theta_i^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i)} \right\} \right) \\ & \geq \prod_{i=0}^I \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i}} Z_{y_i}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}) \leq m(y_i) - \frac{\theta_i^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i)} \right) - \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq I} D_{i,j} =: P'_1 + P'_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq p \leq L_j}} \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N_i \\ |m| \leq N_j}} \frac{(\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m}))^+}{\sqrt{1 - \tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}^2(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m})}} \exp \left( -\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\hat{m}^2(y_i) + \hat{m}^2(y_j))}{1 + |\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m})|} \right),$$

with

$$\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m}) = -r_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m}).$$

*Estimation of  $P'_1$ :*

By [Lemma 1](#) the correction term  $\theta_i^{\hat{\alpha}/2}/m(y_i)$  does not change the order of asymptotics of the tail of  $Z_{y_i}$ . Furthermore, the tail asymptotics of the supremum on the strip  $(s, \tau) \in \tilde{M}_i \times J(y_i)$  are of the same order if  $\tau \geq 0$ . Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P'_1 & \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -\sum_{i=0}^I \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i}} Z_{y_i}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}) > \hat{m}(y_i) \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -\sum_{i=0}^I \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{M}_i \\ \tau \in J(y_i)}} Z_{y_i}(s, \tau) > m(y_i) - \frac{\theta_i^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i)} \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -(1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=0}^I \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{M}_i \\ \tau \geq 0}} Z_{y_i}(s, \tau) > m(y_i) \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -(1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=0}^I \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(a_i)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(a_i) \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -(1 + \varepsilon) \int_S^T \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u) \right) du \right), \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $S$  is sufficiently large along the same lines as the estimation of  $P_1$  in [Lemma 6](#).

*Estimation of  $P'_2$ :*

Clearly, for  $j \geq i + 2$ , and any  $0 \leq l \leq L_i$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_j$ ; c.f. (21),

$$y_j s_{j,p} - y_i s_{i,l} = a_j + y_j p q_j - (a_i + y_i l q_i) \geq \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} y_k.$$

Hence there exists  $s_0 \geq 2$  such that for  $j - i \geq s_0$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq L_i$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_j$ ,  $|n| \leq N_i$ ,  $|m| \leq N_j$  and  $S$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{y_j \tau_{j,m} + y_i \tau_{i,n}}{|y_j s_{j,p} - y_i s_{i,l}|} \leq \frac{y_j (\tau_{j,m} + \tau_{i,n})}{\sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} y_k} \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Analogously as the derivation of (19), by (12) for  $j - i \geq s_0$  and  $S$  sufficiently large

$$r_{i,j}^* := \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i, 0 \leq p \leq L_j \\ |n| \leq N_i, |m| \leq N_j}} |\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m})| \leq K(k-t)^{-\lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda}{4},$$

where  $\lambda = 1 - \alpha_\infty$ . For  $1 \leq j - i \leq s_0$ , it follows that  $y_i \sim y_j$ ,  $\tau_{i,n} \rightarrow \tau^*$  and  $\tau_{j,m} \rightarrow \tau^*$  as  $S \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $s_{i,l} - s_{j,p} \geq y_{i+1}/y_j > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $2 \leq j - i \leq s_0$  and  $S$  sufficiently large. Therefore, by (16) there exists a positive constant  $\zeta_1 \in (0, 1)$  depending only on  $\varepsilon$  such that for  $S$  sufficiently large

$$(23) \quad \sup_{2 \leq j-i \leq s_0} r_{i,j}^* = \sup_{2 \leq j-i \leq s_0} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i, 0 \leq p \leq L_j \\ |n| \leq N_i, |m| \leq N_j}} |r_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m})| \leq \zeta_1.$$

Moreover, by (15) there exist positive constants  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $M < 1$ , such that, for sufficiently large  $S$ ,

$$\inf_{|y_i - y_j| < c_\delta, |\tau - \tau^*|, |\tau' - \tau^*| \leq M} r_{y_i, y_j}(s, \tau, s', \tau') > \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Hence for sufficiently large  $S$  and  $0 \leq l \leq L_i$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_j$ ,  $|n| \leq N_i$ ,  $|m| \leq N_j$

$$(24) \quad (\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m}))^+ = 0, \quad \text{if } j = i + 1, \quad |s_{i,l} - s_{j,p}| \leq c_\delta.$$

By (16) there exists  $\zeta_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $S$  sufficiently large and  $0 \leq l \leq L_i$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_j$ ,  $|n| \leq N_i$ ,  $|m| \leq N_j$

$$(25) \quad |\tilde{r}_{y_i, y_j}(s_{i,l}, \tau_{i,n}, s_{j,p}, \tau_{j,m})| \leq \zeta_2, \quad \text{if } j = i + 1, \quad |s_{i,l} - s_{j,p}| \geq c_\delta.$$

Let  $\zeta = \max(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ . Therefore, by (20)–(25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P'_2 &\leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq I-1 \\ 1 \leq j-i \leq s_0}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq p \leq L_j}} \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N_i \\ |m| \leq N_j}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \exp\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\hat{m}^2(y_i) + \hat{m}^2(y_j))}{1+\zeta}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq I-2 \\ i+s_0 \leq j \leq I}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i \\ 0 \leq p \leq L_j}} \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N_i \\ |m| \leq N_j}} \frac{r_{i,j}^*}{\sqrt{1-r_{i,j}^*}} \exp\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\hat{m}^2(y_i) + \hat{m}^2(y_j))}{1+r_{i,j}^*}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Completely similar to the estimation of  $P_2$  in the proof of Lemma 6, we can arrive that there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $\rho$  such that, for sufficiently large  $S$ ,

$$P'_2 \leq KS^{-\rho}.$$

□

The next lemma is a straightforward modification of [23, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1], see also [19, Lemma 1.4].

**Lemma 8.** *It is enough to proof Theorem 1 for any nondecreasing function  $f$  such that,*

$$(26) \quad \underline{m}(\sqrt{\log t}) \leq f(t) \leq \overline{m}(\sqrt{3 \log t}),$$

for all  $t \geq T$ , and  $T$  large enough.

## 5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Note that the case  $\mathcal{I}_f < \infty$  is straightforward and does not need any additional knowledge on the process  $Q_X$  apart from the property of stationarity. Indeed, consider the sequence of intervals  $M_i$  as in Lemma 7. Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $T$ ,

$$\sum_{k=[T]+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in M_k} Q_X(t) > f(a_k)\right) = \sum_{k=[T]}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f(b_k)]} Q_X(t) > f(b_k)\right) \leq \mathcal{I}_f < \infty,$$

and the Borel-Cantelli lemma completes this part of the proof since  $f$  is an increasing function.

Now let  $f$  be an increasing function such that  $\mathcal{A}_f \equiv \infty$ . With the same notation as in [Lemma 6](#) with  $f$  instead of  $f_p$ , we find that, for any  $S, \varepsilon, \theta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Q_X(s) > f(s) \text{ i.o.}) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{t \in I_k} Q_X(t) > f(t_k)\right\} \text{ i.o.}\right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) > m(x_k)\right\} \text{ i.o.}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$E_k = \left\{ \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_k}} Z_{x_k}(s_{k,l}, \tau_{k,n}) \leq m(x_k) \right\}.$$

For sufficiently large  $S$  and sufficiently small  $\theta$ ; c.f., estimation of  $P_1$ , we get

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_k^c) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{S+f(S)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f(u)} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f(u)]} Q_X(t) > f(u)\right) du = \infty.$$

Note that

$$1 - \mathbb{P}(E_i^c \text{ i.o.}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_k) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} E_k\right) - \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_k) \right).$$

The first limit is zero as a consequence of (27), and the second limit will be zero because of the asymptotic independence of the events  $E_k$ . Indeed, there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $\rho$ , depending only on  $\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty, \varepsilon, \lambda$ , such that for any  $n > m$ ,

$$A_{m,n} = \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=m}^n E_k\right) - \prod_{k=m}^n \mathbb{P}(E_k) \right| \leq K(S+m)^{-\rho},$$

by the same calculations as in the estimate of  $P_2$  in [Lemma 6](#) after realizing that, by [Lemma 8](#), we might restrict ourselves to the case when (26) holds. Therefore  $\mathbb{P}(E_i^c \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

### Proof of [Theorem 2](#):

Let  $\xi_p \equiv \xi_{f_p}$  for short.

*Step 1.* Let  $p > 1$ , then, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_p(t) - t}{h_p(t)} \geq -(1 + 2\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{T_k : k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence such that  $T_k \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Put  $S_k = T_k - (1 + 2\varepsilon)h_p(T_k)$ . Since  $h_p(t) = O(t \log^{1-p} t \log_2 t)$ , then, for  $p > 1$ ,  $S_k \sim T_k$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and from [Lemma 6](#) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\xi_p(T_k) - T_k}{h_p(T_k)} \leq -(1 + 2\varepsilon)^2\right) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi_p(T_k) \leq S_k) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{S_k < t \leq T_k} \frac{Q_X(t)}{f_p(t)} < 1\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{S_k + f_p(S_k)}^{T_k} \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u)\right) du\right) + 2KT_k^{-\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{S_k + f_p(S_k)}^{T_k} \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u)\right) du \\ &\sim (1 + 2\varepsilon)h_p(T_k) \frac{1}{f_p(T_k)} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, f_p(T_k)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(T_k)\right) = (1 + 2\varepsilon)p \log_2 T_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now take  $T_k = \exp(k^{1/p})$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\xi_p(T_k) \leq S_k) \leq 2K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(1+\varepsilon/2)} < \infty.$$

Hence by the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$(29) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_p(T_k) - T_k}{h_p(T_k)} \geq -(1 + 2\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since  $\xi_p(t)$  is a non-decreasing random function of  $t$ , for every  $T_k \leq t \leq T_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\frac{\xi_p(t) - t}{h_p(t)} \geq \frac{\xi_p(T_k) - T_k}{h_p(T_k)} - \frac{T_{k+1} - T_k}{h_p(T_k)}.$$

For  $p > 1$  elementary calculus implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{k+1} - T_k}{h_p(T_k)} = 0,$$

so that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_p(t) - t}{h_p(t)} \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_p(T_k) - T_k}{h_p(T_k)} \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which finishes the proof of this step.  $\square$

*Step 2.* Let  $p > 1$ , then, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_p(t) - t}{h_p(t)} \leq -(1 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of the lower bound, put

$$T_k = \exp(k^{(1+\varepsilon^2)/p}), \quad S_k = T_k - (1 - \varepsilon)h_p(T_k), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Let

$$B_k = \{\xi_p(T_k) \leq S_k\} = \left\{ \sup_{S_k < t \leq T_k} \frac{Q_X(t)}{f_p(t)} < 1 \right\}.$$

It suffices to show  $\mathbb{P}(B_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ , that is

$$(30) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} B_k \right) = 1.$$

Let

$$a_0^k = S_k, \quad y_0^k = f_p(a_0^k), \quad b_0^k = a_0^k + y_0^k,$$

$$a_i^k = b_{i-1}^k, \quad y_i^k = f_p(a_i^k), \quad b_i^k = a_i^k + y_i^k, \quad M_i^k = (a_i^k, b_i^k], \quad \tilde{M}_i^k = \frac{M_i^k}{y_i^k} = (\tilde{a}_i^k, \tilde{b}_i^k].$$

Define  $J_k$  to be the biggest number such that  $b_{J_k-1}^k \leq T_k$  and  $b_{J_k}^k > T_k$ . Note that  $J_k \leq [(T_k - S_k)/f_p(S_k)]$ . Since  $f_p$  is an increasing function,

$$B_k \supset \bigcap_{i=0}^{J_k} \left\{ \sup_{t \in M_i^k} \frac{Q_X(t)}{f_p(t)} < 1 \right\} \supset \bigcap_{i=0}^{J_k} \left\{ \sup_{t \in M_i^k} Q_X(t) < y_i^k \right\} = \bigcap_{i=0}^{J_k} \left\{ \sup_{\substack{s \in M_i^k \\ \tau \geq 0}} Z_{y_i^k}(s, \tau) < m(y_i^k) \right\}.$$

Analogously to (22), define a discretization of the set  $\tilde{M}_i^k \times J(y_i^k)$  as follows

$$s_{i,l}^k = \tilde{a}_i^k + lq_i^k, \quad 0 \leq l \leq L_i^k, \quad L_i^k = \lceil 1/q_i^k \rceil, \quad q_i^k = \theta_i^k \frac{\Delta(y_i^k)}{y_i^k}, \quad \theta_i^k = (m(y_i^k))^{-4/\hat{\alpha}},$$

$$\tau_{i,n}^k = \tau(y_i^k) + nq_i^k, \quad 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i^k, \quad N_i^k = \lceil \tau^*(y_i^k)/q_i^k \rceil.$$

Recall that  $\hat{\alpha} = \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$  and let

$$A_k = \bigcap_{i=0}^{J_k} \left\{ \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i^k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i^k}} Z_{y_i^k}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k) \leq m(y_i^k) - \frac{(\theta_i^k)^{\hat{\alpha}}}{m(y_i^k)} \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} A_k \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} B_k \right) + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap B_k^c).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap B_k^c) &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i^k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i^k}} Z_{y_i^k}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k) \leq m(y_i^k) - \frac{(\theta_i^k)^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i^k)}, \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{M}_i^k \\ \tau \geq 0}} Z_{y_i^k}(s, \tau) \geq m(y_i^k) \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i^k \\ 0 \leq |n| \leq N_i^k}} Z_{y_i^k}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k) \leq m(y_i^k) - \frac{(\theta_i^k)^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}}}{m(y_i^k)}, \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{M}_i^k \\ \tau \in J(y_i^k)}} Z_{y_i^k}(s, \tau) \geq m(y_i^k) \right) \\
(31) \quad &+ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\substack{s \in \tilde{M}_i^k \\ \tau \notin J(y_i^k)}} Z_{y_i^k}(s, \tau) \geq m(y_i^k) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By [Lemma 1](#) and [Lemma 2](#), for sufficiently large  $m$  and some  $K_1, K_2 > 0$ , the first sum is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} K_1 \frac{(y_i^k)^\gamma}{m(y_i^k)} \Psi(m(y_i^k)) e^{-(m(y_i^k))^3/K_2} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} K_1 \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{(s,\tau) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_+} Z_{y_i^k}(s, \tau) > m(y_i^k) \right) e^{-(m(y_i^k))^3/K_2} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} K_1 \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(a_i^k)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(a_i^k) \right) e^{-(\log a_i^k)^{3/2}/K_2} \\
&\leq K \int_m^\infty \frac{\psi(f_p(x))}{f_p(x)} e^{-\log^{3/2}(x)/K_2} dx < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by [\(17\)](#), for sufficiently large  $m$ , the term in [\(31\)](#) is bounded from above by

$$K \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{J_k} \frac{(y_i^k)^\gamma}{m(y_i^k)} \Psi(m(y_i^k)) \exp \left( -\frac{b}{4} \log^2 m(y_i^k) \right) \leq K \int_m^\infty \frac{\psi(f_p(x))}{f_p(x)} e^{-\frac{b}{4} (\frac{1}{2} \log_2 x)^2} dx < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap B_k^c) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} B_k \right) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} A_k \right).$$

To finish the proof of [\(30\)](#), we only need to show that

$$(32) \quad \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Similarly to [\(28\)](#), we have

$$\int_{S_k}^{T_k} \frac{1}{f_p(u)} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, f_p(u)]} Q_X(t) > f_p(u) \right) du \sim (1 - \varepsilon) p \log_2 T_k.$$

Now from [Lemma 7](#) it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_k) \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp \left( -(1 - \varepsilon^2) p \log_2 T_k \right) - K S_k^{-\rho} \geq \frac{1}{8} k^{-(1 - \varepsilon^4)},$$

for every  $k$  sufficiently large. Hence,

$$(33) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k) = \infty.$$

Applying Berman's inequality, we get for  $t < k$

$$(34) \quad \mathbb{P}(A_k A_t) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_k) \mathbb{P}(A_t) + Q_{k,t},$$

where,

$$Q_{k,t} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq J_k \\ 0 \leq j \leq J_t}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq L_i^k \\ 0 \leq p \leq L_j^t}} \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N_i^k \\ |m| \leq N_j^t}} \frac{|r_{y_i^k, y_j^t}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k, s_{j,p}^t, \tau_{j,m}^t)|}{\sqrt{1 - r_{y_i^k, y_j^t}^2(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k, s_{j,p}^t, \tau_{j,m}^t)}} \\ \times \exp\left(-\frac{(m(y_i^k) - (m(y_i^k))^{-3})^2 + (m(y_j^t) - (m(y_j^t))^{-3})^2}{2(1 + |r_{y_i^k, y_j^t}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k, s_{j,p}^t, \tau_{j,m}^t)|)}\right).$$

For any  $0 \leq i \leq J_k$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq J_t$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq L_i^k$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq L_j^t$ , and  $t < k$ ,

$$y_i^k s_{i,l}^k - y_j^t s_{j,p}^t = a_i^k + y_i^k l q_i^k - (a_j^t + y_j^t p q_j^t) \geq S_k - T_t \geq S_k - T_{k-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(T_k - T_{k-1}),$$

where the last inequality holds for  $k$  large enough since it is easy to see that

$$\frac{S_{k+1} - T_k}{T_{k+1} - T_k} \sim 1, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, sufficiently large  $k$  and every  $0 \leq t < k$ , and a generic constant  $K > 0$ , similarly to (19) we have,

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq J_k \\ 0 \leq j \leq J_t \\ 0 \leq l \leq L_i^k, 0 \leq p \leq L_j^t \\ |n| \leq N_i^k, |m| \leq N_j^t}} |r_{y_i^k, y_j^t}(s_{i,l}^k, \tau_{i,n}^k, s_{j,p}^t, \tau_{j,m}^t)| \leq K(T_k - T_{k-1})^{-\lambda/2} \leq \frac{\min(1, \lambda)}{32}.$$

Therefore, for some generic constant  $K$  not depending on  $k$  and  $t$  which may vary between lines, for every  $t < k$  sufficiently large,

$$Q_{k,t} \leq K \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq J_k \\ 0 \leq j \leq J_t}} L_i^k L_j^t N_i^k N_j^t (T_k - T_{k-1})^{-\lambda/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(m(y_i^k))^2 + (m(y_j^t))^2}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda}{16})}\right) \\ \leq K(T_k - T_{k-1})^{-\lambda/2} (L_{J_k}^k L_{J_t}^t)^2 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq J_k \\ 0 \leq j \leq J_t}} \left(a_i^k \log^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}-p} a_i^k\right)^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{16}}} \left(a_j^t \log^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}-p} a_j^t\right)^{-\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda}{16}}} \\ \leq K(T_k - T_{k-1})^{-\lambda/2} (\log T_k)^v (T_k)^{\frac{\frac{\lambda}{8}}{1+\frac{\lambda}{8}}} (T_t)^{\frac{\frac{\lambda}{8}}{1+\frac{\lambda}{8}}} \\ \leq K T_k^{-\lambda/8} \leq K \exp(-\lambda k^{(1+\varepsilon^2)/p}/8),$$

with  $v > 0$  a fixed constant. Hence we have,

$$(35) \quad \sum_{0 \leq t < k < \infty} Q_{k,t} < \infty.$$

Now (32) follows from (33)-(35) and the general form of the Borel-Cantelli lemma.  $\square$

*Step 3.* If  $p \in (0, 1]$ , then, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ ,

$$(36) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(t)/t)}{h_p(t)/t} \geq -(1 + 2\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$(37) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(t)/t)}{h_p(t)/t} \leq -(1 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

*Proof.* Put

$$T_k = \exp(k), \quad S_k = T_k \exp(-(1 + 2\varepsilon)h_p(T_k)/T_k).$$

Proceeding the same as in the proof of (29), one can obtain that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(T_k)/T_k)}{h_p(T_k)/T_k} \geq -(1 + 2\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

On the other hand it is clear that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(t)/t)}{h_p(t)/t} = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(T_k)/T_k)}{h_p(T_k)/T_k} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

since

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(T_k/T_{k+1})}{h_p(T_k)/T_k} = 0.$$

This proves (36).

Let

$$T_k = \exp(k^{1+\varepsilon^2}), \quad S_k = T_k \exp(-(1-\varepsilon)h_p(T_k)/T_k).$$

Noting that

$$\frac{S_{k+1} - T_k}{S_{k+1}} \sim 1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

along the same line as in the proof of (30), we also have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\xi_p(T_k)/T_k)}{h_p(T_k)/T_k} \leq -(1-\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which proves (37). □

## 6. APPENDIX

**Proof of (14).** Let  $g_1(t) = g(\tau^*t)$ . Then it suffices to prove the claim in (14) for

$$g_1(t) = \frac{|1+t|^{2\alpha_\infty} + |1-t|^{2\alpha_\infty} - 2|t|^{2\alpha_\infty}}{2}.$$

Note that  $g_1(t) = g_1(-t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , it is sufficient to prove the argument for  $t \geq 0$ . We distinguish three scenarios:  $0 < \alpha_\infty < 1/2$ ,  $\alpha_\infty = 1/2$  and  $1/2 < \alpha_\infty < 1$ .

We first focus on  $\alpha_\infty = 1/2$ . If  $\alpha_\infty = 1/2$ , then

$$g_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1-t & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 0 & t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies that (14) holds for  $g_1(t)$ .

Next we consider  $0 < \alpha_\infty < 1/2$ . For  $0 < t \leq 1$ , the first derivative of  $g_1$

$$\dot{g}_1(t) = \alpha_\infty ((1+t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - (1-t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - 2t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}) < 0.$$

Moreover, for  $t > 1$ , by the convexity of  $t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}$

$$\dot{g}_1(t) = \alpha_\infty ((1+t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} + (t-1)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - 2t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}) > 0.$$

Additionally, direct calculation shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_1(t) = 0$ . This means that for  $0 < \alpha_\infty < 1/2$ ,  $g_1(t)$  is strictly decreasing over  $(0, 1)$  and increasing over  $(1, \infty)$  with  $g_1(0) = 1$ ,  $g_1(1) < 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_1(t) = 0$ . This implies that for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\sup_{t > \delta} g_1(t) < 1.$$

Thus (14) holds for  $g_1$  with  $0 < \alpha_\infty < 1/2$ .

Finally, we focus on  $1/2 < \alpha_\infty < 1$ . For  $0 < t < 1$ , using the fact that  $s^{2\alpha_\infty-2}$  is strictly decreasing over  $(0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{g}_1(t) &= \alpha_\infty ((1+t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - (1-t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - 2t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha_\infty ((1+t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - (1-t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - (2t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1}) \\ &= \alpha_\infty (2\alpha_\infty - 1) \left( \int_{1-t}^{1+t} s^{2\alpha_\infty-2} ds - \int_0^{2t} s^{2\alpha_\infty-2} ds \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t > 1$ , by the convexity of  $t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}$ ,

$$\dot{g}_1(t) = \alpha_\infty ((1+t)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} + (t-1)^{2\alpha_\infty-1} - 2t^{2\alpha_\infty-1}) < 0.$$

Additionally, direct calculation shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_1(t) = 0$ . Thus we have that  $g_1(t)$  is strictly decreasing over  $(0, \infty)$  with  $g_1(0) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_1(t) = 0$ . Clearly, for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\sup_{t > \delta} g_1(t) < 1,$$

implying that (14) holds for  $1/2 < \alpha_\infty < 1$ . This completes the proofs.

**Acknowledgement:** P. Liu was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021-175752/1.

Research of K. Kosiński was conducted under scientific Grant No. 2014/12/S/ST1/00491 funded by National Science Centre.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels. *Regular Variation*. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [2] A.B. Dieker. Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115:207–248, 2005.
- [3] K. Debicki. Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 98:151–174, 2002.
- [4] K. Debicki and E. Hashorva. On extremal index of max-stable stationary processes. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 37:299–317, 2017.
- [5] K. Debicki and K.M. Kosiński. On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion. *Extremes*, 17(3):431–446, 2014.
- [6] K. Debicki and K.M. Kosiński. An Erdős–Révész type law of the iterated logarithm for order statistics of a stationary Gaussian process. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s10959-016-0710-8.
- [7] K. Debicki and K.M. Kosiński. An Erdős–Révész type law of the iterated logarithm for reflected fractional Brownian motion. *Extremes*, 20:729–749, 2017.
- [8] K. Debicki and P. Liu. Extremes of stationary Gaussian storage models. *Extremes*, 19:273–302, 2016.
- [9] K. Debicki, S. Engelke, and E. Hashorva. Generalized Pickands constants and stationary max-stable processes. *Extremes*, 20:493–517, 2017.
- [10] P. Erdős and P. Révész. A new law of iterated logarithm. *Acta Math. Hung.*, 55:125–131, 1990.
- [11] E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and Piterbarg V.I. On the supremum of  $\gamma$ -reflected processes with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123:4111–4127, 2013.
- [12] J. Hüslér and V.I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83:257–271, 1999.
- [13] J. Hüslér and V.I. Piterbarg. Limit theorem for maximum of the storage process with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 114:231–250, 2004.
- [14] M.R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzen. *Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes*. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer, 1983.
- [15] P. Liu, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. On the  $\gamma$ -reflected processes with fBm input. *Lith. Math. J.*, 55(3):402–412, 2015.
- [16] I. Norros. A storage model with self-similar input. *Queueing Syst.*, 16:387–396, 1994.
- [17] V.I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotics Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields*, volume 148 of *Translation of Mathematical Monographs*. AMS, 1996.
- [18] V.I. Piterbarg. Large deviations of a storage process with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Extremes*, 4:147–164, 2001.
- [19] C. Qualls and H. Watanabe. An asymptotic 0-1 behavior of Gaussian processes. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 42(6):2029–2035, 1971.
- [20] E. Reich. On the integrodifferential equation of Takács I. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 29:563–570, 1958.
- [21] Q.M. Shao. An Erdős–Révész type law of the iterated logarithm for stationary Gaussian processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 94:119–133, 1992.
- [22] F. Spitzer. *Principles of random walk*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1964.
- [23] H. Watanabe. An asymptotic property of Gaussian processes. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(1):233–248, 1970.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND.  
E-mail address: Kamil.Kosinski@math.uni.wroc.pl

DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, UNIL-DORIGNY 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND  
E-mail address: Peng.Liu@unil.ch