

# Search for low-mass Dark Matter with the CRESST Experiment

Holger Kluck<sup>4,5</sup>, G. Angloher<sup>1</sup>, P. Bauer<sup>1</sup>, A. Bento<sup>1,8</sup>, C. Bucci<sup>2</sup>, L. Canonica<sup>2,9</sup>, X. Defay<sup>3</sup>, A. Erb<sup>3,10</sup>, F. v. Feilitzsch<sup>3</sup>, N. Ferreiro Iachellini<sup>1</sup>, P. Gorla<sup>2</sup>, A. Gütlein<sup>4,5</sup>, D. Hauff<sup>1</sup>, J. Jochum<sup>6</sup>, M. Kiefer<sup>1</sup>, H. Kraus<sup>7</sup>, J.-C. Lanfranchi<sup>3</sup>, A. Langenkämper<sup>3</sup>, J. Loebell<sup>6</sup>, M. Mancuso<sup>1</sup>, E. Mondragon<sup>3</sup>, A. Münster<sup>3</sup>, C. Pagliarone<sup>2</sup>, F. Petricca<sup>1</sup>, W. Potzel<sup>3</sup>, F. Pröbst<sup>1</sup>, R. Puig<sup>4,5</sup>, F. Reindl<sup>4,5</sup>, J. Rothe<sup>1</sup>, K. Schäffner<sup>2,11</sup>, J. Schieck<sup>4,5</sup>, S. Schönert<sup>3</sup>, W. Seidel<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Stahlberg<sup>4,5</sup>, L. Stodolsky<sup>1</sup>, C. Strandhagen<sup>6</sup>, R. Strauss<sup>1</sup>, A. Tanzke<sup>1</sup>, H.H. Trinh Thi<sup>3</sup>, C. Türkoğlu<sup>4,5</sup>, A. Ulrich<sup>3</sup>, I. Usherov<sup>6</sup>, S. Wawoczny<sup>3</sup>, M. Willers<sup>3</sup>, M. Wüstrich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany

<sup>2</sup> INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Physik-Department E15, Technische Universität München, D-85747 Garching, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institut für Hochenergiephysik der ÖAW, A-1050 Wien, Austria

<sup>5</sup> Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien, A-1020 Wien, Austria

<sup>6</sup> Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>7</sup> Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom

<sup>8</sup> Also at: LIBPhys, Departamento de Fisica, Universidade de Coimbra, P3004 516 Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>9</sup> Also at: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>10</sup> Also at: Walther-Meißner-Institut für Tieftemperaturforschung, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>11</sup> Also at: GSSI-Gran Sasso Science Institute, 67100, L'Aquila, Italy

**DOI:** will be assigned

CRESST is a multi-stage experiment directly searching for dark matter (DM) using cryogenic  $\text{CaWO}_4$  crystals. Previous stages established leading limits for the spin-independent DM-nucleon cross section down to DM-particle masses  $m_{\text{DM}}$  below  $1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ . Furthermore, CRESST performed a dedicated search for dark photons (DP) which excludes new parameter space between DP masses  $m_{\text{DP}}$  of  $300 \text{ eV}/c^2$  to  $700 \text{ eV}/c^2$ .

In this contribution we will discuss the latest results based on the previous CRESST-II phase 2 and we will report on the status of the current CRESST-III phase 1: in this stage we have been operating 10 upgraded detectors with 24, g target mass each and enhanced detector performance since summer 2016. The improved detector design in terms of background suppression and reduction of the detection threshold will be discussed with respect to the previous stage. We will conclude with an outlook on the potential of the next stage, CRESST-III phase 2.

---

\*Deceased

# 1 Introduction

The nature of dark matter is one of the greatest mysteries in modern physics: albeit observations ranging from galactic dynamics to the cosmic microwave background indicate evidently that most matter is dark [1], no particle constituent for dark matter was unambiguously found. Whereas few experiments claim a potential signal, e.g. DAMA/LIBRA [2], the majority observed null signals, e.g. LUX [3], SuperCDMS [4], and CRESST [5, 6]. Besides the classic WIMP, also lighter candidates were discussed during the last years, e.g. *asymmetric dark matter* [7] with masses  $m_{\text{DM}}$  in the order of  $\mathcal{O}(\text{GeV}/c^2)$  or *dark photons* [8, 9] with masses  $m_{\text{DP}}$  on the keV-scale and below. Scattering of the former with target nuclei may cause nuclear recoils, whereas the latter may interact electromagnetically with electrons.

In this contribution we will show that CRESST is ideally suited to search for light dark matter particles. After a short introduction of the CRESST experiment in Sec. 2, we report the latest results based on CRESST-II phase 2 data, both for the search for dark photons (Sec. 3.1) and for the search of dark matter induced nuclear recoils (Sec. 3.2). Afterwards, we discuss the current status and potential of CRESST-III in Sec. 4.

## 2 The CRESST experiment

The multi-stage *Cryogenic Rare Event Search with Superconducting Thermometers* (CRESST), based at the *Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso* (LNGS) underground laboratory in Italy, mainly looks for nuclear recoils induced by elastic scattering of dark matter particles in its target: scintillating  $\text{CaWO}_4$  crystals which are operated at temperatures of the order of  $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ mK})$ . By simultaneously reading out two signal channels, CRESST is able to distinguish nuclear recoils from electromagnetic interactions. Interactions in the target create non-thermal phonon excitations of the crystal lattice (*phonon signal*) which are recorded by a *transition edge sensor* (TES) as well as scintillation light (*light signal*) recorded by a separate light detector. The scintillation efficiency depends on the type of interaction: for nuclear recoils it is quenched with respect to electromagnetic interactions, i.e. for a given phonon signal the light signal is reduced. A cut on the light-to-phonon signal ratio efficiently selects nuclear recoils against electromagnetic interactions, since the energies of all types of interactions are precisely measured by the phonon signal. A detailed description can be found in [5, 6] and references therein.

## 3 Latest results from CRESST-II phase 2

CRESST-II phase 2 operated 18 target crystals, each encapsulated together with a light detector in a detector module. Here, we focus on results obtained by two of these modules, *TUM40* [5] and *Lise* [6].

### 3.1 Search for dark photons

Dark photons are long-lived vector particles which may constitute dark matter as discussed in [8, 9]. Via kinetic mixing  $\kappa$  with standard model photons they may get absorbed with a cross-section  $\sigma_{\text{DP}} \approx \kappa c^2 \sigma_\gamma$  approximately proportional to the photoelectric cross-section  $\sigma_\gamma$ . Due to the negligible velocity of galactic dark matter the resulting signature would be a distinct peak at the rest mass  $m_{\text{DP}} c^2$  of the dark photon.

For our dark photon search [10] we used the same data set of detector module Lise which we also used for a search for dark matter-nucleon scatterings in [6]. Contrary to the search for nuclear recoils in [6], the light-to-phonon signal ratio was inefficient to reject backgrounds because both, a potential dark photon signal and radioactive decays as the main background component, result in recoiling electrons.

To search for a potential signal peak at a given rest mass  $m_{\text{DP}}c^2$ , a Gaussian together with an empirical background model was fitted to the data using a Bayesian approach. Repeating this procedure in 50 eV steps between 0.3 keV and 2 keV resulted in an improved limit on the kinetic mixing  $\kappa$  [10] as shown in Fig. 1: between 300 and 700 eV/c<sup>2</sup> CRESST could exclude new parameter space.




Figure 1: Parameter space for the kinetic mixing between dark photons and standard model photons. Shown in *red* are the exclusion limit for CRESST-II phase 2 obtained with the detector module Lise in comparison with results from LXe and Si based experiments together with constraints from anomalous energy loss in stars. For references see [10].

### 3.2 Search for dark matter-nucleon scatterings

At the respective time of publication, CRESST-II phase 2 set leading limits on the spin-independent cross section for elastic dark matter-nucleon scattering with the detector modules *TUM40* and *Lise*, the latter reaching down to  $m_{\text{DM}} = 500 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , see Fig. 2. Both detector modules exemplify different approaches to increase the detection sensitivity for dark matter induced nuclear recoils: reduction of the background and lowering of the detection threshold.

With *TUM40* we could reduce the background rate due to intrinsic contamination of the  $\text{CaWO}_4$  down to  $\sim 1\text{-}3 \text{ mBq/kg}$  [5]. The additional background due to surface-alpha events was completely rejected by an active veto based on scintillating  $\text{CaWO}_4$  sticks to hold the target [11].

Because the nuclear recoil spectrum gets steeper with decreasing dark




Figure 2: Parameter space for elastic spin-independent dark matter-nucleon scattering. Shown in *red* are the exclusion limits for CRESST-II phase 2 obtained with the detector modules *TUM40* and *Lise* in comparison with results from LAr, LXe, Ge, and Si based experiments. For references see [6].

matter-particle mass, a reduced detection threshold increases especially the sensitivity for low-mass dark matter candidates. This is highlighted by the detector module Lise which featured with 307 eV the lowest detection threshold within CRESST-II phase 2 [6], cf. Fig. 2.

## 4 Status and potential of CRESST-III

To further increase its sensitivity for low-mass dark matter, CRESST in its third stage aims at a combination of both approaches: detector modules with high radiopurity and low detection threshold. CRESST-III phase 1 is expected to reach a detection threshold of 100 eV. In phase 2 we plan to reduce the background by a factor of 100 compared to TUM40 [12].

CRESST-III phase 1 is equipped with 10 CRESST-III detector modules, each featuring 24 g of target mass of at least TUM40 quality and each held by iSticks: an active rejection technique against holder related backgrounds e.g. relaxation events [13]. Already 2 targets are produced from  $\text{CaWO}_4$  crystals which were grown from chemically purified raw materials based on the ongoing R&D for CRESST-III phase 2. As prototype measurements [13] showed, the decreased target mass will lower the detection threshold towards 100 eV via a reduced heat capacity.

Since August 2016 CRESST is cooled down to operational temperature and records data. After an extensive gamma-calibration campaign in October 2016, we take physics data since November 2016, interrupted by a neutron calibration campaign in April 2017. It is planned to continue data taking for one year with all 10 modules, aiming for an total exposure of 50 kg · d. 80% of the data are dynamically blinded, allowing the preparation of selection cuts on the remaining 20% which will be excluded from the final analysis.

Keeping in mind that CRESST-III aims for unprecedented low detection thresholds and under the premise that no so far unknown background appears at these energies we expect to reach a sensitivity of  $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  pb at 1 GeV/ $c^2$  with CRESST-III phase 1 [12]. This would be an improvement by roughly 2 orders of magnitude compared to the Lise result of CRESST-II phase 2. In CRESST-III phase 2 we plan to operate 100 detector modules for 2 years, in order to reach an exposure of 1000 kg · d. With this exposure, CRESST will be close to the *neutrino floor* of  $\text{CaWO}_4$  [12] where the coherent scattering of solar neutrinos on  $\text{CaWO}_4$  will become a significant background for any future search for low-mass dark matter.

## References

- [1] G. Bertone, ed. *Particle Dark Matter*, (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [2] R. Bernabei *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2648 (2013), arXiv:1308.5109.
- [3] D.S. Akerib *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 161301 (2016), arXiv:1512.03506.
- [4] R. Agnese *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 071301 (2016), arXiv:1509.02448.
- [5] G. Angloher *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3184 (2014), arXiv:1407.3146.
- [6] G. Angloher *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 25 (2016), arXiv:1509.01515.
- [7] K. Petraki and R.R. Volkas, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1330028 (2013), arXiv:1305.4939.
- [8] M. Pospelov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 115012 (2008), arXiv:0807.3279.
- [9] H. An *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **747**, 331 (2015), arXiv:1412.8378.
- [10] G. Angloher *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 299 (2017), arXiv:1612.07662.
- [11] R. Strauss *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 352 (2015), arXiv:1410.1753.
- [12] G. Angloher *et al.*, arXiv:1503.08065.
- [13] R. Strauss *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* **845**, 414 (2017).