

Comment on “Quantifying quantum coherence with quantum Fisher information”

Hyukjoon Kwon, Kok Chuan Tan, Seongjeon Choi, and Hyunseok Jeong
*Center for Macroscopic Quantum Control, Department of Physics and Astronomy,
 Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea*

(Dated: November 7, 2018)

We show that contrary to the claim in Sci. Rep. **7**, 15492 (2017), the quantum Fisher information itself is not a valid coherence measure based on the resource theory of coherence because it can increase via an incoherent operation.

In Ref. [1], the authors claim that the quantum Fisher information (QFI) is a coherence measure in the sense of the resource theory of coherence suggested in Ref. [2]. This means that the QFI is a monotone under an incoherent operation.

The resource theory of coherence [2] with respect to a fixed set of basis $\{|i\rangle\}$ can be constructed by a set of incoherent states $\delta \in \Pi$ that contain only diagonal components, i.e., $\delta = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ and a set of incoherent operations Φ which map every incoherent state into another incoherent state, i.e., $\Phi(\Pi) \subseteq \Pi$. Then a coherence measure $C(\rho)$ for state ρ should satisfy the following conditions [2]: (C1) $C(\rho) \leq 0$ and $C(\rho) = 0$ iff $\rho \in \Pi$. (C2a) Non-increasing under an incoherent completely positive and trace preserving operation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$, i.e., $C(\rho) \geq C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}[\rho])$. (C2b) Non-increasing on average by selective incoherent operations, i.e., $C(\rho) \geq \sum_n p_n C(A_n \rho A_n^\dagger / p_n)$, where $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_n A_n \rho A_n^\dagger$ and $p_n = \text{Tr} \rho A_n^\dagger A_n$. (C3) Convexity $\sum_n p_n C(\rho_n) \geq C(\sum_n p_n \rho_n)$.

The authors of Ref. [1] claim that the QFI with respect to a given Hamiltonian H

$$F(\rho, H) = 2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} |\langle \lambda_i | H | \lambda_j \rangle|^2$$

satisfies all the conditions for coherence measure (C1)–(C3) with respect to the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian H , where λ_i and $|\lambda_i\rangle$ are eigenstates and eigenvalues of the quantum state ρ , respectively.

However, the proof of (C2) is incorrect. There exists a counterexample in which an incoherent operation can increase the QFI. We consider a Hamiltonian in an N -

level system with equal energy spacing,

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^N n |n\rangle\langle n|.$$

Assume that a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ is initially given by

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle). \quad (1)$$

We consider an incoherent unitary operation

$$U = |N\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle N| + |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} |n\rangle\langle n|$$

which simply exchanges $|1\rangle$ and $|N\rangle$, while leaving the other eigenstates unchanged. It is important to note that U as an incoherent operation maps any incoherent state into another incoherent state.

Under this incoherent unitary U , $|\psi\rangle$ evolves into

$$U|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |N\rangle).$$

Using the fact that the QFI of a pure state equals to four times of the variance of H , we can show that the QFI before and after the incoherent unitary U is given by $F(|\psi\rangle, H) = 1$ and $F(U|\psi\rangle, H) = N^2$, respectively. It is thus immediately clear that the QFI can increase through an incoherent operation, and $F(U|\psi\rangle, H) > F(|\psi\rangle, H)$ for every $N > 1$.

[1] X. N. Feng and L. F. Wei, Sci. Rep. **7** 15492 (2017).

[2] T. Baumgratz, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 140401 (2014).