

# VIRTUAL IMMERSIONS AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF SYMMETRIC SPACES

RICARDO A. E. MENDES\*† AND MARCO RADESCHI

ABSTRACT. We define virtual immersions, as a generalization of isometric immersions in a pseudo-Riemannian vector space. We show that virtual immersions possess a second fundamental form, which is in general not symmetric. We prove that a manifold admits a virtual immersion with skew symmetric second fundamental form, if and only if it is a symmetric space, and in this case the virtual immersion is essentially unique.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Often in Riemannian geometry, one needs to embed a Riemannian manifold into Euclidean or Lorentzian space. In this paper we introduce a generalized, and more “intrinsic” version of such embeddings, and utilize them to give a new characterization of symmetric spaces.

Given a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and an isometric immersion  $\phi : M \rightarrow V$  into a vector space  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  endowed with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (a *pseudo-Euclidean vector space*), then the pullback  $\phi^*TV$  is a trivial vector bundle over  $M$ , the differential  $\phi_*$  defines an immersion  $\phi_* : TM \rightarrow \phi^*TV$ , and the classical results on isometric immersions show that the canonical (flat) connection on  $\phi^*TV$  induces, by projecting onto  $TM$ , the Levi Civita connection on  $M$ . We use this properties to define a *virtual immersion* of a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , as a flat bundle  $M \times V$ , with  $V$  a pseudo-Euclidean vector space, together with an isometric embedding  $TM \rightarrow M \times V$  such that the flat connection on  $M \times V$  induces the Levi Civita connection on  $M$  (see Definition 1 for an equivalent definition).

It turns out that, just like the usual isometric immersions, one can define a second fundamental form, but unlike the usual setting this is in general *not* symmetric. As a matter of fact, it can be easily shown that a virtual immersion is (locally) induced by an isometric immersion, if and only if the second fundamental form is symmetric.

In [MR17], we first introduced virtual immersions with  $V$  Euclidean (rather than pseudo-Euclidean) in the context of verifying, for certain compact symmetric spaces, a conjecture of Marques-Neves-Schoen about the index of closed minimal hypersurfaces. In that same paper, it was proved that, when  $V$  has a Euclidean metric, virtual immersions with skew-symmetric second fundamental form exist only on compact symmetric spaces (cf. [MR17], Theorem B).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49Q05, 53A10, 53C35.

*Key words and phrases.* Symmetric space, Isometric immersion.

† received support from DFG ME 4801/1-1.

The main result of this paper is to extend the classification of virtual immersions with skew symmetric second fundamental form to the more general case in which the metric on  $V$  is pseudo-Euclidean:

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Then  $M$  admits a virtual immersion  $\Omega$  with skew-symmetric second fundamental form if and only if it is a symmetric space. In this case,  $\Omega$  is essentially unique.*

Virtual immersions, in other words, provide a bundle-theoretic characterization of symmetric spaces, although we expect them to have independent interest on more general spaces.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we define virtual immersions and their second fundamental form, and establish their fundamental equations. In Section 3 we prove the “if” part of the Main Theorem, producing a virtual immersion with skew-symmetric second fundamental form on any symmetric space. In Section 4 we prove the “only if” part of the Main Theorem, showing that a virtual immersion with skew-symmetric second fundamental form forces the manifold to be a symmetric space. In this last section, we also glue the pieces together, and prove the Main Theorem.

**Convention:** We will denote by  $R$  the curvature tensor, and follow the sign convention  $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ .

## 2. VIRTUAL IMMERSIONS

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, and let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  denote a real vector space endowed with a nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear form. We call such  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  a *pseudo-Euclidean vector space*. A  $V$ -valued virtual immersion of  $M$  is, roughly speaking, an immersion of  $TM$  into the trivial bundle  $M \times V$ , such that the natural flat connection on  $M \times V$  induces the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ . Such objects generalize isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds in Lorentzian space.

Although this is the idea behind virtual immersions, we introduce such structures in a different way, more convenient for computations — see Proposition 2 for a proof that the two definitions coincide.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, and  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  a finite-dimensional, pseudo-Euclidean real vector space. Let  $\Omega$  be a  $V$ -valued one-form on  $M$ . We say  $\Omega$  is a *virtual immersion* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- a)  $\langle \Omega(X), \Omega(Y) \rangle = g(X, Y)$  for every  $p \in M$ , and every  $X, Y \in T_p M$ .
- b)  $\langle d\Omega(X, Y), \Omega(Z) \rangle = 0$  for every  $p \in M$ , and every  $X, Y, Z \in T_p M$ .

We say two virtual immersions  $\Omega_i : TM \rightarrow V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are equivalent if there is a linear isometry  $(V_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \rightarrow (V_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$  making the obvious diagram commute.

Letting  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  denote the foot-point projection, any virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  induces a vector bundle homomorphism  $(\pi, \Omega) : TM \rightarrow M \times V$ . By condition (a) in the definition, this map is an isometric immersion of (*pseudo-Euclidean*) vector bundles.

Fixing  $p \in M$ , denote by  $\Omega_p : T_p M \rightarrow V$  the restriction of  $\Omega$  to  $T_p M$ . Since  $\Omega_p$  is an isometric immersion, the space  $T_p M$  can be identified with its image, which we will still denote  $T_p M$ . Moreover, since the metric on  $T_p M$  is positive definite, its orthogonal complement  $\nu_p M := (T_p M)^\perp \subset V$  is transverse to  $T_p M$  and thus  $V$  splits orthogonally as  $V = T_p M \oplus \nu_p M$ . This yields the orthogonal decomposition

$M \times V = TM \oplus \nu M$ . Given  $(p, X) \in M \times V$ , we shall write  $X = X^T + X^\perp$  for the decomposition into the tangent and normal parts.

The natural flat connection  $D$  on  $M \times V$  induces a connection  $D^T$  (respectively  $D^\perp$ ) on  $TM$  (resp.  $\nu M$ ), given by  $D_X^T Y = (D_X Y)^T$  (resp.  $D_X^\perp \eta = (D_X \eta)^\perp$ ). Here  $X, Y$  are vector fields on  $M$ , while  $\eta$  is a section of the normal bundle.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a  $V$ -valued one-form on  $M$  satisfying condition (a) in Definition 1. Then,  $\Omega$  is a virtual immersion if and only if the flat connection  $D$  on  $M \times V$  satisfies  $D^T = \nabla$ , where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi Civita connection on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega$  already satisfies condition (a), it is a virtual immersion if and only if condition (b) holds as well, that is,  $d\Omega(X, Y)^T = 0$  for every point  $p$  and every  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Recall that

$$(1) \quad d\Omega(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]$$

so that taking the tangent part yields

$$d\Omega(X, Y)^T = D_X^T Y - D_Y^T X - [X, Y].$$

Condition (a) implies that  $D^T$  is compatible with the metric  $g$ , and by the above formula condition (b) is equivalent to  $D^T$  being torsion-free. Since these two properties characterize the Levi Civita connection, the result follows.  $\square$

Given a virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  and a group  $\Gamma$  of isometries of  $M$ , we say that  $\Omega$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant if for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Omega \circ d\gamma = \Omega$ , where  $d\gamma : TM \rightarrow TM$  denotes the differential of  $M$ . The following result is straightforward:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  be a virtual immersion, and let  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  denote a covering. Then  $\pi^* \Omega = \Omega \circ d\pi : T\tilde{M} \rightarrow V$  is a virtual immersion, which is invariant under the deck group of  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . Conversely, if  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  is invariant under a group  $\Gamma$  acting freely on  $M$  by isometries, and  $\pi : M \rightarrow M' = M/\Gamma$  denotes the quotient, then  $\Omega$  descends to a virtual immersion  $\Omega' : TM' \rightarrow V$  such that  $\Omega = \pi^* \Omega'$ .*

Given a virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  and a linear isometric immersion  $\iota : V \rightarrow W$ , there is an induced virtual immersion  $\iota \circ \Omega : TM \rightarrow W$ . We want to rule out these trivial extensions.

**Definition 4.** A virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  is called *full* if the image of  $\Omega$  spans  $V$ .

For any virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow W$ , defining the subspace  $V = \text{span}(\Omega(TM))$  and letting  $\iota : V \rightarrow W$  denote the inclusion, one obtains the following:

**Lemma 5.** *Given any virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow W$  there exists a full immersion  $\Omega' : TM \rightarrow V$  and a linear isometric immersion  $\iota : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $\Omega = \iota \circ \Omega'$ .*

Given a virtual immersion, one can define a second fundamental form and shape operator.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\Omega$  be a  $V$ -valued virtual immersion,  $X, Y$  be smooth vector fields on  $M$ , and  $\eta$  a smooth section of  $\nu M$ . Define the *second fundamental form* of  $\Omega$  by

$$II : TM \times TM \rightarrow \nu M, \quad II(X, Y) = (D_X Y)^\perp = D_X(\Omega(Y)) - \Omega(\nabla_X Y)$$

and the *shape operator* in the direction of a normal vector  $\eta$  by

$$S_\nu : TM \rightarrow TM, \quad S_\eta(X) = -(D_X \eta)^T.$$

Note that the second fundamental form and the shape operator are tensors. In view of Proposition 2, we may write

$$(2) \quad D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + II(X, Y)$$

$$(3) \quad D_X \eta = -S_\eta X + D_X^\perp \eta$$

*Example 7.* Given a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , let  $\phi : M \rightarrow V$  be an isometric immersion into a pseudo-Euclidean vector space  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . Then  $\Omega = d\phi : TM \rightarrow V$  is a virtual immersion, with symmetric second fundamental form. On the other hand, for any virtual immersion  $\Omega$ , the normal part of  $d\Omega(X, Y)$  equals  $II(X, Y) - II(Y, X)$  and, since the tangent part of  $d\Omega$  vanishes, it follows that if  $II$  is symmetric then  $d\Omega = 0$ , which implies that locally  $\Omega = d\phi$  for some map  $\phi : M \rightarrow V$ . By condition (a) in the definition of virtual immersion, this map must be an isometric immersion.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a virtual immersion of the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with values in  $V$ . Then the following identities hold:*

a) *Weingarten's equation*

$$\langle S_\eta(X), Y \rangle = \langle II(X, Y), \eta \rangle$$

b) *Gauss' equation*

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle II(Y, W), II(X, Z) \rangle - \langle II(X, W), II(Y, Z) \rangle$$

c) *Ricci's equation*

$$\langle R^\perp(X, Y)\eta, \zeta \rangle = -\langle (S_\eta^t S_\zeta - S_\zeta^t S_\eta)X, Y \rangle$$

d) *Codazzi's equation*

$$\langle (D_X II)(Y, Z), \eta \rangle = \langle (D_Y II)(X, Z), \eta \rangle.$$

*Proof.* The proof is the same as in classical case. For sake of completeness, we recall it here.

Fix a point  $p$  and let  $V = T_p M \oplus \nu_p M$  be the orthogonal splitting into tangent and normal part. Recall that this is possible even though  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is not Euclidean, because the restriction to  $T_p M$  is positive definite. Given vectors  $X, Y, Z, W \in T_p M$ , extend them locally to vector fields (denoted with the same letters). Differentiating the equation  $D_Y Z = \nabla_Y Z + II(Y, Z)$  with respect to  $X$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} D_X D_Y Z &= D_X (\nabla_Y Z + II(Y, Z)) \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + II(X, \nabla_Y Z) + D_X(II(Y, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the connection  $D$  is flat, its curvature vanishes, and one has

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad 0 &= D_{[X, Y]} Z - D_X D_Y Z + D_Y D_X Z \\ &= (\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z + II([X, Y], Z)) - (\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + II(X, \nabla_Y Z) + D_X(II(Y, Z))) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y \nabla_X Z + II(Y, \nabla_X Z) + D_Y(II(X, Z))) \\ &= R(X, Y)Z - (D_X II)(Y, Z) + (D_Y II)(X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the product of both sides of (4) with  $W \in T_p M$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle - \langle D_X(\mathbb{I}(Y, Z)), W \rangle + \langle D_Y(\mathbb{I}(X, Z)), W \rangle \\ &= \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle \mathbb{I}(Y, Z), D_X W \rangle - \langle \mathbb{I}(X, Z), D_Y W \rangle \\ &= \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle \mathbb{I}(Y, Z), \mathbb{I}(X, W) \rangle - \langle \mathbb{I}(X, Z), \mathbb{I}(Y, W) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which recovers the Gauss' equation.

On the other hand, taking the product of equation (4) with  $\eta \in \nu_p M$ , one obtains

$$0 = \langle -(D_X \mathbb{I})(Y, Z) + (D_Y \mathbb{I})(X, Z), \eta \rangle$$

which is Codazzi Equation.

Ricci equation is obtained similarly, but starting with equation  $D_X \eta = -S_\eta X + D_X^\perp \eta$  instead of  $D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \mathbb{I}(X, Y)$ . Weingarten equation is immediate.  $\square$

### 3. VIRTUAL IMMERSIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

This section is devoted to proving the first part of the main theorem. Namely, given a symmetric space  $M$ , we show how to produce a virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  with skew-symmetric second fundamental form.

Since the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  is a simply connected symmetric space, by the de Rham decomposition theorem it splits isometrically into irreducible factors,  $\tilde{M} = \prod_{i=0}^k \tilde{M}_i$ , where  $\tilde{M}_0 = \mathbb{R}^r$  and none of the other factors is Euclidean. For each  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , choose  $p_i \in \tilde{M}_i$ , and let  $G_i$  be the subgroup of the isometry group of  $M$ , generated by transvections (i.e. products of two reflections). Then  $G_i$  is connected and, by the standard theory of symmetric spaces, it acts transitively on  $\tilde{M}_i$ . Moreover,  $(G_i, H_i)$  is a symmetric pair, where  $H_i = (G_i)_{p_i}$ . Notice that  $G_0 = \mathbb{R}^r$ , and  $H_0 = 1$ .

Let  $\pi_i : G_i \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i = G_i/H_i$  denote the projection  $\pi_i(g) := g \cdot p_i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i$  denote the Lie algebras of  $G_i, H_i$  respectively, and let  $\mathfrak{m}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$  be a complement of  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  satisfying  $[\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_i$ ,  $[\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_i$ . Then the Killing form  $B_i$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  restricts to a negative-definite (resp. positive-definite, zero) symmetric form on  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  when  $\tilde{M}_i$  is of compact (resp. non-compact, Euclidean) type. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  can be canonically identified with  $T_{p_i} \tilde{M}_i$  via  $(\pi_i)_*$  and, for  $i > 0$ , the restriction  $g_{\tilde{M}}|_{\tilde{M}_i}$  of the metric  $g_{\tilde{M}}$  to  $T_{p_i} \tilde{M}_i$  corresponds to  $\lambda_i B_i|_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$  for some negative (resp. positive) value  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $\tilde{M}_i$  is of compact (resp. non-compact) type.

Letting  $G = \prod_{i=0}^k G_i$  and  $H = \prod_{i=0}^k H_i$ , then  $(G, H)$  is a symmetric pair, with  $G$  acting transitively on  $\tilde{M}$  and such that  $H = G_p$ ,  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_k)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{M}$  is diffeomorphic to  $G/H$ , via the map sending  $[[g]] = [[g_0, \dots, g_k]] \in G/H$  to  $g \cdot p = (g_0 \cdot p_0, \dots, g_k \cdot p_k)$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathfrak{g}_i, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathfrak{h}_i, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathfrak{m}_i,$$

so that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$  and  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Define  $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$  as the quotient of  $G \times \mathfrak{m}$  by the action of  $H$  given by  $h \cdot (g, X) = (gh^{-1}, \text{Ad}_h X)$ , and denote by  $[[g, X]]$  the image of  $(g, X) \in G \times \mathfrak{m}$  under the quotient map. There is a natural  $G$ -action

on  $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$ , defined by  $g' \cdot \llbracket g, X \rrbracket = \llbracket g'g, X \rrbracket$ . Extend now the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathfrak{m}_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^k T_{p_i} \tilde{M}_i = T_p \tilde{M}$$

to the  $G$ -equivariant bundle isomorphism  $G \times_H \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow T\tilde{M}$  given by  $\llbracket g, X \rrbracket \mapsto dg(X)$ .

We can now define the virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . Endow  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{g}_i$  with the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g_{\tilde{M}}|_{\mathbb{R}^r} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \lambda_i B_i,$$

and define

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_0 : T\tilde{M} \simeq G \times_H \mathfrak{m} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ \llbracket g, X \rrbracket &\longmapsto \text{Ad}_g X \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.** *The  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued one-form  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  defined in Equation (5) is a virtual immersion. At  $\llbracket g \rrbracket \in \tilde{M}$ , the tangent and normal spaces are  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}$ , respectively. The second fundamental form is skew symmetric, given by*

$$II(\llbracket g, X \rrbracket, \llbracket g, Y \rrbracket) = \text{Ad}_g([X, Y]).$$

*Proof.* We begin by showing that condition a) in the definition of virtual immersion holds for  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$ . By  $G$ -equivariance it is enough to show that

$$\tilde{\Omega}_0|_{\llbracket e \rrbracket \times \mathfrak{m}} : \llbracket e \rrbracket \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isometric embedding. The embedding is simply the canonical inclusion, therefore given  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m} \simeq T_{\llbracket e \rrbracket} \tilde{M}$ , and denoting  $X_i, Y_i$  the projections of  $X, Y$  onto  $\mathfrak{m}_i \simeq T_{p_i} \tilde{M}_i$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Omega}_0(X), \tilde{\Omega}_0(Y) \rangle &= \langle X, Y \rangle \\ &= \langle X_0, Y_0 \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, Y_i \rangle \\ &= g_{\tilde{M}}(X_0, Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i B_i(X_i, Y_i) \\ &= g_{\tilde{M}}(X_0, Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k g_{\tilde{M}}(X_i, Y_i) \\ &= g_{\tilde{M}}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from (5) that the tangent space is  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{m}$ , thus the normal space must be  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}$ .

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Under the identification of  $T\tilde{M}$  with  $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$  that we are using, the action field  $X^*$  is given by

$$X^* \llbracket g \rrbracket = \llbracket g, (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rrbracket.$$

Indeed,  $X^* \llbracket g \rrbracket$  is a vector of the form  $\llbracket g, v \rrbracket$ , with  $v = dg^{-1}(X^* \llbracket g \rrbracket) \in \mathfrak{m}$ . One computes

$$\begin{aligned} v &= dg^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \llbracket e^{tX} g \rrbracket \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \llbracket g^{-1} e^{tX} g \rrbracket \\ &= d\pi_e(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X) \\ &= (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi$  denotes the map  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ . Given  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X^*} \tilde{\Omega}_0(Y^*) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tilde{\Omega}_0 \llbracket e^{tX} g, (\text{Ad}_{(e^{tX} g)^{-1}} Y)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rrbracket \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{e^{tX} g} (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1} e^{-tX}} Y)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ &= \text{Ad}_g ([\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X, (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} Y)_{\mathfrak{m}}] - (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} [X, Y])_{\mathfrak{m}}) \end{aligned}$$

By  $G$ -equivariance, it is enough to show that, for every  $X, Y \in T_{[e]} \tilde{M} \simeq \mathfrak{m}$ , we have  $d\tilde{\Omega}_0(X^*, Y^*)_{[e]}^T = 0$  and  $II(X, Y)_{[e]} = [X, Y]$ . Plugging  $g = e$  in the equation above, and using the fact that  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$ , we have

$$D_{X^*} \tilde{\Omega}_0(Y^*) = [X, Y].$$

The tangent part of this is zero, so that

$$d\tilde{\Omega}_0(X^*, Y^*)_{[e]}^T = D_{X^*} \tilde{\Omega}_0(Y^*)_{[e]}^T - D_{Y^*} \tilde{\Omega}_0(X^*)_{[e]}^T - \tilde{\Omega}_0([X^*, Y^*])_{[e]} = 0 - 0 - 0 = 0$$

which means that  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  is a virtual immersion.

Moreover,  $II(X, Y)_{[e]} = D_{X^*} \tilde{\Omega}_0(Y^*)_{[e]}^\perp = [X, Y]$ . □

Using the lemma above, we can prove

**Lemma 10.** *The virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0 : T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is full.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $\tilde{\Omega}_0(T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}) \oplus \text{span}\{II(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in T_{[e]} \tilde{M}\} = \mathfrak{g}$ . By Lemma 9,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_0(T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}) = \mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{span}\{II(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}\} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}],$$

therefore this reduces to proving  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{h}$ . If not, then there exists a nonzero  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $B(H, [X, Y]) = 0$  for all  $X, Y$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By Ad-invariance of the Killing form, this implies  $B([H, Y], X) = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $[H, Y] \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{m}_i$  and  $B$  is nondegenerate on  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{g}_i$ , it follows that  $[H, Y] = 0$  for every  $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ . This implies that  $\text{Ad}(\exp tH) \in H = G_{\tilde{p}}$  is the identity on  $\mathfrak{m} = T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}$ , which implies  $H = 0$  hence the contradiction. □

Having defined the virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  on  $\tilde{M}$ , the goal is now to prove that it descends to a virtual immersion on  $M$ . This is equivalent to proving that  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  is invariant under the group  $\Gamma$  of deck transformations of  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of isometries of  $\tilde{M}$  acting freely on  $\tilde{M}$ . Then the virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  defined above is invariant under  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $M = \tilde{M}/\Gamma$  is a symmetric space.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $M$  is a symmetric space, and let  $\tau : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  denote the universal cover of  $M$ . Then, since the symmetry  $s_{\tilde{p}}$  at any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$  is a lift of the corresponding symmetry  $s_p$  at  $p = \tau(\tilde{p}) \in M$ , it follows that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$  is a lift of the identity or, in other words,  $s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M = \tilde{M}/\Gamma$  is a symmetric space and in particular a homogeneous space, by the main theorem in [Wol62] it follows that every element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a *Clifford-Wolf translation*, i.e., the displacement function  $q \in \tilde{M} \mapsto d(q, \gamma(q))$  is constant. In particular, for any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$  the isometry  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} = \gamma \cdot (s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}) \in \Gamma$  is a Clifford-Wolf translation.

We claim that  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$  fixes  $\tilde{p}$ , which implies that  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} = id$ . In fact, since  $\gamma$  is a Clifford-Wolf translation, then  $\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{p}), \tilde{p}, \gamma(\tilde{p})$  all lie on the same geodesic  $c(t)$  (cf. [Ozo74, Theorem 1.6]). Parametrize  $c(t)$  so that  $c(0) = \tilde{p}$ ,  $c(1) = \gamma(\tilde{p})$ ,  $c(-1) = \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{p})$ . Then, since  $s_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{p}$  and  $s_{\tilde{p}}(c(t)) = c(-t)$ , it follows that

$$s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}(\tilde{p}) = s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma(\tilde{p}) = s_{\tilde{p}}(c(1)) = c(-1) = \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{p})$$

and therefore  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{p}$ , thus proving the claim.

It follows that  $s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}$  and therefore, every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  commutes with every transvection. Since  $G$  is generated by transvections, then  $\Gamma$  commutes with  $G$  and thus  $\text{Ad}_\gamma$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{g}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Given  $\Omega_0 : T\tilde{M} = G \times_H \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and fixing  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the map  $\Omega_0 \circ \gamma : T\tilde{M} = G \times_H \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$(\Omega_0 \circ \gamma)[g, X] = \Omega_0[\gamma g, X] = \text{Ad}_{\gamma g}(X) = \text{Ad}_\gamma(\text{Ad}_g X) = \text{Ad}_g X = \Omega_0[g, X]$$

and therefore  $\Omega_0$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ .

On the other hand, suppose now that  $\Omega_0$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ . Then for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\text{Ad}_\gamma|_{\mathfrak{g}} = id$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma$  commutes with  $G$  (recall,  $G$  is connected). Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $\tilde{M}$  it follows that every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a Clifford-Wolf translation: in fact, for any  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \tilde{M}$ , letting  $g \in G$  be such that  $g \cdot \tilde{p} = \tilde{q}$ , one has

$$d(\tilde{p}, \gamma\tilde{p}) = d(g\tilde{p}, g(\gamma\tilde{p})) = d(g\tilde{p}, \gamma(g\tilde{p})) = d(\tilde{q}, \gamma\tilde{q}).$$

Moreover, since  $G$  is also normalized by the symmetries  $s_{\tilde{p}}$  centered at any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ , it follows that  $s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$ , and thus  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$ , commute with  $G$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . In particular  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$  is again a Clifford-Wolf translation. However, just as before it follows that  $\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$  fixes  $\tilde{p}$ , and therefore  $s_{\tilde{p}}\gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}$ . In particular, every symmetry  $s_{\tilde{p}}$  satisfies  $s_{\tilde{p}}\Gamma s_{\tilde{p}}^{-1} = \Gamma$ . Therefore, for any point  $p = \tau[\tilde{p}] \in M/\Gamma$ , one can define a symmetry  $s_p : M \rightarrow M$  by  $s_p[\tilde{q}] = [s_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q})]$ . In particular,  $M$  is a symmetric space.  $\square$

#### 4. RIGIDITY OF VIRTUAL IMMERSIONS WITH SKEW-SYMMETRIC SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

In this section we prove the second half of the main theorem. Namely, given a minimal virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$  with skew-symmetric second fundamental form, we prove that  $M$  is a symmetric space and  $\Omega$  is equivalent to the virtual immersion defined in the previous section.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, and  $\Omega$  a  $V$ -valued virtual immersion with skew-symmetric second fundamental form II. Then:*

- a)  $\langle II(X, Y), II(Z, W) \rangle = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle$ .
- b)  $(D_X II)(Y, Z) = -R(Y, Z)X$ .



c)  $\nabla R = 0$ . In particular,  $(M, g)$  is a locally symmetric space.

*Proof.* a) Start with Gauss' equation (see Proposition 8(b)),

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle II(Y, W), II(X, Z) \rangle - \langle II(X, W), II(Y, Z) \rangle$$

Applying the first Bianchi identity yields

$$0 = -2(\langle II(X, Y), II(Z, W) \rangle + \langle II(Y, Z), II(X, W) \rangle + \langle II(Z, X), II(Y, W) \rangle)$$

so that using Gauss' equation one more time we arrive at

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle II(X, Y), II(Z, W) \rangle.$$

b) First we argue that  $(D_X II)(Y, Z)$  is tangent. Indeed, for any normal vector  $\eta$ , Codazzi's equation (Proposition 8(d)) says that

$$\langle (D_X II)(Y, Z), \eta \rangle = \langle (D_Y II)(X, Z), \eta \rangle.$$

Thus the trilinear map  $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto \langle (D_X II)(Y, Z), \eta \rangle$  is symmetric in the first two entries and skew-symmetric in the last two entries, which forces it to vanish.

Next we let  $W$  be any tangent vector and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (D_X II)(Y, Z), W \rangle &= \langle D_X(II(Y, Z)), W \rangle = -\langle II(Y, Z), D_X W \rangle \\ &= -\langle II(Y, Z), II(X, W) \rangle = -\langle R(Y, Z)X, W \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality follows we have used part (a).

c) Since the natural connection  $D$  on  $M \times V$  is flat, it follows that for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z, W$ , we have

$$0 = D_X(D_Y(II(Z, W))) - D_Y(D_X(II(Z, W))) - D_{[X, Y]}(II(Z, W)).$$

Fix  $p \in M$ , and take vector fields such that  $[X, Y] = 0$  and  $\nabla Z = \nabla W = 0$  at  $p \in M$ . Then, evaluating the equation above at  $p \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_X((D_Y II)(Z, W) + II(\nabla_Y Z, W) + II(Z, \nabla_Y W)) \\ &\quad - D_Y((D_X II)(Z, W) + II(\nabla_X Z, W) + II(Z, \nabla_X W)) \\ &= D_X(-R(Z, W)Y) + II(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z, W) + II(Z, \nabla_X \nabla_Y W) \\ &\quad - D_Y(-R(Z, W)X) - II(\nabla_Y \nabla_X Z, W) - II(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla_X W) \\ &= -(D_X R)(Z, W)Y + (D_Y R)(Z, W)X - II(R(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &\quad - II(Z, R(X, Y)W) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the tangent part yields  $(\nabla_X R)(Z, W)Y = (\nabla_Y R)(Z, W)X$ . Taking inner product with  $T \in T_p M$  we have

$$(\nabla R)(Z, W, Y, T, X) = (\nabla R)(Z, W, X, T, Y),$$

that is,  $\nabla R$  is symmetric in the third and fifth entries. But  $\nabla R$  is also skew-symmetric in the third and fourth entries, so that  $\nabla R = 0$ .  $\square$

The virtual immersion  $\Omega$  on  $M$  lifts to a virtual immersion with skew-symmetric second fundamental form  $\tilde{\Omega}$  on the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . In the following Proposition, we prove that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$ .

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $(\tilde{M}, g_{\tilde{M}})$  be a symmetric space, and let  $\Omega_j : T\tilde{M} \rightarrow V_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$  be virtual immersions with skew-symmetric second fundamental forms  $II_j$ . Assume  $V_1, V_2$  are full. Then  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Define a connection  $\hat{D}$  on the vector bundle  $T\tilde{M} \oplus \wedge^2 T\tilde{M}$  by

$$\hat{D}_W(Z, \alpha) = (\nabla_W Z - R(\alpha)W, W \wedge Z + \nabla_W \alpha)$$

Here, for  $\alpha = \sum_u X_u \wedge Y_u$ , we define  $R(\alpha) := \sum_u R(X_u, Y_u)$ . Define bundle homomorphisms  $\hat{\Omega}_j : T\tilde{M} \oplus \wedge^2 T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times V_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , by

$$\hat{\Omega}_j(Z, \alpha) = \left( p, \Omega_j(Z) + \Pi_j(\alpha) \right)$$

for  $Z \in T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_u X_u \wedge Y_u \in \wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$ , and  $\Pi(\alpha) = \sum_u \Pi(X_u, Y_u)$ . By Lemma 12(b), given vector fields  $Z, W$  and a section  $\alpha$  of  $\wedge^2 T\tilde{M}$ , we have

$$(6) \quad (D_j)_W(\hat{\Omega}_j(Z, \alpha)) = \hat{\Omega}_j(\hat{D}_W(Z, \alpha))$$

where  $D_j$  denotes the natural flat connection on  $\tilde{M} \times V_j$ . This implies that the image of  $\hat{\Omega}_j$  is  $D_j$ -parallel, and hence, by minimality of  $V_j$ , that  $\hat{\Omega}_j$  is onto  $\tilde{M} \times V_j$ . In particular, for  $j = 1, 2$  the normal space in  $V_j$  is spanned by  $\Pi_j(X, Y)$ , for  $X, Y \in T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$ .

Now, we claim that

$$\ker \hat{\Omega}_1 = \ker \hat{\Omega}_2 = \{(0, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}, R(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

Indeed, on the one hand if  $R(\alpha) = 0$  then for every  $\beta \in \wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$  one obtains that  $\langle \Pi_j(\alpha), \Pi_j(\beta) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha), \beta \rangle = 0$  by Lemma 12(a). Since the inner product on  $\nu_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M} \subset V_j$  is nondegenerate and the normal space in  $V_j$  consists of the elements  $\Pi_j(\beta)$  by the conclusion above, it follows that  $\Pi(\alpha) = 0$  and thus  $\hat{\Omega}_j(0, \alpha) = 0 + \Pi_j(\alpha)$  is zero.

On the other hand, if  $\hat{\Omega}_j(Z, \alpha) = 0$  then  $\Omega_j(Z) = 0$  and  $\Pi_j(\alpha) = 0$ , which implies  $Z = 0$  and, for every  $\beta \in \wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$ ,  $0 = \langle \Pi_j(\alpha), \Pi_j(\beta) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha), \beta \rangle$  by Lemma 12(a). Since the inner product on  $\wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$  is non-degenerate, it follows that  $R(\alpha) = 0$  in  $\wedge^2 T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$ , and this ends the proof of the claim.

Since  $\hat{\Omega}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are both surjective with the same kernel, there is a well-defined bundle isomorphism  $L : M \times V_1 \rightarrow M \times V_2$  by

$$L(\hat{\Omega}_1(Z, \alpha)) = \hat{\Omega}_2(Z, \alpha)$$

for  $Z \in T_p M$ ,  $\alpha \in \wedge^2 T_p M$ .

We claim the linear map  $L_p = L|_{\{p\} \times V_1} : \{p\} \times V_1 \rightarrow \{p\} \times V_2$  is independent of  $p \in M$ . Indeed, given two points  $p, q \in M$ , choose a curve  $\gamma(t)$  in  $M$  joining  $p$  to  $q$ . Choose  $\hat{D}_1$ -parallel vector fields  $Z, X_i, Y_i$  along  $\gamma(t)$  such that  $\hat{\Omega}_1(Z, \sum X_i \wedge Y_i)$  is constant equal to  $v \in V_1$ . Then, by (6),  $\hat{D}_\gamma(Z, \sum X_i \wedge Y_i) \subset \ker \hat{\Omega}_1$ . But by Lemma 12(a),  $\ker \hat{\Omega}_1 = \ker \hat{\Omega}_2$ . Therefore, again by (6), we see that  $L(v)$  is constant along  $\gamma$ , so that  $L_p = L_q$ . Calling this one linear map  $L$ , we have  $\hat{\Omega}_2 = L \circ \hat{\Omega}_1$  by construction. In particular,  $\Omega_2 = L \circ \Omega_1$ , finishing the proof that  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are equivalent.  $\square$

Piecing all together, we can prove the main Theorem:

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* Suppose first that  $M$  is a symmetric space, and let  $\tilde{M}$  be its universal cover. From Lemma 9, there exists a skew-symmetric virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0 : T\tilde{M} \rightarrow M \times V$  with  $V = \mathfrak{g}$ . By Lemma 11, since  $M$  is symmetric

then  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  is invariant under  $\pi_1(M)$  and therefore  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  descends to a skew-symmetric virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$ .

Suppose now, on the other hand, that  $M$  admits a full, skew-symmetric virtual immersion  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow V$ . By Lemma 12  $M$  is locally symmetric, thus the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  is a symmetric space and  $\Omega$  lifts to a skew-symmetric virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega} : T\tilde{M} \rightarrow V$  invariant under the action of  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ . Since  $\tilde{M}$  also admits the virtual immersion  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$ , which is full by Lemma 10, it follows from the rigidity Proposition 13 that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_0$ , and in particular  $\tilde{\Omega}_0$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 11, it follows that  $M$  is a symmetric space.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bes87] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1987).
- [MR17] R. Mendes and M. Radeschi, *Generalized immersions and minimal hypersurfaces in compact symmetric spaces*, preprint arXiv:1708.05881 (2017).
- [Ozo74] V. Ozols, *Clifford translations of symmetric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), no. 1, 169–175.
- [Wol62] J. A. Wolf, *Locally symmetric homogeneous spaces*, Comm. Math. Helv. **37** (1962), no. 1, 65–101.

UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `rmendes@gmail.com`

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, US  
*E-mail address:* `mradesch@nd.edu`