

RINGS WITH CANONICAL REDUCTIONS

MEHRAN RAHIMI¹

ABSTRACT. We study the class of one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings with canonical reductions, i.e. admit canonical ideals which are reductions of the maximal ideals, show that it contains the class of almost Gorenstein rings and study characterizations for rings obtained by idealizations or by numerical semigroup rings to have canonical reductions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Barucci and Fröberg, (1997), introduced the class of almost Gorenstein rings which is a subclass of one-dimensional analytically unramified rings. This concept has been generalized to Cohen-Macaulay local rings, studied in details by Goto et.al [13], [15] and [16]. The theory is still developing (see [4] and [12]) introducing new classes of rings such as 2-almost Gorenstein local (2-AGL for short) and generalized Gorenstein local (GGL for short) rings.

The aim of this paper is to study Cohen-Macaulay local rings possessing canonical ideals. Over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) of dimension d , a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module ω is called a *canonical module* of R if $\dim_k \text{Ext}_R^i(k, \omega) = \delta_{id}$. An ideal of R , isomorphic to a canonical module, is called a *canonical ideal* of R . A local ring admits a canonical module if and only if it is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring. Such a ring R admits a canonical ideal if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all associated prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R . (For more informations on canonical modules, see [19] and [2, Section 3.3]).

In Section 2, for a fixed R , we denote by \mathfrak{C}_R , the set of all canonical ideals of R and investigate it in details. Here is a result of this kind.

Result 1.1. (Theorem 2.5) Let I and J belong to \mathfrak{C}_R .

- (a) If $I \subset J$, then $I = xJ$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ where $xR = (I : J)$.
- (b) If $x \in I$ is a regular element, then $xJ = yI$ for some $y \in J$.
- (c) Let $\dim R = 1$. If $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m}) = t$ then \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} does not contain any element of $\text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$, where $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m})$ denotes the reduction number of \mathfrak{m} .

As (a) shows, the *maximal canonical ideals* of R , $\text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$, determines all other canonical ideals. So, non-maximal canonical ideals are contained in \mathfrak{m}^2 . If R have minimal multiplicity, then maximal canonical ideals does not belong to \mathfrak{m}^2 which is a partial converse of (c).

In Section 3, we concentrate on one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings admitting a canonical ideal. When this is the case, canonical ideals are \mathfrak{m} -primary and so, it is natural

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13H10, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay local ring, Gorenstein ring, almost Gorenstein ring, canonical ideal, canonical reduction.

to ask when a canonical ideal is a reduction for \mathfrak{m} . It will be shown first that a canonical ideal is a reduction for \mathfrak{m} when R is an almost Gorenstein ring. By [13], a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is called *almost Gorenstein* if $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) \leq r(R)$. Proposition 3.1 guarantees that almost Gorenstein rings have a canonical reduction. This motivates us to prepare next definition.

Definition 1.2. (Definition 3.2) An ideal I is called a *canonical reduction* of R if I is a canonical ideal of R and is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

It is clear that all Gorenstein local rings, with infinite residue fields, admit canonical reductions. Let R be a non-Gorenstein ring. We set $S_{\mathfrak{C}_R} = \{\ell(R/K) \mid K \in \mathfrak{C}_R\}$. Proposition 3.3 shows that a canonical reduction J , if exists, is a maximal canonical ideal which is not contained in \mathfrak{m}^2 and $\ell(R/J) \in \min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R})$.

Our next result emphasizes on singular one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings.

Result 1.3. (Proposition 3.5) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a singular one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Then*

- (a) *If K is a canonical ideal of R , then $\mathfrak{m}K = \mathfrak{m}(K : \mathfrak{m})$.*
- (b) *If $\min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}) = 2$ then R has a canonical reduction.*

Part (a) is proved before for some special canonical ideals (see[5, Lemma 3.6]). In (b) we deal with the minimum value of $S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}$. Note that the maximal ideals can not be canonical ideals when R is not regular.

It is also proved in (a) that canonical ideals can not be integrally closed in one-dimensional singular local rings. A well known fact that canonical ideals of a normal local ring are integrally closed, motivates us to investigate the theory for rings with dimensions greater than 1.

Result 1.4. (Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 3.7) *Let $\dim R > 1$ and $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$. Then*

- *K is integrally closed if and only if K is a radical ideal.*
- *If all canonical ideals of R are integrally closed, then R is an integrally closed ring.*

Next we try to find rings that does not have a canonical reduction. Proposition 3.8 show that non-almost Gorenstein rings with minimal multiplicity does not have a canonical reduction.

The concepts of generalized Gorenstein and nearly Gorenstein rings are defined in [12] and [20], respectively. Here we prove that nearly Gorenstein rings have canonical reductions. Also we provide a criterion for a generalized Gorenstein ring to admit a canonical reduction. These results will be generalized to rings with arbitrary positive dimensions in section 5.

We close section 3, by providing a characterization for a numerical semigroup ring to admit a canonical reduction (Theorem 3.12). Finally, we study the behavior of certain Ulrich ideals in a ring with canonical reduction.

Result 1.5. (Proposition 3.15) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring with $\dim R = 1$, R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, and R admits an Ulrich ideal I with $\mu(I) > 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) R has a canonical reduction.
- (ii) R is generalized Gorenstein with respect to I , and I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

Section 4 is motivated by [13, Section 6]. The main purpose is to find some characterizations for rings which admit canonical reductions and provide some examples by means of idealizations. In this section the ring R is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with canonical module K_R . It is well-known that if M is maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module, then $R \times M$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with the same residue field of R .

Result 1.6. (Proposition 4.2) *Let R be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with canonical module K_R . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $R \times M$ admits a canonical reduction $I \times L$, for some submodule L of M .
- (ii) $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ and I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

As an immediate corollary, $R \times \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)$ admits a canonical reduction, which means every Cohen-Macaulay local ring R , of dimension one, is homomorphic image of a ring S such that S admits a canonical reduction and $\min(S_{\mathfrak{c}_S}) = 2$.

Next result shows nice behavior of rings with canonical reductions via idealization.

Result 1.7. (Theorem 4.3) *Under the conditions in Result 1.6, the followings statements are equivalent.*

- (i) R has a canonical reduction.
- (ii) $R \times R$ has a canonical reduction.
- (iii) $R \times \mathfrak{m}$ has a canonical reduction.

We end section 4 by constructing some examples of rings with canonical reductions of arbitrary large canonical index in Remark 4.4.

Section 5 deals with rings with canonical reductions whose dimensions are > 1 . First comes the definition.

Definition 1.8. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring admitting a canonical ideal. The ring R is said to have a *canonical reduction* K if K is a canonical ideal of R and there exists an equimultiple ideal I such that $\text{ht}(I) = d - 1$ and $K + I$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

It is proved that every nearly Gorenstein ring admits a canonical reduction (Proposition 5.4). Also Proposition 5.8 gives a criterion for a generalized Gorenstein ring to have a canonical reduction. In particular, it shows that every d -dimensional almost Gorenstein ring admits a canonical reduction. After a discussion about equimultiple ideals, we study canonical reduction via linkage. Here is one of the results.

Result 1.9. (Proposition 5.10) *Let R admits a canonical reduction and I and J be zero-height ideals of R such I is linked to J . If R/I is Cohen-Macaulay and $\mu(J) = 1$ then R/I has a canonical reduction.*

Note that if R is Gorenstein and I and J be as above then it is known that R/I is Gorenstein. Finally, we end the paper by investigating canonical reductions via flat local homomorphisms.

Result 1.10. (Theorem 5.12) *Assume that $\varphi : (R, \mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ is a flat local ring homomorphism of generically Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local rings of positive dimensions d and n , respectively. Assume further that $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is Gorenstein. Consider the following two conditions.*

- (a) R has a canonical reduction and $e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S) = e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S/\mathfrak{m}S)e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$.
- (b) S has a canonical reduction.

Then the following statements hold true.

- (i) If $n = d$, then (a) \Rightarrow (b). The converse holds if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite.
- (ii) If $n > d$, then (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite and $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is a regular ring.

Throughout (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and all R -modules are finitely generated. Set $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$ as the multiplicity of R with respect to \mathfrak{m} . For an R -module M , we denote $\ell(M)$ for the length of M as R -module, and set the Cohen-Macaulay type of M as $r(M) := \ell(\text{Ext}_R^{\dim(M)}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M))$.

2. THE SET OF CANONICAL IDEALS OF A RING

In this chapter, we show that all canonical ideals can be expressed by the set of maximal canonical ideals. In fact, we will prove that each canonical ideal of R is equal to xI for some maximal canonical ideal I and an R -regular element x .

Throughout this section, (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of positive dimension admitting a canonical ideal. Let \mathfrak{C}_R be the set of all canonical ideals of R and set $\text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$ as the set of all maximal elements of \mathfrak{C}_R with respect to inclusion.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $I \subsetneq J$ be ideals of R such that I is a canonical ideal of R . Then $\mu(I : J) = r(J)$, where $r(J) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, J))$ is the type of J as an R -module.*

Proof. Set $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{m} . Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$ on the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow J/I \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow R/J \longrightarrow 0$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/J, E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(J/I, E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As R/I is a Gorenstein ring of dimension 0, $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, E) \cong T/I$ for some proper ideal T of R and $\text{Hom}_R(J/I, E) \cong R/T$. By Gorensteinness of I , $T = I : (I : T) = (I : J)$ and so $\text{Hom}_R(J/I, E) \cong R/(I : J)$. On the other hand, the sequence $0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow J/I \longrightarrow 0$ implies the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, J/I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, J) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, J/I) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $r(I) = 1$, we get the Bass numbers $\mu^0(J/I) = 1$ and so $\mu^1(J/I) = r(J)$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$ on the minimal injective resolution $0 \longrightarrow J/I \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{\mathfrak{r}(J)} \longrightarrow \dots$ of J/I , implies the exact sequence $\dots \longrightarrow \widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{r}(J)} \longrightarrow \widehat{R} \longrightarrow R/(I : J) \longrightarrow 0$, which

is the minimal free resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(J/I, E) \cong R/(I : J)$ over \widehat{R} . Therefore, we have $\mu(I : J) = \mu_{\widehat{R}}((I : J)\widehat{R}) = r(J)$. \square

Remark 2.2. Let R be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring which is not regular. In [10, Corollary 2.4], it is shown that the maximal ideal of R is not a canonical ideal of R . As a consequence, Lemma 2.1 shows that \mathfrak{m}^i is not canonical ideal of R for each $i > 0$.

In the following, it is shown that every canonical ideal of R which is not contained in \mathfrak{m}^2 is a maximal canonical ideal of R . First we state a lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and that I is a height one ideal of R . Assume that $a \in I$ is a superficial element which is R -regular. If $P \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$ such that I_P is cyclic then $I_P = aR_P$.*

Proof. Let $I_P = xR_P$. By [23, Lemma 8.5.3], $I^{n+1} : a = I^n$ for $n \gg 0$. Localizing at P , we get $x^{n+1}R_P : aR_P = x^nR_P$ which means aR_P is a superficial element of xR_P . Therefore $aR_P = xR_P = I_P$. \square

Definition 2.4. Let R be a local ring and I be an ideal of R . Then I is called *equimultiple* if I has a reduction J such that $\mu(J) = \text{ht}(I)$. In this case J is a minimal reduction of R .

Note that \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals are equimultiple ideals.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 1$ with infinite residue field. Let I be a canonical ideal of R .*

- (a) *If $I \not\subseteq \text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$ then for any $T \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ containing I properly, $I = xT$ for some regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$.*
- (b) *(See [19, Satz 2.8].) If $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ and $x \in K$ is an R -regular element, then there exists $y \in I$ such that $yK = xI$. In this situation, x is a reduction of K if and only if y is a reduction of J .*
- (c) *Let $\dim R = 1$. If $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m}) = t$ then \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} does not contain any element of $\text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$. In particular, if R has minimal multiplicity, then non-maximal canonical ideals of R are exactly the canonical ideals which contained in \mathfrak{m}^2 .*

Proof. (a). Assume that T is a canonical ideal of R properly containing I . First let $d = 1$. As $r(T) = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, $\mu_R(I : T) = 1$. One may write $(I : T) = aR$ for some regular element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$. As $I \subseteq (I : T) = aR$, there is an ideal J such that $I = aJ$ and consequently J is a canonical ideal of R . As R is non-Gorenstein, J is a proper ideal and so $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$. As I is Gorenstein ideal of R , $T = (I : a) = (aJ : a) = J$. Note that in this case, we do not need the assumption that R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite.

Now let $d > 1$. Choose $a \in (I : T)$ to be a superficial element which is R -regular. As R/I is Gorenstein, $T = I : (I : T) \subseteq (I : a)$. We claim that $T = (I : a)$. To proceed the proof, we will show that $(I : a)R_P \subseteq T_P$ for all $P \in \text{Ass}(R/T)$. By Lemma 2.3, if $P \in \text{Ass}(R/(I :$

$T)) (= \text{Ass}(T/I))$ then $IR_P = xTR_P$ for some $x \in PR_P$ which depends on P . By proof of one-dimensional case and Lemma 2.3, $(I : T)R_P = aR_P$ and $I_P = aTR_P$ for all $P \in \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$. Now let $P \in \text{Ass}(R/T)$. There is two possible cases.

(i) $P \in \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$. In this case $(I : a)R_P = (aT_P : aR_P) = T_P \subseteq TR_P$.

(ii) $P \notin \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$. Then $T_P = I_P$ and $(I : a)R_P = (T_P : aR_P)$. If $a \notin P$ then we are done. Assume contrary that $a \in P$. Then $P \in \text{Ass}(R/aR) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$ which is a contradiction by assumption.

Hence $T = (I : a)$ and $(I : T) = aR + I$. If $(I : T)$ is not equimultiple then there exists a superficial element $a \in I$ for $(I : T)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, we must have $(I : T) = aR + I = I$ which means $T = R$ and R is Gorenstein, a contradiction. Therefore $(I : T)$ is equimultiple, and so $\text{Ass}(R/aR) = \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$. As $(I : T)_P = aR_P$ for all $P \in \text{Ass}(R/(I : T))$, this means $(I : T) = aR$ and $I \subseteq aR$. Set $I = aJ$. Then $T = (I : a) = (aJ : a) = J$ and the proof is complete.

(b). As $x \in K$, $xI \subseteq K$ and so by (a) there exists $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $xI = yK$.

(c). Let $T \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t+1}$ be a maximal element of \mathfrak{C}_R . As R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{t+1} = x\mathfrak{m}^t$. Therefore $T = xJ$ for some canonical ideal J of R , which contradicts with $T \in \text{Max}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$. \square

The following example shows that residue rings of maximal canonical ideals may not have the equal lengths.

Example 2.6. (See [13, Example 3.13]). Let $R = k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]] \subseteq k[[t]]$. Then $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 3$, R has minimal multiplicity with $\mathfrak{m}^2 = t^3\mathfrak{m}$, R is almost Gorenstein, and $K = (t^3, t^4)$ is a canonical ideal of R with $\ell(R/K) = 2$. Hence K is a maximal canonical ideal of R . Set $I = (t^4, t^5)$, then $t^6K = t^6(t^3, t^4) = t^5(t^4, t^5) = t^5I$ which means I is a canonical ideal of R . As $t^5 \in I$, one has $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$ which means I is a maximal canonical ideal by Proposition 2.5, while the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(t^3, t^5) \xrightarrow{\times t^3} R/I \longrightarrow R/(t^3, t^5) \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that $\ell(R/I) = 4$.

3. RINGS WITH CANONICAL REDUCTIONS

In this section, after presenting the definition of canonical reductions for one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings, we explore the rings which admit canonical reductions. The first result concerns almost Gorenstein rings.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one dimensional almost Gorenstein local ring. Then, for each R -regular element x , there exists a canonical ideal J for R , depending on x , such that $x \in J$ and $J\mathfrak{m} \subseteq xR$. In particular, if R has infinite residue field then R admits a canonical ideal which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .*

Proof. In case R is Gorenstein, the ideal $J := xR$ satisfies the required property for any regular element x . So we assume that R is an almost Gorenstein ring which is not Gorenstein. Assume

that K is a canonical ideal of R and that $\omega \in K$ is a reduction of K . By [13, Theorem 3.11], we have $\mathfrak{m}K = \omega\mathfrak{m}$. Assume that x is a regular element of R . As $xK \subseteq \omega R$ we have $xK = \omega J$ for some ideal J of R . Hence we have $\omega J\mathfrak{m} = xK\mathfrak{m} = x\omega\mathfrak{m}$ which means $J\mathfrak{m} = x\mathfrak{m}$ and J/xR is a vector space over R/\mathfrak{m} . Note that $J \cong K$, therefore J is a canonical ideal of R contains x .

In particular, if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, there is a superficial element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . Now the result follows by above discussion. \square

Proposition 3.1 motivates us to investigate the class of one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings admitting a canonical ideal which is a reduction of the maximal ideal. This class contains one-dimensional Gorenstein local rings with infinite residue fields. Now we are ready for the main definition of this section.

Definition 3.2. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical ideal. A *canonical reduction* of R is a canonical ideal I of R such that I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

Let R be a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring with infinite residue field. Then R admits a reduction element x which is also R -regular. As xR is a canonical ideal of R , every one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring with infinite residue field admits a canonical reduction. Also Proposition 3.1 shows that every one-dimensional almost Gorenstein local ring with infinite residue field admits a canonical reduction.

There is no general information in case the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} is finite. Note that [13, Remark 2.10] indicates that the ring $R = k[[X, Y, Z]]/(X, Y) \cap (X, Z) \cap (Y, Z)$, where $k[[X, Y, Z]]$ is the power series ring over field k , is almost Gorenstein in the sense of [13, Definition 3.1]. The ideal $I = (x + y, y + z)$ is a canonical ideal of R and $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2) = I\mathfrak{m}$. This means that I is a canonical reduction of R , while I has no principle reduction when $k = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting a canonical ideal. Remind that \mathfrak{C}_R is denoted for the set of all canonical ideals of R and that $S_{\mathfrak{C}_R} := \{\ell(R/K) \mid K \in \mathfrak{C}_R\}$. Note that $1 \notin S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}$ by Remark 2.2.

Proposition 3.3. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and that R admits a canonical reduction K . Then

- (a) for each canonical ideal J of R , $\ell(R/J) \geq \ell(R/K)$ and equality holds if and only if J is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . In particular $\min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}) = \ell(R/K)$.
- (b) $\ell(R/K) \leq e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) - r(R) + 1$. Equality holds if and only if R is almost Gorenstein.

Proof. (a). By the uniqueness of canonical modules there exists an R -isomorphism $f : K \rightarrow J$. Assume that $x \in K$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} and set $y = f(x)$. Then $K/xR \cong J/yR$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(R/K) &= \ell(R/xR) - \ell(K/xR) \\
 &= e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) - \ell(K/xR) \\
 &\leq \ell(R/yR) - \ell(J/yR) \\
 &= \ell(R/J).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

Therefore $\ell(R/K) \leq \ell(R/J)$ and equality holds if and only if $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = \ell(R/yR)$ which, by [23, Theorem 11.3.1], $y \in J$ and x is a reduction element for \mathfrak{m} .

(b). Using the second equality of (1) and the fact that $\ell(K/xR) \geq \mu(K/xR) = r(R) - 1$ imply the claimed inequality. Equality holds if and only if $\ell(K/xR) = r(R) - 1$, i.e. R is almost Gorenstein. \square

By [7, Proposition 4.1], if R is a d -dimensional generically Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$, all canonical ideals of R have the same reduction number, i.e. the reduction number of a canonical ideal is an invariant denoted by $\rho(R)$ and is called the *canonical index* of R (see [7, Definition 4.2]). It is proved, in [13, Theorem 3.7], that R is Gorenstein if and only if $\rho(R) = 1$. The nearest case to Gorenstein rings by means of $\rho(R)$ is the case when $\rho(R) = 2$ which is the object of the following remark. Note that if R is a one-dimensional non-Gorenstein almost Gorenstein local ring then $\rho(R) = 2$ by [13, Theorem 3.16].

Remark 3.4. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field admitting a canonical ideal K . Let $\rho(R) = 2$ and set $x \in K$ as a reduction of K . Then the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x : K)$ is independent from a particular canonical ideal K and a reduction element x by [4, Theorem 2.5]. Moreover, by [4, Proposition 2.3], $K^2 \subseteq xR$ which means $K^2 = xJ$ for some proper ideal J of R . We list some statements with sketches of their proofs.

- (i) $K : (x : K) = J$. By [4, Proposition 2.3], when $\rho(R) = 2$ we have $\mathfrak{a} = (xK : K^2) = (xK : xJ) = (K : J)$, which means $K : (x : K) = J$.
- (ii) $J^2 = K^2 = xJ = KJ$. As $K^2 = xJ$, so $x^2J^2 = K^4 = xK^3 = x^2K^2$ which means $J^2 = K^2 = xJ$.
- (iii) $(K : \mathfrak{m}^i) \subseteq J$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^i + K$. In particular $(K : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq J \subset \mathfrak{m}$. If $J = K$, then R is Gorenstein, a contradiction. Therefore, As $(K : J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, we get $(K : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq K : (K : J) = J$.
- (iv) R is almost Gorenstein if and only if $J = (K : \mathfrak{m}) = (x : \mathfrak{m})$. By [4, Proposition 2.3 (4)], R is almost Gorenstein if and only if $\mathfrak{m}K^2 \subseteq xK$, which is equivalent to say $\mathfrak{m}J \subseteq K$. In this case, as $\mathfrak{m}K = \mathfrak{m}x$ by [13, Theorem 3.11], we have $\mathfrak{m}J = \mathfrak{m}x$ and so $J \subseteq (x : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq (K : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq J$ which means $J = (K : \mathfrak{m}) = (x : \mathfrak{m})$.

The following result shows that the $\min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R})$ assigns canonical reduction when it equals to two.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a non-regular one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring admitting a canonical ideal.*

- (a) (See [5, Lemma 3.6]) *If K is a canonical ideal of R then $\mathfrak{m}K = \mathfrak{m}(K : \mathfrak{m})$.*
- (b) *Let $\min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}) = 2$. Then R has a canonical reduction.*
- (c) *Let $\rho(R) = 2$ and $\min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R}) \leq 3$. Then R is almost Gorenstein.*

Proof. Let I be a height one ideal of R . From the natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}(I : \mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m}I \longrightarrow I/\mathfrak{m}I \longrightarrow (I : \mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m}(I : \mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow (I : \mathfrak{m})/I \longrightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $\mathfrak{m}I = \mathfrak{m}(I : \mathfrak{m})$ if and only if $\mu(I : \mathfrak{m}) = \mu(I) + r(R/I)$.

(a). By Lemma 2.1, $\mu(K : \mathfrak{m}) = r(\mathfrak{m})$. Choose an R -regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$r(\mathfrak{m}) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})) = \ell(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}/x\mathfrak{m})) = \ell((x\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})/x\mathfrak{m}).$$

As R is not regular, we have $(x\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m}) = (x : \mathfrak{m})$ and thus $\mathfrak{m}(x : \mathfrak{m}) = x\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore $r(\mathfrak{m}) = \ell((x\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})/x\mathfrak{m}) = \ell((x : \mathfrak{m})/x\mathfrak{m}) = \mu(x : \mathfrak{m})$. Note that $r(R) = r(R/xR) = \ell(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R/xR)) = \mu((x : \mathfrak{m})/xR) = \mu(x : \mathfrak{m}) - 1$. Therefore, by the above discussion, we have $\mathfrak{m}K = \mathfrak{m}(K : \mathfrak{m})$.

(b). Assume that K is a canonical ideal of R with $\ell(R/K) = 2$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m} = (K : \mathfrak{m})$. Therefore by (a), we have $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}(K : \mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}K$ and so K is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

(c). Assume that $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ with $\ell(R/K) = \min(S_{\mathfrak{C}_R})$. By Remark 3.4 (iii), $K^2 = xJ$, where $x \in K$ is a reduction of K , and $(K : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore $J = (K : \mathfrak{m})$ in both case and R is almost Gorenstein by Remark 3.4 (iv). \square

By Proposition 3.5 (a), canonical ideals of a one-dimensional non-regular Cohen-Macaulay local rings cannot be integrally closed. Next we deal with the same situation when $\dim R > 1$. First we prove a lemma

1

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting a canonical ideal K . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) K is integrally closed
- (ii) R/K is reduced.

When this is the case, R_P is regular for all $P \in \text{Ass}_R(R/K)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). let $P \in \text{Ass}(R/K)$. If R_P is not regular then $K_P P R_P = P R_P (K_P : P R_P)$ by Proposition 3.5, which means $\overline{K_P} \subseteq \overline{(K_P : P R_P)}$. As K_P is integrally closed, this contradiction yields that R_P is regular for all $P \in \text{Ass}(R/K)$ and so $K_P = P R_P$. Therefore, if $P \in \text{Ass}(R/K)$, $(R/K)_P = R_P/P R_P$ and R/K is reduced.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By assumption $K_P = P R_P$ for all $P \in \text{Ass}(R/K)$ and so K is equal to intersection of all associated primes of R/K , which means K is integrally closed. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, with infinite residue field. admitting a canonical ideal.*

- (a) Let $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ be an integrally closed canonical ideal of R . Then, for all canonical ideals J with $K \subseteq J$, J is integrally closed.
- (b) If all canonical ideals of R be integrally closed, then R is reduced and integrally closed in its total rings of fractions.

Proof. (a). By Proposition 2.5(i), $K = xJ$ where $xR = (K : J)$. Therefore, as K is integrally closed, x and $J = (K : x)$ are integrally closed.

(b). We show that every principal ideal of R , generated by a non-zero divisor, is integrally closed and the result will follow by [23, Proposition 1.5.2]. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a non-zero divisor and J an arbitrary canonical ideal of R . Set $K = xJ$. Then by assumption K is integrally closed and, by Proposition 2.5(i), $xR = (K : J)$ is an integrally closed ideal. \square

Next proposition shows that, a ring with minimal multiplicity admits a canonical reduction if and only if it is almost Gorenstein. Recall that R is said to have *minimal multiplicity* if $\mu(\mathfrak{m}) = e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. When R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, this is equivalent to saying $\mathfrak{m}^2 = x\mathfrak{m}$ for some reduction element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ (e. g. see [2, Exercise 4.6.14]). In this case, R has minimal multiplicity if and only if $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = r(R) + 1$.

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and that K is a canonical reduction of R . The following statements hold true.*

- (a) *R has minimal multiplicity if and only if R is almost Gorenstein and $\ell(R/K) = 2$.*
- (b) *If $\rho(R) = 2$, then R is almost Gorenstein with minimal multiplicity if and only if $\ell(R/K) = 2$.*

Proof. (a). Choose $x \in K$ as a reduction of \mathfrak{m} and set $e := e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$ and $r = r(R)$. Assume that $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = r(R) + 1$. We have, by Proposition 3.3, $\ell(R/K) \leq e - r + 1 = r + 1 - r + 1 = 2$. As $\ell(R/K) > 1$, $\ell(R/K) = 2$. Therefore $r + 1 = e = \ell(R/K) + \ell(K/xR) = 2 + \ell(K/xR)$ which means $\ell(K/xR) = r - 1$ and R is almost Gorenstein.

The converse is clear as $e = \ell(R/xR) = \ell(R/K) + \ell(K/xR) = 2 + r - 1 = r + 1$.

(b). We may write $K^2 = xI$ for some ideal I . We have $K \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Since R is not Gorenstein and $\ell(\mathfrak{m}/K) = 1$, we get $K^2 = x\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}K^2 = x\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq xK$ which means R is almost Gorenstein. \square

By Proposition 3.8, if R is a non-almost Gorenstein ring with minimal multiplicity, then R does not have a canonical reduction. To see some examples of such rings one may consult with [4, Example 3.2 (2), Example 3.4, Example 3.5, Example 5.9].

Recall that for an R -module M , its trace, denote by $\text{tr}_R(M)$, define as the sum of ideals $f(M)$ where $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$. Let R admits a canonical module K_R . In [20], authors considered $\text{tr}(K_R)$, the trace of canonical module of R , and prove several propositions and define the concept of *nearly Gorenstein rings*. A Cohen-Macaulay local ring R called nearly Gorenstein if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \text{tr}_R(K_R)$ where K_R denote the canonical module of R . As a consequence, [20, Lemma 2.1] yields that, R admits a canonical ideal if and only if $\text{tr}(K_R)$ has an R -regular element.

In the next result we give some characterizations for a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring to have a canonical reduction.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a non-Gorenstein one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field which admits a canonical ideal. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) R has a canonical reduction.
- (ii) R has a canonical ideal K such that, for some regular element $a \in K$, $(a : K)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .
- (iii) Any canonical ideal I of R admits a regular element $y \in I$ such that $(y : I)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .
- (iv) $\text{tr}(K_R)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that K is a canonical ideal of R which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . As R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, there exists $x \in K$ which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . As $xR \subseteq (x : K)$, $(x : K)$ is also a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By assumption, there exists $x \in (a : K)$ which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . As $xK \subseteq aR$, one may write $xK = aJ$ for ideal J of R . Therefore J is a canonical ideal of R . As $J \neq R$, J is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Clear as all canonical ideals are R -isomorphic.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) is clear as $K \subseteq \text{tr}(K_R)$. For converse, let K be an arbitrary canonical ideal of R and set $x \in K$ as a reduction of K and assume that $K^{t+1} = xK^t$. By [6, Proposition 3.3 (3)], we have $x\text{tr}(K_R) = K(x : K)$. Multiplying with K^t we have

$$K^{t+1}(x : K) = xK^t\text{tr}(K_R) = K^{t+1}\text{tr}(K_R),$$

which means $(x : K)$ is a reduction of $\text{tr}(K_R)$. Now the result follows by (iii). \square

Let R be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring admitting a canonical ideal. Then R is generalized Gorenstein if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, with $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$, such that C is free as R/\mathfrak{a} -module where $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ann}(C)$ (see [12, Theorem 2.3]).

Corollary 3.10. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting a canonical ideal K .*

- (a) *If R is nearly Gorenstein, or R has self-dual maximal ideal, then R has a canonical reduction.*
- (b) *Let R be a generalized Gorenstein ring with respect to \mathfrak{a} . Then, R has a canonical reduction if and only if \mathfrak{a} is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .*

Proof. (a). When R is nearly Gorenstein, $\text{tr}_R(K) = \mathfrak{m}$ and so R has a canonical reduction by Proposition 3.9 (a)(iv). The second claim follows from [21, Proposition 3.6].

(b). Follows immediately by definition and Proposition 3.9. \square

Notation 3.11. Assume that k is an infinite field. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ and let $\Gamma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be the numerical semigroup generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . The subring $R := k[[t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}, \dots, t^{a_n}]]$ of the power series ring $k[[t]]$ is called the semigroup ring of Γ

over k . The ring R is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local domain. The maximum element of the set $\mathbb{V} := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \alpha \notin \Gamma \}$, denoted by f , is called the *Frobenius number* of Γ . The fractional ideal $T = \langle t^{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{V} \rangle$ is a canonical module of R (e.g. see [18, Example 2.1.9]). Next theorem characterizes numerical semigroup rings to have canonical reduction. Note that R is Gorenstein if and only if Γ is symmetric, i.e. $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$ if and only if $f - \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that R is a semigroup ring as in the Notation 3.11. Then R has a canonical reduction if and only if $a_1 + f - \alpha \in \Gamma$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$.*

Proof. For “if” part, note that by assumption $t^{f+a_1}T \subseteq R$ and $t^{a_1} \in t^{f+a_1}T$. Therefore $t^{f+a_1}T$ is a canonical reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

For “only if” part, assume that I is a canonical reduction of R . By Proposition 2.5, $xI = yT$ for some $x, y \in R$. Let $b \in I$ such that $xb = yt^{-f}$, then $y = xbt^{-f}$ and therefore $I = bt^fT$. As $R \subseteq t^fT \subseteq \overline{R}$, by [13, Corollary 2.8], $b \in I$ is a reduction of I . Since I is a canonical reduction, b is also a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . Assume that $b = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i t^{g_i}$, $\lambda_i \in k$ for all i , and that $\mathfrak{m}^{s+1} = \mathfrak{m}^s b$. Therefore $t^{a_1(s+1)} \in \mathfrak{m}^s b$ which means $g_j = a_1$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq h$, because $a_1 \leq a_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_1 = g_1$ and hence we may write, after multiplying by λ_1^{-1} , $b = t^{a_1} + \sum_{i=2}^h \lambda'_i t^{g_i}$, $\lambda'_i \in k$ for all i . For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$ we have, by $I = bt^fT$, $bt^f t^{-\alpha} = t^{f+a_1-\alpha} + \sum_{i=2}^h \lambda'_i t^{f+g_i-\alpha} \subseteq R$. The last equality means $f + a_1 - \alpha \in \Gamma$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$, as desired. \square

Example 3.13. Let $e \geq 4$ and choose $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < j - 1 \leq i < e - j$. The numerical semigroup ring

$$R = k[[t^e, t^{e+1}, \dots, t^{e+i}, t^{e+i+j}, t^{e+i+j+1}, \dots, t^{2e+i+j-1}]]$$

admits a canonical reduction by Theorem 3.12. The ideal $(t^{e+1}, t^{e+2}, \dots, t^{e+j-1})$ is a canonical reduction of R .

Example 3.14. Let $a \geq 3$ and $R = k[[t^a, t^{a+1}, t^{a+2}]]$. Then $f = 2a - 1$ and for all i , $a + 3 \leq i \leq 2a - 1$, $t^i \notin R$. In this case R has a canonical reduction if and only if $a = 3, 4, 5$ or 6 .

We end this section with some results about the relation between canonical reductions and Ulrich ideals. An \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I of R is said to be an *Ulrich ideal* if $I^2 = xI$ for some regular element $x \in I$ and I/I^2 is a free R/I -module (see [14, Definition 2.1]). When I is an Ulrich ideal of R , one has $(x : I) = I$ [14, Corollary 2.6] and the second condition is equivalent to I/xR being R/I -free [14, Lemma 2.3]. Next theorem gives a characterization, for rings admitting some certain kind of Ulrich ideals, to have a canonical reduction.

Proposition 3.15. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field such that R admits a canonical ideal. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary Ulrich ideal with $\mu(I) > 2$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) R has a canonical reduction.

(b) R is generalized Gorenstein local with respect to I , and I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

When this is the case, $\rho(R) = 2$ and I is the unique Ulrich ideal of R , which is isomorphic to $(x : K)$ for an arbitrary $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ and $x \in K$ as a reduction.

Proof. First note that if R is generalized Gorenstein then $\rho(R) = 2$ (see [12, Fact 2.2 and Theorem 2.3]). Thus, as mentioned in Remark 3.4, the ideal $(x : K)$ is independent from a particular canonical ideal K and a reduction element $x \in K$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Let K be a canonical reduction of R , $x \in K$ as a reduction and set $\mathfrak{a} := (x : K)$. First note that if $a \in K$ be a regular element, then $(a : K) \subseteq I$ by [16, Corollary 2.12]. Therefore, as K can generate by regular elements, we have $K \subseteq I$. Hence $x \in K$ is also a reduction of I , which means $I^2 = xI$ and we have $I \subseteq (x : I) \subseteq (x : K) \subseteq I$. Therefore $K \subseteq I = (x : K)$ and so $\rho(R) = 2$ and $I = \mathfrak{a} = (x : K)$ is the unique Ulrich ideal of R . It remains to show that R is generalized Gorenstein.

As $\rho(R) = 2$, [4, Proposition 2.5] (2) and [12, Theorem 2.3] implies that R is generalized Gorenstein if and only if K^2/x^2R is free R/\mathfrak{a} -module. Note that $K^2 \subseteq xR$. By Remark 3.4 (i), $K^2 = xJ$ for some proper ideal J of R where $K : (x : K) = J$. As \mathfrak{a} is Ulrich ideal, $\mathfrak{a}^2 \subseteq K$ and so $\mathfrak{a} = (x : K) \subseteq J$, which means $K^2 = x(x : K) = x\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^2$. Now, as \mathfrak{a}/xR is free R/\mathfrak{a} -module, we have $K^2/x^2R = x\mathfrak{a}/x^2R \cong \mathfrak{a}/xR$ and the result follows by [14, Lemma 2.3].

(b) \Rightarrow (a). By assumption $I = (a : T)$ for some $T \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ and $a \in T$ as a reduction. Choosing $x \in I$ as a reduction element, there exists a canonical ideal $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ such that $xT = aK$ and so $x \in K$. As x is a reduction of I and I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} , it follows that K is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} and R has a canonical reduction. \square

A ring R that admits an Ulrich ideal which is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} may not possess a canonical reduction (see [11, Example 5.7 (3)]).

Ulrich ideals with minimum number of generators 2, are less well known. In next section, it will be shown that if R admits a canonical reduction, then so does $R \times R$, the idealization of R over R . In particular, if a is an R -regular element, then $aR \times R$ is an Ulrich ideal of $R \times R$ and $\mu(aR \times R) = 2$.

The notion of 2-AGL rings is defined in [4] as a partial generalization for almost Gorenstein rings. If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring admitting a canonical ideal K , then R is 2-AGL if and only if $\rho(R) = 2$ and $\ell(K^2/xK) = 2$ for some element $x \in K$ as a reduction (see [4, Lemma 3.1]). Goto et.al studied in [17] the 2-AGL rings which admit Ulrich ideals I with $\mu(I) = 2$. In this connection, we state the following result.

Corollary 3.16. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional 2-AGL ring with infinite residue field. If R admits an Ulrich ideal I with $\mu(I) = 2$ then R has a canonical reduction.*

Proof. Let J be a canonical ideal of R . Set $x \in J$ as a reduction of J and $\mathfrak{a} = (x : J)$. As R is a 2-AGL ring, $\ell(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 2$ by [4, Proposition 3.3]. By [17, Proposition 2.3 (1)], J/xR is free over R/\mathfrak{a} which means R is a generalized Gorenstein local ring with respect to \mathfrak{a} . Therefore, by Proposition 3.9, it is enough to prove that \mathfrak{a} is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . By [17, Proposition 2.3], $\mu(\mathfrak{a}) = \mu(\mathfrak{m}) - 1$

while $\ell(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 2$. Hence the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\ell(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}) = \mu(\mathfrak{m}) = \ell(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$, which implies that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}$, that is \mathfrak{a} is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . \square

4. CANONICAL REDUCTIONS VIA IDEALIZATION

The aim of this chapter is to find a characterization for rings with canonical reduction via idealization. Throughout this section (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim R = 1$, M an R -module. By Nagata extension $R \times M$, we mean idealization of M over R . Note that $R \times M$ is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{m} \times M$. The ring $R \times M$ is Cohen-Macaulay provided M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module. Also one has $(R \times M)/(\mathfrak{m} \times M) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, i.e. R and $R \times M$ has the same residue field.

Assume that K_R is a canonical module of R . In [13, Proposition 6.1], it is shown that if I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R , then $R \times \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ admits a canonical ideal of the form $I \times L$. In [13, Theorem 6.3], a partial converse is provided i.e. if $R \times M$ is almost Gorenstein then $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ for some primary ideal I with some extra conditions.

The next lemma shows that if $R \times M$ has a canonical ideal $I \times L$, then R admits a canonical module K_R and $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and that M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $R \times M$ admits a canonical ideal $I \times L$.
- (ii) M admits a submodule L which is a canonical module of R such that $\text{Hom}_R(M/L, E) \cong R/I$ for some ideal I of R of height one.
- (iii) R admits a canonical module K_R and $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ for some ideal I of height one.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). As $(R \times M)/(I \times L) \cong (R/I) \times (M/L)$ is a Gorenstein ring, M/L is the canonical module of the zero dimensional ring R/I and so $\text{Hom}_R(M/L, E) \cong R/I$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a regular element of R so that $(I/xI) \times (L/xL)$ is also the canonical module of $(R/xR) \times (M/xM)$. Let $\bar{t} \in \text{Soc}(L/xL)$ for $t \in L$. Then, for every $(r, w) \in \mathfrak{m} \times M$, we have $(r, w)(0, \bar{t}) = (0, r\bar{t}) = (0, 0)$ which means $0 \times \text{Soc}(L/xL) \subseteq \text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL))$. As $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong (R \times M)/(\mathfrak{m} \times M)$, we have

$$\text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Soc}(L/xL)) \leq \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL))) = 1$$

which means $\text{r}(L/xL) = 1$ and L is a canonical ideal of R .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). As $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) \cong M/L$, M/L is a canonical ideal of R/I and therefore $R/I \times M/L \cong (R \times M)/(I \times L)$ is Gorenstein. As $I \times L$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R \times M$ -module, we need to prove $\text{r}(I \times L) = 1$. Choose an R -regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then $(x, 0)$, the image of x in $R \times M$, is also an $R \times M$ -regular and $(I \times L)/x(I \times L) \cong (I/xI) \times (L/xL)$. Hence it is enough to compute $\text{r}((I/xI) \times (L/xL))$. Note that $\text{r}((I/xI) \times (L/xL)) = \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL)))$.

If $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in \text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL))$ then, for each $r \in \mathfrak{m}$, $(r\bar{a}, r\bar{b}) = (0, 0)$ which means $\bar{a} \in \text{Soc}(I/xI)$ and $\bar{b} \in \text{Soc}(L/xL)$. Assume that $\bar{a} \neq 0$. As L/xL is a canonical module of $\bar{R} := R/xR$, $\text{Hom}_R(\bar{R}/a\bar{R}, L/xL) \neq 0$ and so there exists $\bar{l} \in L/xL$ such that $\bar{a}\bar{l} \neq 0$. Hence $(\bar{a}, \bar{b})(0, \bar{l}) = (0, \bar{a}\bar{l}) \neq (0, 0)$ which is a contradiction because $\mathfrak{m} \times M$ is the maximal ideal of

$R \times M$ and $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in \text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL))$. Therefore $\text{Soc}((I/xI) \times (L/xL)) \cong 0 \times \text{Soc}(L/xL)$. As L is canonical module of R , we find that $\text{r}((I/xI) \times (L/xL)) = \text{r}(L/xL) = 1$. Therefore $I \times L$ is a canonical ideal of $R \times M$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, L)$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, L) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_R(L, L) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M/L, L) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\text{Hom}_R(L, L) \cong R$, there exists an ideal J of R such that $J \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, L)$ and $R/J \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M/L, L)$. Our assumption, $\text{Hom}_R(M/L, E) \cong R/I$, implies that $IM \subseteq L$. If $x \in I$ is an R -regular element, $\text{Ext}_R^1(M/L, L) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M/L, L/xL) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E), L/xL)$. Therefore

$$J = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(M/L, L)) = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E), L/xL)) = I$$

and the result follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Follows by the result of Goto-Matsuoka-Phuong, [13, Proposition 6.1]. \square

Lemma 4.1 shows that, in order to study idealizations of R that admits canonical ideals, it is enough to consider idealizations of the form $R \times \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$, where I is a height one ideal of R and K_R the canonical module of R .

The next proposition shows that when an idealization of R has a canonical reduction.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module and that I is an ideal of R . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $R \times M$ has a canonical reduction $I \times L$ for some submodule L of M .
- (ii) $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ and I is a reduction \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By Lemma 4.1, $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$. Since $I \times L$ is a reduction for $\mathfrak{m} \times M$, there exists a positive integer t such that $(\mathfrak{m} \times M)^{t+1} = (I \times L)(\mathfrak{m} \times M)^t$. Note that $(\mathfrak{m} \times M)^{t+1} = \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} \times \mathfrak{m}^t M$ and $(I \times L)(\mathfrak{m} \times M)^t = I\mathfrak{m}^t \times (\mathfrak{m}^t L + I\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}M)$. Comparing the first summands, gives $\mathfrak{m}^{t+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^t$ that is I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By [13, Proposition 6.1], there exists a submodule L , isomorph to K_R , of M such that $I \times L$ is a canonical ideal of R . Assume that $\mathfrak{m}^s = I\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}$. It follows that $(I \times L)(\mathfrak{m} \times M)^s = (\mathfrak{m} \times M)^{s+1}$. \square

In [13, Theorem 6.5] it is proved that, for a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , $R \times \mathfrak{m}$ is almost Gorenstein if and only if R is almost Gorenstein. Next theorem shows that the same happens for rings with canonical reduction. Moreover, it shows that the class of rings R with canonical reductions is a natural notion when we compare it with $R \times R$.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) R has a canonical reduction K .
- (ii) $R \times I$ has a canonical reduction for any ideal I containing K .

(iii) $R \times \mathfrak{m}$ has a canonical reduction.

(iv) $R \times R$ has a canonical reduction.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let I be an ideal of R containing K , j the inclusion map from K to I . Consider the R -monomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(I, K) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{Hom}_R(K, K)$. As there is an R -isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(K, K) \xrightarrow{\psi} R$, each element $f \in \text{Hom}_R(I, K)$ corresponds to some $r \in R$ depending on f .

On the other hand, for each $a \in K$, consider $f_a \in \text{Hom}_R(I, K)$ such that $f(x) = xa$ for all $x \in I$. Therefore $j^*(f_a)$ corresponds to a . In other words, $\psi \circ j^*(\text{Hom}_R(I, K))$ contains K . Note that the ideal $T := \psi \circ j^*(\text{Hom}_R(I, K))$ is a proper ideal since K is a maximal canonical ideal by Proposition 3.3. As K is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} , T is also a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . Now, by Proposition 4.2, $R \times \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, K), K) \cong R \times I$ has a canonical reduction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Clear since $K \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). As $R \times \mathfrak{m}$ has a canonical reduction, by Proposition 4.2, R admits the canonical module K_R and there exists an ideal I of R of height one such that $\mathfrak{m} \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$. Therefore, $I \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)$ and I is a reduction for \mathfrak{m} . As, by [10, Corollary 2.4], \mathfrak{m} is not a canonical ideal of R , we have $I \not\cong R$. Lemma 4.1 suggests that a canonical reduction of $R \times \mathfrak{m}$ is of the form $I \times L$ for some proper ideal L such that L is a canonical ideal of R . Moreover $R/I \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}/L, E)$.

From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/L \rightarrow R/L \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/L, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}/L, E) \rightarrow 0.$$

As L is a Gorenstein ideal of R we have $\text{Hom}_R(R/L, E) \cong R/L$ and so $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, E) \cong J/L$ for some ideal J of R containing L . As a result $\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}/L, E) \cong R/J$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}J \subseteq L$, which means $J/L \subseteq (L : \mathfrak{m})/L \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ because R/L is Gorenstein. Therefore, $J = (L : \mathfrak{m})$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}/L, E) \cong R/(L : \mathfrak{m})$ that is $I = (L : \mathfrak{m})$. As L is canonical ideal of R , Theorem 3.5 (a) implies that $\mathfrak{m}L = \mathfrak{m}(L : \mathfrak{m})$. Putting together, we find $\mathfrak{m}L = \mathfrak{m}I$. As I is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} so is L .

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iv). The proof is a straightforward application of Proposition 4.2. \square

Remark 4.4. Here we give a method to construct rings with canonical reduction of arbitrary large canonical index.

Choose $e \geq 4$ and $r \in \{2, \dots, e-1\}$. Then, by [22, Example 4.4], there exists a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) such that $\mu(\mathfrak{m}) = e-1$, $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = e$ and $r(R) = e-2$, where $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m}) = r$. By Proposition 4.2, $R \times \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)$ has a canonical reduction of the form $\mathfrak{m} \times L$, where K_R denotes the canonical module of R . Note that $x\mathfrak{m}^r = \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ for some element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. It is easy to see that $(x, 0)$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m} \times L$. Now, in the following, we study the properties of the ring $A := R \times \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)$.

(i) *Canonical index of A .* For any integer $t > 0$, one has

$$(\mathfrak{m} \times L)^{t+1} = (\mathfrak{m}^{t+1}, \mathfrak{m}^t L) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, 0)(\mathfrak{m} \times L)^t = (x\mathfrak{m}^t, x\mathfrak{m}^{t-1} L).$$

In order to calculate the canonical index of A , we compare $(\mathfrak{m} \times L)^{t+1}$ and $(x, 0)(\mathfrak{m} \times L)^t$. If $\mathfrak{m}^r L = x\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}L$, then $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m} \times L) = r$. Otherwise $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m} \times L) = r + 1$.

- (ii) A is not Gorenstein. Otherwise, by [13, Theorem 3.7], we equivalently have $\mathfrak{m}L = xL$ that is $r(R) = e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$, a contradiction.
- (iii) A is not generalized Gorenstein for $r > 2$. If $r > 2$, then $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m} \times L) > 2$ by part (i), which means $R \times \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)$ is not a generalized Gorenstein local ring [12, Proposition 2.1].
- (iv) $\min(S_{\mathcal{C}_A}) = 2$. As $K_A = \mathfrak{m} \times L$ is the canonical reduction of A , we have

$$\ell((R \times \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R))/(\mathfrak{m} \times L)) = \ell((R/\mathfrak{m}) \times (\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}, K_R)/L)) = 2.$$

5. RINGS WITH CANONICAL REDUCTIONS OF HIGHER DIMENSION

In this section, for Cohen-Macaulay local rings of higher dimensions, we define and study canonical reductions.

Definition 5.1. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring admitting a canonical ideal. A canonical ideal K is said to be a *canonical reduction* of R if there exists an equimultiple ideal I , with $\text{ht}(I) = d - 1$ such that $K + I$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

This definition coincides with Definition 3.2 when $\dim R = 1$. Note that a canonical reduction, if exists, is not necessarily equimultiple when $d > 1$.

Remark 5.2. Let R be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field which admits a canonical ideal K .

- (a) If K admits a superficial element for \mathfrak{m} , then R has a canonical reduction in the sense of Definition 5.1 since any superficial element can be extended to a superficial sequence which is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} .
- (b) If K is a canonical reduction of R and I is an equimultiple ideal of height $d - 1$ such that $K + I$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} , then we may choose $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ as a minimal reduction of I such that \mathfrak{q} is a parameter ideal of R , and $\mathfrak{q}(R/K)$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m}/K . Therefore $\mathfrak{q}(R/K)$ is a parameter ideal for R/K and we have $K(R/\mathfrak{q}) = (K + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} \cong K/\mathfrak{q} \cap K = K/\mathfrak{q}K$, so $K(R/\mathfrak{q})$ is a canonical reduction of R/\mathfrak{q} .
- (c) Let K be a canonical reduction of R so that I is an equimultiple ideal of height $d - 1$ such that $K + I$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . Assume further that K is equimultiple and $x \in K$ is a reduction of K . If \mathfrak{q} is chosen as in (b), then $xR + \mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} .

Next we show that almost Gorenstein local rings and nearly Gorenstein rings of dimension $d > 1$ have a canonical reduction. First we prove the following lemma which is fundamental in the sequel.

Lemma 5.3. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, with infinite residue field, admitting a canonical ideal K . Let $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a regular element of R , and let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)$ be an ideal generated by a regular sequence for R , R/K and R/yR . Let

$x \in K \setminus \mathfrak{m}K$ such that $xR + \mathfrak{q}$ is a parameter of R and set $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{q}$. If $y\bar{R} \in (x\bar{R} :_{\bar{R}} K\bar{R})$ then there exists a canonical ideal I of R such that $y \in I$.

Proof. Note that $K(R/\mathfrak{q}) = (K + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} \cong K/\mathfrak{q}K$ is a canonical ideal of R/\mathfrak{q} since x_2, \dots, x_d is regular over R/K . Assume that I is the ideal of R such that $y \in I$ and $yK\bar{R} = xI\bar{R}$. We show that I is a canonical ideal of R . As y is regular over R/\mathfrak{q} , $yK\bar{R}$ and so $xI\bar{R}$ are canonical modules for \bar{R} . We claim that x_2, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence on R/xI so that R/xI is Cohen-Macaulay and $\dim(R/xI) = d - 1$. To see this, assume that x_2 is not regular over R/xI . Then $x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(R/xI)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} = (xI : \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in R$. As $x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $x_2\alpha \subset xI$. Note that (x, x_2) is a regular sequence on R , so we must have $\alpha \in xI$ which is a contradiction. Continuing this way, since (x, x_2, \dots, x_i) is R -sequence for each i , $2 \leq i \leq d$, we see that x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence for R/xI .

Therefore, we have $I/\mathfrak{q}I \cong xI/\mathfrak{q}xI \cong xI/\mathfrak{q} \cap xI \cong (xI + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} = xI(R/\mathfrak{q}) = yK(R/\mathfrak{q})$ which means $I/\mathfrak{q}I$ is a canonical module of R/\mathfrak{q} . Also the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow xI \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/xI \rightarrow 0$ implies that xI is maximal Cohen-Macaulay and $\dim(xI) = d = \text{depth}(xI)$. Therefore I is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module while \mathfrak{q} is a regular sequence for I , and $I/\mathfrak{q}I$ is a canonical module of R . Therefore I is canonical ideal of R . \square

Let I be arbitrary ideal of R with positive height. An element $x \in R$ is called a *reduction element* for I if there exist $x_2, \dots, x_t \in R$ such that (x, x_2, \dots, x_t) is a minimal reduction of I . Next result is a direct corollary from Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.3.

Proposition 5.4. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting a canonical ideal, such that $\text{tr}(K_R)$ admits a reduction element for \mathfrak{m} . Then R admits a canonical reduction. In particular, every nearly Gorenstein ring admits a canonical reduction.*

Proof. Let K be an arbitrary canonical ideal of R and assume that $x_1 \in \text{tr}_R(K)$ is a superficial element of \mathfrak{m} . Choose (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d) as a superficial sequence for R/x_1R , which is also regular over R/K . Set $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)$, $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{q}$ and $\bar{K} = K(R/\mathfrak{q})$. By [20, Lemma 5.1 (ii)], $\text{tr}_R(K)\bar{R} \subseteq \text{tr}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{K})$ which means $\text{tr}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{K})$ admits a superficial element of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ and \bar{R} has a canonical reduction by Proposition 3.9 (iv). Again by Proposition 3.9 (iii), there exists $\bar{y} \in \bar{K}$ such that $(\bar{y} : \bar{K})$ is a reduction of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence there exists $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\bar{x} \in (\bar{y} : \bar{K})$ is a minimal reduction for $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists a canonical ideal I of R such that $x \in I$. As (x_2, \dots, x_d) is a superficial sequence for \mathfrak{m} , $xR + \mathfrak{q}$, and so $I + \mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} and R has a canonical reduction. \square

Note that when R admits a canonical reduction then the trace of the canonical module of R may not admit a reduction element for \mathfrak{m} . But this is true if canonical ideals of R are equimultiple, see Notation 3.2. Unfortunately, not all rings with canonical reductions have equimultiple canonical ideals, even if they admit a canonical ideal which has a reduction element for maximal ideal (as happens if R is generalized Gorenstein or nearly Gorenstein ring). Next proposition shows a necessary and sufficient condition for a ring to have an equimultiple canonical

ideal. Recall that an ideal I is called *normally torsion-free* if $\text{Ass}_R(R/I) = \text{Ass}_R(R/I^n)$ for all $n > 0$.

Proposition 5.5. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and that K is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height one. Then K is equimultiple if and only if K is normally torsion-free.*

Proof. First let $x \in K$ be a reduction of K , which means K is equimultiple. Then x^n is a reduction of K^n for all $n > 0$, and so $\text{Ass}_R(R/K^n) = \text{Ass}_R(R/x^n R)$ for all $n > 0$. Now the result follows as $\text{Ass}(R/xR) = \text{Ass}(R/x^n R)$ for all $n > 0$. Conversely, assume that K is normally torsion-free. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ be an ideal generated by a part of a system of parameters for R and so $\mathfrak{q}(R/K)$ is a parameter ideal for R/K . We will show that x_2, \dots, x_d is a superficial sequence for $K + \mathfrak{q}$. To this, it is enough to show that $x_d(R/\mathfrak{q}')$ is a superficial element of $(K + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}'$, where $\mathfrak{q}' = (x_2, \dots, x_{d-1})$ (set $\mathfrak{q}' = 0$ if $d = 2$). Let $r \in R$ such that $rx_d(R/\mathfrak{q}') \in ((K + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}')^{n+1}$. We will use [23, Lemma 8.5.3] and show that $r(R/\mathfrak{q}') \in ((K + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}')^n$. As $(K + \mathfrak{q})^{n+1} = K^{n+1} + \mathfrak{q}K^n + \dots + \mathfrak{q}^n K + \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}$ while $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, \dots, x_d) = \mathfrak{q}' + x_d R$, after a rearrangement we have

$$rx_d = k_{n+1} + x_d k_n + \dots + x_d^n k_1 + ax_d^{n+1} + a'$$

where $k_i \in K^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $a \in R$ and $a' \in \mathfrak{q}'$. Therefore

$$x_d(r - k_n - \dots - x_d^{n-1} k_1 - ax_d^n) = k_{n+1} + a' \in K^{n+1} + \mathfrak{q}'.$$

By assumption x_d is regular over $K^{n+1} + \mathfrak{q}'$, which means $r - k_n - \dots - x_d^{n-1} k_1 - ax_d^n \in K^{n+1} + \mathfrak{q}'$ and so

$$r \in K^{n+1} + K^n + \dots + x_d^{n-1} K + x_d^n R + \mathfrak{q}' \subseteq (K + \mathfrak{q})^n + \mathfrak{q}'.$$

□

Sometimes it is easier to show that when canonical ideal is not equimultiple. Next result generalized [7, Lemma 3.6].

Proposition 5.6. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field, admitting a canonical ideal. If R is Gorenstein in codimension one then canonical ideals of R are not equimultiple.*

Proof. Let $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ and $x \in K$ be a superficial element of K . Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(R/K)$ such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein. Then, by Lemma 2.3, $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = xR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, if we set $C = K/xR$ then $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R(C)$. This means $\text{Ass}_R(C) = \text{Ass}_R(R/xR) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/K) \mid R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is Gorenstein}\}$. Now consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\times x} K \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. If R is Gorenstein in codimension one, then $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Hence $C = 0$ and R is Gorenstein. □

In order to continue our discussion we recall the definition of almost Gorenstein and generalized Gorenstein local rings.

Definition 5.7. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then R is *generalized Gorenstein* with respect to an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{a} if

- (1) $C/\mathfrak{a}C$ is a free R/\mathfrak{a} -module, and
- (2) $\mathfrak{a}C = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)C$ for some sequence $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{a}$ of a system of parameters.

By ([15, Definition 3.3]) R is called *almost Gorenstein* if $\mu(C) = e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$, which means $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Proposition 5.8. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional generalized Gorenstein local ring with respect to an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{a} , with infinite residue field. Consider the next two conditions.

- (i) R has a canonical reduction.
- (ii) \mathfrak{a} admits a reduction element for \mathfrak{m} .

Then (ii) \Rightarrow (i). If R admits an equimultiple canonical reduction then (i) \Rightarrow (ii). In particular, almost Gorenstein rings have a canonical reduction.

Proof. Since R is generalized Gorenstein, there exists an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\phi} K \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ such that K is canonical ideal of R and C is as in Definition 5.7. Set $y = \phi(1)$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let K be a canonical ideal of R , which is equimultiple, and $x \in K$ as a reduction. Then, by Notation 5.2(c), x is a part of a reduction of \mathfrak{m} while $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ since $K \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ by [12, Theorem 1.2].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By [23, Corollary 8.5.9.] there exists an R -regular element $x_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that x_2 is superficial for C , x_2 is regular on R/K , on R/yR , and on R/xR . If $d > 2$ then one may continue in this way to find an ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)$ generated by an R/xR -regular sequence such that \mathfrak{q} is a superficial sequence for C , x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d is a system of parameters for R/K , $yR + \mathfrak{q}$ is a parameter ideal of R , and $xR + \mathfrak{q} = (x, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ is a parameter ideal for R . Now, [12, Theorem 2.4] implies that R/\mathfrak{q} is a one-dimensional generalized Gorenstein ring with respect to $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q} = (y(R/\mathfrak{q}) : K(R/\mathfrak{q}))$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists a canonical ideal I of R such that $x \in I$, and so R has a canonical reduction. \square

One may notice that the ring which is discussed in [12, Example 5.1] admits a canonical reduction by Proposition 5.8.

Next remark deals with some special rings with canonical reduction, using linkage theory.

Remark 5.9. Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting a canonical ideal. If I and J be geometrically linked ideals, i.e. $I = (0 : J)$ and $J = (0 : I)$ with $\text{Ass}_R(R/I) \cap \text{Ass}_R(R/J) = \emptyset$, then $I + J$ contains a non-zerodivisor. Assume that there exists a regular sequence \mathfrak{q} over $R/(I + J)$, such that $I + J + \mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} (for example $I + J$ contains a superficial element for \mathfrak{m}). Then, as $(I + J)/J$ is a canonical ideal of R/J (respectively $(I + J)/I$ is a canonical ideal of R/I) and $\mathfrak{q}(R/J)$ is a parameter for R/J (respectively $\mathfrak{q}(R/I)$ is a parameter for R/I) then R/I and R/J both admits a canonical reduction.

Assume that R is a Gorenstein local ring, and I and J are linked and R/I is Cohen-Macaulay ring. If $\mu(J) = 1$ then R/I is a Gorenstein ring since $K_{R/I} = \text{Hom}_R(R/I, R) = J$. Next proposition generalized this result to rings with canonical reductions.

Proposition 5.10. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting canonical reduction K , and that I and J are ideals of R with R/I is Cohen-Macaulay. If I is linked to J with respect to q , where q is a maximal regular sequence contained in $I \cap J$, such that R/q has a canonical reduction and J/q is cyclic then R/I has a canonical reduction.*

Proof. After all, we may assume that I and J are zero-height ideals such that $J = aR$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $I = (0 : a)$ and $aR = (0 : I) \cong R/I$. By above discussion, if R is Gorenstein then so is R/I and there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume that R is not Gorenstein. Let $K_{R/I}$ denotes the canonical module of R/I . Note that

$$R/I \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, \text{Hom}_R(K, K)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(K/IK, K)$$

which means $K_{R/I} = \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K) \cong K/IK$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, K)$ over the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/I \xrightarrow{\times a} R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0$, with the fact that $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, K) \cong (0 :_K J) = I \cap K$, gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R/J, K) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R, K) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I \cap K & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & K/I \cap K \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where g and g' are isomorphisms with $g(a)(\bar{1}) = a$ for $a \in I \cap K$ and $g'(k)(1) = k$ for $k \in K$. Therefore there is a well-defined homomorphism $\theta : K/I \cap K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K)$ such that $\theta(\bar{k})(\bar{1}) = k$ for $k \in K$. If $\theta' : \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K) \rightarrow K/IK$ be the natural homomorphism, which send $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K)$ to $\overline{f(\bar{1})} \in K/IK$, then there is a well-defined homomorphism $\theta'\theta : K/I \cap K \rightarrow K/IK$ such that $\theta'\theta(k + I \cap K) = k + IK$ for each $k \in K$. Hence $I \cap K = IK$ and $K(R/I)$ is a canonical ideal of R/I . Now, if \mathfrak{q} be the parameter ideal of height $d-1$ such that $K + \mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} then $\mathfrak{q}(R/I)$ is also a parameter ideal for R/I and $K(R/I) + \mathfrak{q}(R/I)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m}/I and R/I has a canonical reduction. \square

Next we investigate transferring canonical reductions by some specific ring homomorphisms. First we prove a lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Assume that $\varphi : (R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ is a flat local homomorphism between d -dimensional Noetherian local rings. Then $e_{\mathfrak{m}S}^0(S) = \ell_S(S/\mathfrak{m}S)e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$.*

Proof. It is an easy exercise to prove that $\ell_S(S \otimes_R M) = \ell_S(S/\mathfrak{m}S)\ell_R(M)$ when M is a finite length R -module. Now the result follows by comparing Hilbert functions $H_S(\mathfrak{m}S, S)$ and $H_R(\mathfrak{m}, R)$. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Assume that $\varphi : (R, \mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ is a flat local ring homomorphism between generically Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local rings of positive dimension d and n , respectively, such that $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is Gorenstein. Consider the two following conditions.*

- (a) *R has a canonical reduction and $e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S) = e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S/\mathfrak{m}S)e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$.*
- (b) *S has a canonical reduction.*

Then

- (i) *Let $n = d$. Then (a) \Rightarrow (b). The converse holds if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite.*
- (ii) *Let $n > d$. Then (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite and $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is a regular ring.*

Proof. (i). First let $d = 1$. If R has a canonical reduction K , then KS is a canonical ideal of S and is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}S$. By assumption, $e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S) = \ell_S(S/\mathfrak{m}S)e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = e_{\mathfrak{m}S}^0(S)$ which means $\mathfrak{m}S$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{n} by [23, Theorem 11.3.1]. Therefore KS is a canonical reduction of S . Conversely, let S has a canonical reduction. If K is a canonical ideal of R then, by Proposition 3.9 (iii), there exists $x \in K$ such that $(xS :_S KS)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{n} . Therefore $(xS :_S KS)$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}S$ and by faithful flatness, $(x :_R K)$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} and R has a canonical reduction. Also, as $\mathfrak{m}S$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{n} , by Lemma 5.11 we have $e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S) = e_{\mathfrak{m}S}^0(S) = \ell_S(S/\mathfrak{m}S)e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$.

Now, assume that $d > 1$. If R admits a canonical reduction K , then there exists a an equimultiple ideal I of length $d - 1$ such that $K + I$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{m} . It follows that $KS + IS$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}S$. Now the result follows since IS is equimultiple in S and $\mathfrak{m}S$ is a reduction of \mathfrak{n} .

Assume that S admits a canonical reduction T and let $K \in \mathfrak{C}_R$ with $K \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$. Choose a superficial sequence $\mathfrak{r} = x_2, \dots, x_d$ for \mathfrak{m} , such that (1) $\mathfrak{r}(R/K)$ is a regular sequence for R/K , (2) $\mathfrak{r}S$ is S -regular and (3) $\mathfrak{r}S(S/T)$ is a regular sequence for S/T . This is quite possible since φ is faithful flat. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ and set $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{q}$ and $\bar{S} = S/\mathfrak{q}S$. Consider the flat local homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \bar{R} \longrightarrow \bar{S}$. Both of \bar{R} and \bar{S} are one-dimensional local, and \bar{S} has a canonical reduction $T\bar{S}$. Therefore, since $K\bar{S}$ is a canonical ideal of \bar{S} , by Proposition 3.9 (iii) there exists a regular element $x \in K$ such that $((x\bar{S} :_{\bar{S}} K\bar{S}))$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{q}S$. Hence $(x(R/\mathfrak{q}) : K(R/\mathfrak{q}))$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{q}$ which means R/\mathfrak{q} admits a canonical reduction. Let $x_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $x_1(R/\mathfrak{q}) \in (x(R/\mathfrak{q}) : K(R/\mathfrak{q}))$ as a reduction for $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{q}$. Then by Lemma 5.3, there exists a canonical ideal I of R such that $x_1 \in I$. As $x_1\bar{R} = x_1(R/\mathfrak{q})$ is a reduction for $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{q}$ and \mathfrak{q} generates by a superficial sequence for \mathfrak{m} , x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d is a superficial sequence for \mathfrak{m} of length d , and so $x_1R + \mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} and I is a canonical reduction of R .

(ii). Choose an S -regular sequence $\eta = y_1, \dots, y_t$ such that (1) η is a superficial sequence for \mathfrak{n} and (2) $\eta(S/\mathfrak{m}S)$ is a regular system of parameter for $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}S$. Then $e_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(S) = e_{\mathfrak{n}/\eta S}^0(S/\eta S)$ and $\ell_S(S/(\mathfrak{m}S + \eta S)) = e_{\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}S}(S/\mathfrak{m}S)$. Therefore, as the composition map $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} S \longrightarrow S/\eta S$ is flat by [19, Lemma 1.23] and $\dim(R) = \dim(S/\eta S)$, the result follows by (i) and (ii). \square

Next is an immediate corollary of Proposition 5.12.

Corollary 5.13. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, admitting canonical ideal, with infinite residue field. Then*

- (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be indeterminate. Then, R has a canonical reduction if and only if $R[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]]$ has a canonical reduction.
- (b) Let \hat{R} denotes the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of R . Then, R has a canonical reduction if and only if \hat{R} has a canonical reduction.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor Shiro Goto for presenting some lectures about “almost Gorenstein rings” in Iran (2014). The author thanks the Graduate School of Advanced Mathematical Sciences of Meiji University hosting him as a visitor and a member of Professor Goto research group. The author also thanks Raheleh Jafari for discussions on numerical semigroup rings.

REFERENCES

1. V. Barucci and R. Fröberg, *One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra, 188 (1997), 418–442. 1
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 39, Cambridge university press 1993. 1, 10
3. W. Bruns, U. Vetter, *Determinantal rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1327. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
4. T. D. M. Chau, S. Goto, S. Kumashiro, and N. Matsuoka, *Sally modules of canonical ideals in dimension one and 2-AGL rings*, arXiv:1704.00997. 1, 8, 10, 13
5. A. Corso, C. Huneke and W. V. Vasconcelos, *On the integral closure of ideals*, Manuscripta Math. 95 (1998), 331–347 2, 8
6. L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, H. L. Hutson, AND W. V. Vasconcelos, *The bi-canonical degree of a Cohen-Macaulay ring*, arXiv:1711.09480v1 11
7. L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Invariants of Cohen-Macaulay rings associated to their canonical ideals*, arXiv:1701.05592. 8, 19
8. S. Goto, *Integral closedness of complete-intersection ideals*, J. Algebra 108 (1987), 151-160.
9. S. Goto and F. Hayasaka, *Finite homological dimension and primes associated to integrally closed ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130 (2002), 3159–3164.
10. S. Goto, F. Hayasaka, and S.-I. Iai, *The a -invariant and Gorensteinness of graded rings associated to filtration of ideals in regular local rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002), 87–94. 5, 16
11. S. Goto, R. Isobe and S. Kumashiro, *The structure of chains of Ulrich ideals in Cohen-Macaulay local rings of dimension one*, arXiv:1804.06643v1 13
12. S. Goto, R. Isobe, S. Kumashiro, and N. Tanniguchi, *Characterization of generalized Gorenstein rings*, arXiv:1704.08901v1 1, 2, 11, 13, 17, 20
13. S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, and T. T. Phuong, *Almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra, 379 (2013), 355-381. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14, 15, 17
14. S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K.-i. Watanabe and K.-i. Yoshida, *Ulrich ideals and modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 156 (2014), 137-166. 12, 13
15. S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, *Almost Gorenstein rings –towards a theory of higher dimension–*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 219 (2015), 2666-2712 1, 20
16. S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, *Ulrich ideals and almost Gorenstein rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144 (2016), 2811-2823. 1, 13
17. S. Goto and N. Taniguchi, *Topics on 2-almost Gorenstein ring*, arXiv:1704.01278. 13
18. S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 30 (1978), 179-213. 12
19. J. Herzog and E. Kunz, *Der Kanonische Modul eines-Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 238, Springer-Verlag, 1971. 1, 5, 22

20. J. Herzog, T. Hibi and D. I. Stamate, *The trace of the canonical module*, arXiv:1612.02723v2 2, 10, 18
21. T. Kobayashi, *Local rings with a self-dual maximal ideal*, arXiv:1812.10341v1 [math.AC] 26 Dec 2018. 11
22. J. D. Sally, *Cohen-Macaulay local rings of embedding dimension $e + d - 2$* , J. Algebra 83 (1983), 393–408. 16
23. I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral closure of ideals, rings and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge University Press, 2006. 5, 8, 10, 19, 20, 22

¹ FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, KHARAZMI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.
E-mail address: `mehran_rahimy@yahoo.com`