

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR OPERATOR (p, h) -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

TRUNG HOA DINH* AND KHUE THI BICH VO*

ABSTRACT. Let p be a positive number and h a function on \mathbb{R}^+ satisfying $h(xy) \geq h(x)h(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A non-negative continuous function f on $K(\subset \mathbb{R}^+)$ is said to be operator (p, h) -convex if

$$f([\alpha A^p + (1 - \alpha)B^p]^{1/p}) \leq h(\alpha)f(A) + h(1 - \alpha)f(B)$$

holds for all positive semidefinite matrices A, B of order n with spectra in K , and for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper, we study properties of operator (p, h) -convex functions and prove the Jensen, Hansen-Pedersen type inequalities for them. We also give some equivalent conditions for a function to become an operator (p, h) -convex. In applications, we obtain Choi-Davis-Jensen type inequality for operator (p, h) -convex functions and a relation between operator (p, h) -convex functions with operator monotone functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{M}_n be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, M_n^+ the positive part of \mathbb{M}_n . Denote by I_n and O_n the identity and zero elements of \mathbb{M}_n , respectively. For self-adjoint matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n$ the notation $A \leq B$ means that $B - A \in M_n^+$. The spectrum of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is denoted by $\sigma(A)$. For a real-valued function f of a real variable and a self-adjoint matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, the value $f(A)$ is understood by means of the functional calculus.

We assume further that p is some positive number, J is some interval in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $(0, 1) \subset J$, and $K (\subset \mathbb{R}^+)$ is a p -convex subset of \mathbb{R}^+ (that means, $(\lambda x^p + (1 - \lambda)y^p)^{1/p} \in K$ for all $x, y \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$). A function $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a *super-multiplicative* function if $h(xy) \geq h(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in J$.

A non-negative function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be h -convex [1] if for all $x, y \in K, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq h(\alpha)f(x) + h(1 - \alpha)f(y).$$

In [2] a more general class of non-negative functions, the so-called (p, h) -convex functions is considered.

Let $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a non-zero super-multiplicative function. A non-negative function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be (p, h) -convex if

$$f([\alpha x^p + (1 - \alpha)y^p]^{1/p}) \leq h(\alpha)f(x) + h(1 - \alpha)f(y). \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

This class contains several well-known classes of functions such as non-negative convex functions, h - and p -convex functions, Godunova-Levin functions (or Q -class functions) and P -class functions that are considered by many authors.

Key words and phrases. operator (p, h) -convex functions, operator Jensen type inequality, operator Hansen-Pedersen type inequality.

*This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2014.40. This work was partially finished at Ton Duc Thang University, Vietnam.

In [3]-[6] M.S.Moslehian, M.Kian and others introduced operator P -class functions and operator Q -class functions. They studied properties and proved several inequalities for these classes of functions.

Motivated by the above mentioned works, in this paper we define a class of operator functions which we call it operator (p, h) -convex. In Section 2 we study properties of operator (p, h) -convex functions. In Section 3 we prove some inequalities for operator (p, h) -convex functions such as the Jensen and Hansen-Pedersen type inequalities. Similar to the characterization of operator convex functions given by Hansen and Pedersen [12] we give some equivalent conditions for a function to become an operator (p, h) -convex. In applications, we obtain Choi-Davis-Jensen type inequality for operator (p, h) -convex functions and a relation between operator (p, h) -convex functions with operator monotone functions.

2. CLASS OF OPERATOR (p, h) -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Let us now define a new class of operator (p, h) -convex functions as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a non-zero super-multiplicative function. A non-negative continuous function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *operator (p, h) -convex* (or belongs to the class $opgx(p, h, K)$) if

$$f([\alpha A^p + (1 - \alpha)B^p]^{1/p}) \leq h(\alpha)f(A) + h(1 - \alpha)f(B). \quad (2)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n^+$ with $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subset K$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

When $p = 1$, $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ we get the usual definition of operator convex functions on \mathbb{R}^+ .

An operator (p, h) -convex function could be either an operator monotone function or an operator convex function. But there are many operator (p, h) -convex functions which are neither an operator monotone function nor an operator convex function. Indeed, let $p > 0$, $f(t) = t^s$ and $h(\alpha) = \alpha$. Then the function f is operator (p, h) -convex if and only if for any positive definite matrices A, B with spectra in K ,

$$(\alpha A^p + (1 - \alpha)B^p)^{s/p} \leq h(\alpha)A^s + h(1 - \alpha)B^s,$$

or

$$(\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)B)^{s/p} \leq \alpha A^{s/p} + (1 - \alpha)B^{s/p}.$$

The last inequality means that the function $g(t) = t^{s/p}$ is operator convex, which is equivalent to the condition $s/p \in [1, 2]$.

In the case $s \in [p, 2p] \cap [0, 1]$ (or $s \in [p, 2p] \cap [1, 2]$), the function t^s is operator monotone (operator convex, respectively) and, at that time, is operator (p, h) -convex. If s does not belong to $[p, 2p] \cap [0, 1]$, then the function t^s is operator (p, h) -convex but neither operator monotone nor operator convex.

Recall that for arbitrary positive semidefinite matrices A and B , the matrix function

$$F(p) = \left(\frac{A^p + B^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}$$

is called the *log Euclidean mean* of A, B . In [7] Bhagwat and Subramanian showed that the matrix function $F(p)$ is monotone with respect to p , on the intervals $(-\infty, -1]$ and $[1, \infty)$ but not on $(-1, 1)$. A more general results about the monotonicity of $F(p)$ was proved by Audenaert and Hiai in [8]. Now let us prove some properties of operator (p, h) -convex functions.

Proposition 2.2.

- (i) If $f, g \in opgx(p, h, K)$ and $\lambda > 0$, then $f + g, \lambda f \in opgx(p, h, K)$;

- (ii) Let h_1 and h_2 be non-negative and non-zero super-multiplicative functions defined on an interval J with $h_2 \leq h_1$ in $(0, 1)$. If $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h_2, K)$, then $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h_1, K)$;
- (iii) Let $f \in \text{opgx}(p_2, h, K)$ and f operator monotone function on K . If $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2$, then $f \in \text{opgx}(p_1, h, K)$.

Proof. (i) The proof immediately follows from the definition of the class $\text{opgx}(p, h, K)$.

(ii) Suppose that $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h_2, K)$. For any positive semidefinite matrices A, B with spectra in K and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f([\alpha A^p + (1 - \alpha)B^p]^{1/p}) &\leq h_2(\alpha)f(A) + h_2(1 - \alpha)f(B) \\ &\leq h_1(\alpha)f(A) + h_1(1 - \alpha)f(B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h_1, K)$.

(iii) Put $g(p) = (\alpha A^p + (1 - \alpha)B^p)^{1/p}$. On account of the above mentioned result [7], the function $g(p)$ is monotone increasing on $[1, \infty)$. Since f is operator monotone on K and $f \in \text{opgx}(p_1, h, K)$, hence

$$f(g(p_1)) \leq f(g(p_2)) \leq h(\alpha)f(A) + h(1 - \alpha)f(B).$$

Thus, $f \in \text{opgx}(p_1, h, K)$. □

Proposition 2.3. Let K be an interval in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $0 \in K$.

- (i) If $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h, K)$, $f(0) = 0$, and h is super-multiplicative, then

$$f([\alpha A^p + \beta B^p]^{1/p}) \leq h(\alpha)f(A) + h(\beta)f(B) \quad (3)$$

holds for arbitrary positive semidefinite matrices A, B with spectra in K and all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

- (ii) Let h be a non-negative function such that $h(\alpha) < \frac{1}{2}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. If f is a non-negative continuous function satisfying (3) for all positive definite matrices A, B with spectra in K and all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, then $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta = \gamma < 1$, and let a and b be numbers such that $a = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$. Then we have $a + b = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f([\alpha A^p + \beta B^p]^{1/p}) &= f([a\gamma A^p + b\gamma B^p]^{1/p}) \\ &\leq h(a)f((\gamma A^p)^{1/p}) + h(b)f((\gamma B^p)^{1/p}) \\ &= h(a)f((\gamma A^p + (1 - \gamma)O_n^p)^{1/p}) + h(b)f((\gamma B^p + (1 - \gamma)O_n^p)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq h(a)h(\gamma)f(A) + h(b)h(\gamma)f(B) \\ &\leq h(a\gamma)f(A) + h(b\gamma)f(B) \\ &= h(\alpha)f(A) + h(\beta)f(B) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose that $f(0) > 0$, then we have $f(O_n) = f(0)I_n$. Substitute $A = B = O_n$ into (3), we get

$$f(0)I_n = f((\alpha O_n^p + \beta O_n^p)^{1/p}) \leq h(\alpha)f(0)I_n + h(\beta)f(0)I_n. \quad (4)$$

Let $\alpha = \beta$. Dividing both sides of (4) by $f(0)$, we arrive to a contradiction:

$$2h(\alpha) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Thus, $f(0) = 0$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $h_s(x) = x^s$, where $s, x > 0$, and let $0 \in K$. For all $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h_s, K)$, the inequality (3) holds for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ if and only if $f(0) = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, we just need to consider the case $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Put $\alpha + \beta = \gamma \leq 1$, and let a and b be positive numbers such that $a = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$. Then, $a + b = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f([\alpha A^p + \beta B^p]^{1/p}) &= f([a\gamma A^p + b\gamma B^p]^{1/p}) \\ &\leq h(a)f([\gamma A^p]^{1/p}) + h(b)f([\gamma B^p]^{1/p}) \\ &= a^s f([\gamma A^p]^{1/p}) + b^s f([\gamma B^p]^{1/p}) \\ &\leq a^s \gamma^s f(A) + a^s (1 - \gamma)^s f(O_n) + b^s \gamma^s f(B) + b^s (1 - \gamma)^s f(O_n) \\ &= a^s \gamma^s f(A) + b^s \gamma^s f(B) \\ &= \alpha^s f(A) + \beta^s f(B). \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $A = B = O_n, \alpha = \beta = 1/k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$) into (3), and then, tend k to the infinite, we get $f(0) \leq 0$. Since $f(0) \geq 0$ by the definition of operator (p, h) -convex functions, hence $f(0) = 0$. \square

3. INEQUALITIES FOR OPERATOR (p, h) -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

3.1. Jensen type inequality for operator (p, h) -convex functions. The classical Jensen inequality for n real numbers states that for any positive tuples a_i and t_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i a_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(a_i).$$

The matrix version of Jensen type inequality for operator (p, h) -convex functions is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let h be a non-negative super-multiplicative function on J and $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h, K)$. Then for any k positive semidefinite matrices A_i with spectra in K and any $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$,*

$$f\left([\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i^p]^{1/p}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(\alpha_i) f(A_i). \quad (5)$$

Proof. We will prove the theorem by the mathematical induction.

When $k = 2$, inequality (5) reduces to (2).

Assume that (5) holds for any $(k - 1)$ positive semidefinite matrices with spectra in K . We need to prove (5) for any k positive semidefinite matrices with spectra in K . We

have

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) &= f\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i A_i^p + \alpha_n A_n^p\right]^{1/p}\right) \\
&= f\left(\left[(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_n} A_i^p\right) + \alpha_n A_n^p\right]^{1/p}\right) \\
&\leq h(1 - \alpha_n) f\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_n} A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) + h(\alpha_n) f(A_n) \\
&\leq h(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} h\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_n}\right) f(A_i) + h(\alpha_n) f(A_n) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(\alpha_i) f(A_i).
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the second inequalities follow from the inductive assumption, the third one follows from the super-multiplication of the function h .

Thus, (5) holds for any k . \square

Remark 3.2. For $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $p = 1$, the inequality (5) reduces to the well-known Jensen inequality for operator convex functions:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f(A_i)$$

for $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

For $h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $p = 1$ we get the Jensen inequality for operator Q -class functions:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f(A_i)}{\alpha_i}$$

for $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

For $h(\alpha) = 1$, $p = 1$ we get the Jensen inequality for operator P -class functions:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k f(A_i)$$

for $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

As an application of Jensen type inequality we obtain an inequality for index set function. Let E be a finite nonempty set of positive integers and let F be an index set function defined by

$$F(E) = h(W_E) f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_E} \sum_{i \in E} w_i A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) - \sum_{i \in E} h(w_i) f(A_i), \quad (6)$$

where $W_E = \sum_{i \in E} w_i$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a super-multiplicative function, M and E finite nonempty sets of positive integers such that $M \cap E = \emptyset$. Then for any operator (p, h) -convex function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, for any $w_i > 0$, and for any positive semidefinite matrices

A_i ($i \in M \cup E$) with spectra in K ,

$$F(M \cup E) \leq F(M) + F(E). \quad (7)$$

Proof. On account of the operator (p, h) -convexity of f and the super-multiplication of h , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h(W_{M \cup E})f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{M \cup E}} \sum_{i \in M \cup E} w_i A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) \\ &= h(W_{M \cup E})f\left(\left[\frac{W_M}{W_{M \cup E}} \sum_{i \in M} \frac{w_i}{W_M} A_i^p + \frac{W_E}{W_{M \cup E}} \sum_{i \in E} \frac{w_i}{W_E} A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) \\ &\leq h(W_{M \cup E})h\left(\frac{W_M}{W_{M \cup E}}\right)f\left(\left[\sum_{i \in M} \frac{w_i}{W_M} A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) + h(W_{M \cup E})h\left(\frac{W_E}{W_{M \cup E}}\right)f\left(\left[\sum_{i \in E} \frac{w_i}{W_E} A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) \\ &\leq h(W_M)f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_M} \sum_{i \in M} w_i A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right) + h(W_E)f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_E} \sum_{i \in E} w_i A_i^p\right]^{1/p}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Subtracting from both sides of (8) by $\sum_{i \in M \cup E} h(w_i)f(A_i)$ and using the identity $\sum_{i \in M \cup E} h(w_i)f(A_i) = \sum_{i \in M} h(w_i)f(A_i) + \sum_{i \in E} h(w_i)f(A_i)$, we obtain (3.3). \square

From Theorem 3.3 we get a simple corollary as follow.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative super-multiplicative function. If $w_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), and $M_l = \{1, \dots, l\}$, then for $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h, K)$, we have*

$$F(M_k) \leq F(M_{k-1}) \leq \dots \leq F(M_2) \leq 0$$

and

$$F(M_k) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \left\{ h(w_i + w_j) f\left(\left[\frac{w_i A_i^p + w_j A_j^p}{w_i + w_j}\right]^{1/p}\right) - h(w_i)f(A_i) - h(w_j)f(A_j) \right\}.$$

3.2. Hansen-Pedersen type inequality. The proof of the following theorem is adapted from the proof of Hansen-Pedersen inequality for operator convex functions [12].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a super-multiplicative function, $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ an operator (p, h) -convex function. Then for any pair of positive semidefinite matrices A and B with spectra in K and for matrices C, D such that $CC^* + DD^* = I_n$,*

$$f\left(\left[CA^pC^* + DB^pD^*\right]^{1/p}\right) \leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(Cf(A)C^* + Df(B)D^*). \quad (9)$$

Proof. From the condition $CC^* + DD^* = I_n$, it implies that we can find a unitary block matrix

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ X & Y \end{bmatrix}$$

when the entries X and Y are chosen properly. Then

$$U \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^* = \begin{bmatrix} CA^pC^* + DB^pD^* & CA^pX^* + DB^pY^* \\ XA^pC^* + YB^pD^* & XA^pX^* + YB^pY^* \end{bmatrix}$$

It's easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{2}V \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} V + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & O_n \\ O_n & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $V = \begin{bmatrix} -I & O_n \\ O_n & I \end{bmatrix}$. It follows that the matrix

$$Z := \frac{1}{2}VU \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^*V + \frac{1}{2}U \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^*$$

is diagonal, where $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^*$. It implies $Z_{11} = CA^pC^* + DB^pD^*$ and $f(Z_{11}^{1/p}) = f((CA^pC^* + DB^pD^*)^{1/p})$. On account of the operator (p, h) -convexity of f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z^{1/p}) &= f\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}VU \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^*V + \frac{1}{2}U \begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix} U^*\right)^{1/p}\right) \\ &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)VUf\left(\begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix}^{1/p}\right)U^*V + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Uf\left(\begin{bmatrix} A^p & O_n \\ O_n & B^p \end{bmatrix}^{1/p}\right)U^* \\ &= 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}VUf\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & O_n \\ O_n & B \end{bmatrix}\right)U^*V + \frac{1}{2}Uf\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & O_n \\ O_n & B \end{bmatrix}\right)U^*\right) \\ &= 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} Cf(A)C^* + Df(B)D^* & O_n \\ O_n & Xf(A)X^* + Yf(B)Y^* \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}VUU^*V + \frac{1}{2}UU^* = I_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z_{11}^{1/p}) &= f([CA^pC^* + DB^pD^*]^{1/p}) \\ &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)[Cf(A)C^* + Df(B)D^*]. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following theorem, we obtain several equivalent conditions for a function to become operator (p, h) -convex.

Theorem 3.6. *Let f be a non-negative continuous function on the interval K such that $f(0) = 0$, and h a non-negative and non-zero super-multiplicative function on J satisfying $2h(1/2) \leq \alpha^{-1}h(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) f is an operator (p, h) -convex function;
- (ii) for any contraction $\|V\| \leq 1$ and self-adjoint matrix A with spectrum in K ,

$$f((V^*A^pV)^{1/p}) \leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)V^*f(A)V;$$

- (iii) for any orthogonal projection Q and any positive semidefinite matrix A with $\sigma(A) \subset K$,

$$f((QA^pQ)^{1/p}) \leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Qf(A)Q;$$

- (iv) for any natural number k , for any families of positive operators $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in a finite dimensional Hilbert space H satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i = I_H$ (the identity operator in H) and for arbitrary numbers $x_i \in K$,

$$f\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i^p A_i\right]^{1/p}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(\alpha_i) f(x_i) A_i. \quad (10)$$

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

Let us prove the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $f \in \text{opgx}(p, h, J)$. Then by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$f([CA^pC^* + DB^pD^*]^{1/p}) \leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(Cf(A)C^* + Df(B)D^*),$$

where $CC^* + DD^* = I_n$. Since $\|V\| \leq 1$, we can choose W such that $VV^* + WW^* = I_n$. Choosing $B = O_n$, we have that $f(B) = f(O_n) = f(0)I_n = O_n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f((V^*A^pV)^{1/p}) &= f((V^*A^pV + W^*B^pW)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(V^*f(A)V + W^*f(B)W) \\ &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(V^*f(A)V). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let A and B be positive semidefinite matrices with spectra in K and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Define

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} A & O_n \\ O_n & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad U := \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda}I_n & -\sqrt{1-\lambda}I_n \\ \sqrt{1-\lambda}I_n & \sqrt{\lambda}I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q := \begin{bmatrix} I_n & O_n \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $C = C^*$ with $\sigma(C) \subset K$, U is a unitary and Q is an orthogonal projection and

$$U^*C^pU = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda A^p + (1-\lambda)B^p & -\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2}A^p + \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2}B^p \\ -\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2}A^p + \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2}B^p & (1-\lambda)A^p + \lambda B^p \end{bmatrix}$$

is a self-adjoint matrix. Since

$$QU^*C^pUQ = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda A^p + (1-\lambda)B^p & O_n \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\|UQ\| \leq 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} f((\lambda A^p + (1-\lambda)B^p)^{1/p}) & O_n \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix} &= f((QU^*C^pUQ)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)QU^*f(C)UQ \\ &= 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B) & O_n \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the property of h , from the last inequality it implies

$$\begin{aligned} f((\lambda A^p + (1-\lambda)B^p)^{1/p}) &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(\lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)) \\ &\leq h(\lambda)f(A) + h(1-\lambda)f(B). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let X, Y be two positive operators on H with spectra in K , and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i, \quad Y = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j Q_j$$

be the spectral decompositions of X and Y . Then we have

$$\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n P_i + (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j = I_H.$$

On account of (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f([\alpha X^p + (1 - \alpha)Y^p]^{1/p}) &= f([\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha \lambda_i^p P_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha) \mu_j^p Q_j]^{1/p}) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(\alpha) f(\lambda_i) P_i + \sum_{j=1}^n h(1 - \alpha) f(\mu_j) Q_j \\
&= h(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) P_i + h(1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n f(\mu_j) Q_j \\
&= h(\alpha) f(X) + h(1 - \alpha) f(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

(i) \Rightarrow (iv). By the Neumark theorem [10], there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} larger than H and a family of mutually orthogonal projections P_i in \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{i=1}^k P_i = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\alpha_i A_i = P P_i P|_H$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$), where P is the projection from \mathcal{H} onto H . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f([\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i^p A_i]^{1/p}) &= f([\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^p P P_i P|_H]^{1/p}) \\
&= f([P(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^p P_i)P|_H]^{1/p}) \\
&\leq 2h(\frac{1}{2}) P f([\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^p P_i]^{1/p}) P|_H \\
&= 2h(\frac{1}{2}) P (\sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) P_i) P|_H \\
&= 2h(\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) P P_i P|_H \\
&= 2h(\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f(x_i) A_i \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(\alpha_i) f(x_i) A_i
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence of the above theorem we obtain the Choi-Davis-Jensen type inequality for operator (p, h) -convex functions.

Corollary 3.7. *Let Φ be a unital positive linear map on $B(H)$, A a positive operator in H and f an operator (p, h) -convex function on \mathbb{R}^+ such that $f(0) = 0$. Let h be a non-negative and non-zero super-multiplicative function on J satisfying $2h(1/2) \leq \alpha^{-1}h(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$). Then*

$$f((\Phi(A^p))^{1/p}) \leq 2h(1/2)\Phi(f(A)).$$

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary positive operator in H . We put Ψ the restriction of Φ to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}^*(A, I_H)$ generated by I_H and A . Then Ψ is a unital completely positive map on $\mathcal{C}^*(A, I_H)$. By the Stinespring dilation theorem, there exists an isometry $V : H \mapsto H$

and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{C}^*(A, I_H) \mapsto B(H)$ such that $\Psi(A) = V^*\pi(A)V$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f((\Phi(A^p))^{1/p}) &= f((\Psi(A^p))^{1/p}) = f((V^*\pi(A)^pV)^{1/p}) \leq 2h(1/2)V^*f(\pi(A))V \\ &= 2h(1/2)V^*\pi(f(A))V = 2h(1/2)\Psi(f(A)) = 2h(1/2)\Phi(f(A)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.8. Here we give an example for the function h which is different from the identity function and satisfies conditions in Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7. It is easy to check that for the function $h(x) = x^3 - x^2 + x$ and for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$,

$$h(xy) - h(x)h(y) = xy(x+y)(1-x)(1-y) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, h is super-multiplicative on $[0, 1]$. At the same time, the function $h(x)/x = x^2 - x + 1$ attains minimum at $x = 1/2$, and hence $2h(1/2) \leq h(x)/x$ for any $x \in (0, 1)$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let f be operator $(1, h)$ -convex function on \mathbb{R}^+ such that $f(0) = 0$. Then for any positive definite matrices $A \leq B$,*

$$A^{-1}f(A) \leq 2h(1/2)B^{-1}f(B).$$

In the case when $2h(1/2) \leq 1$ the function $t^{-1}f(t)$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$, and hence the function $f(t)$ is operator convex.

Proof. Since $0 < A \leq B$, we can find C such that $A^{1/2} = CB^{1/2}$, and hence $A = CBC^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}f(A) &= B^{-1/2}C^{-1}f(CBC^*)(C^*)^{-1}B^{-1/2} \\ &\leq 2h(1/2)B^{-1/2}C^{-1}Cf(B)C^*(C^*)^{-1}B^{-1/2} \\ &= 2h(1/2)B^{-1}f(B). \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $2h(1/2) \leq 1$, from the above inequality we get

$$A^{-1}f(A) \leq B^{-1}f(B),$$

that means, the function $t^{-1}f(t)$ is operator monotone, and as a consequence of that the function $f(t)$ is operator convex by [12]. □

Remark 3.10. It is easy to check that the function $h(x) = (x^3 - x^2 + x)/2$ is super-multiplicative and satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.9.

3.3. An open question. As we know that the value $f(p) = (\frac{a^p + b^p}{2})^{1/p}$ is called the *binormal mean*, or the *power mean*, and is an increasing function of p on $(-\infty, \infty)$. And it is well-known that for two positive number a, b ,

$$\sqrt{ab} = e^{1/2(\log a + \log b)} = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

In the other hand, Bhagwat and Subramanian [7] showed that for positive definite matrices A, B ,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} F(p) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\log A + \log B)}$$

and $e^{\frac{1}{2}(\log A + \log B)}$ is different to the geometric mean of A, B .

Now, suppose that $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and the function f is operator (p, h) -convex for any $p > 0$ and the function f is continuous on \mathbb{R}^+ . So, we have

$$f(e^{\frac{1}{2}(\log A + \log B)}) \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}.$$

Question: what is the class of functions satisfying the last inequality for any positive definite matrices A, B ?

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express sincere thanks to the anonymous referee for his comments which improve this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Varosanec. *On h -convexity*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. **326**(1) (2007) 303-311.
- [2] Z.B.Fang, R.Shi. *On the (p, h) -convex function and some integral inequalities*. Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 2014:45.
- [3] M.Bakherad, H.Abbas, B.Mourad, M.S.Moslehian. *Operator P -class functions*. Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 2014:451.
- [4] M.Kian, M.S.Moslehian. *Operator Inequalities related to Q -Class functions*. Mathematica Slovaca. **65**(1) (2015) 179-190.
- [5] J.I.Fujii, M.Kian, M.S.Moslehian. *Operator Q -class functions*. Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae. **73**(1) (2011) 75-80.
- [6] M.S.Moslehian, M.Kian. *Jensen type inequalities for Q -class functions*. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society. **85**(1) (2012) 128-142.
- [7] K.V.Bhagwat, R.Subramanian. *Inequalities between means of positive operators*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **83** (1978) 393-401.
- [8] K.M.R.Audenaert, F.Hiai. *On matrix inequalities between the power means: Counterexamples*. Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013) 1590-1604.
- [9] O.E.Tikhonov. *A note on definition of matrix convex functions*. Linear Algebra and Appl. **416** (2-3) (2006) 773-775.
- [10] M.A.Neumark. *On a representation of additive operator set functions*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **41** (9) (1943) 373-375. (Russian); English translation: C.R. (Doklady) Akad. Sci. USSR. (N.S.) **41** (1943) 359-361.
- [11] T.Ando, F.Hiai. *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*. Math. Ann. **350**(3) (2011) 611-630.
- [12] F.Hansen, GK.Pedersen. *Jensen's inequality for operators and Loewner's theorem*. Math. Ann. **258**(3)(1982) 229-241.

DONG A UNIVERSITY, 33 XO VIET NGHE TINH, DA NANG, VIETNAM
E-mail address: trunghoa.math@gmail.com

QUY NHON UNIVERSITY, VIETNAM, AND UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE - MARKETING, 2/4 TRAN XUAN
SOAN, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM
E-mail address: votbkhue@gmail.com