

# Integrability of the geodesic flow on the resolved conifolds over Sasaki-Einstein space $T^{1,1}$

Mihai Visinescu\*

Department of Theoretical Physics,  
National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering,  
Magurele, P.O.Box M.G.-6, Romania

## Abstract

Methods of Hamiltonian dynamics are applied to study the geodesic flow on the resolved conifolds over Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$ . We construct explicitly the constants of motion and prove complete integrability of geodesics in the five-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$  and its Calabi-Yau metric cone. The singularity at the apex of the metric cone can be smoothed out in two different ways. Using the small resolution the geodesic motion on the resolved conifold remains completely integrable. Instead, in the case of the deformation of the conifold the complete integrability is lost.

## 1 Introduction

There has been considerable interest recently in contact geometry in connection with some modern developments in mathematics and theoretical physics [1, 2]. Sasaki-Einstein geometry is considered important in studies of consistent string compactification and in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence [3, 4].

The symmetries of Sasaki-Einstein spaces play a significant role in connection with the study of integrability properties of geodesic motions and separation of variables of the classical Hamilton-Jacobi or quantum Klein-Gordon, Dirac equations.

The homogeneous toric Sasaki-Einstein on  $S^2 \times S^3$  is usually referred to as  $T^{1,1}$  and was considered as the first example of toric Sasaki-Einstein/quiver duality [5]. The  $AdS \times T^{1,1}$  is the first example of a supersymmetric holographic theory based on a compact manifold which is not locally  $S^5$ .

In a recent paper [6] it has been constructed the complete set of constants of motion for Sasaki-Einstein spaces  $T^{1,1}$  and  $Y^{p,q}$  proving the complete inte-

---

\*mvisin@theory.nipne.ro

grability of geodesic flows. Moreover it was used the action-angle formalism to study thoroughly the complete integrability of these systems [7, 8].

In this paper we are concerned with integrability of geodesic motions in Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$  and its Calabi-Yau metric cone. In general the metric cone of a Sasaki-Einstein manifold is singular at the apex of the cone. On the other hand there are promising generalizations of the original AdS/CFT correspondence by considering  $D3$ -branes on conifold singularities [5, 9].

Regarding the metric cone over  $T^{1,1}$ , the singularity at its apex can be smoothed out in two different ways [10]. One can substitute the apex by an  $S^3$  (*deformation*) or by an  $S^2$  (*small resolution*). We find out that the geodesic motions are completely integrable in the case of the metric cone as well as its small resolution of the apex singularity. In opposition to these cases, the geodesic flow on the deformed metric cone is not completely integrable.

We proceed to use the action-angle approach for these integrable systems with a caveat that the radial motion is unbounded. One may separate the radial from the angular degrees of freedom. The compact phase space corresponding to the angular degrees of freedom can be formulated in terms of action-angle variables and, finally, add a radial part to it.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section we briefly describe the complete integrability of the geodesic motion in  $T^{1,1}$  space. In Section 3 we proceed to investigate the integrability of the geodesic flow on the metric cone over  $T^{1,1}$ . In Section 4 we extend the study of the integrability to the resolved conifolds in both known procedures of smoothing out the singularity at the apex of the conifold. The paper ends with conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 Complete integrability on $T^{1,1}$ space

The homogeneous Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$  has topology  $S^2 \times S^3$  and its isometries form the group  $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ . The metric on this space is particularly simple [10, 11]:

$$ds_{T^{1,1}}^2 = \frac{1}{6}(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2) + \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2)^2.$$

Here  $\theta_i, \phi_i, i = 1, 2$  are the usual polar and axial coordinates on two round two-sphere and  $\psi \in [0, 4\pi)$  parametrizes the  $U(1)$  bundle over  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

The Hamiltonian describing the geodesic flow is

$$H = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij} p_i p_j, \tag{1}$$

where  $g^{ij}$  is the inverse metric of  $T^{1,1}$  space and  $p_i = g_{ij} \dot{x}^j$  are the conjugate momenta to the coordinates  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$ .

Let us denote by  $(p_{\theta_1}, p_{\theta_2}, p_{\phi_1}, p_{\phi_2}, p_{\psi})$  these conjugate momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\theta_1} &= \frac{1}{6} \dot{\theta}_1, \\
p_{\theta_2} &= \frac{1}{6} \dot{\theta}_2, \\
p_{\phi_1} &= \frac{1}{6} \sin^2 \theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{9} \cos \theta_1 (\cos \theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos \theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}), \\
p_{\phi_2} &= \frac{1}{6} \sin^2 \theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \frac{1}{9} \cos \theta_2 (\cos \theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos \theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}), \\
p_{\psi} &= \frac{1}{9} (\cos \theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos \theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In terms of them, the Hamiltonian (1) has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
H = 3 \left[ p_{\theta_1}^2 + p_{\theta_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} (p_{\phi_1} - \cos \theta_1 p_{\psi})^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_2} (p_{\phi_2} - \cos \theta_2 p_{\psi})^2 \right] \\
+ \frac{9}{2} p_{\psi}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The Hamiltonian is cyclic in  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi$  and consequently their conjugate momenta  $p_{\phi_1}, p_{\phi_2}, p_{\psi}$  are conserved. Besides, two total  $SU(2)$  angular momenta are also conserved:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J}_1^2 &= p_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} (p_{\phi_1} - \cos \theta_1 p_{\psi})^2 + p_{\psi}^2, \\
\mathbf{J}_2^2 &= p_{\theta_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_2} (p_{\phi_2} - \cos \theta_2 p_{\psi})^2 + p_{\psi}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Other constants of motion can be constructed using the Stäckel-Killing tensors of the  $T^{1,1}$  space [6, 12]. In spite of the presence of a multitude of conserved quantities, the number of functionally independent constants of motion is five. This implies the complete integrability of geodesic motions in  $T^{1,1}$  which allows us to solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation by separation of variables and construct the action-angle variables [7].

### 3 Complete integrability on the metric cone

It is well known that a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_M)$  is Sasakian if and only if its metric cone

$$(C(M), g_{C(M)}) = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times M, dr^2 + r^2 g_M),$$

is Kähler [1]. Here  $r \in (0, \infty)$  may be considered as a coordinate on the positive real line  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, if the Sasakian manifold is Einstein, the metric cone is Ricci-flat and Kähler, i.e., Calabi-Yau.

In connection with the Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$ , the conifold metric (mc) is

$$ds_{mc}^2 = dr^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^2 (d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2 \theta_i d\phi_i^2) + \frac{1}{9} \left( d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^2 \cos \theta_i d\phi_i \right)^2 \right). \quad (4)$$

On the metric cone (4) the geodesic flow is described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{C(T^{1,1})} = \frac{1}{2} p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{H}, \quad (5)$$

where the radial momentum is

$$p_r = \dot{r}.$$

The Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}$  has a similar structure as in (3), but constructed with momenta  $\tilde{p}_i$  related to momenta  $p_i$  (2) by

$$\tilde{p}_i = r^2 g_{ij} \dot{x}^j = r^2 p_i.$$

It is not difficult to observe that the radial dynamics is independent of the dynamics of the base manifold  $T^{1,1}$  and  $\tilde{H}$  is a constant of motion. The Hamilton equations of motion for  $\tilde{H}$  on  $T^{1,1}$  have the standard form in terms of a new time variable  $\tilde{t}$  given by [13]

$$\frac{dt}{d\tilde{t}} = r^2.$$

Concerning the constant of motions, they are the conjugate momenta ( $\tilde{p}_{\phi_1}$ ,  $\tilde{p}_{\phi_2}$ ,  $\tilde{p}_{\psi}$ ) associated with the cyclic coordinates ( $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi$ ) and two total  $SU(2)$  momenta

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_i^2 = r^4 \mathbf{J}_i^2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Together with the Hamiltonian  $H_{C(T^{1,1})}$ , they ensure the complete integrability of the geodesic flow on the metric cone.

Considering a particular level set  $E$  of  $H_{C(T^{1,1})}$ , we get for the radial motion

$$p_r^2 = \dot{r}^2 = 2E - \frac{2}{r^2} \tilde{H}.$$

The turning point of the radial motion is determined by

$$\dot{r} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad r_* = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{H}}{E}}. \quad (6)$$

Projecting the geodesic curves onto the base manifold  $T^{1,1}$  we can evaluate the total distance transversed in the Sasaki-Einstein space between the limiting points as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  [13]

$$d = \sqrt{2\tilde{H}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r_*^2 + 2Et^2} = \pi.$$

To proceed with the analysis of the integrability of geodesic motions on the metric cone we must be aware that the radial motion is unbounded and consequently the Hamiltonian (5) does not admit a formulation in terms of action-angle variables. The key idea [14, 15, 16] is to split the mechanical system into a “radial” and an “angular” part. The angular part is a compact subsystem spanned by the set of variables  $q = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$  which can be formulated in terms of action-angle variables  $(I_i, \Phi_i^0)$ ,  $i = \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi$ . By adding the radial part  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , the Hamiltonian (5) can be put in the form

$$H_{C(T^{1,1})} = \frac{1}{2} p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{H}(I_i).$$

Concerning the action-angle variables  $(I_i, \Phi_i^0)$  corresponding to the compact angular subsystem, they can be determined by a standard technique. To fulfill this task, let us consider a particular level set  $E$  of the energy. Using complete separability, Hamilton’s principal function can be written in the form [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(r, q, \alpha, t) &= S_0(r, q, \alpha) - Et = S_r(r, \alpha) + \tilde{S}_0(q, \alpha) - Et \\ &= S_r(r, \alpha) + \sum_{j=1,2} S_{\theta_j}(\theta_j, \alpha) + \sum_{j=1,2} S_{\phi_j}(\phi_j, \alpha) + S_\psi(\psi, \alpha) - Et, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a set of constants of integration.

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial S_r}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{r^2} \sum_{i=1,2} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial S_{\theta_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial S_{\phi_i}}{\partial \phi_i} \right) - \cos \theta_i \left( \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} \right) \right]^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{2r^2} \left( \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since the variables  $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$  are cyclic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\phi_1} &= \tilde{p}_{\phi_1} \cdot \phi_1 = \alpha_{\phi_1} \cdot \phi_1, \\ S_{\phi_2} &= \tilde{p}_{\phi_2} \cdot \phi_2 = \alpha_{\phi_2} \cdot \phi_2, \\ S_\psi &= \tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \psi = \alpha_\psi \cdot \psi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{\phi_1}, \alpha_{\phi_2}, \alpha_\psi$  are constants of integration. The corresponding action variable are:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\phi_1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{\partial S_{\phi_1}}{\partial \phi_1} d\phi_1 = \alpha_{\phi_1}, \\ I_{\phi_2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{\partial S_{\phi_2}}{\partial \phi_2} d\phi_2 = \alpha_{\phi_2}, \\ I_\psi &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} d\psi = \alpha_\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Next we deal with the coordinates  $\theta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . From Hamilton-Jacobi equation (8) we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial S_{\theta_i}}{\partial \theta_i}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_i} (\alpha_{\phi_i} - \alpha_{\psi} \cos \theta_i)^2 = \alpha_{\theta_i}^2, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $\alpha_{\theta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are constants.

The corresponding action variables  $I_{\theta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are

$$I_{\theta_i} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \left( \alpha_{\theta_i}^2 - \frac{(\alpha_{\phi_i} - \alpha_{\psi} \cos \theta_i)^2}{\sin^2 \theta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The limits of integrations are defined by the roots  $\theta_{i-}$  and  $\theta_{i+}$  of the expressions in the square root parenthesis and a complete cycle of  $\theta_i$  involves going from  $\theta_{i-}$  to  $\theta_{i+}$  and back to  $\theta_{i-}$ . An efficient technique for evaluating  $I_{\theta_i}$  is to extend  $\theta_i$  to a complex variable  $z_i$  and interpret the integral as a closed contour integral in the  $z_i$  plane [7, 8]. At the end, we get

$$I_{\theta_i} = (\alpha_{\theta_i}^2 + \alpha_{\psi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \alpha_{\phi_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We note that each  $S_i$ ,  $i = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$  depends on all action variables  $I_i$ . Then the angle variables are

$$\Phi_i^0 = \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_0}{\partial I_i}, \quad i = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi).$$

Their explicit expressions are quite involved and are not produced here, but they can be found in [7, 8].

Finally, let us consider the radial part of the Hamilton's principal function. From Hamilton-Jacobi equation (8) we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial r}\right)^2 = 2E - \frac{6}{r^2}(\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_{\psi}^2),$$

and

$$S_r(r, \alpha) = \int^r dr' \left( 2E - \frac{6}{r'^2}(\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_{\psi}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, the Hamilton's principal function (7) is

$$S(E, I_i, r, \Phi_i^0) = \sqrt{2} \int^r dr' \sqrt{E - \frac{\tilde{H}(I_i)}{r'^2}} + \sum_i I_i \Phi_i^0, \quad (9)$$

where the sum extends over the action-angle variables  $i = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$ .

The integral in (9) can be evaluated and, eventually, we get

$$S_r(r, I_i) = \sqrt{2E} \left( \sqrt{r^2 - r_*^2} - r_* \arctan \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - r_*^2}{r_*^2}} \right)$$

where the turning point  $r_*$  (6), in terms of action variables  $I_i$ , is

$$r_*^2 = \frac{3}{E} \left[ (I_{\theta_1} + I_{\phi_1})^2 + (I_{\theta_2} + I_{\phi_2})^2 - \frac{1}{2} I_{\psi}^2 \right].$$

## 4 Integrability of the resolved conifolds

The metric cone over Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$  has a singularity at its apex which can be repaired in two different ways [10]. The first is represented by a *deformation* having the effect of replacing the node by a sphere  $S^3$ . The second possibility consists in a replacement of the node by an  $S^2$  (*small resolution*).

The metric cone (4) associated with Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$  is described by the following equation in four complex variables

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 w_a^2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

The equation of the quadric can be rewritten using a matrix  $\mathcal{W}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma^a w_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} w_3 + iw_4 & w_1 - iw_2 \\ w_1 + iw_2 & -w_3 + iw_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} X & U \\ V & Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\sigma^a$  are the Pauli matrices for  $a = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\sigma^4$  is  $i$  times the unit matrix. The radial coordinate is defined by

$$r^2 = \text{tr}(\mathcal{W}^\dagger \mathcal{W}).$$

In terms of the matrix  $\mathcal{W}$ , equation (10) can be written as

$$\det \mathcal{W} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad XY - UV = 0. \quad (12)$$

### 4.1 Small resolution

The small resolution is realized replacing (12) by the pair of equations [10]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & U \\ V & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

in which  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  are not both zero. Thus, in the region where  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , the pair  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is uniquely characterized by the coordinate  $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$ , while in the region where  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , the pair  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is described by the coordinate  $\mu = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$ .

It turns out to be convenient to introduce a new radial coordinate

$$\rho^2 \equiv \frac{3}{2} \gamma,$$

where the function  $\gamma$  is given by the equation

$$\gamma^3 + 6a^2 \gamma^2 - r^4 = 0,$$

with  $a$  the ‘‘resolution’’ parameter. It represents the radius of the sphere  $S^2$  which replaces the point singularity at  $r^2 = 0$ .

Eventually, the metric of the resolved conifold (rc) can be written as [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{rc}^2 = & \kappa^{-1}(\rho) d\rho^2 + \frac{1}{9}\kappa(\rho)\rho^2(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{6}\rho^2(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{6}(\rho^2 + 6a^2)(d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2\theta_2 d\phi_2^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\kappa(\rho) \equiv \frac{\rho^2 + 9a^2}{\rho^2 + 6a^2}.$$

The resolved conifold metric is Ricci flat and has an explicit  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  invariant form. When the resolution parameter  $a$  goes to zero or when  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , the resolved conifold metric reduces to the standard conifold metric  $g_{C(T^{1,1})}$ . In fact the parameter  $a$  introduces an asymmetry between the two sphere.

The conjugate momenta  $(P_\rho, P_{\theta_1}, P_{\theta_2}, P_{\phi_1}, P_{\phi_2}, P_\psi)$  corresponding to the coordinates  $(\rho, \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\rho &= \kappa^{-1}(\rho)\dot{\rho}, \\
P_{\theta_1} &= \frac{1}{6}\rho^2\dot{\theta}_1, \\
P_{\theta_2} &= \frac{1}{6}(\rho^2 + 6a^2)\dot{\theta}_2, \\
P_{\phi_1} &= \frac{1}{6}\rho^2 \sin^2\theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{9}\kappa(\rho)\rho^2 \cos\theta_1(\cos\theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos\theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}), \\
P_{\phi_2} &= \frac{1}{6}(\rho^2 + 6a^2) \sin^2\theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \frac{1}{9}\kappa(\rho)\rho^2 \cos\theta_2(\cos\theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos\theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}), \\
P_\psi &= \frac{1}{9}\kappa(\rho)\rho^2(\cos\theta_1 \dot{\phi}_1 + \cos\theta_2 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{\psi}).
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of them, the Hamiltonian for the geodesic flow on rc is

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{rc} = & \frac{\kappa(\rho)}{2}P_\rho^2 + \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{\kappa(\rho)\rho^2}P_\psi^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2}P_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2 + 6a^2}P_{\theta_2}^2 \\
& + \frac{3}{\rho^2 \sin^2\theta_1}(P_{\phi_1} - \cos\theta_1 P_\psi)^2 \\
& + \frac{3}{(\rho^2 + 6a^2) \sin^2\theta_2}(P_{\phi_2} - \cos\theta_2 P_\psi)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that  $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi)$  are still cyclic coordinates and, accordingly, momenta  $P_{\phi_1}, P_{\phi_2}, P_\psi$  are conserved. Taking into account the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  symmetry of the metric (13), the total angular momenta

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J}_1^2 &= P_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta_1}(P_{\phi_1} - \cos\theta_1 P_\psi)^2 + P_\psi^2 = \rho^4 \mathbf{j}_1^2, \\
\mathbf{J}_2^2 &= P_{\theta_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta_2}(P_{\phi_2} - \cos\theta_2 P_\psi)^2 + P_\psi^2 = (\rho^2 + 6a^2)^2 \mathbf{j}_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

are also conserved. The set of conserved quantities  $(H_{rc}, P_{\phi_1}, P_{\phi_2}, P_\psi, \mathbf{J}_1^2, \mathbf{J}_2^2)$  ensures the complete integrability of geodesic motions on the resolved conifold. As it is expected, for  $a = 0$  we recover the state of integrability on the standard metric cone of the Sasaki-Einstein space  $T^{1,1}$ .

In what follows, as in the case of the metric cone, we separate the radial from the angular degrees of freedom. We shall look for the Hamilton's principal function in the form:

$$S(\rho, q, \alpha, t) = S_\rho(\rho, \alpha) + \sum_{j=1,2} S_{\theta_j}(\theta_j, \alpha) + \sum_{j=1,2} S_{\phi_j}(\phi_j, \alpha) + S_\psi(\psi, \alpha) - Et.$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho) \left( \frac{\partial S_\rho}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{\partial S_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_1} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2 + 6a^2} \left( \frac{\partial S_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_2} \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{3}{\rho^2 \sin^2 \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial S_{\phi_1}}{\partial \phi_1} \right) - \cos \theta_1 \left( \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} \right) \right]^2 \\ & + \frac{3}{(\rho^2 + 6a^2) \sin^2 \theta_2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial S_{\phi_2}}{\partial \phi_2} \right) - \cos \theta_2 \left( \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} \right) \right]^2 \\ & + \frac{9}{2\kappa(\rho)\rho^2} \left( \frac{\partial S_\psi}{\partial \psi} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As before,  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi$  are cyclic coordinates and the evaluation of  $S_{\phi_1}, S_{\phi_2}, S_\psi$  proceeds as in the previous Section. Fortunately, the evaluation of  $S_{\theta_1}$  and  $S_{\theta_2}$  is again as above.

Concerning the radial part of the Hamilton's principal function we get a more intricate equation:

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho) \left( \frac{\partial S_\rho}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 + \frac{9}{2\kappa(\rho)\rho^2} I_\psi^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2} [(I_{\theta_1} + I_{\phi_1})^2 - I_\psi^2] \\ & + \frac{3}{(\rho^2 + 6a^2)} [(I_{\theta_2} + I_{\phi_2})^2 - I_\psi^2]. \end{aligned}$$

This equation can be integrated, but the result is not at all illuminating to be produced here. Anyway, we remark the asymmetry between the contribution to the Hamiltonian of the action variables associated with the motions on the two sphere  $S^2$ . This contrasts with the situation of the geodesic flow on the metric cone of  $T^{1,1}$ . Moreover, in the case of  $T^{1,1}$ , the study of integrability points out that two pairs of frequencies of the geodesic motion are resonant and the Hamiltonian involves a reduced number of action variables.

## 4.2 Deformation

The deformation of the conifold consists in replacing the apex by an  $S^3$  which is achieved by another modification of equation (10). The metric cone is deformed

to a smooth manifold described by the equation:

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 w_a^2 = \epsilon^2,$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the “deformation” parameter. In terms of the matrix  $\mathcal{W}$  (11), equation (12) becomes

$$\det \mathcal{W} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2.$$

On setting the new radial coordinate

$$r^2 = \epsilon^2 \cosh \tau,$$

the deformed conifold (dc) metric is [10, 19, 20]:

$$ds_{dc}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\frac{4}{3}}K(\tau) \left( \frac{1}{3K^3(\tau)} (d\tau^2 + ds_1^2) + \frac{\cosh \tau}{2} ds_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} ds_3^2 \right), \quad (14)$$

where

$$K(\tau) = \frac{(\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sinh \tau},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ds_1^2 &= (d\psi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2)^2, \\ ds_2^2 &= d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2, \\ ds_3^2 &= 2(\sin \psi (d\phi_1 d\theta_2 \sin \theta_1 + d\phi_2 d\theta_1 \sin \theta_2) \\ &\quad + \cos \psi (d\theta_1 d\theta_2 - d\phi_1 d\phi_2 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2)). \end{aligned}$$

In the limit  $r \rightarrow \epsilon$ , on surfaces  $r^2 = \text{const.}$ , the deformed conifold metric reduces to the  $S^3$  surface metric.

We remark that the term  $ds_3^2$  does not exist in the conifold metric (4). The coordinate  $\psi$  ceases to be a cyclic coordinate and only  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  continue to be cyclic. Therefore the number of the first integrals of the corresponding Hamiltonian is insufficient to ensure the integrability of the geodesic flow.

## 5 Conclusions

In the last time it was proved the complete integrability of geodesic motions on five-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein spaces  $Y^{p,q}$  and  $T^{1,1}$  [6, 12, 21].

The purpose of this paper was to investigate the integrability of the metric cone of  $T^{1,1}$  space and its resolved conifolds. We proved that the geodesics on the Calabi-Yau metric cone are completely integrable. This property is also valid for the small resolution of the conifold, but it is lost in the case of deformation.

The formulation of the integrable systems in terms of action-angle variables permits a comprehensive geometric description of their dynamics.

With reference to the metric cone over a Sasaki-Einstein space, the radial motion is unbounded and the corresponding Hamiltonian system, taken as a whole, does not admit a description with action-angle variables. Splitting the system into a “radial” and an “angular” part, the constants of motions are encoded in the “angular Hamiltonian system”.

In view of fruitful extensions of the basic AdS/CFT correspondence, it is natural to expand the investigation of the integrability of geodesic flows for higher dimensional Calabi-Yau spaces and their non-singular resolutions.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been partly supported by the joint Romanian-LIT, JINR, Dubna Research Project, theme no. 05-6-1119-2014/2019 and partly by the project NUCLEU 16 42 01 01/2016.

## References

- [1] C. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [2] J. Sparks, *Surv. Diff. Geom.* **16** (2011) 265.
- [3] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231.
- [4] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8** (2004) 711.
- [5] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B536** (1988) 199.
- [6] E. M. Babalic and M. Visinescu, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **30** (2015) 1550180.
- [7] M. Visinescu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 498.
- [8] M. Visinescu, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2017** (2017) 013A01.
- [9] D. R. Morrison and M. R. Plesser *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1.
- [10] P. Candelas and X. de la Ossa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B342** (1990) 246.
- [11] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **262** (2006) 51.
- [12] V. Slesar, M. Visinescu and G. E. Vilcu, *EPL* **110** (2015) 31001.
- [13] R. Field, I. V. Melnikov and B. Weaver, *Dynamics on asymptotically conical geometries*, arXiv:1710.00404 [hep-th] (2017).
- [14] T. Hakobyan, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Lett. A* **374** (2010) 801.

- [15] O. Lechtenfeld, A. Nersessian and V. Yeghikyan, *Phys. Lett. A* **374** (2010) 4647.
- [16] T. Hakobyan, O. Lechtenfeld, A. Nersessian, A. Saghatelian and V. Yeghikyan, *Phys. Lett. A* **376** (2012) 679.
- [17] H. Goldstein, C. Poole and J. Safko, *Classical Mechanics*, 3rd edition (Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, 2002).
- [18] L. A. Pando Zayas and A. A. Tseytlin, *JHEP* **11** (2000) 028.
- [19] K. Ohta and T. Yokono, *JHEP* **02** (2000) 023.
- [20] R. Minasian and D. Tsimpis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B572** (2000) 499.
- [21] V. Slesar, M. Visinescu and G. E. Vilcu, *Annals Phys.* **361** (2015) 548.