

Anti-van der Waerden numbers on Graphs

Zhanar Berikkyzy*, Alex Schulte†, Elizabeth Sprangel‡,
Shanise Walker§, Nathan Warnberg¶, Michael Young||

June 25, 2019

Abstract

In this paper arithmetic progressions on the integers and the integers modulo n are extended to graphs. This allows for the definition of the anti-van der Waerden number of a graph. Much of the focus of this paper is on 3-term arithmetic progressions for which general bounds are obtained based on the radius and diameter of a graph. The general bounds are improved for trees and Cartesian products and exact values are determined for some classes of graphs. Larger k -term arithmetic progressions are considered and a connection between the Ramsey number of paths and the anti-van der Waerden number of graphs is established.

Keywords anti-van der Waerden number; rainbow; k -term arithmetic progression; Ramsey number.

1 Introduction

The motivation for studying the anti-van der Waerden number of graphs originates from extending results on the anti-van der Waerden number of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and \mathbb{Z}_n to paths and cycles, respectively. Notice that the set of arithmetic progressions on $[n]$ is isomorphic to the set of non-degenerate arithmetic progressions on P_n . Similarly, the set of arithmetic progressions on \mathbb{Z}_n is isomorphic to the set of non-degenerate arithmetic progressions on C_n . Therefore, considering the anti-van der Waerden number of $[n]$ or \mathbb{Z}_n is equivalent to studying the anti-van der Waerden number of paths or cycles, respectively.

The anti-van der Waerden number was first defined in [9]. Many results on arithmetic progressions of $[n]$ and the cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_n were considered in [4]. Other results on colorings (and balanced colorings) of the integers with no rainbow 3-term arithmetic progressions have also been studied (see [1], [2], [6]). In [4], Butler et al. determined $\text{aw}(C_n, 3)$ as seen in Theorem 1.2. This theorem was generalized in [10]. The authors of [4] also obtained bounds on $[n]$ and

*University of California-Riverside, zhanar@ucr.edu

†Iowa State University, alex.schulte0227@gmail.com

‡Iowa State University, sprangel@iastate.edu

§University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, walkersg@uwec.edu

¶University of Wisconsin-La Crosse, nwarnberg@uwlax.edu

||Iowa State University, myoung@iastate.edu

conjectured the result that was proved in [3]. This result on $[n]$ is adapted to paths in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 [3] *If $n \geq 3$ and $7 \cdot 3^{m-2} + 1 \leq n \leq 21 \cdot 3^{m-2}$, then*

$$\text{aw}([n], 3) = \text{aw}(P_n, 3) = \begin{cases} m + 2 & \text{if } n = 3^m, \\ m + 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [4, Theorem 1.6] it is shown that $3 \leq \text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) \leq 4$ for every prime number p and that if $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) = 4$, then $p \geq 17$. Furthermore, it is shown that the value of $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$ is determined by the values of $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3)$ for the prime factors p of n . The notation has been changed, but this result is given in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 [4] *Let n be a positive integer with prime decomposition $n = 2^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$ for $e_i \geq 0$, $i = 0, \dots, s$, where primes are ordered so that $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 3) = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 3) = 4$ for $\ell + 1 \leq i \leq s$. Then,*

$$\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \text{aw}(C_n, 3) = \begin{cases} 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e_j + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^s 2e_j & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 3 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e_j + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^s 2e_j & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The anti-van der Waerden number is not a monotone parameter. The inequality

$$\text{aw}(C_n, 3) = \text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \leq \text{aw}([n], 3) = \text{aw}(P_n, 3),$$

yields examples of this parameter not being monotone.

In this paper, the anti-van der Waerden number of a graph is defined. The definition is inspired by [4], where the anti-van der Waerden numbers were studied over the set of integers $[n]$ and the integers modulo n . First, some fundamental definitions are required.

Let $u, v \in V(G)$, the *distance* from u to v , $d(u, v)$, is the length of the shortest path from u to v . If there is no path from u to v , then $d(u, v) = \infty$. A *k-term arithmetic progression of a graph* G , k -AP, is a subset of k vertices of G of the form $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, where $d(v_i, v_{i+1}) = d < \infty$ for all $1 \leq i < k$. A k -term arithmetic progression is *degenerate* if $v_i = v_j$ for any $i \neq j$.

An *exact r-coloring of a graph* G is a surjective function $c : V(G) \rightarrow [r]$. A set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ is *rainbow* under coloring c , if for any $v_i, v_j \in S$, $c(v_i) \neq c(v_j)$ when $v_i \neq v_j$. Note that degenerate k -APs will not be rainbow. Given a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$, $c(S) = \{c(v) | v \in S\}$, is the set of colors used on the vertices of S .

The *anti-van der Waerden number of a graph* G , denoted by $\text{aw}(G, k)$, is the least positive integer r such that every exact r -coloring of G contains a rainbow k -AP. If G has n vertices and no coloring of G contains non-degenerate k -APs, then $\text{aw}(G, k) = n + 1$.

1.1 Preliminary Results

The lower bound for Observation 1.3 is easy to see, and useful, and the upper bound is due to the fact that it is possible to color each vertex of a graph uniquely and fail to have a rainbow k -AP. A *complete graph* on n vertices, written K_n , is a graph such that there is an edge between every pair of vertices. Complete graphs realize the lower bound of Observation 1.3, namely $\text{aw}(K_n, k) = k$. An *empty graph* on n vertices is a graph on n vertices with no edges. Empty graphs realize the upper bound of Observation 1.3.

Observation 1.3 *Let G be a graph on n vertices, then $k \leq \text{aw}(G, k) \leq n + 1$.*

A graph G is *connected* if for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ there exists a path from u to v in G . A graph that is not connected is called *disconnected*. A *connected component* of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G .

Observation 1.4 *If G is disconnected with connected components $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$, then*

$$\text{aw}(G, k) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\text{aw}(G_i, k) - 1).$$

Observation 1.4 is shown by putting $\text{aw}(G_i, k) - 1$ colors on each connected component G_i of G and as soon as one additional color is used, one of the connected components contains a rainbow k -AP. This observation shows that studying disconnected graphs is equivalent to studying each of its connected components. Therefore, for the remainder of this paper only connected graphs will be considered.

In Sections 2, 3, and 4 the anti-van der Waerden number on graphs will be considered on 3-term arithmetic progressions. In Section 2, the radius and diameter of a graph are used to determine bounds on the anti-van der Waerden number of general graphs. In Section 3, the anti-van der Waerden number on trees is investigated and demonstrates that the anti-van der Waerden number of a tree can be bounded by the number of specific degree two vertices. In Section 4, the anti-van der Waerden number is determined for some families of graphs and bounds on some graph operations are established. In Section 5, the anti-van der Waerden number on graphs will be considered on k -term arithmetic progressions where $k > 3$ and connection between the anti-van der Waerden number of a graph and the Ramsey number of multiple paths is established.

2 Radius and Diameter

In this section, anti-van der Waerden numbers are determined and bounded in terms of distance parameters. The *eccentricity* of vertex v in graph G is $\epsilon(v) = \max\{d(v, u) \mid u \in V(G)\}$. The *radius* of graph G is $\text{rad}(G) = \min\{\epsilon(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$ and the *diameter* is $\text{diam}(G) = \max\{\epsilon(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$. A vertex v is *central* in G if $\epsilon(v) = \text{rad}(G)$.

Proposition 2.1 *If G is a connected graph, then*

$$\text{aw}(G, 3) \leq \begin{cases} \text{rad}(G) + 2 & \text{if } \text{rad}(G) \leq 2, \\ \text{rad}(G) + 1 & \text{if } 3 \leq \text{rad}(G). \end{cases}$$

Proof:

Assume $\text{rad}(G) \leq 2$ and let v be a central vertex of G . For $1 \leq i \leq \text{rad}(G)$, let L_i be the set of vertices that are distance i from v . In any exact $(\text{rad}(G) + 2)$ -coloring of G , there exists an L_i that has two colors that are different from the color of v . If u and w are two such vertices in L_i , then $\{u, v, w\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP.

Now, assume G has $\text{rad}(G) \geq 3$. Let c be an exact $(\text{rad}(G) + 1)$ -coloring of G that avoids rainbow 3-APs. Without loss of generality, let $c(v) = \text{red}$ and L_i be the set of vertices that

are distance i from v (see Figure 1). Note that if $v_1, v_2 \in L_i$ where $c(v_1), c(v_2) \neq \text{red}$ and $c(v_1) \neq c(v_2)$, then $\{v_1, v, v_2\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Since there are $\text{rad}(G)$ sets L_i , each non-*red* color appears in exactly one L_i . Let $b \in L_1$, $g \in L_2$ and $p \in L_3$ be vertices that are not colored *red*. There are no edges between b , g and p , otherwise there would exist a path on three vertices with three different colors which is a rainbow 3-AP. Therefore there exists a vertex colored *red*, r_1 , in L_1 that is adjacent to g . If r_2 is adjacent to b or p , then there would exist a path on three vertices with three different colors. This implies there exists $r_2 \in L_2$ that is colored *red* and is adjacent to p . If r_1 is adjacent to r_2 , then $\{b, r_1, p\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Therefore there exists a vertex colored *red*, r'_1 , in L_1 that is adjacent to r_2 . Then either $\{b, r'_1, p\}$ or $\{p, b, g\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP depending on whether or not br'_1 is an edge. \square




Figure 1: Levels of graph from central vertex v , edges drawn must exist.

Proposition 2.2 *Let G be a connected graph such that $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $\text{aw}(G, 3) = 3$.*

Proof:

Since $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $\text{rad}(G)$ is either 1 or 2. By Observation 1.3, $3 \leq \text{aw}(G, 3)$. If $\text{rad}(G) = 1$, then $\text{aw}(G, 3) = 3$ since there exists vertices v, u, w such that v is a central vertex and $c(v) \neq c(u)$, $c(v) \neq c(w)$ and $c(u) \neq c(w)$, so $\{u, v, w\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Assume $\text{rad}(G) = \text{diam}(G) = 2$ and c is an exact 3-coloring of G . Let u and v be adjacent vertices such that $c(u) \neq c(v)$. Let w be a vertex such that $c(w) \neq c(u), c(v)$. If w is adjacent to u or v , there is a rainbow 3-AP. If w is not adjacent to u or v , then $\{u, w, v\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. \square

3 Trees

In this section, anti-van der Waerden numbers are bounded for trees. The fact that connected subgraphs of trees are isometric (distance preserving) is essential for the results in this section. The relationship between the anti-van der Waerden number of a graph and its isometric subgraph are established in [8] (see Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2).

Lemma 3.1 *In any coloring of a tree with no rainbow 3-APs, there exists a path that contains all of the colors.*

Proof:

Suppose c is an exact r -coloring of tree T with no rainbow 3-APs. Let T' be the smallest subtree of T that contains all r colors. If v is a leaf in T' , then no other vertex in T' has color $c(v)$; otherwise, T' is not the smallest subtree containing all r -colors. If T' is not a path, then T' has at least three leaves, namely u , v and w . Without loss of generality, suppose $d(u, v) \leq d(v, w)$. Then $\{u, v, x\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP where x is the vertex on a shortest vw -path such that $d(u, v) = d(v, x)$. Therefore, T' must be a path. \square

Proposition 3.2 *If T is a tree with $\text{diam}(T) = d$, then*

$$\text{aw}(T, 3) \leq \begin{cases} \text{aw}([d+1], 3) & \text{if } d \neq 3^m \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{aw}([d+1], 3) + 1 & \text{if } d = 3^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Proof:

Let c be an exact $(\text{aw}(T, 3) - 1)$ -coloring of T with no rainbow 3-APs. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a path P that contains every color. Therefore $\text{aw}(T, 3) - 1 \leq \text{aw}(|P|, 3) - 1$. This implies that $\text{aw}(T, 3) \leq \text{aw}(|P|, 3)$. Since $|P| \leq d + 1$, then $\text{aw}(|P|, 3) \leq \text{aw}([d+1], 3) + 1$, by Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, this can be improved to $\text{aw}(|P|, 3) \leq \text{aw}([d+1], 3)$ when $d \neq 3^m$. \square

The remainder of this section focuses on the degree two vertices of trees and defines bijacent vertices which can bound the anti-van der Waerden number of a tree.

A *comb* is a graph obtained by adding a leaf to every vertex of a path. A *broken comb* is a connected subgraph of a comb that has a unique pair of leaves that realize the diameter. A unique pair of leaves that realize the diameter of a broken comb are called *antipodal vertices*. A *bijacent vertex* is a degree two vertex with two degree two neighbors. Observation 3.3 gives a reduction technique for computing anti-van der Waerden numbers of graphs with pendant vertices.

Observation 3.3 *If a graph G has an exact r -coloring (with $r \geq 3$) that avoids rainbow 3-APs and has two leaves that are distance 2 from each other, then those leaves must of be the same color. If u and v were leaves distance two from each other and $c(u) \neq c(v)$, then $\{u, x, v\}$ would be a rainbow 3-AP for any vertex x with $c(x) \neq c(u), c(v)$. This allows a graph to be reduced so that no vertex is adjacent to more than one leaf.*

The *neighborhood* of vertex v in graph G , denoted $N_G(v)$ or simply $N(v)$ when the context is clear, is the set of vertices that are distance 1 from v .

Lemma 3.4 *Let T be a broken comb with antipodal vertices u and v , and c be an exact r -coloring (with $r \geq 3$) with no rainbow 3-APs such that $c(u)$ and $c(v)$ are both unique. If $z \in V(T)$ and $\deg(z) = 3$, then $c(z) = c(y)$ for all $y \in N(z)$.*

Proof:

Consider the path from u to v and label the vertices $u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d = v$ where $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let v_i be a degree three vertex, and, without loss of generality, assume $i \leq d/2$ and $w \in N(v_i)$ such that $\deg(w) = 1$. Note that w is not u or v , by definition of a broken comb,

and so for all $x \in N(v_i) \setminus \{w\}$, either $\{w, u, x\}$ or $\{w, v, x\}$ is a 3-AP. Thus, $c(x) = c(y)$ for all $x, y \in N(v_i)$, and so $\{u, v_i, v_{2i}\}$ and $\{u, w, v_{2i}\}$ are 3-APs. Therefore, $c(v_i) = c(v_{2i}) = c(w)$ and v_i is the same color as all of its neighbors. \square

Lemma 3.5 *Let T be a broken comb with antipodal vertices u and v and c be an exact r -coloring (with $r \geq 4$) with no rainbow 3-APs such that $c(u)$ and $c(v)$ are both unique. Consider the path from u to v and label the vertices $u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d = v$ where $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. If $c(v_j) \neq c(v_i)$ for all $i < j$ and $j \geq 2$, then v_j is a bijacent vertex. Similarly, if $c(v_j) \neq c(v_i)$ for all $i > j$ and $j \leq d-2$, then v_j is a bijacent vertex.*

Proof:

Consider the path from u to v and label the vertices $u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d = v$ where $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let $\alpha \in c(T) \setminus c(\{v_1, u, v\})$ and v_j be the vertex with the smallest index j such that $c(v_j) = \alpha$. This implies that $\deg(v_{j-1}), \deg(v_j) = 2$, because if either had degree 3, then $c(v_{j-1}) = c(v_j)$ by Lemma 3.4, and this contradicts that j was the smallest such index. If $\deg(v_{j+1}) = 3$, then $c(v_j) = c(v_{j+1})$ and either $\{u, v_{j/2}, v_j\}$ or $\{u, v_{(j+1)/2}, v_{j+1}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP since $c(v_{j/2}) \neq \alpha$ and $c(v_{(j+1)/2}) \neq \alpha$ by the minimality of j . Also, if $\deg(v_{j+1}) = 1$, then $j = d-1$ and either $\{u, v_{j/2}, v_j\}$ or $\{u, v_{(j+1)/2}, v_{j+1}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Therefore, $\deg(v_{j+1}) = 2$ which implies that v_j is a bijacent vertex. By a similar argument using vertex v instead of vertex u , it is shown that if $c(v_j) \neq c(v_i)$ for all $i > j$, then v_j is a bijacent vertex. \square

Theorem 3.6 uses Lemma 3.5 by finding isometric broken comb subgraphs within a tree T .

Theorem 3.6 *If T is a tree with ℓ bijacent vertices, then*

$$aw(T, 3) \leq \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ \log_2(\ell) + 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

Let $r = aw(T, 3) - 1$ and consider an exact r -coloring c of T with no rainbow 3-APs. If $r \leq 2$, the result follows, so assume $r \geq 3$. Let P be the smallest path v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d where $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ with all the colors of $c(T)$; such a path exists by Lemma 3.1. Let T' be the broken comb induced by $P \cup_{i=1}^{d-1} N(v_i)$ and suppose that T' has $\ell' \leq \ell$ bijacent vertices, and antipodal vertices $u = v_0$ and $v = v_d$. Note that since P is the smallest path containing all the colors of $c(T)$ then $c(u)$ and $c(v)$ are unique in T' .

Suppose $\ell = 0$. Assume $r \geq 4$ and let $\alpha \in c(T') \setminus c(\{u, v_1, v\})$. Let v_j be the vertex with the smallest index j such that $c(v_j) = \alpha$. Since $\ell = 0$, v_j is not bijacent, which contradicts Lemma 3.5, thus, such an α does not exist and $r = 3$. Hence, $aw(T, 3) = 4$.

For $\ell > 0$, proceed by strong induction on ℓ . Suppose $\ell = 1$ and assume $r \geq 4$. Let $\alpha \in c(T') \setminus c(\{u, v_1, v\})$, and let v_j be the vertex with the smallest index j such that $c(v_j) = \alpha$ and let v_k be the vertex with the largest index k such that $c(v_k) = \alpha$. Note that $j < d/2$, otherwise $\{v_{2j-d}, v_j, v\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Similarly, $k > d/2$. By Lemma 3.5, both vertices v_j and v_k are bijacent, contradicting $\ell = 1$. Therefore, there is no such color α , i.e. $r = 3$ and so $aw(T, 3) = 4 = \log_2(1) + 4$.

Suppose that $\ell \geq 2$. If $r = 3$ then the result follows, so assume $r \geq 4$. Let j be the smallest index such that the set of colors used in $u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is $c(T') \setminus c(v)$. Let k be the largest

index such that the set of colors used in $v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_d = v$ is $c(T') \setminus c(u)$. By Lemma 3.5, v_j and v_k are bijacent vertices. Note that $j < d/2$, otherwise $\{v_{2j-d}, v_j, v\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Similarly, $k > d/2$. Let ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 be the number of bijacent vertices between u and v_j and between v_k and v , respectively. Then, $\ell_1 + \ell_2 < \ell' \leq \ell$, since v_j and v_k are both bijacent vertices. There are $r - 1$ colors that appear on the path between u and v_j because every color except $c(u)$ appears between u and v_j . Likewise, there are $r - 1$ colors that appear on the path between v_k and v . If $\ell_1 = 0$ or $\ell_2 = 0$, then $r - 1 = 3$ and hence $\text{aw}(T, 3) = 5 \leq \log_2(\ell) + 4$. Suppose that $0 < \ell_1$ and $0 < \ell_2$. By induction, $r - 1 \leq \log_2(\ell_1) + 4$ and $r - 1 \leq \log_2(\ell_2) + 3$ which implies $2^{r-1-3} \leq \ell_1$ and $2^{r-1-3} \leq \ell_2$. Thus, $2^{r-3} \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2 < \ell' \leq \ell$. Hence, $r \leq \log_2 \ell' + 3$ and $\text{aw}(T, 3) = r + 1 \leq \log_2 \ell' + 4 \leq \log_2 \ell + 4$. \square

The authors believe Theorem 3.6 can be improved. In particular, there is an upper bound similar to the bound on paths in Theorem 1.1, which is a logarithmic function to base three of the number of bijacent vertices contained in the tree.

Conjecture 3.7 *There exists a constant C such that if T is a tree with at most ℓ bijacent vertices in every path, then $\text{aw}(T, 3) \leq \log_3(\ell) + C$.*

Proposition 3.8 *If T is a tree such that $d = \text{diam}(T) \geq 3$ and d is odd, then $4 \leq \text{aw}(T, 3)$.*

Proof:

Let u and v be vertices in T such that $d(u, v) = d$. Now an exact 3-coloring of T that avoids rainbow 3-APs is described. First, let $c(u) = \text{blue}$ and $c(v) = \text{green}$. For $w \in V(T) \setminus \{u, v\}$, define

$$c(w) := \begin{cases} \text{green} & \text{if } d(u, w) = d, \\ \text{blue} & \text{if } d(v, w) = d, \\ \text{red} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that all vertices with eccentricity d are colored *blue* or *green*. Furthermore, given a pair of vertices such that one is colored *blue* and the other is colored *green*, their distance must be d . Since d is odd, there is no rainbow 3-AP such that the middle vertex is colored *red*. Therefore, without loss of generality, a rainbow 3-AP must have the first vertex colored *blue*, the second vertex colored *green*, and the third vertex colored *red*. However, this would imply a *red* vertex has eccentricity d , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $4 \leq \text{aw}(T, 3)$. \square

Corollary 3.9 *If T is a tree such that $\text{diam}(T) \geq 3$ is odd and has no bijacent vertices, then $\text{aw}(T, 3) = 4$.*

4 Classes of Graphs

In this section, the anti-van der Waerden number is determined for complete binary trees and hypercubes. There are also results on some graph operations.

Let \mathcal{B}_n be the complete binary tree on $2^{n+1} - 1$ vertices. Note $\text{aw}(\mathcal{B}_0, 3) = 2$ since there is only one vertex in \mathcal{B}_0 and $\text{aw}(\mathcal{B}_1, 3) = 3$ by Proposition 2.2.




Figure 2: A 3-coloring of \mathcal{B}_2 with no rainbow 3-AP.

Proposition 4.1 For all $n \geq 2$, $\text{aw}(\mathcal{B}_n, 3) = 4$.

Proof:

For the upper bound, $\text{aw}(\mathcal{B}_n, 3) \leq 4$ by Theorem 3.6 since \mathcal{B}_n has no biadjacent vertices. The case when $n = 2$ has a lower bound using the coloring in Figure 2. Let $n \geq 3$ and v_0 be the root of \mathcal{B}_n , then following cases give an exact 3-coloring with no rainbow 3-APs. If n is even, let

$$c(w) := \begin{cases} \text{red} & w = v_0, \\ \text{blue} & \text{if } d(w, v_0) = n - 1, \\ \text{green} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If n is odd, let

$$c(w) := \begin{cases} \text{red} & w = v_0, \\ \text{blue} & \text{if } d(w, v_0) = n, \\ \text{green} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| = n$ and H be a graph with $|V(H)| = m$. The *Cartesian product* of two graphs, denoted $G \square H$, is the graph where $V(G \square H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(u, v)(x, y) \in E(G \square H)$ if and only if $u = x$ and $vy \in E(H)$, or $ux \in E(G)$ and $v = y$.

It is convenient to consider $G \square H$ with m copies of G , labeled G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m , where G_i is the subgraph induced by the vertices of $G \square H$ of the form (u, i) for each $i \in V(H)$.

Lemma 4.2 Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and H be a connected graph on m vertices. Let c be a coloring of $G \square H$ with no rainbow 3-APs. If G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m are the labeled copies of G in $G \square H$, then $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Proof:

This proof begins with the special case where $G = P_n$ and $H = P_m$. The general case is proved by reducing it to the special case. Let c be a coloring of $G \square H$ with no rainbow 3-APs.

Case 1: $G = P_n$ and $H = P_m$.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| > 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose *red* and *blue* appear in G_j but not G_i . Note $i \neq j$, otherwise $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| = 0$. Let $(v, j), (w, j) \in G_j$ such that $c((v, j)) = \text{red}$ and $c((w, j)) = \text{blue}$ and $v < w$. If $|j - i| = 1$, then $\{(v, j), (w, j), (v', i)\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP, where (v', i) is the vertex adjacent to (v, i) on the shortest path between (v, i) and (w, i) in G_i , a contradiction. Therefore, if $|j - i| = 1$, then $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| \leq 1$.

Now suppose, by way of mathematical induction, that $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| \leq 1$ whenever $|j-i| < k$. Suppose $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| > 1$ and $|j-i| = k$. Define $d_G(v, w) = \ell$ and consider the subgraph with corners $(v, i), (w, i), (v, j)$, and (w, j) . If ℓ were even, then $\{(v, j), (v + \ell/2, i), (w, j)\}$ would be a rainbow 3-AP since $c((v + \ell/2, i)) \neq \text{red}, \text{blue}$, hence ℓ is odd.

Let $(v+1, j)$ and $(w-1, j)$ be the vertices between (v, j) and (w, j) on a shortest path in G_j such that (v, j) is adjacent to $(v+1, j)$ and (w, j) is adjacent to $(w-1, j)$ in $G \square H$. Then, $c((v+1, j-1)), c((w-1, j-1)) \in \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$ by the respective 3-APs $\{(v, j), (w, j), (v+1, j-1)\}$ and $\{(w, j), (v, j), (w-1, j-1)\}$. Moreover, $c((v+1, j-1)) = \text{red}$ by the 3-AP $\{(v, j), (v, i), (v+1, j-1)\}$ and $c((w-1, j-1)) = \text{blue}$ by the 3-AP $\{(w, j), (w, i), (w-1, j-1)\}$ since no vertex in G_i is *red* or *blue*. Therefore, $\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\} \subseteq c(V(G_{j-1}))$. However, notice that $|c(G_{j-1}) \setminus c(G_i)| \leq 1$ by the induction hypothesis since $|j-1-i| < k$. A contradiction since *red* and *blue* are in $c(G_{j-1})$ but not $c(G_i)$. Thus, $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Case 2: Without loss of generality $G \neq P_n$.

Let G_i and G_j be two of the labeled copies of G with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and assume $|c(G_j) \setminus c(G_i)| > 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose *red* and *blue* appear in $c(G_j)$ but not in $c(G_i)$. Let $c((v, j)) = \text{red}$ and $c((w, j)) = \text{blue}$. Let P be the shortest path between v and w in G and let P' be the shortest path between i and j in H .

Consider the isometric subgraph formed by $P \square P'$. Let P_i and P_j be the labeled copies of P from G_i and G_j respectively. Notice $|c(P_j) \setminus c(P_i)| > 1$ and this is again case 1 which implies $P \square P'$ has a rainbow 3-AP. Notice that since P and P' are shortest paths, distances in the subgraph $P \square P'$ are preserved and therefore any 3-AP in the subgraph is a 3-AP in $G \square H$. Since $P \square P'$ has a rainbow 3-AP, $G \square H$ also has a rainbow 3-AP, a contradiction. \square

Rehm et al. showed that the Cartesian product of two graphs has anti-van der Waerden number at most 4 in [8]. In their paper they use Lemma 4.2 without including the proof, so the lemma and its proof are included here. Theorem 4.3 was originally a conjecture in this paper, but this paper has been updated to include their result.

Theorem 4.3 [8] *If G and H are connected graphs and $|G|, |H| \geq 2$, then $\text{aw}(G \square H, 3) \leq 4$.*

Another family of graphs investigated are *hypercubes*. The hypercube on 2 vertices is denoted Q_1 and $Q_1 = K_2$. For larger hypercubes, define $Q_n = Q_{n-1} \square K_2$ where Q_n denotes the n -dimensional hypercube. Note that Q_n has 2^n vertices. Label the vertices with binary strings of length n such that the distance between two vertices is equal to the number of bits they differ by. Observe that the diameter of Q_n is n .

Let $\vec{0}_n$ and $\vec{1}_n$ be the vertices of Q_n with all 0s and 1s, respectively. For each vertex $v \in V(Q_n)$, define $|v| := d(v, \vec{0}_n)$ and define $\bar{v} \in V(Q_n)$ to be the vertex obtained by switching all n bits of v , i.e. the unique vertex that is distance n from v .

Let $L_i = \{v \in V(Q_n) : |v| = i\}$, i.e. the set of vertices with i 1s.

Theorem 4.4 *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{aw}(Q_n, 3) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 4 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if n is odd, then there is a unique exact 3-coloring of Q_n that avoids rainbow 3-APs.

Proof:

This proof is by induction on n . It is easy to see that $\text{aw}(Q_2, 3) = \text{aw}(C_4, 3) = 3$. Assume $3 \leq n$, S_0 is the subset of vertices in $V(Q_n)$ with 0 as the first bit, and S_1 is the subset of vertices in $V(Q_n)$ with 1 as the first bit. Let L_i be the subset of vertices in $V(Q_n)$ with i bits that are 1s.

Case 1: n is odd.

By Theorem 4.3, $\text{aw}(Q_n, 3) \leq 4$, so assume c is an exact 3-coloring of Q_n with no rainbow 3-APs. Without loss of generality, either $|c(S_0)| = 2$ and $|c(S_1)| = 1$, or $|c(S_0)| = 2$ and $|c(S_1)| = 2$.

If $c(S_0) = \{\text{red}, \text{green}\}$ and $c(S_1) = \{\text{blue}\}$, then without loss of generality assume $c(\vec{0}_n) = \text{green}$. For some i there exists an element $x \in L_i \cap S_0$ such that $c(x) = \text{red}$. Then for any $y \in L_i \cap S_1$, the 3-AP $\{x, \vec{0}_n, y\}$ is a rainbow since $c(y) = \text{blue}$. This is a contradiction.

Now assume $c(S_0) = \{\text{red}, \text{green}\}$ and $c(S_1) = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$. There is a vertex x with $c(x) = \text{green}$ and $c(\bar{x}) \neq \text{green}$. So there is an automorphism of the vertex set such that $c(\vec{0}_n) \neq c(\vec{1}_n)$.

If $c(\vec{0}_n) = \text{green}$ and $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{red}$, then a layer L_i , with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, that contains an element with the color *blue* must also contain an element with color *red* in $L_i \cap S_0$. This yields a rainbow 3-AP with $\vec{0}_n$. A similar argument holds if $c(\vec{0}_n) = \text{red}$ and $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{blue}$.

If $c(\vec{0}_n) = \text{green}$ and $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{blue}$, then for each i , L_i is one of the following two types:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{type 1: } & c(L_i) = \{\text{red}\}, \\ \text{type 2: } & c(L_i \cap S_0) = \{\text{green}\} \text{ and } c(L_i \cap S_1) = \{\text{blue}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|c(Q_n)| = 3$, a layer of type 1 must exist. If a layer of type 2 exists, then either there exists an i such that L_i is type 1 and L_{i+1} is type 2, or there exists an i such that L_i is type 2 and L_{i+1} is type 1. In the first case, if $01\vec{x} \in V(L_{i+1})$, then $\{01\vec{x}, 00\vec{x}, 10\vec{x}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP since $c(01\vec{x}) = \text{green}$, $c(00\vec{x}) = \text{red}$, and $c(10\vec{x}) = \text{blue}$. In the second case, if $11\vec{x} \in V(L_{i+1})$, then $\{01\vec{x}, 11\vec{x}, 10\vec{x}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP since $c(01\vec{x}) = \text{green}$, $c(11\vec{x}) = \text{red}$, and $c(10\vec{x}) = \text{blue}$. So there does not exist a layer of type 2 and the only vertices not colored red are $\vec{0}_n$ and $\vec{1}_n$. This yields a unique coloring of Q_n with exactly 3 colors and no rainbow 3-AP.

Case 2: n is even.

Assume Q_n is colored with exactly 3 colors and no rainbow 3-AP. If $|c(S_i)| \leq 2$, for $0 \leq i \leq 1$, then the (uniqueness) argument used in *Case 1* will yield a rainbow 3-AP for every coloring except the coloring of Q_n with $c(\vec{0}_n) = \text{green}$, $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{blue}$, and the remaining vertices having color *red*. However, since n is even this coloring has a rainbow 3-AP, $\{\vec{0}_n, x, \vec{1}_n\}$, where $x \in L_{n/2}$.

If $|c(S_0)| = 3$, then by induction S_0 must have the unique extremal coloring. In particular, $c(0\vec{0}_{n-1}) = \text{green}$, $c(0\vec{1}_{n-1}) = \text{blue}$, and the remaining vertices of S_0 are colored *red*.

If $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{blue}$, then $\{\vec{0}_n, \vec{0}_{n/2}\vec{1}_{n/2}, \vec{1}_n\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. If $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{green}$, then $\{00\vec{1}_{n-2}, 01\vec{1}_{n-2}, 11\vec{1}_{n-2}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. If $c(\vec{1}_n) = \text{red}$ and $x \in L_{n-1}$ such that $c(x) \neq \text{blue}$ then, either $\{0\vec{1}_{n-1}, \vec{0}_n, x\}$ or $\{0\vec{1}_{n-1}, \vec{1}_n, x\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Therefore, $c(L_{n-1}) = \{\text{blue}\}$ and either $\{000\vec{0}_{n-3}, 110\vec{0}_{n-3}, 011\vec{0}_{n-3}\}$, $\{11\vec{0}_{n-2}, 10\vec{1}_{n-2}, 00\vec{0}_{n-2}\}$, or $\{11000\vec{0}_{n-5}, 11011\vec{1}_{n-5}, 00011\vec{0}_{n-5}\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP when $c(110\vec{0}_{n-3})$ is *blue*, *red*, or *green*, respectively. Thus any 3-coloring of Q_n yields a rainbow 3-AP when n is even. \square

5 The anti-van der Waerden Number of Graphs with $k \geq 4$

Thus far, the majority of the results focus on k -APs where $k = 3$. In this section, the study of the anti-van der Waerden number of graphs is explored for $k \geq 4$. Recall that determining 3-term arithmetic progressions of a graph can be simplified by focusing on the central vertex of the progression, then finding equidistant neighbors such that all 3 vertices have distinct colors. However, in longer arithmetic progressions this technique cannot be used. This section seeks new techniques for these longer progressions.

First observe that $\text{aw}(K_n, k) = k$, since any k vertices with distinct colors form a rainbow k -AP (Observation 5.1). However, even an early investigation of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ varies from the change between 3-APs and 4-APs as seen in Corollary 5.9. This example of a variation leads to a more thorough examination of k -APs with $k \geq 4$.

Observation 5.1 For $n \geq k \geq 1$, $\text{aw}(K_n, k) = k$.

Like the investigation of 3-term arithmetic progressions, the investigation of k -term arithmetic progressions begins with an examination of graphs small radii and diameter.

5.1 Dominating Vertices

The closed neighborhood of vertex v in G is $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. Vertex v in graph G is *dominating* if $N[v] = V(G)$.

Observation 5.2 If graph G has a dominating vertex, then $\text{aw}(G, 3) = 3$.

Observation 5.2 leads to Conjecture 5.3 when considering k -term arithmetic progressions for all $k \geq 3$.

Conjecture 5.3 If G is a graph with a dominating vertex, then $\text{aw}(G, k) \leq k + 1$.

Note that Conjecture 5.3 is true for $k = 3$ by Observation 5.2 and $k = 4$ and 5, shown in Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 5.4 If G is a graph with a dominating vertex, then $k \leq \text{aw}(G, k) \leq k + 1$ for $k \in \{4, 5\}$.

Proof:

Let G be a graph with dominating vertex v . Note that the lower bounds are a consequence of Observation 1.3. First consider $k = 4$. If $|G| \leq 4$, then the lower bound is achieved by K_4 and the upper bound is achieved, vacuously by, among others, the star $K_{1,3}$. Assume $|G| \geq 5$ and let c be an exact 5-coloring of G . Since v is dominating and G has 5 colors, v must have four neighbors whose colors are distinct from each other and distinct from $c(v)$. If those neighbors form an independent set, then they form a rainbow 4-AP. If any pair of them are adjacent, then they form a rainbow 4-AP that includes v . Thus, a rainbow 5-AP exists in all cases so $\text{aw}(G, 4) \leq 5$.

Now, assume $k = 5$. As in the previous case, the lower and upper bounds can be achieved when $|G| \leq 5$. Assume $|G| \geq 6$ let c be an exact 6-coloring of G . Then v must have five neighbors, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , and v_5 , whose colors are distinct from each other and distinct from

$c(v)$. If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , and v_5 form an independent set, then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ is a rainbow 5-AP. If exactly one edge exists between the five vertices, say v_1v_2 , then $\{v_1, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_2\}$ is a rainbow 5-AP. If there are exactly two edges between the five vertices that share a vertex, say v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 , then $\{v_1, v_4, v_2, v_5, v_3\}$ is a rainbow 5-AP. Finally, if there are at least two vertex disjoint edges between the five vertices, say v_1v_2 and v_3v_4 , then $\{v_1, v_2, v, v_3, v_4\}$ is a rainbow 5-AP. All cases yield a rainbow 5-AP, thus $\text{aw}(G, 5) \leq 6$. \square

An examination of graphs with dominating vertices would not be complete without also examining stars $K_{1,n}$. In Proposition 5.5, the anti-van der Waerden number is determined for stars when $k \geq 4$. Note when $k = 3$, the anti-van der Waerden number of a star is given by Observation 5.2.

Proposition 5.5 *If $4 \leq k \leq n + 1$, then $\text{aw}(K_{1,n}, k) = k + 1$.*

Proof:

Let $G = K_{1,n}$ with $4 \leq k \leq n + 1$ and ℓ_0 be the central vertex of G . Label the leaves of G as $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\}$ and note that $k - 1 \leq n$. Define $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$

$$c(\ell_i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k - 2, \\ k - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then c is an exact k -coloring where every k -AP has at most $k - 1$ colors so $k + 1 \leq \text{aw}(G, k)$. If $|G| = k$, then Observation 1.3 gives $\text{aw}(G, k) \leq |G| + 1 = k + 1$. Now consider $|G| \geq k + 1$ which means $k \leq n$. Let c' be any exact $(k + 1)$ -coloring of G . There must exist k colors that appear on the leaves and those leaves form a rainbow k -AP, therefore $\text{aw}(G, k) \leq k + 1$. Therefore, $\text{aw}(G, k) = k + 1$. \square

5.2 Applications to Ramsey Theory

In this subsection, connections between the anti-van der Waerden number of a graph and the Ramsey number of multiple paths are identified. Let G be an edge colored graph and H be a subgraph of G , H is *edge monochromatic* if every edge of H is the same color. The *Ramsey number*, $R(k, \ell)$, for positive integers k and ℓ , is the smallest n such that for every 2-edge coloring of K_n there exists a monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to K_k in color 1 or a monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to K_ℓ in color 2. The Ramsey number, $R(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$, for positive integers k_i , is the smallest n such that every r -edge coloring of K_n contains an edge monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to K_{k_i} in color i for some $i = 1, \dots, r$. The Ramsey number, $R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r)$, for graphs G_i , is the smallest n such that every r -edge coloring of K_n contains an edge monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to G_i in color i for some $i = 1, \dots, r$. Finally, define $R_d(G) = R(G, G, \dots, G)$ where there are d copies of G .

By forming a complete graph where the color of the edge corresponds to the distance between the two vertices in the original graph, the anti-van der Waerden number can be related to the Ramsey number of paths. This relationship is shown in Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.6 *If G is a graph with $\text{diam}(G) = d$ and G contains at least one k -AP, then $k \leq \text{aw}(G, k) \leq R_d(P_k)$.*

Proof:

Observation 1.3 gives the lower bound. Suppose $R_d(P_k) = r$ and let c be an exact r -coloring of G . Define H to be the complete graph K_r formed in the following way. First, let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r \in V(G)$ such that $c(v_i) \neq c(v_j)$ for all $i \neq j$. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r be the vertices of H . Suppose c' is a coloring of the edges of H . Let $c'(v_i v_j) = d_G(v_i, v_j)$. Then $|c'| = d$ since $\text{diam}(G) = d$. Any edge monochromatic path of length k in H represents a k -term arithmetic progression in G . Since each vertex of H is a distinct color under coloring c , this edge monochromatic path is equivalent to a rainbow k -AP in G . Note that such an edge monochromatic path exists since $R_d(P_k) = r$. \square

The following theorem was proved in [5] and was later cited in [7].

Theorem 5.7 [5] For $n \geq m \geq 2$, $R(P_m, P_n) = n + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Theorem 5.6 gives a general bound for any diameter graph. In the following results, graphs with diameter two are explored.

Theorem 5.8 Let G be a graph such that $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $k \leq \text{aw}(G, k) \leq k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Moreover, these bounds are tight.

Proof:

The bounds are given by Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7. The rest of the proofs shows that these bounds are tight.

Let G_1 be obtained from taking a K_k and removing exactly one edge. Let c be an exact k -coloring of G_1 , then each vertex is a unique color. Clearly, $\text{diam}(G_1) = 2$ and there is a rainbow k -AP since G_1 contains a Hamiltonian path. Therefore, G_1 realizes the lower bound since $\text{diam}(G_1) = 2$ and $\text{aw}(G_1, k) = k$.

Define G_2 to be the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ where $n \geq k$ with bipartite vertex sets X and Y . Suppose c is a $(k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 2)$ -coloring of G_2 such that the set of colors used on X is $\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$ and the set of colors used on Y is $\{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1, \dots, k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 2\}$. Notice c avoids rainbow k -APs since a rainbow k -AP of $K_{n,n}$ must either use only vertices of X , only vertices of Y , or alternate vertices between X and Y . Neither of the first two can form a rainbow k -AP since neither X nor Y has k colors. The final k -AP can not happen either since X only has $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ colors and it needs to have at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ colors. Therefore, c is an exact $(k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 2)$ -coloring of G_2 which avoids rainbow k -APs. Hence, $\text{aw}(G_2, k) \geq k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Now suppose G_2 has $(k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1)$ -colors. By Theorem 5.7, $R(P_k, P_k) = k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$, therefore $\text{aw}(G_2, k) \leq k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Therefore, G_2 realizes the upper bound since $\text{diam}(G_2) = 2$ and $\text{aw}(G_2, k) = k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$. \square

In the proof of Theorem 5.8, it is shown that the complete bipartite graph yields the upper bound, this result is shown in Corollary 5.9.

Corollary 5.9 For $m, n \geq k$, $\text{aw}(K_{m,n}, k) = k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Acknowledgements

The work of Michael Young is supported in part by the National Science Foundation through grant 1719841.

References

- [1] M. Axenovich and D. Fon-Der-Flaass. On rainbow arithmetic progressions. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 1, 7pp.
- [2] M. Axenovich and R.R. Martin. Sub-Ramsey numbers for arithmetic progressions. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **22** (2006), no. 1, 297–309.
- [3] Z. Berikkyzy, A. Schulte, and M. Young. Anti-van der Waerden numbers of 3-term arithmetic progressions. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **24** (2017), no. 2, Paper 2.39, 9 pp.
- [4] S. Butler, C. Erickson, L. Hogben, K. Hogenson, L. Kramer, R.L. Kramer, J. Lin, R.R. Martin, D. Stolee, N. Warnberg and M. Young. Rainbow Arithmetic Progressions. *Journal of Combinatorics*, **7** (2016), no. 4, 595–626.
- [5] L. Gerencsés and A. Gyárfás. On Ramsey-Type Problems. *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinesis, Eötvös Sect. Math.* 10.
- [6] V. Jungić, J. Licht (Fox), M. Mahdian, J. Nešetřil and R. Radoičić. Rainbow arithmetic progressions and anti-Ramsey results. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **12** (2003), no. 5-6, 599–620.
- [7] S. Radziszowski. Small Ramsey Numbers. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **1** (2017), no. 15, 104pp.
- [8] H. Rehm, A. Schulte, and N. Warnberg. Anti-van der Waerden numbers of Graph Products. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **73** (2018), no. 3, 486–500.
- [9] K. Uherka. An introduction to Ramsey theory and anti-Ramsey theory on the integers. Master’s Creative Component (2013), Iowa State University.
- [10] M. Young. Rainbow Arithmetic Progressions in Finite Abelian Groups. *Journal of Combinatorics*, **9** (2018), no. 4, 619–629.