

# The ASAS-SN Catalog of Variable Stars I: *The Serendipitous Survey*

T. Jayasinghe<sup>1,2\*</sup>, C. S. Kochanek<sup>1,2</sup>, K. Z. Stanek<sup>1,2</sup>, B. J. Shappee<sup>3</sup>,  
T. W. -S. Holoien<sup>4</sup>, Todd A. Thompson<sup>1,2</sup>, J. L. Prieto<sup>5,6</sup>, Subo Dong<sup>7</sup>, M. Pawlak<sup>8</sup>,  
J. V. Shields<sup>1</sup>, G. Pojmanski<sup>9</sup>, S. Otero<sup>10</sup>, C. A. Britt<sup>11</sup>, D. Will<sup>1,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, The Ohio State University, 191 W. Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Carnegie Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Núcleo de Astronomía de la Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Diego Portales, Av. Ejército 441, Santiago, Chile*

<sup>6</sup>*Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Santiago, Chile*

<sup>7</sup>*Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Yi He Yuan Road 5, Hai Dian District, China*

<sup>8</sup>*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic*

<sup>9</sup>*Warsaw University Observatory, Al Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>10</sup>*The American Association of Variable Star Observers, 49 Bay State Road, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

<sup>11</sup>*ASC Technology Services, 433 Mendenhall Laboratory 125 South Oval Mall Columbus OH, 43210, USA*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

## ABSTRACT

The All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) is the first optical survey to routinely monitor the whole sky with a cadence of  $\sim 2-3$  days down to  $V \lesssim 17$  mag. ASAS-SN has monitored the whole sky since 2014, collecting  $\sim 100-500$  epochs of observations per field. The V-band light curves for candidate variables identified during the search for supernovae are classified using a random forest classifier and visually verified. We present a catalog of 66,179 bright, new variable stars discovered during our search for supernovae, including 27,479 periodic variables and 38,700 irregular variables. V-band light curves for the ASAS-SN variables are available through the ASAS-SN variable stars database (<https://asas-sn.osu.edu/variables>). The database will begin to include the light curves of known variable stars in the near future along with the results for a systematic, all-sky variability survey.

**Key words:** stars:variables – stars:binaries:eclipsing – catalogues – surveys

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The dream of observing the entire sky all the time is not new—indeed, already in 1906, Pickering “wanted to test the constancy” of the brightest fifty million stars (see Sobel 2017). That desire was clearly motivated by the excellent results obtained by the Harvard variable stars research group (e.g., Leavitt & Pickering 1904 ; Leavitt 1908; Leavitt & Pickering 1912 ; Payne Gaposchkin & Gaposchkin 1938), which for many years dominated this field of study. Paczyński (2000) discussed the importance of all-sky variability surveys and advocated for the research that could be carried out with small telescopes, a principle out of which the All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS; Pojmanski 2002) and

the All-Sky Automated Survey for SuperNovae (ASAS-SN, Shappee et al. 2014; Kochanek et al. 2017) originated.

ASAS-SN is the first ground-based survey to monitor the entire visible sky to a depth of  $V \lesssim 17$  mag on a regular basis. ASAS shared a similar goal at  $V \lesssim 14$  mag, and data from the ASAS project for declinations  $\delta < 28$  deg (Pojmanski 2002, 2003; Pojmanski & Maciejewski 2004, 2005; Pojmanski et al. 2005) have been used extensively. While the current ASAS-SN data was obtained on a cadence of 2-3 days, ASAS-SN has recently added 3 new ASAS-SN units (each consisting of four telescopes) at CTIO, Chile (“Bohdan Paczynski”), McDonald Observatory, Texas (“Henrietta Leavitt”) and the South African Astrophysical Observatory (SAAO), South Africa (“Cecilia Payne-Gaposchkin”), which has significantly improved cadence. These new units use the SDSS g-band filter. The ASAS-SN telescopes are

\* E-mail: jayasinghearachilage.1@osu.edu

hosted by the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO; [Brown et al. 2013](#)).

Modern surveys such as ASAS ([Pojmanski 2002](#)), the Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE; [Udalski 2003](#)), the Northern Sky Variability Survey (NSVS; [Wozniak et al. 2004](#)), MACHO ([Alcock et al. 1997](#)), EROS ([Derue et al. 2002](#)), Pan-STARRS1 ([Chambers et al. 2016](#)), the Palomar Transient Factory (PTF; [Law et al. 2009](#)) and the Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (CRTS; [Drake et al. 2014](#)) have been very productive and have collectively discovered  $\sim 10^6$  variables. The study of variable stars has led to many key astrophysical insights governing stellar theory, multiplicity and cosmology (see [Zhevakin 1963](#); [Payne-Gaposchkin 1978](#); [Torres et al. 2010](#), and references therein).

ASAS-SN has focused on the detection of bright supernovae with minimal observational bias (e.g., [Holoien et al. 2017](#)). However, many other transient and variable sources have been discovered during this process. These include roughly  $\sim 90,000$  candidate variable sources that were flagged during the search for supernovae. In this work, we detail the steps taken to classify these variables and create a catalog of 66,179 previously undiscovered variables. In Section 2, we discuss the ASAS-SN observations and data reduction leading to the production of light curves for the variable sources. Section 3 presents the variability classification procedure based on random forest classification models. We discuss the catalog and our results in Section 4 and present a summary of our work in Section 5.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

This catalog was compiled using observations taken by the “Brutus” (Haleakala, Hawaii) and “Cassius” (CTIO, Chile) quadruple telescopes between 2013 and 2017 with  $\sim 100$ -500 epochs of observation for each field to a depth of  $V \lesssim 17$  mag. The field of view of a single ASAS-SN camera is  $4.5 \text{ deg}^2$ , the pixel scale is  $8''$  and the FWHM is  $\sim 2$  pixels. The ASAS-SN cameras capture three 90 sec images for every epoch which are merged to improve the signal-to-noise. ASAS-SN saturates at  $\sim 10 - 11$  mag depending on the camera and image position ([Kochanek et al. 2017](#)).

ASAS-SN is primarily a transient search and makes use of the ISIS image subtraction pipeline ([Alard & Lupton 1998](#); [Alard 2000](#)) to process images. For the variable light curves, we performed aperture photometry on the difference images using the IRAF `apphot` package and calibrated the results using the AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS; [Henden et al. 2015](#)). We extracted light curves for the variable sources using a 2 pixel radius aperture. We rejected images taken in poor weather conditions, images that were out of focus with  $\text{FWHM} > 2$  pixels, images that had poor astrometric solutions, and images where the variable source is within  $0.2 \text{ deg}$  of a detector edge.

Since ASAS-SN first began its search for supernovae in 2013 ([Shappee et al. 2014](#)), previously undiscovered variable objects were flagged as candidates for variable stars. This list was cleaned of sources with bad photometry, inadequately sampled light curves ( $N < 30$  epochs) and inaccurate astrometry, ultimately resulting in the list of  $\sim 80,000$  potentially variable sources we analyze here.

The sky is divided into fields corresponding to the field

of view of a camera. The 4 cameras in a single mount have overlapping fields of view, and equatorial fields can be observed by both units. Thus, over  $\sim 45\%$  of the variable sources have photometry from two or more cameras. Calibrated light curves are extracted for each camera independently, and there can be small calibration offsets between them. For each source, the camera with the largest number of measurements is considered the primary. We consider other cameras only if they have made at least 50 measurements, to ensure that sufficient phase coverage is available for periodic variables. The photometry from each secondary camera is offset by the differences between the light curve medians for the secondary camera and the primary camera. This method works well for low amplitude variables, but can work poorly compared to the photometric uncertainties for high amplitude, long period variables.

Uncertainties estimated by local photon counting statistics tend to underestimate the light curve uncertainties. We use a procedure similar to [Hartman et al. \(2004\)](#) to rescale these uncertainty estimates. We used  $\sim 35,000$  sources from the APOGEE ([Holtzman et al. 2015](#)) survey for this process. The reduced  $\chi^2$  statistic,

$$\frac{\chi^2}{N_{DOF}} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{V_i - \bar{V}}{\sigma_i} \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

is calculated for each source, where  $V_i$  is the V-band magnitude for epoch  $i$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is the mean V-band magnitude and  $\sigma_i$  is the formal error of the magnitude measurement. Figure 1 shows the  $\chi^2/N_{DOF}$  distribution for the APOGEE stars. We find the usual pattern that errors are underestimated and that the fractional underestimates are larger for brighter stars. We fit an exponential,

$$f(\bar{V}) = Ae^{-B\bar{V}} + C, \quad (2)$$


with  $A = 4.98 \times 10^2$ ,  $B = 4.64 \times 10^{-1}$  and  $C = 6.31 \times 10^{-2}$  to the observed  $\log(\chi^2/N_{DOF})$  versus  $\bar{V}$  distribution. To correct the errors in the light curves, we multiply the formal magnitude errors by  $(10^{f(\bar{V})})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The results of this correction are illustrated in the bottom panel in Figure 1.

## 3 VARIABILITY CLASSIFICATION

Here we describe the procedure we took to classify the variable sources. In Section §3.1, we describe the procedure we took to cross match our list of variables against catalogs of discovered variables. We describe our approach to derive periods for these sources in Section §3.2 and the first step we took to classify these variables in Section §3.3. We discuss our final random forest classifier model in Section §3.4.

### 3.1 Crossmatches to catalogs

Despite the relatively large pixel scale of  $\sim 8''$ , ASAS-SN’s typical astrometric error is  $\sim 1''$ . We update the coordinates of the variables detected in the ASAS-SN pipeline to those of a 2MASS ([Skrutskie et al. 2006](#)), UCAC4 ([Zacharias et al. 2013](#)), NOMAD ([Zacharias et al. 2004](#)), USNOB1.0 ([Monet et al. 2003](#)) or PPMXL ([Roeser et al. 2010](#)) star of the correct magnitude within  $10''$  of the ASAS-SN coordinate. This



**Figure 1.** The top panel shows the raw distribution of  $\log(\chi^2/N_{DOF})$  for the APOGEE stars prior to the rescaling process. The red dashed line illustrates the expected value of  $\chi^2/N_{DOF} = 1$ . The red points are the binned median values of  $\log(\chi^2/N_{DOF})$  for this sample in bins of  $\sim 0.5$  mag. The curve shows an exponential model (Equation 2) of the trend and the bottom panels shows the distribution of  $\chi^2/N_{DOF}$  after rescaling the errors.

also provides near-infrared and optical colors for use in classification. We find that 99% of the new variables are within  $5''.2$  of such a cataloged star.

We matched our list of variables to the VSX (Watson et al. 2006) and GCVS (Samus et al. 2017) catalogs available in October 2017, with a matching radius of  $16''.0$ , to identify previously discovered variables. The VSX catalog is likely the most complete catalog of known variables in the modern era (Watson et al. 2006), and includes variables discovered by a large number of surveys. At the time of the matching the VSX catalog contained  $\sim 460,000$  variables. We also match our variables to the recent OGLE-IV catalogs of eclipsing binaries in the Magellanic clouds (Pawlak et al. 2016) and the Galactic bulge (Soszyński et al. 2016), along with the OGLE-IV catalog of Cepheids in the Magellanic clouds (Soszyński et al. 2015) as these have not yet been merged with the VSX catalog.

### 3.2 Period Determination

We used the Generalized Lomb-Scargle (GLS, Zechmeister & Kürster 2009; Scargle 1982), the Multi-Harmonic Analysis Of Variance (MHAOV, Schwarzenberg-Czerny 1996), the

Phase Dispersion Minimization (PDM, Stellingwerf 1978) and the Box Least Squares (BLS, Kovács et al. 2002) periodograms to search for periodicity. We use the `astropy` implementation of the GLS algorithm (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), the `P4J` implementation of the MHAOV periodogram (Huijse et al. 2017), the `pwkit` implementation of the PDM periodogram (Williams et al. 2017), and the `astrobases` implementation of the BLS periodogram (Bhatti et al. 2018).

To minimize the effects of outliers when searching for periods, we only use the epochs with magnitudes between the  $5^{th}$  and  $95^{th}$  percentiles as input to the periodograms. We searched for periods in the range  $0.05 \leq P \leq 1000$  days. The MHAOV periodogram was initialized with  $N_{harm} = 2$  harmonics. The BLS periodogram was initialized with 200 phase bins and a minimum (maximum) transit duration of 0.1 (0.3) in phase. For the GLS and MHAOV periodograms, periods were selected with  $SNR > 5$ , while BLS periods were selected with the BLS power  $< 0.3$ . Sources that do not have a single period based on these criteria are flagged as candidate irregular variables. The 5 best periods from each periodogram were passed to the PDM algorithm as test periods to minimize the dispersion of the light curve in 20 phase

bins. The overall best period for each variable was defined to be the best PDM period.

During the first stage of our variability classification process, we utilized just the GLS, MHAOV and PDM periodograms. From the visual review of these classifications, we noted that our pipeline was unable to retrieve periods for a large fraction of the detached (Algol-type) eclipsing binaries. This can be attributed to the non-sinusoidal shapes of the eclipses seen in these light curves. We used the BLS algorithm in the next stage of our classification process to solve this problem. Unlike the GLS and MHAOV algorithms, the BLS algorithm models a transit when searching for periods and is successfully able to derive periods for detached eclipsing binaries. Furthermore, Mira-like variability was best described by GLS periods, so we only used the GLS periodogram for variable sources with amplitudes  $A > 2$  mag to minimize computational time.

In the case of eclipsing binaries, the periods returned by the periodograms are frequently a sub-harmonic of the true period, usually with  $P = P_{\text{true}}/2$ . [Armstrong et al. \(2016\)](#) describes a possible remedy to this problem by comparing the difference between minima in phase folded K2 lightcurves. Periods are doubled when the minima are different by a user-defined constant. In the case of W UMa type contact binaries, the minima in the phase folded curve can be very similar, which is problematic for this method. [Graham et al. \(2013\)](#) suggests that the best approach to deal with the periods for eclipsing binaries is to simply double the period once it is confirmed that the light curve is that of an eclipsing binary.

We utilize a similar approach to that of [Armstrong et al. \(2016\)](#). Once a variable is classified as a candidate eclipsing binary, the normalized light curve is divided into 20 bins, phase folded by the best PDM period with the primary minimum at phase 0 and normalized by the global minimum and maximum. To search for the secondary minimum, we select all the points with phases in the interval [0.3, 0.7], and fit a 6<sup>th</sup> order polynomial to this data. If the global minimum of the polynomial is between phases 0.4 and 0.6, we consider the candidate period to be the true period. If the global minimum for the polynomial is beyond this range in phase space, we double the period. We find this method to be fairly robust, although it does fail in a limited number of cases where the light curves are noisy. This method could also fail for the light curves of eccentric binaries, and when the depth of the secondary minimum is comparable to the noise in the light curve. Figure 2 illustrates this automated period doubling routine for the eclipsing binary candidate ASASSN-V J203611.47+003921.1.

### 3.3 Using the *Upsilon* classifier

For the first stage of our classification process, we used the open-source random forest classifier *Upsilon* ([Kim & Bailer-Jones 2016](#)). *Upsilon* is a general purpose random forest classifier trained using OGLE and EROS-2 data ([Kim & Bailer-Jones 2016](#)). For each ASAS-SN light curve, *Upsilon* calculates a set of features and provides a general variability classification. *Upsilon* also classifies certain variability classes into sub-classes (as an example, RR Lyrae are further classified as RRab, RRC, RRd and RRe). We consider sub-classes for the RR Lyrae, Cepheid and eclipsing binary

classifications, but merge the other variability types with *Upsilon* sub-classes into a single class.

After classifying the ASAS-SN variable sources with *Upsilon*, we visually verified each classification. The RR Lyrae, Cepheids and DSCT candidates were examined by at least 2 authors, while the remainder of the variability types were examined by only one author. Variables that pass the visual review at this stage are published in the catalog and the remainder were saved for the next stage of the classification process. Through this process of visual review, we identified 22,809 periodic variables. To characterize these variables, we defined the amplitude  $A$  as the difference in the 2.5<sup>th</sup> and 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentiles in magnitude to mitigate the effects of outliers.


Variables were also tagged as ‘variable/not irregular’, ‘variable/irregular’ or ‘bad’ during the inspection process. Those tagged as ‘variable/not irregular’ were saved for the next stage of classification. Variables that were tagged as ‘bad’ generally had issues with photometry (bleeding trails, saturation, etc.) or astrometry (light curve extracted from the wrong position). These variables were removed from our working list. A further  $\sim 37,000$  candidates were tagged as ‘variable/irregular’ through this visual review.

Based on these reviews, we found that *Upsilon* achieves sufficient precision for well-defined variability types (e.g. RR Lyrae, Mira, eclipsing binaries and  $\delta$  Scuti) but fares poorly for other types (Table 1). The precision values in Table 1 were calculated as the ratio of the size of the positively reviewed sample to the size of the sample classified by *Upsilon* for any given variability type. Most of the false positives at this stage can be attributed to irregular variables with aliased periods and noisy data.

We aim to classify these variables according to the variability types defined by the VSX ([Watson et al. 2006](#)) and GCVS ([Samus et al. 2017](#)) catalogs. Most of the variability types defined in the *Upsilon* classifier do not strictly map onto a VSX/GCVS type, therefore we changed the *Upsilon* classifications based on the VSX/GCVS definitions. *Upsilon* classifies eclipsing binaries into three types: EC (contact binaries), ESD (semi-contact binaries) and ED (detached binaries). EC classifications are changed to the ‘EW’ VSX type (W UMa type binaries), while the majority of the ESD classifications are assigned to the ‘EA|EB’ (Algol-type or  $\beta$ -Lyrae type) VSX class. Most variables classified as ESD with periods  $P < 0.4$  d are EW types, and were reclassified accordingly. ED classifications were changed to the ‘EA’ VSX type (Algol-type binaries).

Cepheids are classified as fundamental mode, first overtone and type II Cepheids by *Upsilon*. We visually reviewed each classification to sort them into the VSX types DCEP (fundamental mode), DCEPS (first overtone), CWA/CWB (Type II: BL Herculis/W Virginis types), AHB1 (‘Above Horizontal Branch’ variables of subtype 1) and ROT (rotational variables). Some  $\sim 14\%$  of the variables classified as Cepheids by *Upsilon* are spotted variables showing evidence of rotational modulation. CWA and CWB variables are separated by period, with CWA (CWB) variables having  $P > 8$  d ( $P < 8$  d). AHB1 variables have highly asymmetric light curves with large amplitudes that resemble the light curves of RRab variables, allowing them to be separated from short period fundamental mode Cepheids (DCEP).

*Upsilon* assigns  $\delta$ -Scuti variables to the DSCT class. We



**Figure 2.** An illustration of the automated period doubling routine used on the ASAS-SN eclipsing binaries. *Left:* The original binned ( $N_{bin} = 50$ ), phase folded light curve for the detached eclipsing binary ASASSN-V J203611.47+003921.1 with  $P = 0.25357$  d. The inset shows the region in phase space where the secondary minimum is expected to occur. The 6<sup>th</sup> order polynomial fit used in the analysis is shown in black. *Right:* The final binned ( $N_{bin} = 50$ ), phase folded light curve with  $P = 0.50713$  d. Note the emergence of a secondary minimum of different depth after the period is doubled.

further categorize this class by selecting high-amplitude  $\delta$ -Scuti (HADS) variables based on the amplitude cut  $A > 0.15$  mag. Semi-regular variables are assigned the VSX types of SR and SRS based on their period. SRS variables are short period semi-regular variables with  $P < 30$  d, while semi-regular SR variables have  $P > 30$  d.

Mira variables (VSX type ‘M’) in our sample frequently dip below our detection limits ( $V \gtrsim 17$ ), hence their ASAS-SN amplitudes can be less than the minimum V-band amplitude of  $A = 2.5$  mag used by the VSX/GCVS catalogs to distinguish between high amplitude semi-regular variables and Miras. This can also lead to incorrect periods in a few cases where the light curve is inadequately sampled. We have visually reviewed the Miras to verify the shapes of their light curves and periods but we caution that the true period might differ from what is reported in this catalog.

To classify the  $\sim 37,000$  irregular variables, we utilize the 2MASS and APASS magnitudes following the criteria given in Table 2. The VSX classes ‘L’ and ‘L:’ are assigned to the irregulars with red  $J - K_s / B - V$  colors and no infrared excess, while the VSX class ‘YSO’ (young stellar object) is assigned to the irregulars with faint infrared magnitudes ( $J > 10$ ), intermediate to red colors and an infrared excess. Blue irregulars with  $J - H < 0.2$  and  $B - V < 0.2$  are classified as Be stars in outburst (VSX class ‘GCAS’). Candidates that met this criteria were visually reviewed to confirm the presence of outbursts. We were able to identify 79 GCAS candidates. The irregulars that do not fit into these classes

**Table 1.** Performance of the *Upsilon* classifier

Class	Precision
$\delta$ Scuti	68%
RR Lyrae	83%
Cepheids	10%
Eclipsing Binaries	63%
Semi-regular variables	36%
Mira	75%

**Table 2.** Sub-classes assigned to the irregular variables

Class	Criteria
L	$J < 10$ & $J - K_s > 1.1$ & $B - V > 1.5$
L:	$J < 10$ & $J - K_s > 1.1$ & $B - V$ not available
YSO	$J > 10$ & $J - K_s < 1.1$
GCAS	$J > 10$ & $J - K_s > 1.1$ & $B - V < 1.5$
VAR	$J - H < 0.2$ & $B - V < 0.2$ + Visual Review Remainder of the sample

$J$ ,  $H$  and  $K_s$  are the 2MASS magnitudes, while the  $B$  and  $V$  magnitudes are from APASS.

are assigned the generic ‘VAR’ (variable) class. These irregular variables were also added to the catalog at this stage.

### 3.4 Random Forest Classification

Next we built our own classifier based on the ASAS-SN data using the `scikit-learn` (Pedregosa et al. 2012) implementation of the random forest classifier. We set the number of decision trees in the forest to be `n_estimators=700` and the number of features considered at each branching point to be `max_features='log2'`. The base 2 logarithm of the total features passed onto the random forest classifier is widely considered to be the optimal choice for this parameter. To prevent the forest from over-fitting the data, we pruned the trees at a maximum depth of `max_depth=12`, set the number of samples needed to split a node to `min_samples_split=10` and set the number of samples at a leaf node to be `min_samples_leaf=10`. Our training sample consists of variables that passed the *Upsilon* classification and visual review process. There is a distinct imbalance of variables amongst the different classes. The irregular variables ( $\sim 10^4$  sources) outnumber all the other variability types. The smallest classes, like the DCEP variables, consist of a meager  $\sim 10^2$  sources. An imbalanced training set can cause over-fitting, hence we assign weights to each class in the training set by initializing `class_weight='balanced_subsample'`. These parameters were optimized using cross-validation.

In addition to tuning the random forest, one must choose the features of the data that may distinguish between the variable classes. We use a set of 16 features that have commonly been used in similar variability classification problems (see Pashchenko et al. 2018, and references therein). For the periodic variables, we fit a Fourier model,

$$M(\phi) = m_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 (a_i \sin(2\pi i \phi) + b_i \cos(2\pi i \phi)) \quad (3)$$

where  $m_0$  is fixed as the median magnitude for each source and  $\phi$  is the phase at a given epoch. The amplitude of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  harmonic is given as  $A_i = (a_i^2 + b_i^2)^{1/2}$  and the phase angle is  $\Phi_i = \tan^{-1}(b_i/a_i)$ . As variability features, we use the amplitude ratios of the harmonics defined as  $R_{ij} = A_i/A_j$ , the ratios of the Fourier components defined by  $a_{ij} = a_i/a_j$  and  $b_{ij} = b_i/b_j$ , and the difference in phase angle between two harmonics defined by  $\Phi_{ij} = \Phi_i - \Phi_j$ . The complete list of features is summarized in Table 3.

#### 3.4.1 Training and Evaluating the Classifier

We split the classified variables (*Upsilon* + visual review) into separate lists for training (80%) and testing (20%). To minimize class confusion, we merged variability types having many sub-classes into a single class. The final list of classes is: DSCT, CEPH, RRab, RRC, M, SR, IRR, EA, EA|EB and EW. Variability types with  $< 50$  members (i.e. ROT, RRD, etc.) were dropped from both the training and test lists. We hope to revisit such classes at a later stage of the project where we supplement our training set with light curves for all the known, bright variables.

Figure 3 highlights the feature importances derived from the trained random forest model. The period and color provides the greatest discrimination between the variable sources, with a combined importance of 38.8%. Several features ( $a_{42}$ ,  $b_{42}$ ,  $\Phi_{42}$ ,  $\Phi_{31}$  and K) do not discriminate significantly between the variables (importances  $\lesssim 5\%$ ). Figure 4

illustrates the random forest model's ability to classify new objects. A perfect model would correctly classify all the objects, leading to a perfectly diagonal confusion matrix. Class confusion is greatest between the sub-classes of the eclipsing binaries, but this confusion does not significantly extend to mixing the eclipsing binaries with the non-binary classes. Semi-regulars and irregulars are also frequently confused, which is to be expected given the somewhat hazy distinction between them.

We can evaluate the overall results based on the precision,

$$P = \frac{T_p}{T_p + F_p}, \quad (4)$$

and recall,

$$R = \frac{T_p}{T_p + F_n}, \quad (5)$$

where  $T_p$  and  $F_p$  ( $T_n$  and  $F_n$ ) are the numbers of true and false positives (negatives). The precision and recall values for each class used in the random forest classifier are shown in Table 4. The overall precision and recall parameters of 89% and 85% respectively were calculated using a weighted average.

The final random forest model was trained using the entire dataset with the same initialization conditions as those used to test and verify the model. We used the trained random forest model to re-classify  $\sim 6,000$  variable sources that were potentially misclassified by the *Upsilon* classifier and an additional  $\sim 4,000$  new variable candidates identified in the interim. All the classifications were reviewed by at least a single author to ensure their accuracy and to verify the quality of the light curves before including these sources in the catalog.

## 4 THE NEW VARIABLE STARS

The complete catalog of 66,179 new variables is available at the ASAS-SN Variable Stars Database (<https://asas-sn.osu.edu/variables>) along with the V-band light curves for each source. Table 5 lists the number of sources of each variability type in the catalog. This catalog can be downloaded in its entirety at the ASAS-SN Variable Stars Database and is also included in the VSX catalog of variables. This database will continue to be updated as we discover new variables and reclassify existing ones. We intend to populate this database with the light curves of all the known variables in our data over the next year. Our goal with the ASAS-SN Variable Stars Database is to provide a homogeneous set of light curves for all bright, known variable sources ( $V \lesssim 17$  mag).


We note that the ASAS-SN catalog is not complete - this was not an endeavor to do a statistically well-defined, all-sky search for variable stars, but rather a classification of variable sources that were flagged during our search for supernovae. Nevertheless, this catalog is likely to contain a significant fraction of all previously undiscovered, high amplitude variables at these magnitudes. High amplitude variables are more likely to be flagged as variables during the search for supernovae since these sources stand out from other low amplitude variables in the difference images. The

**Table 3.** Variability features used to train the random forest classifier

Feature	Description	Reference
logP	Base 10 logarithm of the period	-
$J - H$	2MASS $J - H$ color	Skrutskie et al. (2006)
$A$	Amplitude of the light curve	-
$M_s$	Skewness of the magnitude distribution	-
$M_k$	Kurtosis of the magnitude distribution	-
$T_m$	M-test statistic	Kinemuchi et al. (2006)
IQR	Difference between the 75 <sup>th</sup> and 25 <sup>th</sup> percentiles in magnitude	-
$a_{42}$	Ratio between the Fourier components $a_4$ and $a_2$	-
$b_{42}$	Ratio between the Fourier components $b_4$ and $b_2$	-
$R_{31}$	Ratio between the amplitudes of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 1 <sup>st</sup> harmonics	-
$R_{21}$	Ratio between the amplitudes of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 1 <sup>st</sup> harmonics	-
$\Phi_{42}$	Difference between the phase angle of the 4 <sup>th</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> harmonics	-
$\Phi_{31}$	Difference between the phase angle of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 1 <sup>st</sup> harmonics	-
$A_{HL}$	Ratio of magnitudes brighter or fainter than the average	Kim & Bailer-Jones (2016)
rms	Root-mean-square statistic for the light curve	-
K	Stetson K variability index	Stetson (1996)

**Table 4.** Precision and Recall Values by class.

Class	Precision	Recall
EA	63%	97%
EA EB	55%	70%
EW	93%	93%
$\delta$ Scuti	91%	99%
RRab	98%	100%
RRc	90%	92%
Cepheids	69%	89%
Mira	89%	99%
Semi-regular variables	63%	99%
Irregular variables	97%	79%


**Figure 3.** A bar chart illustrating the relative importance of the features listed in Table 3 that were used to train the Random Forest Classifier.

estimated variability amplitudes can be underestimated due to crowding and blending, particularly in the Galactic plane.


Figure 5 shows the distribution of these new variables in mean brightness and amplitude (blue) as compared to the  $\sim 370,000$  other pulsating and eclipsing variables in the VSX catalog with amplitudes  $A > 0.05$  mag (red). The average variable in our catalog has a mean brightness of  $\bar{V} \sim 14.3$  mag and a mean amplitude of  $A \sim 0.69$  mag. Figure 6 shows the root-mean-square (RMS) of the light curves as a function of their mean brightness. Not surprisingly, fainter variables tend to have higher amplitudes. The high amplitude variables at bright magnitudes will have been found by shallower

searches like ASAS. Our new catalog increases the number of known variables with magnitude  $13 < V < 16$  by  $\sim 30\%$ , largely by filling in the lower amplitude variables missed by (presumably) shallower surveys.

To examine the distribution of these variables in 2MASS color-color and color-period space, we grouped the variables into 7 categories. Blue pulsators are the RR Lyrae, Cepheid (including type II Cepheids),  $\delta$  Scuti and AHB1 variables. Red pulsators are the Mira and semi-regular variables. The irregular variables are the L, L: and YSO sub-types. Eclipsing variables contain the EW, EA|EB and EA classes. The GCAS, Rotational (ROT) and VAR categories directly map onto their respective VSX classes.

Figure 7 shows the distribution in 2MASS color ( $J - H$ ,  $H - K_s$ ) and period ( $J - H$ , P). There is a clear dichotomy between the red and blue pulsators in our sample. Most of the eclipsing systems are composed of blue, likely main sequence stars. The generic VAR category mostly overlaps with the red pulsators, suggesting that a large fraction of these are actually red irregular variables. GCAS variables mostly occupy the lower left quadrant, while rotational variables are scattered amongst the blue and red pulsators. The distinction between the different sub-types assigned to the ASAS-SN sample of irregulars in the 2MASS color-color space is shown in the middle panel.

Red pulsators have the largest periods and are clustered



**Figure 4.** The normalized confusion matrix derived from the trained random forest classifier for the ASAS-SN variables. The y-axis corresponds to the ‘input’ classification given to a variable, while the x-axis represents the ‘output’ prediction obtained from the trained random forest model.

at the top right quadrant of the bottom panel. Sub-structure is evident in the distribution of the blue pulsators, highlighting the different classes of variables that comprise this group. The eclipsing binaries overlap the distribution of blue pulsators having similarly short periods. Eclipsing binaries span a large range of periods from relatively short periods ( $\sim 0.2$  d) to large periods ( $\sim 10^4$  d). Long period eclipsing binaries with periods  $> 10^2$  d are rare in our sample.


Figure 8 shows the spatial distribution of the ASAS-SN variables in Equatorial coordinates. Most of our new

variables are just above or below the Galactic disk. Many surveys avoided lower Galactic latitudes (e.g., Drake et al. 2014) and the few surveys of the Galactic plane tended to cover only a few degrees in latitude (e.g., Haas et al. 2012; Hempel et al. 2014).


#### 4.1 Pulsating Variables

Our catalog is largely ( $\sim 95\%$ ) composed of pulsating variables, and from the  $\sim 61,000$  pulsating variables discovered






**Figure 5.** Histograms of the mean V-band magnitudes (*left*) and amplitudes (*right*) of the  $\sim 66,000$  new ASAS-SN variables (blue) as compared to the  $\sim 370,000$  known variables from the VSX catalog (red) with amplitudes  $A > 0.05$  mag. The average variable has a mean magnitude of  $V \sim 14.3$  mag and mean amplitude of  $A \sim 0.69$  mag.



**Figure 6.** Root-mean-square variability as a function of mean magnitude for the new variable sources colored by the variability groups described in the legend. The median RMS of the APOGEE light curves used in §2.1 is shown in black.



**Figure 7.** *Top:* The 2MASS color-color diagram for all the variables in our sample colored by the variability groups described in the legend. *Middle:* The 2MASS color-color diagram for the irregular variables in our sample colored by the variability groups described in the legend. *Bottom:* Period-color diagram for the periodic variables in our sample.

**Table 5.** New ASAS-SN variables by type

Class	Description	Number Discovered
EA	Detached Algol-type binaries	1530
EA EB	Algol-type or $\beta$ Lyrae-type binaries	529
EW	W Ursae Majoris type binaries	2427
DSCT	$\delta$ Scuti type variables	22
HADS	High amplitude $\delta$ Scuti type variables	548
RRAB	RR Lyrae variables with asymmetric light curves	4820
RRC	First Overtone RR Lyrae variables	653
RRAB/BL	RRAB variables showing the Blazhko effect	40
RRC/BL	RRC variables showing the Blazhko effect	7
RRD	Double Mode RR Lyrae variables	39
AHB1	Above Horizontal Branch Subtype 1 (XX Vir) Variables	24
DCEP	$\delta$ Cephei-type/classical Cepheid variables	160
DCEPS	First overtone Cepheid variables	84
CWA	W Virginis type variables with $P > 8$ d	22
CWB	W Virginis type variables with $P < 8$ d (BL Herculis variables)	44
RVA	RV Tauri variables (Subtype A)	3
M	Mira variables	1853
SRS	Short period semi-regular variables with $P < 30$ d	162
SR	Long period semi-regular variables with $P > 30$ d	13844
L	Red irregular variables	18768
L:	Irregular variables with missing B-V colors	10620
YSO	Young Stellar Objects	4215
GCAS	Rapidly rotating early type stars	93
ROT	Spotted Variables with rotational modulation	662
VAR	Variable star of unspecified type	5010

by ASAS-SN,  $\sim 37\%$  are periodic variables. Here we discuss the classes of pulsating variables in the ASAS-SN catalog of variable stars and provide examples of the light curves for these classes of variables.

#### 4.1.1 $\delta$ Scuti

$\delta$  Scuti stars are high frequency pulsators ( $P < 0.3$  d) located at the lower end of the instability strip (Breger 1979). The  $\delta$  Scuti variables are commonly sub-divided based on their amplitude. High amplitude  $\delta$  Scuti variables (HADS) have amplitudes ( $A > 0.15$  mag) and are easily discovered by sky surveys similar to ASAS-SN.  $\delta$  Scuti variables (DSCT) have amplitudes ( $A < 0.15$  mag) and are somewhat less commonly found due to the selection effect caused by their low amplitudes. This statement is reflected in the distribution of HADS/DSCT variables in our catalog:  $\sim 96\%$  are HADS variables. Examples of the DSCT and HADS classes are shown in Figure 9.

#### 4.1.2 RR Lyrae and AHB1/XX Vir Variables


Jayasinghe et al. (2018) announced the discovery of 4,880 RR Lyrae from the first stage of our variability classification process. Since then, we have discovered an additional 679 RR Lyrae in our data. Our catalog of RR Lyrae stars largely consists of the RRAB ( $\sim 87\%$ ) and RRC ( $\sim 12\%$ ) types. A small fraction consists of double-mode RRD stars and RRAB/RRC stars showing the Blazhko effect (Blazhko 1907).

A common issue that arises in the classification of RRC variables is cross-contamination with short period contact binaries (EW). This is especially problematic for sources with amplitudes  $0.3 < A < 0.5$  mag and periods  $0.44 < P <$

$0.82$  d (Drake et al. 2014). In this parameter space, RRC variables can have almost symmetric light curves with morphologies similar to those of EW binaries phased with half their true period. EW binaries tend to have the light curve skewness parameter  $M_s > 0$ , while most RRC variables have  $M_s < 0$ . One can potentially use this knowledge to reduce cross-contamination between these two variability types, but ultimately, one has to resort to spectroscopic follow-up to distinguish between these two variability types in the small number of cases where the light curves are photometrically ambiguous.

During the process of visual review, we identified 39 double-mode RR Lyrae candidates. Poleski (2014) studied double-mode radial pulsations amongst RR Lyrae stars and produced a Petersen diagram for RRD stars with the majority of their sample having  $0.742 < P_{1O}/P_{FO} < 0.748$ . Our RRD candidates were searched for secondary periods, and those with period ratios  $0.73 < P_{1O}/P_{FO} < 0.77$  were classified as RRD stars. We chose this range in  $P_{1O}/P_{FO}$  to account for variations observed in RRD populations (Olech & Moskalik 2009) and parameter dispersions due to noise. The periods reported for the RRD stars are the best period derived for each light curve, where in most cases this is the period of the fundamental mode. We also identified 40 (7) RRAB (RRC) stars showing the Blazhko effect. These sources were classified based on the scatter around the primary maximum, which is indicative of the Blazhko effect.

AHB1 variables are evolved horizontal branch pulsators with periods  $0.8 < P < 3$  d and asymmetric light curves resembling those of RRAB stars (Sandage & Tammann 2006). These variables are distinguished from low period fundamental mode Cepheids by their low rise times to maximum



**Figure 8.** Spatial distribution of the  $\sim 66,000$  new ASAS-SN variables in Equatorial coordinates. Grey points denote the  $\sim 370,000$  known variables from the VSX catalog with  $V < 17$  mag and  $A > 0.05$  mag.

and high visual amplitudes. We identify 24 candidate AHB1 variables.

Examples of the RR Lyrae and AHB1 classes are shown in Figure 10.

#### 4.1.3 Cepheids


Large numbers of these variables have been discovered over the years since the first Cepheids were discovered in the Magellanic clouds by Leavitt (1908). For example, the current OGLE catalog of Cepheids in the Magellanic Clouds boasts close to 10,000 Cepheids (Soszyński et al. 2017). In the Milky Way, only  $\sim 1000$  Cepheids are currently known (Windmark et al. 2011). Fundamental mode Cepheids (DCEP) are the archetypal classical Cepheids obeying a period-luminosity relation (Leavitt & Pickering 1912). DCEP variables have unique light curve morphologies as a function of their period. First overtone Cepheids (DCEPS) have almost symmetrical light curves with periods  $P < 7$  d and amplitudes  $A < 0.5$  mag. We identified 160 fundamental mode and 84 first overtone Cepheids in our data. Over  $\sim 80\%$  of the ASAS-SN DCEP and DCEPS variables are towards the Galactic disk.

Type II Cepheids overlap classical Cepheids in their pe-

riods but are intrinsically less luminous and have distinctive features in their light curves that separate them from classical Cepheids. Type II Cepheids also follow a period-luminosity relation (Matsunaga et al. 2006). BL Herculis type variables (CWB) have periods  $P < 8$  d and have a unique bump along the descending branch in their phased light curves. W Virginis type variables (CWA) have periods  $P > 8$  d and light curves whose shapes are dependent on the period of pulsation. RV Tauri variables (RVA/RVB) have periods  $30 < P < 150$  d and have alternating primary/secondary minima of different depths. The current sample contains 22 CWA, 44 CWB and 3 RVA variables. We show examples of these variable types in Figure 11.

#### 4.1.4 Periodic Red Pulsators: Miras and Semi-regular variables

Mira variables (M) are asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars with high amplitude (typically defined as  $A > 2.5$  mag in the V-band) pulsations and periods  $P > 100$  d. Mira variables can be further classified into sub-types based on the shapes of their light curves (Vardya 1988; Ludendorff 1928), and have also been found to follow a period-luminosity relation-



**Figure 9.** Phased light curves for examples of the DSCVT and HADS VSX classes. The light curves are scaled by their minimum and maximum V-band magnitudes with different variable classes in each row. Different colored points correspond to data from the different ASAS-SN cameras.

ship (Whitelock et al. 2008). It is not uncommon for Mira variables to show changes in their period (Percy & Colivas 1999). The current catalog contains 1853 new Mira variables.

Semi-regular variables are typically pulsating red giants with some periodicity in their light curves. These variables usually have irregularities in their light curves that distinguish them from other strictly periodic types, mostly due to multi-periodic behavior (Kiss et al. 1999). We categorize our list of semi-regular variables based on their period. Short period semi-regular variables (SRS) have periods  $P < 30$  d while semi-regular (SR) variables have periods  $P > 30$  d. It is likely that a number of the new semi-regular variables are actually OGLE small amplitude variable red giants (OSARGs; Soszynski et al. 2004) that follow a set of period-luminosity relations proposed by Soszynski et al. (2007). We note that the number of semi-regular variables discovered by ASAS-SN (14,006) is  $\sim 30\%$  of the total number of semi-regular variables in the VSX catalog.

Examples of Mira, SRS and SR variables are shown in Figure 12.

#### 4.1.5 Irregular variables

Our catalog contains several different sub-types of irregulars separated by their colors (see §3.3 and Table 2 for our classification criteria). The majority ( $\sim 75\%$ , see Table 5) are red irregulars (L/L:). This catalog increases the number of red irregular variables in the VSX catalog by 70%. Irregulars with an infrared excess are classified as young stellar objects (YSO). Examples of irregular variables are shown in Figure 13.

## 4.2 Eclipsing Binaries

Eclipsing binaries provide fundamental physical characteristics for stellar systems (see Torres et al. 2010, and references therein). These systems have been successfully used to derive masses and radii of stars in binary systems to a few percent (Torres et al. 2010). Recently, eclipsing binaries have also been used to derive extragalactic distances. For example, Pietrzyński et al. (2013) derived a  $\sim 2\%$  distance to the Large Magellanic cloud using a set of 8 detached eclipsing binaries.

Photometrically, the light curves of eclipsing binaries are categorized into the GCVS/VSX classes: EW, EB and EA. EW (W UMa) type systems have light curves with minima of similar depths and transition smoothly from the eclipse to the out-of-eclipse phase. EB ( $\beta$ -Lyrae) type systems also possess light curves that transition smoothly between these states, but have minima of significantly different depths. EA (Algol) type systems may not have a secondary minimum. It is relatively straightforward to pinpoint the exact onset and end of the eclipses in an EA system.

These classes correspond to the contact, semi-detached and detached binary configurations. Pojmanski (2002) classified the eclipsing binaries in the ASAS sample based on the likely physical configuration of the binary. While this makes sense probabilistically, the distinction between the different physical classes becomes ambiguous as the amplitude/depth of the eclipses decrease (Paczynski et al. 2006). Classifying eclipsing binaries into photometric classes is more intuitive but has its own problems. For example, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between the EA and EB classes based on the light curve, so we grouped these sources into a joint




Figure 10. Phased light curves for examples of the RR Lyrae and AHB1 classes. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.





Figure 11. Examples of the DCEP, DCEPS, CWA, CWB and RVA VSX classes. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.



**Figure 12.** Examples of the periodic red pulsators in our sample, which include the SRS, SR and M VSX classes. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.

class ‘EA|EB’ to improve our classifications. Based on our visual inspections, most of the binaries classified as EA|EB are actually EB types.

EW type contact binaries typically have a lower limit to their periods of  $P > 0.22$  d (Rucinski 1992). We find 3 EW binaries with ultra-short periods, ASASSN-V J024528.69–660648.0 ( $P=0.20644$ d), ASASSN-V J090523.70–284645.0 ( $P=0.20930$ d), and ASASSN-V J194752.88+725431.1 ( $P=0.21131$ d), and these are shown in Figure 14. The VSX catalog lists  $> 350$  EW binaries with periods  $P < 0.22$  d, thus it is likely that the lower limit for EW periods are shorter than the limit imposed here.

We identify 207 short period ( $P < 1$  d) detached binaries. Recent evidence suggests that most short period contact binaries are triple systems with tertiary companions (see Rucinski et al. 2007, and references therein). The Lidov-Kozai mechanism (Lidov 1962; Kozai 1962) is likely to have created these systems from short period detached binaries (Mazeh & Shaham 1979; Kiseleva et al. 1998; Eggleton & Kiseleva-Eggleton 2001; Paczyński et al. 2006; Eggleton


2012). We identified 2427 EW binaries, 529 EA|EB binaries and 1530 EA binaries in our data. The eclipsing binaries in our sample span a range of periods from  $0.20 < P < 68.0$  d. Examples of the EA|EB and EA classes are shown in Figure 15.

### 4.3 Rotational Variables


Light curves showing evidence of rotational modulation were classified as a generic rotational variable (ROT). We report the best period derived for each light curve and note that this may not be the actual period of rotation for the star. This class is likely to contain numerous RS Canum Venaticorum-type (RS) binary systems along with BY Draconis-type variables and spotted T Tauri stars showing periodic variability. We identified 662 new ROT variables with examples shown in Figure 16.

Jayasinghe et al. (2017e) recently reported the discovery of a likely extreme spotted variable ASASSN-V J211256.97+313724.6. This source had a period of  $P = 5.412$





**Figure 13.** Examples of the irregular variables in our catalog. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.




**Figure 14.** Phased light curves for the three ultra short period contact binaries in the catalog. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.


d and displayed amplitude modulation from  $A = 0.58$  mag in 2014 to  $A = 0.16$  mag in 2014. ASAS data also showed evidence of high amplitude variability, suggesting an extreme degree of star spot coverage, persisting for  $\sim 10$  years. Based on these lines of evidence, ASASSN-V J211256.97+313724.6 is most likely a RS binary system. Similar variables are likely to be found in our overall sample of 662 ROT variables.

#### 4.4 GCAS variables

The VSX catalog defines GCAS variables to be eruptive irregular variables of early spectral types (O9-A0 III-Ve) with mass outflow from their equatorial zones (Watson et al. 2006). A GCAS variable, ASASSN-V J010932.93+614659.0, was identified based on its light curve morphology during the early stages of the variability classification process by Jayasinghe et al. (2017c). A low resolution spectrum of this object taken with the MDM 2.4m telescope and OSMOS spectrometer showed Balmer and He I lines in absorption,



**Figure 15.** Examples of the EA|EB and EA classes. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.



**Figure 16.** Examples of the rotational variables. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.

with a strong narrow- $H\alpha$  profile in emission. These features are characteristic of a Be (GCAS) star. Subsequently, [Steele \(2017\)](#) obtained a medium resolution spectrum with the FRODOSpec spectrograph on the Liverpool Telescope, deriving a spectral type of B6V and confirming that this source is a rapid rotator.

We identified 93 other GCAS variables in our data based on the criteria described in Section §3.3. The locations of these GCAS variables in the 2MASS color-color diagram are highlighted in Figure 8. Each of these sources were visually reviewed to identify outbursts similar to ASASSN-V J010932.93+614659.0 and other Be star outbursts ([Labadie-Bartz et al. 2018](#)). Based on our selection criteria, it is very likely that most of these sources are rapidly-rotating early-type stars. Examples are shown in Figure 17.

#### 4.5 Microlensing events

We discovered two bright, archival microlensing events in our data ([Jayasinghe et al. 2017b,d](#)) during the variability classification process (Figure 18). ASASSN-V J182456.34-305816.7 was discovered near the Galactic bulge with a peak magnification of 16, and ASASSN-V J044558.57+081444.6 was discovered close to the Galactic anti-center with a peak magnification of 33. It is likely that more archival microlensing events are hidden within our data. We are currently conducting a systematic search of the irregular variables to find additional archival microlensing events.




Figure 17. Examples of the GCAS variables. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.




Figure 18. Light curves for the microlensing events ASASSN-V J182456.34–305816.7 and ASASSN-V J044558.57+081444.6. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.




Figure 19. Light curves for the unusual sources ASASSN-V J033455.88–053957.9 and ASASSN-V J211014.40–242105.3. The format is the same as for Fig. 9.

#### 4.6 Other discoveries

Jayasinghe et al. (2017a) discovered two variables: ASASSN-V J033455.88–053957.9 and ASASSN-V J211014.40–242105.3, with unusual light curves (Figure 19). ASASSN-V J033455.88–053957.9 is a probable early type M-dwarf that brightened by 0.5 mag over a period of  $\sim 1,800$  days. It appears in a number of X-ray catalogs, including the Swift X-ray point source catalogue (Evans et al. 2014). The light curve characteristics of this source are atypical of an M-dwarf.

ASASSN-V J211014.40–242105.3 was spectroscopically classified as a cataclysmic variable by Kilkenny et al. (2015). We found a fading event lasting at least 150 days in the ASAS-SN light curve. This fading event is a probable result of episodic mass transfer from the companion star (Leach et

al. 1999), suggesting that ASASSN-V J211014.40–242105.3 is likely a cataclysmic variable (CV) of the VY Scl type.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we provide a catalog of new variable stars identified by ASAS-SN during our search for supernovae. We classified the variables by:

- (i) Cross-matching our list of sources with existing variable star catalogs to remove known variable sources;
- (ii) Using an open source random forest classifier *Upsilon* (Kim & Bailer-Jones 2016) to derive initial classifications for our list of variable sources;
- (iii) Visually reviewing the *Upsilon* classifications to select a sample of accurately classified variables while flagging irregular variables and removing bad sources;

(iv) Training a random forest classifier using the ASAS-SN data and their classifications; and

(v) Reclassifying all the sources along with any new variable sources discovered in the interim.

The resulting catalog of 66,179 bright ( $V \lesssim 17$  mag) new variables is dominated by red pulsators ( $\gtrsim 50\%$  of the catalog) and makes significant contributions to the current list of known semi-regular and irregular variables. We also provide lists of common variables (RR Lyrae, eclipsing binaries, Cepheids, Miras, etc.) sampled over the whole sky. While this catalog is not complete for low amplitude variability, it goes a long way towards providing a largely complete sample of high amplitude variables (including Miras and high amplitude semi-regular variables) down to  $V \lesssim 16$  mag. We estimate the classification errors in this catalog to be  $\lesssim 10\%$ , with the majority of the errors resulting from confusion between similar variable classes (e.g. sub-classes of eclipsing binaries).

The complete list of ASAS-SN variables along with their V-band light curves are provided online at the ASAS-SN Variable Stars Database (<https://asas-sn.osu.edu/variables>). Light curves for bright, known variables will be added to this database over the next year. The next step in our search for variable stars is to extract light curves for all  $\gtrsim 50$  million APASS stars to carry out a systematic search.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Las Cumbres Observatory and its staff for its continuing support of the ASAS-SN project. We also thank the Ohio State University College of Arts and Sciences Technology Services for helping us set up the ASAS-SN variable stars database.

ASAS-SN is supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through grant GBMF5490 to the Ohio State University and NSF grant AST-1515927. Development of ASAS-SN has been supported by NSF grant AST-0908816, the Mt. Cuba Astronomical Foundation, the Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics at the Ohio State University, the Chinese Academy of Sciences South America Center for Astronomy (CAS- SACA), the Villum Foundation, and George Skestos.

TAT is supported in part by Scialog Scholar grant 24215 from the Research Corporation. Support for JLP is provided in part by FONDECYT through the grant 1151445 and by the Ministry of Economy, Development, and Tourism's Millennium Science Initiative through grant IC120009, awarded to The Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, MAS. SD acknowledges Project 11573003 supported by NSFC. Support for MP has been provided by the PRIMUS/SCI/17 award from Charles University.

This research was made possible through the use of the AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS), funded by the Robert Martin Ayers Sciences Fund. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France. The original description of the VizieR service was published in A&AS 143, 23.

This research made use of Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration, 2013).

## REFERENCES

- Alard, C. 2000, A&AS, 144, 363  
 Alard, C., & Lupton, R. H. 1998, ApJ, 503, 325  
 Alcock, C., Allsman, R. A., Alves, D., et al. 1997, ApJ, 486, 697  
 Armstrong, D. J., Kirk, J., Lam, K. W. F., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 2260  
 Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33  
 Bhatti, W., Bouma, L. G., Wallace, J., et al. 2018, astrobase, v0.3.8, Zenodo, <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1185231>  
 Breger, M. 1979, PASP, 91, 5  
 Brown, T. M., Baliber, N., Bianco, F. B., et al. 2013, PASP, 125, 1031  
 Blažko, S. 1907, Astronomische Nachrichten, 175, 325  
 Chambers, K. C., Magnier, E. A., Metcalfe, N., et al. 2016, arXiv:1612.05560  
 Derue, F., Marquette, J.-B., Lupone, S., et al. 2002, A&A, 389, 149  
 Drake, A. J., Graham, M. J., Djorgovski, S. G., et al. 2014, ApJS, 213, 9  
 Eggleton, P. P., & Kiseleva-Eggleton, L. 2001, ApJ, 562, 1012  
 Eggleton, P. P. 2012, Journal of Astronomy and Space Sciences, 29, 145  
 Evans, P. A., Osborne, J. P., Beardmore, A. P., et al. 2014, ApJS, 210, 8  
 Graham, M. J., Drake, A. J., Djorgovski, S. G., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 3423  
 Haas, M., Hackstein, M., Ramolla, M., et al. 2012, Astronomische Nachrichten, 333, 706  
 Hartman, J. D., Bakos, G., Stanek, K. Z., & Noyes, R. W. 2004, AJ, 128, 1761  
 Hempel, M., Minniti, D., Dékány, I., et al. 2014, The Messenger, 155, 24  
 Henden, A. A., Levine, S., Terrell, D., & Welch, D. L. 2015, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #225, 225, 336.16  
 Holoién, T. W.-S., Brown, J. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 471, 4966  
 Holtzman, J. A., Shetrone, M., Johnson, J. A., et al. 2015, AJ, 150, 148  
 Huijse, P., Estevez, P. A., Forster, F., et al. 2017, arXiv:1709.03541  
 Jayasinghe, T., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017a, The Astronomer's Telegram, 10634  
 Jayasinghe, T., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017b, The Astronomer's Telegram, 10677  
 Jayasinghe, T., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2017c, The Astronomer's Telegram, 10710  
 Jayasinghe, T., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017d, The Astronomer's Telegram, 10740  
 Jayasinghe, T., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2017e, The Astronomer's Telegram, 11034  
 Jayasinghe, T., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2018, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 2, 18  
 Kim, D.-W., & Bailer-Jones, C. A. L. 2016, A&A, 587, A18  
 Kilkeny, D., O'Donoghue, D., Worters, H. L., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 1879

- Kinemuchi, K., Smith, H. A., Woźniak, P. R., McKay, T. A., & ROTSE Collaboration 2006, *AJ*, 132, 1202
- Kiseleva, L. G., Eggleton, P. P., & Mikkola, S. 1998, *MNRAS*, 300, 292
- Kiss, L. L., Szatmáry, K., Cadmus, R. R., Jr., & Mattei, J. A. 1999, *A&A*, 346, 542
- Kochanek, C. S., Shappee, B. J., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017, *PASP*, 129, 104502
- Kovács, G., Zucker, S., & Mazeh, T. 2002, *A&A*, 391, 369
- Kozai, Y. 1962, *AJ*, 67, 591
- Law, N. M., Kulkarni, S. R., Dekany, R. G., et al. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 1395
- Labadie-Bartz, J., Chojnowski, S. D., Whelan, D. G., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 53
- Leach, R., Hessman, F. V., King, A. R., Stehle, R., & Mattei, J. 1999, *MNRAS*, 305, 225
- Leavitt, H. S., & Pickering, E. C. 1904, *Harvard College Observatory Circular*, 90, 1
- Leavitt, H. S. 1908, *Annals of Harvard College Observatory*, 60, 87
- Leavitt, H. S., & Pickering, E. C. 1912, *Harvard College Observatory Circular*, 173, 1
- Lidov, M. L. 1962, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 9, 719
- Ludendorff, H. 1928, *Handbuch der Astrophysik*, 6, 49
- Matsunaga, N., Fukushi, H., Nakada, Y., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 1979
- Mazeh, T., & Shaham, J. 1979, *A&A*, 77, 145
- Monet, D. G., Levine, S. E., Canzian, B., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 984
- Olech, A., & Moskalik, P. 2009, *A&A*, 494, L17
- Paczynski, B. 2000, *PASP*, 112, 1281
- Paczynski, B., Szczygiel, D. M., Pilecki, B., & Pojmański, G. 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1311
- Pashchenko, I. N., Sokolovsky, K. V., & Gavras, P. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 2326
- Pawlak, M., Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., et al. 2016, *Acta Astron.*, 66, 421
- Payne Gaposchkin, C. H., & Gaposchkin, S. 1938, *Annales d’Astrophysique*, 1, 430
- Payne-Gaposchkin, C. 1978, *ARA&A*, 16, 1
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., et al. 2012, *arXiv:1201.0490*
- Percy, J. R., & Colivas, T. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 94
- Pietrzyński, G., Graczyk, D., Gieren, W., et al. 2013, *Nature*, 495, 76
- Pojmanski, G. 2002, *Acta Astron.*, 52, 397
- Pojmanski, G. 2003, *Acta Astron.*, 53, 341
- Pojmanski, G., & Maciejewski, G. 2004, *Acta Astron.*, 54, 153
- Pojmanski, G., & Maciejewski, G. 2005, *Acta Astron.*, 55, 97
- Pojmanski, G., Pilecki, B., & Szczygiel, D. 2005, *Acta Astron.*, 55, 275
- Poleski, R. 2014, *PASP*, 126, 509
- Roeser, S., Demleitner, M., & Schilbach, E. 2010, *AJ*, 139, 2440
- Rucinski, S. M. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 960
- Rucinski, S. M., Pribulla, T., & van Kerkwijk, M. H. 2007, *AJ*, 134, 2353
- Sandage, A., & Tammann, G. A. 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 93
- Samus’, N. N., Kazarovets, E. V., Durlevich, O. V., Kireeva, N. N., & Pastukhova, E. N. 2017, *Astronomy Reports*, 61, 80
- Scargle, J. D. 1982, *ApJ*, 263, 835
- Schwarzenberg-Czerny, A. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, L107
- Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., Grupe, D., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 788, 48
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163
- Sobel, D. 2017, *The Glass Universe*, Penguin Books
- Soszynski, I., Udalski, A., Kubiak, M., et al. 2004, *Acta Astron.*, 54, 129
- Soszynski, I., Dziembowski, W. A., Udalski, A., et al. 2007, *Acta Astron.*, 57, 201
- Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2015, *Acta Astron.*, 65, 297
- Soszyński, I., Pawlak, M., Pietrukowicz, P., et al. 2016, *Acta Astron.*, 66, 405
- Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2017, *Acta Astron.*, 67, 103
- Steele, I. A. 2017, *The Astronomer’s Telegram*, 10713,
- Stellingwerf, R. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 953
- Stetson, P. B. 1996, *PASP*, 108, 851
- Torres, G., Andersen, J., & Giménez, A. 2010, *A&ARv*, 18, 67
- Udalski, A. 2003, *Acta Astron.*, 53, 291
- Vardya, M. S. 1988, *A&AS*, 73, 181
- Watson, C. L., Henden, A. A., & Price, A. 2006, *Society for Astronomical Sciences Annual Symposium*, 25, 47
- Whitelock, P. A., Feast, M. W., & Van Leeuwen, F. 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 313
- Williams, P. K. G., Clavel, M., Newton, E., & Ryzhkov, D. 2017, *Astrophysics Source Code Library*, ascl:1704.001
- Windmark, F., Lindegren, L., & Hobbs, D. 2011, *A&A*, 530, A76
- Woźniak, P. R., Vestrand, W. T., Akerlof, C. W., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 2436
- Zacharias, N., Monet, D. G., Levine, S. E., et al. 2004, *Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society*, 36, 48.15
- Zacharias, N., Finch, C. T., Girard, T. M., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 145, 44
- Zechmeister, M., & Kürster, M. 2009, *A&A*, 496, 577
- Zhevakin, S. A. 1963, *ARA&A*, 1, 367

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.