Identification of significant E0 strength in the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions of 58,60,62 Ni

L.J. Evitts^{a,b}, A.B. Garnsworthy^{a,*}, T. Kibédi^c, J. Smallcombe^a, M.W. Reed^c, B.A. Brown^{e,f}, A.E. Stuchbery^c, G.J. Lane^c, T.K. Eriksen^c, A. Akber^c, B. Alshahrani^{c,d}, M. de Vries^c, M.S.M. Gerathy^c, J.D. Holt^a, B.Q. Lee^{c,1} B.P. McCormick^c, A.J. Mitchell^c, M. Moukaddam^{a,2}, S. Mukhopadhyay^g, N. Palalani^c, T. Palazzo^c, E.E. Peters^g, A.P.D. Ramirez^g, S.R. Stroberg^{a,3}, T. Tornyi^c, S.W. Yates^g

^aPhysical Sciences Division, TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 2A3, Canada

^bDepartment of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

^cDepartment of Nuclear Physics, Research School of Physics and Engineering, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601,

Australia

^dDepartment of Physics, King Khaled University, Abha, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

^eNational Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

^fDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

^gDepartments of Chemistry and Physics & Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506-0055, USA

^{1D}Cepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506-0055, USA
²Departments of Chemistry and Physics & Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506-0055, USA **Abstract The** E0 transition strength in the 2⁺₂ → 2⁺₁ transitions of ^{58,60,62}Ni have been determined for the first time following indexic proton scattering. Level half-lives, background and the Super-e solenoid at ANU were used to measure the δ(E2/M1) mixing ratios and *r*-ray branching ratios were measured at UK following indextic proton scattering. Level half-lives, the seven and the formation scattering. The new spectroscopic information was used to determine the E0 strengths. These are the first 2⁺ → 2⁺ E0 transition strengths measured in nuclei with spherical ground states and the E0 component is found to be unexpectedly large; in fact, these are amongst the largest E0 transition strengths in medium and heavy nuclei reported to date. Keywords: electric monopole (E0) transition operator reports a change in the spatial distribution of the nuclei sense ta change in the spatial distribution of the nuclei sense ta change in the spatial distribution of the nuclei spectroscopy elipticate to the difference in the anan-squared charge radii, (r²), and the degree of conging ration mixing between the states involved. Thus E0 figuration mixing between the states involved. Thus E0 figuration mixing between the states involved. Thus E0 transitions are a sensitive probe for the interpretation of E0 transition strengths that have been measured experimentally is very initiated [3]. This deficiency is primarily due to the ofference in the previous experimental work in determining ρ²(E0) transition spectroscopy which can be hindered by a reported in the previous experimental work in determining ρ²(E0) transition spectroscopy which can be hindered by a reported in the reported in the states have been measured experimentation and the consistence of oblate. Spherica

cessity for electron spectroscopy which can be hindered by many sources of background. There is especially a lack of data for E0 transition strengths in $J \rightarrow J, J > 0$ tran-

coexistence at N = 40 [8]. An investigation of E2 strengths has revealed the coexistence of oblate, spherical and prolate excitations in ⁶⁶Ni below an excitation energy of 3 MeV [9]. However, measurements of the E0 strength in any $2^+ \rightarrow 2^+$ transition in the Ni isotopes have not been pursued previously. Determining the E0 strength between 2^+ states requires the experimental determination of a number of quantities, namely, the half-life of the parent state, the branching ratio of the transition, the $\delta(E2/M1)$ multipole mixing ratio and the internal conversion coefficient. In this Letter, we present the first experimental measure-

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: garns@triumf.ca (A.B. Garnsworthy)

¹Present address: Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PJ, United Kingdom

²Present address: Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

³Present address: Physics Department, Reed College, 3203 SE Woodstock Blvd., Portland, OR 97202-8199, USA

ments of the E0 strength in the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions of 58,60,62 Ni. These $\rho^2(E0)$ values are the first determined in nuclei with spherical ground states and are unexpectedly some of the largest measured to date.

2. Experimental details

Measurements were performed at the Heavy Ion Accelerator Facility at the Australian National University (ANU), with proton beams of up to 9.2 MeV provided by the 14UD pelletron accelerator. Inelastic proton scattering was used to populate excited states in the nickel isotopes of interest by impinging the beam on isotopically enriched self-supporting targets of 1.4 mg/cm^2 for ⁵⁸Ni and 1.3 mg/cm^2 for ^{60,62}Ni.




Figure 1: Example γ -ray angular distribution for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition in ⁶²Ni from the $(p, p'\gamma)$ measurement. The inset shows the associated χ^2 minimization curve.

Angular distributions of γ rays were measured using the CAESAR array composed of nine Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors. The angular distributions are sensitive to the $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratio of a transition and the degree of angular momentum alignment provided to the nucleus in the reaction. The degree of alignment of each of the 2^+_2 parent states of interest was determined from the angular distribution of the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transition of pure E2 multipolarity. This alignment factor was then used as a fixed parameter in determining the δ value of the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition from a χ^2 minimization analysis of the angular distribution with 7 degrees of freedom. An example for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition in 62 Ni is shown in Fig. 1.

Additional measurements were performed at the University of Kentucky (UK) Accelerator Laboratory. Angular distributions of γ rays following the inelastic scattering of fast neutrons from natural nickel yielded branching ratios and $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratios, for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions, as well as the half-lives of the 2^+_2 states in ⁵⁸Ni and

⁶⁰Ni from a Doppler-Shift Attenuation Method (DSAM) analysis. The details of the methods used are described in a previous study of ⁶²Ni [10] that used an enriched ⁶²Ni sample and from which we take the results for that isotope. The solid cylindrical scattering sample (45.94 g of natural nickel metal; 68.08% ⁵⁸Ni, 26.22% ⁶⁰Ni) of 1.88 cm diameter and 1.84 cm height was bombarded with nearly monoenergetic neutrons ($\Delta E \approx 60 \text{ keV}$) and γ rays from the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction were observed with a BGO Comptonsuppressed HPGe detector. Measurements at angles from 40° to 150° with respect to the incident beam were carried out at neutron energies of 2.42 and 2.90 MeV. In each case, the bombarding energy was chosen to yield significant population of the level of interest but to avoid feeding of the level from higher-lying states.

The superconducting electron spectrometer, Super-e [11], located at the ANU was used to measure internal conversion coefficients of the same transitions. Super-e consists of a super-conducting solenoid magnet, a set of six 9 mm thick Si(Li) detectors chosen to be suitable for detecting electrons up to $\sim 3.5 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ and a single Comptonsuppressed HPGe detector to allow for simultaneous measurements of electrons and γ rays. Data were collected from both detectors in singles mode. Example spectra from the Super-e measurements using a ⁶²Ni target are shown in Fig. 2 along with an example of the electron peak-fitting procedure. The electrons emitted from the target, tilted at 45° to the beam axis, were transported by a magnetic field oriented perpendicular to the beam axis. The magnetic field was selected so that electrons of a specific energy follow a helical path that transports them around two baffles and through a diaphragm to the Si(Li) detector array located 35 cm from the target. The magnetic field was swept over a suitable range in small steps to cover the electron energies of interest. The measurement period at each magnetic field value was controlled by the current recorded in a Faraday cup downstream of the target so that the integrated beam current at each field value was the same.

The $\rho^2(E0)$ value can be determined [3] from

$$\rho^{2}(E0) = \frac{1}{\Omega_{K}(E0) \cdot \tau_{K}(E0)}$$
(1)

where Ω_K is an electronic factor obtained from atomic theory [12] and $\tau_K(E0)$ is the partial mean lifetime of the E0 transition converted in the atomic K shell. The value of $\tau_K(E0)$ is calculated using the decay branch of the E0component, λ_{E0} , relative to the sum of all available decay modes, $\sum_i \lambda_i$, from the parent state i.e.,

$$\tau_K(E0) = \frac{\sum_i \lambda_i}{\lambda_{E0_K}} \cdot \frac{T_{1/2}}{\ln(2)} \tag{2}$$

where $T_{1/2}$ is the half-life of the parent state. The $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratio is essential experimental information for the determination of $\tau_K(E0)$. The available experimental values, such as $\delta(E2/M1)$, internal conversion coefficients and γ -ray branching ratios, can be used to calculate each available λ_i for the transition of interest. The value of $\rho^2(E0)$ is dimensionless and, as the magnitudes are typically on the order of 10^{-4} to 10^{-1} , experimental $\rho^2(E0)$ values are generally quoted in milliunits.




Figure 2: (a) γ -ray and (b) e⁻ energy spectra for 62 Ni from the Super-e spectrometer. A portion of the electron energy spectrum is shown in (c), demonstrating the procedure for fitting overlapping K and L electron peaks. Three pairs of K and L lines are indicated by the grey dashed lines on top of the black dashed background. The contribution from conversion in higher atomic orbitals is omitted as it is <1.5% that of the K shell. The reduced χ^2 value of the fit to the 1100-1200 keV data is 1.2.

3. Experimental results

The new experimental data, combined with the recent study of Chakraborty *et al.* [10], have provided new values for the half-lives $(T_{1/2})$ of the 2^+_2 levels from a DSAM analysis as well as branching ratios (BR), $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratios, and K-shell internal conversion coefficients (α_K) of the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions in 58,60,62 Ni. The branching ratios for 58,60 Ni are from the $(n, n'\gamma)$ measurements and are consistent with, but more precise than, those previously reported [15, 16]. The $T_{1/2}$ and branching ratio for 62 Ni are taken from the previous UK $(n, n'\gamma)$ study of Ref. [10]. All results are reported in Table 1.

The newly determined $T_{1/2}$ of the 2^+_2 state in 58 Ni $(T_{1/2} = 0.60^{+0.19}_{-0.12} \text{ ps})$ is somewhat longer than, but consistent with, that reported previously in $(p, p'\gamma)$ [18]. The $T_{1/2}$ of the 2^+_2 state in 60 Ni $(T_{1/2} = 1.25^{+0.76}_{-0.35} \text{ ps})$ is inconsistent with that determined from the B(E2) value from (e, e') [19], but is in agreement with the limit determined from a previous $(n, n'\gamma)$ study using reactor neutrons [20]. The low abundance of 62 Ni in the natural Ni scattering sample (3.63 %) prevented a measurement of the half-life of the 2^+_2 state; however, this $T_{1/2}$ was recently determined from the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction at UK with an enriched scattering sample [10].

The $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratio of the 1321.2 keV transition of ⁵⁸Ni is measured to be $-1.04^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ in the $(n, n'\gamma)$ data. It was not possible to obtain a reliable result from our $(p, p'\gamma)$ study for this transition. This new value is consistent with, but more precise than, the three previously reported values [18, 21, 22], so it is adopted in this work.

For the 826.06 keV $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition in ⁶⁰Ni minima are found for δ at $+0.40^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ or $+1.01^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$ from $(n, n'\gamma)$. There is no consistency for this mixing ratio from previously reported values [18, 20, 23–27]. In the $(p, p'\gamma)$ data, we obtain a value of $+0.63^{+0.13}_{-0.10}$, which is consistent with one of the solutions from $(n, n'\gamma)$. We take the weighted mean of these two consistent values following the limitation of relative statistical weights (LRSW) procedure [28] to obtain the value reported in Table 1.

In ⁶²Ni for the 1128.82 keV $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, two solutions emerge from the $(p, p'\gamma)$ data (+3.17(10)and -0.07(1)) and one is reported by Chakraborty *et al.* $(+2.70^{+0.38}_{-0.28})$ [10] from $(n, n'\gamma)$ at UK. The other reported values again do not provide a consistent picture [18, 20, 25, 29]. We therefore again report the mean value of the new data in Table 1.

Internal conversion coefficients measured in this work for pure E2 transitions match well with theoretical values. The $\rho^2(E0)$ values for the $0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transitions in 60,62 Ni from internal conversion spectroscopy (<35, 132 $^{+59}_{-70}$) in our work are consistent with values (1-27, 72 $^{+60}_{-30}$) reported from detecting the internal pair formation (IPF) decays [7].

The new data for the $T_{1/2}$, BR, $\delta(E2/M1)$ and α_K are used in the calculation of $\rho^2(E0)$, B(M1) and B(E2)




Figure 3: Partial level schemes showing low-lying excited states in ^{58,60,62}Ni isotopes where the black filled arrows show B(M1) $[\mu_N^2]$ and B(E2) $[e^2 f m^4]$ values, while the yellow arrows show $\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3$ values [13–17]. The magnetic moments [14], μ , are in units of nuclear magnetons (μ_N) and the spectroscopic quadrupole moments [15–17], Q, are in units of efm^2 .

Table 1: Pertinent experimental data for the determination of the $\rho^2(E0)$ values for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions of the 58,60,62 Ni isotopes. The half-lives $(T_{1/2})$, branching ratios (BR), $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratios, and internal conversion coefficients (α_K) were obtained in this work with the exception of the $T_{1/2}$ and BR for 62 Ni, which were taken from Ref. [10].

one encer		1/2 and BR	101 111, 111	ten were taken i	ioni iten [io].				
	E_{γ}	$T_{1/2}$	BR	$\delta(E2/M1)$	$\alpha_K \times 10^4$	B(M1)	B(E2)	M(E0)	$\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3$
	keV	\mathbf{ps}				μ_N^2	$e^2 fm^4$	fm^2	
58 Ni	1321.2	$0.60\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.12}$	0.953(2)	$-1.04_{-0.08}^{+0.07}$	1.4(3)	0.014(4)	120^{+30}_{-40}	$10.3^{+1.1}_{-2.0}$	230^{+50}_{-80}
⁶⁰ Ni	826.06	$1.28^{+0.74}_{-0.35}$	0.860(5)	+0.43(8)	3.0(1)	$0.046\substack{+0.016\\-0.021}$	195^{+70}_{-110}	9^{+2}_{-4}	150^{+90}_{-110}
⁶² Ni	1128.82	$0.67\substack{+0.20 \\ -0.14}$	0.45(4)	+3.1(1)	2.0(1)	$1.8^{+0.3}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$	200^{+40}_{-50}	$8.4^{+1.4}_{-2.5}$	140^{+50}_{-70}

values shown in Table 1 and Fig. 3. The black (filled) arrows represent $B(m\lambda)$ values and the yellow (open) arrows show $\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3$ values. The width of the arrow represents the strength of that component. In order to properly account for the asymmetric uncertainties in the various input quantities, these final values and uncertainties were calculated using a Monte Carlo method where all inputs are treated as a probability distribution. In the case where the final probability distribution returned regions of unphysical values, the Neyman construction [30] using the Feldman-Cousins ordering principle [31] was followed. As a consequence of using the Feldman-Cousins ordering principle, the median was selected to be the central value reported. The uncertainties in the $\rho^2(E0)$ values are dominated by the statistical uncertainty in the internal conversion coefficients, measured here for the first time, and the precision in the half lives.

The other data shown in Fig. 3 are the $B(E2; 0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ values taken from Allmond *et al.* [13] determined

recently by Coulomb excitation, the magnetic moments taken from Kenn *et al.* [14] and the spectroscopic quadrupole moments from the Nuclear Data Sheets [15–17].

These experiments represent the first measurements of the $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+ E0$ transitions in the Ni isotopes and in nuclei with spherical ground states. They are amongst the largest values reported across the chart of the nuclides. These new data are compared in Fig. 4 to the other $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ E0 transition strengths reported in the most recent survey of Wood *et al.* [2].

4. Calculations and discussion

In a two-state mixing model, large E0 transition strengths are generated either by a large difference in mean-squared charge radii, or by a significant amount of mixing between the two states, or both.




Figure 4: An overview of the experimentally measured $\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ values for the Ni isotopes from this work (cross) compared with the literature values taken from Ref. [2] plotted vs. the energy ratio of the first 4⁺ and 2⁺ states. The literature values are grouped by the sign of the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2_1^+ state, where negative (open circle) indicates prolate and positive (square) corresponds to oblate shape.

The ground states of 58,60,62 Ni have been determined to be spherical with little variation between them from detailed muonic X-ray measurements [4] and optical spectroscopy [5]. The spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the first 2^+ state in each isotope $(-10(6), +3(5), +5(12) efm^2)$ is small [6], indicating that the first excited state is also close to spherical. In 58,60 Ni, the second 2^+ state lies below the second 0^+ state, thus excluding the possibility that these are members of co-existing deformed K = 0 bands. From the systematic trend, it seems likely that the second 2^+ state in 62 Ni also has the same structural origin as in the lighter isotopes. Another possibility is that these 2^+ states are the band-heads of $K^{\pi} = 2^+$ bands, however, a $\Delta K = 2, E0$ transition is forbidden in the axially symmetric rigid rotor model [2]. In the spherical-quadrupolevibrator model, E0 transitions are forbidden if the change in phonon number is one [2]. Clearly, the predictions of these simple models do not explain the new E0 transition strength data.

A microscopic approach for the calculation of E0 transition strengths was recently described by Brown *et al.* [32]. This approach takes the orbital occupations and onebody transition densities obtained from a configurationinteraction (CI) shell model calculation and uses them to constrain an energy density functional (EDF) calculation of the transition density. The resulting transition density contains valence terms coming from the model space, and the monopole polarization of the core protons by the valence nucleons. This first-order correction involves coupling of the valence orbitals to one-particle one-hole configurations of the giant monopole (breathing mode) excitation of the nucleus. The core polarization is determined by the shape of the valence transition density. The transition density is large only when there is a cancellation between the valence orbits that have a different number of nodes in the radial wavefunction. This method was applied to excited 0^+ to 0^+ ground-state transitions over a wide range of nuclei [32]. Overall, the theoretical E0transition matrix element, |M(E0)|, values were a factor of 2 to 3 smaller than experiment. It was suggested that the remaining strength comes from second-order correlations involving collective 2^+ intermediate states [32]. The model is applicable to E0 transitions between states of any J value and, in this work, we have used it to calculate E0transition strengths between 2^+ states for the first time.

The CI shell model calculations were performed for 58,60,62 Ni with the NuShellX@MSU code [33]. In each case, the calculations were performed in the full fp model space using the GPFX1A interaction [34].

For the Ni isotopes, the valence wavefunctions are dominated by neutron configurations that do not contribute to the E0 matrix element. The valence orbits are 1p and 0f, and the E0 transition density comes from a cancellation between these two types of radial wavefunction. Ref. [32] considered the $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ and $0_3^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transitions in ⁵⁸Ni. The calculated M(E0) for the $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transitions was much larger than the experimental value. A significant improvement in the agreement was achieved through a remixing of the $0_2^+ - 0_3^+$ and $2_2^+ - 2_3^+$ states. The calculated M(E0) for the remixed 0^+ states was about a factor of two smaller than experiment (comparable to the level of agreement achieved in the other nuclei studied in Ref. [32]).

The properties of the 2_2^+ and 2_1^+ states for 58,60,62 Ni are compared in Table 2. For the electromagnetic transitions, we use the effective M1 and E2 operators from Honma *et al.* [35]. The calculated $B(M1; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ in 58 Ni is an order of magnitude larger than experiment, and the other calculated B(M1) and B(E2) values are in reasonable agreement with experiment given the large error bars. The 2_1^+ state magnetic (μ) and quadrupole (Q) matrix elements are also in reasonable agreement between theory and experiment.

The EDF part of the calculation used the Skx and s3Skyrme parameter sets that were used in Ref. [32]. The calculated values of |M(E0)| are significantly smaller than experiment. The value of M(E0) obtained from the calculation in Table 2 is the maximum achievable with the current method. For example, for ⁵⁸Ni the wavefunctions are dominated by the two-neutron configurations outside of a ⁵⁶Ni closed core. The only possible two-neutron configurations for 2⁺ are $[(1p_{3/2})^2], [(0f_{5/2})^2], [1p_{1/2}, 0f_{5/2}],$

shown for the two Skyrme parameter sets Skx and s_3 [32], see text for details.										
	E_2	E_1	μ	Q	B(M1)	B(E2)	M(E0)		$\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3$	
	2^{+}_{2}	2^{+}_{1}	2_{1}^{+}	2^{+}_{1}	$2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	$2_2^+ \to 2_1^+$				
	MeV	MeV	μ_N	efm^2	μ_N^2	$e^2 fm^4$	fm	n^2		
Experiment:										
58 Ni	2.77	1.45	0.076(18)	-10(6)	0.014(4)	120^{+30}_{-40}	$10.3^{+1.1}_{-2.0}$		230^{+50}_{-80}	
60 Ni	2.16	1.33	0.32(6)	3(5)	$0.046\substack{+0.016\\-0.021}$	195_{-110}^{+70}	9^{+2}_{-4}		150^{+90}_{-110}	
⁶² Ni	2.30	1.17	0.33(5)	5(12)	$1.8^{+0.3}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$	200^{+40}_{-50}	$8.4^{+1.4}_{-2.5}$		140^{+50}_{-70}	
Theor	ry:						Skx	s3	Skx	s3
58 Ni	2.64	1.48	-0.14	-2.7	0.165	30.3	1.66	0.80	5.9	1.4
60 Ni	2.29	1.56	0.30	2.3	0.044	269	0.38	0.50	0.3	0.5
⁶² Ni	2.34	1.15	0.61	25.3	0.0039	151	0.53	1.06	0.6	2.2

Table 2: Experimental and calculated properties of the first and second 2^+ states in 58,60,62 Ni. The calculated M(E0) and $\rho^2(E0) \times 10^3$ values are shown for the two Skyrme parameter sets Skx and s3 [32], see text for details.

and $[1p_{3/2}, 0f_{5/2}]$. If only pure configurations are considered, all of the off-diagonal E0 matrix elements are zero. A non-zero matrix element comes from only mixed configurations (as in the example of the $1p_{1/2}$ and $0g_{9/2}$ orbitals in ⁹⁰Zr [32]).

We also consider the jj44 model space which allows for neutrons in the $(0f_{5/2}, 1p_{3/2}, 1p_{1/2}, 0g_{9/2})$ orbitals. With the jj44a interaction of Lisetskiy *et al.* [36], the occupancy of the $0g_{9/2}$ orbit is small for ^{58,60,62}Ni. These calculated neutron occupation numbers agree well with those determined in transfer reactions [37, 38]. The values of M(E0)using the jj44a and pf model spaces are similar and substantially smaller than experiment.

Reproducing the experimental values of M(E0), which are significantly larger than the present calculation may require second-order corrections involving the coupling of the valence nucleons to the one-particle one-hole giant quadrupole excitation of the nucleus. This effect is related to the increase in the mean-squared charge radii due to deformation or zero-point quadrupole oscillations [39], which involve the diagonal E0 matrix elements. Similar secondorder corrections should be considered for the off-diagonal matrix elements involved in the E0 decays. To get an estimate for the magnitude of the second-order correction, we consider the data for the difference in mean-squared radii for 56 Fe and 54 Fe [40] which, for the total matrix element is multiplied by the atomic number, is $Z\delta\langle r^2\rangle = 8.2(2)$ fm². Our EDF calculations give 2.6 fm^2 with Skx and 2.1 fm^2 with s_3 (similar to the EDF calculations shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. [40]). The difference between the experimental meansquared charge radii and the EDF calculations is similar in magnitude to the difference we observe for the E0 transition strengths. Quantitative calculations for second-order corrections to the isotopic change and E0 matrix elements remain to be carried out and could be rather substantive.

5. Conclusion

Gamma-ray and electron spectroscopy measurements performed at the Australian National University and the

University of Kentucky have been combined to measure the *E*0 transition strengths for the $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transitions of 58,60,62 Ni. The *E*0 strengths are found to be unexpectedly large. Reported in this work are the first measurements of E0 transition strengths between 2^+ states in nuclei with spherical ground states. The microscopic model of Brown et al. [32] has been applied here for the first time for transitions between 2^+ states and, although this model is successful in reproducing E0 transition strengths in $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ cases, it does not reproduce the new experimental results. The origin of the large $2^+ \rightarrow 2^+ \rho^2(E0)$ values in these isotopes should be the focus of future developments and refinement to theoretical models. Secondorder corrections to the microscopic model involving the coupling of the valence nucleons to the one-particle onehole giant quadrupole excitation of the nucleus are one development for future consideration.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the technical staff of the Heavy Ion Accelerator Facility at the Australian National University, and in particular Justin Heighway for preparing the nickel targets. A.B.G. is grateful for support from the Department of Nuclear Physics of the Australian National University. This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC); the National Science Foundation, Grants No. PHY-1404442 and PHY-1606890; and by the Australian Research Council Discovery Grants DP140102986 and FT100100991. TRIUMF receives funding via a contribution agreement through the National Research Council Canada.

References

References

 K. Heyde, J. L. Wood, Shape coexistence in atomic nuclei, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1467-1521. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83. 1467.
 URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1467

- [2] J. L. Wood, E. F. Zganjar, C. D. Coster, K. Heyde, Electric monopole transitions from low energy excitations in nuclei, Nuclear Physics A 651 (1999) 323 – 368. doi:https: //doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(99)00143-8.
- T. Kibédi, R. H. Spear, Electric monopole transitions between 0⁺ states for nuclei throughout the periodic table, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 89 (1) (2005) 77 - 100. doi:http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.adt.2004.11.002. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0092640X04000488
- [4] E. B. Shera, E. T. Ritter, R. B. Perkins, G. A. Rinker, L. K. Wagner, H. D. Wohlfahrt, G. Fricke, R. M. Steffen, Systematics of nuclear charge distributions in fe, co, ni, cu, and zn deduced from muonic x-ray measurements, Phys. Rev. C 14 (1976) 731-747. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.14.731. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.14.731
- [5] A. Steudel, U. Triebe, D. Wendlandt, Isotope shift in ni i and changes in mean-square nuclear charge radii of the stable ni isotopes, Zeitschrift für Physik A Atoms and Nuclei 296 (3) (1980) 189–193. doi:10.1007/BF01415832. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01415832
- [6] P. Raghavan, Table of nuclear moments, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 42 (2) (1989) 189 - 291. doi:http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(89)90008-9. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 0092640X89900089
- [7] A. Passoja, R. Julin, J. Kantele, M. Luontama, High-resolution study of E0 internal pair decay of excited 0⁺ states in ^{58,60,62}Ni, Nuclear Physics A 363 (2) (1981) 399 - 412. doi:http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(81)90265-7. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 0375947481902657
- [8] S. Suchyta, S. N. Liddick, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, M. B. Bennett, A. Chemey, M. Honma, N. Larson, C. J. Prokop, S. J. Quinn, N. Shimizu, A. Simon, A. Spyrou, V. Tripathi, Y. Utsuno, J. M. VonMoss, Shape coexistence in ⁶⁸Ni, Phys. Rev. C 89 (2014) 021301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.021301.
- URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.021301
 [9] S. Leoni, B. Fornal, N. Mărginean, M. Sferrazza, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, G. Bocchi, F. C. L. Crespi, A. Bracco, S. Aydin, M. Boromiza, D. Bucurescu, N. Cieplicka-Oryńczak, C. Costache, S. Călinescu, N. Florea, D. G. Ghiţă, T. Glodariu, A. Ionescu, L. Iskra, M. Krzysiek, R. Mărginean, C. Mihai, R. E. Mihai, A. Mitu, A. Negreţ, C. R. Niţă, A. Olăcel, A. Oprea, S. Pascu, P. Petkov, C. Petrone, G. Porzio, A. Şerban, C. Sotty, L. Stan, I. Ştiru, L. Stroe, R. Şuvăilă, S. Toma, A. Turturică, S. Ujeniuc, C. A. Ur, Multifaceted quadruplet of low-lying spin-zero states in ⁶⁶Ni: Emergence of shape isomerism in light nuclei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 162502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.162502.

URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118. 162502

[10] A. Chakraborty, J. N. Orce, S. F. Ashley, B. A. Brown, B. P. Crider, E. Elhami, M. T. McEllistrem, S. Mukhopadhyay, E. E. Peters, B. Singh, S. W. Yates, Status of vibrational structure in ⁶²Ni, Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 034316. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC. 83.034316.

URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.034316

- [11] T. Kibédi, G. D. Dracoulis, A. P. Byrne, Lens-mode operation of a superconducting electron spectrometer in (hi, xn) reactions, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 294 (3) (1990) 523 - 533. doi:http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(90)90294-G. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 016890029090294G
- [12] T. Kibédi, T. W. Burrows, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, P. M. Davidson, C. W. N. Jr., Evaluation of theoretical conversion coefficients using BrIcc, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 589 (2) (2008) 202 – 229.

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2008.02.051. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0168900208002520

- J. M. Allmond, B. A. Brown, A. E. Stuchbery, A. Galindo-Uribarri, E. Padilla-Rodal, D. C. Radford, J. C. Batchelder, M. E. Howard, J. F. Liang, B. Manning, R. L. Varner, C.-H. Yu, High-precision B(E2) measurements of semi-magic 58,60,62,64 Ni by Coulomb excitation, Phys. Rev. C 90 (2014) 034309. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.90.034309.
 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.90. 034309
- [14] O. Kenn, K.-H. Speidel, R. Ernst, J. Gerber, N. Benczer-Koller, G. Kumbartzki, P. Maier-Komor, F. Nowacki, Striking harmony between the nuclear shell model and new experimental g factors and B(E2) values of even Ni isotopes, Phys. Rev. C 63 (2000) 021302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.63.021302.
 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.63. 021302
- [15] C. D. Nesaraja, S. D. Geraedts, B. Singh, Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 58, Nuclear Data Sheets 111 (4) (2010) 897 - 1092. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.003. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0090375210000359
- [16] E. Browne, J. K. Tuli, Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 60, Nuclear Data Sheets 114 (12) (2013) 1849 2022. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2013.11.002. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375213000823
- [17] A. L. Nichols, B. Singh, J. K. Tuli, Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 62, Nuclear Data Sheets 113 (4) (2012) 973 1114. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2012.04.002. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0090375212000312
- [18] M. C. Bertin, N. Benczer-Koller, G. G. Seaman, J. R. MacDonald, Electromagnetic Transition Rates in ⁵⁸Ni, Phys. Rev. 183 (1969) 964-977. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.183.964. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.183.964
- [19] R. Klein, P. Grabmayr, Y. Kawazoe, G. J. Wagner, J. Friedrich, N. Voegler, Distribution of electric multipole strengths in ⁵⁸ni, Nuovo Cim. 76A (1983) 369.
- [20] Y. G. Kosyak, D. K. Kaipov, L. V. Chekushina, Excited States of the Nuclei 60,61,62,64 Ni in the Reaction (n,n' γ), Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR (1989) Ser.Fiz. 53, 2130.
- [21] R. N. Horoshko, P. F. Hinrichsen, L. W. Swenson, D. M. V. Patter, ${}^{58}\text{Ni}(p, p\gamma){}^{58}\text{Ni}$ angular correlation measurements, Nuclear Physics A 104 (1) (1967) 113 135. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(67)90760-9. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375947467907609
- [22] D. F. H. Start, R. Anderson, L. E. Carlson, A. G. Robertson, M. A. Grace, The spins and lifetimes of some low-lying states of ⁵⁸Ni, Nuclear Physics A 162 (1) (1971) 49 - 70. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(71)90485-4. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 0375947471904854
- [23] R. K. Mohindra, D. M. Van Patter, Study of the Level Structure of 60 Ni from $(p, p' \gamma)$ Angular Distributions, Phys. Rev. 139 (1965) B274–B281. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.139.B274. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.139.B274
- [24] S. M. Shafroth, G. T. Wood, Decay Scheme and Gamma-Gamma Angular Correlations in ⁶⁰Ni Following the Decay of 23-Min ⁶⁰Cu, Phys. Rev. 149 (1966) 827-835. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.149.827.

URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.149.827

- [25] D. M. V. Patter, E. J. Hoffman, T. Becker, P. A. Assimakopoulos, The E2-M1 mixing ratio for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition in 60,62 Ni, Nuclear Physics A 178 (2) (1972) 355 364. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(72)90464-2. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375947472904642
- [26] F. Kearns, L. P. Ekstrom, G. D. Jones, T. P. Morrison,

O. M. Mustaffa, H. G. Price, D. N. Simister, P. J. Twin, R. Wadsworth, N. J. Ward, Heavy-ion gamma-ray spectroscopy of ⁶⁰Ni, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear Physics 6 (9) (1980) 1131. URL http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4616/6/i=9/a=010

[27] D. A. Torres, F. Cristancho, L.-L. Andersson, E. K. Johansson, D. Rudolph, C. Fahlander, J. Ekman, R. du Rietz, C. Andreoiu, M. P. Carpenter, D. Seweryniak, S. Zhu, R. J. Charity, C. J. Chiara, C. Hoel, O. L. Pechenaya, W. Reviol, D. G. Sarantites, L. G. Sobotka, C. Baktash, C.-H. Yu, B. G. Carlsson, I. Ragnarsson, Deformations and magnetic rotations in the ⁶⁰Ni nucleus, Phys. Rev. C 78 (2008) 054318. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC. 78.054318.

URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.054318

- [28] M. Rajput, T. M. Mahon, Techniques for evaluating discrepant data, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 312 (1) (1992) 289 - 295. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/0168-9002(92)90171-Y. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 016890029290171Y
- [29] J. L. Casanova, M. L. Sanchez, J. Casanova, Medida del Coeficiente de Mezcla Multipolar de la Transicion $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ del ⁶²Ni, An.Fis 72 (18).
- [30] G. P., Bayesian Logical Data Analysis for the Physical Sciences, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [31] G. J. Feldman, R. D. Cousins, Unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3873-3889. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.3873. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.3873
- [32] B. A. Brown, A. B. Garnsworthy, T. Kibédi, A. E. Stuchbery, Microscopic method for E0 transition matrix elements, Phys. Rev. C 95 (2017) 011301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.011301. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.95.011301
- [33] B. A. Brown, W. D. M. Rae, E. McDonald, M. Horoi, Computer code NUSHELLX@MSU, http://www.nscl.msu. edu/brown/resources/resources.html (Apr. 2016).
- [34] M. Honma, T. Otsuka, B. A. Brown, T. Mizusaki, Shell-model description of neutron-rich *pf*-shell nuclei with a new effective interaction GXPF 1, The European Physical Journal A Hadrons and Nuclei 25 (1) (2005) 499–502. doi:10.1140/epjad/i2005-06-032-2.
- URL https://doi.org/10.1140/epjad/i2005-06-032-2
 [35] M. Honma, T. Otsuka, B. A. Brown, T. Mizusaki, New effective interaction for pf-shell nuclei and its implications for the stability of the N = Z = 28 closed core, Phys. Rev. C 69 (2004) 034335. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.69.034335.

URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.69. 034335

- [36] A. F. Lisetskiy, B. A. Brown, M. Horoi, H. Grawe, New T = 1 effective interactions for the $f_{5/2}p_{3/2}p_{1/2}g_{9/2}$ model space: Implications for valence-mirror symmetry and seniority isomers, Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 044314. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.70.044314. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.044314
- [37] J. P. Schiffer, C. R. Hoffman, B. P. Kay, J. A. Clark, C. M. Deibel, S. J. Freeman, A. M. Howard, A. J. Mitchell, P. D. Parker, D. K. Sharp, J. S. Thomas, Test of sum rules in nucleon transfer reactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 022501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.022501. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.022501
- [38] J. P. Schiffer, C. R. Hoffman, B. P. Kay, J. A. Clark, C. M. Deibel, S. J. Freeman, M. Honma, A. M. Howard, A. J. Mitchell, T. Otsuka, P. D. Parker, D. K. Sharp, J. S. Thomas, Valence nucleon populations in the ni isotopes, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 034306. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.034306. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.

034306

[39] R. A. Uher, R. A. Sorensen, Structure effects in the charge ra-

dius of spherical nuclei, Nuclear Physics 86 (1) (1966) 1 - 46. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(66)90288-4. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 0029558266902884

[40] K. Minamisono, D. M. Rossi, R. Beerwerth, S. Fritzsche, D. Garand, A. Klose, Y. Liu, B. Maaß, P. F. Mantica, A. J. Miller, P. Müller, W. Nazarewicz, W. Nörtershäuser, E. Olsen, M. R. Pearson, P.-G. Reinhard, E. E. Saperstein, C. Sumithrarachchi, S. V. Tolokonnikov, Charge radii of neutron deficient ^{52,53}Fe produced by projectile fragmentation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 252501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117. 252501.

URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117. 252501