

# Divergence-Optimal Fixed-to-Fixed Length Distribution Matching With Shell Mapping

Patrick Schulte, *Student Member*, Fabian Steiner, *Student Member*

**Abstract**—Distribution matching (DM) transforms independent and Bernoulli(1/2) distributed bits into a sequence of output symbols with a desired distribution. A fixed-to-fixed length, invertible DM architecture based on shell mapping is presented. It is shown that shell mapping for distribution matching (SMDM) is the optimum DM for the informational divergence metric and that finding energy optimal sequences is a special case of divergence minimization. Additionally, it is shown how to find the required shell mapping weight function to approximate arbitrary output distributions. SMDM is combined with probabilistic amplitude shaping (PAS) to operate close to the Shannon limit. SMDM exhibits excellent performance for short blocklengths as required by ultra-reliable low-latency (URLLC) applications. SMDM outperforms constant composition DM (CCDM) by 0.6 dB when used with 64-QAM at a spectral efficiency of 3 bits/channel use and a 5G low-density parity-check code with a short blocklength of 192 bits.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Higher-order modulation is a key enabler for high spectral efficiencies and various approaches have been considered in the past to close the shaping gap of discrete constellations with uniformly distributed points (e.g., with quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM)) [1]–[3]. Recently, probabilistic amplitude shaping (PAS) [4] was proposed that is based on a reverse concatenation architecture [5], placing the shaping operation before the forward error correction (FEC) encoding. Apart from achieving most of the shaping gain, it allows flexible rate adaptation with a single constellation and FEC code rate. To convert uniformly distributed input bits to non-uniformly distributed output symbols, PAS requires a distribution matcher (DM). In [6], the authors introduced constant composition distribution matching (CCDM) which is asymptotically optimal, in the sense of a vanishing normalized *informational divergence* [7, p. 7], for long output blocklengths.

For practical communication systems and new requirements such as ultra reliable low latency communication (URLLC), shorter output blocklengths in the range of 10 to 500 symbols are desirable to minimize the processing latency and limit error propagation. Research is therefore now dedicated to find improved DM architectures for short blocklengths, e.g., [8], [9]. Good performance for short blocklengths is also needed to operate several DMs in parallel to further reduce processing latencies.

In this letter, we introduce shell-mapping distribution matching (SMDM), a fixed-to-fixed (f2f) length DM architecture

for short output blocklengths based on shell mapping. Shell mapping was developed in the early 1990s [3], [10], [11] and was used in the V.34 modem standard to realize shaping gains with trellis coded modulation (TCM). We show that SMDM minimizes the informational divergence of f2f length DM if the self-information of the target distribution is used as the weight function for the shell mapping algorithm. Further, we show that the dyadic and Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distributions [1] lead to integer weight functions, which significantly simplify the implementation of shell mapping (SM). Finally, we explain how to integrate SMDM with PAS to operate close to the Shannon limit at small blocklengths. Numerical simulations with 64-QAM and low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes from the 5G enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB) standard [12] show a gain of 0.6 dB of SMDM over CCDM for a spectral efficiency (SE) of 3.0 bits/channel use (bpcu).

## II. PRELIMINARIES

### A. Notation

We denote random variables with uppercase letters, and their realizations with lowercase letters. Let  $A$  be a discrete random variable with probability mass function (pmf)  $P_A$  defined on the set  $\mathcal{A}$ . If an event  $A = a$  occurs with positive probability, then its *self-information* is

$$\iota(P_A(a)) = -\log_2(P_A(a)) \text{ bits.} \quad (1)$$

The *entropy* of a random variable  $A$  is the expectation of the self-information of  $A$ , i.e., we have

$$\mathbb{H}(P_A) = \mathbb{E}[\iota(P_A(A))] = \sum_{a \in \text{supp}(P_A)} -P_A(a) \log_2(P_A(a)), \quad (2)$$

where  $\text{supp}(P_A) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is the support of  $P_A$ , i.e., the subset of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with positive probability. The informational divergence of two distributions  $P_{\tilde{A}}$  and  $P_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}}\|P_A) = \sum_{a \in \text{supp}(P_{\tilde{A}})} P_{\tilde{A}}(a) \log_2 \frac{P_{\tilde{A}}(a)}{P_A(a)}. \quad (3)$$

The mutual information of two random variables  $A$  and  $B$  with joint pmf  $P_{AB}$  is

$$\mathbb{I}(A; B) = \mathbb{D}(P_{AB}\|P_A \times P_B), \quad (4)$$

with

$$(P_A \times P_B)(ab) = P_A(a) \cdot P_B(b). \quad (5)$$

We denote a length  $n$  vector of random variables as  $A^n = [A_1 A_2 \dots A_n]$  with realization  $a^n = [a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$ . For random vectors with independent and identically distributed (iid)

P. Schulte and F. Steiner are with the Institute for Communications Engineering, Technical University of Munich (TUM). E-Mails: {patrick.schulte, fabian.steiner}@tum.de

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research in the framework of an Alexander von Humboldt Professorship.

entries, we write

$$P_A^n(a^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_A(a_i). \quad (6)$$

### B. Fixed Length Distribution Matching

DMs have applications to capacity achieving communication [4] and stealth communication [13]. In both areas, the informational divergence between the output distribution of the DM and the target distribution plays a fundamental role. For energy efficient communication, suppose that  $P_A$  is the capacity-achieving input distribution of a channel with discrete inputs and capacity  $C$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}^n$  be the channel output for an input  $\tilde{A}^n$ . Then we have [14, Eq. (23)]

$$C - \frac{\mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}^n} \| P_A^n)}{n} \leq \frac{\mathbb{I}(\tilde{A}^n; \tilde{Y}^n)}{n} \leq C. \quad (7)$$

Hence, a small divergence guarantees a mutual information close to capacity.

A one-to-one f2f DM is an invertible function  $f$  that realizes a desired distribution  $P_A$  on the output symbols. It maps  $m$  uniformly distributed bits  $B^m$  to length  $n$  sequences  $\tilde{A}^n = f(B^m) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the output alphabet. The output distribution is defined on a block of  $n$  symbols and we denote it by  $P_{\tilde{A}^n}$ . We call the ratio of input to output lengths the matcher rate

$$R = \frac{m}{n}. \quad (8)$$

In this work, we consider one-to-one f2f distribution matchers. For vanishing informational divergence, we have (see [15])

$$R = \frac{m}{n} \leq \mathbb{H}(P_A). \quad (9)$$

We refer to the image of a DM as the codebook  $\mathcal{C}$  and its elements as codewords. As a uniformly distributed bit sequence of length  $m$  indexes the codewords in the codebook, every code word has probability  $1/|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{-m}$ . Consequently, for the informational divergence the explicit mapping from input to output is not important, only the codebook matters. We define the *letter distribution*  $P_{\tilde{A}}$  of a codebook as

$$P_{\tilde{A}}(a) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{\alpha^n \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{n_a(\alpha^n)}{n}, \quad (10)$$

where  $n_a(\alpha^n) = |\{i : \alpha_i = a\}|$  is the number of times symbol  $a$  appears in  $\alpha^n$ . The letter distribution corresponds to the probability of drawing a letter  $a$  from the whole codebook.

As each codeword  $a^n$  of a codebook  $\mathcal{C}$  is chosen with equal probability, we can write the unnormalized informational divergence as

$$\mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}^n} \| P_A^n) = -\log_2 |\mathcal{C}| + \sum_{a^n \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \iota(P_A^n(a^n)) \quad (11)$$

$$= -\log_2 |\mathcal{C}| + n\mathbb{H}(P_{\tilde{A}}) + n\mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}} \| P_A). \quad (12)$$

### C. Divergence-optimal codebooks

To operate close to channel capacity, (7) suggests to minimize  $\mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}^n} \| P_A^n)$ , where  $P_A$  is the optimal input distribution. The optimal codebook with fixed cardinality  $M$  is

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_M = \underset{\substack{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n \\ |\mathcal{C}|=M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}^n} \| P_A^n) = \underset{\substack{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n \\ |\mathcal{C}|=M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{a^n \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(P_A(a_i)), \quad (13)$$

where equality in (13) holds because we shifted the objective by  $\log_2 |\mathcal{C}|$  and scaled it by  $|\mathcal{C}|$ . Problem (13) is solved in [16] by selecting those  $M$  codewords with the least self-information. In order find the optimal codebook

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \underset{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{D}(P_{\tilde{A}^n} \| P_A^n) = \underset{M}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \underset{\substack{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n \\ |\mathcal{C}|=M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{D}(P_{\mathcal{C}} \| P_A^n) \right) \quad (14)$$

we need to search through the solutions  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_M$  of (13) for different codebook sizes around  $M \approx 2^{n\mathbb{H}(P_A)}$  [16] which is not difficult. We show next how to efficiently encode and decode to  $\mathcal{C}_M$ .

## III. SHELL MAPPING

SM maps unsigned integers to *shell sequences*  $a^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{f}_{\text{SM}} : \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{A}|^n - 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n. \quad (15)$$

We restrict the input to the integers  $\{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}$  and refer to the image of the shell mapper as the codebook

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{SM},M} = \hat{f}_{\text{SM}}(\{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}). \quad (16)$$

We assign a non-negative weight  $W(a)$  to each letter  $a$  in the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  using  $W : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . SM orders the sequences  $a^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$  according to the sequence weight  $\sum_{i=1}^n W(a_i)$ .

This ordering is in general not unique because two sequences may have the same weight, e.g., if they are permutations of each other. A SM creates one of these ordered lists. Hence  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{SM},M}$  solves the problem

$$\min_{\substack{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n \\ |\mathcal{C}|=M}} \sum_{a^n \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i=1}^n W(a_i), \quad (17)$$

i.e., SM finds the set  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $M$  sequences  $a^n$  of smallest weight  $\sum_{i=1}^n W(a_i)$ . There are many ways to implement SM, e.g., using the divide and conquer principle [17] or sequential encoding [3]. In the next section we show how to use (17) for solving (13).

## IV. SHELL MAPPING AS DISTRIBUTION MATCHER

### A. SMDM Interface

SM algorithms require as inputs the codebook cardinality  $M$ , the output length  $n$ , and the weight function  $W$ . We consider a binary input DM, so we choose  $M = 2^m$  where  $m$  is the input blocklength in bits. The input bits are interpreted as an unsigned integer in the range of  $\{0, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$ . The SM output corresponds to the output of a DM

$$f_{\text{SM},m} : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n. \quad (18)$$

## B. Divergence Optimal Weight Functions

**Proposition 1.** A minimum divergence f2f length DM with a target output probability  $P_A$  is a shell mapper with weight function

$$\hat{W}(a) = \iota(P_A(a)) \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* The SM algorithm solves problem (17). When we use the *self-information* as a weight function, we solve problem (13). With a search over the input length, we can find the best distribution matcher independent of the codebook size.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Dyadic distributions have the form

$$P_A(a) = 2^{-\ell_a} \quad (20)$$

where  $\ell_a$  is a positive integer for all  $a$ . The weight function (19) is

$$W(a) = \ell_a \quad a \in \text{supp}(P_A). \quad (21)$$

*Remark:* A non-negative, integer weight function is desirable for implementation. Weight functions constructed with (19) do not generally have integer  $\hat{W}(a)$ . In the following, we show which practically relevant distributions also yield non-negative integer valued weight functions with (19).

**Proposition 2.** Consider a finite support discrete distribution that can be expressed as

$$P_A(a) = \frac{e^{-v\Omega(a)}}{\sum_{\xi \in \text{supp}(P_A)} e^{-v\Omega(\xi)}}, \quad \forall a \in \text{supp}(P_A) \quad (22)$$

with  $v$  being positive and  $\Omega$  is any function

$$\Omega : \text{supp}(P_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (23)$$

Then  $\Omega$  is a non-negative integer weight function.

*Proof.* Inserting (22) into (19) we obtain

$$\hat{W}(a) = v\Omega(a) \log_2(e) + \log_2 \sum_{\xi \in \text{supp}(P_A)} e^{-v\Omega(\xi)}.$$

Any translation and positive scaling can be applied on the objective function without changing the codebook. We obtain the integer weight function

$$W(a) = \Omega(a) \quad a \in \text{supp}(P_A). \quad (24)$$

$\square$

**Example 2.** The half MB distribution is defined as

$$P_A(a) = \frac{e^{-va^2}}{\sum_{\xi \in \text{supp}(P_A)} e^{-v\xi^2}} \quad (25)$$

with  $\text{supp}(P_A) = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2\eta - 1\} = \mathcal{A}$  and positive  $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ . Comparing with (22) we identify the weight function

$$W(a) = a^2 \quad a \in \text{supp}(P_A) \quad (26)$$

which corresponds to the energy of a constellation point.

**Corollary 1.** We obtain sequences of least power by minimizing divergence to MB distributions.

This result has a special beauty. MB distributions are close to optimal for maximizing the single letter mutual information

on discrete signal points for the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel [4, Table 1]. If we minimize the informational divergence of our f2f length DM to a memoryless source with MB distribution, we find that sequences of least energy accomplish this goal. In [1], the authors show that minimizing the average energy subject to an entropy constraint induces MB distributed symbols.

The weight function (26) is independent of the parameter  $v$ . Consequently, according to (13) a shell mapper with this weight function implements a minimum divergence DM with fixed codebook size  $2^m$  for all half MB distributions and any rate adaptation does not require to change the weight function. A similar property can be observed for distribution families defined in (22) for a fixed function  $\Omega$  and varying  $v$ .

## C. Determining Letter Frequency $P_{\bar{A}}$

A soft-input soft-output decoder requires the letter distribution (10) in order to calculate the priors on the constellation symbols [4, Sec. VI-B]. The letter distribution depends on both the weight function and how to order sequences of equal weight. Fischer suggests in [18] an algorithm to calculate the letter distribution. The algorithm uses the *partial histogram*, i.e., the letter distribution for codebooks that consist of all sequences up to a certain weight. We denote the partial histogram for sequences  $a^n$  up to weight  $w$  (i.e.,  $W(a^n) \leq w$ ) by  $P_{\bar{A}}(\cdot, w)$ , where the first parameter is the symbol that we want to evaluate. We suggest to use  $P_{\bar{A}}(\cdot, w_{\max})$  and  $P_{\bar{A}}(\cdot, w_{\max} - 1)$  as approximations of the true frequencies, where  $w_{\max}$  is the maximum weight of sequences that the respective SMDM can generate, i.e.,

$$w_{\max} = W(f_{\text{SM},m}([1, 1, \dots, 1])). \quad (27)$$

Consider that the all 1 sequence is mapped to a sequence of highest weight. For long blocks we may use the target distribution as approximation at the receiver.

## V. CCDM AND SMDM COMPARISON

### A. Divergence

To compare CCDM and SMDM we consider the output alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  and rate  $R = 1.25$ . The distribution of the CCDM is an  $n$ -type approximation [4, Sec. IV] of a half MB distribution. The SMDM has rate 1.25 and uses the weight function defined in (26). The results are shown in Fig. 1. The approximations (dotted lines) use the partial histograms  $P_{\bar{A}}(\cdot, w_{\max} - 1)$  and  $P_{\bar{A}}(\cdot, w_{\max})$  as approximations for the letter distribution  $P_{\bar{A}}$  in (12). Using SMDM and a target divergence of 0.1 bit we save approximately a factor of 5.5 in blocklength as compared to CCDM, and at a target divergence of 0.01 we save a factor of 4.1.

### B. Rate Adaptation

Rate adaptation for SMDM is straightforward for distributions of the form (22). The number of bits that are interpreted as the index of the ordered list can be easily adapted, and therefore the rate can be easily adapted. The granularity of rate adaption is  $1/n$ , where  $n$  is the output length. This granularity is the best possible.




Fig. 1. CCDM and SMDM comparison based on the normalized informational divergence and different output blocklengths  $n$ . The target distribution is 4-ary MB and the rate is  $R = 1.25$  input bits per output symbol. The calculation of the exact output distribution  $P_{\hat{A}^n}$  is limited by an input length of  $m = 64$  bits on a standard 64-bit computer architecture.




Fig. 2. Finite length performance for uniform and shaped signaling using CCDM and SMDM. We target an SE of 3 bpcu with 5G LDPC codes of blocklength 192.

### C. Coded Results

We compare the performance of SMDM and CCDM for PAS in a coded scenario. We target an SE of 3 bpcu with a 64-QAM constellation. We employ LDPC codes from the recent 5G eMBB standard [12] with blocklength 192 bits, i.e., 32 complex channel uses. The uniform reference curve uses a rate  $R_c = 1/2$  code, whereas the shaped scenarios use a rate  $R_c = 3/4$  code. Both DMs approaches have a 4-ary output alphabet to generate the shaped amplitude sequences for the real and imaginary part. Note that a 64-QAM constellation can be constructed as the Cartesian product of two (bipolar) 8-amplitude shift keying (ASK) constellations, where the latter has four different amplitude values. For 32 complex channel uses with QAM symbols, we therefore need 64 amplitudes. The target distribution in both cases is the MB family. CCDM operates with an output blocklength of  $n = 64$  output symbols and its performance (blue curve) is similar to the uniform reference (green curve) in Fig. 2. The constant composition constraint of CCDM thus causes a significant rate loss [4, Sec. V-B] for small output blocklengths. In contrast, SMDM operates with an output blocklength of  $n = 32$  (i.e., two

SMDM are used in parallel) but gains 0.59 dB in power efficiency at a frame error rate of  $10^{-3}$ .

## VI. CONCLUSION

We introduced an informational divergence optimal f2f length DM approach based on SM, which shows superior performance compared to state of the art DMs for short blocklengths. We showed that the self-information of the target output distribution can be used as the weight function for the SM algorithm to synthesize arbitrary output distributions. Furthermore, we showed that energy efficient signaling is a special case of divergence minimization. We gave examples for distributions that result in non-negative, integer valued SM weight functions favorable for practical implementations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Gerhard Kramer and Georg Böhcherer for fruitful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. Kschischang and S. Pasupathy, "Optimal nonuniform signaling for Gaussian channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 913–929, 1993.
- [2] J. Forney, G. D., "Trellis shaping," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 281–300, 1992.
- [3] R. Laroia, N. Farvardin, and S. A. Tretter, "On optimal shaping of multidimensional constellations," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1044–1056, 1994.
- [4] G. Böhcherer, F. Steiner, and P. Schulte, "Bandwidth Efficient and Rate-Matched Low-Density Parity-Check Coded Modulation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 4651–4665, Dec. 2015.
- [5] W. G. Bliss, "Circuitry for performing error correction calculations on baseband encoded data to eliminate error propagation," *IBM Tech. Discl. Bull.*, vol. 23, pp. 4633–4634, 1981, iBM Tech. Discl. Bull. 23.
- [6] P. Schulte and G. Böhcherer, "Constant composition distribution matching," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 430–434, Jan 2016.
- [7] G. Kramer, "Topics in multi-user information theory," *Foundations and Trends® in Communications and Information Theory*, vol. 4, no. 45, pp. 265–444, 2008.
- [8] T. Fehenberger, D. S. Millar, T. Koike-Akino, K. Kojima, and K. Parsons, "Partition-based distribution matching," *arXiv preprint*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08445>
- [9] T. Yoshida, M. Karlsson, and E. Agrell, "Hierarchical Distribution Matching for Probabilistically Shaped Coded Modulation," *arXiv:1809.01653*, Sep. 2018.
- [10] F. R. Kschischang, "Shaping and coding gain criteria in signal constellation design," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Toronto, Canada, Department of Electrical Engineering, Jun. 1992.
- [11] A. K. Khandani and P. Kabal, "Shaping multidimensional signal spaces. I. optimum shaping, shell mapping," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1799–1808, 1993.
- [12] T. Richardson and S. Kudekar, "Design of Low-Density Parity Check Codes for 5G New Radio," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 28–34, Mar. 2018.
- [13] J. Hou and G. Kramer, "Effective secrecy: Reliability, confusion and stealth," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*. IEEE, Sep. 2014, pp. 601–605.
- [14] G. Böhcherer and R. Mathar, "Matching dyadic distributions to channels," in *Proc. Data Compression Conf.*, 2011, pp. 23–32.
- [15] G. Böhcherer and R. A. Amjad, "Informational divergence and entropy rate on rooted trees with probabilities," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Sep. 2014, pp. 176–180.
- [16] R. A. Amjad, "Algorithms for simulation of discrete memoryless sources," Master's thesis, Technical University of Munich, 2013.
- [17] R. F. H. Fischer, *Precoding and Signal Shaping for Digital Transmission*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002.
- [18] —, "Calculation of shell frequency distributions obtained with shell-mapping schemes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 1631–1639, 1999.