

# Algebraic dual polynomials for the equivalence of curl-curl problems

Marc Gerritsma, Varun Jain, Yi Zhang and Artur Palha

**Abstract** In this paper we will consider two curl-curl equation in two dimensions. One curl-curl problem for a scalar quantity  $F$  and one problem for a vector field  $\mathbf{E}$ . For Dirichlet boundary conditions  $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = \hat{\mathbf{E}}_+$  on  $\mathbf{E}$  and Neumann boundary conditions  $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl} F = \hat{\mathbf{E}}_+$ , we expect the solutions to satisfy  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{curl} F$ . When we use algebraic dual polynomial representations, these identities continue to hold at the discrete level. Equivalence will be proved and illustrated with a computational example.

## 1 Introduction

Numerical methods lead invariably to approximations, but a judicious choice of finite dimensional function spaces allows one to preserve, at the discrete level, identities that hold at the continuous level. In this paper we will focus on the finite dimensional representation of the curl operator; or, to put it more correctly, the *curl operators*. This is particularly clear in the two-dimensional setting where one curl operator maps scalar fields to vector fields and the other curl operator is its adjoint and therefore maps vector fields to scalar fields.

Faraday's and Ampère's law demonstrate the importance of the curl operator in electromagnetism. In fluid mechanics the curl operator appears in the relation between the stream function and the mass fluxes, and the definition of vorticity, [7].

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we define the curl operators  $\mathbf{curl} F = (\partial F / \partial y, -\partial F / \partial x)^\top$  for a scalar field  $F(x, y)$  and  $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E} = \partial E_y / \partial x - \partial E_x / \partial y$  for a vector field  $\mathbf{E} = (E_x, E_y)$ . We define the

---

Marc Gerritsma, Varun Jain and Yi Zhang  
Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, TU Delft, Kluyverweg 1, 2629 HS, Delft, e-mail: {M.I.Gerritsma,V.Jain,Y.Zhang-14}@tudelft.nl

Artur Palha  
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University Eindhoven, e-mail: A.PalhaDaSilvaClerigo@tudelft.nl

functions spaces

$$H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega) = \left\{ \mathbf{E} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2 \mid \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega) \right\} \text{ and,} \quad (1)$$

and

$$H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega) = \left\{ F \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \mathbf{curl} F \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

## 2 The equivalent curl-curl dual problems

In [5] the equivalence between several Dirichlet and Neumann problems is introduced. The main question we want to address in this paper is whether we can preserve these equivalences at the discrete level. In [6] this equivalence was already established at the discrete level for the scalar grad-div problem. In this paper we want to focus on the curl-curl equivalence problem: Given  $\hat{E}_{-1} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{div}; \partial\Omega)$ , [3], find the solution  $\mathbf{E} \in H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$  of the Dirichlet problem satisfying

$$\begin{cases} n \times \mathbf{E} = \hat{E}_{-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

and the associated Neumann problem given by: For  $\hat{E}_{-1} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{div}; \partial\Omega)$  find the solution  $F \in H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} n \times \mathbf{curl} F = \hat{E}_{-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl} F) + F = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

At the continuous level we know that these two problems are equivalent in the sense that  $\mathbf{E}$  solves (3) and  $F$  solves (4) if and only if  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{curl} F$ . In addition, we have that  $\|\mathbf{E}\|_{H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)} = \|F\|_{H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)} = \|\hat{E}_{-1}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{div}; \partial\Omega)}$ .

In this paper we want to present a spectral element formulation for both problems (3) and (4) such that the equivalence,  $\mathbf{E}^h = \mathbf{curl} F^h$ , continues to hold for the discrete solutions. Moreover, we want to show that we have  $\|\mathbf{E}^h\|_{H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)} = \|F^h\|_{H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)}$ .

## 3 Primal spectral element formulation

Consider the partitioning  $-1 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{N-1} < \xi_N = 1$  of the interval  $I = [-1, 1]$ , where  $\xi_i$  are the roots of the  $(1 - \xi^2)L'_N(\xi)$ , with  $L'_N(\xi)$  the derivative of the Legendre polynomial of degree  $N$ . With these nodes  $\xi_i$  we associate the Lagrange polynomials,  $h_i(\xi)$ , of degree  $N$  which satisfy  $h_i(\xi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Any polynomial  $p$  of degree  $N$  defined on  $I$  can be written as

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^N p_i h_i(\xi) . \quad (5)$$

Since the Lagrange polynomials are linearly independent,  $p(\xi) = 0$  iff all  $p_i = 0$ .

The derivative of (5) is given by

$$p'(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^N p_i \frac{dh_i}{d\xi}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i - p_{i-1}) e_i(\xi) , \quad (6)$$

where, [1],

$$e_i(\xi) = - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{dh_k}{d\xi}(\xi) .$$

Note that the  $dh_i/d\xi$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, N$ , do not form a basis, while the functions  $e_i(\xi)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  do form a basis. Therefore,  $p'(\xi) = 0$  iff  $p_i = p_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , which in turn means that  $p(\xi) = \text{const}$ , as required. Note that differentiation of the Lagrange expansion (5) amounts to a linear combination of the expansion coefficients,  $(p_i - p_{i-1})$  in (6) and a representation in a different basis,  $e_i(\xi)$  in (6). An important property of the edge polynomials,  $e_i(\xi)$ , is

$$\int_{\xi_{j-1}}^{\xi_j} e_i(\xi) d\xi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} . \quad (7)$$


In the two dimensional case we consider  $(\xi, \eta) \in \hat{\Omega} = [-1, 1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and the partitioning  $\xi_i$  in the  $\xi$ -direction as given in the one dimensional case and we choose the same partitioning in the  $\eta$ -direction, see Figure 1. Here  $\Omega$  is a contractible domain with Lipschitz continuous boundary. For the representation of  $F$  we use the tensor product of the nodal representation

$$F^h(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N F_{i,j} h_i(\xi) h_j(\eta) = \Psi^{(0)}(\xi, \eta) \mathbf{F} . \quad (8)$$

Here  $\Psi^{(0)}(\xi, \eta)$  is a row vector with the basis functions and  $\mathbf{F}$  is a column vector with the nodal degrees of freedom

$$\Psi^{(0)}(\xi, \eta) = \left[ h_0(\xi)h_0(\eta) \quad \dots \quad h_N(\xi)h_N(\eta) \right] , \quad \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{0,0} \\ F_{1,0} \\ \vdots \\ F_{N-1,N} \\ F_{N,N} \end{bmatrix} , \quad (9)$$

where  $F_{i,j} = F^h(\xi_i, \eta_j)$ . The curl of  $F^h$  is then given by



**Fig. 1** Layout of one spectral element: The degrees of freedom for  $F$  indicated by the blue points. The curl of  $F$  is represented on the mesh line segments by the arrows crossing the line segments in the mesh. The boundary condition  $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl} F = \hat{E}_{-i}$  is represented by the red outer line segments.

$$\mathbf{curl} F^h = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (F_{i,j} - F_{i,j-1}) h_i(\xi) e_j(\eta) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^N (-F_{i,j} + F_{i-1,j}) e_i(\xi) h_j(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) \mathbb{E}^{1,0} F. \quad (10)$$

In (10) we used (6) to represent the curl in a different basis. The basis  $\Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta)$  and the incidence matrix  $\mathbb{E}^{1,0}$  are given by

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} h_0(\xi) e_1(\eta) & \dots & h_N(\xi) e_N(\eta) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & e_1(\xi) h_0(\eta) & \dots & e_N(\xi) h_N(\eta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where this incidence matrix corresponds to the layout depicted in Figure 1, i.e.  $N = 3$ . Note that this incidence matrix only contains the entries  $-1$ ,  $0$  and  $1$  and that the matrix is extremely sparse. The important thing to note is that this incidence matrix remains unchanged if we map the standard element  $\hat{\Omega}$  to an arbitrary curved element. The basis functions  $\Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta)$  do change, but the incidence matrix remains invariant. This is another reason to decompose a derivative into a part that acts on the degrees of freedom and new basis functions, as was done in (6).

The mass matrix  $\mathbb{M}^{(0)}$  associated with the basis functions (9) is given by

$$\mathbb{M}^{(0)} = \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \Psi^{(0)\top} \Psi^{(0)} d\Omega. \quad (12)$$

Likewise, the mass matrix  $\mathbb{M}^{(1)}$  associated with the basis functions (11) is given by

$$\mathbb{M}^{(1)} = \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \Psi^{(1)\top} \Psi^{(1)} d\Omega. \quad (13)$$

## 4 Dual spectral element formulation

### 4.1 Duality in the interior of the domain

In the previous section we expanded the discrete solution in terms of basis functions  $h_i(\xi)h_j(\eta)$  for  $F^h$  and  $h_i(\xi)e_j(\eta) \otimes e_i(\xi)h_j(\eta)$  for the curl of  $F^h$ , respectively. With every linear vector space,  $\mathcal{V}$ , we can associate the space of linear functionals acting on that space  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{V}'$ , called the *algebraic dual space*. Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}'$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\alpha(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because we work in a Hilbert space, the Riesz representation theorem tells us that for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}'$  there exists a unique  $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$  such that

$$\alpha(u) = (v_\alpha, u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (14)$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the inner product in  $\mathcal{V}$ , [2, 4]. We first apply these ideas to the degrees of freedom (the expansion coefficients) which also form a linear vector space. Let  $F^h$  and  $G^h$  be expanded as in (8)

$$F^h(\xi, \eta) = \Psi^{(0)}(\xi, \eta)F \quad \text{and} \quad G^h(\xi, \eta) = \Psi^{(0)}(\xi, \eta)G.$$

Then we define *the dual degrees of freedom*  $\widetilde{F}$  analogous to (14) by, [6, 8]

$$\widetilde{F}^\top G := F^\top \mathbb{M}^{(0)} G, \quad \forall G \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the dual degrees of freedom are related to the primal degrees of freedom by  $\widetilde{F} = \mathbb{M}^{(0)} F$ . The canonical dual basis functions are then given by

$$\widetilde{\psi}^{(2)} := \Psi^{(0)} \mathbb{M}^{(0)-1}, \quad (16)$$

such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\psi}^{(2)\top} \Psi^{(0)} d\Omega = \mathbb{I}, \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^{(N+1)^2}$ . The relation (17) is analogous to the canonical basis  $e_i^* \in \mathcal{V}'$  with the property  $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , when  $e_i$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . If the basis functions change under a transformation, then the dual basis functions also change and the (17) continues to hold.

Let the vector field  $\mathbf{E}^h$  be expanded as in (10)

$$\mathbf{E}^h(\xi, \eta) = \Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta)\mathbf{E}.$$

The corresponding dual degrees of freedom are then given by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbb{M}^{(1)}\mathbf{E}$  and the associated dual basis is related to the primal basis by  $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) = \Psi^{(1)}(\xi, \eta)\mathbb{M}^{(1)-1}$ .



## 5 Discrete formulation of the curl-curl problem

### 5.1 The Neumann problem

The variational form of the Neumann problem (4) is given by: Find  $F^h \in H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$  such that

$$(\mathbf{curl} G^h, \mathbf{curl} F^h) + (G^h, F^h) = - \int_{\partial\Omega} G^h E_{\perp}^h d\Gamma \quad \forall G^h. \quad (21)$$

where  $F^h$  is expanded as in (8). Using (10) for  $\mathbf{curl} F^h$ , and (12) and (13) for the mass matrices, we can write the left hand side of (21) as,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{curl} G^h, \mathbf{curl} F^h) + (G^h, F^h) \\ = \mathbf{G}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{G}^T \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \mathbf{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The boundary conditions are prescribed on the right hand side with the help of duality pairing

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} G^h E_{\perp}^h d\Gamma = G^T \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^h. \quad (23)$$

Combining, (22) and (23) in (21), we have,

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} + \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \mathbf{F} = -\mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^h. \quad (24)$$

### 5.2 The Dirichlet problem

The variational formulation for the Dirichlet problem (3) is given by: Find  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h$  such that,

$$(\mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h, \mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h) + (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h, \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h) = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^h d\Gamma \quad \forall \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h. \quad (25)$$

Here,  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h$  is expanded in terms of dual polynomials

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{\Psi}^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (26)$$

Then the weak formulation (25) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h, \mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h) + (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h, \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^h) \\ = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \tilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^T \tilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{curl} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^h \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^h d\Gamma = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \tilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^h. \quad (28)$$

So the weak formulation (25) can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = -\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+, \quad (29)$$

### 5.3 The equivalence condition

In this part, we prove that the two discrete formulations (24) and (29) are related by the discrete relation  $\mathbf{E}^h = \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F}^h$ , which, in terms of the degrees of freedom is equivalent to  $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F}$ . If we substitute this in the left hand side of (29) we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} + \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F}. \quad (30)$$

Then we use (24) in the first term on the right hand side and use the fact that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} = \mathbb{I}$  for the second term on the right hand side to get,

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} (-\mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+ - \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \mathbf{F}) + \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F}. \quad (31)$$

A further simplification of the bracket terms and using,  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{M}^{(0)} = \mathbb{I}$ , we get,

$$\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = -\mathbb{E}^{1,0} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+, \quad (32)$$

which shows that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$  satisfies (29), as required.

### 5.4 Equality of norms

The degrees of freedom of the curl of  $\mathbf{E}^h$  are given by (20) and the associated basis functions in which these degrees are expanded are given by  $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(2)}$ , (16). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{E}^h\|_{H(\mathbf{curl})}^2 &= \left( \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+^T \mathbb{T} \right) \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \left( \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+ + \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \right) + \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^T \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \\ &\stackrel{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F}}{=} \left( \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+^T \mathbb{T} \right) \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \left( \mathbb{T}^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}_+ + \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} \right) + \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(1)} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} \\ &\stackrel{\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}^{(2)} \mathbb{M}^{(0)} = \mathbb{I}}{=} \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{M}^{(0)} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^T \mathbb{E}^{1,0T} \mathbb{M}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}^{1,0} \mathbf{F} \\ &= \|F^h\|_{H(\mathbf{curl})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which demonstrates that also in the finite dimensional setting the norms of  $\mathbf{E}^h$  and  $F^h$  are the same.

## 6 Test case

In this section we show the results for spectral element approximations of (3) and (4) for  $\Omega \in [-1, 1]^2$ , using one spectral element.

We choose an exact solution for scalar  $F$ , and the corresponding vector field  $\mathbf{E}$ , given by,


$$F_{ex} = e^x + e^y; \quad \mathbf{E}_{ex} = (e^y, -e^x). \quad (33)$$

The problem (4) is discretized using a primal representation where we prescribe the Neumann boundary condition,

$$\hat{E}_{\perp} = \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{curl} F_{ex}). \quad (34)$$

For the problem (3) we use a dual representation where we prescribe the Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$\hat{E}_{\perp} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_{ex}. \quad (35)$$



**Fig. 2** Difference in vector field  $\mathbf{E}^h$  and vector field  $(\mathbf{curl} F^h)$  for  $N = 3$ . *Left:*  $\xi$  - component. *Right:*  $\eta$  - component.

In Fig. 2 we show the difference between the  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  components of vector field  $\mathbf{E}^h$  and  $\mathbf{curl} F^h$ , for a low order spectral element approximation  $N = 3$ . The case  $N = 3$  corresponds to the grid shown in Figure 1. Here, we choose a very low order approximation to show that the equivalence of duality relation derived in Section 5.3 holds true even for low order approximations.

The difference observed in Fig. 2 is of the order  $O(10^{-16})$ ; the two discrete vector fields agree up to machine precision.

From Section 5.4 we know that in the continuous setting, the  $H(\mathbf{curl})$ -norm of vector field  $\mathbf{E}$  is equal to the  $H(\mathbf{curl})$ -norm of scalar field  $F$ . For this test case with exact solution given by (33) we have


$$\|F_{ex}\|_{H(\mathbf{curl})} = \sqrt{\|F_{ex}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{curl} F_{ex}\|_{L^2}^2} = \sqrt{8(\sinh(2) + \sinh^2(1))} = 6.32958656. \quad (36)$$

**Table 1** Norms  $\|\mathbf{E}^h\|_{H(\text{curl})}$  and  $\|F^h\|_{H(\text{curl})}$  as a function of the polynomial degree  $N$ .

$N$	$\ F^h\ _{H(\text{curl})}$	$\ \mathbf{E}^h\ _{H(\text{curl})}$
1	5.62334036	5.62334036
2	6.28815932	6.28815932
3	6.32851719	6.32851719
4	6.32957061	6.32957061
5	6.32958640	6.32958640
6	6.32958655	6.32958655
7	6.32958656	6.32958656
8	6.32958656	6.32958656
9	6.32958656	6.32958656

In Table 1 we show the calculated value of these discrete norms for increasing order of basis functions. We observe that the discrete norms are exactly equal to each other and they converge to the theoretical value, (36).

It is worth emphasizing, that the dual basis functions introduced in Section 4, enforce strong duality pairing that ensures the equivalence of solution, and thus also the equivalence of norms.

**Fig. 3** Convergence of  $\|F - F^h\|_{H(\text{curl})}$  and  $\|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^h\|_{H(\text{curl})}$  as a function of the polynomial order  $N$ .

In Fig. 3 we show the convergence of error of  $F$  in  $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$  norm, and the convergence of error of  $\mathbf{E}$  in  $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$  norm. Both the errors converge exponentially to machine precision level.

## 7 Conclusions

If  $\mathbf{g}$  is the metric tensor in an inner-product space  $\mathcal{V}$ , the dual degrees of freedom  $\alpha_i$  may be related to the expansion coefficients  $u^j$  by (14), i.e.  $\alpha_i = g_{ij}u^j$ . Commonly referred to as the *raising or lowering of the indices by the metric tensor*. In finite element methods a similar procedure is possible where the role of the metric tensor is played by mass matrices. The two curl-curl problems introduced in Section 2 are equivalent in the sense that  $F = \text{curl } \mathbf{E}$  and the norms of  $F$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  are the same. In this paper it is proved that this equivalence continues to hold in finite dimensional spaces, if one of the degrees of freedom is expressed in terms of primal unknowns,  $F^h$ , and the other in dual degrees of freedom,  $\mathbf{E}^h$ . Equivalence of the approximate solutions and their norms is shown in Section 5, while in Section 6 this was illustrated for a specific test case.

## References

1. Gerritsma, M.I.: Edge functions for spectral element methods. In Spectral and High Order Methods for Partial Differential Equations, Eds Jan S. Hesthaven and Einar M. Rønquist, **76**, 494–522, Springer, (2016)
2. Kreyszig, E.: Introductory functional analysis with applications. Second Edition John Wiley & Sons (1978)
3. Buffa, A., Ciarlet Jr, P.: On traces for functional spaces related to Maxwell’s equations Part I: An integration by parts formula in Lipschitz polyhedra. Math. Meth. Appl Sci., **24**, 9–30, (2001)
4. Oden, J.T., Demkowicz, L.: Applied Functional Analysis. Second Edition Chapman & Hall/CRC (2010)
5. Carstensen, C., Demkowicz, L., Gopalakrishnan, J.: Breaking spaces and form for the DPG method and applications including the Maxwell equations. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, **72**, 494–522, (2016)
6. Jain, V., Zhang, Y., Palha, A., Gerritsma, M.I.: Construction and application of algebraic dual polynomial representations for finite element methods. arXiv:1712.09472v1, submitted to Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics, (2018)
7. Palha, A., Gerritsma, M.I.: A mass, energy, enstrophy and vorticity conserving (MEEVC) mimetic spectral element discretization for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Journal of Computational Physics, **328**, 200–220, (2017)
8. Zhang, Y., Jain, V., Palha, A., Gerritsma, M.I.: The discrete Steklov-Poincaré operator using algebraic dual polynomials. Submitted to Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics, (2018)