

EXTENDABLE NEURAL MATRIX COMPLETION

Duc Minh Nguyen, Evaggelia Tsiligianni, Nikos Deligiannis

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
imec, Kapeldreef 75, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
{mdnguyen, etsiligi, ndeligia}@etrovub.be

ABSTRACT

Matrix completion is one of the key problems in signal processing and machine learning, with applications ranging from image processing and data gathering to classification and recommender systems. Recently, deep neural networks have been proposed as latent factor models for matrix completion and have achieved state-of-the-art performance. Nevertheless, a major problem with existing neural-network-based models is their limited capabilities to extend to samples unavailable at the training stage. In this paper, we propose a deep two-branch neural network model for matrix completion. The proposed model not only inherits the predictive power of neural networks, but is also capable of extending to partially observed samples outside the training set, without the need of retraining or fine-tuning. Experimental studies on popular movie rating datasets prove the effectiveness of our model compared to the state of the art, in terms of both accuracy and extendability.

Index Terms— matrix completion, deep learning, matrix factorization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recovering a matrix from partial observations is a problem of high interest in many signal processing and machine learning applications, where a matrix cannot be fully sampled or directly observed. Examples of signal processing tasks that employ matrix completion algorithms include image super resolution [1], image and video denoising [2], data gathering in wireless sensor networks [3], and more. In machine learning, matrix completion has been employed to tackle problems such as clustering [4], classification [5], and recommender systems [6, 7, 8, 9].

Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix with a limited number of observed entries M_{ij} , $(i, j) \in \Omega$, with Ω the set of indices corresponding to the observed entries. Then, recovering matrix M from the knowledge of the value of its entries in the set Ω is formulated as an optimization problem of the form:

$$R = \arg \min_{\bar{R}} \|P_{\Omega}(\bar{R} - M)\|_F, \quad (1)$$

with $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ denoting the complete matrix, P_{Ω} an operator that indexes the entries defined in Ω , and $\|\cdot\|_F$ the Frobenius norm.

Several studies have focused on the problem of recovering R from M . Under the assumption that R is a low-rank matrix, a convex optimization method that solves a nuclear norm minimization problem has been proposed in [10]. The major drawback of algorithms belonging to this category is their high computational cost, especially when the dimensions of the matrix increase. Low-rank factorization [11] has been proposed to address large-scale matrix completion problems. The unknown rank- r matrix is expressed as

the product of two much smaller matrices UV^T , with $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$, and $r \ll \min(n, m)$, so that the low-rank requirement is automatically fulfilled.

Several matrix completion algorithms have been proposed to address the problem of collaborative filtering for recommender systems [12, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In this application scenario, the matrix entries reflect users' preferences (ratings) for items. Considering low-rank factorization as a mapping of both users and items to a joint latent factor space of dimensionality r , the relation between users and items is modelled as an inner product in that space. The class of techniques that learn latent representations of users and items such that user-item interactions can be modelled as inner products in the latent space is referred to as matrix factorization [12].

Recently neural network models have achieved state-of-the-art performance [6, 7, 8, 9] in the problem of matrix completion. However, a major drawback of existing methods is that they cannot be extended to users unseen during training. Updating the model at the arrival of new users or items as in online matrix completion methods [13, 14] can be time consuming, and, thus, impractical in high-dimensional settings. Moreover, the employment of external information (implicit feedback) to predict interactions between new users and items—as in [9, 15]—may not be applicable in many use cases. Considering that recommender systems often have to process matrices of very high dimensions and deal with new users or items appearing every second, a matrix completion method that can be easily extended to unseen samples is of great significance.

In this work, we focus on the development of an algorithm that can recover the unknown entries of a partially observed matrix even if some samples have not been seen during training. Unlike previous studies [9, 15], we *only* employ explicit user feedback, that is, available matrix entries. Our model relies on matrix factorization principles, building upon a two-branch deep neural network architecture to learn efficient latent representations of row and column samples. We refer to our model as Neural Matrix Completion (NMC). Independent work [16] has recently proposed a model similar to ours, which, however, focuses on predicting the personalized ranking over a set of items in recommender systems and employs *both* explicit and implicit feedback. In contrast to [16], our model (i) focuses on the matrix completion problem with emphasis on the extendability; and (ii) employs convolutional summarization layers, enabling its application to very high-dimensional matrices.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews the related work. In Section 3, we present the proposed neural network model, show how the model can be extended to new samples, and discuss its application in high-dimensional matrices. Section 4 includes experimental results in popular datasets. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. RELATED WORK

Neural networks have been proven effective in several domains such as image classification [17], sequence modeling [18], and inverse problems in signal processing [19]. In matrix completion, existing work involves autoencoders, graph convolutional networks and deep learning neural networks. Autoencoder-based models [20, 21] learn transformations from original row or column vectors to a latent space and decoders to predict the missing values. Geometric matrix completion models employ graph convolutional networks to learn the feature vectors from column (or row) graphs [7] or bipartite user-item graphs [22]. The CF-NADE (Collaborative Filtering - Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator) method [6], on the other hand, learns directly the latent vectors from columns and rows. The Neural Collaborative Filtering (NCF) [9] and Collaborative Metric Learning (CML) [15] utilize implicit feedback, i.e. interactions between users and items such as like, follows, shares, rather than explicit feedback, e.g. ratings.

A dominant idea behind many matrix completion algorithms is matrix factorization [12]. Matrix factorization models are realizations of latent factor models. They rely on the assumption that there exists an unknown representation of users and items in a low-dimensional latent space such that user-item interactions can be modelled as inner products in that space. Fitting a factor model to the data is a common approach in collaborative filtering [23, 24]. Recently, neural networks have been employed to learn latent representations for matrix completion. The work presented in [8, 9] extends matrix factorization models by replacing the inner product with a non-linear function to model the interaction between row and column samples; however, these models cannot be extended to samples unseen during training (see Section 3.2 for further explanations).

3. EXTENDABLE MATRIX COMPLETION

The proposed NMC model is a matrix factorization model. The model learns vectors of the row and column samples using the available entries, and predicts the missing entries using the inner product of the learned latent vectors. The major challenge is, therefore, to compute the mapping of users and items into latent vectors. In our model, latent vector representations are obtained via a two-branch deep learning architecture. Our model is trained with explicit feedback and no additional information is used. Next, we present the model architecture that realizes this approach, and discuss its ability to extend to new samples.

3.1. Proposed Model

Consider a partially observed matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and let $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1, \dots, n$, be the i -th row vector and $Y_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 1, \dots, m$, the j -th column vector. The proposed model for the prediction of the value R_{ij} at the (i, j) matrix position takes as input a pair of vectors (X_i, Y_j) and outputs

$$R_{ij} = g(X_i, Y_j), \quad (2)$$

where R_{ij} is the predicted value at the (i, j) position, and g is a function reflecting the affinity between the i -th row and the j -th column of the matrix. A good model should follow the rule that similar row vectors and similar column vectors produce similar matrix values.

Following the matrix factorization strategy, we design a model that uses a mapping of row and column vectors into a latent r -dimensional factor space, such that the obtained representations can




Fig. 1. The proposed two-stream neural network architecture for matrix completion. X_i, Y_j are input vectors, corresponding to the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of the original matrix. The left and right branches of embedding layers consist of L_X and L_Y fully connected layers. U_i, V_j are the latent representations of X_i and Y_j , respectively, f is a function to convert (U_i, V_j) to the prediction R_{ij} .

provide predictions using the normalized inner product, i.e., the cosine similarity function. Denoting by $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^r, V_j \in \mathbb{R}^r$ the latent representations of X_i and Y_j , respectively, the value R_{ij} at the (i, j) matrix position is given by:

$$R_{ij} = f(U_i, V_j) = \frac{U_i^T V_j}{\|U_i\|_2 \|V_j\|_2}. \quad (3)$$

Denoting the two embedding functions by h_X and h_Y , the representations of X_i and Y_j in the latent space are $U_i = h_X(X_i)$ and $V_j = h_Y(Y_j)$.

In this work, we rely on the ability of deep neural networks to provide complex representations that can capture the relations between the underlying data. The proposed architecture is presented in Fig. 1. The two branches are designed to map row and column vectors into a shared latent space. The embedding functions h_X and h_Y are realized by a number of L_X and L_Y fully connected layers, respectively, each followed by a batch normalization layer [25] and a ReLU activation function [26]. To mitigate overfitting, we add a Dropout layer [27] after all but the last hidden layers. The use of batch normalization layers also helps control overfitting [25]. We learn h_X and h_Y by fitting the observed data. Our training set is created from the partially observed matrix M . Specifically, we create two sets of samples, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , with \mathcal{X} containing n row samples $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1, \dots, n$, and \mathcal{Y} containing m column samples $Y_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 1, \dots, m$. The inputs for our NMC model are taken from these two sample sets, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} .

Since the cosine similarity between two vectors lies in $[-1, 1]$, all entries $M_{ij} \in [\alpha, \beta]$ in the original matrix M are scaled into this range during training, according to

$$M_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij} - \mu}{\mu - \alpha}, \quad \forall i, j, \quad (4)$$

with $\mu = (\alpha + \beta) / 2$. After the prediction, a re-scaling step is required to bring the estimated matrix R to the same value range as M .

We employ the mean square error (MSE) as the loss function to




Fig. 2. Extendability in matrix completion. *Dark shaded area (I)*: rows and columns available during training. *Light shaded areas (II) and (III)*: entries corresponding to the interactions of unseen rows and seen columns and vice versa. *White area (IV)*: entries corresponding to the interactions of unseen rows and unseen columns.

train NMC,

$$L_{\text{MSE}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega_{\text{tr}}|} \sum_{ij \in \Omega_{\text{tr}}} (R_{ij} - M_{ij})^2, \quad (5)$$

where Ω_{tr} is the set of indices of entries available during training and $|\Omega_{\text{tr}}|$ is its cardinality.

3.2. Extending NMC to New Samples

A major problem of deep-neural-network-based models for matrix completion is related to their capability to be extended to samples unseen during training. An illustration of this problem is shown in Fig. 2. The dark shaded area (I) corresponds to a submatrix $M_{(I)}$ of M which is available during training. Only a small number of entries in $M_{(I)}$ are observed. Therefore, our model described in Section 3.1 can only be trained with input vectors $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{(I)}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_{(I)}$, and $Y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{(I)}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m_{(I)}$. The rows at the bottom and the columns to the right of M , which belong to light-shaded areas (II) and (III), are denoted as $M_{(II)}$ and $M_{(III)}$, respectively. They consist of new samples that are completely unseen during training. In recommender systems, these rows and columns represent new users and items. Thus, the light-shaded areas (II) and (III) represent the interactions between new users (or items), with existing items (or users), while the white area (IV) represents the interactions of new users with new items. It should be noted that, even though $M_{(II)}$, $M_{(III)}$ and $M_{(IV)}$ are completely unseen during training, in this setting, they are not *zero vectors*, but they contain partial observations. Similar to $M_{(I)}$, the partial observations of $M_{(II)}$, $M_{(III)}$ and $M_{(IV)}$ are used at testing, where the task is to predict all the missing values from these observed ones.

In existing deep-network-based methods, the models are trained and evaluated on the same area. While this procedure enables measuring the accuracy of the predicted matrix, it does not measure how well a method can be extended to new rows and columns. NMC can provide predictions not only for unknown entries belonging to area (I) but also for entries in areas (II), (III) and (IV). The most important feature of NMC compared to existing models is that the functions transforming the original row and column vectors into the latent space are learned separately for rows and columns, and the model architecture enables direct employment of the embedding functions for rows and columns unseen during training.

In recommender systems, predicting the unknown entries of a

row belonging to area (II) is equivalent to providing recommendations for existing items to a user unseen during training. In Fig. 2, the new user is represented by a new partially observed row vector, $X_{n_{(I)}+\ell}$, at the position $n_{(I)}+\ell$, where $\ell = 1, \dots, n_{(II)}$. The $(n_{(I)}+\ell)$ -th user can interact with any column vector Y_j , $j = 1, \dots, m_{(I)}$, according to (2), so as the model can fill in the missing entries at any position at the $(n_{(I)}+\ell)$ -th row. In a similar way, NMC can be extended to area (III).

Suppose now that we want to fill in an unknown entry at the $(n_{(I)}+\ell, m_{(I)}+k)$ position in area (IV), where $\ell = 1, \dots, n_{(II)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m_{(III)}$ (see Fig. 2). Suppose that a row vector corresponding to the $(n_{(I)}+\ell)$ -th new user is available and its dimension is $m_{(I)}+m_{(III)}$. Suppose, also, that a column vector corresponding to the $(m_{(I)}+k)$ -th new item is available and its dimension is $n_{(I)}+n_{(II)}$. NMC ignores any observations in area (IV) and takes the first $m_{(I)}$ elements of $X_{n_{(I)}+\ell}$ row corresponding to ratings for the existing $m_{(I)}$ items, and the first $n_{(I)}$ elements of $Y_{m_{(I)}+k}$ column corresponding to ratings of the existing $n_{(I)}$ users for the $(m_{(I)}+k)$ -th item to form the input vectors; then, the model given by (2) can fill in the $(n_{(I)}+\ell, m_{(I)}+k)$ empty entry in area (IV).

NMC employs a two-stream network architecture for matrix completion, similar to [8, 15, 9]. Nevertheless, the models [8, 15, 9] are tied to the users and items available during training, using one-hot vector representations for each user and each item corresponding to the indices of rows and columns in the matrix $M_{(I)}$. In other words, the embedding function is a mapping from row and column indices to the latent representations. Hence, these methods cannot be extended to new rows and columns whose indices are not available during training. Comparing our method with Autorec proposed in [20, 21], we incorporate both row and column vectors at the same time, while Autorec works with either rows or columns. This brings an advantage on the extendability of NMC compared to Autorec (NMC can extend in both dimensions while Autorec only in one). Other recent neural-network-based model [22], even though achieves top performance in many benchmarks, cannot be extended to samples outside area (I).

3.3. Scaling NCM to High Dimensional Matrices

Dimensionality is another major problem that has to be addressed by matrix completion methods; in many settings the dimensions of the matrix of interest can be extremely large. For example, the Netflix problem [29] deals with matrix dimensions of the order of several thousands. Directly applying the NMC model to extremely large matrices is not optimal, due to the high dimensionality and sparsity of the inputs.

We propose the use of one or multiple summarization layers to reduce the input dimensions before the embedding layers. Each summarization layer is composed of a 1D convolutional layer, with a pre-defined number of filters of adjustable kernel sizes, followed by a batch normalization layer [25] and a ReLU activation function [26]. By properly configuring the number of filters and kernel size, each summarization layer slides across the row and column vectors, and summarizes them into denser vectors of lower dimensions. We call this variant of NMC with summarization layers as NMC-S.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We evaluate the proposed NMC-S model with experiments employing real matrices of varying dimensions and sparsity levels and compare it with state-of-the-art methods. Next, we present results involving the following two movie rating datasets: one version of the

Table 1. Matrix completion results on the ML-1M dataset [28].

	Area (I)		Area (II)		Area (III)		Area (IV)	
	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE
U-CF-NADE-S [6]	0.855	0.671	-	-	-	-	-	-
I-CF-NADE-S [6]	0.839	0.651	-	-	-	-	-	-
U-Autorec [20]	0.906	0.722	0.976	0.781	-	-	-	-
I-Autorec [20]	0.841	0.662	-	-	0.856	0.670	-	-
Deep U-Autorec [21]	0.889	0.702	0.969	0.765	-	-	-	-
NMC-S	0.850	0.675	0.883	0.699	0.864	0.685	0.904	0.715

Table 2. Matrix completion results on the Netflix dataset [29].

	Area (I)		Area (II)		Area (III)		Area (IV)	
	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE
I-Autorec [20]	0.842	0.655	-	-	0.862	0.671	-	-
Deep U-Autorec [21]	0.848	0.662	0.879	0.689	-	-	-	-
NMC-S	0.856	0.676	0.861	0.680	0.873	0.688	0.877	0.692

MovieLens dataset [28] with one million available user ratings (ML-1M) and the Netflix dataset [29].

We run experiments on five random splits of each dataset. Each split involves partitioning the dataset into four parts, corresponding to areas (I) to (IV), as in Fig. 2. We randomly shuffle the rows and columns of the given matrix, so that two splits are always different. Area (I) is assigned 80% of the row and column samples. Following [20, 6], in each area we randomly mark 90% of the available entries as observed; the remaining 10% are reserved for evaluation. The training set is formed only by the observed entries in area (I). During evaluation, the model predicts the reserved test entries from the observed ones in all areas. The reserved test entries are used to calculate the prediction error.

For the evaluation of our model, we employ the root mean square error (RMSE) and the mean absolute error (MAE) defined as follows: $RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j \in \Omega_{eval}} (R_{ij} - M_{ij})^2 / |\Omega_{eval}|}$, $MAE = \sum_{i,j \in \Omega_{eval}} |R_{ij} - M_{ij}| / |\Omega_{eval}|$, where Ω_{eval} is the set of indices corresponding to entries available for evaluation and $|\Omega_{eval}|$ represents the cardinality of Ω_{eval} .

For the ML-1M dataset, in each branch of NMC-S model, we use two hidden layers with 2048 and 1024 hidden units, respectively. We employ a summarization layer of 32 filters in both branches, with kernel size 32 and 48 and stride 16 and 24, respectively. It is worth mentioning that since the matrix dimension of the ML-1M dataset is not too large, the summarization layers employed do not necessarily reduce the dimensions of the input vectors. Since the number of training samples in this dataset is small, we employ a dropout regularizer with ratio 0.6. On the Netflix dataset, the matrix dimensions become very high. The NMC-S model is constructed with two embedding layers in each branch, both with hidden size of 2048. The row branch has one summarization layer with filter size 128 and stride 64. The column branch has two summarization layers, with filter sizes 96 and 64 and strides 48 and 32, respectively.

We compare the proposed NMC-S model against state-of-the-art matrix completion methods, namely, Autorec [20], Deep Autorec [21] and CF-NADE [6]. We use the default parameters for the user-based autorec (U-Autorec), item-based autorec (I-Autorec) and CF-NADE models as in [20, 6]. It should be noted that the results shown here are slightly different than those reported in [6, 20], since we train the models on a subset of the given matrices [area (I)]. Never-

theless, the relative performance ranking is consistent with [6, 20].

Table 1 presents the results for the ML-1M dataset. In area (I), I-CF-NADE-S achieves the best performance, followed by I-Autorec and our NMC-S model. NMC-S outperforms U-Autorec and Deep U-Autorec in area (II). In area (III), I-Autorec has the best performance, yet followed closely by our NMC-S. It should be noted that, even though NMC-S has lower performance than I-CF-NADE-S and I-Autorec in area (I) and area (III), the performance difference is small while the overall accuracy gain in the other areas is significant. Furthermore, the proposed NMC-S model is the only one that can be extended to area (IV).

The results for the Netflix dataset are presented in Table 2. On this dataset, we do not include the two CF-NADE models because of their high associated complexity. As can be seen, I-Autorec performs the best in areas (I) and (III), among the three models. The large number of training data improves the performance of Deep U-Autorec, which in this dataset achieves better results in both areas (I) and (II). However, NMC-S is the only model that can be extended to all areas and delivers the best performance in areas (II) and (IV). It is worth mentioning that many techniques employed for Deep U-Autorec [21], such as dense re-feeding or heavy regularization, can also be used to boost the performance of NMC-S. Nevertheless, we leave this exploration for future work.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We presented a novel matrix completion method, namely, NMC, which relies on the principles of matrix factorization. Our model is realized by a two-branch neural network architecture that maps row and column data into a joint latent space such that the relations between row and column samples can be modelled as inner products in that space. Our method can be extended to data unseen during training, a feature that is of great significance in recommender systems where new users or items appear every second. Easily applied to high-dimensional matrices, the proposed model can be used to address well-known high-dimensional problems such as the Netflix problem. Experiments performed on real matrices of varying dimensions and sparsity levels have shown the effectiveness and robustness of our model with respect to the state of the art.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cao, M. Cai, and Y. Tan, "Image interpolation via low-rank matrix completion and recovery," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1261–1270, 2015.
- [2] H. Ji, C. Liu, Z. Shen, and Y. Xu, "Robust video denoising using low rank matrix completion," *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 1791–1798, 2010.
- [3] J. Cheng, Q. Ye, H. Jiang, D. Wang, and C. Wang, "STCDG: An efficient data gathering algorithm based on matrix completion for wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 850–861, 2013.
- [4] J. Yi, T. Yang, R. Jin, A. K. Jain, and M. Mahdavi, "Robust ensemble clustering by matrix completion," in *IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, 2012, pp. 1176–1181.
- [5] Y. Luo, T. Liu, D. Tao, and C. Xu, "Multiview matrix completion for multilabel image classification," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 2355–2368, 2015.
- [6] Y. Zheng, B. Tang, W. Ding, and H. Zhou, "A neural autoregressive approach to collaborative filtering," in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2016, pp. 764–773.
- [7] F. Monti, M. M. Bronstein, and X. Bresson, "Geometric matrix completion with recurrent multi-graph neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.06803*, 2017.
- [8] G. K. Dziugaite and D. M. Roy, "Neural network matrix factorization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06443*, 2015.
- [9] X. He, L. Liao, H. Zhang, L. Nie, X. Hu, and T.-S. Chua, "Neural collaborative filtering," in *International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW)*, 2017, pp. 173–182.
- [10] E. J. Candès and B. Recht, "Exact matrix completion via convex optimization," *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 6, p. 717, 2009.
- [11] I. Markovsky, *Low Rank Approximation: Algorithms, Implementation, Applications*. Springer, 2012.
- [12] Y. Koren, R. Bell, and C. Volinsky, "Matrix Factorization Techniques for Recommender Systems," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 30–37, 2009.
- [13] C. Jin, S. M. Kakade, and P. Netrapalli, "Provable efficient online matrix completion via non-convex stochastic gradient descent," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 2016, pp. 4520–4528.
- [14] S. Chouvardas, M. A. Abdullah, L. Claude, and M. Draief, "Robust online matrix completion on graphs," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2017, pp. 4019–4023.
- [15] C. K. Hsieh, L. Yang, Y. Cui, T.-Y. Lin, S. Belongie, and D. Estrin, "Collaborative metric learning," in *International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW)*, 2017, pp. 193–201.
- [16] H.-J. Xue, X. Dai, J. Zhang, S. Huang, and J. Chen, "Deep matrix factorization models for recommender systems," in *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, 2017, pp. 3203–3209.
- [17] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," in *International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
- [18] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, and Q. V. Le, "Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 2014, pp. 3104–3112.
- [19] D. M. Nguyen, E. Tsiligianis, and N. Deligiannis, "Deep learning sparse ternary projections for compressed sensing of images," in *IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing (GlobalSIP) [Available: arXiv:1708.08311]*, 2017.
- [20] S. Sedhain, A. K. Menon, S. Sanner, and L. Xie, "Autorec: Autoencoders meet collaborative filtering," in *International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW)*, 2015, pp. 111–112.
- [21] O. Kuchaiev and B. Ginsburg, "Training deep autoencoders for collaborative filtering," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.01715*, 2017.
- [22] R. v. d. Berg, T. N. Kipf, and M. Welling, "Graph convolutional matrix completion," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.02263*, 2017.
- [23] R. Salakhutdinov and A. Mnih, "Probabilistic matrix factorization," in *International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 2007, pp. 1257–1264.
- [24] H. Zhang, F. Shen, W. Liu, X. He, H. Luan, and T.-S. Chua, "Discrete collaborative filtering," in *International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 2016, pp. 325–334.
- [25] S. Ioffe and C. Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift," in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2015, pp. 448–456.
- [26] X. Glorot, A. Bordes, and Y. Bengio, "Deep sparse rectifier neural networks," in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS)*, 2011, pp. 315–323.
- [27] N. Srivastava, G. E. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 15, pp. 1929–1958, 2014.
- [28] F. M. Harper and J. A. Konstan, "The MovieLens datasets: History and context," *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 19:1–19:19, Dec. 2015.
- [29] J. Bennett and S. Lanning, "The Netflix prize," in *KDD Cup and Workshop in conjunction with KDD*, 2007.