

## Hydrogen Radio Recombination Line Emission from M51 and NGC628

MATTEO LUISI,<sup>1,2</sup> L. D. ANDERSON,<sup>1,2,3</sup> T. M. BANIA,<sup>4</sup> DANA S. BALSER,<sup>5</sup> TREY V. WENGER,<sup>5,6</sup> AND  
AMANDA A. KEPLEY<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, West Virginia University, Morgantown WV 26506, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Gravitational Waves and Cosmology, West Virginia University, Chestnut Ridge Research Building, Morgantown WV 26505, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Adjunct Astronomer at the Green Bank Observatory, P.O. Box 2, Green Bank WV 24944, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute for Astrophysical Research, Department of Astronomy, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Ave., Boston MA 02215, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville VA 22903-2475, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Astronomy Department, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA*

### ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of hydrogen radio recombination line (RRL) emission from two galaxies with star formation rates (SFRs) similar to that of the Milky Way: M51 and NGC 628. We use the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) to measure  $\sim 15$  Hn $\alpha$  recombination transitions simultaneously and average these data to improve our spectral signal-to-noise ratio. We show that our data can be used to estimate the total ionizing photon flux of these two sources, and we derive their SFRs within the GBT beam:  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 3.46 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for M51 and  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 0.56 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for NGC 628. Here, we demonstrate that it is possible to detect RRLs from normal galaxies that are not undergoing a starburst with current instrumentation and reasonable integration times ( $\sim 12$  hr for each source). We also show that we can characterize the overall star-forming properties of M51 and NGC 628, although the GBT beam cannot resolve individual H II region complexes. Our results suggest that future instruments, such as the Square Kilometre Array and the Next Generation Very Large Array, will be able to detect RRL emission from a multitude of Milky Way-like galaxies, making it possible to determine SFRs of normal galaxies unaffected by extinction and to measure global star formation properties in the local universe.

*Keywords:* galaxies: individual (M51, NGC 628, M100, M101, NGC 3184) — galaxies: ISM — radio lines: galaxies — radio lines: ISM

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Radio recombination lines (RRLs) are powerful tools for studying the physical properties of the warm ( $\sim 10^4$  K), ionized gas associated with high-mass star formation. Compared to optical and near-infrared emission lines, such as H $\alpha$ , RRLs have the advantage of being essentially free of extinction. Their disadvantage is reduced intensity, which restricts RRL detections to gas with higher emission measure than that traced by H $\alpha$ . RRL observations have been used extensively to study Galactic H II regions (e.g., Bania et al. 2010; Luisi et al. 2016), their surrounding photo-dissociation regions (e.g., Wyrowski et al. 2000; Roshi et al. 2014), and the diffuse ionized gas known as the Warm Ionized Medium that pervades the Galactic plane (e.g., Roshi & Anantharamaiah 2000; Liu et al. 2013; Luisi et al. 2017).

Most studies of star formation in external galaxies focus on emission in the optical and infrared. Many

young star-forming regions, however, are embedded in clouds of dust and molecular gas, with large optical extinction. RRL observations can detect emission from heavily obscured star-forming regions. These data provide information on the dynamical state of the ionized gas, and the present-day star formation rate (SFR; e.g., Kepley et al. 2011). Furthermore, by observing RRLs at different frequencies, it is possible to constrain the density and filling factor in extragalactic star-forming environments since higher-frequency transitions trace gas at higher densities (e.g., Zhao et al. 1997).

There have been numerous observations of RRL emission from nearby external galaxies using the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) Very Large Array (VLA; e.g., Seaquist et al. 1985; Anantharamaiah et al. 1993; Zhao et al. 1996; Roy et al. 2005), and the Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA; e.g., Kepley et al. 2011; Balser et al. 2017). These studies, however, were limited to the central regions of bright

**Table 1.** Observed Sources

Source	RA	Dec	$V_0$	Distance	Type	SFR
	(J2000)	(J2000)	( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )	(Mpc)		( $M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ )
M51 (NGC 5194)	13:29:52.7	47:11:42	461 <sup>[1]</sup>	8.58 <sup>[3]</sup>	SABb	3.4 <sup>[7]</sup>
NGC 628	1:36:41.8	15:47:00	658 <sup>[1]</sup>	10.19 <sup>[4]</sup>	SAC	0.68 <sup>[8]</sup>
M100 (NGC 4321)	12:22:54.9	15:49:20	1574 <sup>[1]</sup>	14.32 <sup>[5]</sup>	SABb	2.61 <sup>[8]</sup>
M101 (NGC 5457)	14:03:12.6	54:20:57	238 <sup>[2]</sup>	6.70 <sup>[5]</sup>	SABc	2.33 <sup>[8]</sup>
NGC 3184	10:18:16.9	41:25:28	583 <sup>[1]</sup>	11.7 <sup>[6]</sup>	SABc	0.66 <sup>[8]</sup>

References. — [1] Courtois et al. (2009); [2] Makarov & Karachentsev (2011); [3] McQuinn et al. (2016); [4] Jang & Lee (2014); [5] Freedman et al. (2001); [6] Jones et al. (2009); [7] Calzetti et al. (2005); [8] Kennicutt et al. (2011).

starburst galaxies. Unlike RRL emission originating from the Milky Way, RRL emission from nearby galaxies is faint, with line widths greater than  $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Detection of extragalactic RRLs therefore not only requires high sensitivity and stable bandpasses, but also instrumentation with sufficiently large bandwidths that sample the entire line width. These bandwidth requirements limited extragalactic RRL observations with the VLA to frequencies  $\lesssim 8 \text{ GHz}$ , although more recent JVLA observations do not share the same restrictions (Kepley et al. 2011).

Here we use the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) to observe galaxies in RRL emission at C-band (4–8 GHz). With a total collecting area similar to that of the VLA, the advantage of using the GBT for these observations is its better sensitivity for sources with extended emission. In addition, its recently upgraded C-band receiver and backend allow us to measure 22  $\text{H}\alpha$  transitions simultaneously. By averaging these transitions, we can increase our sensitivity considerably compared to previous RRL observations. The five galaxies in our sample are well-studied and exhibit ongoing star formation, but are not starburst galaxies. For example, the galaxy with the largest SFR in our sample, M51, has estimated SFRs ranging from  $2.56 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , derived from the 20 cm radio continuum (Schuster et al. 2007), to  $5.4 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , derived from  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission (Kennicutt et al. 2003), although a value of  $\sim 3.4 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$  is generally accepted (Calzetti et al. 2005). Despite the relatively large total SFR, its SFR surface density of  $0.015 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$  is about 10–100 times lower than some of the “weakest” starbursts (Calzetti et al. 2005). The overall star formation efficiency of M51 ( $\sim 1\%$ ) is similar to that of the Milky Way (Thronson & Greenhouse 1988).


## 2. OBSERVATIONS

We made pointed C-band total power spectral observations with the GBT using the same setup as in Anderson et al. (2018). We employed position switch-

ing with On- and Off-source integration times of 6 minutes per scan. The Off-source scans tracked the same azimuth and zenith angle path as the On-source scans, but were offset in RA such that they followed the same path on the sky. We tuned to 64 different frequencies at two polarizations within the 4–8 GHz receiver bandpass, using 23 MHz sub-bands of 8192 channels each. Of these 64 tunings, 22 are  $\text{H}\alpha$  transitions from  $n = 95$  to  $n = 117$ , 25 are  $\text{H}\text{n}\beta$  lines, 8 are  $\text{H}\text{n}\gamma$  lines, and 9 are molecular lines. We only use the  $\text{H}\alpha$  transitions for further analysis since the selected galaxies are too faint to be detected in higher-order transitions or molecular lines given our GBT configuration and integration times.

As in our previous studies (e.g., Luisi et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2018), we calibrated the intensity scale of our spectra using noise diodes fired during data acquisition. By observing the primary flux calibrator 3C286 we confirmed that this calibrates the data to within 10%. In addition, we periodically observed the Galactic H II regions W3 and W43 as test sources to verify the RRL intensity calibration scale and found agreement at the 10% level with the results of Balser et al. (2011). The GBT C-band gain to convert from antenna temperature to flux density is  $2 \text{ K Jy}^{-1}$  at these frequencies (Ghigo et al. 2001).

Our sample included five face-on galaxies: M51, NGC 628, M100, M101, and NGC 3184. We pointed the telescope toward the center of each galaxy to ensure that a large fraction of the galaxy’s ionized gas is located within the GBT beam (see Figure 1, left column). Due to the large average GBT half power beam width (HPBW) of  $141''$ , we cannot resolve individual star-forming complexes. In addition, the HPBW varies from  $98''$  to  $183''$  across our frequency range, and we therefore sample slightly different portions of the galaxy for each transition. Our total integration times,  $t_{\text{intg}}$ , for each source range from 3.6 to 12.8 hours. In Table 1 we list the sources, the coordinates of the observed directions,



**Figure 1.** Left column: Observed galaxies. The circles are centered on the observed directions and their sizes are that of the average GBT beam (white circle with black border;  $141''$ ), the GBT beam at the highest observed frequency (7550 MHz; light blue circle;  $98''$ ), and the GBT beam at the lowest observed frequency (4050 MHz; red circle;  $183''$ ). The background images were taken from the 645 nm STScI Digitized Sky Survey and the scale bars are derived from the distances given in Table 1. Right column: RRL spectra of the observed galaxies, smoothed to a spectral resolution of  $4.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Plotted is the GBT antenna temperature as a function of heliocentric velocity. The recession velocities of the galaxies are given by the solid vertical lines. We detect hydrogen emission above  $S/N = 5$  for M51 and NGC 628, which we approximate with the Gaussian model fits shown in red. The centers of the Gaussian peaks are indicated by the dashed vertical lines; we show the corresponding velocities at the top of the figure. For comparison, we show HERACLES CO data integrated over the GBT beam as the shaded gray regions. Shown with the dotted lines are integrated HI data from the THINGS survey (M51, M101, NGC 628, and NGC 3184) and the VIVA survey (M100).

the mean Heliocentric recession velocities of the galaxies based on HI measurements ( $V_0$ ), their distances, their Hubble types, and their reported SFRs.

We use TMBIDL<sup>1</sup> to reduce and analyze our RRL data (see Bania et al. 2014). For each observed direction, we discard spectra affected by radio frequency in-

terference (RFI). Out of the 22  $\text{Hn}\alpha$  lines, three are consistently affected by RFI and we never use them for further analysis (namely the  $\text{H}114\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}115\alpha$ , and  $\text{H}117\alpha$  transitions). Six lines are sometimes affected by RFI ( $\text{H}95\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}96\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}97\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}98\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}104\alpha$ , and  $\text{H}116\alpha$ ), and the remaining 13 lines are almost never affected. We re-grid the  $\sim 15$   $\text{Hn}\alpha$  lines unaffected by RFI to the velocity resolution of the  $\text{H}95\alpha$  data and shift the spec-

<sup>1</sup> V8.0, see <https://github.com/tvwenger/tmbidl.git>.









Figure 1 (cont.).



tra so that they are aligned in velocity (Balsler 2006). For M100, several interpolated spectra were affected by baseline instabilities, reducing the number of good H $\alpha$  lines to  $\sim 10$ . We then average the spectra using a weighting factor of  $t_{\text{intg}} T_{\text{sys}}^{-2}$  where  $t_{\text{intg}}$  is the integration time and  $T_{\text{sys}}$  is the system temperature. After removing a fourth-order baseline from the averaged spectrum, we smooth the spectrum to a velocity resolution of  $4.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

We define the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the detected hydrogen lines using the method described by Lenz & Ayres (1992),

$$\text{S/N} = 0.7 \left( \frac{T_{\text{L}}}{\text{rms}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta V}{\lambda} \right)^{0.5}, \quad (1)$$

where  $T_{\text{L}}$  is the peak line intensity, rms is the root-mean-squared spectral noise,  $\Delta V$  is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) line width, and  $\lambda = 4.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is the FWHM of the Gaussian smoothing kernel.

We fit Gaussian models to all averaged hydrogen RRLs with a S/N of at least 5, from which we derive the peak line intensities, their FWHM values, and heliocentric velocities. We summarize our results in Table 2, which lists the source, the line intensity, the FWHM line width, the velocity, the rms noise in the spectrum, the S/N, and the total integration time for each direction, including the corresponding  $1\sigma$  uncertainties of the Gaussian fits.

### 3. RESULTS

Our observational setup allows us to detect, for the first time, hydrogen RRL emission from external galaxies with SFRs comparable to that of the Milky Way. We detect RRL emission from two of the five observed sources: the grand design spirals M51 and NGC 628.

M51 (NGC 5194) is a mostly face-on ( $i = 33^\circ$ ) SABb galaxy, with a mass of  $1.6 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  (Holmberg 1965), located at a distance of  $8.58 \pm 0.14 \text{ Mpc}$  (McQuinn et al. 2016). Bell & Seaquist (1978) observed M51 at 6 GHz using the Algonquin Radio Observatory, but failed to detect the H102 $\alpha$  line, citing an upper limit of 8.2 mJy. More recently, Aladro et al. (2015) performed a 3-mm survey of nearby galaxies but did not detect RRL emission from M51.

We show our RRL spectrum for M51 in Figure 1. We find that the detected emission is well-approximated by two Gaussian models of similar height centered at  $396 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $479 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , respectively. We also show for comparison CO spectral line data from the ‘HERA CO-Line Extragalactic Survey’ (HERACLES; see Leroy et al. 2009), integrated over the size of the average GBT beam. There is good morphological agree-

ment between the RRL spectrum of M51 and the HERACLES data, suggesting that we recover RRL emission from the same regions traced by CO. The morphology of the RRL spectrum is also comparable to neutral hydrogen emission data from ‘The HI Nearby Galaxy Survey’ (THINGS; Walter et al. 2008, see Figure 1), integrated over the GBT beam.

We use the method described by Heiles (1992) to derive the ionization rate of M51 using our RRL data. We estimate the total recombination rate of M51 assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium,

$$\frac{\dot{N}_{\text{H}}}{\int T_{\text{b}} d\nu} \approx 8.1 \times 10^7 T_3^{0.8}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\dot{N}_{\text{H}}$  is the total recombination rate per  $\text{cm}^2$ ,  $\int T_{\text{b}} d\nu$  is the frequency-integrated brightness temperature in  $\text{kHz K}$ , and  $T_3$  is the temperature of the ionized gas in  $10^3 \text{ K}$ . We assume here that the RRL emitting region is extended evenly across the GBT beam, in which case the observed antenna temperature,  $T_{\text{A}}$ , can be set equal to  $\eta_{\text{MB}} T_{\text{b}}$ , where  $\eta_{\text{MB}}$  is the main beam efficiency of the GBT. Here,  $\eta_{\text{MB}} \approx 0.94$ , assuming an aperture efficiency of 0.7 at 6 GHz (Maddalena 2010, 2012).

Using our average GBT HPBW of  $141''$ , assuming a distance of 8.58 Mpc to M51, and setting the recombination rate equal to the ionizing photon flux per second,  $N_{\text{Lyc}}$ , we find

$$N_{\text{Lyc}} \approx 3.9 \times 10^{52} \text{ s}^{-1} \times T_3^{0.8}. \quad (3)$$

Here we make the assumption that both dust attenuation and escape of photons into the intergalactic medium are negligible. We assume an electron temperature of 7000 K typical of star-forming regions at small galactocentric radii (e.g., Balsler et al. 2011) and find an ionizing flux,  $N_{\text{Lyc}} \approx 1.87 \times 10^{53} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , for M51. We estimate the SFR using

$$N_{\text{Lyc}} = 5.4 \times 10^{52} \text{ s}^{-1} \times \Psi_{\text{OB}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  is the SFR averaged over the lifetime of OB stars in  $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Anantharamaiah et al. 2000). We find  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 3.46 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for M51 within the GBT beam which agrees with the value of  $3.4 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  reported by Calzetti et al. (2005).

The largest uncertainty contributions to  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  are the assumed value of  $T_3$  and residual baseline frequency structure, which can have a significant effect on  $\int T_{\text{b}} d\nu$ . Based on the magnitude of observed variations of the baseline, we estimate that these contributions may change  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  by up to 30%.

The polynomial order of the subtracted baseline only has a small effect on the uncertainty in  $\int T_{\text{b}} d\nu$ . While

**Table 2.** Hydrogen RRL Parameters

Source	$T_L$	$\sigma T_L$	$\Delta V$	$\sigma \Delta V$	$V$	$\sigma V$	rms	S/N	$t_{\text{intg}}$
	(mK)	(mK)	(km s $^{-1}$ )	(km s $^{-1}$ )	(km s $^{-1}$ )	(km s $^{-1}$ )	(mK)		(min)
M51	0.589	0.008	38.7	0.7	395.4	0.3	0.114	11.2	684
	0.491	0.005	95.0	1.6	479.4	0.6	0.114	14.6	
NGC 628	0.313	0.006	48.7	1.3	661.7	0.5	0.112	6.8	768
M100	...	...	...	...	...	...	0.234	...	216
M101	...	...	...	...	...	...	0.208	...	228
NGC 3184	...	...	...	...	...	...	0.229	...	216

**Table 3.** Derived Parameters

Source	$\int T_A dV$	$N_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$	$\Psi_{\text{OB}}$
	(mK km s $^{-1}$ )	( $10^{52}$ s $^{-1}$ )	( $M_{\odot}$ yr $^{-1}$ )
M51	69.8	18.7	3.46
NGC 628	15.2	3.0	0.56
M100	< 39.6	< 29.6	< 5.47
M101	< 30.3	< 4.9	< 0.92
NGC 3184	< 27.5	< 13.7	< 2.54

NOTE—We assume a  $1\sigma$  uncertainty of  $\pm 30\%$  for all derived parameters.

low-order polynomial baselines may not adequately fit the line-free portion of the receiver bandpass, higher-order baselines may introduce artificial structures that can affect the measured peak line intensities and FWHM values. To quantify this effect, we recalculate the integrated line intensity for M51 after removing polynomial baselines of order one to six. We find an average root-mean-squared deviation in  $\int T_b d\nu$  of 4% between the six baseline models, indicating that the baseline removal does not have a major effect on our derived parameters. We include this contribution in our uncertainty estimate of  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$ .

We note that if our assumption of extended emission across the GBT beam is inaccurate, the calculated  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  becomes a lower limit, since in that case  $T_b \geq T_A/\eta_{\text{MB}}$ . In addition, the beam only covers the central  $\sim 3$  kpc of the galaxy, and therefore our value of  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  underestimates the total SFR of M51. We summarize our results in Table 3, which lists the source, the integrated line intensity,  $\int T_A dV$ , the ionizing flux,  $N_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ , and the SFR averaged over the lifetime of OB stars,  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$ .

NGC 628 is almost completely face-on ( $i \lesssim 10^\circ$ ) and similar in morphology to M51, albeit with an SFR of only  $0.68 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , derived from the combination of H $\alpha$  and  $24 \mu\text{m}$  data (Kennicutt et al. 2011). We detect RRL

emission from NGC 628, centered at  $662 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (see Figure 1). As for M51, there is good morphological agreement between our RRL spectrum, the CO data from the HERACLES survey and the H I data from the THINGS survey. Assuming a distance of 10.19 Mpc (Jang & Lee 2014), we estimate the ionizing photon flux of NGC 628 using Eq. 2 and find  $N_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \approx 3.0 \times 10^{52} \text{ s}^{-1}$  within the GBT beam. This corresponds to  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 0.56 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Eq. 4). We again calculate the effect that the polynomial order of the subtracted baseline has on the uncertainty in  $\int T_b d\nu$ . We find a deviation of 10% between the baseline models of order one to six and estimate a total uncertainty contribution of  $\pm 30\%$  for  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$ .

A more comprehensive method to estimate the electron density and ionizing photon flux from RRL observations has been proposed by Anantharamaiah et al. (1993). This method models a collection of individual H II regions, each characterized by an electron temperature, electron density, linear size, and turbulent velocity. Unfortunately, we cannot use this method, since precise knowledge of the line-to-continuum ratio is required. While we are able to roughly constrain the continuum temperature,  $T_C$ , for two galaxies (M51 and M101) from our data, the uncertainties in  $T_C$  are large ( $\gg 20\%$ ) for these low-intensity sources.

We do not detect hydrogen RRL emission from the other three galaxies in our sample (M100, M101, and NGC 3184; see Figure 1). We also show for comparison HERACLES CO spectral line data and THINGS H I data for these sources. Since M100 was not included in the THINGS survey, we compare this source with H I data from the ‘VLA Imaging of Virgo in Atomic gas’ (VIVA) survey instead (Chung et al. 2009).

We estimate upper limits for the ionizing photon flux and the SFR for each source undetected in RRL emission. We fit a Gaussian profile to the HERACLES CO data integrated over the GBT beam and calculate  $T_L$  expected for RRL emission with S/N = 5 based on the FWHM of the CO line and our spectral rms (see Eq. 1). We then use this value to find upper limits on

$\int T_A dV$ ,  $N_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ , and  $\Psi_{\text{OB}}$  (see Table 3). It is surprising that we do not detect RRL emission from M101 given its relatively large SFR of  $2.33 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Kennicutt et al. 2011). Since the GBT beam only covers the central 2.3 kpc of the galaxy, we speculate that we are missing a significant amount of star formation at larger galactocentric radii (see, e.g., Grammer & Humphreys 2014).

With the increased sensitivity of future radio observatories it will become possible to study the ionized gas in a large number of external galaxies, determine extragalactic SFRs unaffected by extinction, and measure global star formation properties in the local universe. The Square Kilometre Array (SKA), the Next Generation Very Large Array (ngVLA), and the Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical Radio Telescope (FAST) will be able to detect RRL emission from a multitude of Milky Way-like galaxies. With a total collecting area of  $\sim 10^6 \text{ m}^2$  and a spectral bandwidth of 300 MHz at L-band, the SKA will be able to observe  $\sim 10$  Hn $\alpha$  lines simultaneously. The ngVLA will have an effective collecting area of  $\sim 4 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2$  and an instantaneous bandpass of 8.7 GHz at C- and X-band, covering  $\sim 40$  Hn $\alpha$  lines. Its spectral rms at this frequency is  $81.7 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$  before averaging the lines, assuming a  $1''$  spatial resolution, an integration time of 1 hr and a  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  channel width (Selina & Murphy 2017). With a collecting area of  $\sim 7 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2$ , FAST will have an L-band sensitivity of  $\sim 18 \text{ K Jy}^{-1}$  and system temperatures of  $\sim 20 \text{ K}$  (Li & Pan 2016). These instruments should be able to detect RRL emission from high-mass star-forming regions within external galaxies up to distances of several tens of Mpc. We show that even if individual H II region complexes within these galaxies remain unresolved, their overall star-forming properties can be characterized.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

We used the GBT to search for  $\sim 6$  GHz hydrogen recombination line emission from five external face-on galaxies. We detected hydrogen RRL emission from two of the sources, M51 and NGC 628. M51 is similar to the Milky Way in both SFR and star formation efficiency (Calzetti et al. 2005; Thronson & Greenhouse

1988). We estimate the ionizing photon flux from our spectral line data and find  $N_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \approx 1.87 \times 10^{53} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , assuming an electron temperature of 7000 K. This corresponds to an SFR of  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 3.46 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , which is comparable to the value of  $3.4 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  reported by Calzetti et al. (2005). For NGC 628, we find  $N_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \approx 3.0 \times 10^{52} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $\Psi_{\text{OB}} = 0.56 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

Our study shows that it is possible to detect RRLs from normal galaxies that are not undergoing a starburst with current instrumentation and in reasonable integration times. We highlight the importance of simultaneously observing multiple RRL transitions that can be averaged together to increase the overall sensitivity. We also show that the ionizing fluxes and SFRs of external galaxies can be estimated from the GBT RRL emission data alone, although the high uncertainty in the measured continuum temperature and the large beam size make it necessary to use simplistic models such as the one reported by Heiles (1992). The good agreement between our results and the literature, however, suggests that the Heiles (1992) model gives reasonable estimates of the ionizing photon flux and SFR for these sources.

We thank the anonymous referee for insightful comments that improved the clarity of this manuscript. We thank West Virginia University for its financial support of GBT operations, which enabled the observations for this project. This work made use of THINGS, ‘The HI Nearby Galaxy Survey’ (Walter et al. 2008) and HERACLES, ‘The HERA CO-Line Extragalactic Survey’ (Leroy et al. 2009). The Digitized Sky Survey was produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under U.S. Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with the permission of these institutions.

*Facility:* Green Bank Telescope. *Software:* TMBIDL (Bania et al. 2014).

#### REFERENCES

- Aladro, R., Martín, S., Riquelme, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A101, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201424918](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424918)
- Anantharamaiah, K. R., Viallefond, F., Mohan, N. R., Goss, W. M., & Zhao, J. H. 2000, *ApJ*, 537, 613, doi: [10.1086/309063](https://doi.org/10.1086/309063)
- Anantharamaiah, K. R., Zhao, J.-H., Goss, W. M., & Viallefond, F. 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 585, doi: [10.1086/173510](https://doi.org/10.1086/173510)
- Anderson, L. D., Armentrout, W. P., Luisi, M., et al. 2018, *ApJS*, 234, 33, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aa956a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aa956a)
- Anderson, L. D., Bania, T. M., Balser, D. S., & Rood, R. T. 2011, *ApJS*, 194, 32, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/194/2/32](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/194/2/32)
- Balser, D. S. 2006, *AJ*, 132, 2326, doi: [10.1086/508515](https://doi.org/10.1086/508515)
- Balser, D. S., Rood, R. T., Bania, T. M., & Anderson, L. D. 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 27, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/738/1/27](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/738/1/27)

- Balser, D. S., Wenger, T. V., Goss, W. M., Johnson, K. E., & Kepley, A. A. 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 73, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa7a01](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7a01)
- Bania, T., Wenger, T., Balser, D., & Anderson, L. 2014, tmbidl: TMBIDL v7.1, Zenodo. [dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.32790](https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.32790)
- Bania, T. M., Anderson, L. D., Balser, D. S., & Rood, R. T. 2010, *ApJL*, 718, L106, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L106](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L106)
- Bell, M. B., & Seaquist, E. R. 1978, *ApJ*, 223, 378, doi: [10.1086/156272](https://doi.org/10.1086/156272)
- Calzetti, D., Kennicutt, Jr., R. C., Bianchi, L., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 871, doi: [10.1086/466518](https://doi.org/10.1086/466518)
- Chung, A., van Gorkom, J. H., Kenney, J. D. P., Crowl, H., & Vollmer, B. 2009, *AJ*, 138, 1741, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/138/6/1741](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/138/6/1741)
- Courtois, H. M., Tully, R. B., Fisher, J. R., et al. 2009, *AJ*, 138, 1938, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/138/6/1938](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/138/6/1938)
- Freedman, W. L., Madore, B. F., Gibson, B. K., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 47, doi: [10.1086/320638](https://doi.org/10.1086/320638)
- Ghigo, F., Maddalena, R., Balser, D., & Langston, G. 2001, GBT Commissioning Memo 10
- Grammer, S., & Humphreys, R. M. 2014, *AJ*, 148, 58, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/148/3/58](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/148/3/58)
- Heiles, C. 1992, in *Evolution of Interstellar Matter and Dynamics of Galaxies*, Edited by J. Palous and B. W. Burton and P. O. Lindblad, ISBN 0521419840. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmberg, E. 1965, *Arkiv for Astronomi*, 3, 387
- Jang, I. S., & Lee, M. G. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 52, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/52](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/52)
- Jones, M. I., Hamuy, M., Lira, P., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 1176, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/1176](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/1176)
- Kennicutt, R. C., Calzetti, D., Aniano, G., et al. 2011, *PASP*, 123, 1347, doi: [10.1086/663818](https://doi.org/10.1086/663818)
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C., Armus, L., Bendo, G., et al. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 928, doi: [10.1086/376941](https://doi.org/10.1086/376941)
- Kepley, A. A., Chomiuk, L., Johnson, K. E., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 739, L24, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/739/1/L24](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/739/1/L24)
- Lenz, D. D., & Ayres, T. R. 1992, *PASP*, 104, 1104, doi: [10.1086/133096](https://doi.org/10.1086/133096)
- Leroy, A. K., Walter, F., Bigiel, F., et al. 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4670, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/4670](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/4670)
- Li, D., & Pan, Z. 2016, *Radio Science*, 51, 1060, doi: [10.1002/2015RS005877](https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RS005877)
- Liu, B., McIntyre, T., Terzian, Y., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 146, 80, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/80](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/80)
- Luisi, M., Anderson, L. D., Balser, D. S., Bania, T. M., & Wenger, T. V. 2016, *ApJ*, 824, 125, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/824/2/125](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/824/2/125)
- Luisi, M., Anderson, L. D., Balser, D. S., Wenger, T. V., & Bania, T. M. 2017, *ApJ*, 849, 117, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8fd2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8fd2)
- Maddalena, R. J. 2010, Theoretical Ratio of Beam Efficiency to Aperture Efficiency, Tech. rep., National Radio Astronomy Observatory
- . 2012, Modeling the Elevation and Frequency Dependence of Aperture Efficiency for the GBTs Pipeline, Tech. rep., National Radio Astronomy Observatory
- Makarov, D., & Karachentsev, I. 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, 2498, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.18071.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.18071.x)
- McQuinn, K. B. W., Skillman, E. D., Dolphin, A. E., Berg, D., & Kennicutt, R. 2016, *ApJ*, 826, 21, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/21](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/21)
- Roshi, D. A., & Anantharamaiah, K. R. 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 231, doi: [10.1086/308813](https://doi.org/10.1086/308813)
- Roshi, D. A., Goss, W. M., & Jeyakumar, S. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, 83, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/793/2/83](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/793/2/83)
- Roy, A. L., Goss, W. M., Mohan, N. R., & Anantharamaiah, K. R. 2005, *A&A*, 435, 831, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20041825](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20041825)
- Schuster, K. F., Kramer, C., Hitschfeld, M., Garcia-Burillo, S., & Mookerjee, B. 2007, *A&A*, 461, 143, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20065579](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065579)
- Seaquist, E. R., Bell, M. B., & Bignell, R. C. 1985, *ApJ*, 294, 546, doi: [10.1086/163322](https://doi.org/10.1086/163322)
- Selina, R., & Murphy, E. 2017, ngVLA Memo 17
- Thronson, Jr., H. A., & Greenhouse, M. A. 1988, *ApJ*, 327, 671, doi: [10.1086/166224](https://doi.org/10.1086/166224)
- Walter, F., Brinks, E., de Blok, W. J. G., et al. 2008, *AJ*, 136, 2563, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/136/6/2563](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/136/6/2563)
- Wyrowski, F., Walmsley, C. M., Goss, W. M., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2000, *ApJ*, 543, 245, doi: [10.1086/317096](https://doi.org/10.1086/317096)
- Zhao, J.-H., Anantharamaiah, K. R., Goss, W. M., & Viallefond, F. 1996, *ApJ*, 472, 54, doi: [10.1086/178041](https://doi.org/10.1086/178041)
- . 1997, *ApJ*, 482, 186, doi: [10.1086/304135](https://doi.org/10.1086/304135)