# Charged and Neutral Current Pion Production in Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering

Kapil Saraswat <sup>1</sup>, Prashant Shukla <sup>2,3</sup>, Vineet Kumar <sup>2</sup>, and Venktesh Singh <sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India
Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India
Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India

Abstract. In this article, we present the charged and neutral current coherent pion production in the neutrino-nucleus interaction in the resonance region using the formalism based on the partially conserved axial current (PCAC) theorem which relates the neutrino-nucleus cross section to the pion-nucleus elastic cross section. The pion nucleus elastic cross section is calculated using the Glauber model approach. We calculate the integrated cross sections for neutrino-carbon, neutrino-iron and neutrino-oxygen scattering. The results of integrated cross-section calculations are compared with the measured data.

## 1 Introduction

The neutrinos in the range between 1 to 3 GeV can interact with matter by many processes such as quasi elastic scattering (QES), interaction via resonance pion production (RES) and deep inelastic scattering (DIS). One of the most important processes in the RES region is coherent pion production. Coherent pion production is a process where the nucleus interact as a whole with neutrino and remain in the same quantum state as it was initially when the neutrino arrived.


#### 2 PCAC Based Model

The PCAC based approach is used to calculate the differential cross section for the charged and neutral coherent pion production process which s given in Ref. [1] [2]. The used kinematic cuts are given in Ref.[2].  $\xi$  is a parameter which is used for the pion dominating picture in the scattering process. The pion-nucleus cross section is based on the Glauber model approach which is described in Ref.[2].

### 3 Results and Discussions

Figure 1 shows the total cross section  $\sigma$  for the neutrino-carbon scattering as a function of the neutrino energy  $E_{\nu}$  obtained using the PCAC model for  $\xi = 1$  and 2. The upper panel shows the charged current (CC) case while the lower panel shows the neutral current (NC). In the upper panel, the calculations are compared with the MINER $\nu$ A

data [3]. The calculations are compatible with the data. In both panels, the cross section is reduced with increasing the value of  $\xi$ . Figure 2 shows the  $\sigma$  for the neutrino-iron scattering as a function of  $E_{\nu}$  obtained using the PCAC model for  $\xi=1$  and 2. Figure 3 shows the  $\sigma$  for the neutrino-oxygen scattering as a function of  $E_{\nu}$  obtained using the PCAC model for  $\xi=1$  and 2. In the upper panel, the calculations are compared with the T2K data [4]. The calculations are compatible with the data at lower neutrino energy. With increasing the value of  $\xi$ , the total cross section is reduced.



**Fig. 1.**  $\sigma$  of  $\nu_{\mu} - {}^{12}C$  scatter- **Fig. 2.**  $\sigma$  of  $\nu_{\mu} - {}^{56}$  Fe scat- **Fig. 3.**  $\sigma$  of  $\nu_{\mu} - {}^{16}O$  scattering as a function of  $E_{\nu}$ . tering as a function of  $E_{\nu}$ . ing as a function of  $E_{\nu}$ .

#### 4 Conclusion

We presented the integrated cross section for the charged and neutral coherent pion production in neutrino - nucleus scattering using the formalism based on PCAC theorem which relates the neutrino - nucleus cross section to the pion - nucleus elastic cross section. We study the behavior of the cross section as a function of neutrino energy and the parameters of the model. The calculations are compared with the experimental data. The calculation gives good description of the MINER $\nu$ A data while it gives good description of the T2K data at low neutrino energy.

## References

- 1. B. Z. Kopeliovich and P. Marage, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 1513.
- K. Saraswat, P. Shukla, V. Kumar and V. Singh, Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 035504.
- 3. A. Higuera et al. [MINERvA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 261802.
- 4. K. Abe et al. [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 012010.