

2K(2ν)-Capture in ^{124}Xe : Results of Data Processing for an Exposure of 37.7 kg×day

Yu.M. Gavriljuk^a, A.M. Gangapshev^a, V.V. Kazalov^a,
V.V. Kuzminov^a, S.I. Panasenko^b, S.S. Ratkevich^b and D.A. Tekueva^a

^a *Institute for Nuclear Research, RAS, Russia*
^b *V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine*

The results of the experimental search for two-neutrino 2K-capture in ^{124}Xe with a large copper proportional counter obtained by processing the data for an exposure of 37.7 kg×day are presented. The experimental setup is located at the Underground Low-Background Laboratory of the Baksan Neutrino Observatory at a depth of 4900 m w.e. The combination of methods of selection of useful signals with a unique set of characteristics and the event topology taken into account allowed us to suppress the background in the energy region of interest. A new half-life limit for 2K(2ν)-capture in ^{124}Xe was determined: $T_{1/2} \geq 7.7 \cdot 10^{21}$ yrs (90% C.L.).

PACS numbers: 23.40.-s, 27.60.+j, 21.10.Tg, 29.40.Cs

I. INTRODUCTION

The simultaneous capture of two electrons from an atomic shell is a rare nuclear decay that may occur in isotopes located at the right side of the mass parabola for even-even isobars. In the case of ^{124}Xe , the total transition energy was measured with the Penning-trap mass spectrometer SHIPTRAP to be 2856.73(12) keV with an uncertainty of several hundred electronvolts [1]. The capture of two bound electrons by a nucleus with the emission of two neutrinos ($2\nu\text{ECEC}$) is allowed in the Standard Model, while the lepton-nonconserving neutrinoless transition ($0\nu\text{ECEC}$) is outside the framework of the Standard Model and attracts particular attention. However, although the transition energy is rather high, even the search for $2\nu\text{ECEC}$ decays is a challenging experimental task, since only the products of relaxation of the excited atomic shell of a daughter atom are detectable.

The primary contribution (76.7%) to the ECEC process in ^{124}Xe is produced by the capture of two electrons from the K -shell [2]. The result of the $^{124}\text{Xe}(2e_K, 2\nu_e)$ reaction is a neutral ^{124}Te atom with a “lifted” shell, which leaves both K -shell vacancies exposed. The residual excitation of the atomic shell in daughter isotope $^{124}\text{Te}^{**}$ relaxes via the emission of Auger electrons (e_A, e_A), a single characteristic quantum and an Auger electron (K, e_A), or two characteristic quanta and low-energy Auger electrons (K, K, e_A). In actual experiments, the almost simultaneous emission of two characteristic fluorescence quanta produced in the filling of two vacancies offers considerable advantages in terms of detection of such events.

The fluorescence yield in the process of filling of a single K -shell vacancy by electrons from the upper shells is $\omega_K(\text{Te}) = 0.857$. If two vacancies are present, the probability of a radiative transition is, in a first approximation, simply doubled. The probability of the Auger transition also increases by a factor of two. Thus, the emission of two characteristic photons produces a considerable contribution to the atomic-shell deexcitation in

$^{124}\text{Te}^{**}$. This allows us to use the topological method of identification of a complex event in a gas chamber, which has already been applied in the search for 2K-capture in ^{78}Kr [3].

If we represent the process as two consecutive fillings, the first photon is emitted in the transition of an electron from the upper shells (e.g., L -shell) to one of the two K -vacancies. The second photon is emitted in the transition to the remaining K -vacancy (e.g., the transition of an electron from the same L -shell with one vacancy). The first photon is often called the hypersatellite (H), and the second photon is the satellite (S) [4]. Their energies are roughly equal to the energies of common $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ - fluorescence quanta. More accurate values were calculated in [5], where the RAINE package and the Dirac-Fock method were used. The energy of the first fluorescence quantum is 680 eV higher than the K_α energy. The difference for the second quantum is not that significant: it is ~ 165 eV harder than a common fluorescence quantum. The yield of fluorescence in the form of emission of two K_α^H and K_α^S photons was also determined in [5].

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experimental setup is exactly similar to the “2K-Capture” setup used to study the $^{78}\text{Kr}(2e_K, 2\nu_e)^{78}\text{Se}$ reaction. It features a copper proportional counter (CPC) with low-background shielding (18 cm of copper, 15 cm of lead, and 8 cm of borated polyethylene). The CPC is a 710-mm-long cylinder with a working length of 595 mm and an inner diameter of 137 mm. The anode wire made of goldplated tungsten with a diameter of 10 μm is stretched along the cylinder axis. A voltage of 2800 V is applied to the anode. The total counter volume is 10.37 L, and the working volume is 8.77 L. Xenon enriched to 21% in ^{124}Xe was used as the working gas ($P_{gas} = 4.8$ Bar). The experimental setup and the method for signal extraction were described in detail in [6].

Our experiment requires stability of the spectrometric detector parameters. The knowledge of time variation (in long-term measurements) of such parameters as the gas gain, the time of electron drift from primary ionization to the region of proportional multiplication, the ratio of amplitudes of the primary and the secondary pulses, and the energy resolution in the region of search for the effect of interest becomes especially important. Although the counter is sealed, microscopic amounts of quenching and accelerating impurities enter the working gas during long-term operation, which results in gradual deterioration of spectrometric properties of the working gas. The cause of this is the slow sublimation of polyatomic gas molecules originating from the surfaces of the case and sealers; in addition, oxygen diffuses gradually from the flange sealing rings of the CPC and acts as a “cooling” additive. In order to preserve the operating detector characteristics, the working gas was cleaned of electronegative impurities (O_2 and N_2) every two months by flushing the gas through a Ti reactor at 800° .

Calibration measurements with an external radioactive source were conducted every two weeks to monitor the spectrometric CPC parameters. In these measurements, the CPC was irradiated through the wall with γ -quanta from ^{109}Cd ($E_\gamma=88$ keV) that had passed through the calibrating aperture at mid-length of the detector. The counting rate was ~ 3.3 s $^{-1}$.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

The presence of pulses from secondary photoelectrons (afterpulses) knocked out of the copper CPC wall by ultraviolet photons, which were produced in the region of avalanche initiation in the process of amplification of primary-ionization electrons, provides an opportunity to introduce the geometric features of the working detector region into analysis. For example, we use the dependence of the ratio of amplitudes of the first afterpulse and the primary pulse on the distance along the anode wire from the site of development of the primary pulse to the center of the wire to select near-edge events. This distance sets the solid angle of coverage of the internal surface of the copper cathode cylinder, which is roughly equal to 3.9π at the midpoint of the anode wire and to 2π at the edges of the working region of the wire. The relative number of photoelectrons knocked out of copper by photons produced in the gas discharge in primary ionization amplification varies with this solid angle. The analytical dependence of the ratio of amplitudes of the primary and the secondary pulses allows one to correct the CPC response and exclude near-edge events from further analysis.

The pulse-afterpulse delay is defined by the total drift time of electron from the cathode to the anode. It sets the duration of the time interval that covers completely any single event regardless of the distribution of primary ionization throughout the CPC volume. The sought-for




FIG. 1. Time variation of detector parameters between the gas cleaning procedures for seven runs: (a) ratio of the amplitude of photoelectrons knocked out of the CPC case by photons to the amplitude of complete absorption of a photon with an energy of 88 keV (^{109}Cd); (b) deterioration $\Delta\tau$ of the electron drift time from the cathode to the anode.

current pulses of three-point events produced by $2K(2\nu)$ -capture in the CPC are sums of different combinations of three bell-shaped components smeared due to diffusion.

In order to select these useful events from the entire set of background data, one should correct the detector response function for the time of data acquisition between gas cleaning procedures (run). With this in view, we have determined the total energy release, the ratio of amplitudes of the afterpulse and the primary pulse (λ), the delay between the primary and the secondary pulses ($\Delta\tau$), and the energy resolution for onepoint events using the calibration data obtained with ^{109}Cd . Figures 1a and 1b show the time variation of parameters (λ) and ($\Delta\tau$) determined in the interval of energy from 80 to 96 keV for seven runs.

Multipoint events from the interaction of the working gas with 88-keV photons were analyzed in order to perform a more precise energy calibration of components of a complex event. The data accumulated in calibration measurements were processed, and the detector responses were divided into groups with different numbers of constituent partial pulses.

The group with a partial pulse contains those events where the energy release from the absorption of an ionizing particle is localized in a single point-like region of the working detector volume. Such events are produced in the absorption of α -particles, electrons (without bremsstrahlung photons), and, in part, X-ray or gamma quanta. The absorption of quanta produces one-point events in the following two cases: (1) Compton scatter-




FIG. 2. Two-dimensional amplitude distributions of the energy contributions of separate point ionization clusters from an external source of 88-keV photons (^{109}Cd): (a) two-point events; (b) three-point events after convolution with the amplitude of the third subpulse.

ing with the Compton photon escaping from the working detector volume; (2) photoeffect accompanied only by the emission of charged particles (photoelectron + Auger electrons).

Two-point events are produced in the absorption of an electron with the emission of a bremsstrahlung photon; in Compton scattering of an X-ray or gamma quantum; or as a result of the photoelectric effect accompanied by the emission of a characteristic photon. It is required that the secondary quanta be absorbed within the working detector volume and form the second point-like ionization region at a certain distance (in projection onto the counter radius) from the first one. Two partial pulses are produced for each ionization region as a result of gas multiplication of primary-ionization electrons. The delay between them is the difference between the drift times of electrons through the distance from the ionization region to the region of gas multiplication. In xenon, the electron drift time from the cathode to the anode, which defines the maximum time interval of collection of primary ionization, is $\sim 147 \mu\text{s}$. If a photon escapes from the counter, a one-point event is produced. This event contributes to the escape peak of the source line in the calibration spectrum.

Three-point events may be observed in the case of complete absorption (within the working detector volume) of secondary particles produced (1) in Compton scattering of an external quantum by an electron with the absorption of the secondary quantum via photoeffect accompanied by the production of a characteristic K -quantum or (2) in K -shell ionization of the second atom of the working medium by a K -photoelectron from the primary photoeffect and filling of both K -vacancies with the emission of characteristic photons. In the case of double photoionization, threepoint events are produced as a result

of absorption of two characteristic photons, which were produced in the filling of a $2K$ -shell vacancy in an atom of the studied gas, and a group (photoelectron + Auger electrons) originating from the same atom. All events with more than three partial pulses are classified as multipoint events. They may be produced, for example, as a result of Compton scattering of γ -quanta by a K -electron with subsequent absorption of the scattered photon via K -shell photoeffect and filling two K -vacancies with the emission of characteristic K -photons. Events may migrate from one group to another due to the probable overlap of partial pulses or the chance emergence of an additional noise pulse.

The efficiency of selection of one- and two-point events is determined by comparing the result with calculated data obtained based on the reference values of the fluorescence yield and the coefficient of absorption of intrinsic characteristic radiation in the studied gas.

Figure 2a shows the intensity of two-point events as a function of amplitudes of the first (A_1) and the second (A_2) subpulses. The intensity maxima are observed for a certain combination of subpulse amplitudes ($K_\alpha(\text{Xe})=29.8$ and $88 - K_\alpha(\text{Xe})=58.2$ keV). Vertical and horizontal bands represent the specific features of events with incomplete absorption of 88 keV of energy and the spectrum shape of input radiation. Two diagonal bands are also visible. One of them includes the events with complete absorption of 88 keV via Compton scattering and via photoeffect with the emission of $e_{A(C)}$ and the production of bremsstrahlung photons. The second band corresponds to an energy of 58.2 keV and includes the events from the escape peak of 29.8 keV from Compton scattering of 88-keV photons by external electrons.

Figure 2b shows the two-dimensional distribution of intensity of three-point events after folding over the amplitude of the third subpulse (A_3). This distribution reveals a peak at a combination of amplitudes $A_1 = A_2 = 29.8$ keV; vertical and horizontal bands at $A_1(A_2) = 29.8$ keV formed by subpulses $A_2(A_1)$ corresponding to the energy depositions from Compton scattering of 88-keV quanta by external electrons; and a diagonal band corresponding to $(A_1 + A_2) = 58.2$ keV at $A_3 = 29.8$ keV.

The energy scale for amplitudes was calibrated based on three points: 29.8, 58.2, and 88 keV. Only the one-point pulse components were taken into account. This revealed a certain nonlinearity of the amplitude scale, which is associated with the fact that the voltage operating mode of the counter corresponded to the start of the limited-proportionality region, since parameter λ was required to have a sufficient value in the interval from 20 to 80 keV for the purpose of selection of multipoint events. The comparison of the results of modeling of CPC processes with the distribution of events from the external calibration ^{109}Cd source provides an opportunity to determine the coefficients of selection of useful events.




FIG. 3. (a) Amplitude background spectra plotted for pulses with a front edge of 3.2-12 μ s. Curves 1-3 correspond to the events with one, two, and three point clusters of ionization. (b) Amplitude spectrum of two-point events after convolution with the amplitude of one of the two subpulses.

IV. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Figure 3a shows the resulting background spectra of the CPC filled with the studied xenon gas normalized to one year of measurements. Curves 1-3 correspond to the events with one, two, and three point clusters of ionization. The spectra were plotted based on amplitudes of pulses with a front edge of 3.2-12 μ s with the CPC response function corrected for time in a single run.

The 46.5-keV line corresponding to the background ^{210}Pb source is seen clearly in the amplitude spectra of one- and two-point events. The ^{210}Pb isotope may be produced directly in copper in the decay of radioactive microimpurities (volume source) or be accumulated on the case surface (surface source) in the process of detector fabrication as a result of deposition of daughter products of decay of ^{222}Rn present in atmospheric air. Gamma radiation from both sources enters the working counter volume. The peak is formed primarily by one-point (xenon photoeffect with deexcitation by Auger electrons) and two-point events (photoeffect with the emission of characteristic xenon radiation). A small fraction of events with the absorption of the primary or characteristic photon via scattering by external electrons with subsequent absorption of the secondary quantum are three-point ones.

The relatively large cross section of interaction of background photons via photoeffect at the K -shell of xenon




FIG. 4. Resulting spectrum of three-point events with specific features obtained in 643 days of live measurements.

yields another intense peak in the spectrum after folding of two-point events. This background K -line serves as an additional reference for amplitude correction and a more precise determination of the energy resolution in the entire data set. This is illustrated by Fig. 3b, where the integral peak of K -lines $\{K_{\alpha 1} = 29.779$ (100%), $K_{\alpha 2} = 29.458$ (54%), $K_{\beta 3} = 33.562$ (9%), $K_{\beta 1} = 33.624$ (18%), $K_{\beta 2} = 34.415$ (5%) $\}$ after Xe photoeffect with a resolution of 17% is seen clearly.

Figure 4 presents the resulting amplitude spectrum of the total energy deposition in three-point events selected from the entire data set formed in 643 days of live measurements. These events were selected in accordance with the following three criteria: (1) energy deposition in one of the three ionization charge clusters has an amplitude of 5-13 keV; (2) one of the two remaining clusters has an amplitude of 21-35 keV; (3) the third point charge cluster has an amplitude above 13 keV. The second criterion was specified by the energy intervals for $K_{\alpha}^{H(S)} \pm 1.64\sigma$, where $\sigma \sim 5$ keV and $\{K_{\alpha 1}^H = 28.151$, $K_{\alpha 2}^H = 27.858$, $K_{\alpha 1}^S = 27.636$, $K_{\alpha 2}^S = 27.362$ keV [5] $\}$.

According to [1], the total energy of the excited atomic shell of $^{124}\text{Te}^{**}$ with two K -vacancies is $Q_{KK} = 64.457$ keV. A close value of $Q_{KK} = 64.390$ keV was obtained in [5] in calculations performed using the multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock method. Using the energy resolution parameters of the detector, we define the region of interest as the interval of total energy depositions ranging from 52 to 78 keV. A total of seven events from the entire data set fell within this region. The number of events is small at present, and it is impossible to determine the signal-to-background ratio correctly. Since these events may be either background or useful ones, we identify $n_{exp} = 7_{-1.4}^{+5.5}$ events (90% CL) in accordance with the procedure outlined in [7] within the energy range of our search for $2K(2\nu)$ -capture. Taking the upper limit on the number of expected events $n_{exp} = 12.53$, we then obtain

the lower limit for the half-life of ^{124}Xe :

$$\lim T_{1/2}^{2K(2\nu)} = \frac{\ln 2 N_a \omega^{2K} \eta t_e}{\lim n_{exp}} \geq 7.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ yr},$$

where $N_a = 2.85 \times 10^{23}$ is the total number of ^{124}Xe atoms; $\omega^{2K} = \omega^H \times \omega^S = 0.772$ is the fluorescence yield in the case of simultaneous emission of hypersatellite and satellite photons; $\eta = \varepsilon p \varepsilon_3 \alpha_k k_\lambda$ is the overall efficiency of selection of three-point events ($\varepsilon_p = 0.809$ is the probability of simultaneous absorption of two K -photons, $\varepsilon_3 = 0.51 \pm 0.05$ is the coefficient of identification of three-point events, $\alpha_k = 0.985 \pm 0.005$ is the coefficient of detection of $2K$ -photons and Auger electrons as three-point events, and $k_\lambda = 0.89 \pm 0.01$ is the coefficient that takes the selection with respect to parameter λ into account); $t_e = 1.76$ years is the exposure time.

V. CONCLUSION

A total of seven events were found in the energy region of the expected effect in the spectrum of three-point useful events selected from the data set corresponding to an exposure of $37.7 \text{ kg} \times \text{day}$ of the CPC filled with xenon enriched to 21% in ^{124}Xe at pressure $P = 4.8$ Bar. These events were used to set a new limit on the half-life of ^{124}Xe with respect to two-neutrino $2K$ -capture. The experiment was conducted at the Baksan Neutrino Observatory. The “ $2K$ -Capture” experimental setup is installed at the Underground Low-Background Laboratory at a depth of 4900 m w.e., where the flux of cosmic ray muons is $(3.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [8].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Fundamental Research Program “Fundamental Properties of Matter and Astrophysics” of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. Mol-a 16-32-00018 (2016).

-
- [1] D.A. Nesterenko, K. Blaum, M. Block, C. Droese, S. Eliseev, F. Herfurth, E. Ramirez, N. Minaya, Yu. Novikov, L. Schweikhard, V.M. Shabaev, M.V. Smirnov, I.I. Tupitsyn, K. Zuber, and N.A. Zubova, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 044313 (2012).
- [2] M. Doi and T. Kotani, Prog. Theor. Phys. **87**, 1207 (1992).
- [3] Yu.M. Gavriluk, A.M. Gangapshev, Dj.A. Zhan-tudueva, V.V. Kazalov, V.V. Kuzminov, S.I. Panasenko, S.S. Ratkevich, K.V. Efendiev, and S.P. Yakimenko, Phys. At. Nucl. **76**, 1063 (2013).
- [4] M.S. Freedman, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 209 (1974).
- [5] S.S. Ratkevich, A.M. Gangapshev, Yu.M. Gavriluk, F.F. Karpeshin, V.V. Kazalov, V.V. Kuzminov, S.I. Panasenko, M.B. Trzhaskovskaya, and S.P. Yakimenko, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 065502 (2017).
- [6] Yu.M. Gavriluk, A.M. Gangapshev, V.V. Kazalov, V.V. Kuzminov, S.I. Panasenko, S.S. Ratkevich, and S.P. Yakimenko, Instrum. Exp. Tech. **53**, 57 (2010).
- [7] G.J. Feldman and R.D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3873 (1998).
- [8] Yu.M. Gavriluk, A.M. Gangapshev, A.M. Gezhaev, V. V. Kazalov, V. V. Kuzminov, S. I. Panasenko, S.S. Ratkevich, A.A. Smolnikov, and S.P. Yakimenko, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **729**, 576 (2013).