

Higher-component quadrupole polarizabilities: Estimations for the clock states of the alkaline earth-metal ions

Sukhjit Singh^{a*}, Mandeep Kaur^a, Bindiya Arora^{a†} and B. K. Sahoo^{b‡}

^a*Department of Physics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab-143005, India and*

^b*Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Division,*

Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad-380009, India

(Dated: Received date; Accepted date)

Derivations for the higher tensor components of the quadrupole polarizabilities are given and their values for the metastable states of the Ca^+ , Sr^+ and Ba^+ alkaline earth-metal ions are estimated. We also give the scalar quadrupole polarizabilities of the ground and metastable states of these ions to compare our results with the previously available theoretical and experimental results. Reasonably good agreement between our calculations with the previous values of scalar quadrupole polarizabilities demonstrate their correctness. The reported scalar and tensor quadrupole polarizabilities could be very useful to estimate the uncertainties due to the gradient of the electric fields in the clock frequencies of the above alkaline earth-metal ions when accuracies of these frequency measurements attain below 10^{-19} precision level.

I. INTRODUCTION

Last two decades have witnessed an enormous advancement in many high-precision measurements using singly charged ions such as parity violation [1–3], quantum phase transitions [4], atomic clocks, quantum transport of systems for a better understanding of fundamental physics etc. [5–8]. The development has been attributed to the fact that ions can be manipulated favorably by employing optical cooling and trapping schemes. Oscillating electric fields due to lasers applied to cool and trap the atomic systems inevitably yield Stark shifts in the systems. Precise estimates of these energy shifts are prerequisite for the above experiments.

When an atomic system is exposed to an electric field, the charge inside the system redistributes itself. The modified distribution of charge can be expressed in terms of the electric multipole moments. The lowest-order electric moment referred to as the dipole (E1) moment, one more order higher multipole moment in the series known as the quadrupole (E2) moment and so on. Most of the times the second-order energy shifts due to the dipole moment, expressed in terms of the dipole polarizability (α^{E1}), are estimated owing to their dominant contributions. For convenience, α^{E1} of a state is determined by expressing in terms of scalar, vector and tensor components. If the light is not linearly polarized the scalar and vector parts contribute to all the atomic states whereas the tensor component contributes to the states with total angular momentum $j > 1/2$. Similarly, atomic levels interacting with the gradient of electric field can also give significant energy shifts in the atomic systems under consideration for high-precision experiments. Estimations of these shifts are important for accurate knowledge of total

systematic shifts; especially in the atomic clock frequency measurements that are aiming to attain precisions below 10^{-19} level. The first-order energy shifts of the states with the total angular momentum $j > 1/2$ due to the gradient of the electric field can be estimated with the knowledge of electric quadrupole moments of these states. The second-order energy shifts of the atomic states due to the gradient of the electric field can be determined using the quadrupole polarizabilities (α^{E2}) of the states. Likewise α^{E1} , we can also conveniently express α^{E2} into scalar and high-order tensor components. Contributions from the scalar components are studied for many atomic systems [9–21], but contributions from the tensor components are often neglected. To our knowledge, expressions for these higher components are not deduced so far, which can give finite contributions to the states with $j > 1/2$.

For the ultra-high precision measurements, a detailed analysis of contributions from different components of α^{E2} would be required. Their knowledge is also essential in the evaluation of scattering properties of collisions between a neutral atom and its ions [22]. They also provide information on the processes involving the collision of two neutral or charged species, where polarizability elucidates the behavior of one in response of the other. Other important properties such as total energy shift, long range inter-atomic interactions, ion mobility, van der Waals constant between different systems etc. can be evaluated from the accurate values of the polarizabilities of the atoms and ions [23]. Moreover, finding magic wavelengths for the clock transitions in the neutral atoms and singly charged ions are now in high demand as they correspond to null differential polarizabilities and can offer frequency standards below 10^{-19} precision level. Carrying out clock frequency measurements at the magic wavelengths is helpful to reduce uncertainty due to the Stark effects. Recently, these magic wavelengths were measured for the $S - D_{5/2}$ clock transition of the Ca^+ ion [24]. Following this measurement, a number of theoretical works have predicted magic wavelengths of the possible $S - D$ clocks transitions in other singly charged

*Email: sukhjitsingh88@gmail.com

†Email: bindiya.phy@gndu.ac.in

‡Email: bijaya@prl.res.in

ions [18, 25, 26]. From this point of view, contributions from the α^{E2} to the Stark shifts in the $S - D$ transitions of these singly charged ions should be investigated thoroughly.

In this work, we give first the derivations for the tensor components of α^{E2} and determine them for the metastable $D_{3/2}$ and $D_{5/2}$ states of the alkaline earth-metal Ca^+ , Sr^+ and Ba^+ ions. We also determine the scalar components of α^{E2} values of these states along with the ground states of the above ions and compare them with the other available theoretical and experimental results to find out reliability of our calculations.

II. TENSOR COMPONENTS OF α^{E2}

The time-dependent interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} describing the interaction between an atomic system and the gradient of electric field generated by laser is expressed as

$$H_{int}(r, t) = -\nabla\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \mathbf{Q}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{Q} is the E2 operator and $\nabla\mathcal{E}(t)$ is a tensor representing the gradient of time-dependent electric field $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ written as $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{E}(r)|\hat{\epsilon}e^{-i\omega t} + c.c.$ with $\hat{\epsilon}$ and ω representing the polarization vector and angular frequency respectively. The above interaction Hamiltonian is Harmonic in time and varies periodically as

$$H_{int}(r, t) = V_1(r)e^{-i\omega t} + V_2(r)e^{i\omega t}, \quad (2)$$

with $V_1(r) = -\frac{\nabla\mathcal{E}\cdot\mathbf{Q}}{2}$, $V_2(r) = -\frac{\nabla\mathcal{E}^*\cdot\mathbf{Q}}{2}$ and ω is the frequency of the oscillating electric field. For the periodic oscillating electric field, the time-dependent wave functions of the wave function of n^{th} state can be expressed as

$$|n, r, t\rangle = |n, r\rangle e^{ip\omega t}, \quad (3)$$

where $p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ to satisfy the periodicity requirement. By adopting Floquet perturbation expansion approach [29], it can be shown that

$$\langle\langle n', r', t|V_{1,2}e^{\pm ip\omega t}|n, r, t\rangle\rangle = \langle n', r|V_{1,2}|n, r\rangle\delta_{p,p\pm 1} \quad (4)$$

Therefore, using the angular momentum notation of the state as $|\gamma_n J_n M_n\rangle$ with angular momentum J_n , magnetic projection M_n and γ_n representing other quantum numbers, the second-order change in energy can be expressed as

$$\Delta E_n^{E2(2)} = \langle\gamma_n J_n M_n|H_{eff}|\gamma_n J_n M_n\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where the time-independent effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} is defined as

$$H_{eff}(r) = V_1(r)\mathcal{R}_n^- V_2(r) + V_2(r)\mathcal{R}_n^+ V_1(r),$$

with the projection operators \mathcal{R}_n^\pm given by [30]

$$\mathcal{R}_n^\pm = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\gamma_m J_m M_m\rangle\langle\gamma_m J_m M_m|}{E_n - E_m \pm \omega}. \quad (6)$$

TABLE I: Contributions to the static scalar and rank 2 tensor component quadrupole polarizabilities (in a.u.) of the ground and $3D_{3/2}$ states of Ca^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are given from various contributions. The E2 matrix elements used for determining valence correlation contributions are also quoted.

		Ground state					
Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$			
Main valence correlation							
$4S_{1/2} - 3D_{3/2}$	7.971	0.064	204.32	3.28			
$4S_{1/2} - 4D_{3/2}$	12.649	0.045	123.56	0.88			
$4S_{1/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	4.217	0.021	10.73	0.11			
$4S_{1/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	2.256	0.020	2.77	0.05			
$4S_{1/2} - 3D_{5/2}$	9.785	0.081	306.53	5.08			
$4S_{1/2} - 4D_{5/2}$	15.474	0.057	184.85	1.36			
$4S_{1/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	5.168	0.026	16.12	0.16			
$4S_{1/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	2.767	0.024	4.17	0.07			
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			15	8			
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			6.9	0.3			
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			0	0			
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			875	10			
Others [27]			871	4			
Others [28]			875.1				
		$3D_{3/2}$ state					
Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)(0)}$	
Main valence correlation							
$3D_{3/2} - 4S_{1/2}$	7.971	0.064	-102.16	1.64	102.16	1.64	
$3D_{3/2} - 5S_{1/2}$	3.933	0.069	8.81	0.31	-8.81	0.31	
$3D_{3/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	1.044	0.006	0.42	0.01	-0.42	0.01	
$3D_{3/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	0.545	0.001	0.10	~ 0	-0.10	~ 0	
$3D_{3/2} - 4D_{3/2}$	6.381	0.029	20.69	0.19	0.00	0.00	
$3D_{3/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	1.983	0.006	1.46	0.01	0.00	0.00	
$3D_{3/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	1.075	0.003	0.38	~ 0	0.00	0.00	
$3D_{3/2} - 3D_{5/2}$	3.794	0.036	5205.49	98.79	3718.21	70.56	
$3D_{3/2} - 4D_{5/2}$	4.171	0.018	8.84	0.08	6.31	0.05	
$3D_{3/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	1.298	0.004	0.63	0.01	0.45	~ 0	
$3D_{3/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	0.704	0.002	0.16	~ 0	0.12	~ 0	
$3D_{3/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	4.522	0.094	6.96	0.29	-1.99	0.08	
$3D_{3/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	3.630	0.066	4.14	0.15	-1.18	0.04	
$3D_{3/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	2.884	0.048	2.50	0.08	-0.71	0.02	
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			60	30	-17	8	
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			6.9	0.3	0	0	
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			0	0	0	0	
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			5225	103	3797	71	

TABLE II: Contributions to different components of static quadrupole polarizability (in a.u.) of the $3D_{5/2}$ state of Ca^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are for the corresponding contributions are also given.

Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$
Main valence correlation								
$3D_{5/2} - 4S_{1/2}$	9.785	0.081	-102.18	1.69	145.97	2.42	-21.90	0.36
$3D_{5/2} - 5S_{1/2}$	4.841	0.089	8.92	0.33	-12.74	0.47	1.91	0.07
$3D_{5/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	1.282	0.007	0.42	0.01	-0.60	0.01	0.09	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	0.670	0.002	0.10	~ 0	-0.14	~ 0	0.02	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 8S_{1/2}$	0.437	0.001	0.04	~ 0	-0.06	~ 0	0.01	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 3D_{3/2}$	3.794	0.036	-3470.33	65.86	1770.58	33.60	849.88	16.13
$3D_{5/2} - 4D_{3/2}$	4.194	0.022	5.98	0.06	-3.05	0.03	-1.46	0.02
$3D_{5/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	1.301	0.004	0.42	~ 0	-0.21	~ 0	-0.10	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	0.705	0.002	0.11	~ 0	-0.06	~ 0	-0.03	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 4D_{5/2}$	8.376	0.042	23.79	0.24	12.14	0.12	3.28	0.03
$3D_{5/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	2.602	0.008	1.68	0.01	0.86	0.01	0.23	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	1.411	0.005	0.44	~ 0	0.22	~ 0	0.06	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	1.514	0.033	0.52	0.02	0.45	0.02	-0.02	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	1.215	0.023	0.31	0.01	0.27	0.01	-0.01	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	0.965	0.016	0.19	0.01	0.16	0.01	-0.01	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 5G_{9/2}$	5.353	0.115	6.51	0.28	-3.32	0.14	0.03	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 6G_{9/2}$	4.295	0.080	3.87	0.14	-1.97	0.07	0.02	~ 0
$3D_{5/2} - 7G_{9/2}$	3.413	0.059	2.33	0.08	-1.19	0.04	0.01	~ 0
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			60	30	-24	12	0.2	0.1
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			6.9	0.3	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			-3450	72	1883	36	831	16

Substituting the respective expressions for V_1 and V_2 , it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{eff} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 [(\nabla\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \mathcal{R}_n^- (\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \\
&\quad + (\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \mathcal{R}_n^+ (\nabla\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathbf{Q})] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^4 \sum_{q=-k}^k (-1)^k \\
&\quad \times [\{\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \nabla\mathcal{E}\}_q^k [\{\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^+ \mathbf{Q}\}_q^k \\
&\quad + \{\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^- \mathbf{Q}\}_q^k], \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\dots\}$ represents the spherical tensor of rank k with the allowed values for q lying between $-k$ to k . The allowed values of k are limited by the triangular selection rule. From the coupling of two of the rank-2 tensors, the allowed values of k are restricted to $0 \leq k \leq 4$.

This leads to the energy shift formula as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta E_n^{E2(2)} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sum_k \sum_{q=-k}^k (-1)^{2J_n} \{\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \nabla\mathcal{E}\}_q^k \\
&\quad (-1)^{J_n - M_n} \begin{pmatrix} J_n & k & J_n \\ -M_n & q & M_n \end{pmatrix} (2k+1)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m \neq n} (-1)^{J_n - J_m} \begin{Bmatrix} J_n & k & J_n \\ 2 & J_m & 2 \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times |\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2 \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{E_n - E_m + \omega} + (-1)^k \frac{1}{E_n - E_m - \omega} \right]. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Only $k=0$ component is allowed in the above expression due to the selection rule associated with the 3-j symbol $\begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}$.

For simplification we assume applied external electric field as linearly polarized and its gradient only in the z -direction. In this case, the allowed values for k are restricted to 0, 2 and 4 and we have [31]

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \nabla\mathcal{E}\}_0^0 &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2, \\
\{\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \nabla\mathcal{E}\}_0^2 &= -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{\nabla\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \nabla\mathcal{E}\}_0^4 = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{35}} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2.$$

Using these factors, we can divide the total second-order energy shift into

$$\Delta E_n^{E2(2)} = \sum \Delta E_n^{(2,k)}(\omega), \tag{9}$$

where $\Delta E_n^{E2(2,0)}(\omega)$, $\Delta E_n^{E2(2,2)}(\omega)$ and $\Delta E_n^{E2(2,4)}(\omega)$ are the terms corresponding to $k=0, 2$ and 4 , respectively. This follows the expression for $k=0$ as

$$\Delta E_n^{E2(2,0)}(\omega) = -\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{5(2J_n+1)}\right) \sum_{m \neq n} \left[\frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right]. \tag{10}$$

Similarly, for $k=2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta E_n^{E2(2,2)}(\omega) &= -\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2 \frac{3M_n^2 - J_n(J_n+1)}{J_n(2J_n-1)} \sqrt{\frac{10J_n(2J_n-1)}{7(J_n+1)(2J_n+1)(2J_n+3)}} \\
&\quad \sum_{m \neq n} (-1)^{J_n+J_m+1} \begin{Bmatrix} J_n & 2 & J_n \\ 2 & J_m & 2 \end{Bmatrix} \left[\frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right] \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

and for $k=4$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta E_n^{E2(2,4)}(\omega) &= \left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2 \left(9\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}\right) \frac{[3(5M_n^2 - J_n^2 - 2J_n)(5M_n^2 + 1 - J_n^2) - 10M_n^2(4M_n^2 - 1)]}{\sqrt{(2J_n+3)(2J_n+2)(2J_n+1)(J_n)(2J_n-1)(J_n-1)(2J_n-3)}} \\
&\quad \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{(-1)^{J_n+J_m+1}}{\sqrt{(2J_n+5)(2J_n+4)}} \begin{Bmatrix} J_n & 4 & J_n \\ 2 & J_m & 2 \end{Bmatrix} \left[\frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{|\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right]. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

The second-order energy can also be given in a compact form as

$$\Delta E_n^{E2(2)}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2 \alpha_n^{E2}(\omega), \tag{13}$$

where α_n^{E2} is known as the quadrupole polarizability of the state. We can conveniently evaluate α_n^{E2} by rewriting

$$\alpha_n^{E2}(\omega) = \alpha_n^{E2(0)}(\omega) + \frac{3M_n^2 - J_n(J_n + 1)}{J_n(2J_n - 1)}\alpha_n^{E2(2)}(\omega) + \frac{3(5M_n^2 - J_n^2 - 2J_n)(5M_n^2 + 1 - J_n^2) - 10M_n^2(4M_n^2 - 1)}{J_n(J_n - 1)(2J_n - 1)(2J_n - 3)}\alpha_n^{E2(4)}(\omega), \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha_n^{E2(0)}(\omega)$, $\alpha_n^{E2(2)}(\omega)$ and $\alpha_n^{E2(4)}(\omega)$ are defined as the scalar, tensor component of rank 2 and tensor component of rank 4 quadrupole dynamic polarizabilities, respectively. Collecting all the factors, the corresponding expressions for different components of quadrupole polarizability can be given by

$$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}(\omega) = \sum_{m \neq n} W_n^{(0)} |\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2 \times \left[\frac{1}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{1}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right] \quad (15)$$

$$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}(\omega) = \sum_{m \neq n} W_{n,m}^{(2)} |\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2 \times \left[\frac{1}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{1}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right] \quad (16)$$

and

$$\alpha_n^{E2(4)}(\omega) = \sum_{m \neq n} W_{n,m}^{(4)} |\langle \gamma_n J_n || \mathbf{Q} || \gamma_m J_m \rangle|^2 \times \left[\frac{1}{E_m - E_n + \omega} + \frac{1}{E_m - E_n - \omega} \right] \quad (17)$$

with the coefficients

$$W_n^{(0)} = \frac{1}{5(2J_n + 1)}, \quad (18)$$

$$W_{n,m}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{10J_n(2J_n - 1)}{7(J_n + 1)(2J_n + 1)(2J_n + 3)}} \times (-1)^{J_n + J_m + 1} \begin{Bmatrix} J_n & 2 & J_n \\ 2 & J_m & 2 \end{Bmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

and

$$W_{n,m}^{(4)} = -\sqrt{\frac{J_n(J_n - 1)(2J_n - 1)(2J_n - 3)}{70(2J_n + 1)(J_n + 1)(J_n + 2)}} \frac{9(-1)^{J_n + J_m + 1}}{\sqrt{(2J_n + 5)(2J_n + 3)}} \begin{Bmatrix} J_n & 4 & J_n \\ 2 & J_m & 2 \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

It is obvious from the above expressions that only the scalar component will contribute to the states with angular momentum $J = 1/2$, both scalar and tensor components with $k = 2$ will contribute to the states with $J = 3/2$ and all the components will contribute to the

it in terms of different tensor components as

states with $J > 3/2$. The above expressions can be used to determine both the static and dynamic quadrupole polarizabilities of the atomic states in a system, but we present here only the static values of these quantities.

III. EVALUATION OF WAVE FUNCTIONS

We use an all-order perturbative method in the relativistic coupled-cluster (RCC) theory framework with the singles and doubles excitations (SD method) approximation [17, 35] to determine the wave functions of the ground and metastable states of the considered alkaline earth-metal ions as described in our earlier works [18]. In this approach, we obtain first the Dirac-Hartree-Fock (DHF) wave function ($|\Phi_0\rangle$) for the closed core of the alkali atomic configurations of the corresponding alkaline earth-metal ions. The DHF wave functions of the required states are then obtained by appending the respective valence orbital (v) with $|\Phi_0\rangle$ as $|\Phi_v\rangle = a_v^\dagger |\Phi_0\rangle$. The exact states using these DHF wave functions are expressed in the SD approximation as

$$|\Psi_v\rangle \simeq \{1 + T + S_v\} |\Phi_v\rangle, \quad (21)$$

where the operators T and S_v are responsible for the excitations of the core and valence electrons, respectively, from the DHF wave functions due to the correlation effects. In the second quantization notation, they are given as

$$T = \sum_{a,p} \rho_a^p a_p^\dagger a_a + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b,p,q} \rho_{ab}^{pq} a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_b a_a \quad (22)$$

and

$$S_v = \sum_{p \neq v} \rho_v^p a_p^\dagger a_v + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,a} \rho_{va}^{pq} a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_a a_v, \quad (23)$$

where a, b and p, q electrons are from the occupied and unoccupied orbitals, respectively, and ρ are the corresponding excitation amplitudes. To improve the results further, we also include contributions from the important triple excitations perturbatively in the determination of amplitudes of the excitation operators of the SD method (SDpT method) as defined in Refs. [17, 35].

TABLE III: Contributions to the static scalar and rank 2 tensor component quadrupole polarizabilities (in a.u.) of the ground and $4D_{3/2}$ states of Sr^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are given from various contributions.

Ground state						
Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$		
Main valence correlation						
$5S_{1/2} - 4D_{3/2}$	11.172	0.072	376.39		4.85	
$5S_{1/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	12.959	0.028	138.34		0.60	
$5S_{1/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	4.902	0.011	15.62		0.07	
$5S_{1/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	2.789	0.010	4.58		0.03	
$5S_{1/2} - 4D_{5/2}$	13.797	0.091	563.20		7.43	
$5S_{1/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	15.757	0.037	204.19		0.96	
$5S_{1/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	6.002	0.013	23.40		0.10	
$5S_{1/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	3.425	0.013	6.90		0.05	
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			30		15	
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			17.1		0.9	
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.0001		~ 0	
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			1379		17	
Others [28]			1346			
$4D_{3/2}$ state						
Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$
Main valence correlation						
$4D_{3/2} - 5S_{1/2}$	11.172	0.072	-188.20	2.43	188.20	2.43
$4D_{3/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	6.041	0.084	24.14	0.67	-24.14	0.67
$4D_{3/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	1.528	0.004	1.02	0.01	-1.02	0.01
$4D_{3/2} - 8S_{1/2}$	0.792	0.000	0.24	~ 0	-0.23	~ 0
$4D_{3/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	8.317	0.045	39.20	0.42	0.00	0.00
$4D_{3/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	2.701	0.007	3.02	0.02	0.00	0.00
$4D_{3/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	1.493	0.003	0.81	~ 0	0.00	0.00
$4D_{3/2} - 4D_{5/2}$	6.001	0.047	2819.33	44.16	2013.81	31.54
$4D_{3/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	5.401	0.028	16.49	0.17	11.78	0.12
$4D_{3/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	1.766	0.005	1.29	0.01	0.92	0.01
$4D_{3/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	0.978	0.002	0.35	~ 0	0.25	~ 0
$4D_{3/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	7.858	0.143	21.79	0.79	-6.23	0.23
$4D_{3/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	6.048	0.088	12.27	0.36	-3.51	0.10
$4D_{3/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	4.699	0.064	7.41	0.20	-2.12	0.06
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			108	54	-30	15
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			17.1	0.7	0	0
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.0001	~ 0	0	0
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			2884	70	2148	35

As demonstrated in Refs. [18, 36], contributions to the square of the matrix element $|\langle\Psi_v|Q|\Psi_m\rangle|^2$ using the aforementioned procedure can be expressed as

$$\sum_m |\langle\Psi_v|Q|\Psi_m\rangle|^2 = Q_c + Q_{cv} + Q_v, \quad (24)$$

where Q_c , Q_{cv} and Q_v denote correlation contributions due to the core, core-valence and valence electrons, re-

spectively. Segregating these contributions in Eqs. (15), (16) and (17), we can express

$$\alpha_n^{E2(k)} = \alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)} + \alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)} + \alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)} \quad (25)$$

for the components $k = 0, 2$ and 4 . It can be shown that core contributions vanish for $j = 2$ and 4 . The most important contributions to different components of quadrupole polarizability arises through $\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$ since it takes into account the dominant correlation effects. We use the sum-over-states approach to estimate this contribution from many low-lying states by evaluating the electric quadrupole (E2) matrix elements in the SD method as

$$\langle\Psi_v|Q|\Psi_m\rangle = \frac{\langle\Phi_v|\{1+T^\dagger+S_v^\dagger\}Q\{1+T+S_m\}|\Phi_m\rangle}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_v\mathcal{N}_m}}, \quad (26)$$

where the normalization factor for the wave functions of the states with the respective valence orbital v is given by $\mathcal{N}_v = \{1+T^\dagger T+S_v^\dagger S_v\}$. These values with the experimental excitation energies are used to reduce the uncertainties in the evaluation of $\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$ contributions. Contributions from the higher excited states including continuum to the static values of $\alpha_n^{E2(k)}(v)$ are estimated using the expression

$$\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)} = -16\langle\Psi_n|Q|\Psi_n^{(1)}\rangle / \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right)^2, \quad (27)$$

where $|\Psi_n^{(1)}\rangle$ is the first-order correction to $|\Psi_n\rangle$ with energy E_n due to the quadrupole operator Q . Using the inhomogeneous equation, we obtain its contribution at the DHF approximation of the Dirac-Coulomb atomic Hamiltonian (H_{at}) as

$$(H_{at} - E_n)|\Psi_n^{(1)}\rangle = (E_n^{E2(1)} - H_{eff})|\Psi_n^{(0)}\rangle. \quad (28)$$

In the above expression, $E_n^{E2(1)}$ corresponds to the first-order change in energy due to the respective component of H_{eff} . By subtracting the DHF contribution due to the orbitals with principal quantum numbers corresponding to the states included in the sum-over-states approach, we extract out the contributions from the higher level excitations and denote it as ‘‘Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)’’. Similarly, we use the DHF wave functions to determine the core-valence correlation contributions as they are found to be negligibly small. The core correlation contributions to the scalar component of the quadrupole polarizability values are obtained using random phase approximation (RPA) in the considered ions. A very large basis is used in all calculations carried out in this work. We use 70 basis set functions for all partial waves with maximum number of orbital quantum number $l_{\max} \leq 6$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Tables I and II, we present the calculated scalar static quadrupole polarizabilities of the ground, $3D_{3/2}$

TABLE IV: Contributions to different components of static quadrupole polarizability (in a.u.) of the $4D_{5/2}$ state of Sr^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are for the corresponding contributions.

Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$
Main valence correlation								
$4D_{5/2} - 5S_{1/2}$	13.797	0.091	-187.73	2.48	268.19	3.54	-40.23	0.53
$4D_{5/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	7.550	0.109	25.35	0.73	-36.22	1.05	5.43	0.16
$4D_{5/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	1.894	0.005	1.05	0.01	-1.50	0.01	0.22	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 8S_{1/2}$	0.980	0.001	0.24	~ 0	-0.34	~ 0	0.05	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 4D_{3/2}$	6.001	0.047	-1879.56	29.44	958.96	15.02	460.30	7.21
$4D_{5/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	5.538	0.033	11.67	0.14	-5.96	0.07	-2.86	0.03
$4D_{5/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	1.785	0.005	0.89	0.01	-0.45	~ 0	-0.22	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	0.984	0.002	0.24	~ 0	-0.12	~ 0	-0.06	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	10.991	0.063	45.87	0.53	23.40	0.27	6.32	0.07
$4D_{5/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	3.567	0.009	3.53	0.02	1.80	0.01	0.49	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	1.971	0.004	0.95	~ 0	0.49	~ 0	0.13	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	2.666	0.050	1.68	0.06	1.46	0.06	-0.07	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	2.048	0.030	0.94	0.03	0.82	0.02	-0.04	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	1.590	0.022	0.57	0.02	0.49	0.01	-0.02	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 5G_{9/2}$	9.425	0.175	21.00	0.78	-10.71	0.40	0.11	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 6G_{9/2}$	7.242	0.108	11.78	0.35	-6.01	0.18	0.06	~ 0
$4D_{5/2} - 7G_{9/2}$	5.621	0.078	7.10	0.20	-3.62	0.10	0.04	~ 0
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			110	55	-43	-22	0.4	0.2
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			17.1	0.7	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.0001	~ 0	0	0	0	0
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			-1807	62	1147	27	430	7

TABLE V: Contributions to the static scalar and rank 2 tensor component quadrupole polarizabilities (in a.u.) the ground and $5D_{3/2}$ states of Ba^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are given from various contributions.

Contribution	Ground state		$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$		
	Q	δQ				
Main valence correlation						
$6S_{1/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	12.740	0.151	1461.78	34.65		
$6S_{1/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	16.797	0.018	269.52	0.58		
$6S_{1/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	5.734	0.030	24.13	0.25		
$6S_{1/2} - 8D_{3/2}$	3.166	0.023	6.60	0.10		
$6S_{1/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	15.943	0.185	1966.09	45.63		
$6S_{1/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	20.259	0.019	390.33	0.73		
$6S_{1/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	7.042	0.035	36.34	0.36		
$6S_{1/2} - 8D_{5/2}$	3.915	0.028	10.08	0.14		
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			40	20		
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			46.0	2.3		
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.001	~ 0		
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			4251	61		
Others [32]			4270	27		
Others [19]			4182	34		
Others [33]			4091.5			
Others [21]			4821			
Expt. [34]			4420	250		
Contribution	$5D_{3/2}$ state		$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$
	Q	δQ				
Main valence correlation						
$5D_{3/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	12.740	0.151	-730.89	17.33	730.89	17.33
$5D_{3/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	4.806	0.156	13.53	0.88	-13.53	0.88
$5D_{3/2} - 8S_{1/2}$	1.498	0.018	0.93	0.02	-0.93	0.02
$5D_{3/2} - 9S_{1/2}$	0.821	0.005	0.24	~ 0	-0.24	~ 0
$5D_{3/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	8.338	0.132	37.15	1.18	0.00	0.00
$5D_{3/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	2.987	0.017	3.57	0.04	0.00	0.00
$5D_{3/2} - 8D_{3/2}$	1.720	0.007	1.05	0.01	0.00	0.00
$5D_{3/2} - 5D_{5/2}$	6.772	0.086	1256.64	31.92	897.60	22.80
$5D_{3/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	5.338	0.085	15.15	0.48	10.82	0.35
$5D_{3/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	1.938	0.012	1.50	0.02	1.07	0.01
$5D_{3/2} - 8D_{5/2}$	1.120	0.005	0.45	~ 0	0.32	~ 0
$5D_{3/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	8.559	0.259	27.65	1.67	-7.90	0.48
$5D_{3/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	6.612	0.164	15.10	0.75	-4.31	0.21
$5D_{3/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	5.145	0.113	8.70	0.38	-2.49	0.11
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			123	62	-33	17
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			46.0	2.3	0	0
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.001	~ 0	0	0
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			820	72	1579	33
Others [32]			835	32		

and $3D_{5/2}$ states of Ca^+ . The D state polarizabilities have contributions from the scalar as well as tensor components to the E2 polarizability. As shown in Ta-

ble I the valence correlation contributions to the scalar and tensor quadrupole polarizabilities of the $4S$ and $3D_{3/2}$ states are the dominant ones. The core contribution calculated using the RPA approximation is found to be 6.9 in atomic units (a.u.). The uncertainty in this RPA value is expected to be on the order of 4%. The $\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$ contributions are estimated using the DHF method and are listed in the same table. The $\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$ contributions for the scalar and tensor part are evaluated using a sum-over-states approach. We use E2 matrix elements from the SDpT method and experimental energies from the NIST database [37] for the dominant transitions for these estimations. Contributions from these transitions to the quadrupole polarizabilities are listed explicitly in the above tables along with the respective values of the quadrupole matrix elements and corresponding uncertainties. The remainder Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$) contributions are evaluated in the DHF approximation. These contributions are found to be about 2% and 1% for the $4S$ and $3D_{3/2}$ states, respectively, of their total values. As can be seen, the E2 matrix elements of the $4S_{1/2} - 3D_{3/2,5/2}$ and $4S_{1/2} - 4D_{3/2,5/2}$ transitions have overwhelmingly dominant contributions to the scalar ground state quadrupole polarizability. Therefore, the uncertainty to the quadrupole polarizability of the ground state appear mainly from the errors to the E2 matrix elements of these transitions. Similarly, contribution from the E2 matrix element of the $3D_{3/2} - 3D_{5/2}$ transition is preeminent for the scalar and tensor polarizabilities of the $3D_{3/2}$ state. Thus, it is important to consider error from this matrix element to estimate the uncertainty of the quadrupole polarizability of the $3D_{3/2}$ state. The uncertainties in the matrix elements are determined as the difference between the final matrix elements calculated using the SDpT method and by scaling the calculations to account for the missing correlation effects. Details of this scaling procedure can be found in Ref. [38]. Briefly, the single valence excitation coefficients are multiplied by the ratio of the corresponding experimental and theoretical correlation energies, and the matrix element calculation is repeated with the modified excitation coefficients. We assign maximum 50% errors to the estimated tail contributions as the correlation effects are observed to contribute only about few percent in the evaluation of the E2 matrix elements in Ca^+ . The net uncertainty to the quadrupole polarizabilities of the above states are given then by adding the individual uncertainties in quadrature. There are no experimental results available for these quantities, but we compare our calculation for the ground state with two other calculations [27, 28]. Our value is 875(10) a.u. against that of 871(4) a.u. reported by Safronova and Safronova [27]. Mitroy and Zang [28] have also obtained its value as 875.1 a.u. based on diagonalization of semi-empirical Hamiltonian in a large dimension single electron basis. Table II illustrates the scalar and tensor contributions for the E2 polarizability of the $3D_{5/2}$ state of Ca^+ ion. It is evident from this table that an overwhelmingly dominant contri-

TABLE VI: Contributions to different components of static quadrupole polarizability (in a.u.) of the $5D_{5/2}$ state of Ba^+ . The absolute values and uncertainties (denoted by δ) are for the corresponding contributions.

Contribution	Q	δQ	$\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(0)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(2)}$	$\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$	$\delta\alpha_n^{E2(4)}$
Main valence correlation								
$5D_{5/2} - 6S_{1/2}$	15.943	0.185	-655.36	15.21	936.23	21.73	-140.43	3.26
$5D_{5/2} - 7S_{1/2}$	6.248	0.192	15.57	0.96	-22.25	1.37	3.34	0.21
$5D_{5/2} - 8S_{1/2}$	1.915	0.020	1.02	0.02	-1.46	0.03	0.22	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 9S_{1/2}$	1.045	0.006	0.27	~ 0	-0.38	~ 0	0.06	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 5D_{3/2}$	6.772	0.086	-837.76	21.28	427.43	10.86	205.17	5.21
$5D_{5/2} - 6D_{3/2}$	5.703	0.086	11.82	0.36	-6.03	0.18	-2.89	0.09
$5D_{5/2} - 7D_{3/2}$	2.009	0.011	1.09	0.01	-0.56	0.01	-0.27	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 8D_{3/2}$	1.151	0.005	0.32	~ 0	-0.16	~ 0	-0.08	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 6D_{5/2}$	11.171	0.170	45.11	1.37	23.01	0.70	6.21	0.19
$5D_{5/2} - 7D_{5/2}$	3.991	0.023	4.30	0.05	2.19	0.03	0.59	0.01
$5D_{5/2} - 8D_{5/2}$	2.295	0.009	1.26	0.01	0.64	0.01	0.17	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 5G_{7/2}$	2.980	0.088	2.27	0.13	1.97	0.12	-0.09	0.01
$5D_{5/2} - 6G_{7/2}$	2.291	0.055	1.22	0.06	1.06	0.05	-0.05	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 7G_{7/2}$	1.778	0.037	0.70	0.03	0.61	0.03	-0.03	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 5G_{9/2}$	10.534	0.309	28.31	1.66	-14.44	0.85	0.14	0.01
$5D_{5/2} - 6G_{9/2}$	8.101	0.195	15.30	0.74	-7.81	0.38	0.08	~ 0
$5D_{5/2} - 7G_{9/2}$	6.288	0.134	8.76	0.37	-4.47	0.19	0.04	~ 0
Tail($\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)}$)			128	64	-50	25	0.6	0.3
$\alpha_{n,c}^{E2(k)}$			46.0	2.3	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{n,cv}^{E2(k)}$			-0.001	~ 0	0	0	0	0
Total($\alpha_n^{E2(k)}$)			-1182	69	1286	35	72	6
Others [32]			-1201	36				

bution to the scalar and tensor components of quadrupole polarizabilities of the above state come from the E2 matrix element of the $3D_{5/2} - 3D_{3/2}$ transition.

In Table III, we summarize the contribution to the static quadrupole polarizabilities of the ground $5S_{1/2}$ and the $4D_{3/2}$ states of the Sr^+ ion. The core contribution to the scalar quadrupole polarizability for Sr^+ is obtained from the RPA method as 17.1 a.u. We note that $\text{Tail}(\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)})$ and $\alpha_{n,vc}^{E2(k)}$ contributions are realized to be small, therefore they are estimated in the DHF approximation. The $\text{Tail}(\alpha_{n,v}^{E2(k)})$ part contributes to 2% and 4% of the total valence polarizability for $5S_{1/2}$ and $4D_{3/2}$ states respectively and the uncertainty is taken to be 50%. The first few dominant valence contributions calculated using the SDpT method along with the uncertainties have been explicitly shown in the table. We found one more calculation by Mitroy and Hang [28] to compare our ground state quadrupole polarizability. Our calculations for the ground state of Sr^+ is 1379(17) a.u., which differs marginally by 2% compared to the result reported in Ref. [28]. Similar calculations for the $4D_{5/2}$ E2 polarizability for Sr^+ are presented in Table IV. In this table, we present contributions from the scalar, rank 2 and rank 4 tensor contributions to the E2 polarizability of the above state explicitly.

The scalar quadrupole polarizability contribution to the $6S_{1/2}$ state and both the scalar and the tensor contributions to the E2 polarizabilities of the $5D_{3/2}$ state of Ba^+ have been illustrated in Table V. The core, core-valence and valence correlation contributions along with the individual dominant valence contributions from various low-lying states calculated using the sum-over-states approach are listed separately in the same table. There have been several calculations of the ground state $6S_{1/2}$ quadrupole polarizabilities of this ion using different methods. Our ground state scalar quadrupole polarizability value 4251 a.u. is in agreement with the value of 4270 a.u. obtained by Sahoo and Das [32], which is carried out by including the non-linear terms of the RCC method in the singles and doubles excitations approximation. The analysis of Renovation-Tchaikovsky and Safronova [19] is based on relativistic all-order method like ours and found to be consistent with our result. Also, we find good agreement between our value with the calculations done by Safronova [33], and Pail and Tang [21]. Unlike the previously discussed ions, there

exists an experimental quadrupole polarizability value of 4420(25) a.u. for the ground state of the Ba^+ ion [34] and we find our result lies within the corresponding uncertainty of this measurement. The scalar quadrupole polarizabilities for the $5D_{3/2}$ and $5D_{5/2}$ states are found to be 820(72)a.u. and $-1182(69)$ a.u., which are in good agreement with the values of 835(32) a.u. and $-1201(36)$ a.u., respectively, from another calculation by Sahoo and Das [32]. From this analysis, we believe that our tensor components to the quadrupole polarizabilities of the $5D_{3/2}$ and $5D_{5/2}$ states are quite reliable for their experimental verifications.

V. CONCLUSION

We have given the scalar and tensor contributions to the electric quadrupole polarizabilities of the ground and metastable states of the singly charged calcium, strontium and barium ions. Our calculations of the scalar polarizabilities are compared with the previously available theoretical and experimental results and they are found to be in good agreement. The derivations and the corresponding results for the tensor contributions to the quadrupole polarizabilities of the metastable states are given for their possible applications to high-precision experiments; especially for more accurate estimates of systematic effects in the clock transitions of the above ions. Contributions from various correlations are given explicitly to these quantities. The dominant valence contributions are estimated by employing a relativistic all-order method and other smaller contributions are determined by lower-order relativistic many-body methods. Comparison of our results of the scalar polarizabilities with the previously available results suggests reliability of our tensor contributions to the quadrupole polarizabilities of the metastable states of the above ions.

Acknowledgements

The work of B.A. is supported by DST-SERB Grant No. EMR/2016/001228. The employed SDpT method was developed in the group of Professor M. S. Safronova of the University of Delaware, USA. B.K.S. would like to acknowledge the use of Vikram-100 HPC Supercomputer of Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India.

-
- [1] M. A. Bouchiat and C. C. Bouchiat, *Phys. Lett. B* **48**, 111 (1974).
 - [2] N. Fortson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2383 (1993).
 - [3] L. W. Wansbeek, B. K. Sahoo, R. G. E. Timmermans, K. Jungmann, B. P. Das, and D. Mukherjee, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 050501(R) (2008).
 - [4] R. Islam, E. Edwards, K. Kim, S. Korenblit, C. Noh, H. Carmichael, G.-D. Lin, L.-M. Duan, C.-C. J. Wang, J. Freericks, et al., *Nature Comm.* **2**, 377 (2011).
 - [5] C. S. Wood et al., *Science* **275**, 1759 (1997).
 - [6] R. Grimm, M. Weidemller, and Y. B. Ovchinnikov, *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **42**, 95 (2000).
 - [7] T. Pruttivarasin, M. Ramm, S. G. Porsev, I. I. Tupitsyn, M. S. Safronova, M. A. Hohensee, and H. Haffner, *Nature* **517**, 592 (2015).
 - [8] T. G. Tiecke, J. D. Thompson, N. P. de Leon, L. R. Liu, V. Vuletic, and M. D. Lukin, *Nature* **508**, 241 (2014).
 - [9] A. Derevianko, W. R. Johnson, M. S. Safronova, and

- J. F. Babb, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3589 (1999).
- [10] I. Lim, P. Schwerdtfeger, B. Metz, and H. Stoll, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 104103 (2005).
- [11] B. Arora, M. S. Safronova, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052509 (2007).
- [12] B. Arora, M. S. Safronova, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 022509 (2010).
- [13] S. Singh, B. K. Sahoo, and B. Arora, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 023418 (2016).
- [14] S. Singh, B. K. Sahoo, and B. Arora, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 063422 (2016).
- [15] S. G. Porsev and A. Derevianko, J. Exp. Th. Phys. **102**, 195 (2006).
- [16] S. A. Blundell, W. R. Johnson, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 3407 (1991).
- [17] M. S. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 4476 (1999).
- [18] J. Kaur, D. K. Nandy, B. Arora, and B. K. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 012705 (2015).
- [19] E. Iskrenova-Tchoukova and M. S. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 012508 (2008).
- [20] B. Arora and B. K. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 033416 (2012).
- [21] S. H. Patil and K. T. Tang, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 2298 (1997).
- [22] R. Cote and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 012709 (2000).
- [23] K. D. Bonin and V. V. Kresin, *Electric-dipole Polarizabilities of Atoms, Molecules and Clusters* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).
- [24] P.-L. Liu, Y. Huang, W. Bian, H. Shao, H. Guan, Y.-B. Tang, C.-B. Li, J. Mitroy, and K.-L. Gao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 223001 (2015).
- [25] J. Jiang, L. Jiang, X. Wang, D.-H. Zhang, L.-Y. Xie, and C.-Z. Dong, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 042503 (2017).
- [26] A. Roy, S. De, B. Arora, and B. K. Sahoo, J. Phys. B **50**, 205201 (2017).
- [27] M. S. Safronova and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 12503 (2011).
- [28] J. Mitroy and J. Y. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. D **46**, 415 (2008).
- [29] H. Sambe, Phys. Rev. A **7**, 2203 (1973).
- [30] K. Beloy, *Theory of the ac stark effect on the atomic hyperfine structure and applications to microwave atomic clocks* (2009), ph.D. thesis, University of Nevada, Reno, USA.
- [31] W. M. Itano, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. **105**, 829 (2000).
- [32] B. K. Sahoo and B. P. Das, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 022506 (2012).
- [33] U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 052506 (2010).
- [34] S. L. Woods, S. R. Lundeen, and E. L. Snow, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 042516 (2009).
- [35] M. S. Safronova, A. Derevianko, and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1016 (1998).
- [36] B. Arora, D. K. Nandy, and B. K. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 012506 (2012).
- [37] A. Kramida, Y. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and N. A. T. (2012), *Nist atomic spectra database* (2012), (version 5). [Online]. Available: <http://physics.nist.gov/asd> [2012, December 12]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
- [38] M. S. Safronova, *High-precision calculations of atomic properties and parity nonconservation in systems with one valence electron* (2000), ph.D. Thesis, University of Notre Dame.