# Self-Powered, Highly Sensitive, High Speed Photodetection Using  $ITO/WSe_2/SnSe_2$  Vertical **Heterojunction**

Krishna Murali and Kausik Majumdar

*Abstract***— Two dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) are promising candidates for ultra-low intensity photodetection. However, the performance of these photodetectors is usually limited by ambience induced rapid performance degradation and long lived charge trapping induced slow response with a large persistent photocurrent when the light source is switched off. Here we demonstrate an indium tin oxide (ITO)/WSe**2**/SnSe**2 **based vertical double heterojunction photoconductive device where the photo-excited hole is confined in the double barrier quantum well, whereas the photo-excited electron can be transferred to either the ITO or the SnSe**2 **layer in a controlled manner. The intrinsically short transit time of the photoelectrons in the vertical double heterojunction helps us to achieve high responsivity in excess of** 1100 **A/W and fast transient response time on the order of** 10 µ**s. A large built-in field in the WSe**2 **sandwich layer results in photodetection at zero external bias allowing a self-powered operation mode. The encapsulation from top and bottom protects the photoactive WSe**2 **layer from ambience induced detrimental effects and substrate induced trapping effects helping us to achieve repeatable characteristics over many cycles.**

*Index Terms***— Two dimensional layered Materials, Photodetector, Photoconductive Gain, Response time, WSe**2**, SnSe**2**.**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

 $\mathbf{W}$  EAK intensity light detection in the visible and infrared range is of utmost technological importance in multiple fields encompassing remote sensing, public safety, medical instrumentation, space, military and industry applications. Transition metal di-chalcogenides (TMDCs) are layered two-dimensional materials [1] which exhibit an extraordinary light absorbtion in spite of being nanometer thick. These materials show excellent gate tunability, moderate in-plane carrier mobility, and can be deposited in an inexpensive way (for example, chemical vapour deposition) on silicon based substrates. Further, unlike bulk semiconductors, different layered materials can be seamlessly integrated in a vertical heterojunction stack without having to worry about the lattice mismatch between two materials [2]–[4]. Consequently, TMDCs (such as  $MoS_2$ ,  $MoSe_2$ ,  $WS_2$  and  $WSe_2$ ) have been extensively explored in the recent past as promising candidates to achieve low cost, sensitive photodetectors [5]–[14].

Although photodetection with large responsivity has been demonstrated using TMDCs, there are two important bottlenecks that need to be overcome for these photodetection schemes to become technologically viable. First, the response time of most of the high gain photodetectors is very large owing to long lived traps [15]–[17]. These traps originate both from the active medium as well as the substrate supporting the active material [17]. When one type of photogenerated carriers (either electron or hole) is captured by the traps in the active material, to maintain charge neutrality of the system, the other type of carrier experiences multiple reinjection until the trapped carrier is eliminated from the active medium either by contact or by recombination. In addition, the trapped carriers in the TMDC film or in the substrate can also lead to a photo-gating effect, and a photocurrent keeps flowing until the trapped carrier is released [16], [18]. Both these effects result in a large gain, however, associated with a slow transient response of the photocurrent in response to light.

The second problem arises from the ultra-thin nature of the TMDC films, providing a large surface to volume ratio. This results in exposure of the active medium to surroundings, leading to ambience (including moisture effect) induced detrimental effects and hence poorly repeatable characteristics.

In order to overcome these bottlenecks, here we demonstrate a vertical heterojunction of  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  capped with indium tin oxide (ITO) transparent electrode. The transparent ITO layer allows light to pass through it to generate photoexcited carriers in the buried  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer, while protecting the heterojunction from changing ambient conditions, allowing repeatable characteristics over many cycles. On the other hand, SnSe<sup>2</sup> eliminates the substrate induced trapping effects by isolating the  $WSe_2$  layer from the  $SiO_2/Si$  substrate. Both  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  [17], [19] and ITO being highly conducting material, act as closely spaced photocarrier collection medium. Such small separation of collection medium, as defined by the thickness of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ , is difficult to achieve in lithography limited planar structures, and reduces the transit time of the photogenerated carriers leading to fast response and high gain simultaneously. In addition, asymmetric band offsets at the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>/WSe<sub>2</sub>$  and  $ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>$  interfaces induce a strong built-in field, which en-

This work was supported in part by a grant under Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO), by the grants under Ramanujan Fellowship, Early Career Award, and Nano Mission from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), and by a grant from MHRD, MeitY and DST Nano Mission through NNetRA.

K. Murali and K. Majumdar are with the Department of Electrical Communication Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India (e-mail: kausikm@iisc.ac.in).

ables high responsivity at zero external bias. We report a large zero-bias responsivity of 16.45 A/W, which increases to 1139 A/W at 0.4 V. The devices exhibit repeatable performance over many cycles with negligible persistent photocurrent, sub-10  $\mu$ s rise time, and 10-30  $\mu$ s fall time.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: We discuss the detailed features of the vertical design in section [II.](#page-1-0) Followed by this, we explain the photoelectron transfer mechanism in the heterojunction using photoluminescence and Raman experiments in section [III.](#page-1-1) The fabrication and electrical characterization details of the devices are explained in section [IV](#page-2-0) and the photodetection performance is discussed in section [V.](#page-4-0) We conclude the paper in section [VI.](#page-5-0)

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. DEVICE DESIGN: WHY VERTICAL HETEROJUNCTION?

Fig. [1\(](#page-1-2)a) shows a schematic diagram of the device where a vertical heterojunction is created between  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  and  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ , with a transparent conducting layer ITO on top. The wavelength dependent transmittance of ITO layer is shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-1-2)b). Highly conducting ITO and  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  films act as carrier



<span id="page-1-2"></span>Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the proposed vertical device. (b) Wavelength dependent transmittance of ITO film. (c) Current-voltage characteristics of SnSe2 showing high conductivity. (d) Left panel: photo-excited carrier separation and trapping in  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  substrate in a planar structure. The dashed lines represent trap states in SiO<sub>2</sub>. Current crowding at the contact interface is represented by the red region.  $L_T$ is the transfer length of the contact interface. Right panel: The vertical structure with negligible current crowding and no  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  induced trapping effect.

collection layers. The current-voltage characteristics of a 30 nm thick  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  film is shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-1-2)c). The resistivity of the ITO film measured is  $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$  Ωm. This vertical structure provides certain unique advantages as described below. The situations are schematically illustrated in the left and right panels of Fig. [1\(](#page-1-2)d).

1) *Short transit time:* The WSe<sub>2</sub> active layer is sandwiched by closely separated conducting layers, where the separation is defined by the thickness of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ . Such a small separation is difficult to achieve using lithography in a planar structure, and allows to achieve very short transit time of electrons ( $\tau_{tr}$ ). On the other hand, the photoexcited holes are confined in the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer either due to valence band confinement in the quantum well produced by the double heterojunction or due to the band-tail state induced traps inside the bandgap. The photoconductive gain, defined as  $G = \frac{\tau}{\tau_{tr}}$ , where  $\tau$  is the

time a hole takes on an average to either recombine with an electron or flee from the quantum well. A reduced  $\tau_{tr}$  helps to achieve a high gain while maintaining a fast response time.

- 2) *Repeatable characteristics:* The ITO layer on top completely seals the active  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer from ambience which helps to achieve stable characteristics over many cycles without any performance deterioration. On the other hand,  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  screens all the defect states of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ substrate, thus eliminating the slow response tail and any persistent photocurrent.
- 3) *Large built-in field:* The asymmetric band offsets at the two interfaces of the ITO/WSe $_2$ /SnSe $_2$  heterojunction creates a large built-in potential across WSe<sub>2</sub>. This, coupled with the small thickness of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  results in a large built-in field, supporting efficient transport of photo-excited electrons. This, on one hand, allows high responsivity at zero external bias operation, and on the other hand provides a large open circuit voltage.
- $\frac{10^{2}}{20}$  Length [20]. This results in an additional series resistance  $\frac{1}{2}$  where w is the contact width and  $L_T$  is the transfer  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  collection only in the effective contact area of  $w \times L_T$  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$  100<del>, photodetector.</del> The planar structure, as schematically 4) *Improved carrier collection:* It is important to suppress the series resistance to enhance the performance of the degrading performance. However, in the current vertical structure, such current crowding induced resistance is suppressed as the bending of current happens at the highly conducting  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer. This allows carrier collection over the entire photodetector area [14].

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>III. CHARGE TRANSFER IN  $ITO/WSE_2/SNSE_2$ **HETEROJUNCTION**

The band offsets of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  and  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  are illustrated in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)a) [17], [19], [21]–[23]. Such a large band offset, coupled with large n-type doping of  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  gives rise to the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction band diagram in equilibrium as$ schematically shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)b). With an ITO layer on top, the band diagram is shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)c). The steep conduction band offsets at the  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  and  $WSe_2/ITO$  interfaces facilitate electron transfer from  $WSe_2$  to both  $SnSe_2$  and ITO, respectively, whereas the holes remain confined in the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ quantum well. In addition, the built-in electric field inside the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer plays an important role in the electron transfer mechanism. To get insights, we perform systematic photoluminescence (PL) experiments. First we fabricate WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunctions and record energy resolved PL emission from  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ , both on isolated  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  as well as on the junction area. Next, a blanket ITO layer is coated on the sample using RF sputtering, and the PL is remeasured to see the effect of ITO. The amount of charge transfer is qualitatively inferred from the degree of quenching of the photoluminescence peaks with respect to the isolated  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake.

Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)d) depicts the acquired PL spectra of  $> 30$  nm thick  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake for the four different stacking variations using 532 nm laser. The peak around 1.6 eV, indicated by the red



<span id="page-2-1"></span>Fig. 2. (a) Band offset between multi-layer WSe<sub>2</sub> and SnSe<sub>2</sub>. (b) Band diagram of WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction with no contact on top. Degenerate doping forces negligible band bending in SnSe<sub>2</sub>. (c) Band diagram of ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> double heterojunction showing built-in electric field in WSe<sub>2</sub>, and confinement of holes in the valence band quantum well. (d)-(e) Photoluminescence spectra of the different portions of the heterojunction using 532 nm excitation with (d)  $>$  30 nm WSe<sub>2</sub> and (e)  $\approx$  10 nm WSe<sub>2</sub>. Red and black arrows indicate direct and indirect peaks, respectively. (f) Photoluminescence spectra of the different portions of the heterojunction using 785 nm excitation with  $\approx$  10 nm WSe<sub>2</sub>. The sharp peaks close to the excitation energy indicate Raman peaks from Si,  $SnSe_2$  and WSe $_2$ .

arrow, corresponds to the direct bandgap at the  $K$  point of the Brillouin zone. The peak around 1.37 eV, shown by the black arrow, is the indirect gap. We observe that, although there is a small suppression of direct peak at the junction, the indirect peak of  $WSe_2$  remains strong in both isolated  $WSe_2$ and  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  junction. This suggests less degree of charge transfer between the two layers and is due to a larger fraction of the thick  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake residing away from the junction (top region) contribute to the PL, where charge transfer to  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$ is not efficient, as expected from the band diagram in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)b). However, when ITO is deposited on top, both cases show strong quenching of PL due to an almost complete transfer of electrons from  $WSe_2$  to ITO, as favoured by the built-in field in  $WSe_2$  and the band offset at the ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub> interface. However, the situation changes when we use  $\approx 10$  nm thick flake. Here, almost the entire  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  region is close to the SnSe<sup>2</sup> layer, and hence the hot photoelectrons generated by 532 nm (2.33 eV) photons experience a high probability of being transferred to SnSe<sub>2</sub>, quenching the PL, as illustrated in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)e). As expected, with ITO on top, PL intensity is again completely quenched due to efficient electron transfer to ITO.

Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)f) explains the results when the experiment is performed on the  $\approx 10$  nm thick WSe<sub>2</sub> flake using 785 nm laser excitation. Here we observe only the indirect peak in isolated  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ , as excitation is almost resonant with the direct peak energy. Note that, unlike Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)e), we do not observe any quenching of the indirect peak in the  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  junction. This is because the excitation energy being much less (1.58 eV), we do not generate high energy photoelectrons. The photo-carriers, with energy close to the  $K$  point band edge thus are not so easily transferred to SnSe<sub>2</sub>. Rather, these carriers are preferably transferred to the lower energy indirect valley, hence a strong PL is maintained in the junction. As earlier, with ITO on top, the electrons are easily transferred to ITO and the PL is completely quenched. Note that the strong Raman peaks of  $WSe_2$  observed in the ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub> and  $ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> structures in Fig. 2(f) suggest that the quality$  $ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> structures in Fig. 2(f) suggest that the quality$  $ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> structures in Fig. 2(f) suggest that the quality$ of the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  remains intact after ITO deposition on top.

Thus, we are able to tune the degree and direction of the photoelectron transfer from the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer by controlling the excitation wavelength and the thickness of the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake. Such controlled and efficient carrier transfer from the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ even without the application of any external bias forms the basis of the operation principle of the proposed photodetector, as explained in the next sections.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>IV. DEVICE FABRICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

The photoconductive vertical detector is fabricated on Si substrate covered with 300 nm  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The SnSe<sub>2</sub> multi-layer flake is first mechanically exfoliated on the substrate. After identifying the flake of interest, a few-layer thick  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ flake is precisely transferred on top of the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake using PDMS film with the help of a micromanipulator under an optical microscope. For better adhesion, we heat this stack at 180◦ C for 3 minutes in hotplate. A transparent metal electrode (ITO) is defined on top of the heterojunction using electron beam lithography, followed by deposition of 30 nm ITO using RF sputtering and subsequent lift off. Finally, the electrodes for contacts are defined by a second electron beam lithography, followed by the deposition of Ni (10 nm)/Au (50 nm) electrodes by DC sputtering. After this, the substrate is pasted on a PCB. External wires are taken for photocurrent measurement by wire bonding these devices using Al wire from the Ni/Au contacts. Fig. [3\(](#page-3-0)a) shows the optical image of a representative device  $(D1)$  after completion of fabrication. The



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 3. (a) Optical image of the fabricated device  $(D1)$ . Scale bar is 5  $\mu$ m. (b) Thickness of the WSe<sub>2</sub> film in device  $D1$  obtained from AFM scanned along the white dashed line in (a). (c) Raman shift of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ (purple) and SnSe<sub>2</sub> (green) in individual isolated portions. The Raman signal from the ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction is shown in orange, showing strong suppression of WSe<sub>2</sub> Raman peaks. (d) PL spectra from isolated  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  and from the junction portion using 532 nm laser, showing strong suppression of PL intensity in the junction.

thickness of the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  film is found to be 23.7 nm with Atomic Force Microscopy [Fig. [3\(](#page-3-0)b)]. Raman spectra of the isolated  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ , SnSe<sub>2</sub> and ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> junction are presented in Fig. [3\(](#page-3-0)c) using the excitation of 532 nm laser. The Raman peaks corresponding to the  $E<sub>g</sub>$  and  $A<sub>1g</sub>$  vibrational modes of  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  are observed in the isolated part of the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  flake at 110 and 185 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , respectively. On the other hand, Raman peaks of isolated WSe<sub>2</sub> flake corresponding to  $A_{1g}$  and 2LA (M) modes are observed at 251 and 258 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, we observe that these modes are heavily suppressed in the junction area, while the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  Raman peaks remain strong. The photo-excited high energy hot carriers, instead of scattering with the zone center phonon, are transferred quickly to the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  layer due to built-in field, leading to a suppression of the Raman scattered light in the junction. Interestingly, this is in contrast with the strong Raman signal from the junction when 785 nm excitation is used in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)f). This is possibly due to the fact that in the latter case, 785 nm laser coherently excites the  $K$  and  $K'$  excitons, which do not experience the built-in field due to charge neutrality, and hence not so easily transferred to  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$ . Rather these excitons scatter with  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ phonons, giving rise to strong Raman signal. As expected from the discussion in the previous section, we observe strong quenching of  $WSe<sub>2</sub> PL$  intensity in the junction area of the device, indicating efficient photo-excited electron transfer [Fig. [3\(](#page-3-0)d)].

All photoresponse measurements are carried out using continuous wave laser excitation with wavelength of 532 nm and 785 nm. Electrical transport measurements are conducted on several devices with varying thickness of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  flakes. In this paper, all the measurements are taken in ambient air condition and applying bias on the  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  contact while keeping ITO side grounded. Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)a) represents the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of the device  $D1$  under dark condition, as well



<span id="page-3-1"></span>Fig. 4. (a), (c) Current density - Voltage characteristics of device D1 (area = 8.36  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) under illumination of (a) 532 nm and (c) 785 nm wavelength lasers with increasing optical power density. The Power density varies from  $1.89 \times 10^4$  to  $1.89 \times 10^8$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for 532 nm and from  $2.67$  to  $5.35\times10^6$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for 785 nm. Large zero bias photocurrent  $(I_{sc})$  and open circuit voltage  $(V_{oc})$  are observed in both cases. Inset of (a): Dark current density with applied bias. (b), (d) Schematic energy band diagram for ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction for  $D1$  at zero bias, with electron (blue spheres) transfer mechanism for (b) 532 nm and (d) 785 nm illumination. The holes (orange spheres) are confined in WSe2. 532 nm photons create higher energy photo-excited carriers than 785 nm.

as under illumination by 532 nm laser with varying light intensity. The corresponding band diagram at zero external bias is shown in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)b). The external bias dependence of the dark current density is shown separately in the inset of Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)a). We observe from the band diagram that both under positive and negative bias conditions, the electrons need to overcome certain thermal barrier. For reverse bias (negative bias on  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  side), the thermal barrier for the electrons is particularly high from  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  to WSe<sub>2</sub>. The lack of large rectification ratio (only  $\approx$  5) in the device indicates that trap assisted tunneling through the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  film plays an important role in determining the dark current of the device.

The device shows strong photoresponse, as indicated in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)a). One of the striking features of the photoresponse characteristics is the large photocurrent at zero external bias, leading to an open circuit voltage  $V_{oc} = 0.14$  V. This is in agreement with a large built-in field existing in  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ owing to the asymmetric band offsets at the ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub> and  $WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  interfaces, as illustrated in the band diagram shown in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)b). The direction of the zero bias short circuit current  $(I_{sc})$  suggests that the photogenerated electrons in  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  are transferred to the ITO layer. The photo-excited holes are confined in the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  quantum well by the large valence band barriers offered by both the ITO and  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$ layers. In addition, the holes can also get trapped by the shallow band-tail states in the bandgap of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ . These effects result in a successive reinjection of the electrons until the photogenerated hole either tunnels out of the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  quantum well or recombines with electrons, providing a large internal gain.

Note that the photogenerated electrons in  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  experiences a sharp band offset on both ITO and  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  sides [Fig.  $4(b)$  $4(b)$ ]. Hence, by tuning the field inside WSe<sub>2</sub> by an external bias around  $V_{oc}$ , the net electron collection medium can be changed from ITO to  $SnSe_2$ . The device thus exhibits a strong photoresponse to both polarities of external bias.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 5. (a), (c) Current density - Voltage characteristics of device D2 (area = 10.9  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) under illumination of (a) 532 nm and (c) 785 nm wavelength lasers with increasing optical power density. The Power density varies from  $1.89 \times 10^4$  to  $1.89 \times 10^8$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for 532 nm and from 26.7 to  $5.35 \times 10^6$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for 785 nm. The zero bias photocurrent reverses sign with changing photon wavelength. (b), (d) Schematic energy band diagram for ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction for  $D2$  at zero bias, with electron (blue spheres) transfer mechanism for (b) 532 nm and (d) 785 nm illumination.

Note that the device exhibits a stronger photoresponse with near-infrared 785 nm excitation [Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)c)-(d)]. In addition, the zero-bias response is also enhanced with an increased  $V_{oc}$ of 0.25 V. As explained earlier, photons with wavelength of 532 nm ( $E_{ph} = 2.33$  eV) being of much higher energy than 785 nm ( $E_{ph} = 1.58$  eV) generates higher energy hot electrons. The hot electrons generated close to the WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> interface thus have a high probability of falling into  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  for 532 nm excitation. This results in a bidirectional segregation of the photoelectrons, suppressing the net photocurrent, as schematically explained in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)b). On the other hand, 785 nm excitation generates electron-hole pair closer to the band edges [Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)d)], and hence under zero external bias, a larger fraction of the photogenerated electrons are pulled towards the ITO layer, improving the zero bias photocurrent. Note that such a strong photocurrent at zero external bias helps us to achieve completely self-powered photodetector - an important requirement for future sensing devices that target energy saving and weight reduction. The large  $V_{oc}$  also opens up the possibility for using the device in solar energy harvesting applications.

To further support the above mentioned mechanisms, we fabricate another set of devices  $(D2)$ , which are identical to D1, however, but with a thinner WSe<sub>2</sub> ( $\approx$  10 nm), as schematically shown in the inset of Fig. [5\(](#page-4-1)a). As expected, the dark current is enhanced in  $D2$ , with negligible rectification, owing to enhanced tunneling current. An interesting feature observed in the photocurrent characteristics  $[5(a)-(d)]$  $[5(a)-(d)]$  is the change in the sign of  $I_{sc}$  under zero external bias when the excitation wavelength is changed from 785 nm to 532 nm. Contrary to  $D1$ , due to thin WSe<sub>2</sub>, the photogenerated electrons in WSe<sub>2</sub> are created in the close vicinity of  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  interface. As discussed in the previous section, compared to 785 nm, for 532 nm excitation, a large fraction of the high energy hot electrons are easily transferred to SnSe<sub>2</sub>. In addition, a fraction of the photons completely penetrate through WSe<sub>2</sub> and get absorbed in  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  as well [17]. These result in a net flow of electrons towards  $SnSe<sub>2</sub>$  for 532 nm under zero bias, leading to positive photocurrent under zero external bias. However, the net flow remains towards ITO for the 785 nm laser due to excitation close to the band edge resulting in negative  $I_{sc}$ .

### V. PHOTODETECTION PERFORMANCE

<span id="page-4-0"></span>We now discuss the performance of the devices D1 and D2 as near infrared detectors at 785 nm wavelength. Fig. [6\(](#page-5-1)a)- (b) depict the measured Responsivity  $(R)$  of the devices as a function of input optical power density under both positive and negative external bias  $(V_{ext})$ . Here responsivity is defined as  $R = \frac{I_{ph}}{P}$  $\frac{I_{ph}}{P_{op}} = \frac{I - I_{dark}}{P_{op}}$  where I and  $I_{ph}$  are the total current under illumination and the photocurrent, respectively.  $I_{dark}$  is the device dark current, and  $P_{op}$  is the optical power incident on the device. For D1, negative  $V_{ext}$  produces larger R, particularly at higher optical power density. However, the difference is negligible for  $D2$ . We have obtained a responsivity of  $1139$ A/W for D1 at  $P_{op} = 2.67$  W/m<sup>2</sup> with 0.4 V external bias. For D2, the attained responsivity number reads 407 A/W at  $P_{op} = 26.7$  W/m<sup>2</sup> and  $|V_{ext}| = 0.4$  V. These numbers are very impressive compared with commercially available photodetectors and indicate a large internal photoconductive gain. By noting that

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
R = G\eta \frac{\lambda(nm)}{1243},\tag{1}
$$

where G and  $\eta$  are the gain and the quantum efficiency, respectively, we estimate  $G \times \eta$  in excess of 1000 for these devices.

The results in Fig. [6\(](#page-5-1)a)-(b) clearly indicate that the devices are more sensitive at lower incident power density. The strong suppression of responsivity with increasing optical power density is a feature commonly observed in TMDC based photodetectors [18]. We are able to fit the photocurrent data using a power law  $(I_{ph} \propto P_{op}^{\gamma})$ , where  $\gamma$  is in the range of 0.1-0.2 for different devices. This value is smaller than typical numbers reported for planar TMDC photodetectors [18], [24]. As mentioned earlier, the gain mechanism in the device is due to (i) confinement of the photo-generated holes the quantum well produced by the heterojunction and (ii) trapping of the photo-generated holes in the band-tail states inside the bandgap of  $WSe_2$ . At higher intensity of excitation, the trap filling effect gets saturated. In addition, the number density of the confined holes in the valence band of  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$ quantum well increases leading to enhanced recombination with the photo-generated electrons, further suppressing the gain.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Fig. 6. (a),(b) Responsivity of the devices (a)  $D1$  and (b)  $D2$  under illumination of 785 nm wavelength with varying power density under  $\pm 0.4$  V external bias. (c) Responsivity of D1 and D2 under zero external bias as a function of incident optical power density at 785 nm. (d) Power density dependent zero bias specific detectivity  $(D^*)$  of devices D1 and D2 at  $\lambda = 785$  nm. The area used to calculate the power density is 7.2  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>.

Fig. [6\(](#page-5-1)c) shows responsivity numbers for the two types of devices at  $V_{ext} = 0$ . In particular, D1 achieves a responsivity of 16.45 A/W at the lowest power density used. The corresponding specific detectivity  $(D^*)$  at zero bias is shown in Fig.  $6(d)$ .  $D^*$  is a measure of the ability to sense weak signal. Considering only shot noise from the dark current as the predominant source of noise in the device, specific detectivity for the vertical device can be expressed as:

$$
D^* = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2qJ_{dark}}}
$$
 (2)

where q is the absolute value of electron charge and  $J_{dark}$  is the dark current density. Under  $V_{ext} = 0$ , owing to suppression of dark current, we achieve a large specific detectivity  $(10^{13}$ Jones for D1 and  $4.5 \times 10^{11}$  Jones for D2).

We next discuss the transient photoresponse of the devices. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. [7\(](#page-6-0)a). A mechanical chopper with 10 KHz maximum frequency is used to create optical pulses of 50% duty cycle from the continuous wave lasers. A dc voltage source is used to drive the device. The output is measured in a digital storage oscilloscope terminated with 10 M $\Omega$  impedance. As discussed earlier, planar 2D photodetectors exhibit residual photocurrent when the light source is turned off, due to long lived traps from the interface of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  substrate. In the present vertical structure, such persistent photocurrent is completely avoided, as shown in Fig. [7\(](#page-6-0)b) in a relatively longer time scale of seconds. In addition, we also observe that the usually observed slow build-up of photocurrent, again due to slow trapping effect, is eliminated. Fig. [7\(](#page-6-0)c) and (d) depict the transient response of the detectors D1 and D2, respectively, when the chopper is kept at the maximum frequency of 10 KHz. The measured  $10\%$ -to-90% rise time ( $\tau_r$ ) and 90%-to-10% fall time ( $\tau_f$ ), for D1 are 8  $\mu$ s and 32  $\mu$ s, respectively. The numbers for D2 are 10  $\mu$ s and 13  $\mu$ s, respectively. The results were repeatable over many cycles without any observable ambience induced deterioration.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \cdot V & 0.4 \cdot V \end{bmatrix}$  Finally, we benchmark the performance of the devices presented with other 2-D material based photodetectors from literature in a responsivity versus fall time chart. The fall time  $\tau_f$  after the light source is turned off provides an estimate about the duration the photogenerated hole is trapped in the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  quantum well. Thus the gain can be estimated as  $G=\frac{\tau_f}{\tau_f}$  $\frac{\tau_f}{\tau_{tr}}$ , which coupled with [\(1\)](#page-4-2) gives rise to the following relation:

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
R = \frac{\eta \lambda(nm)}{1243 \times \tau_{tr}} \tau_f \tag{3}
$$

The parallel lines in Fig. [8](#page-6-1) are plotted from [\(3\)](#page-5-2) for  $\lambda =$ 785 nm with different  $\frac{\tau_{tr}}{\eta}$ . We populate different points in R versus  $\tau_f$  chart from literature [6]–[9], [12]–[15], [25]– [43]. As expected, the devices with large  $R$  also tend to respond slowly. A reduced transit time and improved quantum efficiency can help to improve the performance of the device in the benchmarking chart. The devices reported in this work are represented by open ( $V_{ext} = 0$  V) and solid ( $|V_{ext}| = 0.4$  V) stars. Clearly, these devices exhibit an improved responsivity at a given fall time compared with reports in existing literature.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

<span id="page-5-0"></span>In conclusion, using systematic optical and electrical probes, we demonstrate efficient control of the charge transfer efficiency and its direction in a skewed and highly staggered  $ITO/WSe<sub>2</sub>/SnSe<sub>2</sub> double heterojunction. This leads to achieve$ ment of high photoconductive gain and high speed photodetection - a unique feature achieved by reduction of transit time of photo-excited electrons using the vertical heterojunction, while confining the photo-excited holes in the  $WSe<sub>2</sub>$  quantum well. In addition, the built-in electric field in the proposed detector allows photodetection at zero external bias - providing a selfpowering feature to the device. The device also exhibits a large open circuit voltage which is promising for solar energy harvesting applications. The device will open a pathway for a novel type of inexpensive, high performance photodetecting devices using vertical heterostructures based on two dimensional materials.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] S. Z. Butler, S. M. Hollen, L. Cao, Y. Cui, J. A. Gupta, H. R. Gutierrez, T. F. Heinz, S. S. Hong, J. Huang, A. F. Ismach, E. Johnston-Halperin, M. Kuno, V. V. Plashnitsa, R. D. Robinson, R. S. Ruoff, S. Salahuddin, J. Shan, L. Shi, M. G. Spencer, M. Terrones, W. Windl, and J. E. Goldberger, "Progress, challenges, and opportunities in two-dimensional materials beyond graphene," *ACS Nano*, vol. 7, pp. 2898–2926, mar 2013.
- [2] K. S. Novoselov and A. H. Castro Neto, "Two-dimensional crystalsbased heterostructures: Materials with tailored properties," *Physica Scripta*, vol. 2012, p. 014006, jan 2012.
- [3] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, "Van der Waals heterostructures," *Nature*, vol. 499, pp. 419–425, jul 2013.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 7. (a) Schematic diagram of transient response measurement setup. (b) Transient response of the device  $D1$  at relatively longer time scale of seconds showing negligible persistent photocurrent. (c), (d) Temporal response of the photovoltage obtained from D1 and D2 with  $|V_{ext}| = 0.2$ V with the mechanical chopper set at its highest frequency of 10 KHz.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Fig. 8. Benchmarking the performance of photodetector in responsivity versus 90%-to-10% decay time space. The solid parallel lines correspond to  $\frac{\tau_{tr}}{\eta}~=~63$  ps,  $63$  ns,  $63$   $\mu$ s,  $63$  ms, and  $63$  s from top to bottom at  $\lambda = 785$  nm. The data from device D1 and D2 are represented by open stars ( $V_{ext} = 0$  V and solid stars ( $|V_{ext}| = 0.4$ V).

- [4] M. Y. Li, C. H. Chen, Y. Shi, and L. J. Li, "Heterostructures based on two-dimensional layered materials and their potential applications," *Materials Today*, vol. 19, pp. 322–335, aug 2016.
- [5] F. H. L. Koppens, T. Mueller, P. Avouris, A. C. Ferrari, M. S. Vitiello, and M. Polini, "Photodetectors based on graphene, other twodimensional materials and hybrid systems," *Nature Nanotechnology*, vol. 9, pp. 780–793, oct 2014.
- [6] M. S. Choi, D. Qu, D. Lee, X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and W. J. Yoo, "Lateral  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  p-n junction formed by chemical doping for use in high-performance optoelectronics," *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, pp. 9332–9340, aug 2014.
- [7] D. J. Groenendijk, M. Buscema, G. A. Steele, S. Michaelis De Vasconcellos, R. Bratschitsch, H. S. Van Der Zant, and A. Castellanos-Gomez, "Photovoltaic and photothermoelectric effect in a double-gated  $WSe_2$ device," *Nano Letters*, vol. 14, pp. 5846–5852, sep 2014.
- [8] M. R. Esmaeili-Rad and S. Salahuddin, "High performance molybdenum disulfide amorphous silicon heterojunction photodetector," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 3, pp. 1–6, aug 2013.
- [9] G. Su, V. G. Hadjiev, P. E. Loya, J. Zhang, S. Lei, S. Maharjan, P. Dong, P. M. Ajayan, J. Lou, and H. Peng, "Chemical vapor deposition of thin crystals of layered semiconductor  $SnS<sub>2</sub>$  for fast photodetection

application," *Nano Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 506–513, dec 2015.

- [10] L. Zheng, L. Zhongzhu, and S. Guozhen, "Photodetectors based on two dimensional materials," *Journal of Semiconductors*, vol. 37, p. 091001, sep 2016.
- [11] S. C. Dhanabalan, J. S. Ponraj, H. Zhang, and Q. Bao, "Present perspectives of broadband photodetectors based on nanobelts, nanoribbons, nanosheets and the emerging 2D materials," *Nanoscale*, vol. 8, pp. 6410– 6434, feb 2016.
- [12] J. Xia, X. Huang, L. Z. Liu, M. Wang, L. Wang, B. Huang, D. D. Zhu, J. J. Li, C. Z. Gu, and X. M. Meng, "CVD synthesis of large-area, highly crystalline  $MoSe_2$  atomic layers on diverse substrates and application to photodetectors," *Nanoscale*, vol. 6, pp. 8949–8955, jun 2014.
- [13] S. Ghosh, P. D. Patil, M. Wasala, S. Lei, A. Nolander, P. Sivakumar, R. Vajtai, P. Ajayan, and S. Talapatra, "Fast photoresponse and high detectivity in copper indium selenide  $(CuIn_7Se_{11})$  phototransistors," *2D Materials*, vol. 5, p. 015001, oct 2018.
- [14] S. Kallatt, S. Nair, and K. Majumdar, "Asymmetrically Encapsulated Vertical  $ITO/MoS_2/Cu_2O$  Photodetector with Ultrahigh Sensitivity," *Small*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 1702066, 2018.
- [15] R. B. Jacobs-Gedrim, M. Shanmugam, N. Jain, C. A. Durcan, M. T. Murphy, T. M. Murray, R. J. Matyi, R. L. Moore, and B. Yu, "Extraordinary photoresponse in two-dimensional In2Se<sup>3</sup> nanosheets," *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, pp. 514–521, dec 2014.
- [16] S. Kallatt, G. Umesh, N. Bhat, and K. Majumdar, "Photoresponse of atomically thin  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  layers and their planar heterojunctions," *Nanoscale*, vol. 8, no. 33, pp. 15213–15222, 2016.
- [17] M. Krishna, S. Kallatt, and K. Majumdar, "Substrate effects in high gain , low operating voltage SnSe<sup>2</sup> photoconductor," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 29, p. 035205, dec 2018.
- [18] M. M. Furchi, D. K. Polyushkin, A. Pospischil, and T. Mueller, "Mechanisms of photoconductivity in atomically thin  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ ," *Nano Letters*, vol. 14, pp. 6165–6170, oct 2014.
- [19] K. Murali, M. Dandu, S. Das, and K. Majumdar, "Gate-Tunable  $WSe_2/SnSe_2$  Backward Diode with Ultrahigh-Reverse Rectification Ratio," *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, vol. 10, pp. 5657–5664, jan 2018.
- [20] D. Somvanshi, S. Kallatt, C. Venkatesh, S. Nair, G. Gupta, J. K. Anthony, D. Karmakar, and K. Majumdar, "Nature of carrier injection in metal/2D-semiconductor interface and its implications for the limits of contact resistance," *Physical Review B*, vol. 96, p. 205423, nov 2017.
- [21] R. Schlaf, O. Lang, C. Pettenkofer, and W. Jaegermann, "Band lineup of layered semiconductor heterointerfaces prepared by van der Waals epitaxy: Charge transfer correction term for the electron affinity rule," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 85, p. 2732, mar 1999.
- [22] O. Lang, Y. Tomm, R. Schlaf, C. Pettenkofer, and W. Jaegermann, "Single crystalline GaSe/ $WSe_2$  heterointerfaces grown by van der Waals epitaxy. II. Junction characterization," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 75, pp. 7814–7820, feb 1994.
- [23] K. E. Aretouli, D. Tsoutsou, P. Tsipas, J. Marquez-Velasco, S. Aminal-

ragia Giamini, N. Kelaidis, V. Psycharis, and A. Dimoulas, "Epitaxial 2D SnSe2/ 2D W Se<sup>2</sup> van der Waals heterostructures," *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, vol. 8, pp. 23222–23229, aug 2016.

- [24] J. O. Island, S. I. Blanter, M. Buscema, H. S. Van Der Zant, and A. Castellanos-Gomez, "Gate controlled photocurrent generation mechanisms in high-gain In2Se<sup>3</sup> phototransistors," *Nano Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 7853–7858, nov 2015.
- [25] W. Zhang, M. H. Chiu, C. H. Chen, W. Chen, L. J. Li, and A. T. S. Wee, "Role of metal contacts in high-performance phototransistors based on W Se<sup>2</sup> monolayers," *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, pp. 8653–8661, aug 2014.
- [26] X. Zhou, L. Gan, W. Tian, Q. Zhang, S. Jin, H. Li, Y. Bando, D. Golberg, and T. Zhai, "Ultrathin SnSe<sup>2</sup> Flakes Grown by Chemical Vapor Deposition for High-Performance Photodetectors," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 27, pp. 8035–8041, nov 2015.
- [27] W. Luo, Y. Cao, P. Hu, K. Cai, Q. Feng, F. Yan, T. Yan, X. Zhang, and K. Wang, "Gate Tuning of High-Performance InSe-Based Photodetectors Using Graphene Electrodes," *Advanced Optical Materials*, vol. 3, pp. 1418–1423, jun 2015.
- [28] S. Qiao, R. Cong, J. Liu, B. Liang, G. Fu, W. Yu, K. Ren, S. Wang, and C. Pan, "Vertical layered  $MoS_2/Si$  heterojunction for ultrahigh and ultrafast photoresponse photodetector," *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, p. 1, feb 2018.
- [29] X. Zhou, N. Zhou, C. Li, H. Song, Q. Zhang, X. Hu, L. Gan, H. Li, J. Lü, J. Luo, J. Xiong, and T. Zhai, "Vertical heterostructures based on SnSe2/M oS<sup>2</sup> for high performance photodetectors," *2D Materials*, vol. 4, p. 025048, mar 2017.
- [30] Z. Xu, S. Lin, X. Li, S. Zhang, Z. Wu, W. Xu, Y. Lu, and S. Xu, "Monolayer  $MoS_2/GaAs$  heterostructure self-driven photodetector with extremely high detectivity," *Nano Energy*, vol. 23, pp. 89–96, mar 2016.
- [31] L. Wang, J. Jie, Z. Shao, Q. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. Sun, and S. T. Lee, " $MoS_2/Si$  heterojunction with vertically standing layered structure for ultrafast, high-detectivity, self-driven visible-near infrared photodetectors," *Advanced Functional Materials*, vol. 25, pp. 2910– 2919, mar 2015.
- [32] A. Abderrahmane, P. J. Ko, T. V. Thu, S. Ishizawa, T. Takamura, and A. Sandhu, "High photosensitivity few-layered  $MoSe_2$  back-gated field-effect phototransistors," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 25, p. 365202, aug 2014.
- [33] K. Zhang, X. Fang, Y. Wang, Y. Wan, Q. Song, W. Zhai, Y. Li, G. Ran, Y. Ye, and L. Dai, "Ultrasensitive Near-Infrared Photodetectors Based on a Graphene-M oTe<sub>2</sub>-Graphene Vertical van der Waals Heterostructure," *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, vol. 9, pp. 5392–5398, jan 2017.
- [34] A. Gao, E. Liu, M. Long, W. Zhou, Y. Wang, T. Xia, W. Hu, B. Wang, and F. Miao, "Gate-tunable rectification inversion and photovoltaic detection in graphene/W Se<sup>2</sup> heterostructures," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 108, p. 223501, jun 2016.
- [35] P. Hu, L. Wang, M. Yoon, J. Zhang, W. Feng, X. Wang, Z. Wen, J. C. Idrobo, Y. Miyamoto, D. B. Geohegan, and K. Xiao, "Highly responsive ultrathin GaS nanosheet photodetectors on rigid and flexible substrates," *Nano Letters*, vol. 13, pp. 1649–1654, mar 2013.
- [36] S. Yang, C. Wang, C. Ataca, Y. Li, H. Chen, H. Cai, A. Suslu, J. C. Grossman, C. Jiang, Q. Liu, and S. Tongay, "Self-Driven Photodetector and Ambipolar Transistor in Atomically Thin GaTe- $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  p-n vdW Heterostructure," *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 2533–2539, 2016.
- [37] W. Feng, Z. Jin, J. Yuan, J. Zhang, S. Jia, L. Dong, J. Yoon, L. Zhou, R. Vajtai, J. M. Tour, and P. M. Ajayan, "A fast and zero-biased photodetector based on GaTe InSe vertical 2D p n heterojunction," *2D Materials*, vol. 5, p. 025008, feb 2018.
- [38] Y. Chen, X. Wang, G. Wu, Z. Wang, H. Fang, T. Lin, S. Sun, H. Shen, W. Hu, J. Wang, J. Sun, X. Meng, and J. Chu, "High-Performance Photovoltaic Detector Based on  $MoTe_{2}/MoS_{2}$  Van der Waals Heterostructure," *Small*, vol. 14, p. 1703293, jan 2018.
- [39] N. Huo, S. Yang, Z. Wei, S.-S. Li, J.-B. Xia, and J. Li, "Photoresponsive and Gas Sensing Field-Effect Transistors based on Multilayer  $WS_2$ Nanoflakes," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 4, p. 5209, jun 2014.
- [40] D. Kufer, I. Nikitskiy, T. Lasanta, G. Navickaite, F. H. Koppens, and G. Konstantatos, "Hybrid 2D-0D  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ -PbS quantum dot photodetectors," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 27, pp. 176–180, nov 2015.
- [41] N. Huo, S. Gupta, and G. Konstantatos, " $MoS<sub>2</sub>HgTe$  Quantum Dot Hybrid Photodetectors beyond 2 m," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 29, p. 1606576, mar 2017.
- [42] G. Konstantatos, M. Badioli, L. Gaudreau, J. Osmond, M. Bernechea, F. P. G. De Arquer, F. Gatti, and F. H. Koppens, "Hybrid graphenequantum dot phototransistors with ultrahigh gain," *Nature Nanotechnology*, vol. 7, pp. 363–368, may 2012.

[43] Z. Sun, Z. Liu, J. Li, G. A. Tai, S. P. Lau, and F. Yan, "Infrared photodetectors based on CVD-grown graphene and PbS quantum dots with ultrahigh responsivity," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 24, pp. 5878– 5883, aug 2012.