

The distributional hyper-Jacobian determinants in fractional Sobolev spaces

Qiang Tu Chuanxi Wu Xueting Qiu

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China *

Abstract: In this paper we give a positive answer to a question raised by Baer-Jerison in connection with hyper-Jacobian determinants and associated minors in fractional Sobolev spaces. Inspired by recent works of Brezis-Nguyen and Baer-Jerison on the Jacobian and Hessian determinants, we show that the distributional m th-Jacobian minors of degree r are weak continuous in fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}$, and the result is optimal, satisfying the necessary conditions, in the frame work of fractional Sobolev spaces. In particular, the conditions can be removed in case $m = 1, 2$, i.e., the m th-Jacobian minors of degree r are well defined in $W^{s,p}$ if and only if $W^{s,p} \subseteq W^{m-\frac{m}{r},m}$ in case $m = 1, 2$.

Key words: Hyper-Jacobian, Higher dimensional determinants, Fractional Sobolev spaces, Distributions.

2010 MR Subject Classification: 46E35, 46F10, 42B35.

1 Introduction and main results

Fix integer $m \geq 1$ and consider the class of non-smooth functions u from Ω , a smooth bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , into \mathbb{R}^n ($N \geq 2$). The aim of this article is to identify when the hyper(m th)-Jacobian determinants and associated minors of u , which were introduced by Olver in [16], make sense as a distribution.

In the case $N = n$ and $m = 1$, starting with seminal work of Morrey[14], Reshetnyak[15] and Ball[1] on variational problems of non-linear elasticity, it is well known that the distributional (1th)-Jacobian determinant $\text{Det}(Du)$ of a map $u \in W^{1, \frac{N^2}{N+1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ (or $u \in L^q \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\frac{N-1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $N-1 < p \leq \infty$) is defined by

$$\text{Det}(Du) := \sum_j \partial_j (u^i (\text{adj} Du)_j^i),$$

where $\text{adj} Du$ means the adjoint matrix of Du . Furthermore, Brezis-Nguyen [5] extended the range of the map $u \mapsto \text{Det}(Du)$ in the framework of fractional Sobolev spaces. They showed that the distributional Jacobian determinant $\text{Det}(Du)$ for any $u \in W^{1-\frac{1}{N}, N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ can be defined as

$$\langle \text{Det}(Du), \psi \rangle := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \det(Du_k) \psi dx \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}),$$

*Email addresses: qiangtu@whu.edu.cn(Qiang Tu), cxwu@hubu.edu.cn (Chuanxi Wu), qiuxueting1996@163.com (Xueting Qiu).

where $u_k \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1-\frac{1}{N}, N}$. They pointed out that the result recovers all the definitions of distributional Jacobian determinants mentioned above, except $N = 2$, and the distributional Jacobian determinants are well-defined in $W^{s,p}$ if and only if $W^{s,p} \subseteq W^{1-\frac{1}{N}, N}$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$.

In the case $n = 1$ and $m = 2$, similar to the results in [5], the distributional Hessian(2th-Jacobian) determinants are well-defined and continuous on $W^{2-\frac{2}{N}, N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [13, 1]). Baer-Jersion [1] pointed out that the continuous results of Hessian determinant in $W^{2-\frac{2}{N}, N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $N \geq 3$ implies the known continuity results in space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $1 < p, r < \infty$, $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{N-2}{q} = 1$, $N \geq 3$ (see [6, 7, 9]). Furthermore they showed that the distributional Hessian determinants are well-defined in $W^{s,p}$ if and only if $W^{s,p} \subseteq W^{2-\frac{2}{N}, N}$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < s < 2$.

For $m > 2$, m th-Jacobian, as a generalization of ordinary Jacobian, was first introduced by Escherich [8] and Gegenbauer [11]. In fact, the general formula for hyper-Jacobian can be expressed by using Cayley's theory of higher dimensional determinants. All these earlier investigations were limited to polynomial functions until Olver [16] turn his attention to some non-smooth functions. Especially he showed that the m th-Jacobian determinants (minors) of degree r can be defined as a distribution provided

$$u \in W^{m-\lfloor \frac{m}{r} \rfloor, \gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{m-\lfloor \frac{m}{r} \rfloor - 1, \delta}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \frac{r-t}{\gamma} + \frac{t}{\delta} \leq 1, t := m \bmod r$$

or

$$u \in W^{m-\lfloor \frac{m}{r} \rfloor, \gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \gamma \geq \max\left\{\frac{Nr}{N+t}\right\}.$$

Bare-Jersion [1] raised an interesting question: whether do there exist fractional versions of this result? I.e., is the m th-Jacobian determinant of degree r continuous from space $W^{m-\frac{m}{r}, r}$ into the space of distributions? Our first results give a positive answer to the question. We refer to Sec. 2 below for the following notation.

Theorem 1.1. *Let q, n, N be integers with $2 \leq q \leq \underline{n} := \min\{n, N\}$, for any integer $1 \leq r \leq q$, multi-indices $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), the m th-Jacobian (β, α) -minor operator $u \mapsto M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u)$ (see (2.6)) : $C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ can be extended uniquely as a continuous mapping $u \mapsto \text{Div}_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) : W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Moreover for all $u, v \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \text{Div}_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) - \text{Div}_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m v), \psi \rangle| \\ & \leq C_{r,q,n,N,\Omega} \|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}}^{r-1} + \|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}}^{r-1} \right) \|D^m \psi\|_{L^{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We recall that for $0 < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as follows: when $s < 1$

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

and the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} := \|u\|_{L^p} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

When $s > 1$ with non-integer,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \{u \in W^{[s],p}(\Omega) \mid D^{[s]}u \in W^{s-[s],p}(\Omega)\},$$

the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} := \|u\|_{W^{[s],p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{[s]}u(x) - D^{[s]}u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+(s-[s])p}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Remark 1.2. It is worth pointing out that we may use the same method to get a similar result, see Corollary 3.5, for $u \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega)$ with $m \geq 2$. Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 3.5 recover not only all the definitions of Jacobian and Hessian determinants mentioned above, but also the definitions of m -th Jacobian in [16] since the following facts

- (i) $W^{m-[\frac{m}{r}],\gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{m-[\frac{m}{r}]-1,\delta}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embedding if $\frac{r-t}{\gamma} + \frac{t}{\delta} \leq 1$ ($1 < \delta < \infty, 1 < r \leq N$), where $t := m \bmod r$.
- (ii) $W^{m-[\frac{m}{r}],\gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 < r \leq N$) with continuous embedding if $\gamma \geq \max\{\frac{Nr}{N+t}\}$.

Similar to the optimal results for the ordinary distributional Jacobian and Hessian determinants in [5, 1], an natural question is that whether the results in Theorem 1.1 is optimal in the framework of the space $W^{s,p}$? I.e., is the distributional m -th Jacobian minors of degree r well-defined in $W^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $W^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$? Such a question is connected with the construction of counter-examples in some special fractional Sobolev spaces. Indeed, the above conjecture is obviously correct in case $r = 1$. Our next results give a partial positive answer in case $r > 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let m, r be integers with $1 < r \leq \underline{n}$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$ be such that $W^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \not\subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. If the condition*

$$1 < r < p, s = m - m/r \text{ non-integer} \tag{1.2}$$

fails, then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, multi-indices $\beta \in I(r, n)$, $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{s,p} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) \psi dx = \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

one still unanswered question is whether the above optimal results hold in case (1.2). We give some discuss in Sec. 4 and give positive answers in case $m = 1$ and 2. Indeed

Theorem 1.4. *Let $m = 1$ or 2 and r, s, p be as in Theorem 1.3. Then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, multi-indices $\beta \in I(r, n)$, $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that (1.3) holds.*

Furthermore, we give reinforced versions of optimal results, see Theorem 4.9, for $u \in W^{2-\frac{2}{r},r}(\Omega)$ with $1 < r \leq N$. we expect that there are reinforced versions of optimal results for $W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega)$ ($m > 2$), for instance there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega})$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{s,p} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}(D^m u) \psi dx = \infty \tag{1.4}$$

for any s, p with $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \not\subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega)$.

This paper is organized as follows. Some facts and notion about higher dimensional determinant and hyper-Jacobian are given in Section 2. In Section 3 we establish the weak continuity results and definitions for distributional hyper-Jacobian minors in fractional Sobolev space. Then we turn to the question about optimality and get some positive results in Section 4.

2 Higher dimensional determinants

In this section we collect some notation and preliminary results for hyper-Jacobian determinants and minors. First we recall some notation and facts about ordinary determinants and minors, whereas further details can be found in [12].

Fix $0 \leq k \leq n$, we shall use the standard notation for ordered multi-indices

$$I(k, n) := \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mid \alpha_i \text{ integers, } 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \leq n\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $n \geq 2$. Set $I(0, n) = \{0\}$ and $|\alpha| = k$ if $\alpha \in I(k, n)$. For $\alpha \in I(k, n)$,

- (i) $\bar{\alpha}$ is the element in $I(n - k, n)$ which complements α in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in the natural increasing order.
- (ii) $\alpha - i$ means the multi-index of length $k - 1$ obtained by removing i from α for any $i \in \alpha$.
- (iii) $\alpha + j$ means the multi-index of length $k + 1$ obtained by adding j to α for any $j \notin \alpha$.
- (iv) $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ is the sign of the permutation which reorders (α, β) in the natural increasing order for any multi-index β with $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$. In particular set $\sigma(\bar{0}, 0) := 1$.

Let $n, N \geq 2$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times N}$ be an $n \times N$ matrix. Given two ordered multi-indices $\alpha \in I(k, N)$ and $\beta \in I(k, n)$, then A_α^β denotes the $k \times k$ -submatrix of A obtained by selecting the rows and columns by β and α , respectively. Its determinant will be denoted by

$$M_\alpha^\beta(A) := \det A_\alpha^\beta, \quad (2.2)$$

and we set $M_0^0(A) := 1$. The adjoint of A_α^β is defined by the formula

$$(\text{adj } A_\alpha^\beta)_j^i := \sigma(i, \beta - i)\sigma(j, \alpha - j) \det A_{\alpha - j}^{\beta - i}, \quad i \in \beta, j \in \alpha.$$

So Laplace formulas can be written as

$$M_\alpha^\beta(A) = \sum_{j \in \alpha} a_{ij} (\text{adj } A_\alpha^\beta)_j^i, \quad i \in \beta.$$

Next we pay attention to the higher dimensional matrix and determinant.

An m -dimensional matrix \mathbf{A} of order N^m is a hypercubical array of N^m as

$$\mathbf{A} = (a_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_m})_{N \times \dots \times N}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the index $l_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbf{A} be an m -dimensional matrix, then the (full signed) determinant of \mathbf{A} is the number

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_N} \prod_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) a_{1\tau_2(1)\dots\tau_m(1)} a_{2\tau_2(2)\dots\tau_m(2)} \dots a_{N\tau_2(N)\dots\tau_m(N)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where S_N is the permutation group of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the sign of \cdot .

For any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq N$, the j -th i -layer of \mathbf{A} , the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional matrix denoted by $\mathbf{A}|_{l_i=j}$, which generalizing the notion of row and column for ordinary matrices, is defined by

$$\mathbf{A}|_{l_i=j} := (a_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_{i-1} j l_{i+1} \dots l_m})_{N \times \dots \times N}.$$

According to Definition 2.1, we can easily obtain that

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathbf{A} be an m -dimensional matrix and $1 \leq i \leq m$. \mathbf{A}' is a matrix such that a pair of i -layers in \mathbf{A} is interchanged, then

$$\det \mathbf{A}' = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-1} \det \mathbf{A} & i = 1, \\ -\det \mathbf{A} & i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For any \mathbf{A} and $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, the (i, j) -transposition of \mathbf{A} , denoting by $\mathbf{A}^{T(i,j)}$, is a m -dimensional matrix defined by

$$a'_{l_1, \dots, l_i, \dots, l_j, \dots, l_m} = a_{l_1, \dots, l_j, \dots, l_i, \dots, l_m}$$

for any $l_1, \dots, l_m = 1, \dots, N$. where

$$\mathbf{A}^{T(i,j)} := (a'_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_m})_{N \times \dots \times N}.$$

Then we have

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathbf{A} be an m -dimensional matrix and $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, if m is odd and $1 < i < j \leq m$ or m is even, then

$$\det \mathbf{A}^{T(i,j)} = \det \mathbf{A}.$$

Proof. According to the definition of the m -dimensional determinant, we only to show the claim in case m is even, $i = 1$ and $j = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_N} \prod_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) a_{1\tau_2(1)\dots\tau_m(1)} a_{2\tau_2(2)\dots\tau_m(2)} \cdots a_{N\tau_2(N)\dots\tau_m(N)} \\ &= \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_N} \prod_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) a_{\tau_2^{-1}(1)1\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(1)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(1)} a_{\tau_2^{-1}(2)2\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(2)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(2)} \cdots a_{\tau_2^{-1}(N)N\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(N)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(N)} \\ &= \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_N} (\sigma(\tau_2))^{m-2} \sigma(\tau_2^{-1}) \sigma(\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}) \cdots \sigma(\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot a'_{1\tau_2^{-1}(1)\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(1)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(1)} a'_{2\tau_2^{-1}(2)\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(2)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(2)} \cdots a'_{N\tau_2^{-1}(N)\tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1}(N)\dots\tau_m \circ \tau_2^{-1}(N)} \\ &= \sum_{\tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_m \in S_N} \prod_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau'_s) a'_{1\tau'_2(1)\tau'_3(1)\dots\tau'_m(1)} a'_{2\tau'_2(2)\tau'_3(2)\dots\tau'_m(2)} \cdots a'_{N\tau'_2(N)\tau'_3(N)\dots\tau'_m(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

More generally, suppose \mathbf{A} be an m -dimensional matrix of order $N_1 \times \dots \times N_m$, $1 \leq r \leq \min\{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$, and an type of multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ where $\alpha^j := (\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_r^j)$, $\alpha_i^j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_j\}$ and $\alpha_{i_1}^j \neq \alpha_{i_2}^j$ for $i_1 \neq i_2$. Define the α -minor of \mathbf{A} , denoted by \mathbf{A}_α , to be the m -dimensional matrix of order r^m as

$$\mathbf{A}_\alpha = (b_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_m})_{r \times \dots \times r},$$

where $b_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_m} := a_{\alpha_{l_1}^1 \alpha_{l_2}^2 \dots \alpha_{l_m}^m}$. Its determinant will be denoted by

$$M_\alpha(\mathbf{A}) := \det \mathbf{A}_\alpha. \tag{2.5}$$

If α^j is not increasing, let $\tilde{\alpha}^j$ be the increasing multi-indices generated by α^j and $\tilde{\alpha} := (\tilde{\alpha}^1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}^m)$, then Lemma 2.2 implies that $M_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ and $M_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{A})$ differ only by a sign. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N_j)$. Moreover we set $M_0(\mathbf{A}) := 1$.

Next we pay attention to hyper-Jacobian determinants and minors for a map $u \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We will denote by $D^m u$ the hyper-Jacobian matrix of u , more precisely, $D^m u$ is a $(m+1)$ -dimensional matrix with order $n \times N \times \cdots \times N$ given by

$$D^m u := (a_{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{m+1}})_{n \times N \times \cdots \times N}$$

where

$$a_{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{m+1}} = \partial_{l_2} \partial_{l_3} \cdots \partial_{l_{m+1}} u^{l_1}.$$

Then for any $\beta \in I(r, n)$, $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ and $1 \leq r \leq \min\{n, N\}$, the m th-Jacobian (β, α) -minor of u , denoted by $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u)$, is the determinant of the (β, α) -minor of $D^m u$, i.e.,

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) := M_{(\beta, \alpha)}(D^m u). \quad (2.6)$$

In particular if $N = n$ and $\beta = \alpha^1 = \cdots = \alpha^m = \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, $\det(D^m u)$ is called the m -th Jacobian determinant of u . Similarly, the hyper-Jacobian matrix $D^m u$ of $u \in C^m(\Omega)$ is a m -dimensional matrix with order $N \times \cdots \times N$ and the m th-Jacobian α -minor of u is defined by $M_{\alpha}(D^m u)$.

In order to prove the main results, some lemmas, which can be easily manipulated by the definition of hyper-Jacobian minors, are introduced as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u = (v, \cdots, v) \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $v \in C^m(\Omega)$. For any $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$, $1 \leq r \leq \underline{n}$*

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) = \begin{cases} r! M_{\alpha}(D^m v) & m \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $u \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$, $1 \leq r \leq \underline{n}$. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq m$*

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) = \sum_{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i+1}, \cdots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s \in \bar{i}} \sigma(\tau_s) M_{\alpha^i}^{\bar{0}}(Dv(i)), \quad (2.7)$$

where $M_{\alpha^i}^{\bar{0}}(\cdot)$ is the ordinary minors and $v(i) \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^r)$ can be written as

$$v^j(i) = \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_1(i)}^1} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_{i-1}(i)}^{i-1}} \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_{i+1}(i)}^{i+1}} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_m(i)}^m} u^{\beta_j}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, r.$$

3 Hyper-jacobians in fractional Sobolev spaces

In this section we establish the weak continuity results for the Hyper-jacobian minors in the fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$, we set

$$\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha^1 + (N+1), \cdots, \alpha^m + (N+m)), R(\tilde{\alpha}) := \{(i_1, \cdots, i_m) \mid i_j \in \alpha^j + (N+j)\}.$$

For any $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_m) \in R(\tilde{\alpha})$,

$$\tilde{\alpha} - I := (\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, \cdots, \alpha^m + (N+m) - i_m);$$

$$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} - I, I) := \Pi_{s=1}^m \sigma(\alpha^s + (N+s) - i_s, i_s);$$

$$\partial_I := \partial_{x_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{x_{i_m}}; \quad \tilde{x} := (x_1, \cdots, x_N, x_{N+1}, \cdots, x_{N+m}).$$

We begin with the following simple lemma:

Lemma 3.1. Let $u \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in C_c^m(\Omega)$, $0 \leq r \leq \underline{n} := \min\{n, N\}$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), then

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) \psi dx = \sum_{I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})} (-1)^m \sigma(\tilde{\alpha} - I, I) \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m U) \partial_I \Psi d\tilde{x}, \quad (3.1)$$

for any extensions $U \in C^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{m+1}(\Omega \times (0, 1)^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Psi \in C_c^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]^m, \mathbb{R})$ of u and ψ , respectively.

Proof. It is easy to show the results in case $r = 0, 1$ or $\underline{n} = 1$. So we give the proof only for the case $2 \leq r \leq \underline{n}$. Denote

$$U_i := \begin{cases} U|_{x_{N+i+1}=\dots=x_{N+m}=0}, & 1 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ U, & i = m. \end{cases} \quad \Psi_i := \begin{cases} \Psi|_{x_{N+i+1}=\dots=x_{N+m}=0}, & 1 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ \Psi, & i = m. \end{cases}$$

$$\Omega_i := \Omega \times [0, 1]_{x_{N+1}} \times \dots \times [0, 1]_{x_{N+i}}; \quad \tilde{x}_i := (x, x_{N+1}, \dots, x_{N+i}).$$

Applying the fundamental theorem of calculus and the definition of $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) \psi dx &= - \int_{\Omega_1} \partial_{N+1} (M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m U_1) \Psi_1) d\tilde{x}_1 \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_1} \partial_{N+1} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m U_1) \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 - \int_{\Omega_1} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m U_1) \partial_{N+1} \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

According to the Lemma 2.5, $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m U_1)$ can be written as

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m U_1) = \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) M_{\alpha^1}^{\bar{0}}(DV_1),$$

where $\bar{0} := \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and

$$V_1(\tilde{x}_1) := (V_1^1(\tilde{x}_1), \dots, V_1^r(\tilde{x}_1)), \quad V_1^j = \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_2(j)}^2} \dots \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_m(j)}^m} u^{\beta_j}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u) \psi dx = \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) \left\{ - \int_{\Omega_1} \partial_{N+1} M_{\alpha^1}^{\bar{0}}(DV_1) \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 - \int_{\Omega_1} M_{\alpha^1}^{\bar{0}}(DV_1) \partial_{N+1} \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

We denote the first part integral on the right-hand side by I , Laplace formulas of the 2-dimensional minors imply that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= - \sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{\Omega_1} \sigma(i, \alpha^1 - i) \sigma(j, \bar{0} - j) \partial_{N+1} \partial_i V_1^j M_{\alpha^1 - i}^{\bar{0} - j}(DV_1) \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 \\ &= \sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{\Omega_1} \sigma(i, \alpha^1 - i) \sigma(j, \bar{0} - j) \partial_{N+1} V_1^j \left(\partial_i M_{\alpha^1 - i}^{\bar{0} - j}(DV_1) \Psi_1 + M_{\alpha^1 - i}^{\bar{0} - j}(DV_1) \partial_i \Psi_1 \right) d\tilde{x}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \sigma(i, \alpha^1 - i) \sigma(j, \bar{0} - j) \partial_i M_{\alpha^1 - i}^{\bar{0} - j}(DV_1) = 0$$

for any j , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{\Omega_1} \sigma(i, \alpha^1 - i) \sigma(j, \bar{0} - j) \partial_{N+1} V_1^j M_{\alpha^1 - i}^{\bar{0} - j} (DV_1) \partial_i \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 \\
&= \sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \int_{\Omega_1} \sigma(i, \alpha^1 - i) \sigma(N+1, \alpha^1 - i) M_{\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i}^{\bar{0}} (DV_1) \partial_i \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 \\
&= - \sum_{i \in \alpha^1} \int_{\Omega_1} \sigma(\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i, i) M_{\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i}^{\bar{0}} (DV_1) \partial_i \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Combing with (3.3), we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta} (D^m u) \psi dx = - \sum_{i_1 \in \alpha^1 + (N+1)} \sigma(\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, i_1) \sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) \int_{\Omega_1} M_{\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1}^{\bar{0}} (DV_1) \partial_{i_1} \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1.$$

For any $i_1 \in \alpha^1 + (N+1)$, we denote $\gamma := \alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=2}^m \sigma(\tau_s) M_{\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1}^{\bar{0}} (DV_1) = \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=1}^m \sigma(\tau_s) \partial_{\gamma_{\tau_1(1)}} V_1^1 \cdots \partial_{\gamma_{\tau_1(r)}} V_1^r \\
&= \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \Pi_{s=1}^m \sigma(\tau_s) \left(\partial_{\gamma_{\tau_1(1)}} \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_2(1)}^2} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_m(1)}^m} U_1^{\beta_1} \right) \cdots \left(\partial_{\gamma_{\tau_1(r)}} \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_2(r)}^2} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_{\tau_m(r)}^m} U_1^{\beta_r} \right) \\
&= M_{\alpha(i_1)}^{\beta} (D^m U_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha(i_1) := (\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta} (D^m u) \psi dx &= - \sum_{i_1 \in \alpha^1 + (N+1)} \sigma(\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, i_1) \int_{\Omega_1} M_{\alpha(i_1)}^{\beta} (D^m U_1) \partial_{i_1} \Psi_1 d\tilde{x}_1 \\
&= \sum_{i_1 \in \alpha^1 + (N+1)} \sigma(\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, i_1) \int_{\Omega_2} \partial_{N+2} \left(M_{\alpha(i_1)}^{\beta} (D^m U_2) \partial_{i_1} \Psi_2 \right) d\tilde{x}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

An easy induction and the argument similar to the one used in (3.2)-(3.6) shows that

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta} (D^m u) \psi dx = (-1)^j \sum_{s=1}^j \sum_{i_s \in \alpha^s + (N+s)} \Pi_{s=1}^j \sigma(\alpha^s + (N+s) - i_s, i_s) \int_{\Omega_j} M_{\alpha(i_1 i_2 \dots i_j)}^{\beta} (D^m U_j) \partial_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_j} \Psi_j d\tilde{x}_j \tag{3.8}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, where

$$\alpha(i_1 i_2 \dots i_j) := (\alpha^1 + (N+1) - i_1, \dots, \alpha^j + (N+j) - i_j, \alpha^{j+1}, \dots, \alpha^m).$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u, v \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in C_c^m(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq q \leq \underline{n}$. Then for any $1 \leq r \leq q$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$,*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta} (D^m u) \psi dx - \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta} (D^m v) \psi dx \right| \leq C \|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}} (\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}}^{r-1} + \|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q}, q}}^{r-1}) \|D^m \psi\|_{L^{\infty}}, \tag{3.9}$$

the constant C depending only on q, r, m, n, N and Ω .

Proof. Let \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} be extensions of u and v to \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \|\tilde{v}\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where C depending only on q, m, n, N and Ω .

According to a well known trace theorem of Stein in [17, 18], where $W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is identified as the space of traces of $W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, +\infty)^m)$, there is a bounded linear extension operator

$$E : W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, +\infty)^m, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let U and V be extensions of \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} to $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, +\infty)^m$, respectively, i.e.,

$$U = E\tilde{u}, \quad V = E\tilde{v}.$$

We then have

$$\|D^m U\|_{L^q(\Omega \times (0,1)^m)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{q}{m},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \|D^m V\|_{L^q(\Omega \times (0,1)^m)} \leq C\|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\|D^m U - D^m V\|_{L^q(\Omega \times (0,1)^m)} \leq C\|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $\Psi \in C_c^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]^m)$ be an extension of ψ such that

$$\|D^m \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)} \leq C\|D^m \psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u)\psi dx - \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m v)\psi dx \right| \leq \sum_{I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} \left| M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m U) - M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m V) \right| |\partial_I \Psi| d\tilde{x} \\ & \leq \|D^m \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)} \sum_{I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} \left| M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m U) - M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m V) \right| d\tilde{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Note that for any $I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m U) - M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^{\beta}(D^m V) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} |\partial_{\tau_1(1)\dots\tau_m(1)} U^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_{\tau_1(r)\dots\tau_m(r)} U^{\beta_r} - \partial_{\tau_1(1)\dots\tau_m(1)} V^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_{\tau_1(r)\dots\tau_m(r)} V^{\beta_r}| \\ & \leq \sum_{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in S_r} \sum_{s=1}^r |D^m U|^{s-1} |D^m U - D^m V| |D^m V|^{r-s} \\ & \leq C |D^m U - D^m V| (|D^m U|^{r-1} + |D^m V|^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (3.10), we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m u)\psi dx - \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(D^m v)\psi dx \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} |D^m U - D^m V| (|D^m U|^{r-1} + |D^m V|^{r-1}) d\tilde{x} \|D^m \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)} \\ & \leq C \|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}} (\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}}^{r-1} + \|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}}^{r-1}) \|D^m \psi\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

□

According to the above lemma, we can give the definitions of distributional m th-Jacobian minors of u with degree less than q when $u \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($2 \leq q \leq \underline{n}$).

Definition 3.3. Let $u \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $2 \leq q \leq \underline{n}$. For any $0 \leq r \leq q$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$, the distributional m th-Jacobian (β, α) -minors of u , denoted by $\text{Div}_\alpha^\beta(D^m u)$, is defined by

$$\langle \text{Div}_\alpha^\beta(D^m u), \psi \rangle := \begin{cases} \int_\Omega \psi(x) dx, & r = 0; \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega M_\alpha^\beta(D^m u_k) \psi dx, & 1 \leq r \leq q \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

for any $\psi \in C_c^m(\Omega)$ and any sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Obviously this quantity is well-defined since Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is clear that Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Definition 3.3. \square

According to the trace theory and the approximate theorem, we obtain a fundamental representation of the distributional m -th Jacobian minors in $W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $u \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $2 \leq q \leq \underline{n}$. For any $0 \leq r \leq q$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$,

$$\int_\Omega \text{Div}_\alpha^\beta(D^m u) \psi dx = \sum_{I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})} (-1)^m \sigma(\tilde{\alpha} - I, I) \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}^\beta(D^m U) \partial_I \Psi d\tilde{x}$$

for any extensions $U \in W^{m,q}(\Omega \times [0,1]^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Psi \in C_c^m(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)$ of u and ψ , respectively.

Note that the m -dimensional matrix $D^m u$ is symmetric if $u \in C^m(\Omega)$, i.e., $(D^m u)^{T(i,j)} = D^m u$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. An argument similar to the one used in Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 show that

Corollary 3.5. Let $u \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega)$ with $2 \leq q \leq N$ and $m \geq 2$. For any $0 \leq r \leq q$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$, Then the m -th Jacobian α -minor operator $u \mapsto M_\alpha(D^m u) : C^m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ can be extended uniquely as a continuous mapping $u \mapsto \text{Div}_\alpha(D^m u) : W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Moreover for all $u, v \in W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}(\Omega)$, $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $1 \leq r \leq q$, we have

$$|\langle \text{Div}_\alpha(D^m u) - \text{Div}_\alpha(D^m v), \psi \rangle| \leq C_{r,q,N,\Omega} \|u - v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}}^{r-1} + \|v\|_{W^{m-\frac{m}{q},q}}^{r-1} \right) \|D^m \psi\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the constant depending only on r, q, N and Ω . In particular, the distributional minor $\text{Div}_\alpha(D^m u)$ can be expressed as

$$\int_\Omega \text{Div}_\alpha(D^m u) \psi dx = \sum_{I \in R(\tilde{\alpha})} (-1)^m \sigma(\tilde{\alpha} - I, I) \int_{\Omega \times [0,1]^m} M_{\tilde{\alpha}-I}(D^m U) \partial_I \Psi d\tilde{x}$$

for any extensions $U \in W^{m,q}(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)$ and $\Psi \in C_c^m(\Omega \times [0,1]^m)$ of u and ψ , respectively.

4 The optimality results in fractional Sobolev spaces

In this section we establish the optimality results of Theorem 1 in the framework of spaces $W^{s,p}$. Before proving the main results, we state some interesting consequences (see [4, Theorem 1 and Proposition 5.3]):

Lemma 4.1. *For $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \infty$, $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p \leq \infty$, $s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, the inequality*

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega)}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}.$$

holds if and only if the following condition fails

$$s_2 \geq 1 \text{ is an integer, } p_2 = 1 \text{ and } s_2 - s_1 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p_1}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The following equalities of spaces holds:*

- (i) $W^{s,p}(\Omega) = F_{p,p}^s(\Omega)$ if $s > 0$ is a non-integer and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
- (ii) $W^{s,p}(\Omega) = F_{p,2}^s(\Omega)$ if $s \geq 0$ is an integer and $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 4.3. The definition of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ can be seen in [4, 19].

Remark 4.4. If $1 < r \leq N$, according to the embedding properties of the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s$, see e.g. [19, page 196], and Proposition 4.2, we consider all possible cases:

- (i) $s - m + \frac{m}{r} > \max\{0, \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}\}$, then the embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega)$ holds;
- (ii) $s - m + \frac{m}{r} < \max\{0, \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}\}$, the embedding fails;
- (iii) $s - m + \frac{m}{r} = \max\{0, \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}\}$, there are three sub-cases:
 - (a) if $p \leq r$, then the embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega)$ holds;
 - (b) if $p > r$ and $m - \frac{m}{r}$ integer, the embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{m-\frac{m}{r},r}(\Omega)$ holds;
 - (c) if $p > r$ and $m - \frac{m}{r}$ non-integer, the embedding fails.

In order to solve the optimality results, we just consider three cases:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) & 1 < p \leq r, s + \frac{m}{r} < m + \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}; \\ (2) & 1 < r < p, 0 < s < m - \frac{m}{r}; \\ (3) & 1 < r < p, s = m - m/r \text{ non-integer.} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $n = N$, $(-8, 8)^N \subset \Omega$, and $\alpha' = (\alpha', \dots, \alpha')$ with $\alpha' = (1, 2, \dots, r)$. First we establish the optimality results in case $1 < r < p, 0 < s < m - \frac{m}{r}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let m, r be integers with $1 < r \leq \underline{n}$, $p > r$ and $0 < s < m - \frac{m}{r}$. Then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{s,p} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^m u_k) \psi dx = \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. For any integer k , we define $u_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ as

$$u_k^i(x) = k^{-\rho} \sin(kx_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1; \quad u_k^i(x) = 0, \quad r < i \leq N$$

and

$$u_k^r(x) = k^{-\rho} (x_r)^m \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + kx_j\right).$$

Where ρ is a constant such that $s < \rho < m - \frac{m}{r}$. Since $\|D^{[s]+1}u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ck^{[s]+1-\rho}$ and $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ck^{-\rho}$, it follows that

$$\|u_k\|_{s,p} \leq C \|u_k\|_{L^p}^{1-\theta} \|u_k\|_{[s]+1,p}^\theta \leq Ck^{s-\rho}.$$

Where $\theta = \frac{s}{[s]+1}$. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\psi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N \psi'(x_i), \quad \text{with } \psi' \in C_c^1((0, \pi)), \psi' \geq 0 \text{ and } \psi' = 1 \text{ in } \left(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi\right). \quad (4.3)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^m u_k) \psi dx \geq m! \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi\right)^N} k^{mr-\rho r-m} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \sin^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + kx_j\right) dx = Ck^{mr-\rho r-m}.$$

Hence the conclusion (4.2) holds. \square

Next we establishing the optimality results in case $1 < r < p, s = m - m/r$ non-integer by constructing a lacunary sum of atoms, which is inspired by the work of Brezis and Nguyen [5].

Proposition 4.6. *Let m, r be integers with $1 < r \leq \underline{n}, p > r$ and $s = m - m/r$ non-integer. Then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the conditions (4.2).*

Proof. Fix $k \gg 1$. Define $v_k = (v_k^1, \dots, v_k^N) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ as follows

$$v_k^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{n_l^s (l+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sin(n_l x_i), & 1 \leq i \leq r-1; \\ (x_r)^m \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{n_l^s (l+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_l x_j\right), & i = r; \\ 0, & r+1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Where $n_l = k^{\frac{r^2}{m}} 8^l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be defined as (4.3). We claim that

$$\|v_k\|_{s,p} \leq C, \quad \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^m v_k) \psi dx \geq C \ln k, \quad (4.4)$$

where the constant C is independent of k .

Assuming the claim holds, we deduce $u_k = (\ln k)^{-\frac{1}{2r}} v_k$ and ψ satisfies the conditions (4.2). Hence it remains to prove (4.4).

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^m v_k) &= \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{l_i=1}^k \frac{n_{l_i}^{\frac{m}{r}}}{(l_i+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_i} x_i\right) \right) \right\} \times \left(m! \sum_{l_r=1}^k \frac{1}{n_{l_r}^s (l_r+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_r} x_j\right) \right) \\
&= m! \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_r) \in G} \frac{1}{n_{l_r}^s (l_r+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{n_{l_i}^{\frac{m}{r}}}{(l_i+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_i} x_i\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_r} x_i\right) \right) \\
&\quad + m! \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_l x_i\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$G := \{(l_1, \dots, l_r) \mid (l_1, \dots, l_r) \neq (l, \dots, l) \text{ for } l, l_1, \dots, l_r = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\beta}(D^m v_k) \psi dx \geq C \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{l+1} \int_{(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)^N} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_l x_i\right) dx - CI, \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$I := \left| \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_r) \in G} \frac{1}{n_{l_r}^s (l_r+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{n_{l_i}^{\frac{m}{r}}}{(l_i+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_i} x_i\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_r} x_i\right) \right) dx \right|.$$

Since $n_l = k^{\frac{r^2}{m}} 8^l$, it follows that

$$\frac{n_{l_i}}{n_{l_j}} \leq |n_{l_i} - n_{l_j}| \text{ for any } l_i, l_j = 1, \dots, k \text{ with } l_i \neq l_j, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\min_{i \neq j} |n_{l_i} - n_{l_j}| \geq k^{\frac{r^2}{m(r-1)}} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\{n_l \mid l = 1, \dots, k\} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid 2^{n-1} \leq |z| < 2^n\} \text{ has at most one element for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.9}$$

For any $(l_1, \dots, l_r) \in G$, there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq r-1$ such that $l_{i_0} \neq l_r$, it follows from (4.3), (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{n_{l_r}^s (l_r+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{n_{l_i}^{\frac{m}{r}}}{(l_i+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_i} x_i\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_r} x_i\right) \right) dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{n_{l_r}^s (l_r+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n_{l_i}^{\frac{m}{r}}}{(l_i+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \left| \int_0^{\pi} \psi'(x_i) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_i} x_i\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_{l_r} x_i\right) dx_i \right| \\
&\leq C \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{n_{l_i}}{n_{l_r}} \right)^{\frac{m}{r}} \min\left\{ \frac{1}{|n_{l_i} - n_{l_r}|^m}, 1 \right\} \|D^m \psi\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|n_{l_{i_0}} - n_{l_r}|^{m - \frac{m}{r}}} \\
&\leq C k^{-r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Combine with (4.6), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}(D^m v_k) \psi dx \geq C \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{l+1} - C, \quad (4.11)$$

which implies the second inequality of (4.4). On the other hand, in order to prove the first inequality of (4.4), it is enough to show that

$$\|v'_k\|_{s,p} \leq C, \quad (4.12)$$

where $v'_k := (v_k^1, v_k^2, \dots, v_k^{r-1}, \frac{v_k^r}{(x_r)^m})$. In fact, the Littlewood-Paley characterization of the Besov space $B_{p,p}^s([0, 2\pi]^N)$ (e.g. [19]) implies that

$$\|v'_k\|_{s,p} \leq C \left(\|v'_k\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{sjp} \|T_j(v'_k)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.13)$$

Here the bounded operators $T_j : L^p \rightarrow L^p$ are defined by

$$T_j \left(\sum a_n e^{in \cdot x} \right) = \sum_{2^j \leq |n| < 2^{j+1}} \left(\rho\left(\frac{|n|}{2^{j+1}}\right) - \rho\left(\frac{|n|}{2^j}\right) \right) a_n e^{in \cdot x},$$

where $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a suitably chosen bump function. Then we have

$$\|T_j(v'_k)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p \leq C_p \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{n_l^{sp} (l+1)^{\frac{p}{r}}} \|T_j(g_{l,k})\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p, \quad (4.14)$$

where $g_{l,k} = (\sin(n_l x_1), \dots, \sin(n_l x_{r-1}), \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \sin(\frac{m\pi}{2} + n_l x_j))$. Indeed, since $\sin(n_l x_i) = \frac{1}{2i}(e^{in_l x_i} - e^{-in_l x_i})$, $g_{l,k}$ can be written as

$$g_{l,k}(x) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{r-1}} a_\varepsilon e^{n_l i \varepsilon \cdot \hat{x}},$$

where $\hat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})$, $|a_\varepsilon| \leq 1$ for any ε . Set

$$S(j, l) = \{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{r-1} \mid 2^{j-1} \leq n_l |\varepsilon| < 2^{j+2}\}$$

and

$$\chi(j, l) = \begin{cases} 1 & S(j, l) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & S(j, l) = \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

Hence

$$\|T_j(g_{l,k})\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p \leq C_{r,N} \chi(j, l). \quad (4.15)$$

For any j , if $S(j, l) \neq \emptyset$, then $\frac{2^{j-1}}{\sqrt{r-1}} \leq n_l < 2^{j+2}$, which implies that $\sum_{l=1}^k \chi(j, l) < [\frac{\log_2(r-1)}{6}] + 1$. Thus, applying (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v'_k\|_{s,p}^p &\leq C_{p,s,N,r} \left(\|v'_k\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{2^{sjp}}{n_l^{sp} (l+1)^{\frac{p}{r}}} \chi(j, l) \right) \\ &\leq C_{p,s,N,r} \left(\|v'_k\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi]^N)}^p + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{(l+1)^{\frac{p}{r}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi(j, l) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

which implies (4.12) since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi(j, l) \leq [\frac{\log_2(r-1)}{2}] + 4$ for any l . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Clearly Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of Proposition 4.5 and 4.6 as explained in Remark 4.4. \square

Next we pay attention to the optimality results in case $1 < p \leq r, s + \frac{m}{r} < m + \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let m, r be integers with $1 < p \leq r \leq \underline{n}$ and $s + \frac{m}{r} < m + \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}$. If there exist a function $g \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 1), \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\beta \in I(r, n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m)$ with $\alpha^j \in I(r, N)$ such that*

$$\int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\beta(D^m g(x))|x|^m dx \neq 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^m(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the conclusions (1.3).

Proof. For any $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ we set

$$u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\rho g\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad (4.18)$$

where ρ is a constant such that $s - \frac{N}{p} < \rho < m - \frac{N}{r} - \frac{m}{r}$.

On the one hand, Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{s,p} \leq C \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^\theta \|u_\varepsilon\|_{[s]+1,p}^{1-\theta} \leq C \varepsilon^{\rho + \frac{N}{p} - s} \|g\|_{L^p}^\theta \|D^{[s]+1} g\|_{L^p}^{1-\theta}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\theta = \frac{[s]+1-s}{[s]+1}$. On the other hand, let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $\psi(x) = |x|^m + O(|x|^{m+1})$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega M_\alpha^\beta(D^m u_\varepsilon) \psi dx &= \varepsilon^{\rho r - r m + N} \int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\beta(D^m g(x)) \psi(\varepsilon x) dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{\rho r - r m + N + m} \int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\beta(D^m g(x)) |x|^m dx + O(\varepsilon^{\rho r - r m + N + m + 1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ and hence the conclusion is proved. \square

In order to establishing the optimality results in case $1 < p \leq r, s + \frac{m}{r} < m + \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}$, a natural problem is raised whether there exists $g \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 1), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that the conclusion (4.17) holds. We have positive answers to the problem in case $m = 1$ or 2 , see Theorem 1.4, according the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $g \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 1))$ be given as*

$$g(x) = \int_0^{|x|} h(\rho) d\rho \quad (4.21)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $h \in C_c^\infty((0, 1))$ and satisfies

$$\int_0^1 h(\rho) d\rho = 0, \quad \int_0^1 h^r(\rho) \rho^{-r+N+s-1} d\rho \neq 0.$$

Here $r \geq 2, s \geq 1$ are integers. Then for any $\alpha \in I(r, N)$, we have

$$\int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 g(x)) |x|^s dx \neq 0. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$D^2g = \frac{1}{|x|^3}(A + B),$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{N \times N}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{N \times N}$ are $N \times N$ matrices such that

$$a_{ij} = h(|x|)|x|^2\delta_i^j, \quad b_{ij} = (h'(|x|)|x| - h(|x|))x_ix_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Using Binet formula and the fact $\text{rank}(B) = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha^\alpha(A + B) &= M_\alpha^\alpha(A) + \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) b_{ij} M_{\alpha - i}^{\alpha - j}(A) \\ &= h^r(|x|)|x|^{2r} - h^r(|x|)|x|^{2r-2} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 + h^{r-1}(|x|)h'(|x|)|x|^{2r-1} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2, \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2g)|x|^s dx = \int_{B(0,1)} |x|^{-3r+s} M_\alpha^\alpha(A + B) dx = I - II + III,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{B(0,1)} h^r(|x|)|x|^{-r+s} dx, \\ II &:= \int_{B(0,1)} h^r(|x|)|x|^{-r-2+s} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$III := \int_{B(0,1)} h^{r-1}(|x|)h'(|x|)|x|^{-r-1+s} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 dx.$$

Then integration in polar coordinates gives

$$III = \frac{r - N - s}{N} 2\pi \prod_{i=1}^{N-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^r(\rho) \rho^{-r+N+s-1} d\rho,$$

where $I(i) = \int_0^\pi \sin^i \theta d\theta$. Similarly,

$$II = \frac{r}{N} 2\pi \prod_{i=1}^{N-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^r(\rho) \rho^{-r+N+s-1} d\rho,$$

and

$$I = 2\pi \prod_{i=1}^{N-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^r(\rho) \rho^{-r+N+s-1} d\rho,$$

which implies (4.22), and then the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that if $m = 2$ and $g = (g', \dots, g')$ with $g' \in C^2(\Omega)$, then Lemma 2.4 implies

$$M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2g) = r! M_{\alpha^1}^{\alpha^2}(D^2g')$$

for any $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2)$, $\alpha \in I(r, N)$. Hence Theorem 1.4 is the consequence of Proposition 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. \square

In particular, we can give a reinforced versions of optimal results in case $m = 2$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $1 < r \leq N$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$ be such that $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \not\subseteq W^{2-\frac{2}{r},r}(\Omega)$. Then there exist a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^m(\bar{\Omega})$ and a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{s,p} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^2 u_k) \psi dx = \infty. \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. We divide our proof in three case:

Case 1: $1 < p \leq r$ and $s + \frac{2}{r} < 2 + \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{r}$

Apply Lemma 4.8 and the argument similar to one used in Proposition 4.7.

Case 2: $r < p$ and $0 < s < 2 - \frac{2}{r}$

For $k \gg 1$, we set

$$u_k := k^{-\rho} x_r \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin^2(kx_i),$$

where ρ is a constant with $s < \rho < 2 - \frac{2}{r}$. According to the facts that $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ck^{-\rho}$ and $\|D^2 u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ck^{2-\rho}$, it follows that

$$\|u_k\|_{s,p} \leq C \|u_k\|_{L^p}^{1-\frac{s}{2}} \|u_k\|_{2,p}^{\frac{s}{2}} \leq Ck^{s-\rho}.$$

On the other hand, Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be defined as (4.3), the (4.1) in [1, Proposition 4.1] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^2 u_k) \psi dx \right| &\geq \left| \int_{(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)^N} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^2 u_k) dx \right| \\ &\geq k^{2r-2-r\rho} 2^r \int_{(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)^N} x_r^{r-2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin(kx_i) \right)^{2r-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \cos^2(kx_j) \right) dx \\ &= Ck^{2r-2-r\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Case 3: $2 < r < p$ and $s = 2 - \frac{2}{r}$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, define u_k with

$$u_k(x) = \frac{1}{(\ln k)^{\frac{1}{2r}}} x_r \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{n_l^{2-\frac{2}{r}} l^{\frac{1}{r}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin^2(n_l x_i) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $n_l = k^{r^{3l}}$. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be defined as (4.3). The argument similar to the one used in [1, Proposition 5.1] shows that

$$\|u_k\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_k\|_{W^{s,p}((0,2\pi)^N)} \leq C \frac{1}{(\ln k)^{\frac{1}{2r}}}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^2 u_k) \psi dx \right| = C \left| \int_{(0,2\pi)^r} M_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(D^2 u_k) \prod_{i=1}^r \psi'(x_i) dx_1 \cdots dx_r \right| \geq C(\ln k)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by NSF grant of China (No. 11131005, No. 11301400) and Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics (Hubei University).

References

- [1] E. Baer and D. Jerison, *Optimal function spaces for continuity of the Hessian determinant as a distribution*, J. Funct. Anal., **269** (2015), 1482-1514.
- [2] J. Ball, *Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **63** (1977), 337-403.
- [3] H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, *Gagliardo-Nirenberg, composition and products in fractional Sobolev spaces*, J. Evol. Equ., **4** (2001), 387-404.
- [4] H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, *Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and non-inequalities: The full story*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **35** (2018), 1355-1376.
- [5] H. Brezis and H. Nguyen, *The Jacobian determinant revisited*, Invent. Math., **185** (2011), 17-54.
- [6] L. D'Onofrio, F. Giannetti and L. Greco, *On weak Hessian determinants*, Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei (9), **16** (2005), 159-169.
- [7] B. Dacorogna and F. Murat, *On the optimality of certain Sobolev exponents for the weak continuity of determinants*, J. Funct. Anal., **105** (1992), 42-62.
- [8] G. Escherich, *Die Determinanten höheren Ranges und ihre Verwendung zur Bildung von Invarianten*, Denkschr. Kais. Akad. Wiss., **43** (1882), 1-12.
- [9] I. Fonseca and J. Malý, *From Jacobian to Hessian: distributinal form and relaxation*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **7** (2005), 45-74.
- [10] E. Gagliardo, *Caratterizzazione delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, **27** (1957), 284-305.
- [11] L. Gegenbauer, *Über Determinanten höheren Ranges*, Denkschr. Kais. Akad. Wiss., **43** (1882), 17-32.
- [12] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Souček, *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations, I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [13] T. Iwaniec, *On the concept of the weak Jacobian and Hessian*, Papers on analysis, Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dep. Math. Stat., **83** (2001) 181-205, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä.
- [14] C. Morrey, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. 130, Springer, New York(1966).
- [15] Y. Reshetnyak, *The weak convergence of completely additive vector-valued set functions*, Sib. Mat. Zh., **9** (1968), 1386-1394.
- [16] P. Olver, *Hyper-Jacobians, determinantal ideals and weak solutions to variational problems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **3-4** (1983), 317-340.
- [17] E. Stein, *The characterization of functions arising as potentials I*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **67** (1961), 102-104.

- [18] E. Stein, *The characterization of functions arising as potentials II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1962), 577-582.
- [19] H. Triebel, *Theory of Functions Spaces*, Monogr. Math., **78**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.