

LONG TIME BEHAVIOR OF ALFVÉN WAVES IN A FLOWING PLASMA: MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS ON THE GENERATION OF THE MAGNETIC ISLAND

CUILI ZHAI, ZHIFEI ZHANG, AND WEIREN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the generation of magnetic island for the linearized MHD equations around the steady flowing plasma with velocity field $U_s = (u(y), 0)$ and magnetic field $H_s = (b(y), 0)$ in the finite channel.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the Alfvén waves governed by the two-dimensional incompressible MHD equations in a finite channel $\Omega = \{(x, y) | x \in \mathbb{T}, y \in [-1, 1]\}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U - H \cdot \nabla H + \nabla P = 0, \\ \partial_t H + U \cdot \nabla H - H \cdot \nabla U = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot U = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot H = 0, \\ U_2(t, x, y)|_{y=-1,1} = 0, \quad H_2(t, x, y)|_{y=-1,1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

with initial data $U(0, x, y)$ and $H(0, x, y)$. Here $U = (U_1, U_2)$, $H = (H_1, H_2)$ and P denote the velocity field, magnetic field, and the total pressure(kinetic plus magnetic) of the magnetic fluid, respectively.

This system has an equilibrium $U_s = (u(y), 0)$, $H_s = (b(y), 0)$, $P_s = \text{const}$. We focus on the secular behavior of the 2D linearized MHD equations around this equilibrium, which take the form

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t V_1 + u \partial_x V_1 + \partial_x p + u' V_2 - b \partial_x B_1 - b' B_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t V_2 + u \partial_x V_2 + \partial_y p - b \partial_x B_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t B_1 + u \partial_x B_1 + b' V_2 - b \partial_x V_1 - u' B_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t B_2 + u \partial_x B_2 - b \partial_x V_2 = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot V = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0, \\ V_2(t, x, y)|_{y=-1,1} = 0, \quad B_2(t, x, y)|_{y=-1,1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

with initial data $V(0, x, y) = (v_1(x, y), v_2(x, y))$ and $B(0, x, y) = (b_1(x, y), b_2(x, y))$. Let $w_0 = \partial_x v_2 - \partial_y v_1$ and $j_0 = \partial_x b_2 - \partial_y b_1$ be the initial vorticity and current density.

It is easy to deduce from (1.2) that the vorticity $w = \partial_x V_2 - \partial_y V_1$ and the current density $j = \partial_x B_2 - \partial_y B_1$ satisfy the following equations:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t w + u \partial_x w - b \partial_x j = u'' V_2 - b'' B_2, \\ \partial_t j + u \partial_x j - b \partial_x w = b'' V_2 - u'' B_2 + u' \partial_x B_1 - u' \partial_y B_2 + b' \partial_y V_2 - b' \partial_x V_1, \end{cases}$$

here and in what follows, we use the notions u, u', u'' and b, b', b'' instead by $u(y), \partial_y u(y), \partial_{yy} u(y)$ and $b(y), \partial_y b(y), \partial_{yy} b(y)$ for brevity.

In terms of the stream functions ψ : $V = (\partial_y \psi, -\partial_x \psi)$, then we have $w = -\Delta \psi$. Similarly, there is a scalar function ϕ such that $B = (\partial_y \phi, -\partial_x \phi)$ and $j = -\Delta \phi$. Then we can deduce

the following system on (ψ, ϕ) :

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t(\Delta\psi) + u\partial_x(\Delta\psi) - b\partial_x(\Delta\phi) = u''\partial_x\psi - b''\partial_x\phi, \\ \partial_t(\Delta\phi) + u\partial_x(\Delta\phi) - b\partial_x(\Delta\psi) = b''\partial_x\psi - u''\partial_x\phi - 2u'\partial_x\partial_y\phi + 2b'\partial_x\partial_y\psi, \end{cases}$$

with boundary condition $\psi(t, x, \pm 1) = \phi(t, x, \pm 1) = 0$. And then taking the Fourier transform in x of the above equations and inverting the operator $(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)$ we get for $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t\widehat{\psi} + i\alpha u\widehat{\psi} - i\alpha b\widehat{\phi} = 2i\alpha(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)^{-1}(u''\widehat{\psi} - b''\widehat{\phi} + u'\partial_y\widehat{\psi} - b'\partial_y\widehat{\phi}), \\ \partial_t\widehat{\phi} + i\alpha u\widehat{\phi} - i\alpha b\widehat{\psi} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(1.6) \quad M_\alpha = -\Delta_\alpha^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u'' - u\Delta_\alpha & -b'' + b\Delta_\alpha \\ b\Delta_\alpha + b'' + 2b'\partial_y & -u\Delta_\alpha - u'' - 2u'\partial_y \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\Delta_\alpha = \partial_y^2 - \alpha^2$ and its inverse Δ_α^{-1} satisfies $(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\Delta_\alpha^{-1}\psi(\alpha, y) = \psi(\alpha, y)$ with the boundary value $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}\psi(\alpha, y)|_{y=\pm 1} = 0$. Then

$$(1.7) \quad \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix} (t, \alpha, y) = -i\alpha M_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix} (t, \alpha, y).$$

The study of the (in)stability of Alfvén waves for MHD equations is a very active field in physics and mathematics and there is a number of works in this field [4, 9, 15, 18, 30, 39].

If the equilibrium is not flowing ($U_s \equiv 0$), then for the Alfvén waves in homogeneous magnetic fields (the case $b(y) = 1$), it is easy to obtain the linear stability by using the fact that the current density j and the vorticity w satisfy the wave equation

$$\partial_{tt}j - \partial_{xx}j = 0, \quad \partial_{tt}w - \partial_{xx}w = 0,$$

with initial data $(j, w)(0, x, y) = (j_0, w_0)$ and $(\partial_t j, \partial_t w)(0, x, y) = (\partial_x w_0, \partial_x j_0)$. The above fact also implies that there is no hope of obtaining a decay estimate of the velocity. For nonlinear global stability in the homogeneous case, we refer the reader to [2]. For the non-resistive MHD equation in which the term $-\mu\Delta U$ appears in (1.1), we refer the reader to [8, 11, 31, 37] for the local well-posedness results. The global well-posedness and stability results may be found in [23, 36] for the 2D case and [1, 10] for the 3D case. For the fully diffusive MHD equation in which both the terms $-\mu\Delta U$ and $-\nu\Delta H$ appear in (1.1), we refer the reader to [6, 14, 37]. For Alfvén waves in inhomogeneous magnetic fields, there are few rigorous mathematical results. Grossmann and Tataronis [12, 28, 29] predicted that the decay rate of the velocity is $O(t^{-1})$. Recently Ren and Zhao [22] gave a rigorous proof for the strict monotone positive magnetic field case in a finite channel. The mechanism leading to the damping is the phase mixing phenomenon, which is similar to the well-known Landau damping found by Landau in 1946 [17] and proved by Mouhot and Villani in their remarkable work [20]. This common phenomenon also appears in the Euler equation, which is called the inviscid damping. One may refer to [5, 7, 21, 24, 26, 33, 34, 35, 38] for the linear inviscid damping results and to [3, 19] for the nonlinear inviscid damping results.

If the plasma is flowing, the long-time behavior of the solution to linearized equation is not easy as before. One of the reasons is that M_α is not a self-adjoint operator when $u \neq 0$. Another reason is from the physical observation: the reconnection phenomenon. Reconnection of field lines is the process by which the topology of a flux surface structure in a plasma can change. For more details about the stability of Alfvén waves in a flowing plasma, we refer to [13, 25]. By using Fourier-Laplace analysis, Hirota, Tatsuno and Yoshida [16] studied a special case $((u(y), b(y)) = (k_1 y, k_2 y))$ and gave a formal analysis about the asymptotic behavior and predicted the existence of the magnetic island by assuming linear

profiles of the ambient magnetic field and flow $(u(y), b(y)) = (k_1 y, k_2 y)$ with $k_1, k_2 = \text{const}$. The linear system is spectral stable, when $0 \leq k_1 < k_2$, however because of the existence of the magnetic island, the topology of the magnetic field lines in the final state may be different from the initial magnetic field lines. This instability, which tears and reconnects field lines, is called a tearing mode [39].

In this paper, we study a more general case and provide a justification for Hirota, Tatsuno and Yoshida's prediction about the generation of magnetic island. We also carefully study the behavior of the magnetic island, which may be used as a modifying factor, when we linearized the MHD system and study the nonlinear instability of the Alfvén waves in a flowing plasma in the future.

Now we introduce some conditions on the background magnetic and velocity fields.

1. Regularity, **(R)** : $u(y), b(y) \in C^5([-1, 1])$,
2. Stern stability, **(SS)**: $|u(y)| \leq |b(y)|$,
3. Island, **(I)**: $b(0) = u(0) = 0$,
4. Monotone, **(M)**: $b'(y) - |u'(y)| \geq c_0 > 0$ for some positive constant c_0 .

One may regard $u(y) = ky, b(y) = k_0 y$ with $k_0 > |k| > 0$ as an example. It is easy to check that **(I)** and **(M)** imply **(SS)**.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $u(y), b(y)$ satisfy **(R)**, **(I)** and **(M)** and let $(\psi(t, x, y), \phi(t, x, y))$ be the solution of (1.4) with initial data $(\psi_0, \phi_0) \in H^3(-1, 1) \times H^4(-1, 1)$. There holds that,*

1. for $y = 0$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\alpha \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, 0) &\rightarrow \frac{u'(0)}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0), \\ \widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, 0) &\equiv \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0);\end{aligned}$$

2. for $0 < y \leq 1$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $\Gamma^+(\alpha, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) &\rightarrow -\frac{u(y)}{b(y)} (b(y)\Gamma^+(\alpha, y)) \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0), \\ \widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) &\rightarrow -(b(y)\Gamma^+(\alpha, y)) \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0);\end{aligned}$$

3. for $-1 \leq y < 0$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $\Gamma^-(\alpha, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) &\rightarrow -\frac{u(y)}{b(y)} (b(y)\Gamma^-(\alpha, y)) \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0), \\ \widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) &\rightarrow -(b(y)\Gamma^-(\alpha, y)) \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, y) = \frac{\varphi_\pm(\alpha, y)(u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2)}{b'(0)} \int_{\pm 1}^y \frac{1}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2)\varphi_\pm(\alpha, y')^2} dy',$$

where φ_\pm solves $\partial_y((u^2 - b^2)\partial_y \varphi_\pm) - \alpha^2(u^2 - b^2)\varphi_\pm = 0$ with boundary conditions $\varphi_\pm(\alpha, 0) = 1$ and $\partial_y \varphi_\pm(\alpha, 0) = 0$.

Remark 1.2. *Of course, by time-reversibility, Theorem 1.1 is also true $t \rightarrow -\infty$. The limiting profile is independent of the initial stream function. As $V_2 = -\partial_x \psi$ and $B_2 = -\partial_x \phi$, the limiting profile of \widehat{V}_2 and \widehat{B}_2 are the same as $\widehat{\psi}$ and $\widehat{\phi}$.*

Remark 1.3. *The result $\widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, 0) \equiv \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)$ can be obtained by the equation (1.5) and assumption **(I)**.*

Remark 1.4. By the fact that $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} b(y)\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, y) = -1$, we get that the limiting profile is continuous at $y = 0$, precisely $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, 0)$. As for the its derivative, in [16], the authors predict that the limiting profile has a derivative jump at $y = 0$. Actually, we can get that if


$$-5u'(0)u''(0) + u''(0)b'(0) - u'(0)b''(0) + 5b'(0)b''(0) \neq 0,$$

then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|\partial_y(b(y)\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, y))| \geq C^{-1}(1 + |\ln |y||).$$

That means in this case the final state of ϕ and ψ does not decay to $W^{1,\infty}$, which may be useful in the study of the nonlinear instability.

Remark 1.5. If $u(y) = ky$, $b(y) = k_0y$ for some constant $k_0 > |k| \geq 0$, then $b(y)\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, y)$ are harmonic functions on $\mathbb{T} \times [0, \pm 1]$ with boundary condition $b(0)\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, 0) = -1$ and $b(\pm 1)\Gamma^\pm(\alpha, \pm 1) = 0$. Then the final state is in $W^{1,\infty}$ and its profile is as follows.



Remark 1.6. The necessary and sufficient condition of the generation of the magnetic island is an open problem. Roughly speaking, according to (1.7), assuming there is a magnetic island at the final state, then there is non-trivial solution of $M_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Formally we can get that the necessary condition of the generation of the magnetic island may be $0 \in \sigma(M_\alpha)$.

Remark 1.7. The convergence rate will be discussed in a separated work.

2. REDUCE THE PROBLEM AND THE STURMIAN EQUATIONS

Let Ω be the domain that contains $\sigma(M_\alpha)$. Then we have the following representation formula of the solution to (1.7):

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix}(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{-i\alpha tc} (cI - M_\alpha)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix}(0, \alpha, y) dc.$$

Then the large time behavior of the solution $\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi} \\ \widehat{\phi} \end{pmatrix}(t, \alpha, y)$ is reduced to the study of the resolvent $(cI - M_\alpha)^{-1}$.

Suppose $(cI - M_\alpha)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi}_0 \\ \widehat{\phi}_0 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Phi_1 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y, c)$, then

$$(cI - M_\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Phi_1 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y, c) = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi}_0 \\ \widehat{\phi}_0 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -c\Delta_\alpha \Psi_1 + u\Delta_\alpha \Psi_1 - u''\Psi_1 - b\Delta_\alpha \Phi_1 + b''\Phi_1 = \widehat{\omega}_0, \\ -c\Delta_\alpha \Phi_1 - b\Delta_\alpha \Psi_1 - b''\Psi_1 + 2u'\partial_y \Phi_1 + u\Delta_\alpha \Phi_1 + u''\Phi_1 - 2b'\partial_y \Psi_1 = \widehat{j}_0. \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (u-c)\Delta_\alpha \Psi_1 - u''\Psi_1 - b\Delta_\alpha \Phi_1 + b''\Phi_1 = \widehat{\omega}_0, \\ (u-c)\Phi_1 - b\Psi_1 = -\widehat{\phi}_0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Delta_\alpha = \partial_y^2 - \alpha^2$. Let $\Phi_1(\alpha, y, c) = b(y)\Phi_2(\alpha, y, c)$ then $\Psi_1(\alpha, y, c) = (u(y) - c)\Phi_2(\alpha, y, c) + \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)/b(y)$, then we get

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \partial_y \left[\left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \partial_y \Phi_2(\alpha, y, c) \right] - \alpha^2 \left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \Phi_2(\alpha, y, c) \\ &= \widehat{\omega}_0(\alpha, y) - (u(y) - c)\Delta_\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)}{b(y)} \right) + u''(y) \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)}{b(y)} \end{aligned}$$

For this equation, by denoting $\Phi_2(\alpha, y, c) = \Phi(\alpha, y, c) + \widehat{\phi}_0(0)\chi(y)/(cb(y))$ whereas $0 \leq \chi(y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}) : \chi(y) = 1$ for $|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi(y) = 0$ for $|y| \geq 3/4$, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_y \left[\left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \partial_y \Phi(\alpha, y, c) \right] - \alpha^2 \left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \Phi(\alpha, y, c) \\ &= \widehat{w}_0(\alpha, y) - (u(y) - c)\Delta_\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y) - \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{b(y)} \right) + u''(y) \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(y) - \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{b(y)} \\ & \quad - \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{c} \frac{1}{b(y)^3} \left\{ 2(u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] b'(y)^2 \right. \\ & \quad - b(y) \{ (u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] b''(y) + 2(u(y) - c) [(u(y) - c)\chi'(y) + u'(y)\chi(y)] b'(y) \} \\ & \quad - b(y)^2 \{ \alpha^2 (u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] + cu''(y) - (u(y) - c)^2 \chi''(y) \\ & \quad \left. - 2(u(y) - c)u'(y)\chi'(y) \} + b(y)^3 b''(y)\chi(y) + b(y)^4 (\alpha^2 \chi(y) - \chi''(y)) \right\} \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} G(\alpha, y, c) = G_1(\alpha, y, c) - \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{c} \frac{f(\alpha, y, c)}{b(y)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$(2.3) \quad G_1(\alpha, y, c) = \widehat{w}_0(\alpha, y) - (u(y) - c)\Delta_\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(y) - \widehat{\phi}_0(0)}{b(y)} \right) + u''(y) \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(y) - \widehat{\phi}_0(0)}{b(y)}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\alpha, y, c) &= 2(u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] b'(y)^2 \\ & \quad - b(y) \{ (u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] b''(y) \\ & \quad + 2(u(y) - c) [(u(y) - c)\chi'(y) + u'(y)\chi(y)] b'(y) \} \\ & \quad - b(y)^2 \{ \alpha^2 (u(y) - c) [c + (u(y) - c)\chi(y)] - (u(y) - c)^2 \chi''(y) + cu''(y) \\ & \quad - 2(u(y) - c)u'(y)\chi'(y) \} + b(y)^3 b''(y)\chi(y) + b(y)^4 (\alpha^2 \chi(y) - \chi''(y)). \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, it is easy to show that $f(\alpha, 0, c) = 0$, $(\partial_y f)(\alpha, 0, c) = 0$ and $(\partial_y^2 f)(\alpha, 0, c) = 0$. Thus we can deduce that $f(\alpha, y, c)$ is C^2 function when $u(y), b(y)$ is C^5 function. Then we obtain that, due to the fact that $b(0) = 0$,

$$\frac{f(\alpha, y, c)}{b(y)^3} = \frac{y^3}{b(y)^3} \frac{f(\alpha, y, c)}{y^3} = \frac{1}{\left(\int_0^1 b'(sy) ds \right)^3} \int_0^1 t \int_0^t \int_0^s \partial_y^3 f(\alpha, \tau y, c) d\tau ds dt$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(\alpha, y, c)}{b(y)^3} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C.$$

On the other hand, thanks to the fact that $\frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(y) - \widehat{\phi}_0(0)}{b(y)} = \frac{\int_0^1 (\partial_y \widehat{\phi}_0)(sy) ds}{\int_0^1 b'(sy) ds}$, we have,

$$\|G_1(y, c)\|_{H_y^1} \leq C \|\widehat{\omega}_0\|_{H_y^1} + C \|\widehat{j}_0\|_{H_y^3}.$$

3. THE HOMOGENEOUS STURMIAN EQUATIONS

To solve the inhomogeneous Sturmian equation, we first construct two regular solutions of the homogeneous Sturmian equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_y [(u(y) + b(y) - c)(u(y) - b(y) - c) \partial_y \varphi(\alpha, y, c)] \\ & - \alpha^2 (u(y) + b(y) - c)(u(y) - b(y) - c) \varphi(\alpha, y, c) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will suppress the variable α for simplicity and write the function $\varphi(y, c) = \varphi(\alpha, y, c)$.

Let $W_+(y) = u(y) + b(y)$, $W_-(y) = u(y) - b(y)$, then from **(M)**, we get $W'_+(y) > 0$, $W'_-(y) < 0$. And we define $\mathcal{H}(y, c) = (W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)$, where the constant coefficient c will be taken in domain: $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \text{dist}(z, \text{Ran } W_+ \cup \text{Ran } W_-) \leq \epsilon_0\}$ (the ϵ_0 neighborhood of $\text{Ran } W_+ \cup \text{Ran } W_-$). According to the relationship between $W_+(1)$, $W_-(1)$, $W_+(-1)$ and $W_-(-1)$, the domain have the following nine cases:

1. $W_+(1) > W_-(-1) > 0 > W_-(1) > W_+(-1)$,
2. $W_+(1) = W_-(-1) > 0 > W_-(1) > W_+(-1)$,
3. $W_-(-1) > W_+(1) > 0 > W_-(1) > W_+(-1)$,
4. $W_+(1) > W_-(-1) > 0 > W_-(1) = W_+(-1)$,
5. $W_+(1) > W_-(-1) > 0 > W_+(-1) > W_-(1)$,
6. $W_+(1) = W_-(-1) > 0 > W_-(1) = W_+(-1)$,
7. $W_+(1) = W_-(-1) > 0 > W_+(-1) > W_-(1)$,
8. $W_-(-1) > W_+(1) > 0 > W_-(1) = W_+(-1)$,
9. $W_-(-1) > W_+(1) > 0 > W_+(-1) > W_-(1)$.

Before we introduce all these cases, we first introduce the extension lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a C^k function defined on $[a, b]$ with $f'(b) > 0$. Assume $M > f(b)$. Then for any $d > b$, there exists $F \in C^k([a, d])$ such that $F'(x) > 0$ for $x \in [b, d]$ and $F(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and $F^{(m)}(b) = f^{(m)}(b)$ for $m = 1, \dots, k$. Moreover, it holds that $\|F\|_{C^k} \leq C \|f\|_{C^k}$.*

Proof. Let $\delta_1 < \frac{d-b}{4}$ be small enough which will be determined later and $0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1$ be a smooth non-negative function with compact support satisfying $|\chi'(x)| \leq C\delta_1^{-1}$, $\chi(x) = 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}\delta_1$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ for $x \geq \frac{3}{4}\delta_1$. Define for $x \in [b, b + \delta_1]$,

$$F(x) = f(b) + f'(b)(x - b) + \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{f^{(n)}(b)}{n!} (x - b)^n \chi(x)$$

Then $F'(x) = f'(b) + \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{f^{(n)}(b)}{(n-1)!} (x - b)^{n-1} \chi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{f^{(n)}(b)}{n!} (x - b)^n \chi'(x - b)$, by the fact that $|\chi'(x)| \leq 1/\delta_1$, we have for $|x - b| \leq \delta_1$

$$F'(x) \geq f'(b) - C \max_{n=2, \dots, k} |f^{(n)}(b)| \delta_1.$$

and $F(b + \delta_1) = f(b) + f'(b)\delta_1$, $F'(b + \delta_1) = f'(b)$ and $F^{(n)}(b + \delta_1) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. By taking δ_1 small enough such that $C \max_{n=2,\dots,k} |f^{(n)}(b)|\delta_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}f'(b)$ and $5f'(b)\delta_1 < M - f(b)$, then we have for $|x - b| \leq \delta_1$, $F'(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}f'(b)$ and $F(b + \delta_1) < M$.

Let $g(x) > 0$ be a smooth function, such that $g(x) = f'(b)$ for $x \in [b + \delta_1, b + 2\delta_1]$ and

$$\int_{b+\delta_1}^d g(x)dx = M - F(b + \delta_1).$$

Let $F(x) = F(b + \delta_1) + \int_{b+\delta_1}^x g(x')dx'$ for $x \in [b + \delta_1, d]$, then $F(x)$ is the extension function. \square

For **Case 1**, we let

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)]\}, \\ D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(-1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Re } c \text{ for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_+(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [a_-, a_+]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_-(a_-) = W_+(1)$, $\widetilde{W}_-(a_+) = W_+(-1)$ and $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_+}) = c_r$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$ then $c_r = W_+(-1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$ then $c_r = W_+(1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+} , y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [a_-, a_+]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

For **Case 2**, we let

$$D_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)]\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(-1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
\end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_+(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [-1, a_+]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_-(a_+) = W_+(-1)$ and $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_+(-1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_+(1) = W_-(-1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [-1, a_+]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

For **Case 3**, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_+(-1), W_-(-1)]\}, \\
D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_+(-1), W_-(-1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(-1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(-1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
\end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_+(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_-(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- and W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [-1, a_+]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_-(a_+) = W_+(-1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$ and $\widetilde{W}_+(a_+) = W_-(-1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_+(-1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_-(-1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



For **Case 4**, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)]\}, \\
 D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_+(-1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(-1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
 \end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_+(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [a_-, 1]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_-(a_-) = W_+(1)$ and $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_+(-1) = W_-(1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_+(1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [a_-, 1]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$. For **Case 5**, we let

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)]\}, \\ D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \operatorname{Re} c \text{ for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_-(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- and W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [a_-, 1]$ such that $\widetilde{W}'_-(a_-) = W_+(1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$ and $\widetilde{W}'_+(a_-) = W_-(1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_-(1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_+(1) = \widetilde{W}_-(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



For **Case 6**, we let


$$\begin{aligned}
 D_0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)]\}, \\
 D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c = W_-(1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_-(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_-(1) = W_+(-1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_+(1) = W_-(-1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+} , y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture.



For **Case 7**, we let

$$D_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)]\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_-(1), W_+(1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
\end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_-(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_+(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [a_-, 1]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_+(a_-) = W_-(1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_-(1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_+(1) = W_-(-1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [a_-, 1]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

For **Case 8**, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_+(-1), W_-(-1)]\}, \\
D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_+(-1), W_-(-1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_+(-1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(-1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
\end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_+(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_-(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [-1, a_+]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_+(a_+) = W_-(-1)$, $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_+(-1) = W_-(-1)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_-(-1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [-1, a_+]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

For **Case 9**, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_0 &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c \in [W_-(1), W_-(-1)]\}, \\
 D_{\epsilon_0} &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = c_r + i\epsilon, c_r \in [W_-(1), W_-(-1)], 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^l &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(1) + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\
 B_{\epsilon_0}^r &\stackrel{def}{=} \{c = W_-(-1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\},
 \end{aligned}$$


for some $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$. We denote $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We also define

$$c_r = \text{Rec for } c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}, c_r = W_-(1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, c_r = W_-(-1) \text{ for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can take a C^5 extension of W_+ to be \widetilde{W}_+ for $y \in [a_-, a_+]$ such that $\widetilde{W}_+(a_-) = W_-(1)$, $\widetilde{W}_+(a_+) = W_-(-1)$ and $\widetilde{W}'_+(y) > 0$.

- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \geq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, a_+]$ with $y_{c_+} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [-1, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c_r \leq 0$, we denote $y_{c_+} \in [0, 1]$ with $y_{c_+} = (W_-)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $W_-(y_{c_+}) - c_r = 0$ and $y_{c_-} \in [a_-, 0]$ with $y_{c_-} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}(c_r)$, so that $\widetilde{W}_+(y_{c_-}) - c_r = 0$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, then $c_r = W_-(1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_-)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = 1$ and $y_{c_-} = a_-$.
- For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, then $c_r = W_-(-1) = \widetilde{W}_+(a_+)$, we denote $y_{c_+} = a_+$ and $y_{c_-} = -1$.

We show the relationship between y_{c_+}, y_{c_-} and c_r by the following picture for the above case.



We also take a C^5 extension of W_- to be \widetilde{W}_- for $y \in [a_-, a_+]$, so that $\widetilde{W}'_-(y) < 0$.

In the last step of Case 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, we only restrict the regularity and monotonicity of the extension.

Notations: We summarize the above nine cases and make the following notations.

We denote $a_+ = a_+$ if we need to extend the definition of $W_+(y)$ or $W_-(y)$ for $y \geq 1$ and $a_+ = 1$ if we do not need to extend the definition of $W_+(y)$ nor $W_-(y)$ for $y \geq 1$. Similarly we also denote $a_- = a_-$ if we need to extend the definition of $W_+(y)$ or $W_-(y)$ for $y \leq -1$ and $a_- = -1$ if we do not need to extend the definition of $W_+(y)$ nor $W_-(y)$ for $y \leq -1$.

By letting $u(y) = \frac{\widetilde{W}_+(y) + \widetilde{W}_-(y)}{2}$ and $b(y) = \frac{\widetilde{W}_+(y) - \widetilde{W}_-(y)}{2}$, we extend u and b . We also take a C^3 extension of $\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)$ for $y \in (1, a_+) \cup [a_-, -1]$ and extend $\widehat{\omega}_0(\alpha, y) = 0$ for $y \in (1, a_+) \cup [a_-, -1]$ then $cG(\alpha, y, c) \in L^\infty([a_-, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$ and $\|cG\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\widehat{\omega}_0\|_{H_y^1} + C\|\widehat{j}_0\|_{H_y^3})$.

We also use W_\pm to represent \widetilde{W}_\pm , whether they are extended or not. For $\epsilon_0 > 0$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= [\min\{W_-(1), W_+(-1)\}, \max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\}], \\ D_{\epsilon_0} &= \{z = c + i\epsilon : c \in D_0, 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_0\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^l &= \{z = \min\{W_-(1), W_+(-1)\} + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \\ B_{\epsilon_0}^r &= \{z = \max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\} - \epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \stackrel{def}{=} D_0 \cup D_{\epsilon_0} \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^l \cup B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. We let $\mathcal{H}(y, c) = (W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)$ be well defined on $[a_-, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$. Let $d_+ = [0, a_+]$ and $d_- = [a_-, 0]$.

3.1. Sturmian integral operator. Given $|\alpha| \geq 1$, let A be a constant larger than $C|\alpha|$ with $C \geq 1$ independent of α .

Definition 3.2. For a function $f(y, c)$ defined on $d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ or $d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{X_0^\pm} &\stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{(y,c) \in d_\pm \times D_0} \left| \frac{f(y, c)}{\cosh(A(y - y_{c_\pm}))} \right|, \\ \|f\|_{X^\pm} &\stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{(y,c) \in d_\pm \times D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0} \left| \frac{f(y, c)}{\cosh(A(y - y_{c_\pm}))} \right|, \\ \|f\|_{X_l^\pm} &\stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{(y,c) \in d_\pm \times B_{\epsilon_0}^l} \left| \frac{f(y, c)}{\cosh(A(y - y_{c_\pm}))} \right|, \\ \|f\|_{X_r^\pm} &\stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{(y,c) \in d_\pm \times B_{\epsilon_0}^r} \left| \frac{f(y, c)}{\cosh(A(y - y_{c_\pm}))} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where c_r and $y_{c_{\pm}}$ were defined in the previous section satisfies $\mathcal{H}(y, c_r) = 0$.

Definition 3.3. For a function $f(y, c)$ defined on $d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ or $d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{Y^{\pm}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \|f\|_{X^{\pm}} + \frac{1}{A} (\|\partial_y f\|_{X^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{c_r} f\|_{X^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{\epsilon} f\|_{X^{\pm}}), \\ \|f\|_{Y_l^{\pm}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \|f\|_{X_l^{\pm}} + \frac{1}{A} (\|\partial_y f\|_{X_l^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{\epsilon} f\|_{X_l^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{\theta} f\|_{X_l^{\pm}}), \\ \|f\|_{Y_r^{\pm}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \|f\|_{X_r^{\pm}} + \frac{1}{A} (\|\partial_y f\|_{X_r^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{\epsilon} f\|_{X_r^{\pm}} + \|\partial_{\theta} f\|_{X_r^{\pm}}), \\ \|f\|_{Y_0^{\pm}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \|f\|_{X_0^{\pm}} + \frac{1}{A} (\|\partial_y f\|_{X_0^{\pm}} + \|\partial_c f\|_{X_0^{\pm}}) + \frac{1}{A^2} \|\partial_y \partial_c f\|_{X_0^{\pm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce the Sturmian integral operator, which will be used to give the solution formula of the homogeneous Sturmian equation.

Definition 3.4. Let $y \in d_+$ or $y \in d_-$, the Sturmian integral operator S^{\pm} is defined by

$$S^{\pm} f(y, c) \stackrel{def}{=} S_0^{\pm} \circ S_1^{\pm} f(y, c) = \int_{y_{c_{\pm}}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_{\pm}}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_0^{\pm} f(y, c) &\stackrel{def}{=} \int_{y_{c_{\pm}}}^y f(y', c) dy', \\ S_1^{\pm} f(y, c) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\int_{y_{c_{\pm}}}^y (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.5. For $y \in d_+$, there exists a constant C_1 independent of A so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^+ f\|_{Y_0^+} &\leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_0^+}, \quad \|S^+ f\|_{Y^+} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y^+}, \\ \|S^+ f\|_{Y_l^+} &\leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_l^+}, \quad \|S^+ f\|_{Y_r^+} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_r^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $f \in C(d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$, then

$$S_0^+ f, S_1^+ f, S^+ f \in C(d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}).$$

Proposition 3.6. For $y \in d_-$, there exists a constant C_1 independent of A so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^- f\|_{Y_0^-} &\leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_0^-}, \quad \|S^- f\|_{Y^-} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y^-}, \\ \|S^- f\|_{Y_l^-} &\leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_l^-}, \quad \|S^- f\|_{Y_r^-} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_r^-}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $f \in C(d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$, then

$$S_0^- f, S_1^- f, S^- f \in C(d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}).$$

In the following, we only give the proof of the Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 can be obtained by the same method.

Proof. By the fact that $W'_+(y) > 0$, $W'_-(y) < 0$ for $0 \leq y \leq a_+$ and $W_+(0) = W_-(0) = 0$, we have for $c_r \geq 0$, then $W_+(y_{c_+}) = c_r$, we can conclude that for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$\text{if } 0 < y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+ \text{ or } 0 < y < y' < y_{c_+} < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_+(y') - c}{W_+(y) - c} \right| \leq 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } 0 < y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_-(y') - c}{W_-(y) - c} \right| &\leq 1, \\ \text{if } 0 < y < y' < y_{c_+} < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_-(y') - c}{W_-(y) - c} \right| &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

And for the case $c_r \leq 0$, then $W_-(y_{c_+}) = c_r$, we can conclude that for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$\text{if } 0 < y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+ \text{ or } 0 < y < y' < y_{c_+} < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_-(y') - c}{W_-(y) - c} \right| \leq 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } 0 < y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_+(y') - c}{W_+(y) - c} \right| &\leq 1, \\ \text{if } 0 < y < y' < y_{c_+} < a_+, \text{ then } \left| \frac{W_+(y') - c}{W_+(y) - c} \right| &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that for $(y, c) \in [0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ and $0 < y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+$ or $0 < y < y' < y_{c_+} < a_+$,

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \frac{W_+(y') - c}{W_+(y) - c} \right| \leq C, \quad \left| \frac{W_-(y') - c}{W_-(y) - c} \right| \leq C.$$

A direct calculation shows that for $(y, c) \in [0, a_+] \times D_0$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_0^+ f\|_{X_0^+} &= \sup_{(y,c) \in [0, a_+] \times D_0} \left| \frac{1}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{f(z, c)}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \cosh A(z - y_{c_+}) dz \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{(y,c) \in [0, a_+] \times D_0} \left| \frac{1}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \cosh A(z - y_{c_+}) dz \right| \|f\|_{X_0^+} \leq \frac{1}{A} \|f\|_{X_0^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_1^+ f(y, c)\|_{X_0^+} &\leq C \sup_{(y,c) \in [0, a_+] \times D_0} \left| \frac{y - y_{c_+}}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_0^1 \cosh tA(y - y_{c_+}) dt \right| \|f\|_{X_0^+} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{A} \|f\|_{X_0^+}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (3.2) shows that

$$(3.4) \quad \|S^+ f\|_{X_0^+} \leq \frac{C}{A^2} \|f\|_{X_0^+}.$$

By using (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_-(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{X_0^+} + \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)^2 (W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{X_0^+} \\ &\leq C \sup_{(y,c) \in [0, 1] \times D_0} \left| \frac{\int_0^1 \cosh tA(y - y_{c_+}) dt}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+}) \int_0^1 W_+(y_{c_+} + t(y - y_{c_+})) dt} \right| \|f\|_{X_0^+} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{X_0^+}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y) - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{X_0^+} + \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)^2} \right\|_{X_0^+} \\
& \leq C \sup_{(y,c) \in [0, a_+] \times D_0} \left| \frac{1}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_0^1 \cosh tA(y - y_{c_+})dt \right| \|f\|_{X_0^+} \\
(3.6) \quad & \leq C \|f\|_{X_0^+}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also obtain that

$$(3.7) \quad \|S_0^+ f\|_{Z^+} \leq \frac{C}{A} \|f\|_{Z^+}, \quad \|S_1^+ f\|_{Z^+} \leq \frac{C}{A} \|f\|_{Z^+},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_-(y') - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{Z^+} + \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)^2(W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{Z^+} \\
& \leq C \|f\|_{Z^+}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y) - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \right\|_{Z^+} + \left\| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)f(y', c)dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)^2} \right\|_{Z^+} \\
& \leq C \|f\|_{Z^+},
\end{aligned}$$

here Z^+ can be taken as X^+, X_l^+, X_r^+ .

A direct calculation shows that for $c \in D_0$,

$$\partial_y S^+ f(y, c) = S_1^+ f(y, c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_c S^+ f(y, c) = & - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c)f(z, c)dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)f(z, c)dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\
& + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c)f(z, c)dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\
& + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c)f(z, c)dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\
& + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c)\partial_c f(z, c)dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy',
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{cy} S^+ f(y, c) &= -\frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_-(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} - \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \\
&+ \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)^2 (W_-(y) - c)} \\
&+ \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c) f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)^2} \\
&+ \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^y (W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c) \partial_c f(y', c) dy'}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S^+ f\|_{Y_0^+} &= \|S^+ f\|_{X_0^+} + \frac{1}{A} \|\partial_y S^+ f\|_{X_0^+} + \frac{1}{A} \|\partial_c S^+ f\|_{X_0^+} + \frac{1}{A^2} \|\partial_{yc} S^+ f\|_{X_0^+} \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{A^2} \|f\|_{X_0^+} + \frac{C}{A^3} \|\partial_c f\|_{X_0^+} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_0^+}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $c \in D_{\epsilon_0}$, we have

$$\partial_y S^+ f(y, c) = S_1^+ f(y, c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_c S^+ f(y, c) &= S^+ \partial_c f(y, c) + i \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2 (W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\
&+ i \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\
&- i \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - i \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy'.
\end{aligned}$$

If $c_r \geq 0$, then $W_+(y_{c_+}) = c_r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{c_r} S^+ f(y, c) &= S^+ \partial_{c_r} f(y, c) + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2 (W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\
&+ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\
&- \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\
&+ i(W_+^{-1})'(c_r) f(y_{c_+}, c)(W_-(y_{c_+}) - c) \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\epsilon}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy',
\end{aligned}$$

and if $c_r \leq 0$, then $W_-(y_{c_+}) = c_r$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{c_r} S^+ f(y, c) &= S^+ \partial_{c_r} f(y, c) + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2 (W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\ &+ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\ &- \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \\ &+ i(W_-^{-1})'(c_r) f(y_{c_+}, c) (W_+(y_{c_+}) - c) \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\epsilon}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(W_+(y_{c_+}) - c)}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\epsilon}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right| &\leq \frac{C_1 |y - y_{c_+}|}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \leq \frac{C_1}{A}, \\ \left| \frac{(W_-(y_{c_+}) - c)}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\epsilon}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right| &\leq \frac{C_1 |y - y_{c_+}|}{\cosh A(y - y_{c_+})} \leq \frac{C_1}{A}, \end{aligned}$$

Then from which and (3.7)-(3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \|S^+ f\|_{Y^+} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y^+}.$$

For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$, we have

$$\partial_y S^+ f(y, c) = S_1^+ f(y, c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_c S^+ f(y, c) &= S^+ \partial_c f(y, c) + e^{i\theta} \left\{ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2 (W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right. \\ &+ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\ &\left. - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta S^+ f(y, c) &= S^+ \partial_\theta f(y, c) + i\epsilon e^{i\theta} \left\{ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)^2 (W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right. \\ &+ \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)^2} dy' \\ &\left. - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_+(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' - \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (W_-(z) - c) f(z, c) dz}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} dy' \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can get that by (3.7)-(3.9)

$$(3.11) \quad \|S^+ f\|_{Y_t^+} \leq \frac{C_1}{A^2} \|f\|_{Y_t^+}.$$

For $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, the proof is similar, we omit it for the sake of brevity.

Now we check the continuity. We rewrite $S_1^+ f$ as

$$S_1^+ f = \int_0^1 K(t, y, c) f(y_{c_+} + t(y - y_{c_+}), c) dt,$$

with $K(t, y, c) = \frac{(y - y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+} + t(y - y_{c_+})) - c)(W_-(y_{c_+} + t(y - y_{c_+})) - c)}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)}$.

Using the fact that for $(y, c) \in d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ with $0 < y < y' < y_{c_+}$ or $y_{c_+} < y' < y < a_+$, $\left| \frac{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} \right| \leq C$, the continuity of $K(t, y, c)$ and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude the continuity of $S_1^+ f$. The continuity of $S^+ f$ follows from $S^+ f = S_0^+ \circ S_1^+ f$. \square

3.2. Existence of the solution. In the following, the constant C may depend on α .

The homogeneous Sturmian equation on $[0, a_+]$ is

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \right) = \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c), \\ \varphi_+(y_{c_+}, c) = 1, \quad \partial_y \varphi_+(y_{c_+}, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7. *1. For $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$, there exists a solution $\varphi_+(y, c) \in C([0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$ of the Sturmian equation (3.12) and $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \in C([0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$. Moreover, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon_0 \in [0, \epsilon_1)$ and $(y, c) \in [0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,*

$$|\varphi_+(y, c)| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |\varphi_+(y, c) - 1| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2,$$

where the constants ϵ_1, C may depend on α .

2. For $c \in D_0$, for any $y \in [0, a_+]$, there is a constant C (depends on α) such that,

$$\varphi_+(y, c) \geq \varphi_+(y', c) \geq 1, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq y_{c_+} \leq y' \leq y \leq 1 \text{ or } 0 \leq y \leq y' \leq y_{c_+} \leq 1;$$

$$0 \leq \varphi_+(y, c) - 1 \leq C \min \{ \alpha^2 (y - y_{c_+})^2, 1 \} \varphi_+(y, c),$$

$$C^{-1} |y - y_{c_+}| \leq |\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C |y - y_{c_+}|,$$

and

$$|\partial_c \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C |y - y_{c_+}|, \quad |\partial_y \partial_c \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C.$$

The proof is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $c \in D_{\epsilon_0}$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_+(y, c) \in Y^+$ to the Sturmian equation (3.12). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_+\|_{Y^+} \leq C.$$

Proof. φ_+ satisfies

$$\partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \right) = \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c),$$

from which, we infer that

$$\varphi_+(y, c) = 1 + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\alpha^2}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)} \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} \mathcal{H}(z, c) \varphi_+(z, c) dz dy'.$$

This means that φ_+ satisfies

$$\varphi_+(y, c) = 1 + \alpha^2 S^+ \varphi_+(y, c).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.5 that the operator $I - \alpha^2 S^+$ is invertible in the space Y^+ , if

$$\frac{\alpha^2 C_1}{A^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1,$$

where C_1 is the constant in Proposition 3.5. Thus

$$\varphi_+(y, c) = (I - \alpha^2 S^+)^{-1} 1.$$

Hence, $\|\varphi_+\|_{Y^+} \leq \|1\|_{Y^+} + \|\alpha^2 S^+ \varphi_+\|_{Y^+} \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_+\|_{Y^+}$ implies $\|\varphi_+\|_{Y^+} \leq C$, here C is a constant independent of A and α . \square

In a similar way as in Lemma 3.8, we can show that

Lemma 3.9. *Let $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_+(y, c) \in Y_l^+$ to the Sturmian equation (3.12). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_+\|_{Y_l^+} \leq C.$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_+(y, c) \in Y_r^+$ to the Sturmian equation (3.12). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_+\|_{Y_r^+} \leq C.$$

Lemma 3.11. *Let $c \in D_0$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_+(y, c) \in Y_0^+$ to the Sturmian equation (3.12). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_+\|_{Y_0^+} \leq C.$$

Now we are in a position to prove the Proposition 3.7.

Proof. Proof of 1. Let us define

$$\varphi_+(y, c) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{cases} \varphi_+^0(y, c) & \text{for } c \in D_0, \\ \varphi_+^\pm(y, c) & \text{for } c \in D_{\epsilon_0}, \\ \varphi_+^l(y, c) & \text{for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l, \\ \varphi_+^r(y, c) & \text{for } c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_+^\pm, \varphi_+^l, \varphi_+^r, \varphi_+^0$ are given by Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 3.11 respectively. Then $\varphi_+(y, c)$ is our desired solution.

By Proposition 3.5 and using the formula $\varphi_+(y, c) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha^{2k} (S^+)^k 1$ for Ω_{ϵ_0} , we can conclude that $\varphi_+(y, c) \in C([0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$. Moreover, for $c \in D_0$, we have

$$(S^+)^k 1(y, c) \geq 0, \quad \varphi_+(y, c) \geq 1,$$

which ensures that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ so that for any $\epsilon_0 \in [0, \epsilon_1)$ and $(y, c) \in d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$|\varphi_+(y, c)| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |\varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C.$$

Thanks to $\varphi_+ = 1 + \alpha^2 S^+ \varphi_+$, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi_+(y, c) = 1 + \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \frac{\alpha^2}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)} \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} \mathcal{H}(z, c) \varphi_+(z, c) dz dy',$$

from which, it follows that

$$\partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \right) = \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c), \quad \varphi_+(y_{c_+}, c) = 1.$$

Then $\varphi_+(y, c)$ satisfies the Sturmian equation (3.12).

By the fact that $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) = \alpha^2 S_1^+ \varphi_+(y, c)$ and Proposition 3.5, we have $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \in C(d_+ \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$.

From (3.13), we have

$$|\varphi_+(y, c) - 1| \leq \alpha^2 \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} |\varphi_+(z, c)| \left| \frac{(W_+(z) - c)(W_-(z) - c)}{(W_+(y') - c)(W_-(y') - c)} \right| dz dy' \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2.$$

Proof of 2. Since we have $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) = \alpha^2 S_1^+ \varphi_+(y, c)$, thus for $y \geq z \geq y_{c_+}$ or $y \leq z \leq y_{c_+}$, $\frac{\mathcal{H}(z, c)}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \geq 0$ and then $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \geq 0$, for $y \geq y_{c_+}$ and $\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c) \leq 0$, for $y \leq y_{c_+}$.

Since $\varphi_+(y, c) - 1 = \alpha^2 S^+ \varphi_+(y, c)$, using (3.1), we have

$$0 \leq S^+ \varphi_+(y, c) \leq \left(\int_{y_{c_+}}^y \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}(z, c)}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)} \right| dz dy' \right) \varphi_+(y, c) \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2 \varphi_+(y, c),$$

then we obtain

$$0 \leq \varphi_+(y, c) - 1 \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2.$$

By the fact that $|S_1^+ \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}| \varphi_+(y, c)$, we have

$$|\partial_y \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}| \varphi_+(y, c).$$

On the other hand, $\varphi_+ \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{H}(y, c) \geq C^{-1}|y - y_{c_+}||y + y_{c_+}|$, thus

$$|S_1^+ \varphi_+(y, c)| \geq \left| \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}(z, c)}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \right| dz \right| \geq C^{-1}|y - y_{c_+}|.$$

By Lemma 3.11, we get $\varphi_+(y, c) \in Y_0^+$, which implies

$$|\varphi_+(y, c)| + |\partial_c \varphi_+(y, c)| + |\partial_c \partial_y \varphi_+(y, c)| \leq C,$$

with C depending on α . Then by the fact that $\partial_c \varphi_+(y_{c_+}, c) = 0$, we have

$$|\partial_c \varphi_+(y, c)| = \left| \int_{y_{c_+}}^y \partial_y \partial_c \varphi_+(y', c) dy' \right| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|,$$

with C depending on α .

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Similarly, for the case $y \in [a_-, 0]$, we solve the Sturmian equation:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \varphi_-(y, c) \right) = \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_-(y, c), \\ \varphi_-(y_{c_-}, c) = 1, \varphi'_-(y_{c_-}, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here we only give the conclusion, and omit the details of the proof for brevity.

Proposition 3.12. 1. For $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$, there exists a solution $\varphi_-(y, c) \in C(d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$ of the Sturmian equation (3.14) and $\partial_y \varphi_-(y, c) \in C(d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0})$. Moreover, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon_0 \in [0, \epsilon_1)$ and $(y, c) \in d_- \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$|\varphi_-(y, c)| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |\varphi_-(y, c) - 1| \leq C|y - y_{c_-}|^2,$$

where the constants ϵ_1, C may depend on α .

2. For $c \in D_0$, we have that for any $y \in d_-$, there is a constant C (depends on α) such that,

$$\varphi_-(y, c) \geq \varphi_-(y', c) \geq 1, \text{ for } -1 \leq y_{c_-} \leq y' \leq y \leq 0 \text{ or } -1 \leq y \leq y' \leq y_{c_-} \leq 0;$$

and

$$0 \leq \varphi_-(y, c) - 1 \leq C \min \{ \alpha^2 (y - y_{c_-})^2, 1 \} \varphi_-(y, c)$$

$$C^{-1} |y - y_{c_-}| \leq |\partial_y \varphi_-(y, c)| \leq C |y - y_{c_-}|,$$

and

$$|\partial_c \varphi_-(y, c)| \leq C |y - y_{c_-}|, \quad |\partial_y \partial_c \varphi_-(y, c)| \leq C.$$

The proposition can be proved mainly by the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $c \in D_{\epsilon_0}$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_-(y, c) \in Y^-$ to the Sturmian equation (3.14). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_-\|_{Y^-} \leq C.$$

Lemma 3.14. *Let $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_-(y, c) \in Y_l^-$ to the Sturmian equation (3.14). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_-\|_{Y_l^-} \leq C.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $c \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_-(y, c) \in Y_r^-$ to the Sturmian equation (3.14). Moreover, it holds*

$$\|\varphi_-\|_{Y_r^-} \leq C.$$

Lemma 3.16. *Let $c \in D_0$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi_-(y, c) \in Y_0^-$ to the Sturmian equation (3.14). Moreover, there holds*

$$\|\varphi_-\|_{Y_0^-} \leq C.$$

Remark 3.17. *From the above construction, we note that $\varphi_+(y, c), \varphi_-(y, c)$ may be not equal at the point $y = 0$.*

Remark 3.18. *By the definition of Y^\pm and Y_r^\pm, Y_l^\pm and Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.12, we have for $c \in D_0$ and $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0$ with $0 \leq |\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$,*

$$|\varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon) - \varphi_\pm(y, c)| \leq C|\epsilon|,$$

and for $c_\epsilon = c + \epsilon e^{i\theta} \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$ or $c_\epsilon = c + \epsilon e^{i\theta} \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$ with $0 \leq |\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$,

$$|\varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon) - \varphi_\pm(y, c)| \leq C|\epsilon|.$$

4. THE INHOMOGENEOUS STURMIAN EQUATIONS

4.1. The Wronskian and its estimate. In the following, we introduce for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$(4.1) \quad I_+(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c)^2} dy, \quad I_-(c) = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_-(y, c)^2} dy,$$

$$(4.2) \quad P(c) = \varphi_-(0, c)^2 (\varphi_+ \partial_y \varphi_+)(0, c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 (\varphi_- \partial_y \varphi_-)(0, c),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{D}(c) = c^2 P(c) I_+(c) I_-(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-(c).$$

Here and as what follows, $\varphi_+(y, c), \varphi_-(y, c)$ are the solutions of the homogeneous Sturmian equation constructed in Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12.

The Stern stability condition (**SS**) was proved in [27]. Here we recall the lemma and show the relationship between the Stern stability condition (**SS**) and the Wronskian $\mathcal{D}(c)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $|u(y)| \leq |b(y)|$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$, M_α has no H^1 eigenvalue. Thus for any $c \notin D_0$, the Sturmian equation*

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \Psi(y, c) \right) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \Psi(y, c) = 0 \\ \Psi(-1, c) = \Psi(1, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has no $H^1(-1, 1)$ solution. And then we have $\mathcal{D}(c) \neq 0$ for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$.

Proof. For $c = c_r + ic_i \notin D_0$, let $\Psi(y, c) \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$ be a nontrivial solution of the Sturmian equation

$$(4.5) \quad \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \Psi(y, c) \right) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \Psi(y, c) = 0.$$

Taking the inner product with $\bar{\Psi}(y, c)$ on both sides of (4.5) and by integration by parts, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \left(|\partial_y \Psi(y, c)|^2 + \alpha^2 |\Psi(y, c)|^2 \right) dy = 0.$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(y, c) &= (u(y) + b(y) - c)(u(y) - b(y) - c) \\ &= (u(y) + b(y) - c_r)(u(y) - b(y) - c_r) - c_i^2 - 2ic_i(u(y) - c_r), \end{aligned}$$

taking the real part of (4.6) gives

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \left[(u(y) + b(y) - c_r)(u(y) - b(y) - c_r) - c_i^2 \right] \left(|\partial_y \Psi(y, c)|^2 + \alpha^2 |\Psi(y, c)|^2 \right) dy = 0,$$

and taking the imagine part of (4.6) gives

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (u(y) - c_r) \left(|\partial_y \Psi(y, c)|^2 + \alpha^2 |\Psi(y, c)|^2 \right) dy = 0.$$

Then multiplying $2c_r$ on both sides of (4.8) and adding (4.7), we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left[u(y)^2 - b(y)^2 - c_r^2 - c_i^2 \right] \left(|\partial_y \Psi(y, c)|^2 + \alpha^2 |\Psi(y, c)|^2 \right) dy = 0.$$

Thus if $|u(y)| \leq |b(y)|$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$, we have $\Psi(y, c) \equiv 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Let $\Psi(y, c) \in H^1(-1, 1)$ is a solution of (4.4) and assume $\Psi(y, c) = \begin{cases} \Psi_+(y, c), & y \in [0, 1], \\ \Psi_-(y, c), & y \in [-1, 0], \end{cases}$ then we have for $y \in [0, 1]$, Ψ_+ satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \Psi_+(y, c) \right) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \Psi_+(y, c) = 0 \\ \Psi_+(1, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

and for $y \in [-1, 0]$, Ψ_- satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \Psi_-(y, c) \right) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \Psi_-(y, c) = 0 \\ \Psi_-(-1, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12, $\varphi_+, \varphi_- \neq 0$, then it holds that the equations (4.9) and (4.10) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H} \varphi_+^2 \partial_y \left(\frac{\Psi_+}{\varphi_+} \right) \right) = 0 \\ \Psi_+(1, c) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H} \varphi_-^2 \partial_y \left(\frac{\Psi_-}{\varphi_-} \right) \right) = 0 \\ \Psi_-(1, c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

As $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ for $c \notin D_0$, by integration twice, we obtain that $\varphi_+(y, c)$ and $\varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c)^2} dy'$ are two independent solutions of the homogeneous Sturmian equation for $y \in [0, 1]$, and $\varphi_-(y, c)$ and $\varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_-(y, c)^2} dy'$ are two independent solutions of the homogeneous Sturmian equation for $y \in [-1, 0]$. Thus for $y \in [0, 1]$ and $c \notin D_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+(y, c) &= \tilde{\mu}_+(c) \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_+(c) \varphi_+(y, c) := \Psi_+^0(y, c) \\ &= \mu_+(c) \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' := \Psi_+^1(y, c), \end{aligned}$$

and for $y \in [-1, 0]$ and $c \notin D_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_-(y, c) &= \mu_-(c) \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' := \Psi_-^{-1}(y, c) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_-(c) \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_-(c) \varphi_-(y, c) := \Psi_-^0(y, c). \end{aligned}$$

By the boundary conditions and the fact that $\Psi(y, c) \in H_0^1(-1, 1)$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+^0(1, c) &= 0, \quad \Psi_-^0(-1, c) = 0, \quad \Psi_+^1(0, c) = \Psi_+^0(0, c), \\ \Psi_-^{-1}(0, c) &= \Psi_-^0(0, c), \quad \Psi_+^0(0, c) = \Psi_-^0(0, c), \quad \partial_y \Psi_+^0(0, c) = \partial_y \Psi_-^0(0, c), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} \mu_+(c) = \tilde{\mu}_+(c), \quad \mu_-(c) = \tilde{\mu}_-(c), \\ I_+(c) \mu_+(c) + \nu_+(c) = 0, \\ I_-(c) \mu_-(c) - \nu_-(c) = 0, \\ \varphi_+(0, c) \nu_+(c) - \varphi_-(0, c) \nu_-(c) = 0, \\ \varphi_-(0, c) \mu_+(c) - \varphi_+(0, c) \mu_-(c) + c^2 (\varphi_- \varphi_+ \partial_y \varphi_+)(0, c) \nu_+(c) \\ \quad - c^2 (\varphi_+ \varphi_- \partial_y \varphi_-)(0, c) \nu_-(c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$(4.11) \quad W \begin{bmatrix} \mu_+(c) \\ \mu_-(c) \\ \nu_+(c) \\ \nu_-(c) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

where $W = \begin{bmatrix} I_+(c) & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_-(c) & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_+(0, c) & -\varphi_-(0, c) \\ \varphi_-(0, c) & -\varphi_+(0, c) & c^2 (\varphi_- \varphi_+ \partial_y \varphi_+)(0, c) & -c^2 (\varphi_+ \varphi_- \partial_y \varphi_-)(0, c) \end{bmatrix}$, and the

fact that (4.4) has no nontrivial H^1 solution, we obtain the Wronskian $\det(W) \neq 0$ holds for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, which gives

$$\det(W) = \mathcal{D}(c) = c^2 P(c) I_+(c) I_-(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-(c) \neq 0.$$

Thus we proved the lemma. \square

Remark 4.2. Thanks to the continuity of φ_+ and φ_- , we have that $\mathcal{D}(c)$ is continuous for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$.

Next we show that $\mathcal{D}(c)$ is continuous to the boundary.

For $c \in D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0$, let

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma_+(c) = W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - c) - W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+}) - c),$$

$$(4.13) \quad \sigma_-(c) = W'_+(y_{c_-})(W_-(y_{c_-}) - c) - W'_-(y_{c_-})(W_+(y_{c_-}) - c),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \Pi_+(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_+(y, c)^2} - 1 \right) dy, \quad \Pi_-(c) = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_-(y, c)^2} - 1 \right) dy,$$

For $c \in D_0$, let

$$(4.15) \quad R_+^1(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+})[W_-(y_{c_+}) - W_-(y)] - W'_-(y_{c_+})[W_+(y_{c_+}) - W_+(y)]}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} dy,$$

$$(4.16) \quad R_+^2(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c} dy,$$

$$(4.17) \quad R_-^1(c) = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-})[W_-(y_{c_-}) - W_-(y)] - W'_-(y_{c_-})[W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y)]}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} dy,$$

$$(4.18) \quad R_-^2(c) = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c} dy,$$

For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$, let

$$\chi_+(c) = \begin{cases} 1, & c \in (W_-(1), W_+(1)), \\ 0, & c \notin [W_-(1), W_+(1)]; \end{cases} \quad \chi_-(c) = \begin{cases} 1, & c \in (W_+(-1), W_-(-1)), \\ 0, & c \notin [W_+(-1), W_-(-1)]. \end{cases}$$

Then $\chi_+(c)^2 + \chi_-(c)^2 \geq 1$ for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$.

We denote for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$,

$$(4.19) \quad I_+^{re}(c) = \Pi_+(c) + \frac{1}{\sigma_+(c)} \left(R_+^1(c) + R_+^2(c) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_+(1) - c}{c - W_-(1)} \right)^2 \right),$$

$$(4.20) \quad I_-^{re}(c) = \Pi_-(c) + \frac{1}{\sigma_-(c)} \left(R_-^1(c) + R_-^2(c) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_-(-1) - c}{c - W_+(-1)} \right)^2 \right),$$

$$(4.21) \quad \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) = c^2 P(c) \left(I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \frac{\pi^2 \chi_+(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)} \right) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-^{re}(c),$$

(4.22)

$$\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) = c^2 P(c) \left(\frac{\pi I_+^{re}(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} + \frac{\pi I_-^{re}(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) - \frac{\pi \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} - \frac{\pi \varphi_-(0, c)^2 \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)}.$$

We also define $l(x) = \ln(e + |x|^{-1})$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $C(M)^{-1}(1 + |\ln|x||) \leq l(x) \leq C(M)(1 + |\ln|x||)$ for $|x| \leq M$.

Remark 4.3. By the definition of $\sigma_+(c)$ and $\sigma_-(c)$, for $c \in D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0$, we can easily get that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1}|c| \leq |\sigma_+(c)| \leq C|c|, \quad C^{-1}|c| \leq |\sigma_-(c)| \leq C|c|.$$

Proposition 4.4. *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$, the following properties hold.*

1. *For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$, $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$. It holds*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c).$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2 \geq C^{-1} > 0.$$

2. *For $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $|c| < \delta_0$,*

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{C^{-1}}{|c_\epsilon|};$$

3. *For $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, it holds that*

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{l(c_\epsilon - W_-(-1))l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon)l(c_\epsilon - W_-(1))l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)}{C|c_\epsilon|}.$$

Here we recall $l(x) = \ln(e + |x|^{-1})$.

The proof of the proposition is mainly dependent on the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. *For $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0}$, $c \in D_0 \setminus \{W_+(1), W_-(1)\}$, $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$. It holds that*

$$(4.23) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \sigma_+(c_\epsilon)I_+(c_\epsilon) = \sigma_+(c)I_+^{re}(c) \pm i\pi\chi_+(c),$$

where $\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)$ is defined as (4.12).

Proof. Let $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$. Due to the fact that

$$(4.24) \quad \sigma_+(c_\epsilon)I_+(c_\epsilon) = \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) dy + \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} dy,$$

and by Proposition 3.7, $\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)$ is continuous for $(y, c_\epsilon) \in [0, a_+] \times \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ and for ϵ_0 small enough,

$$|\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) - 1| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2.$$

By using the fact that $y_{c_-} \leq 0 \leq y_{c_+}$, we have

$$(4.25) \quad |y - y_{c_+}| \leq |y - y_{c_-}|,$$

and for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$(4.26) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) \right| \leq C \frac{|y - y_{c_+}|^2}{|y - y_{c_+}||y - y_{c_-}|} \leq C.$$

Thus, by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sigma_+(c_\epsilon) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) dy \rightarrow \sigma_+(c) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_+(y, c)^2} - 1 \right) dy.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)} dy \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - W_-(y)) - W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+}) - W_+(y))}{(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)} dy \\
&+ \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} dy \\
&+ \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} dy = I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

By denoting that $h(y, y_{c_+}) = W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - W_-(y)) - W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+}) - W_+(y))$, we have $h(y_{c_+}, y_{c_+}) = 0$ and $(\partial_y h)(y_{c_+}, y_{c_+}) = 0$. Thus we obtain that

$$h(y, y_{c_+}) = (y - y_{c_+})^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_{yy} h(y_{c_+} + ts(y - y_{c_+})) dt ds,$$

and then

$$|h(y, y_{c_+})| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2. \tag{4.28}$$

Due to $|(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)| \geq C|y - y_{c_+}||y - y_{c_-}|$, we get by (4.25) and (4.28),

$$\left| \frac{h(y, y_{c_+})}{(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)} \right| \leq \frac{C|y - y_{c_+}|^2}{|y - y_{c_+}||y - y_{c_-}|} \leq C, \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} \right| \leq \frac{C|y - y_{c_+}|}{|y - y_{c_+}|} + \frac{C|y - y_{c_+}|}{|y - y_{c_-}|} \leq C. \tag{4.30}$$

Therefore $|I_1(c_\epsilon)| + |I_2(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have as ϵ tends to 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(c_\epsilon) &\rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{h(y, y_{c_+})}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} dy, \\
I_2(c_\epsilon) &\rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $W_+(0) = W_-(0) = 0$, we also have for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$I_3(c_\epsilon) = \ln \frac{W_+(1) - c_\epsilon}{W_-(1) - c_\epsilon}. \tag{4.31}$$

And for $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon, c \in D_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, we have

$$I_3(c_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(W_+(1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2}{(W_-(1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} + i \arctan \frac{W_+(1) - c}{\epsilon} - i \arctan \frac{W_-(1) - c}{\epsilon}, \tag{4.32}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_3(c_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_+(1) - c}{c - W_-(1)} \right)^2 + i\pi\chi_+(c), \\
\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} I_3(c_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_+(1) - c}{c - W_-(1)} \right)^2 - i\pi\chi_+(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4.24), we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) = \sigma_+(c) \Pi_+(c) + R_+^1(c) + R_+^2(c) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_+(1) - c}{c - W_-(1)} \right)^2 \pm i\pi \chi_+(c).$$

This complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.6. For $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0}$ and $c \in D_0 \setminus \{W_-(-1), W_+(-1)\}$. It holds that

$$(4.33) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) = \sigma_-(c) I_-^r(c) \pm i\pi \chi_-(c),$$

where $\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)$ is defined by (4.13).

Proof. The proof of the lemma is same as the proof of Lemma 4.5. A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) \\ &= \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) dy + \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} dy \\ &= \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) dy + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{g(y, y_{c_+})}{(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)} dy \\ & \quad + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} dy \\ & \quad + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} dy \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(c_\epsilon) \Pi_-(c_\epsilon) + J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

with $g(y, y_{c_-}) = W'_+(y_{c_-})[W_-(y_{c_-}) - W_-(y)] - W'_-(y_{c_-})[W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y)]$.

Proposition 3.12 implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon)^2} - 1 \right) \right| \leq C,$$

and thus $|\Pi_-(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sigma_-(c_\epsilon) \Pi_-(c_\epsilon) \rightarrow \sigma_-(c) \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_-(y, c)^2} - 1 \right) dy.$$

By the fact that

$$(4.34) \quad |g(y, y_{c_+})| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}|^2,$$

and then

$$\left| \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-})[W_-(y_{c_-}) - W_-(y)] - W'_-(y_{c_-})[W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y)]}{(W_+(y) - c_\epsilon)(W_-(y) - c_\epsilon)} \right| \leq C,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c_\epsilon} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c_\epsilon} \right| \leq C,$$

and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have $|J_1(c_\epsilon)| + |J_2(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and as ϵ tends to 0,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(c_\epsilon) &\rightarrow \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-})[W_-(y_{c_-}) - W_-(y)] - W'_-(y_{c_-})[W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y)]}{(W_+(y) - c)(W_-(y) - c)} dy, \\ J_2(c_\epsilon) &\rightarrow \int_{-1}^0 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_+(y)}{W_+(y) - c} - \frac{W'_-(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y)}{W_-(y) - c} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $W_+(0) = W_-(0) = 0$, we also have for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$(4.35) \quad J_3(c_\epsilon) = \ln \frac{W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon}{W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon}.$$

And for $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon, c \in D_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, we have

$$J_3(c_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(W_-(-1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2}{(W_+(-1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} - i \arctan \frac{W_+(-1) - c}{\epsilon} + i \arctan \frac{W_-(-1) - c}{\epsilon}.$$

And then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} J_3(c_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_-(-1) - c}{c - W_+(-1)} \right)^2 + i\pi\chi_-(c), \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} J_3(c_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{W_-(-1) - c}{c - W_+(-1)} \right)^2 - i\pi\chi_-(c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of lemma. \square

Lemma 4.7. *There is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{l(W_+(\pm 1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(\pm 1) - c_\epsilon)}{C|c_\epsilon|} \leq |I_\pm(c_\epsilon)| \leq \frac{Cl(W_+(\pm 1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(\pm 1) - c_\epsilon)}{|c_\epsilon|}.$$

Proof. We only give the estimate of $I_+(c_\epsilon)$, the estimate of $I_-(c_\epsilon)$ can be obtained by the same way and we omit the details here.

Due to (4.24), we have

$$I_+(c_\epsilon) = \Pi_+(c_\epsilon) + \frac{I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)}.$$

The upper bound is directly follows from the fact that $|\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| + |I_1(c_\epsilon)| + |I_2(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and

$$|I_3(c_\epsilon)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(W_+(1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2}{(W_-(1) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} \right| + C \leq Cl(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon).$$

For the lower bounded. At first, we consider the case of $|c| < \delta_0$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough. By Remark 4.3 and the fact that $|\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_+(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \frac{|I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon)|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - |\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\geq \frac{|Im(I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon))|}{C|c_\epsilon|} - C \\ &\geq \frac{|Im(I_3(c_\epsilon))|}{C|c_\epsilon|} - \frac{|Im(I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon))|}{C|c_\epsilon|} - C. \end{aligned}$$

We have for $|c| < \delta_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} Im(I_1(c_\epsilon)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\epsilon h(y, y_{c_+})(W_+(y) + W_-(y) - 2c)}{((W_+(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)((W_-(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)} dy, \\ Im(I_2(c_\epsilon)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\epsilon(W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y))}{(W_+(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} dy - \int_0^1 \frac{\epsilon(W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y))}{(W_-(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.28) and $|W_+(y) + W_-(y) - 2c| \leq C|y - y_{c_+}| + C|y - y_{c_-}| \leq C|y - y_{c_-}|$, we have

$$(4.36) \quad |Im(I_1(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{y - y_{c_-}}{(y - y_{c_-})^2 + \epsilon^2} dy \leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|,$$

and

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} |Im(I_2(c_\epsilon))| &\leq C\epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{|y - y_{c_+}|}{(y - y_{c_+})^2 + \epsilon^2} dy + C\epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{|y - y_{c_+}|}{(y - y_{c_-})^2 + \epsilon^2} dy \\ &\leq C\epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{|y - y_{c_+}|}{(y - y_{c_+})^2 + \epsilon^2} dy + C\epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{|y - y_{c_-}|}{(y - y_{c_-})^2 + \epsilon^2} dy \\ &\leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, under the assumption $|c| < \delta_0$,

$$(4.38) \quad |Im(I_3(c_\epsilon))| = \left| \arctan \frac{W_+(1) - c}{\epsilon} - \arctan \frac{W_-(1) - c}{\epsilon} \right| \geq \frac{3\pi}{4}.$$

By choosing $\delta_0 \leq \frac{W_+(1) - W_-(1)}{1000} \epsilon_0$ small enough with ϵ_0 in Proposition 3.7 also small enough, then (4.36), (4.37) and (4.38) imply for $|c| < \delta_0$,

$$(4.39) \quad |I_+(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{C^{-1}}{|c_\epsilon|} - \frac{C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|}{|c_\epsilon|} - C \geq \frac{C^{-1}}{|c_\epsilon|}.$$

For the case of $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| < \delta_0$, we have

$$(4.40) \quad |\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| + \left| \frac{I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right| \leq C.$$

Thus for $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| < \delta_0$, by (4.31),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_+(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \frac{|I_3(c_\epsilon)|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - \frac{|I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon)|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - |\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\geq \frac{|\ln |W_+(1) - c_\epsilon||}{C} - C \\ &\geq C^{-1} |\ln |W_+(1) - c_\epsilon|| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for the case of $|c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| < \delta_0$,

$$|I_+(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1} |\ln |W_-(1) - c_\epsilon||$$

For $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$ with $|c_\epsilon| \geq \delta_0$, $|c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| \geq \delta_0$ and $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| \geq \delta_0$, Lemma 4.5 implies $\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)I_+(c_\epsilon)$ is continuous to the boundary with its boundary value $\sigma_+(c)I_+^{re} \pm i\pi\chi_+(c)$. Let $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$ with $c \in D_0$ and $|c - W_+(1)| \geq \delta_0$ and $|c - W_-(1)| \geq \delta_0$, then if $\chi_+(c) = 0$ ($c < W_-(1)$ in Case 1, 2, 3, or $c > W_+(1)$ in Case 3, 8, 9,) then $\mathcal{H}(y, c) > 0$ which implies $I_+^{re}(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y, c)\varphi_+(y, c)^2} dy > 0$ and if $\chi_+(c) \neq 0$, then $|\sigma_+(c)I_+^{re} \pm i\pi\chi_+(c)| \geq \pi$.

Therefore there is ϵ_0 such that for any $0 \leq |\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$ and $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$

$$|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)I_+(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$|I_+(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon)}{C|c_\epsilon|}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$, $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$. It holds*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c).$$

Moreover, we have that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2 \geq C^{-1} > 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we get for $D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} I_+(c) = I_+^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)}, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} I_-(c) = I_-^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)}.$$

Thus for $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$ and $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$, we get $P(c_\epsilon) \rightarrow P(c)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon) \\ &= c^2 P(c) \left(I_+^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \left(I_-^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} \right) \\ & \quad - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \left(I_+^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 \left(I_-^{re}(c) \pm \frac{i\pi\chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} \right) \\ &= c^2 P(c) \left(I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \frac{\pi^2 \chi_+(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)} \right) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-^{re}(c) \\ & \quad \pm i \left(c^2 P(c) \left(\frac{\pi I_+^{re}(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} + \frac{\pi I_-^{re}(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) - \frac{\pi \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} - \frac{\pi \varphi_-(0, c)^2 \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c), \end{aligned}$$

And then we have, for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$ with $\chi_+(c)\chi_-(c) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2 \\ &= c^4 P(c)^2 I_+^{re}(c)^2 I_-^{re}(c)^2 + \frac{\pi^4 c^4 P(c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2 \sigma_-(c)^2} + \varphi_+(0, c)^4 I_+^{re}(c)^2 + \varphi_-(0, c)^4 I_-^{re}(c)^2 \\ & \quad - 2c^2 P(c) \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c)^2 I_-^{re}(c) - 2c^2 P(c) \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c)^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varphi_+(0, c)^2 \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_-(c)^2} c^4 P(c)^2 I_+^{re}(c)^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2} c^4 P(c)^2 I_-^{re}(c)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_-(c)^2} \varphi_-(0, c)^4 + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2} \varphi_+(0, c)^4 \\ & \quad + \frac{2\pi^2 \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \varphi_-(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)} - \frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma_-(c)^2} c^2 P(c) \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) \\ & \quad - \frac{2\pi^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2} c^2 P(c) \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_-^{re}(c) \\ &= \left[c^2 P(c) I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+^{re}(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-^{re}(c) \right]^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_-(c)^2} \left[c^2 P(c) I_+^{re}(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2} \left[c^2 P(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \right]^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^4 c^4 P(c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2 \sigma_-(c)^2} + \frac{2\pi^2 \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \varphi_-(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)}. \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$, we have

$$\sigma_+(c)\sigma_-(c) \geq C^{-1}|c|^2 > 0.$$

Indeed, by the fact that $W'_+(y) \geq C^{-1} > 0$, $W'_-(y) \leq -C^{-1} < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \sigma_+(c) &= W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - W_-(y_{c_-})) \geq -C^{-1}(y_{c_+} - y_{c_-}) \geq -C^{-1}|c|, \\ 0 > \sigma_-(c) &= -W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y_{c_+})) \geq -C^{-1}(y_{c_+} - y_{c_-}) \geq -C^{-1}|c|, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.41) \quad C|c| \geq |P(c)| &= |\varphi_-(0, c)^2(\varphi_+\partial_y\varphi_+)(0, c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2(\varphi_-\partial_y\varphi_-)(0, c)| \\ &= |\varphi_-(0, c)^2(\varphi_+\partial_y\varphi_+)(0, c)| + |\varphi_+(0, c)^2(\varphi_-\partial_y\varphi_-)(0, c)| \\ &\geq C^{-1}(|y_{c_+}| + |y_{c_-}|) \geq C^{-1}|c|, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(4.42) \quad \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2 \geq \frac{\pi^4 c^4 P(c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)^2 \sigma_-(c)^2} + \frac{2\pi^2 \varphi_+(0, c)^2 \varphi_-(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)} \geq C^{-1}(c^2 + \frac{1}{c^2}) > C^{-1}.$$

For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$ with $\chi_+(c) = 0$ then $\chi_-(c) = 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) = \frac{\pi}{\sigma_-(c)}(I_+^{re}(c)c^2 P(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2)$$

In this case $c > W_+(1)$ (in Case 3, 8, 9,) or $c < W_-(1)$ (in Case 1, 2, 3), then $\mathcal{H}(y, c) > 0$ for $y \in [0, 1]$, thus $I_+^{re}(c) > 0$ and by the fact that $P(c) < -C^{-1}|c| \leq 0$, we obtain that $|\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)| > C^{-1}$ for $c \in (W_+(1), W_-(-1)]$ and $c \in [W_+(-1), W_-(1))$.

For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$ with $\chi_-(c) = 0$ then $\chi_+(c) = 1$ (in Case 1, 4, 5, 7, 9), we also have for $c \in (W_-(-1), W_+(1)]$ and $c \in [W_-(1), W_+(-1))$

$$|\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)| = \left| \frac{\pi}{\sigma_+(c)}(I_-^{re}(c)c^2 P(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2) \right| \geq C^{-1}.$$

Thus we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{e_0} \setminus \{0\}$. It holds that*

$$\left| \frac{Re(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon))}{Re(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))} - 1 \right| \leq C|c|.$$

Proof. We have

$$(4.43) \quad \begin{aligned} Re(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) &= W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - c) - W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+}) - c), \\ Re(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) &= W'_+(y_{c_-})(W_-(y_{c_-}) - c) - W'_-(y_{c_-})(W_+(y_{c_-}) - c), \end{aligned}$$

For the case $c \geq 0$, then $W_+(y_{c_+}) = c = W_-(y_{c_-})$, we have

$$Re(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) = W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - c), \quad Re(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) = -W'_-(y_{c_-})(W_+(y_{c_-}) - c),$$

and then due to $W_+(0) = W_-(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Re(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) &= W'_+(y_{c_+})(W_-(y_{c_+}) - W_+(y_{c_+})) \\ &= -W'_+(y_{c_+})y_{c_+}\lambda_+(y_{c_+}), \\ Re(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) &= -W'_-(y_{c_-})(W_+(y_{c_-}) - W_-(y_{c_-})) \\ &= -W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}\lambda_-(y_{c_-}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_+(y_{c_+}) &= -\int_0^1 [W'_-(sy_{c_+}) - W'_+(sy_{c_+})] ds, \\ \lambda_-(y_{c_-}) &= \int_0^1 [W'_+(sy_{c_-}) - W'_-(sy_{c_-})] ds,\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\lambda_+(y_{c_+}) \geq C^{-1}$, $\lambda_-(y_{c_-}) \geq C^{-1}$ and $|Re(\sigma_{\pm})(c_{\epsilon})| \geq C^{-1}|c|$.

We also have

$$\frac{Re(\sigma_+(c_{\epsilon}))}{Re(\sigma_-(c_{\epsilon}))} - 1 = \frac{\lambda_+(y_{c_+})(W'_+(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-})}{\lambda_-(y_{c_-})W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}} + \frac{\lambda_+(y_{c_+}) - \lambda_-(y_{c_-})}{\lambda_-(y_{c_-})}.$$

Due to $y_{c_-} = W_-^{-1}(c) = W_-^{-1}(W_+(y_{c_+}))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}& |W'_+(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{y_{c_+}} sW_+''(s) - (W_-^{-1} \circ W_+)(s)(W_-'' \circ W_-^{-1} \circ W_+)(s)((W_-^{-1})' \circ W_+)(s)W_+'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^{y_{c_+}} |s| + |(W_-^{-1} \circ W_+)(s)| ds \leq C|c|^2,\end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_+(y_{c_+})(W'_+(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-})}{\lambda_-(y_{c_-})W'_-(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}} \right| \leq C|c|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}& |\lambda_+(y_{c_+}) - \lambda_-(y_{c_-})| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 [W'_-(sy_{c_+}) - W'_-(sy_{c_-}) - W'_+(sy_{c_+}) + W'_+(sy_{c_-})] ds \right| \\ &= |(y_{c_+} - y_{c_-})| \left| \int_0^1 s \int_0^1 [(W_-'' - W_+'')(sy_{c_-} + ts(y_{c_+} - y_{c_-}))] dt ds \right| \leq C|c|.\end{aligned}$$

For $c \leq 0$, then $W_-(y_{c_+}) = c = W_+(y_{c_-})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Re(\sigma_+(c_{\epsilon})) &= -W'_-(y_{c_+})(W_+(y_{c_+}) - W_-(y_{c_+})) = -W'_-(y_{c_+})y_{c_+}\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+}), \\ Re(\sigma_-(c_{\epsilon})) &= W'_+(y_{c_-})(W_-(y_{c_-}) - W_+(y_{c_-})) = -W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}\tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+}) &= \int_0^1 [W'_+(sy_{c_+}) - W'_-(sy_{c_+})] ds, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-}) &= -\int_0^1 [W'_-(sy_{c_-}) - W'_+(sy_{c_-})] ds,\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+}) \geq C^{-1}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-}) \geq C^{-1}$ and $|Re(\sigma_{\pm})(c_{\epsilon})| \geq C^{-1}|c|$.

We also have

$$\frac{Re(\sigma_+(c_{\epsilon}))}{Re(\sigma_-(c_{\epsilon}))} - 1 = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+})(W'_-(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-})}{\tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-})W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}} + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+}) - \tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-})}{\tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-})}.$$

Due to $y_{c_-} = W_+^{-1}(c) = W_+^{-1}(W_-(y_{c_+}))$ and

$$|W'_-(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}| \leq C|c|^2,$$

then we get

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+})(W'_-(y_{c_+})y_{c_+} - W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-})}{\tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-})W'_+(y_{c_-})y_{c_-}} \right| \leq C|c|$$

and

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_+(y_{c_+}) - \tilde{\lambda}_-(y_{c_-})| \leq C|c|.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{Re(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon))}{Re(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))} - 1 \right| \leq C|c|.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Now, we present the proof of Proposition 4.4.

Proof. The first part of the Proposition follows directly from Lemma 4.8.

For the case of $|c| < \delta_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon) &= c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_+(c_\epsilon) - \Pi_+(c_\epsilon) \\ &\quad - (\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_-(c_\epsilon) - \Pi_-(c_\epsilon) \\ &\quad - \frac{I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \\ &= c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_+(c_\epsilon) - \Pi_+(c_\epsilon) \\ &\quad - (\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_-(c_\epsilon) - \Pi_-(c_\epsilon) \\ &\quad - \frac{I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\frac{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - 1}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

where we recall in the proof of Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) &= \sigma_+(c_\epsilon) \Pi_+(c_\epsilon) + I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon), \\ \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) &= \sigma_-(c_\epsilon) \Pi_-(c_\epsilon) + J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.12, Lemma 4.7 and (4.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_+(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\leq |c_\epsilon|^2 |P(c_\epsilon)| |I_+(c_\epsilon)| |I_-(c_\epsilon)| + C |\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) - 1| |I_+(c_\epsilon)| + C |\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon) - 1| |I_-(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\leq C |c_\epsilon|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

We also have

$$Im(I_1(c_\epsilon)) = \int_0^1 \frac{\epsilon h(y, y_{c_+})(W_+(y) + W_-(y) - 2c)}{((W_+(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)((W_-(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)} dy,$$

by (4.28) and $|W_+(y) + W_-(y) - 2c| \leq C|y - y_{c_-}|$, we have

$$\left| \frac{h(y, y_{c_+})(W_+(y) + W_-(y) - 2c)}{((W_+(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)((W_-(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2)} \right| \leq C \frac{|y - y_{c_-}|}{|(y - y_{c_-})^2 + \epsilon^2|}.$$

Thus we can get that for $|c| < \delta_0$ with δ_0 small enough,

$$|Im(I_1(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|.$$

And similarly, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(I_2(c_\epsilon)) = \epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y)}{(W_+(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} dy - \epsilon \int_0^1 \frac{W'_-(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y)}{(W_-(y) - c)^2 + \epsilon^2} dy,$$

which implies

$$|\operatorname{Im}(I_2(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|.$$

By the same argument, we can deduce that for $|c| < \delta_0$,

$$|\operatorname{Im}(J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|.$$

Thus from the above, we have

$$(4.46) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|.$$

Due to $\operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)) = 2 \arctan \frac{W_+(1)-c}{\epsilon} - 2 \arctan \frac{W_-(1)-c}{\epsilon}$, we get for $|c| \leq \delta_0$,

$$(4.47) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon))| \geq \frac{3\pi}{2}.$$

On the other hand, we have $C^{-1}|c| \leq |\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))| \leq C|c|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) &= -\epsilon(W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_-(y_{c_+})), \\ \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) &= -\epsilon(W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y_{c_-})), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$C^{-1}\epsilon \leq |\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))| \leq C\epsilon,$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) - \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))| = \epsilon |W'_+(y_{c_+}) - W'_+(y_{c_-}) - W'_-(y_{c_+}) + W'_-(y_{c_-})| \leq C\epsilon |c|.$$

Then from which and by Lemma 4.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) - \operatorname{Re}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) + i(\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) - \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)))}{\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) + i\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon))}{\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)) - \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))}{\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)) + i\operatorname{Im}(\sigma_-(c_\epsilon))} \right| \\ (4.48) \quad &\leq C|c| + \frac{C\epsilon|c|}{C^{-1}(\epsilon + |c|)} \leq C|c|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the fact that $|\Pi_\pm(c_\epsilon)| + |J_1(c_\epsilon)| + |J_2(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and that for $|c| \leq \delta_0$, $|J_3(c_\epsilon)| \leq C$ and (4.41), (4.45), (4.46), (4.47) and (4.48) we obtain for $|c| < \delta_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \frac{|I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + I_3(c_\epsilon) + J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - |\Pi_+(c_\epsilon)| - |\Pi_-(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\quad - \left| c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_+(c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 - 1) I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - 1 \right| (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\geq \frac{|\operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon))|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - \frac{|\operatorname{Im}(I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon) + J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))|}{|\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)|} - C - C|c_\epsilon| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{\sigma_1(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_2(c_\epsilon)} - 1 \right| (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon) + J_3(c_\epsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.49) \quad \geq \frac{C^{-1}}{|c_\epsilon|} - \frac{C\epsilon|\ln \epsilon|}{|c_\epsilon|} - C - C|c_\epsilon| \geq \frac{C^{-1}}{|c_\epsilon|}.$$

Case 1. $W_+(1) \neq W_-(-1)$ and $W_+(-1) \neq W_-(1)$.

For $|c_\epsilon - W_\pm(1)| \leq \delta_0$, by Lemma 4.5, we get

$$\left| \frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) (I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right| + \left| \frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 (I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right| + \left| \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \leq C,$$

and thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) (I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right| - \left| \frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 (I_1(c_\epsilon) + I_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right| - \left| \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \right| - C. \end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &= \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

and by Remark 3.18,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \right| &\leq C|\epsilon|, \\ \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \right| &\leq C|\epsilon|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \right| &= \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \right| \leq C|\epsilon|, \\ \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \right| &= \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \right| \leq C|\epsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by taking ϵ_0 small enough, we have for any $|\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$, $|\operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon))| \geq |\operatorname{Im}(J_3(c_\epsilon))| \geq \frac{3\pi}{4}$, $\frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \geq C^{-1}$, $\frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \geq C^{-1}$. Thus by taking δ_0 small enough, we have for $|c_\epsilon - W_\pm(1)| \leq \delta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \left| \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - \left| \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_-(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| + \left| -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_+(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_+(0, c)^2}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\geq C^{-1} |\operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon))| - C\epsilon |\operatorname{Re}(I_3(c_\epsilon))| - C \\ &\geq C^{-1} l(c_\epsilon - W_\pm(1)). \end{aligned}$$

For $|c_\epsilon - W_\pm(-1)| \leq \delta_0$, by Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\left| \frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right| + \left| \frac{\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right| + \left| \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_+(c_\epsilon) \right| \leq C.$$

By the same method, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &\geq \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) J_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 J_3(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 (J_1(c_\epsilon) + J_2(c_\epsilon))}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_+(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} \operatorname{Im}(I_+(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - \left| \frac{\varphi_-(0, c)^2}{\sigma_-(c)} \operatorname{Im}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{c^2 P(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(I_+(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(I_+(c_\epsilon)) \operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon)}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2}{\sigma_-(c_\epsilon)} - \frac{\varphi_-(0, c)^2}{\sigma_-(c)} \right) \operatorname{Im}(J_3(c_\epsilon)) \right| - C \\ &\geq C^{-1} |\operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon))| - C\epsilon |\operatorname{Re}(J_3(c_\epsilon))| - C\epsilon \\ &\geq C^{-1} l(c_\epsilon - W_\pm(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $W_+(1) = W_-(-1)$ and $W_+(-1) \neq W_-(1)$.

For $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| = |c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)| < \delta_0$, by Lemma 4.7 and (4.41), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &= \left| c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_+(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\geq |c_\epsilon|^2 |P(c_\epsilon)| |I_+(c_\epsilon)| |I_-(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_+(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_-(c_\epsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_+(1))l(c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)) - Cl(c_\epsilon - W_+(1)) - Cl(c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)) \\ &\geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_+(1))l(c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

And for $|c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| < \delta_0$, we can obtain by the same argument as in Case 1 that

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_-(1))$$

and for $|c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)| < \delta_0$,

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)).$$

Case 3. $W_+(1) \neq W_-(-1)$ and $W_+(-1) = W_-(1)$.

The proof is similar to Case 2 and we have for $|c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)| = |c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| < \delta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &= \left| c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_+(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\geq |c_\epsilon|^2 |P(c_\epsilon)| |I_+(c_\epsilon)| |I_-(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_+(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_-(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\geq C^{-1} l(c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)) l(c_\epsilon - W_-(1)) - Cl(c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)) - Cl(c_\epsilon - W_-(1)) \\ &\geq C^{-1} l(c_\epsilon - W_+(-1)) l(c_\epsilon - W_-(1)). \end{aligned}$$

And we have, for $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| < \delta_0$,

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_+(1))$$

and for $|c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)| < \delta_0$,

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}l(c_\epsilon - W_-(-1)).$$

Case 4. $W_+(1) = W_-(-1)$ and $W_-(1) = W_+(-1)$.

For the case $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| < \delta_0$, by Lemma 4.7 and (4.41), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| &= \left| c_\epsilon^2 P(c_\epsilon) I_+(c_\epsilon) I_-(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_+(c_\epsilon) - \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon)^2 I_-(c_\epsilon) \right| \\ &\geq |c_\epsilon|^2 |P(c_\epsilon)| |I_+(c_\epsilon)| |I_-(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_+(c_\epsilon)| - C |I_-(c_\epsilon)| \\ &\geq C^{-1} \left(1 + \left| \ln |W_+(1) - c_\epsilon| \right| \right)^2 - C \left(1 + \left| \ln |W_+(1) - c_\epsilon| \right| \right) \\ (4.50) \quad &\geq C^{-1} \left(1 + \left| \ln |W_+(1) - c_\epsilon| \right| \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can deduce that for the case of $|c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| < \delta_0$,

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1} \left(1 + \left| \ln |W_-(1) - c_\epsilon| \right| \right)^2.$$

For the case $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$ with $|c| \geq \delta_0$, $|c_\epsilon - W_+(1)| \geq \delta_0$ and $|c_\epsilon - W_-(1)| \geq \delta_0$, by the fact that $\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)$ is continuous to the boundary and Lemma 4.8, we have $|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}$ in this case.

Thus, from the above argument, we can deduce that for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}{C|c_\epsilon|}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 4.10. *The above proposition implies for ϵ_0 small enough and $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, $|\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)|$ is lower bounded and $\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}$ is well-defined in $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$ and*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)} \right| \leq \frac{C|c_\epsilon|}{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}.$$

And we have for $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$ with $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_-(1), W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c_\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}.$$

Moreover, $\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}$ can be continuous extend to the boundary with $\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(0)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(W_-(1))} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(W_-(-1))} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(W_+(-1))} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(W_+(1))} = 0$. And then we have for $c \in D_0$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)} \right| \leq \frac{C|c|}{l(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)l(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)}.$$

The upper bound of $\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \pm i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}$ follows from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. We omit the proof of this remark.

Lemma 4.11. *For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$|I_\pm^{re}(c)| \leq \frac{Cl(W_+(\pm 1) - c)l(W_-(\pm 1) - c)}{|c|},$$

and

$$|\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)| \leq \frac{Cl(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)l(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)}{|c|},$$

and

$$|\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)| \leq \frac{Cl(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)}{|c|} + \frac{Cl(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)}{|c|}.$$

Proof. From Remark 4.3 and the fact that $|\Pi_\pm(c)| + |R_\pm^1(c)| + |R_\pm^2(c)| \leq C$ for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, we can easily get the estimate of I_\pm^{re} .

The estimate of $\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)$ for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$ follows from the estimate of I_\pm^{re} and Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.12 and (4.41). \square

4.2. Determine the coefficients. Now we solve the inhomogeneous Sturmian equation, for $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$(4.51) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \partial_y \Theta(y, c) \right) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{H}(y, c) \Theta(y, c) = F(y, c) \\ \Theta(-1, c) = \Theta(1, c) = 0, \end{cases}$$

here $F(y, c) = cG(\alpha, y, c)$ and recall $G(\alpha, y, c) = G_1(\alpha, y, c) - \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)f(\alpha, y, c)}{b(y)^3}$ defined as in (2.3) and (2.4).

In particular, we can get that for $c = 0$,

$$F(y, 0) = -\widehat{\phi}_0(0) \left(\left((u(y)^2 - b(y)^2) \left(\frac{\chi}{b}(y) \right)' \right)' - \alpha^2 (u(y)^2 - b(y)^2) \frac{\chi}{b}(y) \right).$$

For $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus \{0\}$, let

$$T_\pm(F)(c) = \int_0^{\pm 1} \frac{\int_{y_{c_\pm}}^y F(z, c_\epsilon) \varphi_\pm(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon) \varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon)^2} dy,$$

and

$$L(F)(c) = \varphi_-(0, c) \int_0^{y_{c_+}} F(y, c) \varphi_+(y, c) dy - \varphi_+(0, c) \int_0^{y_{c_-}} F(y, c) \varphi_-(y, c) dy.$$

For $y \in [0, 1]$ and $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, let

$$(4.52) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_+^0(y, c) &= \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mu}_+(F)(c)\varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_+(F)(c)\varphi_+(y, c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_+^1(y, c) &= \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \mu_+(F)(c)\varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy', \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_+(F)(c) = \tilde{\mu}_+(F)(c) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c)} \left[-c^2 P(c) T_+(F)(c) I_-(c) - \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) I_-(c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varphi_+(0, c)^2 T_+(F)(c) - (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_-(F)(c) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.55) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_+(F)(c) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c)} \left[\varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+(c) I_-(c) - (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_-(F)(c) I_+(c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varphi_-(0, c)^2 T_+(F)(c) I_-(c) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in [-1, 0]$ and $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, let

$$(4.56) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_-^{-1}(y, c) &= \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \mu_-(F)(c)\varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_-^0(y, c) &= \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mu}_-(F)(c)\varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c)\varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_-(F)(c)\varphi_-(y, c), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_-(F)(c) = \tilde{\mu}_-(F)(c) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c)} \left[c^2 P(c) T_-(F)(c) I_+(c) + \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+(c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_+(F)(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 T_-(F)(c) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_-(F)(c) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(c)} \left[\varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+(c) I_-(c) + \varphi_+(0, c)^2 T_-(F)(c) I_+(c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\varphi_+ \varphi_-) T_+(F)(c) I_-(c) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.12. *Let $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$. Then for $y \in [0, 1]$, $\Theta_+^0(y, c) \equiv \Theta_+^1(y, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Theta_+(y, c)$ and for $y \in [-1, 0]$, $\Theta_-^0(y, c) \equiv \Theta_-^1(y, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Theta_-(y, c)$. Moreover,*

$$\Theta(y, c) = \begin{cases} \Theta_+(y, c), & y \in [0, 1], \\ \Theta_-(y, c), & y \in [-1, 0], \end{cases}$$

is the unique $C^1([-1, 1])$ solution to (4.51).

Proof. Recall the solution $\varphi_{\pm}(y, c)$ of (3.12) obtained in Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12. Then it is easy to check that the solution of (4.51) satisfies

$$\partial_y \left(\mathcal{H}(y, c) \varphi_{\pm}(y, c)^2 \partial_y \left(\frac{\Theta}{\varphi_{\pm}} \right) (y, c) \right) = \varphi_{\pm}(y, c) F(y, c).$$

Then the solution of (4.51) must have the following forms:

For $y \in [0, 1]$ and $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(y, c) &= \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mu}_+(F)(c) \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_+(F)(c) \varphi_+(y, c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(y, c) &= \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \mu_+(F)(c) \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in [-1, 0]$ and $c \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(y, c) &= \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \mu_-(F)(c) \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(y, c) &= \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(y'', c) dy''}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mu}_-(F)(c) \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy' + \nu_-(F)(c) \varphi_-(y, c). \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundary condition and the fact that $\Theta(y, c)$ is a $C^1([-1, 1])$ function, we obtain that the coefficients are determined by the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_+(F)(c) = \tilde{\mu}_+(F)(c), & \mu_-(F)(c) = \tilde{\mu}_-(F)(c), \\ I_+(c) \mu_+(F)(c) + \nu_+(F)(c) = -T_+(F)(c), \\ I_-(c) \mu_-(F)(c) - \nu_-(F)(c) = T_-(F)(c), \\ \varphi_+(0, c) \nu_+(F)(c) - \varphi_-(0, c) \nu_-(F)(c) = 0, \\ \varphi_-(0, c) \mu_+(F)(c) - \varphi_+(0, c) \mu_-(F)(c) + c^2 (\varphi_- \varphi_+ \partial_y \varphi_+)(0, c) \nu_+(F)(c) \\ \quad - c^2 (\varphi_+ \varphi_- \partial_y \varphi_-)(0, c) \nu_-(F)(c) = L(F)(c), \end{cases}$$

which is

$$W \begin{bmatrix} \mu_+(F)(c) \\ \mu_-(F)(c) \\ \nu_+(F)(c) \\ \nu_-(F)(c) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -T_+(F)(c) \\ T_-(F)(c) \\ 0 \\ L(F)(c) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore we get from Lemma 4.1,

$$\det(W) = c^2 P(c) I_+(c) I_-(c) - \varphi_+(0, c)^2 I_+(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 I_-(c) = \mathcal{D}(c) \neq 0.$$

Thus, by solving the matrix equations (4.11), we can deduce that $\mu_\pm(F)(c), \tilde{\mu}_\pm(F)(c), \nu_\pm(F)(c)$ satisfy (4.54), (4.55), (4.58) and (4.59). The fact $\Theta_+^0(y, c) \equiv \Theta_+^1(y, c)$ and $\Theta_-^0(y, c) \equiv \Theta_-^1(y, c)$ can be obtained by the construction. The uniqueness of the solution can be obtained by Lemma 4.1. Thus we proved the proposition. \square

4.3. The behavior of inhomogeneous solution.

Lemma 4.13. *Suppose $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0}$ with $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \in C([-a, a] \times \Omega_\epsilon)$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon) = T_\pm(F)(c).$$

Suppose $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that,

$$|T_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} l(c_\epsilon).$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $|\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)| \geq C^{-1}(|y^2 - y_{c_\pm}^2| + \epsilon^2)$. By Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12, we have $C \geq |\varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\left| \frac{\int_{y_{c_\pm}}^y F(z, c_\epsilon) \varphi_\pm(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon) \varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon)^2} \right| \leq C \|F(y, c_\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi_\pm\|_{L^\infty} \frac{|y - y_{c_\pm}|}{|\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)|} \leq \frac{C}{|y| + |c_\epsilon|},$$

which directly implies

$$|T_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln |c_\epsilon|| + 1).$$

Since $F(y, c_\epsilon), \mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)$ and $\varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon)$ are continuous functions, then by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it holds that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon) = T_\pm(F)(c).$$

Thus we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 4.14. *For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0\}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that,*

$$|T_\pm(F)(c)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} l(c).$$

Proof. For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0\}$, we have $|\mathcal{H}(y, c)| \geq C^{-1}|y^2 - y_{c_\pm}^2|$, then

$$|T_\pm(F)(c)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} \left| \int_0^{\pm 1} \frac{1}{|y| + |c|} dy \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} l(c).$$

Thus we complete the proof of the remark. \square

In the following paper, for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) &= -c^2 P(c) T_+(F)(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) I_-^{re}(c) \\ &\quad + \varphi_+(0, c)^2 T_+(F)(c) - (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_-(F)(c), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c) = -\frac{\pi c^2 P(c) T_+(F)(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} - \frac{\pi \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) &= c^2 P(c) T_-(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) + \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) \\ &\quad + (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_+(F)(c) - \varphi_-(0, c)^2 T_-(F)(c), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c) = \frac{\pi c^2 P(c) T_-(F)(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} + \frac{\pi \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) &= \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) + (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_-(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) \\ &\quad + \varphi_-(0, c)^2 T_+(F)(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \frac{\pi^2 \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) \chi_+(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c) &= \pi \varphi_-(0, c) L(F)(c) \left(\frac{I_+^{re}(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} + \frac{I_-^{re}(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_-(F)(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} + \frac{\pi \varphi_-(0, c)^2 T_+(F)(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) &= \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) I_-^{re}(c) + \varphi_+(0, c)^2 T_-(F)(c) I_+^{re}(c) \\ &\quad + (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_+(F)(c) I_-^{re}(c) - \frac{\pi^2 \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) \chi_+(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_+(c) \sigma_-(c)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c) &= \pi \varphi_+(0, c) L(F)(c) \left(\frac{I_+^{re}(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)} + \frac{I_-^{re}(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi \varphi_+(0, c)^2 T_-(F)(c) \chi_+(c)}{\sigma_+(c)} + \frac{\pi (\varphi_+ \varphi_-)(0, c) T_+(F)(c) \chi_-(c)}{\sigma_-(c)}. \end{aligned}$$

And we also introduce for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_+^+(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) + i\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) + i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, & \mu_+^-(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - i\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) - i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, \\ \mu_-^+(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) + i\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) + i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, & \mu_-^-(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - i\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) - i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, \\ \nu_+^+(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) + i\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) + i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, & \nu_+^-(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - i\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) - i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, \\ \nu_-^+(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) + i\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) + i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}, & \nu_-^-(F)(c) &= \frac{\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) - i\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c) - i\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.15. (1) Let $c_\epsilon = c \pm i\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. There holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty} |c_\epsilon| l(c_\epsilon) \left(l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon) + l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}, \\ |\mu_-(F)(c_\epsilon)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty} |c_\epsilon| l(c_\epsilon) \left(l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon) + l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon) l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}, \\ |\nu_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} l(c_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, there holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \mu_+^\pm(F)(c), & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \nu_+^\pm(F)(c), \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mu_-(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \mu_-^\pm(F)(c), & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \nu_-(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \nu_-^\pm(F)(c). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12, we have $|\partial_y \varphi_\pm(0, c_\epsilon)| \leq C|c_\epsilon|$, which gives for $c_\epsilon \in \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$|P(c_\epsilon)| = |(\varphi_-^2 \varphi_+ \partial_y \varphi_+)(0, c_\epsilon) - (\varphi_+^2 \varphi_- \partial_y \varphi_-)(0, c_\epsilon)| \leq C|c_\epsilon|,$$

and we also have

$$(4.60) \quad \begin{aligned} |L(F)(c_\epsilon)| &= \left| \varphi_-(0, c_\epsilon) \int_0^{y_{c_+}} (F\varphi_+)(y, c_\epsilon) dy - \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) \int_0^{y_{c_-}} (F\varphi_-)(y, c_\epsilon) dy \right| \\ &\leq C|c_\epsilon| \|F\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

From which and by using Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.13 gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}|c_\epsilon|(|\ln|c_\epsilon|| + 1) \left(l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon) + l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}, \\ |\mu_-(F)(c_\epsilon)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}|c_\epsilon|(|\ln|c_\epsilon|| + 1) \left(l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon) + l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_+(-1) - c_\epsilon)l(W_-(-1) - c_\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$|\nu_\pm(F)(c_\epsilon)| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}(|\ln|c_\epsilon|| + 1).$$

On the other hand, for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, by Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.13, (4.23) and (4.33), we can easily get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \mu_+^\pm(F)(c), & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \nu_+^\pm(F)(c), \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \mu_-(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \mu_-^\pm(F)(c), & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} \nu_-(F)(c_\epsilon) &= \nu_-^\pm(F)(c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 4.15. \square

Remark 4.16. From Proposition 4.15, we have for $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_+^\pm(F)(c)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}|c|l(c) \left(l(W_+(-1) - c) + l(W_-(-1) - c) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)l(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)}, \\ |\mu_-^\pm(F)(c)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}|c|l(c) \left(l(W_+(1) - c) + l(W_-(1) - c) \right)}{l(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)l(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)}, \\ |\nu_\pm^\pm(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}l(c). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.17. For $c \in D_0 \setminus \{0, W_+(1), W_+(-1), W_-(1), W_-(-1)\}$, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}l(W_+(-1) - c)l(W_-(-1) - c)l(c), \\ |\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}l(W_+(1) - c)l(W_-(1) - c)l(c), \\ |\mathcal{U}_\pm^{im}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$|\mathcal{V}_{\pm}^{re}(F)(c)| \leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} l(W_+(1) - c) l(W_-(1) - c) l(W_+(-1) - c) l(W_-(-1) - c) l(c),$$

$$|\mathcal{V}_{\pm}^{im}(F)(c)| \leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} \left(l(W_+(1) - c) l(W_-(1) - c) + l(W_+(-1) - c) l(W_-(-1) - c) + l(c) \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.11, Remark 4.14, (4.41) and (4.60), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} |c|^2 (|\ln |c|| + 1) \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| \right) \\ &\quad + C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| \right) + C(|\ln |c|| + 1) \\ &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| + |\ln |c|| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} |c|^2 (|\ln |c|| + 1) \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| \right) \\ &\quad + C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| \right) + C(|\ln |c|| + 1) \\ &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| + |\ln |c|| \right) \end{aligned}$$

From Remark 4.3, Remark 4.14, (4.41) and (4.60), we have

$$|\mathcal{U}_{\pm}^{im}(F)(c)| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} + C\|F\|_{L^\infty} |c|^2 (1 + |\ln |c||) \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Similarly, combining Lemma 4.11, Remark 4.3, Remark 4.14 and (4.60), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}_{\pm}^{re}(F)(c)| &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} \left\{ \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} (1 + |\ln |c||) \left\{ 1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| \right\} + \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} \\ &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} l(W_+(1) - c) l(W_-(1) - c) l(W_+(-1) - c) l(W_-(-1) - c) l(c), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}_{\pm}^{im}(F)(c)| &\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| \right) + \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty} (1 + |\ln |c||)}{|c|} \\ &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} \left(1 + |\ln |W_+(1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(1)|| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\ln |W_+(-1) - c|| + |\ln |c - W_-(-1)|| + |\ln |c|| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|c|} \left(l(W_+(1) - c) + l(W_-(1) - c) + l(W_+(-1) - c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + l(W_-(-1) - c) + l(c) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove the lemma. \square

Proposition 4.18. 1. Let $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0$. Then it holds that, for $0 \leq y < y_{c_+} \leq 1$ or $0 \leq y \leq 1 < y_{c_+} \leq a_+$

$$\left| \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq \frac{C (|\ln |y - y_{c_+}|| + 1)}{|c_\epsilon|},$$

$$\left| \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c_\epsilon|)| + 1),$$

and for $0 \leq y_{c_+} < y \leq 1$,

$$\left| \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq \frac{C (|\ln |y - y_{c_+}|| + 1)}{|y|},$$

$$\left| \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c_\epsilon|)| + 1);$$

and for $-1 \leq y < y_{c_-} \leq 0$,

$$\left| \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq \frac{C (|\ln |y - y_{c_-}|| + 1)}{|y|},$$

$$\left| \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c_\epsilon|)| + 1),$$

and for $-1 \leq y_{c_-} < y \leq 0$ or $a_- \leq y_{c_-} < -1 \leq y \leq 0$,

$$\left| \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq \frac{C (|\ln |y - y_{c_-}|| + 1)}{|c_\epsilon|},$$

$$\left| \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_-}}^{y'} (F\varphi_-)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c_\epsilon|)| + 1).$$

2. Let $c_\epsilon = c + i\epsilon \in D_{\epsilon_0}$. Then it holds that for $0 \leq y < y_{c_+} \leq 1$ or $0 \leq y \leq 1 < y_{c_+} \leq a_+$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy',$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy',$$

and for $0 \leq y_{c_+} < y \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy',$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy'.$$

For $-1 \leq y < y_{c_-} \leq 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy',$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_-(y, c) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy',$$

and for $-1 \leq y_{c_-} < y \leq 0$ or $a_- \leq y_{c_-} < -1 \leq y \leq 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy',$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_-(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_-(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' = \varphi_-(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_-(y', c)^2} dy'.$$

Proof. We consider the case of $y \in [0, 1]$ and the case of $y \in [-1, 0]$ can be proved by the same argument.

By Proposition 3.7, we get for $c \in D_{\epsilon_0} \cup D_0$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|y' - y_{c_+}| (|y'| + |c|)},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} \right| \leq \frac{C \|F\|_{L^\infty}}{|y'| + |c|},$$

which implies for $0 \leq y < y_{c_+} \leq 1$ or $0 \leq y \leq 1 < y_{c_+} \leq a_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \right| &\leq \frac{C (|\ln |y_{c_+} - y|| + 1)}{|c|} \\ \left| \varphi_+(y, c) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \right| &\leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c|)| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

and for $0 \leq y_{c_+} < y \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi_+(y, c) \int_1^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \right| &\leq \frac{C (|\ln |y_{c_+} - y|| + 1)}{|y|} \\ \left| \int_1^y \varphi_+(y, c) \frac{\int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c) \varphi_+(y', c)^2} dy' \right| &\leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln(|y| + |c|)| + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $F(y, c_\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{H}(y, c_\epsilon)$ and $\varphi_\pm(y, c_\epsilon)$ are continuous functions, then by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can obtain the second part. \square

Proposition 4.19. *Let $c_\epsilon \in B_{\epsilon_0}^l$ or $c_\epsilon \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$. Then it holds that*

$$|\Theta(y, c_\epsilon)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Proof. We only show the proof of the case $0 \leq y \leq 1$ and $c_\epsilon \in B_{\epsilon_0}^r$, the proofs of the other three cases are similar.

In this case, $c_\epsilon = \max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\} + \epsilon e^{i\theta}$ and $c_r = \max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\}$ with $y_{c_+} = W_+^{-1}(\max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\}) = a_+$ and by Proposition 4.12, we can write $\Theta(y, c_\epsilon)$ in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) &= \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{a_+}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \\ &\quad + \mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{y_{c_+}}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' + \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{a_+}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon)\varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \\ & \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \left| \int_0^y \frac{|y' - a_+|}{|W_+(y') - W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}| |W_-(y') - W_+(1) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}|} dy' \right| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mu_+(F)(c_\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(y', c_\epsilon)\varphi_+(y', c_\epsilon)^2} dy' \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C\|F\|_{L^\infty}}{1 + |\ln|\epsilon||} \left| \int_0^y \frac{dy'}{|W_+(y') - c_r - \epsilon e^{i\theta}| |W_-(y') - c_r - \epsilon e^{i\theta}|} \right| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus we prove the proposition. \square

5. THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We recall that $(cI - M_\alpha)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi}_0 \\ \widehat{\phi}_0 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Phi_1 \end{pmatrix}(\alpha, y, c)$ and let $\Phi_1(\alpha, y, c) = b(y)\Phi(\alpha, y, c) + \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)\chi(y)/c$, then $\Psi_1(\alpha, y, c) = (u(y) - c)\Phi(\alpha, y, c) + (u(y) - c)\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)\frac{\chi(y)}{cb(y)} + \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)}{b(y)}$ and $\Phi(\alpha, y, c)$ satisfies

$$\partial_y \left[\left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \partial_y \Phi(\alpha, y, c) \right] - \alpha^2 \left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \Phi(\alpha, y, c) = G(\alpha, y, c).$$

In Proposition 4.12 we proved that $\Theta(y, c)$ satisfies

$$\partial_y \left[\left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \partial_y \Theta(y, c) \right] - \alpha^2 \left((u(y) - c)^2 - b(y)^2 \right) \Theta(y, c) = F(y, c) = cG(\alpha, y, c).$$

Thus $\Theta(y, c) = c\Phi(\alpha, y, c)$ and by (1.7), we obtain that for $y \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-iatc_\epsilon} \left(\frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) + \frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)\chi(y) + \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y)}{b(y)} \right) dc_\epsilon \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-iatc_\epsilon} \left(\frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) + \frac{u(y)\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)\chi(y)}{c_\epsilon b(y)} + \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, y) - \chi(y)\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{b(y)} \right) dc_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-iatc_\epsilon} \left(\frac{b(y)}{c_\epsilon} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) + \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)\chi(y)}{c_\epsilon} \right) dc_\epsilon.$$

By the fact that $\Theta(y, c_\epsilon)$ is an analytic function in $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} \setminus D_0$, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \left(\frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) \right) dc_\epsilon, \\ \widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) &= \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \left(\frac{b(y)}{c_\epsilon} \Theta(y, c_\epsilon) \right) dc_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we denote $M_+ = \max\{W_+(1), W_-(-1)\}$ and $m_- = \min\{W_+(-1), W_-(-1)\}$ for brevity.

Proof of 1. For $y = 0$ and $\chi(0) = 1$, we get that,

$$\widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, 0) = \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \frac{\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{c_\epsilon} dc_\epsilon = \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, 0) &= \frac{u'(0)}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) - \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \Theta(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{u'(0)}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) - \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We have for the second term

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|c_\epsilon| \leq \sqrt{2}\epsilon_0\} \cap \partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|c_\epsilon| > \sqrt{2}\epsilon_0\} \cap \partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon \\ &= I(c_{\epsilon_0}) + J(c_{\epsilon_0}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.15, for $c_\epsilon \in \partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}$ and $|c_\epsilon| \leq \sqrt{2}\epsilon_0$, we have

$$|\nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon)| \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (1 + |\ln |c_\epsilon||) \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln |\epsilon_0|| + 1).$$

Thus, we deduce that $I(c_\epsilon) \leq C \|F\|_{L^\infty} \epsilon_0 (|\ln |\epsilon_0|| + 1)$, and then $\lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} I(c_{\epsilon_0}) = 0$.

As for $J(c_{\epsilon_0})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(c_{\epsilon_0}) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon_0}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon_0)} \nu_+(F)(c+i\epsilon_0) \varphi_+(0, c+i\epsilon_0) dc \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_-}^{\epsilon_0} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon_0)} \nu_+(F)(c+i\epsilon_0) \varphi_+(0, c+i\epsilon_0) dc \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_-}^{\epsilon_0} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon_0)} \nu_+(F)(c-i\epsilon_0) \varphi_+(0, c-i\epsilon_0) dc \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon_0}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon_0)} \nu_+(F)(c-i\epsilon_0) \varphi_+(0, c-i\epsilon_0) dc \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon_0}^l} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon_0}^r} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \nu_+(F)(c_\epsilon) \varphi_+(0, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.15 and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} J(c_{\epsilon_0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_-}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t c} (\nu_+^-(F)(c) - \nu_+^+(F)(c)) \varphi_+(0, c) dc.$$

By Remark 4.16, we have $|\nu_+^\pm(F)(c)| \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln|c|| + 1) \in L_c^1$ and

$$(\nu_+^-(F)(c) - \nu_+^+(F)(c)) \varphi_+(0, c) \in L_c^1,$$

and the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} J(c_{\epsilon_0}) \rightarrow 0$. From which, it implies that

$$\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, 0) \rightarrow \frac{u'(0)}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof of 2. For the case of $0 < y \leq 1$, for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, let

$$K(t, \alpha, y) = \lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta_+(y, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon,$$

and then $\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + K(t, \alpha, y)$.

We divide $K(t, \alpha, y)$ into 6 parts and let

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, \alpha, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \Theta_+^0(y, (c - i\epsilon)) dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{M_+}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \Theta_+^0(y, (c + i\epsilon)) dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_\epsilon^r} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta_+(y, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon, \\ K_2(t, \alpha, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(y)}^{m_-} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \Theta_+^0(y, (c + i\epsilon)) dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_-}^{W_-(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \Theta_+^0(y, (c - i\epsilon)) dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_\epsilon^l} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} \Theta_+(y, c_\epsilon) dc_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and


$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, (c - i\epsilon)) dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, (c + i\epsilon)) dc \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon) \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c - i\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c - i\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c - i\epsilon)^2} dy' dc \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon) \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c + i\epsilon) dz}{\mathcal{H}(y', c + i\epsilon) \varphi_+(y', c + i\epsilon)^2} dy' dc \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\mu_+(F)(c - i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' dc \\
& + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\mu_+(F)(c + i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' dc \\
& \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_3(t, \alpha, y) + K_4(t, \alpha, y),
\end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}
K_5(t, \alpha, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, c - i\epsilon) dc \\
&+ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(y)}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, c + i\epsilon) dc \\
K_6(t, \alpha, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, c + i\epsilon) dc \\
&+ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \Theta_+^1(y, c - i\epsilon) dc,
\end{aligned}$$

so that $K(t, \alpha, y) = \sum_{i=1}^6 K_i(t, \alpha, y)$. And for convenience, we give a picture to show that how we depart the contour domain:



As for K_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_1(t, \alpha, y) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \left\{ \varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c - i\epsilon) dz}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' \right. \\
&+ \left. \mu_+(F)(c - i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' + \nu_+(F)(c - i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon) \right\} dc \\
&- \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \left\{ \varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{\int_{y_{c+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c + i\epsilon) dz}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' \right. \\
&+ \left. \mu_+(F)(c + i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon) \int_0^y \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' + \nu_+(F)(c + i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon) \right\} dc
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{-i\alpha t(M_+ + \epsilon e^{i\theta})} \frac{u(y) - M_+ + \epsilon e^{i\theta}}{M_+ - \epsilon e^{i\theta}} \Theta_+(y, M_+ - \epsilon e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} d\theta \\
& = K_{11}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{12}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{13}(t, \alpha, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.19 implies $K_{13}(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

For $c \in [W_+(y), M_+]$ with $y \in (0, 1]$ fixed, by Proposition 4.15, Proposition 4.18, Remark 4.10 and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(t, \alpha, y) & = K_{11}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{12}(t, \alpha, y) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left\{ (\mu_+^-(F)(c) - \mu_+^+(F)(c)) \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\nu_+^-(F)(c) - \nu_+^+(F)(c)) \varphi_+(y, c) \right\} dc \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right) dc.
\end{aligned}$$

Here for $c \in [W_+(y), M_+]$, by Remark 4.10, Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.17 and Proposition 4.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right| \\
& \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln|y - y_{c+}|| + 1) \in L_c^1(W_+(y), M_+), \\
& \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right| \\
& \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \in L_c^1(W_+(y), M_+).
\end{aligned}$$

And then the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_{11}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{12}(t, \alpha, y) = 0.$$

Thus we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_1(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

By the same argument, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_2(t, \alpha, y) \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_-}^{W_-(y)} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right\} dc,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right| \\
& \leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln|y - y_{c+}|| + 1) \in L_c^1(m_-, W_-(y)), \\
& \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\|F\|_{L^\infty} \in L_c^1(m_-, W_-(y)).$$

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_2(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

We rewrite K_5 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & K_5(t, \alpha, y) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \left\{ \varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c - i\epsilon) dz}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu_+(F)(c - i\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' \right\} dc \\ & \quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \left\{ \varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\int_{y_{c+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c + i\epsilon) dz}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu_+(F)(c + i\epsilon) \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' \right\} dc. \end{aligned}$$

For $c \in [W_+(\frac{y}{2}), W_+(y)]$, then $0 < \frac{y}{2} \leq y_{c+} \leq y \leq 1$. Thus by Proposition 4.18, Remark 4.10 and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & K_5(t, \alpha, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} (\mu_+^-(F)(c) - \mu_+^+(F)(c)) \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(y)} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc. \end{aligned}$$

And for $c \in [W_+(\frac{y}{2}), W_+(y)]$, by Remark 4.10 and Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.17, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right| \\ & \leq C(y)\|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln |y - y_{c+}|| + 1) \in L_c^1(W_+(y/2), W_+(y)), \end{aligned}$$

and then the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_5(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

By the same argument, we can also get

$$\begin{aligned} & K_6(t, \alpha, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc, \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_6(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

In the following, we mainly calculate the term $K_3(t, \alpha, y)$ and $K_4(t, \alpha, y)$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} K_4(t, \alpha, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\mu_+(F)(c - i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c - i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c - i\epsilon)} dy' dc \\ & \quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} \int_1^y \frac{\mu_+(F)(c + i\epsilon)\varphi_+(y, c + i\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c + i\epsilon)} dy' dc, \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.15 and by Proposition 4.18 and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$K_4(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} (\mu_+^-(F)(c) - \mu_+^+(F)(c)) \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc.$$

And from Remark 4.16 and Proposition 4.18, we have for $c \in [W_-(\frac{y}{2}), W_+(\frac{y}{2})]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{u(y) - c}{c} (\mu_+^-(F)(c) - \mu_+^+(F)(c)) \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C \|F\|_{L^\infty} (|\ln |y - y_{c_+}| + 1)}{|y|} (|\ln |c|| + 1) \in L_c^1(W_-(y/2), W_+(y/2)). \end{aligned}$$

And then the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma gives $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_4(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

Let

$$H(y, c_\epsilon) = \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c_\epsilon)} \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz dy',$$

and Γ_ϵ be the boundary of $\{c : W_-(\frac{y}{2}) \leq \text{Re}c \leq W_+(\frac{y}{2}), |\text{Im}c| \leq \epsilon\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_3(t, \alpha, y) \\ & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c-i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c + i\epsilon}{c - i\epsilon} (H(y, c - i\epsilon) - H(y, 0)) dc \\ & \quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_+(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_-(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-i\alpha t(c+i\epsilon)} \frac{u(y) - c - i\epsilon}{c + i\epsilon} (H(y, c + i\epsilon) - H(y, 0)) dc \\ & \quad + H(y, 0) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} e^{-i\alpha t c} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} dc \\ & \quad - H(y, 0) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} e^{-i\alpha t(W_+(\frac{y}{2})+i\tau)} \frac{u(y) - W_+(\frac{y}{2}) - i\tau}{W_+(\frac{y}{2}) + i\tau} d\tau \\ & \quad - H(y, 0) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^{-\epsilon} e^{-i\alpha t(W_-(\frac{y}{2})+i\tau)} \frac{u(y) - W_-(\frac{y}{2}) - i\tau}{W_-(\frac{y}{2}) + i\tau} d\tau \\ & = K_{31}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{32}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{33}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{34}(t, \alpha, y) + K_{35}(t, \alpha, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we can easily get that

$$K_{33}(t, \alpha, y) = H(y, 0) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} e^{-i\alpha t c_\epsilon} \frac{u(y) - c_\epsilon}{c_\epsilon} dc_\epsilon = u(y)H(y, 0),$$

and $K_{34}(t, \alpha, y) = K_{35}(t, \alpha, y) = 0$.

As for K_{31} and K_{32} , we have for $c_\epsilon = c \pm i\epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} & H(y, c_\epsilon) - H(y, 0) \\ & = (\varphi_+(y, c_\epsilon) - \varphi_+(y, 0)) \int_1^y \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c_\epsilon)} \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz dy' \\ & \quad + \varphi_+(y, 0) \int_1^y \left(\frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c_\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', 0)} \right) \int_{y_{c_+}}^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz dy' \\ & \quad - \varphi_+(y, 0) \int_1^y \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', 0)} \int_0^{y_{c_+}} (F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) dz dy' \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \varphi_+(y, 0) \int_1^y \frac{1}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', 0)} \int_0^{y'} [(F\varphi_+)(z, c_\epsilon) - (F\varphi_+)(z, 0)] dz dy'.$$

Then by Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 4.18, we have

$$|H(y, c_\epsilon) - H(y, 0)| \leq C(y)|c_\epsilon|,$$

and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (H(y, c \pm i\epsilon) - H(y, 0)) = H(y, c) - H(y, 0)$ and then the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives

$$K_{31}(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{W_-(\frac{y}{2})}^{W_+(\frac{y}{2})} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} (H(y, c) - H(y, 0)) dc = -K_{32}(t, \alpha, y).$$

Thus we obtain $K_3(t, \alpha, y) = u(y)H(y, 0)$ with

$$H(y, 0) = \varphi_+(y, 0) \int_1^y \frac{\int_0^{y'} F(z, 0) \varphi_+(z, 0) dz}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_+(y', 0)^2} dy'.$$

Therefore we get for $y \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + K(t, \alpha, y) \\ &= \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + u(y)H(y, 0) + R_1^+(t, \alpha, y) + R_2^+(t, \alpha, y) + R_3^+(t, \alpha, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^+(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_2^+(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_-}^{W_-(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_3^+(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_+(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc, \end{aligned}$$

and $R_i^+(t, \alpha, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $y > 0$.

Similarly, we also get that for $0 < y \leq 1$,

$$\widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) = \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + b(y)H(y, 0) + R_4^+(t, \alpha, y) + R_5^+(t, \alpha, y) + R_6^+(t, \alpha, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_4^+(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(y)}^{M_+} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{V}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_+(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_5^+(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_-}^{W_-(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c) \mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$R_6^+(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_+(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_+^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_1^y \frac{\varphi_+(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_+^2)(y', c)} dy' dc,$$

and $R_i^+(t, \alpha, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for $i = 4, 5, 6$, and $y > 0$.

Proof of 3. For $y \in [-1, 0)$, we can get the conclusion by the same method and we obtain that

$$\widehat{\psi}(t, \alpha, y) = \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + u(y) \widetilde{H}(y, 0) - R_1^-(t, \alpha, y) - R_2^-(t, \alpha, y) - R_3^-(t, \alpha, y),$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}(t, \alpha, y) = \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + b(y) \widetilde{H}(y, 0) - R_4^-(t, \alpha, y) - R_5^-(t, \alpha, y) - R_6^-(t, \alpha, y),$$

where

$$\widetilde{H}(y, 0) = \varphi_-(y, 0) \int_{-1}^y \frac{1}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_-(y', 0)^2} \int_0^{y'} F(z, 0) \varphi_-(z, 0) dz dy'.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(y)}^{m^-} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_-(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_2^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{M_+}^{W_-(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_-(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_3^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_+(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{u(y) - c}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_{-1}^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' dc, \\ R_4^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_+(y)}^{m^-} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_-(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_5^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{M_+}^{W_-(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_0^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{V}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \varphi_-(y, c) \right) dc, \\ R_6^-(t, \alpha, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{W_-(y)}^{W_+(y)} e^{-iatc} \frac{b(y)}{c} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{im}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{re}(F)(c) - \mathcal{D}^{re}(c)\mathcal{U}_-^{im}(F)(c)}{\mathcal{D}^{re}(c)^2 + \mathcal{D}^{im}(c)^2} \int_{-1}^y \frac{\varphi_-(y, c)}{(\mathcal{H}\varphi_-^2)(y', c)} dy' dc, \end{aligned}$$

and $R_i^-(t, \alpha, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, and $y < 0$.

At last, we give the calculation of the term $H(y, 0)$.

Firstly, due to the fact that

$$F(y, 0) = -\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \left(\partial_y \left[(u(y)^2 - b(y)^2) \partial_y \left(\frac{\chi(y)}{b(y)} \right) \right] - \alpha^2 (u(y)^2 - b(y)^2) \frac{\chi(y)}{b(y)} \right),$$

we have by using the integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{y'} (F\varphi_+)(z, 0) dz \\ &= \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \left\{ \int_0^{y'} (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \left(\frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \right) \partial_z \varphi_+(z, 0) dz - (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \left(\frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \right) \varphi_+(z, 0) \Big|_0^{y'} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{y'} \alpha^2 (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \varphi_+(z, 0) dz \right\} \\ &= -\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \left\{ \int_0^{y'} \partial_z \left((u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \varphi_+(z, 0) \right) \frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} dz - (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \varphi_+(z, 0) \frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \Big|_0^{y'} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \left(\frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \right) \varphi_+(z, 0) \Big|_0^{y'} - \int_0^{y'} \alpha^2 (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \varphi_+(z, 0) dz \right\} \\ &= \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \left\{ (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \varphi_+(z, 0) \frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \Big|_0^{y'} - (u(z)^2 - b(z)^2) \partial_z \left(\frac{\chi(z)}{b(z)} \right) \varphi_+(z, 0) \Big|_0^{y'} \right\} \\ &= -\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) b'(0) \frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)^2} - \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) (u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \partial_{y'} \left(\frac{\chi}{b} \right) (y') \varphi_+(y', 0) \\ & \quad + \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) (u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \frac{\chi(y')}{b(y')} \partial_{y'} \varphi_+(y', 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain for $y > 0$,

$$H(y, 0) = -\widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)} \varphi_+(y, 0) \int_1^y \frac{1}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_+(y', 0)^2} dy' - \frac{\chi(y) \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0)}{b(y)},$$

and then for $0 < y \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + u(y) H(y, 0) &= -\frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \int_1^y \frac{u(y) \varphi_+(y, 0)}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_+(y', 0)^2} dy', \\ \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + b(y) H(y, 0) &= -\frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \int_1^y \frac{b(y) \varphi_+(y, 0)}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_+(y', 0)^2} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Similar as the case of $y \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(y)}{b(y)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + u(y) \widetilde{H}(y, 0) &= -\frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \int_{-1}^y \frac{u(y) \varphi_+(y, 0)}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_-(y', 0)^2} dy', \\ \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \chi(y) + b(y) \widetilde{H}(y, 0) &= -\frac{u'(0)^2 - b'(0)^2}{b'(0)} \widehat{\phi}_0(\alpha, 0) \int_{-1}^y \frac{b(y) \varphi_+(y, 0)}{(u(y')^2 - b(y')^2) \varphi_-(y', 0)^2} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

C. Zhai is partially supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2018M630014. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11425103.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abidi and P. Zhang, On the global solution of a 3-D MHD system with initial data near equilibrium, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 70 (2017), no. 8, 1509-1561.
- [2] C. Bardos, C. Sulem, and P. L. Sulem, Longtime dynamics of a conductive fluid in the presence of a strong magnetic field, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 305 (1988), pp. 175-191.
- [3] J. Bedrossian and N. Masmoudi, Inviscid damping and the asymptotic stability of planar shear flows in the 2D Euler equations, *Publ. Math. Inst. Haut. Étud. Sci.*, 122 (2015), pp. 195-300.
- [4] D. Biskamp, *Magnetic Reconnection in Plasmas*, (Cambridge Monographs on Plasma Physics) 2005
- [5] F. Bouchet and H. Morita, Large time behavior and asymptotic stability of the 2D Euler and linearized Euler equations, *Phys. D*, 239 (2010), pp. 948-966.
- [6] Y. Cai and Z. Lei, Global well-posedness of the incompressible magnetohydrodynamics, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 228 (2018), no. 3, 969-993.
- [7] K. M. Case, Stability of inviscid plane Couette flow, *Phys. Fluids*, 3 (1960), pp. 143-148.
- [8] J. Y. Chemin, D. S. McCormick, J. C. Robinson, and J. L. Rodrigob, Local existence for the non-resistive MHD equations in Besov spaces, *Adv. Math.*, 286 (2016), pp. 1-31.
- [9] P. A. Davidson, *An Introduction to Magnetohydrodynamics*, Cambridge University Press. 2001.
- [10] W. Deng and P. Zhang, Large Time Behavior of Solutions to 3-D MHD System with Initial Data Near Equilibrium, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 2018, Page 1.
- [11] C. L. Fefferman, D. S. McCormick, J. C. Robinson, and J. L. Rodrigo, Higher order commutator estimates and local existence for the non-resistive MHD equations and related models, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267 (2014), pp. 1035-1056.
- [12] W. Grossmann and J. Tataronis, The excitation of waves and resonances in high-beta plasmas, in *Proceedings of the 2nd Topical Conference on Pulsed High-Beta Plasmas*, IPP-Report 1/127, 1972, Paper B-6.
- [13] E. Hameiri, *The equilibrium and stability of rotating plasmas*. *Physics of Fluids*, 1983, 26(1): 230-237.
- [14] L. He, L. Xu, and P. Yu, On global dynamics of three dimensional magnetohydrodynamics: Nonlinear stability of Alfvén waves, *Annals of PDE*, 2018, Volume 4, Number 1, Page 1
- [15] J. Heyvaerts and E. R. Priest, Coronal heating by phase-mixed shear Alfvén waves, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 117 (1983), pp. 200-234.
- [16] M. Hirota, T. Tatsuno, and Z. Yoshida, Resonance between continuous spectra: Secular behavior of Alfvén waves in a flowing plasma, *Phys. Plas.*, 12 (2005), 012107.
- [17] L. Landau, On the vibration of the electronic plasma, *Acad. Sci. USSR J. Phys.*, 10 (1946), pp. 25-34.
- [18] A. Lifschitz, *Magnetohydrodynamics and Spectral Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989.
- [19] Z. Lin and C. Zeng, Inviscid dynamic structures near Couette flow, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 200 (2011), pp. 1075-1097.
- [20] C. Mouhot and C. Villani, On Landau damping, *Acta Math.*, 207 (2011), pp. 29-201
- [21] W. Orr, Stability and instability of steady motions of a perfect liquid, *Proc. Ir. Acad. Sect. A: Math Astron. Phys. Sci.*, 27 (1907), pp. 9-66.
- [22] S. Ren and W. Zhao, Linear damping of Alfvén waves by phase mixing. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 49 (2017), no. 3, 2101-2137.
- [23] X. Ren, J. Wu, Z. Xiang, and Z. Zhang, Global existence and decay of smooth solution for the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267 (2014), pp. 503-541.
- [24] S. I. Rosencrans and D. H. Sattinger, On the spectrum of an operator occurring in the theory of Hydrodynamics stability, *J. Math. Phys.*, 45 (1966), pp. 289-300.
- [25] J. Shiraishi, S. Tokuda. *Numerical Matching Scheme for Stability Analysis of Flowing Plasmas*. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 2010, 38(9): 2169-2176.
- [26] S. A. Stepin, Nonself-adjoint Friedrichs model in hydrodynamic stability, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 29 (1995), pp. 91-101.
- [27] M. E. Stern, *Joint Instability of Hydromagnetic Fields which are Separately Stable*. *Physics of Fluids*, 1963, 6(5): 636-642.
- [28] J. Tataronis and W. Grossmann, On the spectrum of ideal MHD, in *Proceedings of the 2nd Topical Conference on Pulsed High-Beta Plasmas*, IPP-Report 1/127, 1972, Paper B-5.
- [29] J. Tataronis and W. Grossmann, Decay of MHD wave by phase mixing I. The sheet-pinch in plane geometry, *Z. Physik*, 261 (1973), pp. 203-216.
- [30] C. Uberoi, Alfvén waves in inhomogeneous magnetic fields, *Phys. Fluids*, 2 (1972), pp. 1673-1675.

- [31] R. Wan, On the uniqueness for the 2D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 30 (2016), pp. 32-40.
- [32] D. Wei and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness of the MHD equations in a homogeneous magnetic field, *Anal. PDE* 10 (2017), no. 6, 1361-1406.
- [33] D. Wei, Z. Zhang, W. Zhao, Linear inviscid damping for a class of monotone shear flow in Sobolev spaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 71 (2018), no. 4, 617-687.
- [34] D. Wei, Z. Zhang, W. Zhao, Linear Inviscid Damping and Vorticity Depletion for Shear Flows, arXiv: 1704.00428v1.
- [35] D. Wei, Z. Zhang, and W. Zhao, Linear Inviscid Damping and Enhanced Dissipation for the Kolmogorov Flow, arXiv: 1711.01822v1.
- [36] T. Zhang, Global solutions to the 2D viscous, non-resistive MHD system with large background magnetic field, *J. Differential Equations*, 260 (2016), pp. 5450-5480.
- [37] W. Zhao, Local well-posedness in Sobolev space for the inhomogeneous non-resistive MHD equations on general domain, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 19, 1650055 (2017).
- [38] C. Zillinger, Linear Inviscid Damping for Monotone Shear Flows, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369 (2017), no. 12, 8799-8855.
- [39] H. Zohm, *Magnetohydrodynamic Stability of Tokamaks*, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2015.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhaicui@math.pku.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY IN ABU DHABI, SAADIYAT ISLAND, P.O. BOX 129188, ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.
E-mail address: zjzjzwr@126.com, wz19@nyu.edu