

Closest-Pair Queries in Fat Rectangles*

Sang Won Bae[†] Michiel Smid[‡]

April 8, 2019

Abstract

In the range closest pair problem, we want to construct a data structure storing a set S of n points in the plane, such that for any axes-parallel query rectangle R , the closest pair in the set $R \cap S$ can be reported. The currently best result for this problem is by Xue et al. (SoCG 2018). Their data structure has size $O(n \log^2 n)$ and query time $O(\log^2 n)$. We show that a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$ time, such that queries can be answered in $O(\log n + f \log f)$ time, where f is the aspect ratio of R . Thus, for fat query rectangles, the query time is $O(\log n)$. This result is obtained by reducing the range closest pair problem to standard range searching problems on the points of S .

1 Introduction

Range searching and closest pair problems have been well-studied in computational geometry. In both problems, we are given a finite set S of points. In the range searching problem, we want to construct a data structure, such that for any query range R , the elements of $R \cap S$ can be reported or counted. In the closest pair problem, the goal is to design an algorithm that computes the closest pair in the set S . Overviews of the main results for these problems can be found in the survey papers by Agarwal and Erickson [2] and Smid [12].

In this paper, we consider the *range closest pair* problem: Given a set S of n points in the plane, construct a data structure such that for any axes-parallel query rectangle R , the closest pair in the set $R \cap S$ can be reported.

The range closest pair problem was introduced by Shan et al. [10]. They presented experimental results based on R-trees, but did not give a complexity analysis. Gupta [8] showed that, for a set of n points in one-dimensional space, a query can be answered in $O(\log n)$ time using a data structure of size $O(n)$. For the two-dimensional problem, he

*S.W. Bae was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2018R1D1A1B07042755). M. Smid was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

[†]Division of Computer Science and Engineering, Kyonggi University, Suwon, South Korea.

[‡]School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada.

presented a data structure of size $O(n^2 \log^3 n)$ that has $O(\log^3 n)$ query time. Sharathkumar and Gupta [11] improved the space bound to $O(n \log^3 n)$, while keeping the query time at $O(\log^3 n)$. They first prove this result for *fat* query rectangles, i.e., rectangles whose aspect ratio (which is the longest side length divided by the shortest side length) is bounded from above by a constant. Then, they prove the same result for arbitrary query rectangles. Gupta et al. [9] gave a data structure of size $O(n \log^5 n)$, that supports queries in $O(\log^2 n)$ time. Abam et al. [1] presented a variant of this data structure: The space and query time are the same as in [9], but their structure can be constructed in $O(n \log^5 n)$ time. The currently best result is by Xue et al. [14]. They presented a data structure of size $O(n \log^2 n)$ that supports queries in $O(\log^2 n)$ time. It is not known if their data structure can be constructed efficiently, say in subquadratic time.

Xue et al. [13] introduced an approximate version of the range closest pair problem and gave a solution for the d -dimensional case, for any constant dimension $d \geq 1$. The results in [13] imply that a data structure of size $O(n \log^{d-1} n)$ can be constructed in $O(n \log^{d-1} n)$ time, such that the following holds: For any axes-parallel query rectangle R in \mathbb{R}^d and any query value $\epsilon > 0$, a pair p, q of distinct points in S can be computed, such that (i) $p \in R$, (ii) q is contained in the expanded rectangle obtained by scaling R by a factor of $1 + \epsilon$ with respect to its center, and (iii) the distance between p and q is at most the closest-pair distance in $R \cap S$. Such a pair p, q can be computed in $O(\log^{d-1} n + (f/\epsilon)^d \log(f/\epsilon))$ time, where f denotes the aspect ratio of the query rectangle R . Observe that, since the point q can be outside of R , the distance between p and q may be much smaller than the closest-pair distance in $R \cap S$.

1.1 Our Result

We show that the approach of Sharathkumar and Gupta [11] for fat query rectangles can be improved. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1 *Let S be a set of n points in the plane. In $O(n \log n)$ time, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$, such that for any axes-parallel query rectangle R , the closest pair in $R \cap S$ can be computed in $O(\log n + f \log f)$ time, where f is the aspect ratio of R .*

Thus, for fat query rectangles, i.e., rectangles whose aspect ratio is bounded by a constant, the query time is $O(\log n)$. In fact, the query time is $O(\log n)$ for any rectangle having aspect ratio $O(\log n / \log \log n)$.

Our result will be based on the following two claims. (These claims are stronger variants of similar claims in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 of [11].) Let R be a query rectangle, let ℓ be its shortest side length, and let f be its aspect ratio.

- If R contains $O(f)$ points of S , then we can answer a query by using any optimal closest pair algorithm.

- Assume that R contains $\Omega(f)$ points of S . A standard packing argument implies that the closest-pair distance in $R \cap S$ is less than $\ell/2$. In this case, we can compute four small squares “anchored” at the four vertices of R , each one containing $O(1)$ points of S . That is, for each vertex v of R , one of these small squares has v as a vertex and is contained in R . If p, q is the closest pair in $R \cap S$, then either (i) both p and q are contained in the same small square or (ii) at least one of (p, q) and (q, p) is an edge in the Yao-graph that uses four cones of angle $\pi/2$ (this graph will be defined in Section 2). This claim will be proved in Section 3.1.

Based on these two claims, a range closest pair query will be reduced to several standard range searching queries on two-dimensional point sets. Note that in [11], the query is reduced to range searching queries in four-dimensional space. We are able to reduce the dimension from four to two, because we use a different way to partition the query rectangle into four small anchored squares and five other rectangles.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic packing argument, some basic range searching problems, and the Yao-graph. Our range closest pair data structure and the query algorithm will be given in Section 3. In Section 4, we give a simple example that shows that a point set S may contain $\Omega(n^2)$ pairs of points, each of which is the closest pair for some fat rectangle. If we restrict queries to squares, however, then the number of possible closest pairs is only $O(n)$. We prove this claim by showing that each such pair is an edge in the second-order L_∞ -Delaunay graph.

2 Preliminaries

For any two points p and q in the plane, we denote their Euclidean distance by $|pq|$. For any finite set S of points in the plane, a *closest pair* in S is a pair p, q of distinct points in S whose distance $|pq|$ is minimum. The *closest-pair distance*, i.e., the distance $|pq|$ of a closest pair p, q , will be denoted by $CPD(S)$. If S contains at most one point, then $CPD(S) = \infty$.

A proof of the following result can be found in the textbook by Cormen et al. [6].

Lemma 1 *Let S be a set of n points in the plane. The closest pair in S can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time.*

Throughout the rest of this paper, a *rectangle* refers to a region R in the plane defined by a Cartesian product $R = [a_x, b_x] \times [a_y, b_y]$, where a_x, a_y, b_x , and b_y are real numbers with $a_x < b_x$ and $a_y < b_y$. The *aspect ratio*, or *fatness*, of R is the ratio of $\max(b_x - a_x, b_y - a_y)$ and $\min(b_x - a_x, b_y - a_y)$.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that no two points in S share a coordinate along any dimension. We also assume, for ease of presentation, that the $\binom{n}{2}$ distances defined by the pairs of points in S are distinct, so that the closest pair in $R \cap S$ is uniquely defined for any rectangle R . (If distances are not distinct, then we can take the closest pair in $R \cap S$ to be the lexicographically smallest pair that achieves the minimum distance. All arguments in this paper will still be valid.)

In the following lemma, we present the standard packing argument to prove the claim that if a rectangle R contains “many” points, then the closest-pair distance in R is “small”.

Lemma 2 *Let S be a finite set of points in the plane, let R a rectangle, let ℓ be the shortest side length of R , and let f be the aspect ratio of R .*

1. *If $|R \cap S| > 4\lceil 4f \rceil$, then $CPD(R \cap S) < \ell/2$.*
2. *If R is a square and $|R \cap S| \geq 5$, then $CPD(R \cap S) < \ell$.*

Proof. To prove the first claim, we assume, without loss of generality, that the vertical sides of R have length ℓ and, thus, the horizontal sides have length $f\ell$. Partition R into four horizontal slabs, each having height $\ell/4$, and $\lceil 4f \rceil$ vertical slabs, each having width at most $\ell/4$. These slabs partition R into $4\lceil 4f \rceil$ rectangles, each one having sides of length at most $\ell/4$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, one of these rectangles contains at least two points of $R \cap S$. These two points have distance at most $\sqrt{2} \cdot \ell/4 < \ell/2$.

The proof of the second claim is similar. In this case, we divide the square R into four squares, each one having sides of length $\ell/2$. ■

Lemmas 3–5 below are based on range trees. Let S be a set of n points in the plane. A *range tree* consists of a balanced binary tree T storing the points of S at its leaves, in sorted order of their x -coordinates. For each node u of T , let S_u be the set of points of S that are stored in u 's subtree. We store with u a pointer to an array A_u storing the points of S_u , in sorted order of their y -coordinates. (For a more detailed description, see, e.g., the textbook by de Berg et al. [7].)

Consider a query rectangle R . Using the technique of *fractional cascading*, in $O(\log n)$ total time, a sequence u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k of $k = O(\log n)$ nodes in T can be computed, together with indices α_i and β_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, such that the k subarrays $A_{u_i}[\alpha_i \dots \beta_i]$ form a partition of $R \cap S$. Thus, by reporting the points stored in these subarrays, we report each point of the set $R \cap S$ exactly once. Also, the size of the set $R \cap S$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^k (\beta_i - \alpha_i + 1)$. (Again, for more details, refer to de Berg et al. [7].) The following lemma summarizes this standard application of range trees.

Lemma 3 *Let S be a set of n points in the plane. In $O(n \log n)$ time, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$, such that for any query rectangle R ,*

1. *the elements of the set $R \cap S$ can be reported in $O(\log n + |R \cap S|)$ time,*
2. *the size of the set $R \cap S$ can be reported in $O(\log n)$ time.*

Instead of reporting or counting the elements of $R \cap S$, we will also need a data structure for the case in which the points of S are weighted and we want to report the minimum weight of any point inside the query rectangle.




Figure 1: Illustrating the proof of Lemma 5 for $c = 5$.

Lemma 4 *Let S be a set of n weighted points in the plane. In $O(n \log n)$ time, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$, such that for any query rectangle R , the minimum weight of any point in $R \cap S$ can be reported in $O(\log n)$ time.*

Proof. Consider a range tree for S , as described above. For each node u of the tree T , we use the algorithm of Bender and Farach-Colton [3] to preprocess the array A_u in $O(|A_u|)$ time, such that for any subarray, the minimum weight of any point in the subarray can be reported in $O(1)$ time.

Given a query rectangle R , we compute, in $O(\log n)$ total time, the sequence u_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k = O(\log n)$, of nodes in T , and the sequences of indices α_i and β_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then, for each i , we compute the minimum weight of any point in the subarray $A_{u_i}[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ in $O(1)$ time. From this, we obtain the minimum weight of the points in $R \cap S$ in $O(\log n)$ time. ■

The following lemma gives the data structure that we will need to find the anchored squares that were mentioned in Section 1.1.

Lemma 5 *Let S be a set of n points in the plane and let c be a constant. In $O(n \log n)$ time, we can construct a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$, such that for any query point q in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can compute, in $O(\log n)$ time, the smallest square with bottom-left corner at q that contains at least c points of S . If such a square does not exist, then the query returns the infinite square with bottom-left corner at q .*

Proof. Let V_q be the vertical line through q , let H_q be the horizontal line through q , and let D_q be the line through q that makes an angle of $\pi/4$ with the positive x -axis. Let VD_q be the cone consisting of all points in the plane that are to the right of V_q and above D_q . Let DH_q be the cone consisting of all points in the plane that are above H_q and below D_q . (Refer to Figure 1.)

If we are given the c lowest points of $VD_q \cap S$ and the c leftmost points of $DH_q \cap S$, then we can answer the query in $O(c) = O(1)$ time. Below, we show how a variant of the range




Figure 2: On the left, the four quadrants of p are shown. On the right, the four out-going edges of p in $Yao(S)$ are shown.

tree can be used to compute the c lowest points of $VD_q \cap S$ in $O(\log n)$ time. The c leftmost points of $DH_q \cap S$ can be computed in a symmetric way.

Consider a range tree for S , as described before. Recall that the balanced binary tree T stores the points of S at its leaves, in sorted order of their x -coordinates. For each node u of T , we store the points $p = (p_x, p_y)$ of S_u in the array A_u . Instead of storing these points in sorted order of their y -coordinates, we store them in sorted order of their values $p_y - p_x$. Each point p in A_u gets the value p_y as its weight. With each such point p , we also store the c smallest weights in the suffix of A_u that starts at p . (If this suffix has length less than c , then we store with p all points in the suffix.) The additional information stored with this array can be computed in time that is proportional to the length of A_u , by traversing it in reverse order. Thus, since c is a constant, the entire data structure has size $O(n \log n)$ and can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Consider a query point $q = (q_x, q_y)$. Observe that a point $p = (p_x, p_y)$ is in VD_q if and only if $p_x \geq q_x$ and $p_y - p_x \geq q_y - q_x$. Using the query algorithm for range trees, with query range $[q_x, \infty) \times [q_y - q_x, \infty)$, we compute, in $O(\log n)$ total time, the sequence u_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k = O(\log n)$, of nodes in T , and the sequences of indices α_i and β_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Observe that each β_i is the largest index in the array A_{u_i} . For each i , the array entry $A_{u_i}[\alpha_i]$ stores the c smallest weights in the suffix of A_{u_i} that starts at position α_i . Thus, in $O(c \log n) = O(\log n)$ additional time, we obtain the c lowest points of $VD_q \cap S$. ■

We conclude this section, by recalling the *Yao-graph*, as introduced in Yao [15]. For any point $p = (p_x, p_y)$ in the plane, define its four *quadrants* to be the regions (refer to the left part of Figure 2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1(p) &= [p_x, \infty) \times [p_y, \infty), \\
 Q_2(p) &= (-\infty, p_x] \times [p_y, \infty), \\
 Q_3(p) &= (-\infty, p_x] \times (-\infty, p_y], \\
 Q_4(p) &= [p_x, \infty) \times (-\infty, p_y].
 \end{aligned}$$

Let S be a set of n points in the plane. The Yao-graph is the directed graph $Yao(S)$ with vertex set S , whose edge set is obtained in the following way (refer to the right part of

Figure 2). For any point p in S and any $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that $Q_k(p) \cap (S \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$, let p_k be the point in $Q_k(p) \cap (S \setminus \{p\})$ whose distance to p is minimum. Then, the directed edge (p, p_k) is added to the edge set of $Yao(S)$.

The following result is due to Chang et al. [5]:

Lemma 6 *Let S be a set of n points in the plane. The graph $Yao(S)$ can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time using $O(n)$ space.*

We partition the edges of the Yao-graph into four subgraphs, based on the orientation of these edges: For each $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $Yao_k(S)$ denotes the directed graph with vertex set S that consists of all edges (p, q) in $Yao(S)$ for which the point q is in the quadrant $Q_k(p)$. Each point of S has out-degree zero or one in $Yao_k(S)$.

3 The Data Structure for Range Closest Pair Queries

In this section, we will present the proof of Theorem 1. Let S be a set of n points in the plane. Our data structure consists of the following:

1. $DS_{RC}(S)$: This is the data structure of Lemma 3 that stores the point set S and supports range reporting and counting queries with rectangles.
2. $DS_{\nearrow}(S)$: This is the data structure of Lemma 5, with $c = 5$, that stores the point set S and returns the smallest square whose bottom-left corner is at a query point and that contains at least 5 points of S .
3. $DS_{\searrow}(S)$, $DS_{\swarrow}(S)$, $DS_{\nwarrow}(S)$: These are the three variants of $DS_{\nearrow}(S)$, where bottom-left is replaced by bottom-right, top-right, and top-left, respectively.
4. Use Lemma 6 to compute the four directed graphs $Yao_k(S)$, for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.
5. For each $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, do the following: Let S_k be the set of all points in S that have out-degree one in the graph $Yao_k(S)$. Give each point p in S_k a weight which is equal to the length of its out-going edge in $Yao_k(S)$. Construct the data structure of Lemma 4 for the weighted point set S_k that reports the minimum weight of any point of S_k inside a query rectangle. We denote this data structure by $DS_{MW,k}(S_k)$.

It follows from the results in Section 2 that the total preprocessing time is $O(n \log n)$ and the entire data structure has size $O(n \log n)$.

Let $R = [a_x, b_x] \times [a_y, b_y]$ be a query rectangle. Below, we will present the algorithm that uses our data structure to compute the closest-pair distance in the set $R \cap S$. The algorithm can easily be extended such that it also reports the actual closest pair.

We assume, without loss of generality, that the horizontal side length of R is at least the vertical side length. Let ℓ be the length of the vertical sides of R , and let f be the aspect ratio of R . Thus, the horizontal sides of R have length $f\ell$. Refer to Figure 3.




Figure 3: The query rectangle R .




Figure 4: Illustrating the partition of the query rectangle R .

Before we present the query algorithm, we introduce some notation. Let δ be a real number with $0 < \delta \leq \ell/2$. We denote by $C_1, C_2, C_3,$ and C_4 the squares with sides of length δ that are anchored at the four corners of the query rectangle R , as indicated in Figure 4. By drawing horizontal and vertical lines through the horizontal and vertical sides of these squares, the part of the rectangle R without these four squares is partitioned into five rectangles. We denote these rectangles by A_1, A_2, \dots, A_5 , as indicated in Figure 4. Next we define the following four rectangles (again, refer to Figure 4):

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= C_3 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_5, \\ B_2 &= C_4 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5, \\ B_3 &= C_1 \cup A_1 \cup A_3 \cup A_4, \\ B_4 &= C_2 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 *Let p and q be two points in the plane such that p is to the left of q and $|pq| < \delta$.*

1. *If $q \in Q_1(p)$ and $p \in B_1$, then $q \in R$.*
2. *If $q \in Q_1(p)$ and $q \in B_3$, then $p \in R$.*
3. *If $q \in Q_4(p)$ and $p \in B_4$, then $q \in R$.*
4. *If $q \in Q_4(p)$ and $q \in B_2$, then $p \in R$.*
5. *If both p and q are in R and $q \in Q_1(p)$, then at least one of the following holds: (i) $p \in B_1$, (ii) $q \in B_3$, (iii) both p and q are in C_2 , (iv) both p and q are in C_4 .*
6. *If both p and q are in R and $q \in Q_4(p)$, then at least one of the following holds: (i) $p \in B_4$, (ii) $q \in B_2$, (iii) both p and q are in C_1 , (iv) both p and q are in C_3 .*

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove the first and fifth claims. To prove the first claim, assume that $q \in Q_1(p)$ and $p \in B_1$. Recall that the square C_1 has sides of length δ . Since $|pq| < \delta$, both the horizontal and vertical distances between p and q are less than δ . This implies that q is contained in R .

To prove the fifth claim, assume that both p and q are in R and $q \in Q_1(p)$. If $p \in B_1$, then (i) holds. Assume that $p \notin B_1$. Then $p \in C_2 \cup A_1 \cup C_1 \cup A_4 \cup C_4$. If $p \in C_2$, then $q \in C_2 \cup A_1 \cup C_1 \subseteq C_2 \cup B_3$ and, thus, (ii) or (iii) holds. If $p \in A_1$, then $q \in A_1 \cup C_1 \subseteq B_3$ and, thus, (ii) holds. If $p \in C_1$, then $q \in C_1 \subseteq B_3$ and, thus, (ii) holds. If $p \in A_4$, then $q \in A_4 \cup C_1 \subseteq B_3$ and, thus, (ii) holds. Finally, if $p \in C_4$, then $q \in C_4 \cup A_4 \cup C_1 \subseteq C_4 \cup B_3$ and, thus (ii) or (iv) holds. ■

We are now ready to present the algorithm that computes the closest-pair distance in $R \cap S$:

Step 1: Use the data structure $DS_{RC}(S)$ to compute $|R \cap S|$.

- If $|R \cap S| \leq 4\lceil 4f \rceil$, then use the data structure $DS_{RC}(S)$ to compute the elements of the set $R \cap S$, and use the algorithm of Lemma 1 to compute the closest-pair distance in $R \cap S$. Return this closest-pair distance and terminate the query algorithm.
- If $|R \cap S| > 4\lceil 4f \rceil$, proceed with Step 2.

Step 2: Use the data structures $DS_{\nearrow}(S)$, $DS_{\nwarrow}(S)$, $DS_{\swarrow}(S)$, and $DS_{\searrow}(S)$ to compute the following squares:

1. The smallest square with bottom-left corner at (a_x, a_y) that contains at least 5 points of S .
2. The smallest square with bottom-right corner at (b_x, a_y) that contains at least 5 points of S .
3. The smallest square with top-right corner at (b_x, b_y) that contains at least 5 points of S .
4. The smallest square with top-left corner at (a_x, b_y) that contains at least 5 points of S .

Let ℓ' be the side length of the smallest of these four squares. If $\ell' > \ell/2$, then let $\delta = \ell/2$. Otherwise, let $\delta = \ell'$. Observe that the value of δ determines the squares C_k and the rectangles B_k , $1 \leq k \leq 4$.

Step 3: For each $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, do the following: Use the data structure $DS_{MW,k}(S_k)$ to compute the minimum weight of any point in $B_k \cap S_k$. If this minimum weight is less than δ , then let w_k be this minimum weight. Otherwise, let $w_k = \infty$.

Compute the value $\delta_1 = \min\{w_k : 1 \leq k \leq 4\}$.

Step 4: For each $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, do the following: Use the data structure $DS_{RC}(S)$ to compute the elements of the point set $C_k \cap S$. Then use a brute-force algorithm to compute the closest pair among these elements. Let w'_k denote the closest-pair distance. (In case $|C_k \cap S| \leq 1$, we have $w'_k = \infty$.)

Compute the value $\delta_2 = \min\{w'_k : 1 \leq k \leq 4\}$.

Step 5: Return the minimum value among δ_1 and δ_2 .

Before we prove the correctness of this algorithm, we analyze its running time. By Lemmas 1 and 3, Step 1 takes $O(\log n + f \log f)$ time. By Lemma 5, Step 2 takes $O(\log n)$ time, whereas Step 3 takes $O(\log n)$ time by Lemma 4. Since each of the squares C_k , $1 \leq k \leq 4$, contains $O(1)$ points of S , it follows from Lemma 3 that Step 4 takes $O(\log n)$ time. Thus, the overall query time is $O(\log n + f \log f)$.

3.1 Correctness of the Query Algorithm

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to prove the correctness of the query algorithm. If $|R \cap S| \leq 4\lceil 4f \rceil$, then the query algorithm returns the correct closest-pair distance in the set $R \cap S$.

Assume that $|R \cap S| > 4\lceil 4f \rceil$. It follows from the first four claims in Lemma 7 that each finite value w_k computed in Step 3 is a distance between two distinct points of $R \cap S$. Since each square C_k is contained in R , the same is true for each finite value w'_k computed in Step 4. Thus, the value returned in Step 5 cannot be smaller than $CPD(R \cap S)$.

By Lemma 2, we have $CPD(R \cap S) < \ell/2$. Consider the value δ that is computed in Step 2. Then, $0 < \delta \leq \ell/2$ and, again by Lemma 2, we have $CPD(R \cap S) < \delta$.

Let p, q be the closest pair in the set $R \cap S$ and assume, without loss of generality, that p is to the left of q and $q \in Q_1(p)$. We will prove that either the value δ_1 computed in Step 3 is equal to $|pq|$ or the value δ_2 computed in Step 4 is equal to $|pq|$. By the fifth claim in Lemma 7, there are four possible cases.

First assume that $p \in B_1$. Consider the disk of radius δ that is centered at p . The quarter of this disk that is inside $Q_1(p)$ is completely contained in the rectangle R . Since p, q is the closest pair in $R \cap S$, this quarter disk does not contain any point of S in its interior. Therefore, (p, q) is an edge in $Yao_1(S)$. It follows that the value w_1 computed in Step 3 is equal to $|pq|$. This, in turn, implies that the value δ_1 computed in Step 3 is equal to $|pq|$.

The second case is when $q \in B_3$. By a symmetric argument, (q, p) is an edge in $Yao_3(S)$. Thus, the value w_3 computed in Step 3 is equal to $|pq|$, implying that $\delta_1 = |pq|$.

The two remaining cases are when both p and q are in C_2 or in C_4 . In the former case, the value w'_2 computed in Step 4 is equal to $|pq|$, whereas in the latter case, $w'_4 = |pq|$. In either case, the value δ_2 computed in Step 4 is equal to $|pq|$. This concludes the correctness proof of our query algorithm.

4 Concluding Remarks

4.1 Solutions Based on Candidate Pairs

All previous work on the range closest pair problem heavily depends on the notion of a *candidate pair*. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of regions in the plane. For a given set S of n points in the plane, a pair p, q of distinct points in S is called a candidate pair with respect to \mathcal{F} , if there exists a region R in \mathcal{F} such that p, q is the closest pair in $R \cap S$.

For example, Gupta et al. [9] have shown that for the family of quadrants $Q_1(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$, two candidate pairs cannot cross. Thus, the number of candidate pairs for this family is $O(n)$. For the family of vertical slabs, Sharathkumar and Gupta [11] have shown that the number of candidate pairs is $O(n \log n)$.

Consider the set of all candidate pairs for a given family \mathcal{F} of regions. These pairs define a graph with vertex set S that has one edge for each candidate pair. We give each such edge a weight which is the Euclidean distance between its two vertices. Then a range closest pair query for a given region R in \mathcal{F} reduces to determining the shortest edge that is completely contained inside R . Obviously, the amount of space used by this approach is at least the number of candidate pairs. The following lemma shows that this approach does not lead to a space-efficient data structure for fat rectangles.

Lemma 8 *Let $f > 1$ be a real number and let \mathcal{F}_f be the family consisting of all rectangles*

in the plane having aspect ratio at most f . There exists a set S of n points in the plane, for which the number of candidate pairs with respect to \mathcal{F}_f is $\Omega(n^2)$.

Proof. Consider a circle centered at the origin. Let a and b be the two intersection points of this circle with the line $y = x$. Let S_a be a set of $n/2$ points on this circle that are very close to a , and let S_b be a set of $n/2$ points on this circle that are very close to b . Let $S = S_a \cup S_b$. For any point p in S_a and any point q in S_b , the rectangle Q with corners p and q has aspect ratio at most f and does not contain any other point of S . Thus, p, q is a candidate pair. As a result, for the family \mathcal{F}_f , the number of candidate pairs in S is at least $(n/2)^4$. ■

Next we show that for the family of axes-parallel squares (i.e., the family \mathcal{F}_f with $f = 1$), the number of candidate pairs is $O(n)$.

Lemma 9 *Let \mathcal{F} be the family consisting of all axes-parallel squares in the plane, and let S be a set of n points in the plane. The number of candidate pairs in S with respect to \mathcal{F} is $O(n)$.*

Proof. For any integer $k \geq 0$, the *order- k L_∞ -Delaunay graph* $Del_\square(S, k)$ is the graph with vertex set S in which any two distinct points p and q form an edge if there exists an axes-parallel square that has p and q on its boundary and contains at most k points of S in its interior.

Let p, q be an arbitrary candidate pair and let R be a square such that p, q is the closest pair in $R \cap S$. We first prove that there exists a square R' in R such that p and q are on opposite sides of R' .

To prove this claim, let ℓ be the side length of R . We may assume, without loss of generality, that $q \in Q_1(p)$ and the horizontal distance h between p and q is at most their vertical distance v . We take for R' any square with sides of length v that contains p on the bottom side, q on the top side, and that is contained in R .

Obviously, p, q is the closest pair in $R' \cap S$, implying that $CPD(R' \cap S) \geq \ell'$, where ℓ' is the side length of R' . It then follows from the second claim in Lemma 2 that R' contains at most four points of S . Since p and q are two of these points, R' contains at most two points of S in its interior. Therefore, pq is an edge in $Del_\square(S, 2)$.

Bose et al. [4, Corollary 12] have shown that the order- k Delaunay graph can be partitioned into at most $18k^2$ graphs, each of which is plane. This implies that the order- k Delaunay graph has at most $O(k^2n)$ edges. Even though they prove this result for the Euclidean metric, their arguments are valid for the L_∞ -metric as well. Thus, the number of edges in $Del_\square(S, 2)$ is $O(n)$. This implies that the number of candidate pairs for the family of squares is $O(n)$. ■

Lemma 9 implies that the approach based on candidate pairs can be used to obtain a space-efficient solution for closest-pair queries with squares. The main drawbacks are that it is not clear how to compute all candidate pairs in $O(n \log n)$ time, and how to compute the shortest candidate pair inside a query square in $O(\log n)$ time.

4.2 Open Problems

The correctness of our query algorithm heavily uses the fact that the closest-pair distance is less than half of the shortest side length ℓ of the query rectangle, in case it contains $\Omega(f)$ points. Consider the value ℓ' that is computed in Step 2 of the query algorithm. If $\ell' \leq \ell/2$, then Step 1 is not necessary, and the query algorithm takes $O(\log n)$ time, even if the aspect ratio of the query rectangle is very large. We leave as an open problem to design a data structure of size $O(n \log n)$ and query time $O(\log n)$ that works for any query rectangle. Also, we leave open the problem of improving the space bound for fat rectangles to $O(n)$.

For the range closest pair problem in \mathbb{R}^d , with $d \geq 3$, no non-trivial results are known. In particular, if $d = 3$, it is not known if queries can be answered in polylogarithmic time using a data structure whose size is close to linear.

Acknowledgements

This work was initiated at the 21st Korean Workshop on Computational Geometry, held in Rogla, Slovenia, in June 2018. The authors thank the other workshop participants for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. A. Abam, P. Carmi, M. Farshi, and M. Smid. On the power of the semi-separated pair decomposition. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 46:631–639, 2013.
- [2] P. K. Agarwal and J. Erickson. Geometric range searching and its relatives. In B. Chazelle, J. E. Goodman, and R. Pollack, editors, *Advances in Discrete and Computational Geometry*, volume 223 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 1–56. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [3] M. A. Bender and M. Farach-Colton. The LCA problem revisited. In *Proceedings of the 4th Latin American Symposium on Theoretical Informatics*, volume 1776 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 88–94, Berlin, 2000. Springer-Verlag.
- [4] P. Bose, S. Collette, F. Hurtado, M. Korman, S. Langerman, V. Sacristán, and M. Saumell. Some properties of higher order Delaunay and Gabriel graphs. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry*, pages 13–16, 2010.
- [5] M. S. Chang, N.-F. Huang, and C.-Y. Tang. An optimal algorithm for constructing oriented Voronoi diagrams and geographic neighborhood graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 35:255–260, 1990.

- [6] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [7] M. de Berg, O. Cheong, M. van Kreveld, and M. Overmars. *Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 3rd edition, 2008.
- [8] P. Gupta. Algorithms for range-aggregate query problems involving geometric aggregation operations. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation*, volume 3827 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 892–901, Berlin, 2005. Springer-Verlag.
- [9] P. Gupta, R. Janardan, Y. Kumar, and M. Smid. Data structures for range-aggregate extent queries. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 47:329–347, 2014.
- [10] J. Shan, D. Zhang, and B. Salzberg. On spatial-range closest-pair query. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Spatial and Temporal Databases*, volume 2750 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 252–269, Berlin, 2003. Springer-Verlag.
- [11] R. Sharathkumar and P. Gupta. Range-aggregate proximity queries. Technical Report IIT/TR/2007/80, International Institute of Information Technology Hyderabad, 2007.
- [12] M. Smid. Closest-point problems in computational geometry. In J.-R. Sack and J. Urrutia, editors, *Handbook of Computational Geometry*, pages 877–935. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [13] J. Xue, Y. Li, and R. Janardan. Approximate range closest-pair search. In *Proceedings of the 30th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry*, pages 282–287, 2018.
- [14] J. Xue, Y. Li, S. Rahul, and R. Janardan. New bounds for range closest-pair problems. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Computational Geometry*, volume 99 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 73:1–73:14, 2018.
- [15] A. C. Yao. On constructing minimum spanning trees in k -dimensional spaces and related problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 11:721–736, 1982.