

LHC as a photon-photon collider

M.I. Vysotsky^{1,2} and E.V. Zhemchugov^{1,2}


¹ *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117218, Moscow, Russia,*

² *Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, 115409, Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

Equivalent photon approximation is used to calculate fiducial cross sections for dimuon production in ultraperipheral proton-proton and lead-lead collisions. Analytical formulae taking into account experimental cuts are derived. The results are compared with the measurements reported by the ATLAS collaboration.

This contribution is based on the paper by M.I. Vysotsky and E.V. Zhemchugov [1].



Integrated luminosity in pp collisions at 13 TeV, provided by the LHC to CMS in Run 2: 119 fb^{-1} current, 150 fb^{-1} expected. Integrated luminosity in Pb Pb collisions provided by the LHC to CMS in HI run (2015): 0.7 nb^{-1} . Luminosity ratio (expected): $2.1 \cdot 10^8$. For Pb, $Z = 82$; $Z^4 \approx 4.5 \cdot 10^7$. There could be about 5 times more events of New Physics in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions in pp than there were in Pb Pb data. HI run duration was ≈ 20 days, Run 2 duration was ≈ 500 days (not counting the 2015). A question on whether the heavy ion luminosity should be increased might open to discussion.

Our goal is to derive analytical formulas to describe experimental data.

Experimental data:

1. ATLAS [2]: $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ at collision energy 13 TeV with integrated luminosity of 3.2 fb^{-1} .

Fiducial cross section: 3.12 ± 0.07 (stat.) ± 0.10 (syst.) pb.

Cuts on the muon system parameters:

Invariant mass range	Transverse momentum	Pseudorapidity
$12 \text{ GeV} < m_{\mu\mu} < 30 \text{ GeV}$	$> 6 \text{ GeV}$	< 2.4
$30 \text{ GeV} < m_{\mu\mu} < 70 \text{ GeV}$	$> 10 \text{ GeV}$	< 2.4

2. ATLAS [3]: Pb Pb \rightarrow Pb Pb $\mu^+\mu^-$ at collision energy per nucleon pair 5.02 TeV with integrated luminosity of $515 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$.


Fiducial cross section: 32.2 ± 0.3 (stat.) $_{-3.4}^{+4.0}$ (syst.) μb .

Cuts on the muon system parameters:

Invariant mass range	Transverse momentum	Pseudorapidity
$10 \text{ GeV} < m_{\mu\mu} < 100 \text{ GeV}$	$> 4 \text{ GeV}$	< 2.4

Equivalent photon approximation:

E. Fermi(1924), C. F. V. Weizsäcker (1934), E. J. Williams (1935), L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz (1934).



Photon virtuality: $-q^2 = q_x^2 + q_y^2 + q_z^2 \ll (\gamma q_z)^2 \equiv \omega^2$: so, (almost) real photons!
From the textbook [4] and the review paper [5] we have:

$$n(\vec{q}) = \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\pi^2} \frac{\vec{q}_\perp^2}{\omega q^4} = \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\pi^2} \frac{\vec{q}_\perp^2}{\omega(q_\perp^2 + (\omega/\gamma)^2)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n(\omega) &= \int n(\vec{q}) d^2q_\perp = 2\pi \int_0^{\hat{q}} n(\vec{q}) q_\perp dq_\perp = \\ &= \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\pi\omega} \left\{ \ln \left[1 + \left(\frac{\hat{q}\gamma}{\omega} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{1 + (\omega/(\hat{q}\gamma))^2} \right\} \approx (\omega \ll \hat{q}\gamma) \approx \frac{2Z^2\alpha}{\pi\omega} \ln \frac{\hat{q}\gamma}{\omega} \\ \hat{q} &=? \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For the proton, $\hat{q} \approx \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.2\text{--}0.3 \text{ GeV}$.

Dirac form factor:

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = F(q^2) \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi, \quad F(q^2) \approx \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2} \quad (-q^2 \ll 4m_p^2), \quad \Lambda^2 = 0.71 \text{ GeV}^2.$$

EPA spectrum with form factor:

$$\begin{aligned} n'(\vec{q}) &= \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\pi^2} \frac{\vec{q}_\perp^2}{\omega q^4} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2}, \\ n'(\omega) &= \int n'(\vec{q}) d^2q = 2\pi \int_0^\infty n'(\vec{q}) q_\perp dq_\perp \approx \frac{2Z^2\alpha}{\pi\omega} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda\gamma}{\omega} - \frac{17}{12} \right) \quad (\omega \ll \Lambda\gamma). \end{aligned}$$


In the leading logarithmic approximation $n'(\omega) \approx n(\omega)$, hence $\hat{q} = \Lambda e^{-\frac{17}{12}} \approx 204 \text{ MeV}$. For Pb, $\Lambda \approx 80 \text{ MeV}$ [hep-ph/0606069] and $\hat{q} \approx 20 \text{ MeV}$.

An integral over q_\perp should be cut at $\min(\hat{q}, m_{\mu\mu}) = \hat{q}$.

Total cross section:

$$d\sigma(pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-pp) = \sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cdot n(\omega)n(\omega')d\omega d\omega',$$

$$\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{s} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s} - \frac{8m_\mu^4}{s^2}\right) \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}}} - \left(1 + \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}} \right]$$



Integration constraints: $s \equiv (q + q')^2 > 4m_\mu^2$, $\omega < \hat{q}\gamma$, $\omega' < \hat{q}\gamma$.

Change of variables: $s = 4\omega\omega'$, $x = \frac{\omega}{\omega'}$; $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{sx}{4}}$, $\omega' = \sqrt{\frac{s}{4x}}$ ($2m_\mu$)² < s < $(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2$, $\frac{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2}{s} > x > \frac{s}{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2}$.

$$\sigma(pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-) \approx 8 \cdot \frac{28}{27} \frac{\alpha^4}{\pi m_\mu^2} \ln^3 \frac{\hat{q}\gamma}{m_\mu} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ pb.}$$

Cut on invariant mass: $70 \text{ GeV} > \sqrt{s} > 12 \text{ GeV} \gg m_\mu = 0.106 \text{ GeV}$.

$$\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \approx \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{s} \left(\ln \frac{s}{m_\mu^2} - 1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{fid.}}^{(s)}(pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-) &= \int_{\hat{s}_{\text{min}}}^{\hat{s}_{\text{max}}} ds \sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \int_{s/(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2}^{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2/s} \frac{dx}{8x} n\left(\sqrt{\frac{sx}{4}}\right) n\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{4x}}\right) = \\ &= \frac{8\alpha^4}{3\pi} \frac{1}{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2} \frac{1}{z} \left[\ln^4 z + \left(2 \ln \frac{2\hat{q}\gamma}{m_\mu} + 3 \right) (\ln^3 z + 3 \ln^2 z + 6 \ln z + 6) \right] \Bigg|_{z=\frac{\hat{s}_{\text{min}}}{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2}}^{\frac{\hat{s}_{\text{max}}}{(2\hat{q}\gamma)^2}} \approx 59.6 \text{ pb.} \end{aligned}$$

Cuts on transverse momentum and on pseudorapidity were also imposed.

Analogous formulas with evident substitutions were used in [1] to describe Pb Pb $(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow$ Pb Pb $\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction.

$$pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- pp$$

No cuts		$2.2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ pb}$
$12 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 30 \text{ GeV}$	54.0 pb	59.6 pb
$30 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 70 \text{ GeV}$	5.65 pb	
$12 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 30 \text{ GeV}, p_T > 6 \text{ GeV}$	5.37 pb	6.28 pb
$30 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 70 \text{ GeV}, p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$	0.91 pb	
$12 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 30 \text{ GeV}, p_T > 6 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.4$	2.85 pb	3.35 pb
$30 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 70 \text{ GeV}, p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.4$	0.50 pb	

$$\text{Pb Pb } (\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \text{Pb Pb}$$

No cuts	$2.80 \cdot 10^6 \mu\text{b}$
$10 \text{ GeV} < \sqrt{s} < 100 \text{ GeV}$	$119 \mu\text{b}$
also $p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}$	$34.2 \mu\text{b}$
also $ \eta < 2.4$	$30.9 \mu\text{b}$

Theoretical predictions obtained with the help of Monte Carlo method:

1. the SuperCHIC program [6] gives

$$\sigma_{\text{fid.}}(pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-) = 3.45 \pm 0.05 \text{ pb};$$




Figure 1: *Left plot:* fiducial cross section for the $pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction at proton-proton collision energy 13 TeV with the cuts described in the first table. Points are the experimental data presented in Table 3 of [2]. The dashed line is the differential cross section calculated by us. The histogram is the differential cross section integrated according to the bins also presented in Table 3 of [2]. *Lower plot:* ratio of the calculated cross section to the experimental points. *Right plot:* fiducial cross section for the Pb Pb $(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow Pb Pb \mu^+\mu^-$ reaction at collision energy per nucleon pair 5.02 TeV with the experimental cuts described in the second table. Points are experimental data from the left plot of Fig. 3 of [3]. The dashed line is calculated using the EPA spectrum cut at $\hat{q} = 20$ MeV. The solid line is calculated using the spectrum with dipole form factor, and the form factor parameter $\Lambda = 80$ MeV.

2. EPA prediction corrected for absorptive effects [7] gives

$$\sigma_{\text{fid.}}(pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-) = 3.06 \pm 0.05 \text{ pb.}$$

3. calculations with the help of the STARLIGHT program [8]:

$$\sigma_{\text{fid.}}(Pb Pb(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow Pb Pb\mu^+\mu^-) = 31.64 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{b.}$$

We have derived analytical formulas for the fiducial cross section of lepton pair production in peripheral collisions of charged particles. Experimental data were found to be in agreement with fiducial cross sections calculated for the reactions $pp(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ and $Pb Pb(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow Pb Pb\mu^+\mu^-$.

This is the written version of the talk presented by M. Vysotsky at Windows on the Universe 2018, Rencontres du Vietnam, Quy Nhon, Vietnam, August 5–11, 2018, and the 20th Annual RDMS CMS Collaboration Conference, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, September 12–15, 2018.

We were supported by the RFBR grant 16-02-00342.

References

- [1] M.I. Vysotsky, E.V. Zhemchugov, arXiv:1806.07238; (accepted in *Physics-Uspekhi*).
- [2] The ATLAS Collaboration, *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 303 (2018); arXiv:1708.04053.
- [3] The ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2016-025 (2016).
- [4] V.B. Berestetsky, E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevsky, *Theoretical Physics*, vol. 4, (Moscow: Fizmatlit, 2001).

-
- [5] V.M. Budnev, I.F. Ginzburg, G.V. Meledin, V.G. Serbo, *Phys. Rep.* **15**, 181 (1975).
- [6] L. A. Harland-Lang, V. A. Khoze, M. G. Ryskin, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 9 (2016); arXiv:1508.02718.
- [7] M. Dyndal, L. Schoeffel, *Phys. Lett. B* **741**, 66 (2015); arXiv:1410.2983.
- [8] S. R. Klein, J. Nystrand, J. Seger, Yu. Gorbunov, J. Butterworth, *Comm. Phys. Comm.* **212**, 258 (2017).