

Exotic Ω_c^0 baryons from meson-baryon scattering

Glòria Montaña¹, Àngels Ramos¹ and Albert Feijoo²

¹ Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

² Nuclear Physics Institute, 25068 Řež, Czech Republic

Abstract. A meson-baryon interaction in the charm +1, strangeness -2 and isospin 0 sector is built from a t-channel vector meson exchange model employing effective Lagrangians. The implementation of coupled-channel unitarization in the s-wave scattering amplitudes gives rise to two structures that have similar masses and widths to those of the $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ states recently observed by the LHCb collaboration. A meson-baryon molecular interpretation of these resonances would assign their spin-parity to be $J^P = 1/2^-$.

1. Introduction

The recent observation by the LHCb collaboration of five narrow Ω_c^0 excited resonances [1] has triggered a lot of activity in the field of baryon spectroscopy aiming at understanding their inner structure and possibly establishing their unknown values of spin-parity. Quark models giving a *css* quark content picture have been revisited [2–9] and pentaquark interpretations [10–12] have also been investigated.

An alternative scenario is provided by models that can describe some of these resonances as quasi-bound states of an interacting meson-baryon pair [13–15], an approach that we have recently re-examined [16] in view of the new experimental data. Similarly to the $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ excited nucleon resonances, for which a pentaquark structure having a $c\bar{c}$ pair in its composition is more natural rather than being an extremely high energy excitation of the $3q$ system, it is also plausible to expect that some excitations in the $C = 1$, $S = -2$ sector can be obtained by adding a $u\bar{u}$ pair to the natural *ssc* content of the Ω_c^0 . The hadronization of the five quarks could then lead to bound states, generated by the meson-baryon interaction in coupled channels. This possibility is supported by the fact that the $\bar{K}\Xi_c$ and $\bar{K}\Xi_c'$ thresholds are in the energy range of interest, and that the excited Ω_c^0 baryons under study have been observed from the invariant mass of the spectrum of $K^-\Xi_c^+$ pairs.

2. Formalism

The unitarized scattering amplitude T_{ij} exhibiting dynamically generated resonances is obtained from solving the on-shell Bethe-Salpeter equation in coupled channels,

$$T_{ij} = V_{ij} + V_{il}G_l T_{lj}, \quad (1)$$

which implements the resummation of loop diagrams to infinite order.

The loop function built with the meson and baryon propagators,

$$G_l = i \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2M_l}{(P-q)^2 - M_l^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_l^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (2)$$

is formally divergent and is regularized by means of the *dimensional regularization* approach, which introduces the dependence on a subtraction constant $a_l(\mu)$ for each intermediate channel l at a given regularization scale μ (see Eq. (18) in [16]).

The s-wave tree-level amplitude used as the kernel V_{ij} is obtained from the t-channel vector meson exchange [13] that in the $t \ll m_V$ limit reduces to a contact Weinberg-Tomozawa (WT) term:

$$V_{ij}(\sqrt{s}) = -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} (2\sqrt{s} - M_i - M_j) N_i N_j \quad (3)$$

where M_i , M_j and E_i , E_j are the masses and the energies of the baryons and the normalization factors are $N = \sqrt{(E + M)/2M}$.

The coefficients C_{ij} are obtained from the evaluation of the t-channel meson-baryon interaction diagram introducing the effective Lagrangians of the hidden gauge formalism [13]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{VPP} = ig \langle [\partial_\mu \phi, \phi] V^\mu \rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{VBB} = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \bar{B}_{ijk} \gamma^\mu \left(V_{\mu,l}^k B^{ijl} + 2V_{\mu,l}^j B^{ilk} \right), \quad (5)$$

to describe the vertices coupling the vector meson to pseudoscalars (VPP) and baryons (VBB), respectively, in the pseudoscalar meson-baryon scattering, and assuming $SU(4)$ symmetry.

The interaction of vector mesons with baryons is built in a similar way and involves a three-vector VVV vertex, which is obtained from the effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{VVV} = ig \langle [V^\mu, \partial_\nu V_\mu] V^\nu \rangle. \quad (6)$$

The resulting interaction is that in Eq. (3), including the product of polarization vectors, $\vec{\epsilon}_i \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_j$.

Note that, while $SU(4)$ symmetry is encoded in the Lagrangians, the interaction potential is not $SU(4)$ symmetric due to the use of physical masses for the mesons and baryons involved, as well as to a factor $\kappa_c = 1/4$ accounting for the higher mass of the charmed mesons exchanged in some of the non-diagonal transitions. Actually, the transitions mediated by the exchange of light vector mesons do not make explicit use of $SU(4)$ symmetry. This is for instance the case of the dominant diagonal transitions, which are effectively projected into their $SU(3)$ content.

The available pseudoscalar meson-baryon channels in the $(I, S, C) = (0, -2, 1)$ sector are $\bar{K}\Xi_c(2964)$, $\bar{K}\Xi'_c(3070)$, $D\Xi(3189)$, $\eta\Omega_c(3246)$, $\eta'\Omega_c(3656)$, $\bar{D}_s\Omega_{cc}(5528)$, and $\eta_c\Omega_c(5678)$, where the values in parentheses indicate their corresponding threshold. The doubly charmed $\bar{D}_s\Omega_{cc}$ and $\eta_c\Omega_c$ channels will be neglected, as their energy is much larger than that of the other channels. The matrix of C_{ij} coefficients for the resulting 5-channel interaction is given in Table 1.

Table 1. The C_{ij} coefficients for the $(I, S, C) = (0, -2, 1)$ sector of the pseudoscalar meson-baryon interaction.

	$\bar{K}\Xi_c$	$\bar{K}\Xi'_c$	$D\Xi$	$\eta\Omega_c^0$	$\eta'\Omega_c^0$
$\bar{K}\Xi_c$	1	0	$\sqrt{3/2} \kappa_c$	0	0
$\bar{K}\Xi'_c$		1	$\sqrt{1/2} \kappa_c$	$-\sqrt{6}$	0
$D\Xi$			2	$-\sqrt{1/3} \kappa_c$	$-\sqrt{2/3} \kappa_c$
$\eta\Omega_c^0$				0	0
$\eta'\Omega_c^0$					0

In the vector meson-baryon case, the allowed states are $D^*\Xi(3326)$, $\bar{K}^*\Xi_c(3363)$, $\bar{K}^*\Xi'_c(3470)$, $\omega\Omega_c(3480)$, $\phi\Omega_c(3717)$, $\bar{D}_s^*\Omega_{cc}(5662)$ and $J/\psi\Omega_c(5794)$, where, again, we will neglect the doubly charmed states. The coefficients C_{ij} can be straightforwardly obtained from those for the PB interaction in Table 1, by considering the correspondences: $\pi \rightarrow \rho$, $K \rightarrow K^*$, $\bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}^*$, $D \rightarrow D^*$, $\bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}^*$, $1/\sqrt{3}\eta + \sqrt{2/3}\eta' \rightarrow \omega$ and $-\sqrt{2/3}\eta + 1/\sqrt{3}\eta' \rightarrow \phi$.

A resonance generated dynamically from the coupled channel meson-baryon interaction appears as a pole of the scattering amplitude T in the so-called *second Riemann sheet* of the complex energy plane. The coupling constants g_i of the resonance to the various channels are obtained from the residues at the pole position z_p while the compositeness, i.e., the amount of i^{th} -channel meson-baryon component, is given by the real part of $-g_i^2(\partial G/\partial\sqrt{s})|_{z_p}$.

3. Results and Discussion

The Bethe-Salpeter equation in coupled channels of Eq. (1) has been solved using subtraction constant values, $a_i(\mu = 1 \text{ GeV})$, obtained by imposing the loop function to coincide, at the corresponding thresholds, with the loop function regularized with a cut-off $\Lambda = 800 \text{ MeV}$. The corresponding pseudoscalar meson-baryon scattering amplitude shows two poles,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 = \text{Re}z_1 &= 3051.6 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma_1 &= -2\text{Im}z_1 = 0.45 \text{ MeV} \\ M_2 = \text{Re}z_2 &= 3103.3 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma_2 &= -2\text{Im}z_1 = 17 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

corresponding to resonances with spin-parity $J^P = 1/2^-$. Their energies are very similar to the second and fourth Ω_c^0 states discovered by LHCb [1], with properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_c(3050)^0 : & \quad M = 3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV}, \\ & \quad \Gamma = 0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Omega_c(3090)^0 : & \quad M = 3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV}, \\ & \quad \Gamma = 8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Even if the mass of our heavier state is larger by 10 MeV and its width is about twice the experimental one, our results clearly show the ability of the meson-baryon dynamical models to generate states in the energy range of interest. In an attempt to explore the possibilities of our model, we let the values of the five subtraction constants vary freely within a reasonably constrained range and look for a combination that reproduces the characteristics of the two observed states, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, within 2σ of the experimental errors. For a representative set of $a_i(\mu = 1 \text{ GeV})$ with equivalent cut-off values in the range [320 – 950] MeV [16], the new properties of the poles are shown in Table 2. We note that the strongest change corresponds to $a_{\bar{K}\Xi_c}$, needed to decrease the width of the $\Omega_c(3090)^0$. Its equivalent cut-off value of 320 MeV is on the low side of the usually employed values but it is still naturally sized.

Table 2 also displays the couplings of each resonance to the various meson-baryon channels and the corresponding compositeness. The lowest energy state at 3050 MeV couples appreciably to the channels $\bar{K}\Xi'_c$, $D\Xi$ and $\eta\Omega_c$, being the coupling to $\bar{K}\Xi'_c$ the strongest and also the compositeness in this channel. The higher energy resonance at 3090 MeV, with a strong coupling to $D\Xi$ and a compositeness in this channel of 0.90, clearly qualifies as being a $D\Xi$ bound state.

Before proceeding further, we discuss the dependence of our results to the assumed value of the cut-off, as well as the influence of a certain amount of $SU(4)$ symmetry violation associated to the fact that the charm quark is substantially heavier than the light quarks. The solid lines in Figure 3 shows the evolution of the resonance poles as the value of the cut-off is varied between 650 MeV and 1000 MeV. As for implementing a violation of $SU(4)$ symmetry, we note that this is already partly done by the use of the physical meson and baryon masses in the interaction kernel. Moreover, the transitions mediated by light vector mesons will be left untouched, as only $SU(3)$

Table 2. The Ω_c^0 states generated employing vector-type WT zero-range interactions between a pseudoscalar meson and a ground state baryon, within a coupled-channel approach.

$0^- \otimes 1/2^+$ interaction in the $(I, S, C) = (0, -2, 1)$ sector				
M [MeV]	3050.3		3090.8	
Γ [MeV]	0.44		12	
	$ g_i $	$-g_i^2 dG/dE$	$ g_i $	$-g_i^2 dG/dE$
$\bar{K}\Xi_c(2964)$	0.11	$0.00 + i 0.00$	0.49	$-0.02 + i 0.01$
$\bar{K}\Xi'_c(3070)$	1.80	$0.61 + i 0.01$	0.35	$0.02 - i 0.02$
$D\Xi(3189)$	1.36	$0.07 - i 0.01$	4.28	$0.91 - i 0.01$
$\eta\Omega_c(3246)$	1.63	$0.14 + i 0.00$	0.39	$0.01 + i 0.01$
$\eta'\Omega_c(3656)$	0.06	$0.00 + i 0.00$	0.28	$0.00 + i 0.00$

is effectively acting there. Therefore, we implement up to an additional 30% of $SU(4)$ breaking only in the matrix elements that connect states via the t-channel exchange of a heavy vector meson, and this is effectively done by allowing the factor κ_c to vary in the range $(0.7 - 1.3)\kappa_c$. The grey area in Figure 3 denotes the region in the complex plane where the resonances can be found varying the cut-off and the amount of $SU(4)$ breaking as described above. We see that the band of uncertainties includes the 3050 MeV and the 3090 MeV resonances measured at LHCb, a fact that gives strength to their interpretation as meson-baryon molecules.




Figure 1. Evolution of the pole resonance positions for various cut-off values. The grey area indicates the region of results covered when a variation of 30% in the $SU(4)$ breaking is assumed in the transitions mediated by heavy-meson exchange.

A similar procedure has been followed to look for resonances in the vector meson-baryon scattering amplitude. Employing subtraction constants mapped onto a cut-off of $\Lambda = 800$ MeV, we see a similar pattern as that found for the pseudoscalar-baryon case. A lower energy resonance, which mainly classifies as a $D^*\Xi$ bound state, is generated at 3231 MeV, while another resonance appearing at 3419 MeV is mainly a $\bar{K}^*\Xi'_c$ composite state with some admixture of $\omega\Omega_c^0$ and $\phi\Omega_c$ components. We find an additional pole in between these two, coupling strongly to $\bar{K}^*\Xi_c$ states. Each of these states corresponds to a degenerate $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-$ doublet, yet the three of them are located at energy values well above the states found by the LHCb collaboration in a region where no narrow structures have been seen [1]. We note, however, that the states found here from the vector-baryon interaction are artificially narrow as they do not couple to, and hence cannot decay into, the pseudoscalar-baryon states that lie at lower energy.

Finally, we present predictions for the analogous bottom Ω_b^- resonances. These states are generated from meson-baryon interaction kernels obtained from the Lagrangians of Eqs. (4),(5) and (6), but replacing the charm mesons and baryons by their bottom counterparts. The matrices of coefficients are then the same as that in Table 1, but involving the following

channels: $\bar{K}\Xi_b(6289)$, $\bar{K}\Xi'_b(6431)$, $\eta\Omega_b(6594)$, $\bar{B}\Xi(6598)$, $\eta'\Omega_b(7004)$ for pseudoscalar-baryon states and $\bar{B}^*\Xi(6643)$, $\bar{K}^*\Xi_b(6687)$, $\omega\Omega_b(6829)$, $\bar{K}^*\Xi'_b(6829)$, $\phi\Omega_b(7066)$ for vector-baryon ones. The coefficient analogous to κ_c in certain non-diagonal transitions is $\kappa_b = 0.1$ to account for the much larger mass of the exchanged vector bottom mesons with respect to the light ones.

The results for the Ω_b^- resonances are very similar to those found in the charm sector. The interaction of pseudoscalar mesons with baryons generates two states with spin $J^P = 1/2^-$, one at 6418 MeV, coupling strongly to $\bar{K}\Xi'_c$ and $\eta\Omega_b$ states, and another at 6519 MeV, being essentially a $B\Xi$ bound state. The interaction of vector mesons with baryons generates $J = 1/2^-, 3/2^-$ spin degenerate Ω_b^- resonances at energies 6560 MeV, coupling strongly to $\bar{B}^*\Xi$, 6665 MeV, coupling strongly to $\bar{K}^*\Xi_b$, and 6797 MeV, being a mixture of $\omega\Omega_b$, $\bar{K}^*\Xi'_b$ and $\Phi\Omega_b$.

4. Conclusions

We have studied the interaction of the low-lying pseudoscalar mesons with the ground-state baryons in the charm +1, strangeness -2 and isospin 0 sector, employing a t-channel vector meson exchange model with effective Lagrangians. The resulting unitarized amplitude for the scattering of pseudoscalar mesons with baryons shows the presence of two resonances, having energies and widths very similar to some of the Ω_c^0 states discovered recently at LHCb.

We have extended our study to the bottom sector and have found several Ω_b^- resonances in the energy region 6400 – 6800 MeV that have a molecular meson-baryon structure.

The possible interpretation of some of the Ω_c states seen at CERN as being quasi-bound meson-baryon systems has been addressed in several other works [17–20], as well as the prediction of analogous states in the bottom sector [21], finding results which are similar to the ones in our work [16] and indicating that one cannot ignore the meson-baryon description when trying to understand the nature of these excited heavy baryons.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Spanish MINECO under the contracts MDM-2014-0369 and FIS2017-87534-P and by the Generalitat de Catalunya under the doctoral grant 2018 FI.B 00234.

References

- [1] Aaij R *et al.* (LHCb) 2017 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** 182001 (*Preprint* 1703.04639)
- [2] Karliner M and Rosner J L 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D95** 114012 (*Preprint* 1703.07774)
- [3] Wang W and Zhu R L 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D96** 014024 (*Preprint* 1704.00179)
- [4] Wang Z G 2017 *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** 325 (*Preprint* 1704.01854)
- [5] Chen B and Liu X 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D96** 094015 (*Preprint* 1704.02583)
- [6] Chen H X, Mao Q, Chen W, Hosaka A, Liu X and Zhu S L 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D95** 094008 (*Preprint* 1703.07703)
- [7] Agaev S S, Azizi K and Sundu H 2017 *EPL* **118** 61001 (*Preprint* 1703.07091)
- [8] Cheng H Y and Chiang C W 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D95** 094018 (*Preprint* 1704.00396)
- [9] Wang K L, Xiao L Y, Zhong X H and Zhao Q 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D95** 116010 (*Preprint* 1703.09130)
- [10] Huang H, Ping J and Wang F 2018 *Phys. Rev.* **D97** 034027 (*Preprint* 1704.01421)
- [11] An C S and Chen H 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D96** 034012 (*Preprint* 1705.08571)
- [12] Kim H C, Polyakov M V and Praszalowicz M 2017 *Phys. Rev.* **D96** 014009 [Addendum: *Phys. Rev.* **D96**, no.3, 039902(2017)] (*Preprint* 1704.04082)
- [13] Hofmann J and Lutz M F M 2005 *Nucl. Phys.* **A763** 90–139 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0507071)
- [14] Jimenez-Tejero C E, Ramos A and Vidana I 2009 *Phys. Rev.* **C80** 055206 (*Preprint* 0907.5316)
- [15] Romanets O, Tolos L, Garcia-Recio C, Nieves J, Salcedo L L and Timmermans R G E 2012 *Phys. Rev.* **D85** 114032 (*Preprint* 1202.2239)
- [16] Montaña G, Feijoo A and Ramos A 2018 *Eur. Phys. J.* **A54** 64 (*Preprint* 1709.08737)
- [17] Debastiani V R, Dias J M, Liang W H and Oset E 2018 *Phys. Rev.* **D97** 094035 (*Preprint* 1710.04231)
- [18] Wang C, Liu L L, Kang X W, Guo X H and Wang R W 2018 *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78** 407 (*Preprint* 1710.10850)
- [19] Chen R, Hosaka A and Liu X 2018 *Phys. Rev.* **D97** 036016 (*Preprint* 1711.07650)
- [20] Nieves J, Pavao R and Tolos L 2018 *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78** 114 (*Preprint* 1712.00327)
- [21] Liang W H, Dias J M, Debastiani V R and Oset E 2018 *Nucl. Phys.* **B930** 524–532 (*Preprint* 1711.10623)