

Characterizing Watermark Numbers encoded as Reducible Permutation Graphs against Malicious Attacks

Anna Mpanti Stavros D. Nikolopoulos Leonidas Palios

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Ioannina

GR-45110 Ioannina, Greece

`{ampanti,stavros,palios}@cs.uoi.gr`

Abstract

In the domain of software watermarking, we have proposed several graph theoretic watermarking codec systems for encoding watermark numbers w as reducible permutation flow-graphs $F[\pi^*]$ through the use of self-inverting permutations π^* . Following up on our proposed methods, we theoretically study the oldest one, which we call W-RPG, in order to investigate and prove its resilience to edge-modification attacks on the flow-graphs $F[\pi^*]$. In particular, we characterize the integer $w \equiv \pi^*$ as strong or weak watermark through the structure of self-inverting permutations π^* which encodes it. To this end, for any integer watermark $w \in R_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$, where n is the length of the binary representation $b(w)$ of w , we compute the minimum number of 01-modifications needed to be applied on $b(w)$ so that the resulting $b(w')$ represents the valid watermark number w' ; note that a number w' is called valid (or, true-incorrect watermark number) if w' can be produced by the W-RPG codec system and, thus, it incorporates all the structural properties of $\pi^* \equiv w$.

Keywords: Watermarking, self-inverting permutations, reducible permutation graphs, polynomial codec algorithms, structural properties.

1 Introduction

Software watermarking is a defense technique used to prevent or discourage software piracy by embedding a signature, that is, an identifier or, equivalently, a watermark representing the owner, in the code [5, 14]. When an illegal copy is made, the ownership can be claimed by extracting this identifier or watermark. Although watermarking source code is a relatively new field, a wide range of software watermarking techniques has been proposed, among which the graph-based methods, that encode watermark numbers as graphs whose structure resembles that of real program graphs [21, 22].

Many papers have been appeared in the literature which present and discuss software watermarking techniques and describe current watermarking solutions available in the market, while most of them also discussed possible attacks against watermarking techniques. These attacks are usually made by adversaries who would like to distort the watermark so that once the code is stolen, there is no question of ownership of this intellectual property.

Watermarking. The software watermarking problem can be described as the problem of embedding a structure w into a program P such that w can be reliably located and extracted from P even after P has been subjected to code transformations such as translation, optimization and obfuscation [3, 20]. More precisely, given a program P , a watermark w , and a key k , the software watermarking problem can be formally described by the following two functions: $embed(P, w, k) \rightarrow P$ and $extract(P_w, k) \rightarrow w$.

In the recent years, software watermarking has received considerable attention and many researchers have developed several codec algorithms mostly for watermarks that are encoded as graph-structures [8–11, 17, 21]. In this domain, we have proposed several watermarking codec systems for encoding watermark numbers w as reducible permutation flow-graphs $F[\pi^*]$ through the use of self-inverting permutations π^* . In particular, we have presented an efficient algorithm for encoding a watermark number w as a self-inverting permutation π^* [2] and then four algorithms for encoding the self-inverting permutation π^* into a reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ whose structure resembles the structure of real program graphs:

- (i) The former of these four algorithms exploits domination relations on the elements of π^* and uses a DAG representation of π^* in order to construct the flow-graph π^* [3].
- (ii) The second one exploits domination relations on specific decreasing subsequences of π^* [3], while the last two algorithms incorporate important properties which are derived from the bitonic subsequences composing the self-inverting permutation π^* [19].

We have also presented efficient decoding algorithms which efficiently extract the number w from the four reducible permutation graphs $F[\pi^*]$. The two main components of our four proposed codec systems, i.e., the self-inverting permutation π^* and the reducible permutation graphs $F[\pi^*]$, incorporate important structural properties which we have claimed that make our systems resilient to attacks.

Our Contribution. In a graph-based software watermarking algorithm, typical attacks can mainly occur in the three ways: edge-modification, edge-insertion or deletion and node-insertion or deletion attacks. Following up on our proposed graph-based software watermarking codec system, in this work we present the valid edge-modification on the edges of $F[\pi^*]$ produced by watermark w following W-RPG codec system. In order to attest its resilience to edge-modification attacks on the main component of this codec system which is the flow-graph $F[\pi^*]$, we demonstrate the minimum valid edge-modification on the edges of $F[\pi^*]$, after which the $F[\pi^*]$ preserves main properties of its structure, produced by watermark w in any range $R = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$, where n is the length of the binary representation of w , but additionally, given an integer watermark number w , it can be characterized as strong or weak watermark.

2 Graph-based Software Watermarking

Software watermarking has received considerable attention and many researchers have developed several codec algorithms mostly for watermarks that are encoded as graph-structures [11]. The patent by Davidson and Myhrvold [10] presented the first published software watermarking algorithm. The preliminary concepts of software watermarking also appeared in paper [12] and patents [17, 21]. Collberg et al. [8, 9] presented detailed definitions for software watermarking. Authors of papers [24, 25] have given brief surveys of software watermarking research.

Several software watermarking algorithms have been appeared in the literature that encode watermarks as graph structures [6, 7, 10, 23]. A wide range of software watermarking techniques has been proposed among which the graph-based methods that encode watermark numbers w as reducible flow-graph structures F capturing such properties which make them resilient to attacks.

Following the graph-based approach, Chroni and Nicolopoulos have been made in recent years an interesting and broad research work on graph-based codec algorithms for encoding watermark numbers w as reducible flow-graphs F . Indeed, they extended the class of software watermarking codec algorithms and graph structures by proposing efficient and easily implemented algorithms for encoding numbers as reducible permutation flow-graphs (RPG) through the use of self-inverting permutations (or, for short, SiP). More precisely, they have presented an efficient method for encoding first an integer w as a self-inverting permutation π^* and then encoding π^* as a reducible permutation flow-graph $F[\pi^*]$ [2]; see, also [4]. The watermark graph $F[\pi^*]$ incorporates properties capable to mimic real code, that is, it does not differ from the graph data structures built by real programs. Furthermore, following up on their proposed method, Mpanti et al. [18] show the

resilience of reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ under edge-modification with the experimental study of codec algorithms and structure.

Based on this idea and watermarking scheme proposed by these authors, Bento et al. [1] introduced a linear-time algorithm which succeeds in retrieving deterministically the n -bit identifiers encoded by such graphs (with $n > 2$) even if $k = 2$ edges are missing. In addition, they proved that $k = 5$ general edge modifications (removals/insertions) can always be detected in polynomial time. Both bounds are tight. Finally, their results reinforce the interest in regarding Chroni and Nikolopoulos's scheme as a possible software watermarking solution for numerous application.

Recently, Mpanti and Nikolopoulos proposed two different reducible permutation flow-graphs, namely, $F_s[\pi^*]$ and $F_t[\pi^*]$, incorporating important structural properties which are derived from the bitonic subsequences forming the self-inverting permutation π^* [19].

3 The W-RPG Codec System

In this section we briefly present the codec system, which we shall call W-RPG, proposed by Chroni and Nikolopoulos [2-4]. We firstly discuss the proposed structural components of their model, namely self-inverting permutation (or, for short, SiP) π^* and reducible permutation graph (or, for short, RPG) $F[\pi^*]$, and their properties of methods components, which help prevent edge and/or node modifications attacks.

The codec system W-RPG consists of the algorithms `Encode_W.to.SiP` and `Decode_SiP.to.W`, which encode/decode the watermark w into/from a self-inverting permutation π^* , respectively. Moreover, it includes the encoding algorithm `Encode_SiP.to.RPG`, which encodes the self-inverting permutation π^* into a reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ based on the d-domination relations of the elements of π^* , and the corresponding decoding algorithm `Decode_PRG.to.SiP`.

3.1 Components

We consider finite graphs with no multiple edges. For a graph G , we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex (or, node) set and edge set of G , respectively. The *neighborhood* $N(u)$ of a vertex u in the graph G is the set of all the vertices of G which are adjacent to u . The *degree* of a vertex u in the graph G , denoted $deg(u)$, is the number of edges incident on node u ; thus, $deg(u) = |N(u)|$. For a node u of a directed graph G , the number of directed edges coming in u is called the *indegree* of the node u , denoted $indeg(u)$, and the number of directed edges leaving u is its outdegree, denoted $outdeg(u)$.

The main components, which used by the algorithms of the W-RPG codec system, are illustrated in Figure 1 and we describe them in detail.

Self-inverting Permutation. A permutation π over a set A is an arrangement of the elements of the set A into some sequence or order, or if the set A is already ordered, π is a rearrangement of the elements of A into a one-to-one correspondence with itself. In this paper, we consider permutations π over the set $N_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n)$ be such a permutation. By π_i we denote the i th element of π , while by π_i^{-1} we denote the position in π of the element $\pi_i \in N_n$ [13].

Definition 3.1. Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n)$ be a permutation over the set N_n , $n > 1$. The inverse of the permutation π is the permutation $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ with $q_{\pi_i} = \pi_{q_i} = i$. A self-inverting permutation (or, for short, SiP) is a permutation that is its own inverse: $\pi_{\pi_i} = i$.

Throughout the paper we shall denote a self-inverting permutation π over the set N_n as π^* .

Reducible Permutation Graph. A flow-graph is a directed graph F with an initial node s from which all other nodes are reachable. A directed graph G is strongly connected when there is a path $x \rightarrow y$ for all nodes x, y in $V(G)$. A node $u \in V(G)$ is an *entry* for a subgraph H of the graph G when there is a path $p = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, u)$ such that $p \cap H = \{u\}$ (see, [15, 16]).




Figure 1: The main data components by algorithms of the codec system for a watermark number w .

Definition 3.2. A flow-graph is reducible when it does not have a strongly connected subgraph with two (or more) entries.

There are some other equivalent definitions of the reducible flow-graphs which use a few more graph-theoretic concepts. A depth first search (DFS) of a flow-graph partitions its edges into tree, forward, back, and cross edges. It is well known that tree, forward, and cross edges form a dag known as a DFS dag. Hecht and Ullman show that a flow-graph F is reducible if and only if F has a unique DFS dag [15, 16].

3.2 Properties

To be effective, a graph watermark codec system needs to provide several key properties of its structural components. In proposed algorithms by Chroni and Nikolopoulos, the suggested watermarking technique has properties that make it robust to multiple code transformations.

The W-RPG system incorporate several important properties which characterize them as efficient and easily implemented software watermarking systems. Based on the structure of a self-inverting permutation π^* produced by Algorithm `Encode_W.to.SiP`, which takes as input an integer w , and the type of reducible permutation graphs $F[\pi^*]$, which encoded a self-inverting permutation π^* by Algorithm `Encode_SiP.to.RPG - I`, four important properties of π^* or 4-Chain Property are incorporated into the codec watermark graph $F[\pi^*]$ in order to make it resilient against attacks.

Next we briefly discuss the 4-Chain Property:

- (i) **SiP Property.** By definition, a permutation is a SiP (self-inverting permutation) if and only if all its cycles are of length 1 or 2.
- (ii) **Bitonic Property.** The self-inverting permutation π^* is constructed from the bitonic sequence $\pi^b = X \parallel Y^R$, where X and Y are increasing subsequences and thus the bitonic property of π^b is encapsulated in the cycles of π^* .
- (iii) **Block Property.** Let B be the binary representation of the integer w as input in the algorithm `Encode_W.to.SiP` and the binary number $B' = 00 \dots 0 \parallel B \parallel 0$. The first part of B' contains the leftmost n bits, each equal to 0, where n is the length of the binary representation of the integer w .
- (iv) **Block Property.** The first part of B' contains the leftmost n bits, each equal to 0, where n is the length of the binary representation of the integer w .
- (v) **Range Property.** Let w be the watermark of binary length n . The graph $F[\pi^*]$ produced by W-RPG codec system consists of $|V(F[\pi^*])| = n^* + 1 = 2n + 3$ nodes, where $n^* = 2n + 1$.

Consider a self-inverting permutation π^* encoding an integer $w \in R_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$, where n is the length of the binary representation of w ; we distinguish the following two cases:

Zero-and-One case: $w \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 2]$. In this case, the structure of π^* consists of four subsequences, that is, $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$, having the following forms:

$$\pi^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k) \parallel (p_1, p_2, \dots, \beta) \parallel (1, 2, \dots, k, \alpha) \parallel (q_1, q_2, \dots, \gamma),$$

where

- $\pi_1^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k)$ is an increasing sequence of length k consisting of k consecutive integers starting always with $n + 1$, where $k \geq 1$,
- $\pi_2^* = (p_1, p_2, \dots, \beta)$ is a bitonic sequence of length $n - k$ with elements of the set $\{n + k + 2, n + k + 3, \dots, 2n + 1\}$, where $max = 2n + 1$,
- $\pi_3^* = (1, 2, \dots, k, \alpha)$ is an increasing sequence of length $k + 1$ consisting of k consecutive integers starting always with 1 followed by the integer $\alpha = n + k + 1$. Note that, the integer α forms the 1-cycle of π^* , and
- $\pi_4^* = (q_1, q_2, \dots, \gamma)$ is a sequence of length $n - k$ with elements of the set $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, k + i, \dots, n\}$, where $k + i$ is the index of the i -th smallest element of π_2^* . Thus, the integer γ is the index of the $max = 2n + 1$ element of π_2^* .

From the structure of subsequences π_1^* , π_2^* , π_3^* and π_4^* of the SiP π^* , it follows that all the element of π_2^* are greater than that of the sequence π_1^* , the last element β of π_2^* is greater than any element of the sequence $\pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$, while the last element α of π_3^* is greater than any element of π_4^* .

All-One case: $w = 2^n - 1$. In this case, the sequences π_2^* and π_4^* have no elements and, thus, π^* consists of two subsequences, that is, $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_3^*$, having the following forms:

$$\pi^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, 2n) \parallel (1, 2, \dots, n, 2n + 1),$$

where

- $\pi_1^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, 2n)$ is an increasing sequence of length n consisting of n consecutive integers starting with $n + 1$, and
- $\pi_3^* = (1, 2, \dots, n, 2n + 1)$ is an increasing sequence of length $n + 1$ consisting of n consecutive integers starting always with 1 followed by the $max = 2n + 1$ element of π^* . In this case, the max element $2n + 1$ forms the 1-cycle of π^* .

Furthermore, the reducible permutation flow-graph $F[\pi^*]$ produced by `Encode.SiP.to.RPG - I` algorithm consists $n^* + 2$ nodes, say $u_{n^*+1}, u_{n^*}, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_0$, which include a root node (i.e., every other node in the graph $F[\pi^*]$ is reachable) $s = u_{n^*+1}$ with $outdeg(s) = 1$, a footer node (i.e., it is reachable from every other node of the graph) $t = u_0$ with $outdeg(s) = 0$ and n^* nodes with two outpointers: one point to node u_{i+1} and the other point to node u_m , where $m > i$, where $u_m > u_i > u_{i-1}$.

It is worth noting that the reducible permutation flow-graph $F[\pi^*]$ does not differ from the graph data structures built by real programs since its maximum outdegree does not exceed two and it has a unique root node so the program can reach other nodes from the root node, while the self-inverting permutation π^* captures important structural properties, due to the Bitonic, Block and Range properties used in the construction of π^* , which make this codec system resilient to attacks.

4 Characterization of Watermark Numbers

In this section, we firstly present the way that we can decide, in nearly all cases, whether the reducible permutation graph F produced by W-RPG codec system has suffered an attack on its edges and prove the minimum number of modifications on the edges of $F[\pi^*]$. In the following, we characterize the watermarks $w_i \in R^n$ as weak or strong watermarks, relying on resilience reducible permutation graphs $F[\pi^*]$ to edge modification attacks.

4.1 Edge-Modification Attacks

Let $F[\pi^*]$ be a flow-graph which encodes the integer w and let $F'[*]$ be the graph resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after an edge modification. Then, we say that $F'[*]$ is either a *false-incorrect* or a *true-incorrect* graph:

- $F'[*]$ is *false-incorrect* if our codec system fails to return an integer from the graph $F'[*]$, whereas
- $F'[*]$ is *true-incorrect* if our system extracts from $F'[*]$ and returns an integer $w' \neq w$.

If $F'[*]$ is true-incorrect then there is a SiP ϕ^* such that $\phi^* \equiv w'$; in this case $F'[*] = F[\phi^*]$.

Since the SiP properties of the permutation π^* which compose the 4-Chain property, i.e., the odd-one property, the bitonic property, the block property, and the range property (see Subsection 3.2) are incorporated in the structure of the reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$, it follows that the graph $F'[\pi^*]$ resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after any edge modification is false-incorrect if at least one of the SiP properties does not hold.

Definition 4.1. Let $F[\pi^*]$ be a reducible permutation graph produced by watermark w following W-RPG codec system. We shall call *valid edge-modification* a modification on the edges of $F[\pi^*]$, after which the $F[\pi^*]$ preserves the 4-Chain property; respectively, an *invalid edge-modification* leads the decoding process to result on a non-watermark.

Theorem 4.1. Let w be a watermark number encoded as a reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ through the self-inverting permutation π^* and let $w = b_1b_2 \dots b_n$ be its binary representation and $B = b_2b_3 \dots b_{n-1}$ be the internal block of w . For the minimum number of valid edge-modification $\min\text{VM}(w)$ of the graph $F[\pi^*]$ we distinguish the following cases:

1. The internal block B of w contains at least two 0s. Then, $\min\text{VM}(w) = 3$.
2. The internal block B of w contains exactly one 0 (i.e., the watermark number has the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$). Then,

$$\min\text{VM}(w) = \begin{cases} 4 + \min\{\ell, r - 1\}, & \text{if } b_n = 0 \text{ and } r > 0 \\ 4, & \text{if } b_n = 0 \text{ and } r = 0 \\ 4 + \min\{\ell, r\}, & \text{if } b_n = 1 \text{ and } r \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where ℓ, r are the numbers of consecutive 1s before and after the 0 in B , respectively.

3. The internal block B of w contains no 0s (i.e., the watermark number has the form $w = 11 \dots 1b_n$). Then, $\min\text{VM}(w) = 4$.

Proof. Let w be a watermark number encoded as a reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ through the self-inverting permutation π^* and let $w = b_1b_2 \dots b_n$ be its binary representation and $B = b_2b_3 \dots b_{n-1}$ be the internal block of w .

Case 1. The internal block of the watermark number w contains at least two 0s. In this case, by construction (see Algorithm `Encode_W.to.SiP` [2]), the $\max = 2n + 1$ and the $\max - 1 = 2n$

elements of π^* are not located in the last position of π_2^* , that is, $\beta \neq \max - 1$ and $\beta \neq \max$, or, equivalently, $\pi_{2n}^{*-1} \neq n$ and $\pi_{2n+1}^{*-1} \neq n$.

Let $\gamma = \pi_{2n+1}^{*-1}$ be the index of the \max element in π^* . Since π_2^* is a bitonic sequence, it follows that the elements \max and $\max - 1$ are in consecutive positions in π_2^* and thus the index π_{2n}^{*-1} of the $\max - 1 = 2n$ element is either $\gamma - 1$ or $\gamma + 1$.

We assume that $\gamma - 1 = \pi_{2n}^{*-1}$ (the case where $\gamma + 1 = \pi_{2n}^{*-1}$ is handled in a similar manner). In this case, the watermark number w encodes a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$ having the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* = & (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k) \parallel (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \max - 1, \max, p_j, \dots, \beta) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, k, \alpha) \parallel (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m, \dots, \gamma - 1, \gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let $F[\pi^*]$ be the reducible permutation graph which encodes the watermark number w or, equivalently, the self-inverting permutation π^* . The graph $F[\pi^*]$ is constructed by computing the function $P(i) = \text{dmax}(i)$ for each element $i \in \pi^*$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$; note that, $\text{dmax}(i)$ is the maximum element of the set containing all the elements of π^* that d -dominate the element i or, equivalently, $\text{dmax}(i)$ is the element with the maximum index in π^* , which is greater than i and lays on the left of i in π^* (see Algorithm `Encode_SiP_to_RPG` []). The pair $(\text{dmax}(i), i)$, hereafter called d -pair, forms a back-edge in graph $F[\pi^*]$ from node i to $\text{dmax}(i)$; recall that, there is also a forward-edge in $F[\pi^*]$ from node i to $i - 1$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$) and two dummy-nodes s and t such that $(s, 2n + 1)$ and $(1, t)$ are both forward-edges [4].

Now we perform valid modifications on the elements of the SiP π^* . In fact, we apply the process `Swap` on the elements $\max - 1$ and \max of the SiP π^* resulting the new SiP ϕ^* having the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* = & (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k) \parallel (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \max, \max - 1, p_j, \dots, \beta) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, k, \alpha) \parallel (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m, \dots, \gamma, \gamma - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let $F[\phi^*]$ be the reducible permutation graph with encodes the watermark number $w' \equiv \phi^*$, where $w' \neq w$ since $\phi^* \neq \pi^*$. Both $F[\pi^*]$ and $F[\phi^*]$ have $2n + 1$ back-edges, $2n + 2$ forward-edges and $2n + 3$ nodes. Thus, $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after performing some edge-modifications on its back-edges.

It is easy to see that the three nodes $\max - 1$, p_j , and $\gamma - 1$ are the only true-incorrect nodes of the graphs $F[\pi^*]$ and $F[\phi^*]$. Indeed, the graph $F[\pi^*]$ has 3 back-edges formed by the following d -pairs:

$$(s, \max - 1), \quad (\max, p_j), \quad \text{and} \quad (q_m, \gamma - 1),$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n - k - 2$, while the graph $F[\phi^*]$ has also 3 back-edges formed by the d -pairs:

$$(\max, \max - 1), \quad (\max - 1, p_j), \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma, \gamma - 1).$$

From the above, we conclude that the graph $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph, which encodes a watermark number $w' \neq w$, resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after performing three edge-modifications on its back-edges. Thus, the minimum number of valid edge-modifications in graph $F[\pi^*]$ is 3, that is, $\text{minVM}(w) = 3$.

Case 2. The internal block B contains exactly one 0 and thus the watermark number has the form:

$$w = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r b_n$$

where $\ell \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

Subcase 2.1: $\mathbf{b}_n = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{r} > \mathbf{0}$. In this case, the watermark number w is encoded by a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$ have the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* = & (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, \max, \max - 1) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha) \parallel (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n, n - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $max = 2n + 1$, $max - 1 = 2n$ and $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$. Note that, the watermark number w is of the form

$$w = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r 0$$

and, thus, $max - 1 = 2n$ is the last element of the sequence π_2^* ; in fact, $\pi_{2n}^{-1} = n$ and $\pi_{2n+1}^{-1} = n - 1$.

Let ϕ^* be the SiP resulting from the permutation π^* after performing some valid modifications on its elements and let $F[\phi^*]$ be the true-incorrect reducible permutation graph encoding a watermark number $w' \neq w$; the valid modifications belong to the following three categories:

- (i) **Swap**. Since π_1^* is an increasing sequence, we cannot apply a **Swap** operation on any pair of elements of π_1^* . Thus, we apply swapping on the elements of π_2^* ; note that, the pairs $(max - 1, max)$ and $(max - 1, max - 2)$, where $max - 2 = n + \ell + r + 2$, are the only pairs of elements we can apply such an operation due to the bitonicity of the resulting sequence ϕ_2^* . Applying **Swap**() on the pair $(max, max - 1)$, the structure of the resulting SiP ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* = & (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max - 1, max) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha) \parallel (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n - 1, n). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since ϕ^* is a valid SiP and $\phi^* \neq \pi^*$, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph encoding the watermark number $w' \neq w$, where

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r 1$$

The graph $F[\phi^*]$ has the following true-incorrect nodes:

$$max - 1, 1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha, n - 1.$$

and thus, in this case, the number of valid edge-modifications in graph $F[\pi^*]$ is $4 + \ell$.

Applying now **Swap**() on the pair $(max - 2, max - 1)$, the graph $F[\pi^*]$ and the resulting true-incorrect reducible permutation graph $F[\phi^*]$ have a number of true-incorrect nodes greater than $4 + \ell$. Indeed, in this case the structure of ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* = & (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (n + \ell + 3, \dots, max - 1, max, n + \ell + r + 2) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha) \parallel (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r, n, n - 2, n - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and, thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains $5 + \ell$ true-incorrect nodes:

$$n + \ell + r + 2, max - 1, 1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha, n - 2.$$

- (ii) **Move-in**. We cannot perform any **Move-in** operation on sequence π_1^* due to its structure; recall that, π_1^* is an increasing sequence consisting of $\ell + 1$ consecutive integers starting with $n + 1$. Thus, we focus on the sequence π_2^* which, in the case we consider, is a bitonic sequence of the form:

$$\pi_2^* = (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + i - 1, n + \ell + i, n + \ell + i + 1, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max, max - 1)$$

Let $n + \ell + i$ be an element of the increasing subsequence of π_2^* , where $3 \leq i \leq r + 2$. Since $n + \ell + i < max - 1$, applying a **Move-in**() operation on the element $n + \ell + i$, the only valid position it can be moved is the last position of π_2^* . Thus, the resulting sequence ϕ_2^* is the following:

$$\phi_2^* = (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + i - 1, n + \ell + i + 1, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max, max - 1, \mathbf{n + \ell + i})$$

Then, $\pi_1^* = \phi_1^*$ and $\pi_3^* = \phi_3^* = (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha)$, while the sequences π_4^* and ϕ_4^* are the following:

$$\pi_4^* = (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + i - 2, \ell + i - 1, \ell + i, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n, n - 1)$$

and

$$\phi_4^* = (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + i - 2, n, \ell + i - 1, \dots, \ell + r, n - 1, n - 2)$$

In this case, the resulting true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $1 + |\phi_3^*| + (r - i + 3)$ true-incorrect nodes: the node $n + \ell + i$ of the sequence ϕ_2^* , all the nodes $1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , due to element $n + \ell + i$, and the nodes $\ell + i - 1, \dots, \ell + r, n - 2$ of the sequence ϕ_4^* . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $6 + \ell + r - i$ true-incorrect nodes, where $3 \leq i \leq r + 2$ and $r > 0$. It follows that, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be contain $4 + \ell$ true-incorrect nodes.

Note that, $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after applying a **Move-in()** operation on the element $n + \ell + r + 2 = \text{max} - 2$. Moreover, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$, where

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r 00$$

Consider now the case where the **Move-in()** operation is applied on either the element max or $\text{max} - 1$ of π_2^* . Both theses cases are reduced to the Case 2.1(i), where the graph $F[\phi^*]$ also contains $4 + \ell$ true-incorrect nodes.

- (iii) **Move-out.** We can perform a **Move-out** operation by moving i elements from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) or by moving j elements from π_2^* to π_3^* and π_1^* ($1 \leq j \leq r + 2$), where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^* &= (\underbrace{n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1}_{\ell + 1}) & \pi_2^* &= (\underbrace{n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, \text{max}, \text{max} - 1}_{r + 2}) \\ \pi_3^* &= (\underbrace{1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha = n + \ell + 2}_{\ell + 2}) & \pi_4^* &= (\underbrace{\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n, n - 1}_{r + 2}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

(iii.a): We consider first the case where i elements are moved from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* , and let ϕ^* be the resulting SiP. Based on the structure of both π^* and ϕ^* , such an operation moves the i largest element from π_1^* and produces the SiP ϕ^* with the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= (\underbrace{n + 1, \dots, n + \ell + 1 - i}_{\ell + 1 - i}) & \phi_2^* &= (\underbrace{n + \ell + 3 - i, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, n + \ell + 3, \dots, \text{max} - 1}_{r + 2 + i}) \\ \phi_3^* &= (\underbrace{1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1 - i, \alpha' = n + \ell + 2 - i}_{\ell + 2 - i}) & \phi_4^* &= (\underbrace{\ell + 2 - i, \dots, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots, n - 1}_{r + 2 + i}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The resulting true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ has the following true-incorrect nodes: the node $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$ of the sequence ϕ_2^* , the node $\alpha' = n + \ell + 2 - i$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , and the i nodes $\ell + 2 - i, \dots, \ell + 1$ plus the $r + 1$ nodes $\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n$ of the sequence ϕ_4^* , due to element α' . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $3 + i + r$ true-incorrect nodes, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. It follows that, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be contain $4 + r$ true-incorrect nodes.

Note that, $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after applying a **Move-out()** operation on the element $n + \ell + 1$ of π_1^* . The true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$ of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\ell - i} 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{r + i} 0$$

- (iii.b): Consider now the case where j elements are moved from π_2^* to π_3^* and π_1^* , where $1 \leq j \leq r$; the cases where $j = r + 1$ and $j = r + 2$ will be considered separately. Let ϕ^* be the

resulting SiP after a moving operation. Again, based on the structure of both permutations π^* and ϕ^* , the moved elements are the j smallest elements $n + \ell + 3, n + \ell + 4, \dots, n + \ell + 2 + j$ of π_2^* and the resulting SiP ϕ^* has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= \underbrace{(n + 1, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + 1 + j)}_{\ell + 1 + j} & \phi_2^* &= \underbrace{(n + \ell + 3 + j, \dots, \max - 1)}_{r + 2 - j} \\ \phi_3^* &= \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1 + j, \alpha' = n + \ell + 2 + j)}_{\ell + 2 + j} & \phi_4^* &= \underbrace{(\ell + 2 + j, \dots, n, n - 1)}_{r + 2 - j}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains of the following true-incorrect nodes: the node $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$ of the sequence ϕ_1^* , the node $\alpha' = n + \ell + 2 + j$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , and the j nodes $\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, \ell + 1 + j$ plus the $r + 1 - j$ nodes $\ell + 2 + j, \dots, n$ of the sequence ϕ_4^* , due to element α' . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $3 + r$ true-incorrect nodes and encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$ of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\ell + j} 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{r - j} 0$$

where, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

The case where the $j = r + 1$ smallest elements of π_2^* are moved to π_3^* and π_1^* is handled as follows: the resulting SiP ϕ^* has the structure

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, \dots, \max - 2 = 2n - 1) & \phi_2^* &= (\max) \\ \phi_3^* &= (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots, n - 1, \alpha' = \max - 1) & \phi_4^* &= (n) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and, thus, the corresponding true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains one true-incorrect node in ϕ_1^* (i.e., the node α), $n - 1$ true-incorrect nodes in ϕ_3^* (i.e., the nodes $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) and one true-incorrect node in ϕ_4^* (i.e., the node n). In this case, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $n + 1$ true-incorrect nodes, where $n \geq 4$, and encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$ of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{n - 2} 0$$

In the case where $j = r + 2$ elements of π_2^* are moved to π_3^* and π_1^* (i.e., all the elements of π_2^* are moved), the sequences ϕ_2^* and ϕ_4^* of the resulting SiP ϕ^* are empty. Thus, ϕ^* actually consists of two increasing sequences $\phi^* = \phi_1^* || \phi_3^*$ and has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, \dots, \max - 1 = 2n) & \phi_2^* &= () \\ \phi_3^* &= (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots, n, \alpha' = \max = 2n + 1) & \phi_4^* &= (). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The resulting graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains two true-incorrect nodes in ϕ_1^* , i.e., the nodes $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$ and $\max - 1 = 2n$, and $r + 2 = |\phi_3^*|$ true-incorrect nodes in ϕ_3^* , i.e., the nodes $\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, n$, due to element $\max - 1$. Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $4 + r$ true-incorrect nodes and encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$ of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{n - 2} 1$$

Summing up the case where $b_n = 0$ and $r > 0$, we conclude that a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph $F[\phi^*]$ encoding a watermark number $w' \neq w$ can be result from $F[\pi^*]$ after performing either $4 + \ell$ or $3 + r$ edge-modifications on its back-edges. Thus, $\min VM(w) = 4 + \min\{\ell, r - 1\}$.

Subcase 2.2: $\mathbf{b_n = 0}$ and $\mathbf{r = 0}$. In this case, the watermark number w is encoded by a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* || \pi_2^* || \pi_3^* || \pi_4^*$ having the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* &= (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) || (\max, \max - 1) || \\ &(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha = n + \ell + 2) || (n, n - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $max = 2n + 1$, $max - 1 = 2n$ and $\ell = n - 3$. The watermark number w is of the following form:

$$w = 1 \underbrace{111 \dots 1}_{\ell = n - 3} 00$$

Let ϕ^* be the SiP resulting from π^* after performing some valid modifications on its elements. As in the previous case, we consider valid modifications by performing the operations $\text{Swap}()$, $\text{Move-in}()$ and $\text{Move-out}()$ on the elements of π^* .

- (i) **Swap.** Recall that we can apply swapping only on the elements of the bitonic sequence π_2^* . Thus, applying $\text{Swap}()$ on the pair $(max, max - 1)$, the structure of the resulting SiP ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* &= (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (max - 1, max) \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha = n + \ell + 2) \parallel (n - 1, n). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ encoding the SiP ϕ^* contains one true-incorrect node in ϕ_2^* , i.e., the node $max - 1$, one true-incorrect node in ϕ_4^* , i.e., the node $n - 1$ and $\ell + 2$ true-incorrect nodes in ϕ_3^* , i.e., the nodes $1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha$. Thus, in total, in this case the graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains $4 + \ell$ true-incorrect nodes.

- (ii) **Move-in.** It is easy to see that the SiP ϕ^* which results from π^* by applying a moving-in operation on sequence π_2^* has the same structure with that which results in the previous Case 2.2(i). Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains $3 + \ell = n$ true-incorrect nodes, where $n \geq 4$.
- (iii) **Move-out.** In this case, we can perform a **Move-out** operation either by moving i elements from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) or, since $r = 0$, by moving j elements from π_2^* to π_3^* and π_1^* ($1 \leq j \leq 2$), where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^* &= \underbrace{(n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1)}_{\ell + 1} & \pi_2^* &= \underbrace{(max, max - 1)}_2 \\ \pi_3^* &= \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha = n + \ell + 2)}_{\ell + 2} & \pi_4^* &= \underbrace{(n, n - 1)}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

(iii.a): We consider first the case where i elements are moved from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* , and let ϕ^* be the resulting SiP. This case results from the Case 2.1(iii) by setting $r = 0$. It follows that, there exists a graph $F[\phi^*]$ having exactly 4 true-incorrect nodes, that is, $F[\phi^*]$ can be constructed from $F[\pi^*]$ by modifying exactly 4 edges.

The watermark number $w' \neq w$ encoded by the true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ is of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\ell - 1} 010$$

(iii.b): The cases where the $max - 1$ element or both the $max - 1$ and max elements are moved from π_2^* result to a true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ consisting of more than 4 true-incorrect nodes.

Summarizing the results of the case where $b_n = 0$ and $r = 0$, we conclude that a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph $F[\phi^*]$ encoding a watermark number $w' \neq w$ can be result from $F[\pi^*]$ after performing at least 4 edge-modifications on its back-edges. Thus, $\text{minVM}(w) = 4$.

Subcase 2.3: $\mathbf{b_n = 1}$ and $\mathbf{r \geq 0}$. In this case, the watermark number w is encoded by a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$ have the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* &= (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max - 1, max) \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha) \parallel (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n - 1, n), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$. The $max = 2n + 1$ element is located the last position of the sequence π_2^* and, thus, π_2^* is an increasing sequence of length $r + 2$. In the case under consideration, the watermark number w encoded by π^* is of the form:

$$w = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r 1$$

Let ϕ^* be the SiP resulting from π^* after performing some valid modifications on its elements and let $F[\phi^*]$ be the true-incorrect reducible permutation graph encoding a watermark number $w' \neq w$. As in the previous cases, the SiP ϕ^* is produced by applying the three operations Swap(), Move-in() and Move-out() on the elements of π^* .

- (i) **Swap.** We can apply swapping only on the elements of π_2^* ; in fact, the pair $(max - 1, max)$ is the only pair of elements of π_2^* we can apply a swap operation due to the bitonicity of the resulting sequence ϕ_2^* .

After applying the operation **Swap**() on the pair $(max - 1, max)$, the structure of the resulting SiP ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\phi^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1) \parallel (n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max, max - 1) \parallel (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha) \parallel (\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n, n - 1). \quad (15)$$

The graph $F[\phi^*]$ is a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph encoding the watermark number $w' \neq w$, where

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r 0$$

and has the following true-incorrect nodes:

$$max - 1, 1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha, n - 1.$$

Thus, in this case, the number of valid edge-modifications in graph $F[\pi^*]$ is $4 + \ell$.

- (ii) **Move-in.** We can perform any moving-in operation only on the sequence π_2^* which, in the case we consider, is a increasing sequence of the form:

$$\pi_2^* = (n + \ell + 3, n + \ell + 4, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max - 1, max)$$

The sequence π_4^* , the elements of which are the indices of the elements of π_2^* in π^* , has the following form:

$$\pi_4^* = (\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n - 1, n)$$

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i be i elements of π_2^* such that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_i$, where $p_1 = n + \ell + m$, $3 \leq m \leq r + 3$. We perform moving-in operations on the elements p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i and let ϕ_2^* be the resulting bitonic sequence of the resulting SiP ϕ_2^* . Then, ϕ_2^* has the following form:

$$\phi_2^* = (\mathbf{P}, max, p_i, p_{i-1}, \dots, p_1)$$

where \mathbf{P} is an increasing sequence of length $r + 1 - i$ consisting of the remaining elements of π_2^* lying on the left of max after applying the moving-in operations. Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j be the elements $\pi_{p_1}^{*-1}, \pi_{p_1}^{*-1} + 1, \dots, \pi_{max-1}^{*-1}$. Then, the sequence ϕ_4^* has the form:

$$\phi_4^* = (\mathbf{Q}, n, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j)$$

where \mathbf{Q} is an increasing sequence of length $m - 3$ consisting of the indices of the elements of $(n + \ell + 3, n + \ell + 4, \dots, n + \ell + m - 1)$. Moreover, $\pi_1^* = \phi_1^* = (n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1)$ and $\pi_3^* = \phi_3^* = (1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha)$.

Thus, the corresponding true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ has the following true-incorrect nodes: the nodes p_i, p_{i-1}, \dots, p_1 of the sequence ϕ_2^* , the nodes $1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , and the nodes q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j of the sequence ϕ_4^* . In total, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains $i + \ell + 2 + (\pi_{max}^{*-1} - \pi_{p_1}^{*-1}) = i + \ell + 2 + (n - m)$ true-incorrect nodes. By getting $i = 1$ and $(n - m) = 1$, we conclude that there exist a graph $F[\phi^*]$ containing $4 + \ell$ true-incorrect nodes or, equivalently, we can obtain a true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ after performing $4 + \ell$ edge-modifications in graph $F[\pi^*]$.

- (iii) **Move-out.** We perform a **Move-out** operation either by moving i elements from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) or by moving j elements from π_2^* to π_3^* and π_1^* ($1 \leq j \leq r + 2$), where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^* &= \underbrace{(n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + \ell + 1)}_{\ell + 1} & \pi_2^* &= \underbrace{(n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + r + 2, max - 1, max)}_{r + 2} \\ \pi_3^* &= \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1, \alpha = n + \ell + 2)}_{\ell + 2} & \pi_4^* &= \underbrace{(\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n - 1, n)}_{r + 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

(iii.a): We consider first the case where i elements are moved from π_1^* to π_3^* and π_2^* , and let ϕ^* be the resulting SiP. Such an operation moves the i largest element from π_1^* and produces the SiP ϕ^* with the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= \underbrace{(n + 1, \dots, n + \ell + 1 - i)}_{\ell + 1 - i} & \phi_2^* &= \underbrace{(n + \ell + 3 - i, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, n + \ell + 3, \dots, max)}_{r + 2 + i} \\ \phi_3^* &= \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1 - i, \alpha' = n + \ell + 2 - i)}_{\ell + 2 - i} & \phi_4^* &= \underbrace{(\ell + 2 - i, \dots, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots, n)}_{r + 2 + i}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The resulting true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ has of the following true-incorrect nodes: the node $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$ of the sequence ϕ_2^* , the node $\alpha' = n + \ell + 2 - i$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , and the i nodes $\ell + 2 - i, \dots, \ell + 1$ plus the $r + 2$ nodes $\ell + 2, \dots, \ell + r + 1, n - 1, n$ of the sequence ϕ_4^* . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $4 + i + r$ true-incorrect nodes, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. It follows that, there exist a graph $F[\phi^*]$ that can be contain $5 + r$ true-incorrect nodes.

(iii.b): We follow a similar approach as in the Case 2.1(iii.b). Let j elements are moved from π_2^* to π_3^* and π_1^* , where $1 \leq j \leq r$; the cases where $j = r + 1$ and $j = r + 2$ will be considered separately. The moved elements are the j smallest elements $n + \ell + 3, n + \ell + 4, \dots, n + \ell + 2 + j$ of π_2^* and the resulting SiP ϕ^* has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* &= \underbrace{(n + 1, \dots, n + \ell + 1, \alpha, n + \ell + 3, \dots, n + \ell + 1 + j)}_{\ell + 1 + j} & \phi_2^* &= \underbrace{(n + \ell + 3 + j, \dots, max)}_{r + 2 - j} \\ \phi_3^* &= \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1 + j, \alpha' = n + \ell + 2 + j)}_{\ell + 2 + j} & \phi_4^* &= \underbrace{(\ell + 2 + j, \dots, n - 1, n)}_{r + 2 - j}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The graph $F[\phi^*]$ contains the following true-incorrect nodes: the node $\alpha = n + \ell + 2$ of the sequence ϕ_1^* , the node $\alpha' = n + \ell + 2 + j$ of the sequence ϕ_3^* , and the j nodes $\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, \ell + 1 + j$ plus the $r + 2 - j$ nodes $\ell + 2 + j, \dots, n - 1, n$ of the sequence ϕ_4^* , due to element α' . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ consists of $4 + r$ true-incorrect nodes and encodes the watermark number $w' \neq w$ of the form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\ell + j} 0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{r - j} 1$$

where, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

The cases where the $j = r + 1$ and $j = r + 2$ are exactly the same as the corresponding cases in Case 2.1(iii.b).

Concluding the case where $b_n = 1$ and $r \geq 0$, it holds that a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph $F[\phi^*]$ encoding a watermark number $w' \neq w$ can be result from $F[\pi^*]$ after performing either $4 + \ell$ or $4 + r$ edge-modifications on its back-edges. Thus, $\min VM(w) = 4 + \min\{\ell, r\}$.

Case 3. In this case, the internal block B of the watermark number w contains no 0s and, thus, the binary representation of the number w has one of the following two forms:

$$\underbrace{11\dots110}_{n-2} \quad \text{or} \quad \underbrace{11\dots111}_{n-2}$$

(3.1) In the former case where $b_n = 0$, the watermark number w is encoded by a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$ having the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* &= (n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-i-1, 2n-i, \dots, 2n-1) \parallel (max) \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, n-i-1, n-i, \dots, n-1, \alpha = 2n) \parallel (n), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $max = 2n + 1$.

From the structures of π_1^* and π_2^* , it follows that the only operation we can apply is the **Move-out** on the elements of both these sequences. Let first consider the case where i largest elements are moved from π_1^* , i.e., the elements $2n-i, 2n-i+1, \dots, 2n-1$. Then, the structure of the resulting SiP ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* &= (n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-i-1) \parallel (2n-i+1, \dots, 2n-1, \alpha, max) \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, n-i-1, \alpha' = 2n-i) \parallel (n-i, \dots, n-1, n), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The resulting true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ has of the following true-incorrect nodes: the node $\alpha = 2n$ in sequence ϕ_2^* , the node $\alpha' = 2n-i$ in sequence ϕ_3^* , and the $i+1$ nodes $n-i, \dots, n-1, n$ in sequence ϕ_4^* . Thus, in total the graph $F[\phi^*]$ has $2 + i + 1$ true-incorrect nodes. Since $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, it follows that the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be obtain from $F[\pi^*]$ by modifying at least 4 edges.

The watermark number $w' \neq w$ encoded by a true-incorrect graph $F[\phi^*]$ resulting from $F[\pi^*]$ after exactly 4 edges modifications, has the following binary form:

$$w' = \underbrace{11\dots101}_{n-2}$$

Let us now consider the case where the element max is moved from π_2^* . Then, both sequences ϕ_2^* and ϕ_4^* become empty and the sequences ϕ_1^* and ϕ_3^* have the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* &= (n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-i-1, 2n-i, \dots, 2n-1, \alpha = 2n) \parallel () \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, n-i-1, n-i, \dots, n-1, \alpha' = max) \parallel (), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The incorrect nodes of the graph $F[\phi^*]$ are the following: $\alpha' = max$, $\alpha = 2n$ and the nodes $1, 2, \dots, n-i-1, n-i, \dots, n-1$ of ϕ_3^* , due to the last element $\alpha = 2n$ of ϕ_1^* . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be obtain from $F[\pi^*]$ by modifying $n+1$ edges, where $n \geq 4$.

(3.2) In the latter case where $b_n = 1$, the watermark number w is encoded by a SiP $\pi^* = \pi_1^* \parallel \pi_2^* \parallel \pi_3^* \parallel \pi_4^*$ having the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* &= (n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-i, 2n-i+1, \dots, 2n) \parallel () \parallel \\ &(1, 2, \dots, n-i-1, n-i, \dots, n-1, n, \alpha = max) \parallel (), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $max = 2n + 1$.

Since π_1^* is an increasing sequence, the only operation we can apply on π_1^* is the **Move-out** operation. Let the i largest elements of π_1^* , i.e., $2n-i+1, \dots, 2n-1, 2n$, are moved to π_2^* and

π_3^* . Then, by choosing the last element $2n$ of π_1^* to be the last element of π_2^* , the structure of the resulting SiP ϕ^* becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* = & (n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-i) \parallel (2n-i+2, \dots, \alpha = \max, 2n) \parallel \\ & (1, 2, \dots, n-i, \alpha' = 2n-i+1) \parallel (n-i+1, \dots, n, n-1), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In this case, the true-incorrect nodes of the graph $F[\phi^*]$ are the following: the nodes $2n$ and $\alpha' = 2n-i+1$ of ϕ_2^* and ϕ_3^* , respectively, the nodes $n-i+1, \dots, n$, due to the last element $\alpha' = 2n-i+1$ of ϕ_3^* , and the node $n-1$, due to the node n of ϕ_4^* . Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be obtained from $F[\pi^*]$ by modifying $2+i$ edges. Since we require the element $2n$ to be in the last position of π_2^* , we have that $i \geq 2$. Thus, the graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be obtained from $F[\pi^*]$ by modifying 4 edges and the watermark number $w' \neq w$ encoded by $F[\phi^*]$ has the following binary form:

$$w' = 1 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{n-2} 00$$

It is easy to see that in the case where the element $2n$ is not located in the last position of π_2^* , the number of the true-incorrect nodes of the graph $F[\phi^*]$ is greater than 4.

Summarizing the results of the Case 3, we conclude that a true-incorrect reducible permutation graph $F[\phi^*]$ can be result from $F[\pi^*]$, which encodes the number w , after performing at least 4 edge-modifications on its back-edges. Thus, $\minVM(w) = 4$. ■

4.2 Strong and Weak Watermarks

We next define the integer $w_i \in R_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$ as weak or strong watermark, encoded as a reducible permutation graph $F[\pi^*]$ through the self-inverting permutation π^* .

Definition 4.2. A watermark $w \in R_n$ is called strong if it has $\max\{\minVM(w)\}$ in the range R_n and the minimum number of true-watermarks w' .

Corollary 4.1. Let w be an integer in $R_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$.

- (i) If $n = 2\kappa + 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the strong watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^\ell 1$.
- (ii) If $n = 2\kappa, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the strong watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^{\ell+1} 1$.

Proof. Let w be an integer in $R_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$ where n is the length of the binary representation of w . The maximum value of minimum valid edge-modifications $\max\{\minVM(w)\}$ in the range R_n is $4 + \min\{\ell, r-1\}$, if $b_n = 0$ and $r > 0$ or $4 + \min\{\ell, r\}$, if $b_n = 1$ and $r \geq 0$.

- (i) If $n = 2\kappa + 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ then it is easy to see by the Theorem 4.1 that the binary representation of watermark w is unique in the range R_n and is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $\ell = r$ and $b_n = 1$ and $\max\{\minVM(w)\} = 4 + \ell$.
- (ii) If $n = 2\kappa, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the binary representation of watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $r - \ell = 1$ and $b_n = 0$, with $\max\{\minVM(w)\} = 4 + \ell$ or $|r - \ell| = 1$ and $b_n = 1$, with $\max\{\minVM(w)\} = 4 + \ell$ or $\max\{\minVM(w)\} = 4 + r$. It means that there are three integers w in the range R_n with $\max\{\minVM(w)\}$. Hence, the strong watermark w is defined as the watermark which have the minimum number of true-watermarks w' with these minimum valid edge-modifications. More precisely,

- if the watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $r - \ell = 1$ and $b_n = 0$, then $\max\{\minVM(w)\} = 4 + \ell$ and the number of true-watermarks w' is $\ell + 3$. By Theorem 4.1, Subcase 2.2, there is 1 true-watermark w' if we apply **Swap**(\cdot), other 1 if we apply **Move in** and minimizing the value of $6 + \ell + r - i$, and other $\ell + 1$ true-watermark w' if we apply **Move-out** with $j \in [1, r] = [1, \ell + 1]$.

- if the watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $r - \ell = 1$ and $b_n = 1$, then $\max\{\min VM(w)\} = 4 + \ell$ and the number of true-watermarks w' is ℓ . By Theorem 4.1, Subcase 2.3, there is only 1 true-watermark w' if we apply `Swap()`.
- if the watermark w is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $\ell - r = 1$ and $b_n = 1$, then $\max\{\min VM(w)\} = 4 + r$ and the number of true-watermarks w' is $\ell + 3$. By Theorem 4.1, Subcase 2.3, there is ℓ true-watermark w' if we apply `Move-out` with $j \in [1, r + 1] = [1, \ell]$.

We can see that the integer watermark w with the minimum number of true-watermark $w' \in R_n$, where $n = 2\kappa, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, performing the maximum value of minimum valid edge-modifications is of the form $w = 11^\ell 01^r b_n$, where $r - \ell = 1$ and $b_n = 1$. ■

Definition 4.3. A watermark $w \in R_n$ is called *weak* if it has $\min VM(w) = 3$ in the range R_n .

The weak watermarks w are all watermark numbers, that the internal block B of their binary representation contains at least two 0s (see, Theorem 4.1).

References

- [1] L. M. Bento, D. R. Boccardo, R. C. Machado, V. G. P. de Sa, and J. L. Szwarcfiter. On the resilience of canonical reducible permutation graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 234, 32–46, 2016.
- [2] M. Chroni and S.D. Nikolopoulos. Encoding watermark integers as self-inverting permutations. *International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies (CompSysTech'10)*, ACM ICPS 471, 125–130, 2010.
- [3] M. Chroni and S.D. Nikolopoulos. An Efficient Graph Codec System for Software Watermarking. *Proc. 36th IEEE Conference on Computers, Software, and Applications (COMPSAC'12)*, IEEE Proceedings, 595–600, 2012.
- [4] M. Chroni, S. D. Nikolopoulos and L. Palios. Encoding watermark numbers as reducible permutation graphs using self-inverting permutations. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 250, 145–164, 2018.
- [5] C. Collberg and J. Nagra. *Surreptitious Software*. Addison-Wesley, 2010.
- [6] C. Collberg, S. Kobourov, E. Carter, and C. Thomborson. Error-correcting graphs for software watermarking. *Proc. 29th Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG'03)*, LNCS 2880, 156–167, 2003.
- [7] C. Collberg, A. Huntwork, E. Carter, G. Townsend, and M. Stepp. More on graph theoretic software watermarks: Implementation, analysis, and attacks. *Information and Software Technology* 51, 56–67, 2009.
- [8] C. Collberg and C. Thomborson. Software watermarking: models and dynamic embeddings. *Proc. 26th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'99)*, 311–324, 1999.
- [9] C. Collberg and C. Thomborson and D. Low". On the limits of software watermarking. Department of Computer Science, The University of Auckland, Technical Report No 164, 1998.
- [10] R.L. Davidson and N. Myhrvold. Method and system for generating and auditing a signature for a computer program. US Patent 5.559.884, Microsoft Corporation, 1996.

- [11] D. Eppstein and M. T. Goodrich and J. Lam and N. Mamano and M. Mitzenmacher and M. Torres. Models and Algorithms for Graph Watermarking. Proc. Int'l Conference on Information Security, Springer International Publishing, 283–301, 2016.
- [12] R. Ghiya and L. J. Hendren. Is it a tree, a DAG, or a cyclic graph? a shape analysis for heapdirected pointers in c. Proc. 23rd ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'96), 1-15, LNCS 1174, 1996.
- [13] M.C. Golumbic. Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs, Academic Press, New York (1980). Second edition, Annals of Discrete Math. 57, Elsevier, 2004.
- [14] D. Grover. The Protection of Computer Software - Its Technology and Applications. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
- [15] M.S. Hecht and J.D. Ullman. Flow graph reducibility. SIAM J. Computing 1, 188–202, 1972.
- [16] M.S. Hecht and J.D. Ullman. Characterizations of reducible flow graphs. Journal of the ACM 21, 367–375, 1974.
- [17] S.A. Moskowitz and M. Cooperman. Method for stegacipher protection of computer code. US Patent 5.745.569, 1996.
- [18] A. Mpanti, S.D. Nikolopoulos and M. Rini. Experimental Study of the Resilience of a Graph-based Watermarking System under Edge Modifications. Proc. 21st Pan-Hellenic Conference on Informatics (p. 34), ACM, 2017.
- [19] A. Mpanti and S.D. Nikolopoulos. Graph-structured watermarking using bitonic sequences of self-inverting permutations, Proc. 20th Pan-Hellenic Conference on Informatics (PCI'16), ACM Digital Lib, Article No. 13, 2016.
- [20] G. Myles and C. Collberg. Software watermarking via opaque predicates: Implementation, analysis, and attacks. Electronic Commerce Research 6, 155–171, 2006.
- [21] P. Samson. Apparatus and method for serializing and validating copies of computer software. US Patent 5.287.408, 1994.
- [22] H. Tamada, M. Nakamura, A. Monden, and K. Matsumoto. Design and evaluation of birthmarks for detecting theft of Java programs. Proc. Int'l Conference on Software Engineering (SE'04), 569–575, 2004.
- [23] R. Venkatesan, V. Vazirani, and S. Sinha. A graph theoretic approach to software watermarking. Proc. 4th Int'l Workshop on Information Hiding (IH'01), LNCS 2137, 157–168, 2001.
- [24] L. Zhang, Y. Yang, X. Niu, and S. Niu. A survey on software watermarking. Journal of Software 14, 268–277, 2003.
- [25] W. Zhu, C. Thomborson, and F.Y. Wang. A survey of software watermarking. Proc. IEEE Int'l Conference on Intelligence and Security Informatics (ISI'05), LNCS 3495, 454–458, 2005.