# SCHUR'S COLOURING THEOREM FOR NON-COMMUTING PAIRS

TOM SANDERS

ABSTRACT. For G a finite non-Abelian group we write c(G) for the probability that two randomly chosen elements commute and k(G) for the largest integer such that any k(G)colouring of G is guaranteed to contain a monochromatic quadruple (x, y, xy, yx) with  $xy \neq yx$ . We show that  $c(G) \to 0$  if and only if  $k(G) \to \infty$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Our starting point is Schur's theorem [Sch16, Hilffssatz], the proof of which adapts to give the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that G is a finite group and C is a cover of G of size k. Then there is a set  $A \in C$  with at least  $c_k |G|^2$  triples  $(x, y, xy) \in A^3$  where  $c_k$  is a constant depending only on k.

The proof is a routine adaptation, but we shall not give it as the result as stated also follows from our next theorem.

If G is non-Abelian then we might like to ask for quadruples  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  instead of triples and establishing the following such result (which we do in §2) is the main purpose of the paper.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that G is a finite group and C is a cover of G of size k. Then there is a set  $A \in C$  with  $c_k |G|^2$  quadruples  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  where  $c_k$  is a constant depending only on k.

When G is non-Abelian we should like to ensure that at least one of the quadruples found in Theorem 1.2 has  $xy \neq yx$ , and to this end we define the **commuting probability** of a finite group G to be

(1.1) 
$$c(G) := \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{x,y \in G} \mathbf{1}_{[xy=yx]};$$

in words it is the probability that a pair  $(x, y) \in G^2$  chosen uniformly at random has xy = yx. There are many nice results about the commuting probability – see the introduction to [Heg13] for details – and it is an instructive exercise (see [Gus73]) to check that if c(G) < 1 then  $c(G) \leq \frac{5}{8}$ , so that if a group is non-Abelian there are 'many' pairs that do not commute. Despite this we have the following which we prove in §3.

**Proposition 1.3.** Suppose that G is a finite group and  $c(G) \ge \epsilon$ . Then there is a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of G of size  $\exp((2 + o_{\epsilon \to 0}(1))\epsilon^{-1}\log\epsilon^{-1})$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  then xy = yx.

#### TOM SANDERS

If G is non-Abelian we write k(G) for the **non-commuting Schur number** of G, that is the largest natural number such that for any cover C of G of size k(G) there is some  $A \in C$  and  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  with  $xy \neq yx$ . (Note that since G is assumed non-Abelian we certainly have  $k(G) \ge 1$ .)

The number k(G) has been studied for a range of specific groups by McCutcheon in [McC] and we direct the interested reader there for examples and further questions.

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose that  $(G_n)_n$  is a sequence of non-Abelian groups. Then  $c(G_n) \to 0$  if and only if  $k(G_n) \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* The right to left implication follows immediately from Proposition 1.3. We can assume that  $c_k$  is monotonically decreasing. Suppose that  $c(G_n) \to 0$  and there is a  $k_0$  and an infinite set S of naturals such that  $k(G_n) < k_0$  for all  $n \in S$ . Let  $n \in S$  be such that  $c(G_n) < c_{k_0}$  which can be done since  $c(G_n) \to 0$  and  $c_{k_0} > 0$ .

Since  $k(G_n) < k_0$  there is a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $G_n$  of size  $k_0$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  then xy = yx. By Theorem 1.2 there is  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  for at least  $c_{k_0}|G_n|^2$  quadruples. But then by design xy = yx for all these pairs and so  $c(G_n) \ge c_{k_0}$ , a contradiction which proves the result.

Before closing the section we need to acknowledge our debt to previous work. In [McC12] McCutcheon proves that  $k(S_n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . A short calculation shows that  $c(S_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and the possibility of showing that  $k(G_n) \to \infty$  as  $c(G_n) \to 0$  is identified by Bergelson and Tao in the remarks after [BT14, Theorem 11]. Earlier, in [BT14, Footnote 4], they also observe the significance of Neumann's work [Neu89] which is the main idea behind the proof of Proposition 1.3.

Write D(G) for the smallest dimension of a non-trivial unitary representation of G.<sup>1</sup> In [BT14, Corollary 8] the authors show that  $k(G_n) \to \infty$  as  $D(G_n) \to \infty$ , and in fact go further proving a density result. For general finite groups there can be no density result; we refer the reader to the discussion after [BT14, Theorem 11] for more details.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is inspired by an attempt to translate the proof of [BM98, Theorem 3.4] into a combinatorial setting. There the authors use a recurrence theorem [BMZ97, Theorem 5.2]; in its place we use a version of the Ajtai-Szemerédi Corners Theorem [AS74] for finite groups. This was proved by Solymosi [Sol13, Theorem 2.1] using the triangle removal lemma.

**Theorem 2.1.** There is a function  $f_{\Delta} : (0,1] \to (0,1]$  such that if G is a finite group and  $\mathcal{A} \subset G^2$  has size at least  $\alpha |G|^2$  then

$$S(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{|G|^3} \sum_{x,y,z \in G} 1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) 1_{\mathcal{A}}(zx,y) 1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,yz) \ge f_{\Delta}(\alpha).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is called the quasirandomness of G in [BT14, Definition 1] following the work of Gowers [Gow08]

3

Proof. Following the proof of [Sol13, Theorem 2.1], form a tripartite graph with three copies of G as the vertex sets (call them  $V_1, V_2, V_3$ ) and joining  $(x, y) \in V_1 \times V_2$  if and only if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}; (y, w) \in V_2 \times V_3$  if and only if  $(y^{-1}w, y) \in \mathcal{A};$  and  $(x, w) \in V_1 \times V_3$  if and only if  $(x, wx^{-1}) \in \mathcal{A}$ . The map  $G^3 \to G^3; (x, y, w) \mapsto (x, y, y^{-1}wx^{-1})$  is a bijection and (x, y, w) is a triangle in this graph if and only if  $(x, y), (zx, y), (x, yz) \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $z = y^{-1}wx^{-1}$ .

It follows from [Tao06, Theorem 1.1] that one can remove at most  $3 \cdot o_{S(\mathcal{A})\to 0}(|G|^2) = o_{S(\mathcal{A})\to 0}(|G|^2)$  elements from  $\mathcal{A}$  to make the graph triangle-free. On the other hand if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}$  then (x, y, xy) is a triangle in the above graph, hence we must have removed all elements from  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha |G|^2 \leq o_{S(\mathcal{A})\to 0}(|G|^2)$  from which the result follows.

There are a number of subtleties around the extent to which one can replace, say, (zx, y) with (xz, y), and we refer the reader to the papers of Solymosi [Sol13] and Austin [Aus16] for some discussion.

We take the convention, as we can, that the function  $f_{\Delta}$  is monotonically increasing and  $f_{\Delta}(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \in (0, 1]$ . Even with Fox's work [Fox11] we only have  $f_{\Delta}(\alpha)^{-1} \leq T(O(\log \alpha^{-1}))$  in general. However, when G is Abelian much better bounds are known as a result of the beautiful arguments of Shkredov [Shk06b, Shk06a, Shk09]. It seems likely these could be adapted to give a bound with a tower of bounded height if the Fourier analysis is adapted to the non-Abelian setting in the same way as it is for Roth's theorem in [San17]. Doing so would give a quantitative version of [BT14, Theorem 10] (see [BT14, Remark 44]), but the improvement to Theorem 1.2 would only be to replace a wowzer-type function with a tower as we shall see shortly.

We shall prove the following proposition from which Theorem 1.2 follows immediately on inserting the bound for  $f_{\Delta}$  given by Theorem 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose G is a finite group and C is a cover of G of size k. Then there is a set  $A \in C$  with  $(g^{(k+1)}(1))^2 |G|^2$  quadruples  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  where  $g^{(k+1)}$  is the (k+1)-fold composition of g with itself, and  $g: (0,1] \to (0,1]; \alpha \mapsto (3k)^{-1} f_{\Delta}(\alpha^k)$ .

*Proof.* Write  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  for the sets in  $\mathcal{C}$  ordered so that they have density  $\alpha_1 \ge \ldots \ge \alpha_k$  respectively; since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover we have  $\alpha_1 \ge \frac{1}{k}$ . Let  $r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  be minimal such that

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{3}f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_r) \ge \alpha_{r+1}+\cdots+\alpha_k,$$

which is possible since the sum on the right is empty and so 0 when r = k. From minimality and the order of the  $\alpha_i$ s we have

$$\alpha_{i+1} > \frac{1}{3k} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_i)$$
 for all  $1 \le i \le r-1$ .

The function  $f_{\Delta}$  is monotonically increasing and  $f_{\Delta}(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \in (0, 1]$  so it follows from the above that  $\alpha_r \geq g^{(r)}(1) \geq g^{(k)}(1)$ .

Now, suppose that  $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in G$  and write

$$\mathcal{A}_i := \{ (x, y) \in G^2 : xs_i y \in A_i \} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{s_i \in G} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(x, y) = \alpha_i \text{ for all } x, y \in G \text{ and } \mathbb{1} \leq i \leq r,$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}_{s\in G^r} \left| \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_i \right| = \sum_{x,y\in G} \mathbb{E}_{s\in G^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(x,y) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r |G|^2.$$

By averaging we can pick some  $s \in G^r$  such that  $\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_i$  has  $|\mathcal{A}| \ge \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r |G|^2$ . By the definition of  $f_{\Delta}$  (from Theorem 2.1) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y,z\in G}1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)1_{\mathcal{A}}(zx,y)1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,yz) = S(\mathcal{A}) \ge f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_r);$$

write

$$Z := \left\{ z \in G : \mathbb{E}_{x,y \in G} 1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) 1_{\mathcal{A}}(zx,y) 1_{\mathcal{A}}(x,yz) \ge \frac{1}{3} f(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z) + \frac{1}{3} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r) \geq \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z \in G} \mathbb{1}_{Z \sqcup (G \setminus Z)}(z) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(zx,y) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,yz)$$
$$= S(\mathcal{A}) \geq f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r),$$

and hence  $\mathbb{P}(Z) \geq \frac{2}{3} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r)$ . But then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \setminus (A_{r+1} \cup \cdots \cup A_k)) \geq \frac{2}{3} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r) - (\alpha_{r+1} + \cdots + \alpha_k)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{3} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r)$$

by (2.1). Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i = G$  we conclude that there is some  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}((Z \setminus (A_{r+1} \cup \cdots \cup A_k)) \cap A_i) \ge \frac{1}{3r} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r).$$

If course  $(Z \setminus (A_{r+1} \cup \cdots \cup A_k)) \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $r < j \le k$  and so we may assume  $i \le r$ . Write  $Z' := (Z \setminus (A_{r+1} \cup \cdots \cup A_k)) \cap A_i$ . Since  $Z' \subset Z$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(x,y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(zx,y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(x,yz) \ge \mathbb{E}_{x,y} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(zx,y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,yz) \ge \frac{1}{3} f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r)$$

for all  $z \in Z'$ . On the other hand every  $z \in Z'$  has  $z \in A_i$  and so we conclude that there are at least

$$\frac{1}{3}f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_r)|G|^2\cdot\frac{1}{3r}f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_r)|G|$$

triples  $(x, y, z) \in G^3$  such that

$$z \in A_i, xs_iy \in A_i, zxs_iy \in A_i, \text{ and } xs_iyz \in A_i.$$

The map  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (xs_iy, z)$  has all fibres of size |G| and so there are at least

$$\frac{1}{9r}f_{\Delta}(\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_r)^2|G|^2 \ge (g(\alpha_r))^2|G|^2$$

pairs  $(a, b) \in G^2$  such that  $a, b, ab, ba \in A_i$ . This gives the result.

4

### 3. Proof of Proposition 1.3

The key idea comes from Neumann's theorem [Neu89, Theorem 1] which is already identified in [BT14, Footnote 4]. Neumann's theorem describes the structure of groups Gfor which  $c(G) \ge \epsilon$  – they are the groups containing normal subgroups  $K \le H \le G$  such that K and G/H have size  $O_{\epsilon}(1)$  and H/K is Abelian. Neumann's theorem was further developed in [Ebe15, Theorem 2.4], but both arguments provide a more detailed structure than we require.

We have made some effort to control the exponent; results such as [Ebe15, Lemma 2.1] or [Ols76, Theorem 2.2] could be used in place of Kemperman's Theorem in what follows at the possible expense of the 2 becoming slightly larger. Moving the  $2 + o_{\epsilon \to 0}(1)$  below 1 would require a slightly different approach as we normalise a subgroup of index around  $\epsilon^{-1}$  at a certain point which costs us a term of size  $\epsilon^{-1}$ !.

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.3). Suppose that G is a finite group and  $c(G) \ge \epsilon$ . Then there is a cover C of G of size  $\exp((2 + o_{\epsilon \to 0}(1))\epsilon^{-1}\log \epsilon^{-1})$  such that if  $A \in C$  and  $(x, y, xy, yx) \in A^4$  then xy = yx.

*Proof.* We work with the conjugation action of G on itself  $(i.e. (g, x) \mapsto g^{-1}xg)$ , and write  $x^G$  for the conjugacy class of x (the orbit of x under this action), and  $C_G(x)$  for the centre of x in G (the stabiliser of x under this action).

Let  $\eta, \nu \in (0, 1]$  be parameters (we shall take  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\eta = \epsilon/\log \epsilon^{-1}$ )) to be optimised later and put

$$X := \{ x \in G : |x^G| \le \eta^{-1} \}.$$

Then

$$\epsilon |G|^2 \leq |G|^2 \mathbb{P}(xy = yx) = \sum_x |C_G(x)| = |G| \sum_x \frac{1}{|x^G|} \leq \sum_{x \in X} |G| + \sum_{x \notin X} \eta |G|.$$

Writing  $\kappa := |X|/|G|$  we can rearrange the above to see that  $\kappa \ge (\epsilon - \eta)/(1 - \eta)$ .

Suppose that  $s\in\mathbb{N}$  is maximal such that

$$|\overbrace{X\cdots X}^{s \text{ times}}| \ge (1 + (1 - \nu)(s - 1)) |X|.$$

Certainly there is some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  since the inequality certainly holds for s = 1, and there is a maximal such with  $s \leq \frac{\kappa^{-1}-\nu}{1-\nu}$  since  $|X| \geq \kappa |G|$ .

Since  $1_G^G = \{1_G\}$  we have  $1_G \in X$  and  $1_G \in X \cdots X$  for any *s*-fold product. By Kemperman's Theorem [Kem56, Theorem 5] (also recorded on [Ols84, p111], and which despite the additive notation does not assume commutativity) it follows that there is some  $H \leq G$  such that

$$|\overbrace{X\cdots X}^{s+1 \text{ times}}| \ge |\overbrace{X\cdots X}^{s \text{ times}}| + |X| - |H| \text{ and } H \subset \overbrace{X\cdots X}^{s+1 \text{ times}}.$$

By maximality of s we know that

$$(1 + (1 - \nu)s)|X| > |\overbrace{X \cdots X}^{s+1 \text{ times}}| \ge (1 + (1 - \nu)(s - 1))|X| + |X| - |H|,$$

so that  $|H| > \nu |X|$ , and so  $|G/H| < \nu^{-1} \kappa^{-1}$ .

Let K be the kernel of the action of left multiplication by G on G/H *i.e.*  $K := \{x \in G : xgH = gH \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ . The action induces a homomorphism from G to Sym(G/H) so that by the First Isomorphism Theorem

$$K \lhd G$$
 and  $|G/K| \leq |\operatorname{Sym}(G/H)| \leq |G/H|!$ 

Each  $x \in H$  (and hence each  $x \in K$  since xH = H for such x) can be written as a product of s + 1 elements of X. Moreover, the function  $x \mapsto |x^G|$  is sub-multiplicative *i.e.*  $|(xy)^G| \leq |x^G||y^G|$  and so it follows that

$$|x^{G}| \leq \eta^{-(s+1)} \leq R := \left[\eta^{-\frac{\kappa^{-1}+1-2\nu}{1-\nu}}\right]$$
 for all  $x \in X^{s+1}$ ,

and in particular for all  $x \in K$ . Thus for each  $x \in K$  there is an injection  $\phi_{x^G} : x^G \to \{1, \ldots, R\}$ . With this notation we can define our covering: let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ \{ x \in K : \phi_{x^G}(x) = i \} : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant R \} \text{ and } \mathcal{C} := ((G/K) \setminus \{K\}) \cup \mathcal{S},$$

so that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a cover of K and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover of G. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}| &\leq |G/K| - 1 + |\mathcal{S}| \leq [\nu^{-1}\kappa^{-1}]! - 1 + R \\ &\leq \exp\left(\max\left\{\nu^{-1}\kappa^{-1}\log\nu^{-1}\kappa^{-1}, \frac{\kappa^{-1} + 1 - 2\nu}{1 - \nu}\log\eta^{-1}\right\} + O(1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Optimise this by taking  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\eta = \epsilon/\log \epsilon^{-1}$  as mentioned before so that  $\kappa \ge \epsilon(1 - o_{\epsilon \to 0}(1))$  and  $\log \eta^{-1} = (1 + o_{\epsilon \to 0}(1)) \log \epsilon^{-1}$ .

Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $x, y, xy, yx \in A$ . If  $A \in (G/K) \setminus \{K\}$  then xK = yK = xyK = yxK = A. Since  $K \lhd G$  we have xK = xyK = (xK)(yK) and so yK = K which is a contradiction. It follows that  $A \in S$  and hence  $x, y, xy, yx \in K$ . We conclude  $\phi_{(xy)^G}(xy) = \phi_{(yx)^G}(yx)$  but  $xy = y^{-1}(yx)y$  and so  $(xy)^G = (yx)^G$ . Since  $\phi_{(xy)^G}$  is an injection we conclude that xy = yx as required.

The result is proved.

### References

- [AS74] M. Ajtai and E. Szemerédi. Sets of lattice points that form squares. Stud. Sci.Math. Hungar., 9:9-11(1975),1974.URL no https://dcg.epfl.ch/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/AjtaiSzemeredi-1974-SetsOfLatticePointsThatFormNo
- [Aus16] T. Austin. Ajtai-Szemerédi theorems over quasirandom groups. In Recent trends in combinatorics, volume 159 of IMA Vol. Math. Appl., pages 453–484. Springer, [Cham], 2016, arXiv:1503.08746. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24298-9\_19.
- [BM98] V. Bergelson and R. McCutcheon. Recurrence for semigroup actions and a non-commutative Schur theorem. In Topological dynamics and applications (Minneapolis, MN, 1995), volume 215 of Contemp. Math., pages 205–222. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. doi:10.1090/conm/215/02942.
- [BMZ97] V. Bergelson, R. McCutcheon, and Q. Zhang. A Roth theorem for amenable groups. Amer. J. Math., 119(6):1173–1211, 1997. doi:10.1353/ajm.1997.0035.
- [BT14] V. Bergelson and T. C. Tao. Multiple recurrence in quasirandom groups. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 24(1):1–48, Feb 2014, arXiv:1211.6372. doi:10.1007/s00039-014-0252-0.

- [Ebe15] S. Eberhard. Commuting probabilities of finite groups. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 47(5):796-808, 2015, arXiv:1411.0848. doi:10.1112/blms/bdv050.
- [Fox11] J. Fox. A new proof of the graph removal lemma. Ann. of Math. (2), 174(1):561–579, 2011, arXiv:1006.1300. doi:10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.17.
- [Gow08] W. T. Gowers. Quasirandom groups. Comb. Probab. Comput., 17(3):363–387, 2008, arXiv:0710.3877. doi:10.1017/S0963548307008826.
- [Gus73] W. H. Gustafson. What is the probability that two group elements commute? The American Mathematical Monthly, 80(9):1031–1034, 1973. doi:10.2307/2318778.
- [Heg13] P. Hegarty. Limit points in the range of the commuting probability function on finite groups. J. Group Theory, 16(2):235-247, 2013, arXiv:1207.0760. doi:10.1515/jgt-2012-0040.
- [Kem56] J. Kemperman. complexes Η. В. On in $\mathbf{a}$ semigroup. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Ser. A., 59:247-254, 1956.URL Proc. http://www.math.haifa.ac.il/~seva/MathOverflow/OnComplexes.pdf.
- [McC] R. McCutcheon. Non-commutative Schur configurations in finite groups. URL http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.538.5511&rep=rep1&type=pdf.
- [McC12] R. McCutcheon. Monochromatic permutation quadruples—a Schur thing in  $S_n$ . The American Mathematical Monthly, 119(4):342–343, 2012. doi:10.4169/amer.math.monthly.119.04.342.
- [Neu89] P. M. Neuman. Two combinatorial problems in group theory. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 21(5):456–458, 1989. doi:10.1112/blms/21.5.456.
- [Ols84] J. E. Olson. On the sum of two sets in a group. Journal of Number Theory, 18(1):110 120, 1984. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(84)90047-7.
- [Ols76] J. E. Olson. Sums of sets of group elements. Acta Arith., 28(2):147–156, 1975/76. doi:10.4064/aa-28-2-147-156.
- [San17] T. Sanders. Solving  $xz = y^2$  in certain subsets of finite groups. Q. J. Math., 68(1):243–273, 2017, arXiv:1611.05632. doi:10.1093/qmath/haw056.
- [Sch16] I. Schur. Über die Kongruenz  $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$ . Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver., 25:114–117, 1916. URL http://eudml.org/doc/145475.
- [Shk06a] I. D. Shkredov. On a generalization of Szemerédi's theorem. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 93(3):723-760, 2006, arXiv:math/0503639. doi:10.1017/S0024611506015991.
- [Shk06b] I. D. Shkredov. On a problem of Gowers. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 70(2):179–221, 2006, arXiv:math/0405406. doi:10.1070/IM2006v070n02ABEH002316.
- [Shk09] I. D. Shkredov. On a two-dimensional analogue of Szemerédi's theorem in abelian groups. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 73(5):181–224, 2009, arXiv:0705.0451. doi:10.1070/IM2009v073n05ABEH002472.
- [Sol13] J. Solymosi. Roth-type theorems in finite groups. European J. Combin., 34(8):1454–1458, 2013, arXiv:1201.3670. doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2013.05.027.
- [Tao06] T. C. Tao. A variant of the hypergraph removal lemma. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 113(7):1257–1280, 2006, arXiv:math/0503572. doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2005.11.006.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, RADCLIFFE OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOOD-STOCK ROAD, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address*: tom.sanders@maths.ox.ac.uk