

Lepton Flavor Violation and Collider Searches in a Type I + II Seesaw Model

Manoel M. Ferreira,^a Tessio B. de Melo,^{b,c} Sergey Kovalenko,^d Paulo R. D. Pinheiro,^e Farinaldo S. Queiroz^b

^aDepartamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Campus Universitario do Bacanga, São Luís - MA, 65080-805 - Brazil

^bInternational Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Campus Universitario, Lagoa Nova, Natal-RN 59078-970, Brazil

^cDepartamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa-PB, Brazil

^dUniversidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria and Centro Cientifico-Tecnologico de Valparaiso Casilla 110-V, Valparaiso, Chile

^eCoordenadoria Interdisciplinar de Ciência e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, 65080-805, São Luís - Maranhão, Brazil

E-mail: farinaldo.queiroz@iip.ufrn.br

Abstract. Neutrino are massless in the Standard Model. The most popular mechanism to generate neutrino masses are the type I and type II seesaw, where right-handed neutrinos and a scalar triplet are augmented to the Standard Model, respectively. In this work, we discuss a model where a type I + II seesaw mechanism naturally arises via spontaneous symmetry breaking of an enlarged gauge group. Lepton flavor violation is a common feature in such setup and for this reason, we compute the model contribution to the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decays. Moreover, we explore the connection between the neutrino mass ordering and lepton flavor violation in perspective with the LHC, HL-LHC and HE-LHC sensitivities to the doubly charged scalar stemming from the Higgs triplet. Our results explicitly show the importance of searching for signs of lepton flavor violation in collider and muon decays. The conclusion about which probe yields stronger bounds depends strongly on the mass ordering adopted, the absolute neutrino masses and which much decay one considers. In the 1 – 5 TeV mass region of the doubly charged scalar, lepton flavor violation experiments and colliders offer orthogonal and complementary probes. Thus if a signal is observed in one of the two new physics searches, the other will be able to assess whether it stems from a seesaw framework.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Model with right-handed neutrinos and scalar sextet	3
2.1	Fermion Content	3
2.2	Fermion Masses	4
2.2.1	Charged Leptons Masses	4
2.2.2	Quarks Masses	5
2.2.3	Neutrino Masses- Type <i>I</i> + <i>II</i> Seesaw	6
2.3	Gauge Sector	8
3	Collider Bounds	9
3.1	Searches for Z' bosons	9
3.2	Searches for a Doubly Charged Scalar at the LHC	10
4	Lepton Flavor Violation	11
5	Conclusions	17
6	Acknowledgments	18
A	Appendix	18
A.1	Lepton Masses	18
A.2	Yukawa Interactions of Quarks	20
A.3	Scalar Sector	22
A.4	Charged Lepton Flavor Interactions	24
B	Derivation of the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay	25

1 Introduction

The observation of neutrino oscillations implies in non-zero neutrino masses. Their masses are much smaller than any other in the Standard Model (SM) spectrum. Several mechanism have surfaced trying to explain the smallness of the neutrino masses [1–5]. In the type I seesaw, the existence of heavy right-handed neutrinos is evoked, whereas in the type II seesaw a scalar triplet is added to the SM. This scalar triplet couples to the SM lepton doublets and features a neutral scalar that develops a small vacuum expectation value giving rise to tiny neutrino masses [6–10]. This mechanism can elegantly explain the masses of the three active neutrinos in the SM and lead to several phenomenological imprints in collider, low energy observables and leptogenesis [11, 12, 12–17].

On the other side, we do not know why we have three generations of fermions in the SM. Theoretically speaking, it would nice to have a model where these two problems are simultaneously addressed. Several models have been proposed where the number of generations is addressed by anomaly cancellation and asymptotic freedom arguments, and they are known as 3-3-1 models [18–20]. These models have an enlarged gauge sector, $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ (3-3-1 for short). Notice that $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ in the SM gives place to $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$. Therefore, the fermions will now be arranged in the fundamental representation of $SU(3)_L$, i.e. triplets. The same happens for scalars fields, also sorted in triplets in order to generate fermion masses. Several versions based on the 3-3-1 symmetry have been proposed in the literature where the replication of fermion generations are explained [21–25].

Not all of these realizations are capable of explaining neutrino masses at the same time, though. In this work, we focus on a model which can account for neutrino masses, known as *3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos* [20, 26–28]. Originally the model has only three scalar triplets. With scalar triplets one can generate two mass degenerate neutrinos and a massless one [29], which is in conflict with existing data [30]. The use of high dimensional effective operators has been put forth in the attempt to break the degeneracy [31, 32]. In this setup, the smallness of the neutrino masses and the oscillation pattern is not successfully explained. The most simple way to nicely solve this issue is by adding a scalar sextet [33, 34]. The interesting aspect of this scalar sextet is that after spontaneous symmetry breaking it breaks down to a scalar triplet, two doublet scalars and a scalar singlet field. The scalar triplet is exactly the one desired to perform the type II seesaw mechanism. Therefore, in this way, the type II seesaw arises as a result of the spontaneous symmetry breaking. Several phenomenological aspects of the *3-3-1 with right-handed neutrinos* have been explored in the past [35–40], but our work differs from those because,

- We explicitly compute the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decays;
- We explore their connection to neutrino mass ordering;

- We put our results into perspective with collider and lepton flavor violation bounds.

This work is structured as follows: In section II we briefly describe the model and explain how neutrino masses are incorporated, in section III we present the relevant collider bounds, in section IV we derive the lepton flavor violating muon decays and then draw our conclusions. In *Appendix*, we provide a details discussion of our reasoning and a general derivation of the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay.

2 Model with right-handed neutrinos and scalar sextet

There are several models based on the 3-3-1 gauge group. The model discussed here differs from the original proposal [18–20] because of the presence of three right-handed neutrinos. This model does not suffer from a Landau pole at the TeV scale as the previous version. For this reason, it has attracted lots of attention in the past decade [41–52]. In this section, we will briefly discuss the model to ease our reasoning. We start with the fermion content.

2.1 Fermion Content

In this model, the left-handed leptons are arranged in the fundamental representation of $SU(3)_L$, whereas right-handed leptons as a singlet, as follows,

$$\psi_{aL} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_a \\ e_a \\ \nu_a^c \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -1/3), \quad e_{aR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1), \quad (2.1)$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$ runs through the fermion generations. The numbers in parenthesis represent the quantum number of the fields under $SU(3)_C$, $SU(3)_L$ and $U(1)_N$, respectively. For instance, the ψ_{aL} is a singlet under $SU(3)_C$, triplet under $SU(3)_L$ and has a charge equal $-1/3$ under $U(1)_N$. Notice that the third component of the leptonic triplet is a right-handed neutrino since $(\nu_a^c)_L = \nu_R^c$, explaining why this model is known as *3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos*.

In a similar vein, the quarks are arranged as follows,

$$Q_{\alpha L} = \begin{pmatrix} d_\alpha \\ -u_\alpha \\ D_\alpha \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*, 0), \quad (2.2)$$

$$u_{\alpha R} \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \quad d_{\alpha R} \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad D_{\alpha R} \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{1}{3}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

$$Q_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ d_3 \\ U \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, 1/3), \quad (2.4)$$

$$u_{3R} \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \quad d_{3R} \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad U_R \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{2}{3}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2$. We highlight that the first two generations of quarks are placed in the anti-triplet representation of $SU(3)_L$. This has to be the case in order to cancel gauge anomalies. The quarks $D_{1,2}$ are down-type heavy quarks, in the sense, they have the same hypercharge as the SM quark down. A similar logic applies to the heavy up-quark U . These quarks have masses proportional to the scale of symmetry breaking of the 3-3-1 symmetry which should lie at several TeV to be consistent with the non-observation of such exotic quarks [53, 54]. The scale of symmetry breaking of the 3-3-1 symmetry will be kept sufficiently high to be consistent with this result. We will address the fermion masses below.

2.2 Fermion Masses

To generate fermion masses we need to invoke three scalar triplets of the following form,

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^0 \\ \chi_2^- \\ \chi_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -1/3), \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^0 \\ \eta_2^- \\ \eta_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -1/3), \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^+ \\ \rho_2^0 \\ \rho_3^+ \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 2/3). \quad (2.6)$$

These scalar triplets are sufficient to successfully yield masses to all fermions, except neutrinos. The spontaneous symmetry breaking goes as: first $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \xrightarrow{\langle \chi \rangle} SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, while $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \xrightarrow{\langle \eta \rangle, \langle \rho \rangle} U(1)_Q$. In other words, when χ develops vacuum expectation values the 3-3-1 symmetry is broken reproducing the SM gauge group, which then breaks into quantum electrodynamics after η and ρ acquire a vacuum expectation value. For the purpose of this work, it easier to work on the broken phase where,

$$\langle \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_\chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_\eta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_\rho \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7)$$

For simplicity we will adopt $v_\eta = v_\rho$. This assumption is typically made to simplify the diagonalization of the mass matrices involving the scalars [43]. Moreover, we take $v_\eta^2 + v_\rho^2 \sim 246^2 \text{GeV}^2$, this ought to be enforced to generate the correct masses for the W and Z bosons in the SM, as occurs in models with extra scalars contributing to gauge boson masses [55, 56].

We have explained in detail how each mass is generated in the *Appendix*, for this reason, in what follows we will just briefly consider each sector separately.

2.2.1 Charged Leptons Masses

The masses for the charged leptons are generated via the lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^l = h_{ab}^l \bar{\psi}_{aL} \rho e_{bR} + h.c.. \quad (2.8)$$

Notice that this term conserves lepton number. One possible term that one could write down is $\epsilon_{ijk} \bar{\psi}_{L_i}^c \psi_{L_j} \rho_k$, which does not conserve lepton flavor. The reason why we did not include this term in Eq.(2.8) is that there is a set of discrete symmetries that will invoke later on to prevent mixing between the SM quarks and the exotic ones. One of them requires $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$, which forbids the term above. However, we need to impose $e_R \rightarrow -e_R$ to engender masses for charged leptons. When the field ρ_2^0 develops a vacuum expectation value, v_ρ , we find,

$$m_l = h_{ab}^l \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

2.2.2 Quarks Masses

The quark masses could stem from two sources, one where flavor is conserved (LFC) and other where it is violated (LFV) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{LFC} = & h_{\alpha a}^u \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \rho^* u_{aR} + h_{\alpha a}^d \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta^* d_{aR} + h^U \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi U_R \\ & + h_a^d \bar{Q}_{3L} \rho d_{aR} + h_a^u \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta u_{aR} + h_{\alpha\beta}^D \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi^* D_{\beta R} + h.c., \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{LFV} = & s_a^u \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi u_{aR} + s_{\alpha a}^d \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi^* d_{\beta R} + s^U \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta U_R \\ & + s_{\alpha a}^D \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta^* D_{aR} + s_\alpha^D \bar{Q}_{3L} \rho D_{\alpha R} + s_\alpha^U \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \rho^* U_R + h.c.. \end{aligned}$$

Nevertheless, the LFV lagrangian is problematic because it induces mixing between the SM quarks and the exotic ones. This mixing could alter the properties of the SM quarks. Thus we need to eliminate them. To do so, we invoke a set of Z_2 symmetries where some fields are odd under: $u_{aR} \rightarrow -u_{aR}$, $d_{aR} \rightarrow -d_{aR}$, $D_{aR} \rightarrow -D_{aR}$, $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$, $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$. The remaining fields transform trivially. We emphasize that these discrete symmetries do not affect the other sectors of the model that is still capable of reproducing the SM features at low energy scales with no prejudice.

In summary, with these discrete symmetries the SM quarks get masses through Eq.(2.10) yielding,

$$m_{u,c} = \frac{-h_{11,22}^u v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_t = \frac{h_{33}^u v_\eta}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (2.11)$$

and,

$$m_{d,s} = \frac{h_{11,22}^d v_\eta}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_b = \frac{h_{33}^d v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is visible that their masses are proportional to v_η and v_ρ which are set at the electroweak scale to avoid flavor changing interactions and return the correct quark masses [45, 57–63].

Moreover, from Eq.(2.10) we find the exotic quarks masses,

$$m_U = \frac{h^U v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_D = \frac{h_{11,22}^D v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (2.13)$$

which are proportional to the scale of the 3-3-1 symmetry breaking, v_χ , assumed to be sufficiently high to be in agreement with null results from collider searches for exotic quarks [54, 64, 65]. We will now turn our attention to neutrino masses.

2.2.3 Neutrino Masses- Type I + II Seesaw

As far as neutrino masses are concerned, the scalar triplets do not suffice to successfully generate neutrino masses. Using Lie algebra one may notice that $\bar{\psi}_L^c \psi_L \sim (\mathbf{3}^* + \mathbf{6}, -2/3)$. Thus, one may generate neutrino masses via the scalar triplet ρ and a scalar sextet [20, 66, 67] with,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11}^0 & S_{12}^- & S_{13}^0 \\ S_{12}^- & S_{22}^- & S_{23}^- \\ S_{13}^0 & S_{23}^- & S_{33}^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}, -2/3). \quad (2.14)$$

However, the discrete symmetries mentioned previously prohibit the Yukawa term proportional to ρ and for this reason, only the scalar sextet contributes leading to,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^l = f_{ab}^\nu (\bar{\psi}_{aL})_m (\psi_{bL}^c)_n S_{mn} + h.c.. \quad (2.15)$$

Neutrino masses arise after the neutral scalars develop vacuum expectation value as follows,

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{s11} & 0 & v_{s13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_{s13} & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

Notice that v_{s11}, v_{s13} , and Λ are the vacuum expectation value of the neutral scalars in the sextet.

With this vacuum structure we generate a mass matrix of the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) M_\nu \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{bL}^c \\ \nu_{bR} \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \quad (2.17)$$

where M_ν ,

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_L & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.18)$$

with,

$$M_L = \sqrt{2} v_{s11} f^\nu, \quad (2.19)$$

$$M_D = \sqrt{2} v_{s13} f^\nu, \quad (2.20)$$

$$M_R = \sqrt{2} \Lambda f^\nu. \quad (2.21)$$

We remind the reader that M_ν is a 6×6 matrix and M_L, M_D and M_R as 3×3 matrices. After the diagonalization procedure we get for the active neutrinos,

$$(m_\nu)_{ab} = \sqrt{2} \left(v_{s_{11}} - \frac{v_{s_{13}}^2}{\Lambda} \right) (f^\nu)_{ab}, \quad (2.22)$$

and for the right-handed ones,

$$(M_{\nu_R})_{ab} = \sqrt{2} \Lambda (f^\nu)_{ab}. \quad (2.23)$$

It is good timing to highlight a few things:

(i) the mass ratio m_ν/m_{ν_R} is independent of the Yukawa coupling;

(ii) a scalar sextet breaks down to a triplet plus doublet plus singlet scalar, i.e. $\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$. In other words, the scalar sextet generates the triplet scalar used in the type II seesaw, the doublet scalar that induces Dirac masses, M_D , and a singlet scalar that yields right-handed Majorana masses, M_R . Therefore, the scalar sextet naturally gives rise to a type I +II seesaw mechanism via spontaneous symmetry breaking;

(iii) Setting $v_{s_{13}}^2/\Lambda \sim v_{s_{11}}$ the smallness of the active neutrino masses are justified by taking $v_{s_{11}}$ to be around 1 eV.

There are different possibilities to successfully generate neutrino masses. Since the vacuum expectation values of the fields in the scalar sextet are in principle arbitrary one can play with them and find different Yukawa couplings leading to the same neutrino masses. Be that as it may, one can draw important and insightful conclusions by adopting some simplifications. We will assume throughout that $v_{s_{13}}^2/\Lambda \sim v_{s_{11}}$ and $v_{s_{13}} = v_\rho = v_\eta$. Therefore, if we choose $v_{s_{11}} = 1$ eV this automatically translates into $\Lambda \sim 10^{13}$ GeV, and $v_{s_{11}} = 100$ eV yields $\Lambda \sim 10^{11}$ GeV. Later on, we will present several benchmark scenarios taking $v_{s_{11}} = 1$ eV and $v_{s_{11}} = 100$ eV to investigate the impact of the neutrino mass ordering on the lepton flavor violating muon decays. With this information, one can now have an estimate of the right-handed neutrino masses since they are proportional to Λ .

For simplicity we will adopt that $v_{s_{13}}^2/\Lambda \sim v_{s_{11}}$ so that $(m_\nu)_{ab} = \sqrt{2} f_{ab}^\nu$. In this way, we have a dominant type II seesaw mechanism with the neutrino masses governed by the vacuum expectation value of S_{11}^0 . One can think of it as the vacuum expectation value of the triplet under $SU(2)_L$ in the broken phase in the usual type II seesaw study.

Looking at Eq.(2.15) we notice that $S_{33}^0, S_{11}^0, S_{12}^-, S_{22}^{--}$ carry two units of lepton number and for this reason are called bileptons. The lepton flavor (number) is violated after the neutral components S_{11}^0 and S_{33}^0 acquire a vacuum expectation value. The

singly charged scalar in the scalar sextet will not mix with other singly charged scalars that do not carry lepton number. The presence of flavor violation will be explored in this work in the context of muon decays.

2.3 Gauge Sector

We turn our attention to the gauge sector. The main point is to show that there are new gauge bosons with masses are proportional to the 3-3-1 scale of symmetry breaking and they are subject to stringent collider bounds. Showing this in a pedagogical manner requires us to start with the covariant derivative of $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \varphi = \left[\partial_\mu - ig_L W_\mu^m \frac{\lambda^m}{2} - ig_N N_\varphi W_\mu^N \right] \varphi, \quad (2.24)$$

where g_L is the coupling constant of $SU_L(3)$ group, g_N is the coupling constant of $U(1)_N$, λ^m are the Gell-Mann Matrices with $m = 1, \dots, 8$, W_μ^m are the gauge bosons in the adjoint representation of $SU(3)_L$, W_μ^N is the gauge field associated to $U(1)_N$ and N_φ is the hypercharge associated to $U(1)_N$. Writing down the term proportional to λ^m one finds,

$$\frac{g_L}{2} W_\mu^m \lambda^m = \frac{g_L}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \left(W_\mu^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W_\mu^8 \right) & \sqrt{2} W_\mu^+ & \sqrt{2} U_\mu^0 \\ \sqrt{2} W_\mu^- & \frac{g_L}{2} \left(-W_\mu^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W_\mu^8 \right) & \sqrt{2} W_\mu^- \\ \sqrt{2} U_\mu^{0\dagger} & \sqrt{2} W_\mu^+ & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} W_\mu^8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.25)$$

where $W_\mu^\pm = (W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2) / \sqrt{2}$, $U_\mu^0 = (W_\mu^4 + iW_\mu^5) / \sqrt{2}$, $U_\mu^{0\dagger} = (W_\mu^4 - iW_\mu^5) / \sqrt{2}$, $W_\mu'^\pm = (W_\mu^6 \pm iW_\mu^7) / \sqrt{2}$.

Therefore, the *3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos* predicts the existence of new charged gauge bosons, W'^\pm , which are subject to intense searches at the LHC [68, 69], and exotic neutral gauge bosons U^0 and $U^{0\dagger}$ [70]. Moreover, from a combination of the W_8 , W_3 and W_N fields, we extract the SM photon and Z bosons, as well as a massive Z' field. It is clear the model add five new gauge bosons to the SM, as a direct result of the extended gauge sector which predicted $N^2 - 1$ bosons, where $N = 3$ in this case.

The masses of SM gauge bosons are slightly altered by the presence of the scalar sextet. This change is proportional to the vacuum expectation value v_{s11} which is meant to be small. A similar conclusion is found in the usual type II seesaw mechanism. The bound that rises from the ρ parameter enforces [55] at 3σ ,

$$v_{s1} \leq 2GeV, \quad (2.26)$$

The masses of the new gauge bosons are all proportional to the scale of symmetry breaking of the model. For a complete spectrum and equations of the gauge boson

masses, we refer to [43]. Although, some relations are quite useful to ease our reasoning. One can find that,

$$m_{Z'} \simeq 0.4v_\chi, \quad m_{W'} \simeq 0.32v_\chi \quad (2.27)$$

i.e. $m_{Z'} \simeq 1.25 m_{W'}$.

Hence, a lower mass bound on the Z' boson represents a direct lower mass bound on the W' , similarly to what occurs in the minimal left-right model [68, 69]. One may wonder about the existence of important limits related collider searches for the U^0 gauge boson. Although, its mass is identical to the W' which is subject to much more intensive searches. At the end of the day, the most important gauge boson as far as collider searches are concerned will be the Z' field, as we explain further.

3 Collider Bounds

3.1 Searches for Z' bosons

Collider searches for heavy Z' bosons are quite popular because they typically feature a clear signal. If they couple to fermions and have a narrow width, they give rise to pronounced bumps in the dilepton or dijet invariant mass [71]. If the couplings to leptons are not very suppressed, the use of dilepton data is more promising because it is subject to a smaller SM background. In this model, the Z' couplings to leptons are not small. Using LHC data at 13 TeV center-of-mass energy with 3.2 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity the authors in [72] placed a lower mass bound of 3 TeV. Later, in [73] this limit was improved using 36 fb^{-1} and 3 ab^{-1} of integrated luminosity finding,

$$m_{Z'} > 4 \text{ TeV} (\mathcal{L} = 36 \text{ fb}^{-1}), \quad m_{Z'} > 6.4 \text{ TeV} (\mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}). \quad (3.1)$$

We emphasize that this limit of 4 TeV relies on the dielectron plus dimuon data with invariant mass in the 500 – 6000 GeV mass range as recommended, with the cuts in transverse energy and momentum as recommended by ATLAS collaboration in [74, 75]. The projected bound of 6.4 TeV assumes a similar detector with the same trigger efficiency running at 14 TeV center-of-mass energy and with 3 ab^{-1} of integrated luminosity. This lower mass bound of 4 TeV is rather robust and important because the Z' is tied to the scale of symmetry breaking of the model. Since $m_{Z'} = 0.4v_\chi$ (see Eq.(2.27)), we automatically find $v_\chi > 10 \text{ TeV}$. Furthermore, using the mass relations between the W' and Z' bosons one finds a lower mass bound on the W' mass which is much restrictive than other [76, 77] on its mass. Anyway, the Z' bound aforementioned is the most relevant to our discussion. We highlight that this limit has nothing to do with lepton flavor violation, it is rather simply based on searches for heavy dilepton resonances. Therefore, they are not relevant to our discussion because the mass of the scalars that enter in the lepton flavor violation discussion are not much sensitive to the energy scale of 3-3-1 symmetry breaking. Nevertheless, we emphasize that our entire discussion of lepton flavor violation is fully consistent with these bounds on the Z' mass. Now we will address collider searches for lepton flavor violation in what follows.

3.2 Searches for a Doubly Charged Scalar at the LHC

The presence of a doubly charged scalar in the spectrum is typical signature of a type II seesaw mechanism [78–86]. Typically, this type II seesaw is realized by the addition of a scalar triplet. This popular extension triggered several phenomenological analyses. As we mentioned previously, the scalar triplet that arises after the spontaneous symmetry breaking is key to the type II seesaw mechanism in our model. We emphasize, however, that in our model we have a type I + II seesaw because we do also have Dirac neutrino masses. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, we can single out the Yukawa term involving the scalar triplet and SM particles which reads [55],

$$\mathcal{L} = f_{ab}^\nu \bar{L}_a^c \Delta^* L_b \quad (3.2)$$

where L is the SM lepton doublet with,

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} S^0 & S^- \\ S^- & S^{--} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $S^0 \equiv S_{11}^0$, $S^- \equiv S_{12}^-$, $S^{--} \equiv S_{22}^{--}$, as defined in Eq.(2.14).

The doubly charged scalar decay width into charged leptons is found to be [87],

$$\Gamma(S^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l_a^\pm l_b^\pm) = 1/(2\pi x) |f_{ab}^\nu|^2 m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^2, \quad (3.4)$$

where $x = 1$ for $i \neq j$ and $x = 2$ for $i = j$. Thus is clear that the branching ratio into charged leptons can change depending on the Yukawa couplings. Different choices lead to different branching ratios and consequently different lower mass bounds. The dominant decay mode determines cuts, detector efficiency, and backgrounds which the signal is subject to, and consequently yielding different lower mass bounds. Dielectron and dimuon channels offer a cleaner environment and thus yield stronger bounds. If lepton flavor violation is assumed the SM background is suppressed, which again strengthens the limits. This is reasoning behind the limits derived using LHC data.

We used the code *fastlim* described in [88], and adopted a parton distribution function at next-to-next leading [89, 90] in order to project the LHC sensitivity for a high-luminosity (HL) setup, following the recommendations presented in [91]. We stress that the HL-LHC limit refers to a detector similar to LHC running at 14 TeV with 3 ab^{-1} of integrated-luminosity. The High-Energy LHC configurations represents a 27 TeV colliding beam with 15 ab^{-1} of data. We highlight that these bounds are based on the simulated signal $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z, \gamma \rightarrow \phi^{++}\phi^{--}$ as outlined in [87], which features bounds stronger than previous previous studies [92–94]. In summary we derived,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{S^{\pm\pm}} &> 943 \text{ GeV (LHC)}, \\ m_{S^{\pm\pm}} &> 2.5 \text{ TeV (HL-LHC)} \\ m_{S^{\pm\pm}} &> 4.9 \text{ TeV (HE-LHC)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The latest LHC search for doubly charged scalars was with 12.9 fb^{-1} of data, but notice that with 36 fb^{-1} we expect LHC to already rule out doubly charged scalars with masses around 940 GeV, assuming a normal mass ordering for the active neutrinos. If we had considered an inverted mass ordering a weaker bound would have been found, lying around 900 GeV. This difference in the lower mass bound from LHC for normal and inverted ordering will not cause a meaningful impact on our conclusions and with this understanding in mind, we will simply quote those in Eq.(3.5). There are other important limits on this scenario [83, 95–98] but the ones we quote are the most relevant. For a more complete discussion of the collider bounds on the type II seesaw we refer to [99].

Moreover, it is exciting to see that HE-LHC can potentially probe doubly charged scalar with masses up to $\sim 5 \text{ TeV}$. These limits are quite important and serve as an orthogonal test to the type I + type II seesaw scenario we are investigating because that restricts the region in which the seesaw mechanism is viable. Having in mind that the doubly charged scalar is key to the lepton flavor violation observables we are about to discuss, such collider bounds stand as a complementary and important cross-check to lepton flavor violation signatures.

4 Lepton Flavor Violation

Lepton flavor violation is one of the most interesting probes of physics beyond the SM. The main lepton flavor violation signatures of the seesaw mechanism stem from muon decay namely, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$. There are other sources of lepton flavor violation such as $\mu - e$ conversion but they are subdominant [100–103]. Other lepton flavor violating decays involving the τ lepton are less promising, unless one invokes a mechanism to significantly suppress $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ [104–113]. Anyway, going back to the relevant muon decays, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$, one can check that the current bounds read $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$, $BR(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 10^{-12}$, and future experiments aim $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 4 \times 10^{-14}$, $BR(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 10^{-16}$. Therefore, we expect an important experimental improvement in the near future. Eventually, we will superimpose these limits with the model’s contribution. That said, having in mind that we have a dominant type II seesaw setup, the first contribution to $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ in our models stems from 1-loop processes involving the doubly and singly charged scalars which lead to,

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \simeq \frac{\alpha_{EM} |(f_{ab}^* f_{ab})_{e\mu}|^2}{192\pi G_F^2} \left(\frac{1}{m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^2} + \frac{8}{m_{S^\pm}^2} \right)^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where α_{EM} is the fine-structure constant, G_F the Fermi constant, $m_{S^{\pm\pm}}$ the mass of doubly charged scalar, m_{S^\pm} the mass of singly charged scalar. In what follows will assume that the doubly charged and singly charged scalar have the same mass. This assumption will allow us to connect $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ directly to $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ and LHC limits on the doubly charged scalar mass.

There is an additional source of lepton flavor violation that rises from charged current which reads,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{l} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) (\nu_R)^c W'^-, \quad (4.2)$$

where W' , is a charged gauge boson defined in Eq.(2.25) and has a mass equal to $0.3v_\chi$, v_χ being the energy scale of 3-3-1 symmetry breaking. This interaction results in the following branching ratio,

$$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = \frac{3(4\pi)^3 \alpha_{em}}{4G_F^2} (|A_{e\mu}^M|^2 + |A_{e\mu}^E|^2) \quad (4.3)$$

where,

$$A_{e\mu}^M = \frac{-1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_f \left(g_{v1}^{fe*} g_{v1}^{f\mu} I_{f,3}^{++} + g_{a1}^{fe*} g_{a1}^{f\mu} I_{f,3}^{+-} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$A_{e\mu}^E = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_f \left(g_{a1}^{fe*} g_{v1}^{f\mu} I_{f,3}^{-+} + g_{v1}^{fe*} g_{a1}^{f\mu} I_{f,3}^{--} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

with g_v, g_a being the couplings constants that encompass the constants in Eq.(4.2) and the neutrino mixing matrices, and $I_{f,3}^{\pm\pm}$ given by,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{f,3}^{(\pm)_1(\pm)_2} &\equiv I_{f,3} [m_i, (\pm)_1 m_j, (\pm)_2 m_{N_f}, m_W] \\ &= \int d^3\mathbf{X} \left[-xz m_i^2 - xy m_j^2 + (1-x)m_W^2 + x m_{N_f}^2 \right]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ -(\pm)_2 3(1-x) \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} + (y + 2z(1-x)) + (\pm)_1 \frac{m_j}{m_i} (z + 2y(1-x)) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{m_i^2}{m_W^2} \left[x \left((\pm)_1 (1-y) \frac{m_j}{m_i} - z \right) \left(z + (\pm)_1 y \frac{m_j}{m_i} + (\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} \right) \left((\pm)_1 y \frac{m_j}{m_i} - (1-z) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + xy \left(1 - (\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} \right) \left(\frac{m_j^2}{m_i^2} (1-y) - z \right) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + xz \left(+(\pm)_1 \frac{m_j}{m_i} - (\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} \right) \left((1-z) - y \frac{m_j^2}{m_i^2} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + m_W^{-2} \left[x(1-z) (\pm)_1 x(1-y) \frac{m_j}{m_i} - (\pm)_2 x \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} + y \left(1 - (\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + z \left((\pm)_1 \frac{m_j}{m_i} - (\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - m_W^{-2} \left[(1-3x) \left((\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} - (1-z) - (\pm)_1 (1-y) \frac{m_j}{m_i} \right) - xz - (\pm)_1 xy \frac{m_j}{m_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left((\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} - 1 \right) (1-3y) \left((\pm)_2 \frac{m_{N_f}}{m_i} - (\pm)_1 \frac{m_j}{m_i} \right) (1+3z) \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \log \left(\frac{m_W^2}{-xz m_i^2 - xy m_j^2 + (1-x)m_W^2 + x m_{N_f}^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

As one can see, it is not obvious the computation of the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay in our model. In the regime which the right-handed neutrinos have identical masses to the W' , the prediction for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is greatly simplified yielding,

$$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 1.6 \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_{W'}} \right)^4 |g^{\nu_{R}e*} g^{\nu_{R}\mu}|^2 \quad (4.7)$$

where $g^{\nu_{R}e} = g/(2\sqrt{2})U^{\nu_{R}e}$ and $g^{\nu_{R}\mu} = g/(2\sqrt{2})U^{\nu_{R}\mu}$.

Keeping right-handed neutrino masses similar to the W' mass and around the weak scale one can have a visible $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay, but if right-handed neutrino masses are much larger than the TeV scale, one can plugging the numbers in Eq.(4.3) using Eq.(4.4)-(4.6) to show that $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 10^{-15}$, which is beyond reach current and projected experiments. On the other hand, even in this scenario where right-handed neutrinos are very heavy, the $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ can be large due to the presence of a type II seesaw mechanism which features doubly charged and singly charged scalars contributions.

Bearing in mind that the right-handed neutrinos in our model will be heavy, and one can neglect the W' contribution to the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay and focus on the seesaw component. That said, another important observable is the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay [73]. Since only the doubly charged Higgs contributes to this decay the calculation is simpler and leads to,

$$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow 3e) = \frac{|f_{ee}^{\nu\dagger} f_{\mu e}^{\nu}|^2}{G_F^2 m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4}. \quad (4.8)$$

On one hand we can see that the Yukawa couplings f_{ab}^{ν} dictate the lepton flavor violation observables, but on the other hand these Yukawa couplings enter in the neutrino mass matrix. Therefore, neutrino masses and lepton flavor violation observables are correlated.

Going back to Eq.(2.22), if $v_{s13}^2/\Lambda \sim v_{s11}$ as we will assume throughout, we have a dominant type II seesaw setup with the active neutrino masses set by vacuum expectation value, v_{s11} , i.e. $(m_{\nu})_{ab} \simeq \sqrt{2}f_{ab}^{\nu}v_{s11}$. The picture is not so simple because neutrinos oscillate and we need to reproduce the oscillation pattern. Therefore, these Yukawa couplings are found to be [101],

$$f_{ab}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}v_{s11}} (U^* \text{diag}(m_{\nu 1}, m_{\nu 2}, m_{\nu 3}) U^{\dagger})_{ab} \quad (4.9)$$

where U is the Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata (PMNS) neutrino mixing matrix of dimension 3×3 , parametrized as follows [30],

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13} e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.10)$$

Parameter	Best – fit	Hierarchy
Δm_{21}^2	$7.37 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$	Any
Δm_{31}^2	$2.56 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$	Normal
Δm_{23}^2	$2.56 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$	Inverted
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.297	Any
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.425	Normal
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.589	Inverted
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.0215	Normal
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.0216	Inverted

(4.11)

Table 1. Table with the best-fit parameters that enter in the neutrino mass mixing according to [115].

with the mixing parameters as shown in *Table 4*. [114],

In summary, one needs to incorporate neutrino oscillations to have more solid predictions for the lepton flavor violation observables. We will explore this fact by investigating several benchmark points which encompass normal and inverted mass ordering and different absolute neutrino masses. We will show that the neutrino mass spectrum is rather relevant to the overall lepton flavor violation signatures, a fact that has not been explored in detail in the context of 3-3-1 models. With this input from neutrino oscillations, our reasoning goes as follows:

- We choose a neutrino mass ordering;
- Then we pick a neutrino mass $m_{\nu 1}$, which then basically fixes $m_{\nu 2}$ and $m_{\nu 3}$, for a given vev , $v_{s11} = 1 - 100 \text{ eV}$. From this, we find the Yukawa couplings that reproduce this spectrum taking into account the oscillation patterns using Eq.(4.9);
- With these Yukawa couplings we use Eq.(4.1)-(4.8) to compute the lepton flavor violating muon decays.

In *Table 2* we summarize our findings using this logic. The table will allow the reader to easily follow our reasoning as we discuss the results in figure 1 where we display four of these benchmark points for $m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}$ and $m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}$ including normal and inverted mass ordering. The blue (red) lines are the model predictions for the muon decays for inverted (normal) neutrino mass hierarchies. The difference between the solid and dashed lines is the absolute mass for the neutrino flavor ν_1 . For instance, the red solid line in the *left-panel* of figure 1 accounts for the $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ for $m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}$ within a normal mass ordering. The gray region represents the region currently excluded by LHC based on the search for doubly charged scalars within a type II seesaw framework with normal mass ordering. The dashed and dotted vertical gray lines are the HL-LHC and HE-LHC projected exclusion limits. The black

Benchmark	Hierarchy	BR ($\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$)	BR($\mu \rightarrow 3e$)
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$	IO	$0.024/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$7.5/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 100 \text{ eV}$	IO	$2.4 \times 10^{-10}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$7.5 \times 10^{-8}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$	IO	$0.08/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$3.5/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 100 \text{ eV}$	IO	$8 \times 10^{-10}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$3.5 \times 10^{-8}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$	NO	$0.44/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$106/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 100 \text{ eV}$	NO	$4.4 \times 10^{-9}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$	NO	$0.06/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$2.5/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$
$m_{\nu 1} = 0.01 \text{ eV}, v_{s11} = 100 \text{ eV}$	NO	$6.2 \times 10^{-10}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$	$2.5 \times 10^{-8}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$

Table 2. Table with the theoretical predictions for the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay for the type $I + II$ seesaw setup encompassing normal (NO) and inverted (IO) mass ordering. We highlight that the mass of the doubly charged scalar is in GeV units. The neutrino masses in the IO scenario are given by $m_{\nu 2}^2 = m_{\nu 1}^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2$, $m_{\nu 3}^2 = m_{\nu 2}^2 - \Delta m_{23}^2$, whereas in NO case are $m_{\nu 2}^2 = m_{\nu 1}^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2$, $m_{\nu 3}^2 = m_{\nu 1}^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2$.

horizontal lines are the current and projected bounds on the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ (left-panel) and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ (right-panel) decays.

One can notice that the neutrino mass ordering has a great impact on the lepton flavor violating muon decays. Looking at the first benchmark scenario with $m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}$ and $v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$, which assumes an inverted mass ordering (IO) we get $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 0.024/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$, $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow 3e) = 7.5/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$. Having in mind that the current experimental limits, we conclude that we can probe doubly charged scalars with masses of 600 GeV and 1.3 TeV using from $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decays. It is exciting to see that in this setup $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ provides stronger bounds than the LHC.

However, the larger the vacuum v_{s11} the smaller the Yukawa couplings needed to reproduce the same neutrino masses. Hence, when we set $v_{s11} = 100 \text{ eV}$, the predictions change drastically to $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 2.4 \times 10^{-10}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$, $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow 3e) = 7.5 \times 10^{-8}/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$. For these scenarios where the vacuum is much larger than 1 eV, LHC constitute the best probe. For concreteness, in this second case described above, taking $m_{S^{\pm\pm}} = 1000 \text{ GeV}$, would lead to muon decays much smaller than current and projected sensitivity [73], making HL-LHC and HE-LHC the best laboratories, since HE-LHC will probe masses of about $\sim 5 \text{ TeV}$, for instance. All these conclusions are quite visible in figure 1.

Considering the normal mass ordering (NO) we conclude that the qualitative statements do not change. Taking $m_{\nu 1} = 0.1 \text{ eV}$, $v_{s11} = 1 \text{ eV}$, quantitatively we notice that the while the inverted hierarchy gives $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 0.024/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$ we find $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 0.44/m_{S^{\pm\pm}}^4$, which is a factor of 20 larger. A larger much decay into $3e$ is also found for the NO compared to the IO (see figure 1). An orthogonal way to look at this is by noticing that the region between the current and projected limits delimit a signal region of lepton flavor violation. Looking at both panels of figure 1 we conclude

that doubly charged scalars with masses around 1 – 2 TeV might be spotted at the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay, whereas the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ will be able to detect such scalars with masses of up to ~ 30 TeV. The experimental progress on the search for the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay is remarkable and it will surpass even the HE-LHC regardless of the mass ordering for benchmark scenarios where $v_{s11} \sim 1$ eV.

In the *left-panel* of figure 1 one can clearly see the impact of changing the value of the neutrino masses in the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay. This can be checked by comparing the ratio between the dashed blue and red lines with the solid blue and red lines. This is not true for the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay though (see *right-panel* of figure 1). Comparing the NO and IO predictions for $m_{\nu 1} = 0.01$ eV and $v_{s11} = 1$ eV, we find no much difference, this is because the Yukawa couplings relevant for this observable are similar regardless of the neutrino mass ordering. This would continue to be true if we had taken even smaller values for $m_{\nu 1}$ because when $m_{\nu 1}$ is sufficiently small, the measured mass differences of the neutrino flavors govern by the neutrino mixings and thus the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay. When we take $m_{\nu 1} = 0.1$ eV, then the difference in the predictions for NO and IO is noticeable. One can easily use *Table 2* and figure 1 to validate our conclusions .

We can conclude that regardless of the mass ordering and absolute value of the active neutrino masses that HE-LHC will solidly probe the type II seesaw model with respective to its contributions to the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay. Concerning the $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay the situation changes due to the fantastic experimental sensitivity aimed in the near future. The $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay will be able to probe this model for doubly charged scalar masses up to 30 TeV, which is way beyond HE-LHC reach, no matter the neutrino mass ordering. If the absolute neutrino masses are much smaller than 0.01 eV, then $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decay becomes smaller making HE-LHC still the best probe.

Our conclusions explicitly show the importance of searching for signs of lepton flavor violation in collider and muon decays. The conclusion about which probe yields stronger bounds depends strongly on the mass ordering adopted, the absolute neutrino masses and which much decay one considers. In the 1 – 5 TeV mass region of the doubly charged scalar lepton flavor violation experiments and colliders offer orthogonal and complementary probes. Thus if a signal is observed in one of the two new physics searches, the other will be able to assess whether it stems from a seesaw framework.

In summary, within the *3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos* a type I+II seesaw naturally emerges. In the scenario where we have a dominant type II seesaw, the model offers a clear prediction for the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ decays. One may wonder how one could discriminate our model from other type II seesaw proposal and a plausible answer would go as follows: setting aside the type II seesaw, our model predicts the existence of W' and Z' gauge bosons, as well as heavy exotic quarks. The detection of multiple signals consistent with all these particles could serve a discriminator and favor our models over others.




Figure 1. Figures showing the predictions for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ (*left-panel*) and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ (*right-panel*) decays in our model overlaid with the existing and projected bounds from collider and lepton flavor violation searches. We used the labels IO (NO) for inverted (normal) mass ordering for the neutrinos. LHC limit refers to the current LHC bound with 36 fb^{-1} of data. We also show the HL-LHC, and HE-LHC sensitivities which represent the LHC running with the $14 \text{ TeV} - 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ and $27 \text{ TeV} - 15 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ configurations. It is clear from the figures that the neutrino mass ordering has a great impact on the theoretical predictions for lepton flavor violation. See text for a detailed discussion.

5 Conclusions

We have discussed a model which promotes $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ to $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$. In this extended gauge sector, all fermions get masses via a spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism that encompasses three scalar triplets but neutrinos. A scalar sextet

is added to incorporate neutrino masses. After spontaneous symmetry breaking this scalar sextet breaks down to a doublet and scalar triplet, which play a role in the type I and type II seesaw mechanism. We focus on a scenario of type II seesaw dominance where the relevant lepton flavor violation observables namely, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$, are directly tied to neutrino mass ordering and collider bounds on the doubly charged scalar.

We have explicitly shown how the absolute mass scale and neutrino mass ordering change the model predictions for lepton flavor violation within the type II seesaw framework. Combining the LHC, HL-LHC, HE-LHC sensitivity to doubly charged scalars and the experimental sensitivity to these rare muon decays we concluded that for doubly charged scalar with masses around 1 – 5 TeV, these probes are rather complementary. Moreover, regardless of the mass ordering HE-LHC is expected to solidly test any possible signal seen in these muon decays.

One may wonder how one could discriminate our model from other types II seesaw proposals and a plausible answer would rely on the existence of W' and Z' gauge bosons, as well as heavy exotic quarks, all predicted in our model. The detection of multiple signals consistent with all these particles could serve a discriminator and favor our models over others.

6 Acknowledgments

The authors thank Diego Cogollo for discussions. MMF acknowledges financial support from CNPq grant 308933/2015-0, and from FAPEMA agency from the grants FAPEMA/UNIVERSAL/00880/15 and FAPEMA/PRONEX 01452-14. SK acknowledges support from Fondecyt (Chile) grant No. 1190845 and CONICYT (Chile) PIA/Basal FB0821. TM thanks CAPES for the fellowship. FSQ acknowledges support from CNPq grant 303817/2018-6, UFRN, MEC and ICTPSAIFR FAPESP grant 2016/01343-7.

A Appendix

In this appendix will describe in more detail and pedagogical manner some sections of the model which are relevant to our reasoning.

A.1 Lepton Masses

The leptons masses could in principle be generated via the Yukawa lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^l = h_{ab}^l \bar{\psi}_{aL} \rho e_{bR} + h_{ab}^\nu \bar{\psi}_{aL}^c \psi_{bL} \rho + f_{ab}^\nu (\bar{\psi}_{aL})_m (\psi_{bL}^c)_n S_{mn} + h.c., \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where h_{ab}^ν is an antisymmetric constant coupling matrix and f_{ab}^ν is a symmetric constant coupling matrix. The first and third terms conserve the lepton flavor, while the second

term violate it. Some the scalars inside the scalar sextet S do have lepton number, for this reason the third term conserves lepton number as well.

The second term is not problematic but will be removed because a set of discrete that will be invoked. This set of Z_2 symmetries are needed to avoid mixing between the SM quarks and the exotic ones. One of them requires $\rho \rightarrow \rho$, which forbids the second term above. Although, we also need to impose $e_R \rightarrow -e_R$ to generate masses for charged leptons.

In particular, the charged lepton masses arise from,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{mass}^l &= -h_{ab}^l \bar{\psi}_{aL} \rho e_{bR} + h.c. = -h_{ab}^l (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{e}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_\rho \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e_{bR} + h.c. \\ &= -h_{ab}^l \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{e}_{aL} e_{bR} + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In this way, in the flavor space the Dirac mass matrix for the charged leptons, at tree level, is given by

$$m_l = h_{ab}^l \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where v_ρ is the vacuum expectation value of the neutral scalar ρ_0 and h_{ab}^l is the coupling constant matrix.

The neutrino masses come from the first second term only when the neutral components of scalar sextet acquire a vacuum expectation value as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{mass}^{\nu S} &= -f_{ab}^\nu (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{e}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{s11} & 0 & v_{s13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_{s13} & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\nu_{bL})^c \\ (e_{bL})^c \\ [(\nu_b^c)_L]^c \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \\ &= -f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s11}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aL} (\nu_{bL})^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s13}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aL} [(\nu_b^c)_L]^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s13}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c (\nu_{bL})^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c [(\nu_b^c)_L]^c + h.c. \\ &= -f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s11}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aL} \nu_{bL}^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s13}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aL} \nu_{bR}^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{v_{s13}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c \nu_{bL}^c - f_{ab}^\nu \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c \nu_{bR}^c + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

We may arrange the mass terms as,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{mass}^{\nu S} &= -(\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} f_{ab}^\nu v_{s1} & f_{ab}^\nu v_{s3} \\ f_{ab}^\nu v_{s3} & f_{ab}^\nu \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{bL}^c \\ \nu_{bR}^c \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \\ &= -(\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_{s1} f_{ab}^\nu & v_{s3} f_{ab}^\nu \\ v_{s3} f_{ab}^\nu & \Lambda f_{ab}^\nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{bL}^c \\ \nu_{bR}^c \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} v_{s1} f_{ab}^\nu & \sqrt{2} v_{s3} f_{ab}^\nu \\ \sqrt{2} v_{s3} f_{ab}^\nu & \sqrt{2} \Lambda f_{ab}^\nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{bL}^c \\ \nu_{bR}^c \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \bar{\nu}_{aR}^c) M_\nu \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{bL}^c \\ \nu_{bR}^c \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

With this result one can now easily understand Eq.(2.17).

A.2 Yukawa Interactions of Quarks

We mentioned in the paper that we needed to invoke some discrete symmetries to prevent mixing between the SM and exotic quarks. We will explain this statement in more detail now.

The lepton number conserving terms in the renormalizable Yukawa Lagrangian for the quarks sector are

$$L_{LNC} = h_{\alpha a}^u \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \rho^* u_{aR} + h_{\alpha a}^d \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta^* d_{aR} + h^U \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi U_R + h_a^d \bar{Q}_{3L} \rho d_{aR} + h_a^u \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta u_{aR} + h_{\alpha\beta}^D \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi^* D_{\beta R} + h.c., \quad (\text{A.4})$$

while the lepton number violating terms of quarks are

$$L_{LNV} = s_a^u \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi u_{aR} + s_{\alpha a}^d \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi^* d_{\beta R} + s^U \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta U_R \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$+ s_{\alpha a}^D \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta^* D_{aR} + s_{\alpha}^D \bar{Q}_{3L} \rho D_{\alpha R} + s_{\alpha}^U \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \rho^* U_R + h.c., \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where h and s are constant couplings.

One might notice that the terms in Eq.(A.6) will give rise to mass mixing terms involving the SM and exotic quarks, which can be problematic because they will lead to changes in the properties of the SM quarks. Therefore, one needs to prevent that and to do so invoke some discrete symmetries. The discrete symmetries have to be such that keep all the desired mass terms for the SM quarks and neutrino masses but forbid these ones. The set of discrete symmetries is,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{aR} &\rightarrow -e_{aR}, \\ u_{aR} &\rightarrow -u_{aR}, \\ d_{aR} &\rightarrow -d_{aR}, \\ U_R &\rightarrow U_R, \\ D_{aR} &\rightarrow D_{aR}, \\ \eta &\rightarrow -\eta, \\ \rho &\rightarrow -\rho, \\ \chi &\rightarrow \chi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2$; $a = 1, 2, 3$.

From Eq. ((A.4)), after spontaneous symmetry breaking we find,

$$L_{mass}^Q = \frac{v_{\rho}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\alpha a}^u \bar{u}_{\alpha L} u_{aR} - \frac{v_{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\alpha a}^d \bar{d}_{\alpha L} d_{aR} - \frac{v_{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}} h_a^u \bar{u}_{3L} u_{aR} - \frac{v_{\rho}}{\sqrt{2}} h_a^u \bar{d}_{3L} d_{aR} - \frac{v_{\chi}}{\sqrt{2}} h_U \bar{U}_L U_R - \frac{v_{\chi}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\alpha\beta}^D \bar{D}_{\alpha L} D_{\beta R} + h.c.. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Thus, we can write the SM quark mass as follows,

$$L_{mass}^u = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}_{1L} \ \bar{u}_{2L} \ \bar{u}_{3L}) \begin{pmatrix} -v_{\rho} h_{11}^u & -v_{\rho} h_{12}^u & -v_{\rho} h_{13}^u \\ -v_{\rho} h_{21}^u & -v_{\rho} h_{22}^u & -v_{\rho} h_{23}^u \\ v_{\eta} h_{31}^u & v_{\eta} h_{32}^u & v_{\eta} h_{33}^u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1R} \\ u_{2R} \\ u_{3R} \end{pmatrix} + h.c., \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which leads to,

$$M_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -v_\rho h_{11}^u & -v_\rho h_{12}^u & -v_\rho h_{13}^u \\ -v_\rho h_{21}^u & -v_\rho h_{22}^u & -v_\rho h_{23}^u \\ v_\eta h_{31}^u & v_\eta h_{32}^u & v_\eta h_{33}^u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Similarly, for the down-type quarks we get,

$$L_{mass}^d = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{d}_{1L} \bar{d}_{2L} \bar{d}_{3L}) \begin{pmatrix} v_\eta h_{11}^d & v_\eta h_{12}^d & v_\eta h_{13}^d \\ v_\eta h_{21}^d & v_\eta h_{22}^d & v_\eta h_{23}^d \\ v_\rho h_{31}^d & v_\rho h_{32}^d & v_\rho h_{33}^d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{1R} \\ d_{2R} \\ d_{3R} \end{pmatrix} + h.c., \quad (\text{A.10})$$

with,

$$M_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_\eta h_{11}^d & v_\eta h_{12}^d & v_\eta h_{13}^d \\ v_\eta h_{21}^d & v_\eta h_{22}^d & v_\eta h_{23}^d \\ v_\rho h_{31}^d & v_\rho h_{32}^d & v_\rho h_{33}^d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

We can see that the the type-up and type-down quarks are associated with the VEVs v_η and v_ρ , related to the electroweak scale.

From the Eq. (A.7), we can write the U extra quark mass term

$$M_U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_\chi h_U. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

From the Eq. (A.7), we can write the D extra quark mass lagrangian

$$L_{mass}^D = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{D}_{1L} \bar{D}_{2L}) \begin{pmatrix} v_\chi h_{11}^D & v_\chi h_{12}^D \\ v_\chi h_{21}^D & v_\chi h_{22}^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_{1R} \\ D_{2R} \end{pmatrix} + h.c..$$

The D quark mass matrix in the basis (D_1, D_2) is

$$M_D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_\chi h_{11}^D & v_\chi h_{12}^D \\ v_\chi h_{21}^D & v_\chi h_{22}^D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that the masses of D quarks will depend the VEV v_χ , related to the TeV-scale.

A.3 Scalar Sector

Considering the three scalar fields (χ, η, ρ) , the Higgs potential more general, renormalizable and invariant on the $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ symmetry group is

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\eta, \chi, \rho) = & \mu_\chi^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + \mu_\eta^2 \eta^\dagger \eta + \mu_\rho^2 \rho^\dagger \rho \\
& + \lambda_1 (\chi^\dagger \chi)^2 + \lambda_2 (\eta^\dagger \eta)^2 + \lambda_3 (\rho^\dagger \rho)^2 \\
& + \lambda_4 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_5 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\rho^\dagger \rho) \\
& + \lambda_6 (\eta^\dagger \eta) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_7 (\chi^\dagger \eta) (\eta^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_8 (\chi^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_9 (\eta^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \eta) \\
& - \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon^{ijk} \eta_i \rho_j \chi_k + h.c. \\
& + \mu_4^2 (\chi^\dagger \eta + \eta^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_{10} (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\chi^\dagger \eta + \eta^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_{11} (\eta^\dagger \eta) (\chi^\dagger \eta + \eta^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_{12} (\rho^\dagger \rho) (\chi^\dagger \eta + \eta^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_{13} [(\chi^\dagger \eta) (\chi^\dagger \eta) + (\eta^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \chi)] \\
& + \lambda_{14} [(\rho^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \rho) + (\rho^\dagger \eta) (\chi^\dagger \eta)].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

where μ_i are constants, λ_i and f are constant couplings. Furthermore, the additional terms of potential with sextet scalar, as combinations with the others scalar triplets, are

$$\begin{aligned}
V_S = & \mu_S^2 Tr(S^\dagger S) + \lambda_{10} Tr[(S^\dagger S)^2] + \lambda_{11} [Tr(S^\dagger S)]^2 \\
& + [\lambda_{15} (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{16} (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{17} (\chi^\dagger \chi)] Tr(S^\dagger S) \\
& + \lambda_{18} (\chi^\dagger \eta + \eta^\dagger \chi) Tr(S^\dagger S) \\
& + \lambda_S (\varepsilon^{ijk} \varepsilon^{imn} \rho_n \rho_k S_{li} S_{mj} + h.c.) + \lambda_{19} (\chi^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_{20} (\eta^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{21} (\rho^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \rho) \\
& + \lambda_{22} (\varepsilon^{ijk} \eta_m^* S_{mi} \rho_j \chi_k + h.c.) + \lambda_{23} (\varepsilon^{ijk} \chi_m^* S_{mi} \rho_j \eta_k + h.c.) \\
& + M_1 \eta^T S^\dagger \eta + M_2 \chi^T S^\dagger \chi + M_3 \eta^\dagger S \eta^* + M_4 \chi^\dagger S \chi^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

The permitted terms of the scalar potential by the discrete symmetry are

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(\eta, \chi, \rho, S) = & \mu_\chi^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + \mu_\eta^2 \eta^\dagger \eta + \mu_\rho^2 \rho^\dagger \rho \\
& + \lambda_1 (\chi^\dagger \chi)^2 + \lambda_2 (\eta^\dagger \eta)^2 + \lambda_3 (\rho^\dagger \rho)^2 \\
& + \lambda_4 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_5 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\rho^\dagger \rho) \\
& + \lambda_6 (\eta^\dagger \eta) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_7 (\chi^\dagger \eta) (\eta^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_8 (\chi^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_9 (\eta^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \eta) \\
& - \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon^{ijk} \eta_i \rho_j \chi_k + h.c. \\
& + \lambda_{13} [(\chi^\dagger \eta) (\chi^\dagger \eta) + (\eta^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \chi)] \\
& + \mu_S^2 Tr(S^\dagger S) + \lambda_{10} Tr[(S^\dagger S)^2] + \lambda_{11} [Tr(S^\dagger S)]^2 \\
& + [\lambda_{15} (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{16} (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{17} (\chi^\dagger \chi)] Tr(S^\dagger S) \\
& + \lambda_S (\varepsilon^{ijk} \varepsilon^{imn} \rho_n \rho_k S_{li} S_{mj} + h.c.) + \lambda_{19} (\chi^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \chi) \\
& + \lambda_{20} (\eta^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{21} (\rho^\dagger S) (S^\dagger \rho) \\
& + \lambda_{22} (\varepsilon^{ijk} \eta_m^* S_{mi} \rho_j \chi_k + h.c.) + \lambda_{23} (\varepsilon^{ijk} \chi_m^* S_{mi} \rho_j \eta_k + h.c.) \\
& + M_1 \eta^T S^\dagger \eta + M_2 \chi^T S^\dagger \chi + M_3 \eta^\dagger S \eta^* + M_4 \chi^\dagger S \chi^*. \tag{A.15}
\end{aligned}$$

In this model, the scalar triplets develop non-trivial vacuum expectation values (VEV), in order to engender correct spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), as written below

$$\langle \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_\chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_\eta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_\rho \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.16}$$

Observe that the scalar triplet χ develops VEV only on the third neutral component, while η develops VEV only on the first neutral component. The steps of symmetry breaking transition is given by $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \xrightarrow{(\chi)} SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, while $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \xrightarrow{(\eta), (\rho)} U(1)_Q$, i.e., the triplet χ develops VEV breaking the $3 - 3 - 1$ gauge symmetry to SM, while the triplets η and ρ develop VEV breaking the SM gauge symmetry to the QED (Quantum Electrodynamics).

Regarding the scalar sextet, the three neutral components develop VEVs in the following way:

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{s_1} & 0 & v_{s_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_{s_3} & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.17}$$

We will see that v_{s_1} , v_{s_3} and Λ are responsible for the mass for the left-handed neutrinos, while Λ is responsible for the right-handed neutrinos Dirac masses. After the SSB of $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ to $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, the scalar sextet results in a triplet plus a doublet and a singlet ($\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$), as follows

$$S \rightarrow S_{1(1,3,-2)} + S_{2(1,2,-1)} + S_{3(1,1,0)}, \tag{A.18}$$

where

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11}^0 & S_{12}^- \\ S_{12}^- & S_{22}^{--} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} S_{13}^0 \\ S_{23}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_3 = S_{33}^0. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

In this model, the lepton number distribution of the scalars is

$$L(\eta_3^0, S_{33}^0, \rho_3^+) = -2, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$L(\chi_1^0, \chi_2^-, S_{11}^0, S_{12}^-, S_{22}^{--}) = +2. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

We can see that S_{12}^- and S_{22}^{--} carry two lepton numbers. Both are essential in our analysis of charged lepton flavor violating decay of muon.

A.4 Charged Lepton Flavor Interactions

The Yukawa Lagrangian with charged lepton flavor violation (CLFV) is given by

$$L_{CLFV} \supset f_{ab}^\nu (\overline{\psi}_{aL}^c)_m (\psi_{bL})_n (S^*)_{mn} + h.c., \quad (\text{A.22})$$

where $a, b = 1, 2, 3$ indicates the lepton generations and $m, n = 1, 2, 3$ indicates the entries of the sextet, f_{ab} is symmetric. The CLFV interactions in the $\mu - e$ sector results from taking $a = 1, b = 2$ in the above equation,

$$f_{ab} (\overline{\psi}_{aL}^c)_m (\psi_{bL})_n S_{mn}^* \xrightarrow{a=1, b=2} f_{12} (\overline{e}_L)^c (\mu_L) S^{++}.$$

Using the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi^c)_L &= (\Psi_R)^c \\ (\Psi^c)_R &= (\Psi_L)^c \\ (\overline{\Psi}^c)_L &= (\overline{\Psi}_R)^c \\ (\overline{\Psi}^c)_R &= (\overline{\Psi}_L)^c. \end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12} (\overline{e}_L)^c (\mu_L) S^{++} &= f_{12} (\overline{e^c})_R (\mu_L) S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} [(e^c)_R]^\dagger \gamma^0 (\mu_L) S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) e^c \right]^\dagger \gamma^0 (\mu_L) S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) e^c \right]^\dagger \gamma^0 \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} (e^{c\dagger}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \gamma^0 \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} (e^{c\dagger} \gamma^0) \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu S^{++} \\ &= f_{12} \overline{e^c} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu S^{++} \\ f_{12} (\overline{e}_L)^c (\mu_L) S^{++} &= \frac{1}{2} f_{12} \overline{e^c} \mu S^{++} - \frac{1}{2} f_{12} \overline{e^c} \gamma_5 \mu S^{++}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

We see that these terms directly induce $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ lepton flavor violating decay mediated by the doubly charged scalar S^{++} . Proceeding in an analogous way, we can easily obtain the relevant CLFV terms mediated by the singly charged scalar S^+ .

B Derivation of the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay

The on-shell amplitude for the $l_j^- \rightarrow l_i^- \gamma$ process is written in the form

$$\mathcal{M} = e\varepsilon_\mu^* \bar{u}_i(p+q) [m_{l_j} i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where ε^μ is the polarization vector of the on-shell photon, e is the electric charge, u_i is the spinor of l_i^- , u_j is the spinor of l_j^- , m_{l_j} is the mass of l_j lepton, $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is the comutator of γ matrices, q is the photon 4-momentum, p is the l_j lepton 4-momentum, P_L is the chirality projector of the left-handed lepton, P_R is the chirality projection of the right-handed lepton, A_2^L and A_2^R are coupling constants.

The squared modulus of the amplitude is

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = e^2 \left\{ \varepsilon_\mu^* \bar{u}_i(p+q) [m_{l_j} i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}^\dagger \times \left\{ \varepsilon_\alpha^* \bar{u}_i(p+q) [m_{l_j} i\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$= e^2 m_{l_j}^2 \left\{ \varepsilon_\mu \bar{u}_i(p+q) [\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}^\dagger \times \left\{ \varepsilon_\alpha \bar{u}_i(p+q) [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Summing over the polarization states of the on-shell photon, we have the squared amplitude written in the form

$$\sum_\lambda |\mathcal{M}|^2 = e^2 m_{l_j}^2 \left(\sum_\lambda \varepsilon_\mu^\lambda \varepsilon_\alpha^{*\lambda} \right) \left\{ \bar{u}_i(p+q) [\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}^\dagger \times \left\{ \bar{u}_m(p+q) [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_n(p) \right\}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Using the completeness relation $\sum_\lambda \varepsilon_\mu^\lambda \varepsilon_\alpha^{*\lambda} = -g_{\mu\alpha}$, we get

$$\sum_\lambda |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} \left\{ \bar{u}_i(p+q) [\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_j(p) \right\}^\dagger \times \left\{ \bar{u}_m(p+q) [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] u_n(p) \right\}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

In order to obtain the squared amplitude explicitly, we need to calculate the term

$$[\bar{u}_i \Gamma^\mu u_j]^\dagger = u_j^\dagger \Gamma^{\dagger\mu} \gamma^0 u_i, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\dagger\mu} = (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)^\dagger q_\nu \sigma^{\dagger\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $P_L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ and $P_R = (1 + \gamma_5)/2$ are the chirality projectors.

By using the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{\dagger\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2}\gamma^0[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]\gamma^0, \\ &= \gamma^0\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^0,\end{aligned}\tag{B.8}$$

the Eq. (B.7) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\dagger\mu} &= (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)^\dagger q_\nu \gamma^0 \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0, \\ &= (A_2^{L*} P_L^\dagger + A_2^{R*} P_R^\dagger) q_\nu \gamma^0 \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0.\end{aligned}\tag{B.9}$$

Reminding that $P_L^\dagger = P_L$ and $P_R^\dagger = P_R$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\dagger\mu} &= (A_2^{L*} P_L + A_2^{R*} P_R) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0 \gamma^0, \\ &= (A_2^{L*} P_L + A_2^{R*} P_R) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned}\tag{B.10}$$

Then, we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_j \Gamma^{\dagger\mu} u_i &= u_j^\dagger (A_2^{L*} P_L + A_2^{R*} P_R) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0 u_i, \\ &= u_j^\dagger (A_2^{L*} P_L + A_2^{R*} P_R) q_\nu \gamma^0 \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_i.\end{aligned}\tag{B.11}$$

Given that $P_L \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 P_R$ and $P_R \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 P_L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_j \Gamma^{\dagger\mu} u_i &= u_j^\dagger \gamma^0 (A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_\nu q^\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_i, \\ &= \bar{u}_j (A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_i.\end{aligned}\tag{B.12}$$

Therefore the squared amplitude is written in the following form

$$\sum_\lambda |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} \left\{ \bar{u}_j [(A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}] u_i \right\} \left\{ \bar{u}_n (\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)) u_m \right\},\tag{B.13}$$

Summing over the spins of the fermions and writing the multiplication matrix in index form, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{spins} \sum_\lambda |\mathcal{M}|^2 &= -e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} \sum_{r,s} \left\{ \bar{u}_j^r [(A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}]_{ji} u_i^s \right\} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \bar{u}_n^s [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)]_{nm} u_m^r \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{B.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= -e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} \left(\sum_r u_m^r \bar{u}_j^r \right) \left(\sum_s u_i^s \bar{u}_n^s \right) \left\{ [(A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}]_{ji} \right\} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)]_{nm} \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{B.15}$$

Using the completeness relation

$$\sum_a u^a \bar{u}^a = \not{p} + m,\tag{B.16}$$

and doing the average of the initial spin of fermion, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} (\not{p}_1 + \not{q} + m_1)_{mj} (\not{p}_2 + m_2)_{in} \left\{ [(A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}]_{ji} \right\} \times \left\{ [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)]_{nm} \right\}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

We need to put back the equation above into normal matrix multiplication order

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} (\not{p}_1 + \not{q} + m_1)_{mj} \left\{ [(A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) q_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}]_{ji} \right\} (\not{p}_2 + m_2)_{in} \times \left\{ [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)]_{nm} \right\}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

We can write the equation above in terms of a trace

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} e^2 m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} q_{\nu} q_{\beta} \text{Tr} \left\{ [(\not{p}_1 + \not{q} + m_1) (A_2^{L*} P_R + A_2^{R*} P_L) \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\not{p}_2 + m_2)] \times [\sigma^{\alpha\beta} (A_2^L P_L + A_2^R P_R)] \right\}. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

Using $\{\gamma_5, \gamma^{\mu}\} = 0$ and $P_L^2 = P_L$, $P_R^2 = P_R$, $P_L P_R = P_R P_L = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = -\frac{e^2}{2} m_{l_j}^2 g_{\mu\alpha} q_{\nu} q_{\beta} \text{Tr} \left[(\not{p}_1 + \not{q} + m_1) \left(|A_2^L|^2 P_R + |A_2^R|^2 P_L \right) \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\not{p}_2 + m_2) \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \right]. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Let's calculate, ignoring m_1 , the following term

$$\begin{aligned} (\not{p}_1 + \not{q}) \left(|A_2^L|^2 P_R + |A_2^R|^2 P_L \right) &= (\not{p}_1 + \not{q}) |A_2^L|^2 \frac{(1 + \gamma_5)}{2} + (\not{p}_1 + \not{q}) |A_2^R|^2 \frac{(1 - \gamma_5)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\not{p}_1 |A_2^L|^2 + \not{p}_1 |A_2^L|^2 \gamma_5 + \not{p}_1 |A_2^R|^2 - \not{p}_1 |A_2^R|^2 \gamma_5 \right) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\not{q} |A_2^L|^2 + \not{q} |A_2^L|^2 \gamma_5 + \not{q} |A_2^R|^2 - \not{q} |A_2^R|^2 \gamma_5 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Now we need to calculate this equation below

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\not{p}_2 + m_2) \sigma^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] (\not{p}_2 + m_2) \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}], \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} (\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}) (\not{p}_2 + m_2) (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Let's calculate explicitly the equation above:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}) (\not{p}_2 + m_2) (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha}) \\ &= \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \not{p}_2 \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} + m_2 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \not{p}_2 \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \\ &\quad - m_2 \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \not{p}_2 \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha} - m_2 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha} \\ &\quad + \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \not{p}_2 \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha} + m_2 \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

Inserting the $g_{\mu\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\mu\alpha} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) (\not{p}_2 + m_2) (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha) \\
&= \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \not{p}_2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + m_2 \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \not{p}_2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \\
&\quad - m_2 \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \not{p}_2 \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha - m_2 \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha \\
&\quad + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \not{p}_2 \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + m_2 \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha \\
&= \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \not{p}_2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + m_2 \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \not{p}_2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \\
&\quad - m_2 \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \not{p}_2 \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha - m_2 \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha \\
&\quad + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \not{p}_2 \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + m_2 \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.24}$$

Writing $\not{p}_2 = p_{2\eta} \gamma^\eta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p_{2\eta} (\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\eta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha) \\
&\quad + m_2 (\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

Let's simplify the term above, using the contraction identities

$$\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha = 4, \tag{B.26}$$

$$\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha = -2\gamma^\mu, \tag{B.27}$$

$$\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha = -2\gamma^\mu, \tag{B.28}$$

$$\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha = 4g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{B.29}$$

$$\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\kappa \gamma^\alpha = -2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\kappa, \tag{B.30}$$

It leads us to the result

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{2\eta} (\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\eta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha) \\
&+ m_2 (\gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha) \\
&= p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g_{\eta\beta}) \\
&\quad + m_2 (-2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta} - 2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta), \\
&= p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g^{\eta\beta}) + m_2 (-8\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.31}$$

Now, plugging $g_{\mu\alpha}$ in the expression,

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\mu\alpha} (\not{p}_1 + \not{q}) \left(|A_2^L|^2 P_R + |A_2^R|^2 P_L \right) \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\not{p}_2 + m_2) \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \left[(\not{p}_1 |A_2^L|^2 + \not{p}_1 |A_2^L|^2 \gamma_5 + \not{p}_1 |A_2^R|^2 - \not{p}_1 |A_2^R|^2 \gamma_5) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\not{q} |A_2^L|^2 + \not{q} |A_2^L|^2 \gamma_5 + \not{q} |A_2^R|^2 - \not{q} |A_2^R|^2 \gamma_5) \right] \times \\
&\quad [p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g^{\eta\beta}) + m_2 (-8\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta})], \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} [\not{p}_1 (|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2) + \not{p}_1 (|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2) \gamma_5 \\
&\quad + \not{q} (|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2) + \not{q} (|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2) \gamma_5] \times \\
&\quad [p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g^{\eta\beta}) + m_2 (-8\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta})].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.32}$$

We can written the equation above as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{8} \left\{ \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right\} \left[p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g^{\eta\beta}) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] m_2 (-8\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta}) \\
&\quad + \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left[p_{2\eta} (4g^{\nu\eta} \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4\gamma^\nu g^{\eta\beta}) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] m_2 (-8\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta - 4g^{\nu\beta}). \tag{B.33}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{8} \left\{ 4 \left[\not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
&\quad - 4m_2 \left[\not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \\
&\quad + \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left(4p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + 4p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + 4p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \\
&\quad \left. - 4m_2 \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \right\}. \tag{B.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, in order to simplify the evaluation, let's calculate the term only with \not{p}_1 , as follows below

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - m_2 \left[\not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{p}_1 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \right\}, \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \gamma_5 \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \\
&\quad - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \\
&\quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \gamma_5 (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \right\}. \tag{B.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Let's calculate the term in the braces explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
\{ \dots \} &= \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \\
&\quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \gamma_5 \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \\
&\quad - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \\
&\quad - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{p}_1 \gamma_5 (2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta}) \}. \tag{B.36}
\end{aligned}$$

With $\not{p}_1 = p_{1\alpha}\gamma^\alpha$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) p_{1\alpha} \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu\right) \\
& + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) p_{1\alpha} \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu\right) \\
& - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) p_{1\alpha} \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha\right) \\
& - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) p_{1\alpha} \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5\right). \tag{B.37}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting in evidence $p_{1\alpha}$ in the equation above, it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& = p_{1\alpha} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu\right) \right. \\
& \quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu\right) \\
& \quad - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha\right) \\
& \quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5\right) \right\}. \tag{B.38}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& = p_{1\alpha} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) \left[p_2^\nu \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) + p_{2\eta} \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta) + p_2^\beta \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu)\right] \right. \\
& \quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) \left[p_2^\nu \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\beta) + p_{2\eta} \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta) + p_2^\beta \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu)\right] \\
& \quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) [2\text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta)] - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) [2\text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta)] \right\}. \tag{B.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that the trace of an odd product of γ_ν vanishes and using the trace identities,

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 4g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{B.40}$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_5) = 0, \tag{B.41}$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) = 4(g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha}), \tag{B.42}$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) = -4i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \tag{B.43}$$

we get the result,

$$\begin{aligned}
& = p_{1\alpha} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2\right) \left[4p_2^\nu g^{\alpha\beta} + 4p_{2\eta} (g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta}) + 4p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha}\right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2\right) [-4ip_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha}] \right\}. \tag{B.44}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the product of photon 4-momenta $q_\nu q_\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[4p_2^\nu g^{\alpha\beta} + 4p_{2\eta} \left(g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta} \right) + 4p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[-4ip_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} \right] \right\} \\
= & 4 \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_2^\nu g^{\alpha\beta} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \left(q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta} \right) + q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[-4iq_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} \right] \right\}. \tag{B.45}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the contractions in the equation above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
= & 4 \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[(p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) + ((p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) - (p_1 \cdot p_2) (q \cdot q) + (p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q)) + (p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[-4iq_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} \right] \right\}, \\
= & \{ 16 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[(p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) \right] - 4 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[i\varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} \right] \}. \tag{B.46}
\end{aligned}$$

Given that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} &= \varepsilon^{\beta\nu\eta\alpha} q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} = \varepsilon^{\eta\nu\beta\alpha} q_\beta q_\nu p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} = -\varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} \\
2\varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} p_{2\eta} &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_\nu q_\beta p_{1\alpha} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[4p_2^\nu g^{\alpha\beta} + 4p_{2\eta} \left(g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta} \right) + 4p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[-4p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha} \right] \right\} \\
= & 16 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[(p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) \right]. \tag{B.47}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously to what we calculated above, now we will proceed onto the evaluation of the term with \not{q} :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \\
& - m_2 \left[\not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) + \not{q} \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \gamma_5 \right] \left(2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \right), \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{q} \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{q} \gamma_5 \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{q} \left(2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \not{q} \gamma_5 \left(2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \right) \right\}. \tag{B.48}
\end{aligned}$$

With $\not{q} = q_\mu \gamma^\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q_\alpha \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left(p_2^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\beta + p_{2\eta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta + p_2^\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \right) \\
&\quad - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha \right) \\
&\quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left(2\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta + g^{\nu\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \right) \right\}. \tag{B.49}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace of the equation above

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q_\alpha \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[p^{2\nu} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) + p_{2\eta} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta) + p_2^\beta \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu) \right] \right. \\
&\quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[p^{2\nu} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\beta) + p_{2\eta} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta) + p_2^\beta \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu) \right] \\
&\quad \left. - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) [2\text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta)] - m_2 \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) [2\text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta)] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q_\alpha \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[p^{2\nu} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) + p_{2\eta} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta) + p_2^\beta \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) [p_{2\eta} \text{Tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\eta \gamma^\beta)] \right\}. \tag{B.50}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the trace identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q_\alpha \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[4p^{2\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} + 4p_{2\eta} (g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta}) + 4p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) [-4p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha}] \right\}. \tag{B.51}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the $q_\nu q_\beta$ in the equation above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha \left\{ \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \left[4p^{2\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} + 4p_{2\eta} (g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta}) + 4p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) [-4p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha}] \right\}, \\
&= 4 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) (q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p^{2\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} + q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\eta\beta} - q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\eta} g^{\nu\beta} \\
&\quad + q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_{2\eta} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\nu\eta} + q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_2^\beta g^{\nu\alpha}) + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) (-4q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha}), \\
&= 4 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) [(p_2 \cdot q) (q \cdot q) + (p_2 \cdot q) (q \cdot q) - (p_2 \cdot q) (q \cdot q) + (q \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q) + (q \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q)] \\
&\quad + \left(|A_2^L|^2 - |A_2^R|^2 \right) (-4q_\nu q_\beta q_\alpha p_{2\eta} \varepsilon^{\nu\eta\beta\alpha}), \tag{B.52}
\end{aligned}$$

where $q^\mu q_\mu = q \cdot q = q^2 = 0$ (for the case of on-shell photon).

Having in mind the results we obtain the following amplitude,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2, \\
&= \frac{1}{2} e^2 m_\mu^2 \times 16 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) [(p_1 \cdot q) (p_2 \cdot q)], \tag{B.53}
\end{aligned}$$

where $m_{i_j} = m_\mu$ (It's the mass of the decaying particle, i.e., the muon mass). This result is essential for the obtaining of the decay rate, since it depends on the spin-averaged squared amplitude.

In the centre of the mass of the system, the decaying particle is at rest, then $E = m_2 = m_\mu$ and $p_\mu = 0$ (3-momentum of the muon). The 3-momenta of the final state particles are $p_\gamma = -p^*$ and $p_e = p^*$. The 4-momentum of the μ^- , e^- and γ are respectively,

$$p_\mu = (m_\mu, 0, 0, 0), p_e = (E_e, 0, 0, -p^*), p_\gamma = q = (E_\gamma, 0, 0, p^*). \quad (\text{B.54})$$

Here we are using the notation $p^\alpha = p$ (for the contravariant 4-vector).

Taking $E_e = p^*$ and $E_\gamma = p^*$, we can written the 4-momenta relatives to the centre of mass frame as:

$$p_\mu = p_2 = (m_\mu, 0, 0, 0), p_e = p_1 = (p^*, 0, 0, -p^*), p_\gamma = q = (p^*, 0, 0, p^*). \quad (\text{B.55})$$

The magnitude of 3-momentum of the final-state particles (in the centre of mass frame) is

$$p^* = \frac{1}{2m_\mu} \sqrt{(m_\mu^2 - m_e^2)^2} \approx \frac{1}{2}m_\mu, \quad (\text{B.56})$$

where we consider $m_\mu \gg m_e$, i.e., we neglect the electron mass in relation to the muon mass.

In order to write the squared spin-averaged amplitude in a more simplified way, we can write the product of two contractions as,

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1 \cdot q)(p_2 \cdot q) &= \frac{1}{2}m_\mu^2 \times \frac{1}{2}m_\mu^2, \\ &= \frac{1}{4}m_\mu^4. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.57})$$

Substituting the result above in the Eq. (B.53), the squared amplitude (averaged over particle spin) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spins} \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}e^2m_\mu^2 \frac{1}{2} \times 16 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \frac{1}{4}m_\mu^4, \\ &= e^2m_\mu^6 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.58})$$

Having in hands the squared amplitude, we can obtain the decay rate of the charged lepton flavor violating process for the muon decay in electron plus one photon. For this, we can need to write the decay rate for the two-bodies decay, which is given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\gamma} = \frac{p^*}{32\pi^2 m_\mu^2} \int \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} d\Omega. \quad (\text{B.59})$$

Using Eq. (B.56) for p^* , the decay rate is

$$\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\gamma} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 m_\mu} \int |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 d\Omega. \quad (\text{B.60})$$

Now substituting the squared spin-averaged amplitude given in the Eq. (B.58), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\gamma} &= \frac{1}{64\pi^2 m_\mu} e^2 m_\mu^6 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) \int d\Omega, \\ &= \frac{1}{64\pi^2} e^2 m_\mu^5 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right) 4\pi, \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi} e^2 m_\mu^5 \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.61})$$

Therefore, the decay rate of the charged lepton flavor violating decay of the muon is

$$\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\gamma} = \frac{e^2 m_\mu^5}{16\pi} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right). \quad (\text{B.62})$$

Observe above that the rate decay of charged lepton flavor violating muon depends on the mass muon, the electric charge and it is proportional to the product of sum of the squares of A_2^L and A_2^R .

It's well known that the decay rate for the muon decay ($\mu \rightarrow e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu$), in the SM, is

$$\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu} = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3}, \quad (\text{B.63})$$

where G_F is Fermi constant and m_μ is the muon's mass. This rate decay of the muon does not violate the charged lepton flavor.

The branching ratio for the ($\mu \rightarrow e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu$) charged lepton flavor violating process is

$$\begin{aligned} BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\gamma}}{\Gamma_{\mu \rightarrow e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu}}, \\ &= \frac{\frac{e^2 m_\mu^5}{16\pi} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right)}{\frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.64})$$

$$= \frac{192e^2\pi^2}{16G_F^2} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right). \quad (\text{B.65})$$

Thus, given that $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ (in natural units), we have finally the branching ratio of the charged lepton flavor violating muon decay for the ($\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$) process

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha^2 (4\pi)^3}{4G_F^2} \left(|A_2^L|^2 + |A_2^R|^2 \right), \quad (\text{B.66})$$

where α is the electromagnetic fine-structure constant, G_F is the Fermi constant. Besides to $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay, this branching ratio can be used for others decay processes, as $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$.

It's important to point that the branching ratio, given by the Eq. (B.66), is very general, since we can use it for various models, for instance: supersymmetric and $3 - 3 - 1$ models. In fact, we need to obtain only $A_2^{L,R}$ for each model with its specific contributions related on the particle content.

References

- [1] P. Minkowski, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?, *Phys. Lett. B* **67** (1977) 421–428.
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 912. [[231\(1979\)](#)].
- [3] T. Yanagida, *Horizontal Symmetry and Masses of Neutrinos*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64** (1980) 1103.
- [4] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2227.
- [5] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Decay and Spontaneous Violation of Lepton Number*, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 774.
- [6] R. N. Mohapatra, A. Perez-Lorenzana, and C. A. de Sousa Pires, *Type II seesaw and a gauge model for the bimaximal mixing explanation of neutrino puzzles*, *Phys. Lett. B* **474** (2000) 355–360, [[hep-ph/9911395](#)].
- [7] J. C. Montero, C. A. de S. Pires, and V. Pleitez, *Neutrino masses through a type II seesaw mechanism at TeV scale*, *Phys. Lett. B* **502** (2001) 167–170, [[hep-ph/0011296](#)].
- [8] W. Rodejohann, *Type II seesaw mechanism, deviations from bimaximal neutrino mixing and leptogenesis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 073010, [[hep-ph/0403236](#)].
- [9] E. K. Akhmedov and M. Frigerio, *Interplay of type I and type II seesaw contributions to neutrino mass*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 043, [[hep-ph/0609046](#)].
- [10] I. Gogoladze, N. Okada, and Q. Shafi, *Higgs boson mass bounds in a type II seesaw model with triplet scalars*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 085005, [[0802.3257](#)].
- [11] N. Sahu and S. U. Sankar, *Bounds on neutrino masses from leptogenesis in type-II see-saw models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 013006, [[hep-ph/0406065](#)].
- [12] A. K. Sarma, H. Z. Devi, and N. N. Singh, *Type-II seesaw mass models and baryon asymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **765** (2007) 142–153, [[hep-ph/0612143](#)].
- [13] A. Melfo, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, and Y. Zhang, *Type II Seesaw at LHC: The Roadmap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 055018, [[1108.4416](#)].
- [14] A. E. CÃ¡rcamo HernÃ¡ndez, S. Kovalenko, H. N. Long, and I. Schmidt, *A variant of 3-3-1 model for the generation of the SM fermion mass and mixing pattern*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 144, [[1705.09169](#)].
- [15] A. H. Chan, H. Fritzsch, S. Luo, and Z.-z. Xing, *Deviations from Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing in Type-II Seesaw and Leptogenesis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 073009, [[0704.3153](#)].

- [16] P. Fileviez Perez, T. Han, G.-y. Huang, T. Li, and K. Wang, *Neutrino Masses and the CERN LHC: Testing Type II Seesaw*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 015018, [[0805.3536](#)].
- [17] E. K. Akhmedov and W. Rodejohann, *A Yukawa coupling parameterization for type I + II seesaw formula and applications to lepton flavor violation and leptogenesis*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 106, [[0803.2417](#)].
- [18] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, *$SU(3) \otimes U(1)$ model for electroweak interactions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 410–417, [[hep-ph/9206242](#)].
- [19] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, *Lepton masses in an $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 4158–4161, [[hep-ph/9207264](#)].
- [20] R. Foot, H. N. Long, and T. A. Tran, *$SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ and $SU(4)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge models with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994), no. 1 R34–R38, [[hep-ph/9402243](#)].
- [21] A. G. Dias, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, *3-3-1 models at electroweak scale*, *Phys. Lett. B* **637** (2006) 85–89, [[hep-ph/0511084](#)].
- [22] A. G. Dias, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, *Closing the $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ symmetry at electroweak scale*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 113004, [[hep-ph/0605051](#)].
- [23] A. G. Dias and V. Pleitez, *Stabilization of the Electroweak Scale in 3-3-1 Models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 056007, [[0908.2472](#)].
- [24] R. H. Benavides, W. A. Ponce, and Y. Giraldo, *$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ models with four families*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 013004, [[1006.3248](#)].
- [25] O. Rodríguez, R. H. Benavides, W. A. Ponce, and E. Rojas, *Flipped versions of the universal 3-3-1 and the left-right symmetric models in $[SU(3)]^3$: a comprehensive approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017), no. 1 014009, [[1605.00575](#)].
- [26] H. N. Long, *The 331 model with right handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 437–445, [[hep-ph/9504274](#)].
- [27] H. N. Long, *$SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ model for right-handed neutrino neutral currents*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 4691–4693, [[hep-ph/9607439](#)].
- [28] H. N. Long and T. Inami, *S, T, U parameters in $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)$ model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 075002, [[hep-ph/9902475](#)].
- [29] D. Chang and H. N. Long, *Interesting radiative patterns of neutrino mass in an $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 053006, [[hep-ph/0603098](#)].
- [30] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, M. Tanabashi *et. al.*, *Review of Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), no. 3 030001.
- [31] A. G. Dias, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *Naturally light right-handed neutrinos in a 3-3-1 model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **628** (2005) 85–92, [[hep-ph/0508186](#)].
- [32] F. Queiroz, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. R. da Silva, *A minimal 3-3-1 model with naturally sub-eV neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 065018, [[1003.1270](#)].
- [33] R. A. Diaz, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, *The Scalar sector of the $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 095009, [[hep-ph/0309280](#)].

- [34] D. Cogollo, H. Diniz, and C. A. de S. Pires, *keV right-handed neutrinos from type II seesaw mechanism in a 3-3-1 model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **677** (2009), no. 5 338–342, [[0903.0370](#)].
- [35] D. A. Gutierrez, W. A. Ponce, and L. A. Sanchez, *Phenomenology of the $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **46** (2006) 497–509, [[hep-ph/0411077](#)].
- [36] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, *Z and Z' decays with and without FCNC in 331 models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 035007, [[hep-ph/0510421](#)].
- [37] D. Cogollo, H. Diniz, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *Fermion family number and the Z-Z' mixing in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **23** (2009) 3405–3410, [[0709.2913](#)].
- [38] C. A. de S. Pires and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *Scalar Bilepton Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **0712** (2007) 012, [[0710.2104](#)].
- [39] E. Ramirez Barreto, Y. do Amaral Coutinho, and J. Sá Borges, *Extra neutral gauge boson from two versions of the 3-3-1 model in future linear colliders*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **50** (2007) 909–917, [[hep-ph/0703099](#)].
- [40] D. Cogollo, H. Diniz, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *The Seesaw mechanism at TeV scale in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 455–461, [[0806.3087](#)].
- [41] J. E. Cieza Montalvo, N. V. Cortez, J. Sá Borges, and M. D. Tonasse, *Searching for doubly charged Higgs bosons at the LHC in a 3-3-1 model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **756** (2006) 1–15, [[hep-ph/0606243](#)]. [Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B796,422(2008)].
- [42] R. H. Benavides, Y. Giraldo, and W. A. Ponce, *FCNC in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 113009, [[0911.3568](#)].
- [43] J. K. Mizukoshi, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *WIMPs in a 3-3-1 model with heavy Sterile neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 065024, [[1010.4097](#)].
- [44] J. D. Ruiz-Alvarez, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, D. Restrepo, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *On the Connection of Gamma-Rays, Dark Matter and Higgs Searches at LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 075011, [[1206.5779](#)].
- [45] D. Cogollo, A. V. de Andrade, F. S. Queiroz, and P. Rebello Teles, *Novel sources of Flavor Changed Neutral Currents in the 331_{RHN} model*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2029, [[1201.1268](#)].
- [46] Y. A. Coutinho, V. Salustino Guimarães, and A. A. Nepomuceno, *Bounds on Z' from 3-3-1 model at the LHC energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), no. 11 115014, [[1304.7907](#)].
- [47] C. Kelso, C. A. de S. Pires, S. Profumo, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *A 331 WIMP Dark Radiation Model*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014), no. 3 2797, [[1308.6630](#)].
- [48] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, *Fermion masses and mixings in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos based on the S_3 flavor symmetry*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016), no. 11 634, [[1309.6567](#)].

- [49] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, F. S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, *Phenomenology of the 3-3-1-1 model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014), no. 7 075021, [[1405.2591](#)].
- [50] C. Salazar, R. H. Benavides, W. A. Ponce, and E. Rojas, *LHC Constraints on 3-3-1 Models*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 096, [[1503.03519](#)].
- [51] F. S. Queiroz, C. Siqueira, and J. W. F. Valle, *Constraining Flavor Changing Interactions from LHC Run-2 Dilepton Bounds with Vector Mediators*, *Phys. Lett. B* **763** (2016) 269–274, [[1608.07295](#)].
- [52] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, H. N. Long, and V. V. Vien, *A 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos based on the $\Delta(27)$ family symmetry*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016), no. 5 242, [[1601.05062](#)].
- [53] Y. do Amaral Coutinho, P. P. Queiroz Filho, and M. D. Tonasse, *3-3-1 Exotic quark search at CERN LEP II and LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 115001, [[hep-ph/9907553](#)].
- [54] **ATLAS, CMS** Collaboration, L. Serkin, *Top quarks and exotics at ATLAS and CMS*, in *11th International Workshop on Top Quark Physics (TOP2018) Bad Neuenahr, Germany, September 16-21, 2018*, 2019. [1901.01765](#).
- [55] D. A. Camargo, A. G. Dias, T. B. de Melo, and F. S. Queiroz, *Neutrino Masses in a Two Higgs Doublet Model with a $U(1)$ Gauge Symmetry*, [1811.05488](#).
- [56] D. A. Camargo, M. D. Campos, T. B. de Melo, and F. S. Queiroz, *A Two Higgs Doublet Model for Dark Matter and Neutrino Masses*, [1901.05476](#).
- [57] J. A. Rodriguez and M. Sher, *FCNC and rare B decays in 3-3-1 models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 117702, [[hep-ph/0407248](#)].
- [58] P. T. Giang, L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, *Lepton-Flavor Violating Decays of Neutral Higgs to Muon and Tauon in Supersymmetric Economical 3-3-1 Model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **864** (2012) 85–112, [[1204.2902](#)].
- [59] D. Cogollo, F. S. Queiroz, and P. Vasconcelos, *Flavor Changing Neutral Current Processes in a Reduced Minimal Scalar Sector*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29** (2014), no. 32 1450173, [[1312.0304](#)].
- [60] A. C. B. Machado, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, *Flavor-changing neutral currents in the minimal 3-3-1 model revisited*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), no. 11 113002, [[1305.1921](#)].
- [61] S. M. Boucenna, J. W. F. Valle, and A. Vicente, *Predicting charged lepton flavor violation from 3-3-1 gauge symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015), no. 5 053001, [[1502.07546](#)].
- [62] V. V. Vien, *T_7 flavor symmetry scheme for understanding neutrino mass and mixing in 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29** (2014) 28, [[1508.02585](#)].
- [63] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, H. N. Long, and V. V. Vien, *The first $\Delta(27)$ flavor 3-3-1 model with low scale seesaw mechanism*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018), no. 10 804, [[1803.01636](#)].
- [64] B. A. Dobrescu and F. Yu, *Exotic Signals of Vectorlike Quarks*, *J. Phys. G* **45** (2018), no. 8 08LT01, [[1612.01909](#)].

- [65] M. Chala, *Direct bounds on heavy toplike quarks with standard and exotic decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), no. 1 015028, [[1705.03013](#)].
- [66] N. A. Ky and N. T. H. Van, *Scalar sextet in the 331 model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 115017, [[hep-ph/0512096](#)].
- [67] P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, *Neutrino masses and lepton flavor violation in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 057302, [[0801.4196](#)].
- [68] M. Lindner, F. S. Queiroz, and W. Rodejohann, *Dilepton bounds on left-right symmetry at the LHC run II and neutrinoless double beta decay*, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 190–195, [[1604.07419](#)].
- [69] M. Lindner, F. S. Queiroz, W. Rodejohann, and C. E. Yaguna, *Left-Right Symmetry and Lepton Number Violation at the Large Hadron Electron Collider*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 140, [[1604.08596](#)].
- [70] E. Ramirez Barreto, Y. A. Coutinho, and J. Sá Borges, *Neutral bilepton boson production in pp collisions from 3-3-1 model*, *Braz. J. Phys.* **38** (2008) 495–498.
- [71] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, *Dark Matter Complementarity and the Z Portal*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015), no. 8 083004, [[1501.03490](#)].
- [72] A. Alves, G. Arcadi, P. V. Dong, L. Duarte, F. S. Queiroz, and J. W. F. Valle, *Matter-parity as a residual gauge symmetry: Probing a theory of cosmological dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **772** (2017) 825–831, [[1612.04383](#)].
- [73] M. Lindner, M. Platscher, and F. S. Queiroz, *A Call for New Physics: The Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment and Lepton Flavor Violation*, *Phys. Rept.* **731** (2018) 1–82, [[1610.06587](#)].
- [74] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et. al.*, *Search for new phenomena in events containing a same-flavour opposite-sign dilepton pair, jets, and large missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017), no. 3 144, [[1611.05791](#)].
- [75] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et. al.*, *Search for new high-mass phenomena in the dilepton final state using 36 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 182, [[1707.02424](#)].
- [76] E. Ramirez Barreto, Y. A. Coutinho, and J. Sá Borges, *Charged Bilepton Pair Production at LHC Including Exotic Quark Contribution*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **810** (2009) 210–225, [[0811.0846](#)].
- [77] E. Ramirez Barreto, Y. A. Coutinho, and J. Sá Borges, *Vector- and Scalar-Bilepton Pair Production in Hadron Colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 075001, [[1103.1267](#)].
- [78] S. Kanemura, S. Moretti, Y. Mukai, R. Santos, and K. Yagyu, *Distinctive Higgs Signals of a Type II 2HDM at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 055017, [[0901.0204](#)].
- [79] S. K. Majee and N. Sahu, *Dilepton Signal of a Type-II Seesaw at CERN LHC: Reveals a TeV Scale B-L Symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 053007, [[1004.0841](#)].
- [80] Z.-L. Han, R. Ding, and Y. Liao, *LHC Phenomenology of Type II Seesaw: Nondegenerate Case*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 093006, [[1502.05242](#)].

- [81] C.-H. Chen and T. Nomura, *Search for a light-charged Higgs in a two-Higgs-doublet type II seesaw model at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **767** (2017) 443–449, [[1609.01874](#)].
- [82] D. K. Ghosh, N. Ghosh, I. Saha, and A. Shaw, *Revisiting the high-scale validity of the type II seesaw model with novel LHC signature*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), no. 11 115022, [[1711.06062](#)].
- [83] P. S. B. Dev, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and Y. Zhang, *Doubly-Charged Scalars in the Type-II Seesaw Mechanism: Fundamental Symmetry Tests and High-Energy Searches*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), no. 5 055013, [[1806.08499](#)].
- [84] T. Li, N. Okada, and Q. Shafi, *Type II seesaw mechanism with scalar dark matter in light of AMS-02, DAMPE, and Fermi-LAT data*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), no. 5 055002, [[1804.09835](#)].
- [85] T. Li, *Type II Seesaw and tau lepton at the HL-LHC, HE-LHC and FCC-hh*, *JHEP* **09** (2018) 079, [[1802.00945](#)].
- [86] B. A. Ouazghour, A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, M. Chabab, and L. Rahili, *Theory and Phenomenology of Two Higgs Doublet Type-II Seesaw Model at the LHC Run-2*, [[1812.07719](#)].
- [87] CMS Collaboration, C. Collaboration, *A search for doubly-charged Higgs boson production in three and four lepton final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, .
- [88] M. Papucci, K. Sakurai, A. Weiler, and L. Zeune, *Fastlim: a fast LHC limit calculator*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014), no. 11 3163, [[1402.0492](#)].
- [89] M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, R. van der Leeuw, M. Mangano, S. Padhi, T. Plehn, and X. Portell, *Supersymmetry production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, [[1206.2892](#)].
- [90] C. Borschensky, M. Krämer, A. Kulesza, M. Mangano, S. Padhi, T. Plehn, and X. Portell, *Squark and gluino production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13, 14, 33$ and 100 TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014), no. 12 3174, [[1407.5066](#)].
- [91] X. Cid Vidal *et. al.*, *Beyond the Standard Model Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC*, [[1812.07831](#)].
- [92] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et. al.*, *A search for a doubly-charged Higgs boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2189, [[1207.2666](#)].
- [93] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et. al.*, *Search for doubly charged Higgs boson production in multi-lepton final states with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018), no. 3 199, [[1710.09748](#)].
- [94] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et. al.*, *Search for doubly charged scalar bosons decaying into same-sign W boson pairs with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019), no. 1 58, [[1808.01899](#)].
- [95] P. S. B. Dev, C. M. Vila, and W. Rodejohann, *Naturalness in testable type II seesaw scenarios*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B921** (2017) 436–453, [[1703.00828](#)].
- [96] P. Agrawal, M. Mitra, S. Niyogi, S. Shil, and M. Spannowsky, *Probing the Type-II Seesaw Mechanism through the Production of Higgs Bosons at a Lepton Collider*, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 1 015024, [[1803.00677](#)].

- [97] Y. Du, A. Dunbrack, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and J.-H. Yu, *Type-II Seesaw Scalar Triplet Model at a 100 TeV pp Collider: Discovery and Higgs Portal Coupling Determination*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 101, [[1810.09450](#)].
- [98] P. S. B. Dev, S. Khan, M. Mitra, and S. K. Rai, *Doubly-charged Higgs Boson at Future Electron-Proton Collider*, [1903.01431](#).
- [99] R. Primulando, J. Julio, and P. Uttayarat, *Scalar phenomenology in type-II seesaw model*, [1903.02493](#).
- [100] O. Lychkovskiy, S. Blinnikov, and M. Vysotsky, *TeV-scale bileptons, see-saw type II and lepton flavor violation in core-collapse supernova*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **67** (2010) 213–227, [[0912.1395](#)].
- [101] D. N. Dinh, A. Ibarra, E. Molinaro, and S. T. Petcov, *The $\mu - e$ Conversion in Nuclei, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ Decays and TeV Scale See-Saw Scenarios of Neutrino Mass Generation*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 125, [[1205.4671](#)]. [Erratum: *JHEP*09,023(2013)].
- [102] A. Abada, M. E. Krauss, W. Porod, F. Staub, A. Vicente, and C. Weiland, *Lepton flavor violation in low-scale seesaw models: SUSY and non-SUSY contributions*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 048, [[1408.0138](#)].
- [103] X.-G. He, C.-J. Lee, J. Tandean, and Y.-J. Zheng, *Seesaw Models with Minimal Flavor Violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 7 076008, [[1411.6612](#)].
- [104] A. Matsuzaki and H. Tanaka, *Lepton Flavor Violating $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ Decay in Type-III Two Higgs Doublet Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 015006, [[0809.3072](#)].
- [105] A. G. Akeroyd, M. Aoki, and H. Sugiyama, *Lepton Flavour Violating Decays $\tau \rightarrow \bar{l}ll$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ in the Higgs Triplet Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 113010, [[0904.3640](#)].
- [106] A. Celis, V. Cirigliano, and E. Passemar, *Lepton flavor violation in the Higgs sector and the role of hadronic τ -lepton decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 013008, [[1309.3564](#)].
- [107] L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, *Lepton flavor violating processes $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu\tau$ in the Supersymmetric economical 3-3-1 model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 207–247, [[1301.4652](#)].
- [108] D. N. Dinh and S. T. Petcov, *Lepton Flavor Violating τ Decays in TeV Scale Type I See-Saw and Higgs Triplet Models*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 086, [[1308.4311](#)].
- [109] Y. Omura, E. Senaha, and K. Tobe, *τ - and μ -physics in a general two Higgs doublet model with $\mu - \tau$ flavor violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016), no. 5 055019, [[1511.08880](#)].
- [110] H. Zhou, R.-Y. Zhang, L. Han, W.-G. Ma, L. Guo, and C. Chen, *Searching for $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ lepton-flavor-violating decay at super charm-tau factory*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016), no. 8 421, [[1602.01181](#)].
- [111] C. O. Dib, T. Gutsche, S. G. Kovalenko, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and I. Schmidt, *Bounds on lepton flavor violating physics and decays of neutral mesons from $\tau(\mu) \rightarrow 3\ell, \ell\gamma\gamma$ -decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), no. 3 035020, [[1812.02638](#)].
- [112] G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López Castro, and P. Roig, *Flavor violating leptonic decays of τ and μ leptons in the Standard Model with massive neutrinos*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019), no. 1 84, [[1807.06050](#)].

- [113] Z. Calcuttawala, A. Kundu, S. Nandi, and S. Kumar Patra, *New physics with the lepton flavor violating decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), no. 9 095009, [[1802.09218](#)].
- [114] F. Capozzi, E. Di Valentino, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Melchiorri, and A. Palazzo, *Global constraints on absolute neutrino masses and their ordering*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017), no. 9 096014, [[1703.04471](#)].
- [115] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, C. Patrignani *et. al.*, *Review of Particle Physics*, *Chin. Phys. C* **40** (2016), no. 10 100001.