# Atmospheric Charged  $K/\pi$  Ratio and Measurement of Muon Annual Modulation with a Liquid Scintillation Detector at Soudan

C. Zhang<sup>1, 2</sup> and D.-M. Mei<sup>2, [∗](#page-0-0)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Natural Sciences Division, Mount Marty College, Yankton, South Dakota 57078, USA

 ${}^{2}$ Department of Physics, The University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota 57069

We report a measurement of muon annual modulation in a 12-liter liquid scintillation detector with a live-time of more than 4 years at the Soudan underground facility. Muon minimum ionization in the detector is identified by its observed pulse shape and large energy deposition. The measured muon rate in the detector is  $28.69\pm2.09$  muons per day with a modulation amplitude of  $26.6\pm1.0\%$ and a phase at Jul 22  $\pm$  36.2 days. This annual modulation is correlated with the variation of the effective atmospheric temperature in the stratosphere. The correlation coefficient,  $\alpha_T$ , is determined to be  $0.876 \pm 0.614$ . Correspondingly, a Geant4 simulation of the primary cosmic rays with energy up 100 TeV was implemented to study the correlation of the atmospheric charged kaon to pion  $(K/\pi)$  ratio and the muon annual modulation for muon energy greater than 0.5 TeV. We rule out that a  $K/\pi$  ratio, 0.1598, different from 0.12 (determined by MINOS), at the production point 30 km above the Earth surface in the stratosphere can induce muon annual modulation at the depth of Soudan.

PACS numbers: 25.30.Mr, 28.20-v, 29.25.Dz, 29.40.Mc

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Energetic muons produced by the decay processes of pions and kaons in the stratosphere can reach deep underground. Numerous underground detectors discovered the annual modulation of muon rates [\[1](#page-4-0)[–13\]](#page-4-1). This annual modulation is believed to be correlated with a slow temperature variation over seasons in the stratosphere where those muons are produced. Therefore, the muon flux underground has a dependency on the effective temperature of the stratosphere. An increase in the effective temperature in the stratosphere results in a lower density profile, which decreases the probability of pions and kaons interacting with the atmospheric particles. Consequently, more pions and kaons undergo decays, which increases the numbers of muons observed in a detector deep underground. Such a correlation between the measured muon flux underground and the effective temperature in the stratosphere was studied by several experiments such as AMANDA [\[7\]](#page-4-2), Borexino [\[8\]](#page-4-3), MACRO [\[6\]](#page-4-4) and MINOS [\[11\]](#page-4-5). It was suggested that measuring the correlation factor between the annual modulation observed in an underground detector and the temperature variation in the stratoshere can provide the information on the atmospheric charged kaon/pion  $(K/\pi)$  ratio [\[14\]](#page-4-6). This is particularly interesting for an underground site where the observed muons from primary cosmic rays have energies greater than 7 TeV, since the Large Hadron Collider [\[15\]](#page-4-7) only provides a collision energy of ∼7 TeV. Therefore, the phenomenon of the correlation between the muon annual modulation in an underground detector and the temperature variation in the stratosphere deserves more experimental and theoretical investigations to understand the

expected local behavior of the atmospheric temperature effect and the difference in the muon flux over a long period of time. Such a strong correlation over a long period of time indicates a stable atmospheric charged  $K/\pi$  ratio, which could shed light on the energies of the primary cosmic rays, and opens a new window for high energy cosmic ray astronomy.

To monitor the long term flux variation at a deep underground site, a liquid scintillation detector has been deployed at the Soudan underground facility (2100 m.w.e) and run there for over 4 years. It consists of a meter long and 5 inches in diameter aluminum tube, filled with 12 liters EJ-301 liquid scintillator. Two 5-inch Hamamatsu PMTs (R4144) are attached to both ends of the tube through Pyrex windows to collect the scintillation light. Detailed calibration procedures and techniques are discussed in Ref. [\[16,](#page-4-8) [17\]](#page-4-9). In a separate paper, we have reported the observation of annual modulation induced by  $\gamma$  rays from  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  reactions at the Soudan Underground Laboratory [\[18\]](#page-4-10). In this paper, the variation of the muon rate underground correlating with the modulation of atmospheric temperature is studied with both experimental data over 4 years and the Monte Carlo simulation of the primary protons with energies up to 100 TeV.

## II. THE VARIATION OF MUON RATE AT THE SOUDAN UNDERGROUND FACILITY

The experiment with a 12 liter liquid scintillation detector was conducted at the Soudan underground facility with a live-time of 982.1 days over 4 years. The detector is calibrated from 1 MeV to 20 MeV by using gamma ray sources  ${}^{22}$ Na (1.275 MeV), AmBe (4.4 MeV), and the minimum ionization peak from cosmic muons (20.4 MeV) [\[16\]](#page-4-8). The energy response to the entire energy range is

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Corresponding Author: Dongming.Mei@usd.edu



<span id="page-1-0"></span>FIG. 1: The full energy spectrum in a 12 liters liquid scintillation detector with a live-time of 982.1 days.

accumulated and shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) To maintain a stable energy scale over the entire experimental period, the peak position of the muon minimum ionization is closely monitored. Energies are re-calibrated on weekly basis according to the variation of the peak position from the muon minimum ionization along with time.

Muons detected in our detector are largely suppressed by the overburden of the rock. The variation of muon intensity is believed to correlated with the seasonal temperature variation in the stratosphere of atmosphere above the ground. An effective temperature  $T_{eff}$  is defined using a weighted average over the atmospheric depth[\[11\]](#page-4-5):

$$
T_{eff} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}XT(X)W(X)}{\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}XW(X)}.\tag{1}
$$

Where  $T(X)$  is atmospheric temperature in the stratosphere at a given atmospheric depth  $X$ , and the weight  $W(X)$  is the temperature dependence of the production of mesons and their decay into muons that can be observed in our detector. The variation of atmospheric temperature in the stratosphere results in a change of the air density. Consequently, the change of the air density modifies the ratio of meson decays to hadronic interaction and the hence changing the muon flux observed underground. An effective temperature coefficient  $\alpha_T$  can be defined as:

$$
\frac{\Delta I_{\mu}}{I_{\mu} > \Delta T_{eff}} = \alpha_T \frac{\Delta T_{eff}}{I_{eff} > \qquad (2)
$$

Figure [2](#page-1-1) shows the variation of amplitude along with time. The formula we use to determine the fractional modulation amplitude  $\delta I/\overline{I}$  and the period T is described in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2).

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
I = \overline{I} + \Delta I = \overline{I} + \delta I \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}(t - t_0)\right). \tag{3}
$$

where  $\overline{I}$  is the mean value and  $\delta I$  is the variation amplitude. The phase  $t_0$  is the time when the signal reaches its



<span id="page-1-1"></span>FIG. 2: The top plot shows the effective temperature variation of the atmosphere above the Soudan underground facility. The data points in the bottom plot represent the variation of muon flux along time.

maximum. The top plot in Figure [2](#page-1-1) represents the effective temperature variation of the atmosphere above the ground of the Soudan site. The atmospheric temperature data is obtained from Ref. [\[24\]](#page-4-11). A fixed period of 365.1 days is applied to fit the variation pattern. The fitted variation amplitude is found to be 27.6% with the phase at Jul  $12 \pm 3.4$  days. The bottom plot in Figure [2](#page-1-1) is the muon variation curve. Data points with energy greater than the muon minimum ionization peak are collected to avoid the gamma ray contamination and any potential energy shift. With the fixed period of 365.1 days, the fitted result gives the variation amplitude of 26.6% with the phase at Jul 22  $\pm$  36.2 days.

The correlation of the percentage variation in the observed muon rate  $\Delta I_{\mu}$  / <  $I_{\mu}$  > correlates with the change in effective temperature  $\Delta T_{eff}$  /  $\langle T_{eff} \rangle$  is shown in Figure [3.](#page-2-0) The fitting result determines the value of  $\alpha_T = 0.876 \pm 0.614$ . The large uncertainty associated with the value of  $\alpha_T$  measured in this work is governed by the limited statistical error per bin (4 days with 111 muons per bin).

Figure [4](#page-2-1) summarizes the measured values for  $\alpha_T$  from various underground depths. The reported values at different underground sites agree with the predicted  $\alpha_T$ (red curve in Figure [4\)](#page-2-1) well. Our detector is adjacent to the MINOS far detector at the same depth level of the Soudan underground facility. Both results show a good agreement with the prediction.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 3: The variation of muon rate  $\Delta I_{\mu}$  <  $I_{\mu}$  > as a function of  $\Delta T_{eff}$  / <  $T_{eff}$  >. The slope of the linear fit gives  $\alpha_T = 0.876 \pm 0.614.$ 



<span id="page-2-1"></span>FIG. 4: Measured of  $\alpha_T$  at various detector depth. The red line is the value predicted including muon production by pions and kaons. The dashed curves above and below stand for pions or kaons only, respectively.

## III. SIMULATION OF THE MUON RATE ANNUAL MODULATION WITH A GIVEN  $K/\pi$ RATIO

#### A. Simulation of muons from primary cosmic rays

As observed in Figure [2,](#page-1-1) the muon rate modulates over a year period. The correlation with the variation of temperature is demonstrated in Figure [3.](#page-2-0) In the summer time, the temperature in the stratosphere increases. As a result, the air density decreases. Therefore, more



<span id="page-2-2"></span>FIG. 5: Shown is the U.S. 1976 Atmospheric Model [\[27\]](#page-4-12) with 100 layers evenly divided for altitude ranging from 0 to 100 km.

mesons undergo decay processes to produce more energetic muons, which can be observed in a detector underground. In contrast to the summer, the temperature in the stratosphere decreases in the winter time, which increases the air density. Thus, more mesons can interact with air particles to produce muons with lower energies, which cannot reach a detector deep underground. This phenomenon is observed as the muon rate annual modulation in a detector underground. Since kaons  $(K^+$  and K<sup>-</sup> have a shorter half-life  $(12.4 \text{ ns})$  than pions  $(26 \text{ ns})$ for  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$ ) [\[25\]](#page-4-13), it is expected that this phenomenon is mainly due to the change of the fraction of pions that undergo decays with respect to the interactions with air particles [\[14\]](#page-4-6) in the stratosphere. Accordingly, the correlation between the observed muon rate annual modulation and the variation of temperature in the stratosphere is sensitive to the  $K/\pi$  ratio in the production place. As a result, measuring this correlation provides an indirect way to measure the  $K/\pi$  ratio induced by very high energy cosmic rays in the stratosphere.

The atmospheric  $K/\pi$  ratio was first measured using the MINOS-FD data in 2009 [\[11\]](#page-4-5). The measured  $\alpha_T$ ,  $0.873\pm0.009(\text{stat})\pm0.010(\text{syst})$  was used to determine the  $K/\pi$  ratio together with the theoretical prediction with large errors up to 40% [\[26\]](#page-4-14). The determined atmospheric  $K/\pi$  ratio is  $0.12^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ . To examine the correlation between the observed muon rate annual modulation and the variation of temperature in the stratosphere, a simulation is performed to reproduce the surface muons originating from primary cosmic rays.

Primary cosmic ray protons (only) are generated at the top surface 100 km above sea level. We cast the proton energy range from 1GeV to 100 TeV with the differential spectral index to be -2.7. The U.S. 1976 Atmosphere Model [\[27\]](#page-4-12) has been adopted to simulate the average air density and pressure change along with the altitude as shown in Figure [5.](#page-2-2) The seasonal air density variation from the Integrated Global Radiosonde Archive



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 6: Shown is the seasonal air density variation obtained from IGRA for the location close to Soudan.



<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIG. 7: Simulated muon energy spectrum at sea level compared with the measurement [\[29\]](#page-4-15).

(IGRA) for the location which is very close to Soudan [\[28\]](#page-4-16) is adopted in the simulation. As an example, we show the seasonal air density variation in the stratosphere at the level of 30 km above sea level in Figure [6.](#page-3-0) The Geant4 module physics QGSP BERT EMV with the step length 10 cm is applied in the simulation to reveal small perturbations of atmospheric weights caused by the variation of temperature.

#### B. Simulation results

Figure [7](#page-3-1) shows the simulated cosmic ray muon energy spectrum in comparison with the measurement [\[29\]](#page-4-15). Cosmic muons are secondary particles from cosmic ray air shower events. Muons reaching the sea level are collected and the simulated energy spectrum is compared with the experimental data (see FIG. [7\)](#page-3-1).

For our experimental setup, only those surface muons with energy greater than 500 GeV can reach the underground depth where our detector is located. The parent particle of those muons are cosmic ray  $k\pm$  and  $\pi\pm$ . The  $K/\pi$  with their energy greater than 500 GeV is counted as: 0.1598. The average energy conversion between the parent  $k\pm, \pi\pm$  and secondary muons are shown in FIG. [8.](#page-3-2) Most of decays of  $k\pm$  and  $\pi\pm$  are found to be around



<span id="page-3-2"></span>FIG. 8: The average energy conversion of parent  $\pi \pm, k \pm$ versus secondary muons.



<span id="page-3-3"></span>FIG. 9: The expected muon rate annual modulation for muon energy greater than 0.5 TeV. The modulation amplitude is only 0.42%, which is much less than the experimental measurement of 26.6%.

20∼30 km above the sea level.

With a  $K/\pi$  ratio of 0.1598 in the simulation and the seasonal air density variation shown in Figure [5,](#page-2-2) the muon rate annual modulation is not observed in the simulation for muons with energies up to 0.5 teV and 0.7 TeV as shown in Figure [9](#page-3-3) and Figure [10.](#page-4-17) The results from the simulation indicate that the  $K/\pi$  ratio of 0.1598 is not a correct ratio to generate the sufficient muon rate annual modulation observed in a detector at the Soudan underground facility.



<span id="page-4-17"></span>FIG. 10: The expected muon rate annual modulation for muon energy greater than 0.7 TeV. The modulation amplitude is only 0.15%, which is much less than the experimental measurement of 26.6%.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Our detector has been running at the Soudan underground facility (2100 m.w.e.) for over four years. Data analysis gives a muon flux  $I_u = (1.65 \pm 0.12) \times$  $10^{-7}$ cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. Seasonal modulation of muon rates are observed with the percentage amplitude of 26.6% and the phase to be Jul 22  $\pm$  36.2 days. The correlation between atmospheric temperature variations and the changes in the muon rates observed in our detector has been investigated. The temperature coefficient of  $\alpha_T = 0.876 \pm 0.614$ is found for the underground depth where our detector is located. This result is in good agreement with the measurement made by the MINOS-FD. The value of  $\alpha_T$ , 0.876, implies that the atmospheric  $K/\pi$  ratio is 0.12 in the stratosphere determined by the MINOS-FD. We rule out a different  $K/\pi$  ratio of 0.1598, which can contribute to the observed the muon annual modulation in a detector at the Soudan underground facility.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Fred Gray, Keenan Thomas, Anthony Villano, Priscilla Cushman and the Soudan underground facility management for their invaluable suggestions and help. We also would like to thank Christina Keller for a careful reading of this manuscript and Jing Liu and Arun Soma for their useful discussion. This work was supported in part by NSF PHY-0919278, NSF PHY-1242640, NSF OISE 1743790, DOE grant DE-FG02- 10ER46709, the Office of Research at the University of South Dakota and a research center supported by the State of South Dakota.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] P. Barret et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 24, 133 (1952).
- [2] N. Sherman, Phys. Rev. 93, 208 (1954).
- [3] G. C. Castagnoli and M. Dodero, Rev. Mod. Phys. 24, 133 (1952).
- [4] A. Fenton, R. Jacklyn and R. Taylor, Il Nuovo Cim. B22, 285(1961).
- [5] Y. Andreyev et al. (Baksan), Proceedings of the 20th ICRC, vol. 3, 270 (1987).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[6] M. Ambrosio et al. (MACRO Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 7, 109 (1997).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[7] A. Bouchta (AMANDA Collaboration), Proceedings of the 26th ICRC 2, 108 (1999).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[8] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), J. Cosm. astropart. Phys. 1205, 015 (2012).
- [9] M. Selvi (LVD Collaboration), Proceedings of the 31st ICRC (2009).
- [10] P. Desiati et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Proceedings of the 32nd ICRC (2011).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[11] P. Adamson et al. (MINOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D81, 012001 (2010).
- [12] J. Cherwinka et al., (DM-Ice Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 042001.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[13] T. Abrahao et al., Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, Volume 2017, Feburary 2017.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[14] E. W. Grashorn et al., AstroParticle Physics 33 (2010) 140-145.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[15] G. Aad et al., (ATLAS Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 252303.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[16] C. Zhang et. al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 729 (2013) 138-146.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[17] C. Zhang, D.-M. Mei, Phys. Rev. D  $90(12)$ , 122003(2014).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[18] A. Tiwari, C. Zhang, D.- M. Mei and P. Cushman, Physics Review C 96 (2017) 044609, [arXiv:1706.00100.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00100)
- [19] D.-M. Mei and A. Hime, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 053004.
- [20] S. Agostinelli, et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 506 (2003) 250-303; K. Amako et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 53 (2006) 270-278.
- [21] M. Guan, et. al.,<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/6jm8g76d>
- [22] P. Adamson et al. (MINOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 072002.
- [23] K. Ruddick, Underground Particle Fluxes in the Soudan Mine, Internal Note NuMI-L-210, 1996.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[24] [http://weather.uwyo.edu/.](http://weather.uwyo.edu/)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[25] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[26] G. D. Barr T. K. Gaisser, S. Robbins, T. Stanev, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 094009.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[27] U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1976, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1976.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[28] Integrated Global Radiosonde Archive (IGRA), National Centers for Environmental Information. https//www.ncei.noaa.gov.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[29] B.C. Rastin, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 10, 1609(1984).