

STABILITY OF ASAI LOCAL FACTORS FOR $GL(2)$

YEONGSEONG JO AND M. KRISHNAMURTHY

ABSTRACT. For a quadratic extension E/F of non-archimedean local fields of characteristic not equal to 2, we prove the stability of Asai local factors attached to irreducible admissible representations of $GL(2, E)$ via the Rankin-Selberg method. Our strategy is to compute the associated zeta integrals using certain special Whittaker functions called Howe Whittaker functions which are also partial Bessel functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the main result	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Howe vectors and partial Bessel functions	4
2.2. Asai local factors	6
2.3. Dependence on the pair (ψ, ξ)	7
3. Proof of stability of Asai local gamma factor for GL_2	8
3.1. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1	12
References	12

1. Introduction and statement of the main result

Let $\mathbf{G} = GL_2$ and let F be a non-archimedean local field whose $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$. Let q denote the cardinality of the residue field. Let E be a quadratic extension of F and let θ denote the non-trivial Galois automorphism of E over F . We consider the local Asai γ -factor attached to an irreducible admissible generic representation π of $\mathbf{G}(E)$ by the Rankin-Selberg method [11, 12, 16]. Let $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ denote this γ -factor, where χ is a character (smooth) of F^\times and ψ is a fixed non-trivial character of F . If χ_E denotes a character of E^\times whose restriction to F^\times is χ , then this is in fact the Asai γ -factor attached to the representation $\pi \otimes \chi_E$ of $\mathbf{G}(E)$. By definition, it is independent of the choice of χ_E . In this paper, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose π_1 and π_2 are irreducible admissible generic representations of $GL_2(E)$ having the same central character. Then there exists an integer N so that*

$$\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_1, \chi, \psi) = \gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_2, \chi, \psi)$$

for all characters χ whose conductor $n(\chi) > N$. In other words, the local γ -factor $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ is stable for χ sufficiently highly ramified.

Date: June 18, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F70, 11F85, 22E50.

When $\text{char}(F) = 0$, the above theorem follows from [6, Theorem A] since the stability property for Artin γ -factors is known [10]. Their proof is global-to-local where as our proof using the theory of Howe vectors [13] is completely local. To the best of our knowledge, this theorem is new in the positive characteristic case. More importantly, our methods can potentially be applied to other situations. (See remark (3) below.)

The Rankin-Selberg theory of Asai local factor for GL_n , $n \geq 2$, is a twisted version of the local theory of Rankin-Selberg convolutions [23]. The stability property of the latter local gamma factor was proved by Jacquet and Shalika in [15]. Stability, under highly ramified twists, is also known for gamma factors arising from other methods. See [8], [9] and [22] for the Langlands-Shahidi method, [20] for the doubling method, and [3, 7, 25] for the Rankin-Selberg method. It plays a central role in (i) proving the existence of Langlands functorial transfers using converse theorems and (ii) comparing local γ -factors arising from disparate methods.

To put things in perspective, let us for now assume π is a, irreducible admissible generic representation of $\text{GL}_n(E)$. Besides the Rankin-Selberg Asai γ -factor $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ mentioned above, there are two other ways to define the Asai γ -factor for the data (π, χ, ψ) . One is $\gamma_{\text{As}}^{(1)}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ defined through the Langlands-Shahidi method [19, 21]. If ρ is the n -dimensional semi-simple representation of the Deligne-Weil group W'_E attached to π through the local Langlands correspondence, the other is the Artin γ -factor $\gamma_{\text{As}}^{(2)}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ defined via the *tensor induction* of ρ to W'_F (cf. [17, Section 8]). For convenience, we denote these gamma factors as γ_{As} , $\gamma_{\text{As}}^{(1)}$ and $\gamma_{\text{As}}^{(2)}$, respectively, suppressing the underlying parameters. These are conjectured to be the same up to a normalization, in particular, implying stability by virtue of [10]. However, in practice, to prove a given local gamma factor equals the corresponding Artin gamma factor, the argument is global-to-local and one has to establish the stability property beforehand. (See [9], for example.) In contrast, we note that in [6], the authors prove that $\gamma_{\text{As}} \approx \gamma_{\text{As}}^{(2)}$ (equality up to a constant) for GL_2 , independent of any stability property.

Now, we mention some recent developments in the study of Asai gamma factors. The equality $\gamma_{\text{As}}^{(1)} = \gamma_{\text{As}}^{(2)}$ has been proved by Shankman [22] when F is of characteristic 0, and when F is of characteristic p , this equality is shown in [14]. On the other hand, if the residual characteristic of F is odd and π is supercuspidal, then $\gamma_{\text{As}} \approx \gamma_{\text{As}}^{(1)}$ according to [1, Theorem 9.29]. In addition, when $\text{char}(F) = 0$, this latter theorem has been generalized (using a global method) to any π by Beuzart-Plessis [4]. Then this combined with [22] implies that, for (π, χ, ψ) as above,

$$\gamma_{\text{As}} \approx \gamma_{\text{As}}^{(1)} = \gamma_{\text{As}}^{(2)}$$

in the characteristic zero case.

We now outline the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is inspired by the proofs of Baruch [3] and Zhang [25]. Recall the local zeta integral

$$Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi) = \int_{\text{U}(F) \backslash \text{G}(F)} W(g) \Phi(e_2 g) \chi(\det(g)) |\det(g)|_F^s dg.$$

Here, W lies in the Whittaker model of π , and Φ is a Schwartz-Bruhat function on F^2 . Also, we have \widetilde{W} , the Whittaker function dual to W , which belongs to the Whittaker model of the contragredient representation $\widetilde{\pi}$. If $\widehat{\Phi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of Φ relative to a fixed additive character ψ of F , then there is the associated dual zeta integral $Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}, \chi^{-1})$. The Asai gamma factor $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ is a rational function of q^{-s} satisfying the relation

$$Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}, \chi^{-1}) = \gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi) Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi)$$

for all pairs (W, Φ) .

For $m \gg 0$ suitably large and an appropriate Φ , we show that $Z(s, W_m, \Phi, \chi)$ is a non-zero constant on s and that it only depends on π through its central character. This is done in Proposition 3.2. Here, W_m is the Howe Whittaker function as in (2.3). However, following [26], we use the notation $B_m(g, W)$ to denote $W_m(g)$, since it is also a partial Bessel function in the sense of [9]. On the other hand, for π_1 and π_2 as in Theorem 1.1, let ω denote the restriction of the common central character to F^\times . Taking the corresponding Whittaker functions $(W_1)_m = W_{1,m}$ and $(W_2)_m = W_{2,m}$ in the Whittaker model of π_1 and π_2 , respectively, we show that the difference $Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{1,m}, \widehat{\Phi}, \chi^{-1}) - Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{2,m}, \widehat{\Phi}, \chi^{-1})$ (see 3.8)) is

$$\approx \int_{F^\times} [B_m(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)w, W_2)] \chi(a) |a|_F^s d^\times a,$$

where the implied constant depends only on ω, χ, ψ and m . We exploit properties of Howe Whittaker functions to arrive at this equality, particularly Proposition 2.6, which controls the support of the function $B_m(\cdot, W_1) - B_m(\cdot, W_2)$ away from the identity.

At this point, it should be noted that our choice of m in the above expression depends on $n(\chi)$. But it turns out that for sufficiently large m the function $a \mapsto B_m(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)w, W_2)$ is uniformly smooth, meaning, independent of m . This once again follows from properties of the functions W_m . This means that the above integral is zero for sufficiently highly ramified χ concluding the proof of our theorem. This is all explained in Section 3. We also summarize the required properties of W_m in Subsection 2.1.

We conclude this introduction with the following remarks.

- (1) The Asai gamma factor $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ may also be defined when π is non-generic using Langlands classification. In particular, any non-generic representation π of $GL_2(E)$ is of the form $\pi = \eta_E \circ \det$ for some character η_E of E^\times and may be realized as the Langlands quotient of the (normalized) induced representation $I = I(\eta_E| \cdot |_E^{1/2}, \eta_E| \cdot |_E^{-1/2})$. Then it follows from the proof of [6, Theorem 2.1] that

$$\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi) = \gamma(s+1, \eta\chi, \psi) \gamma(s-1, \eta\chi, \psi) \gamma(s, \eta_E \chi_E \eta_E^\theta \chi_E^\theta, \psi_E),$$

where the factors on the right are Tate γ -factors and the character ψ_E of E is as defined in Section 2.2. But the stability of Tate γ -factors is well-known (cf. [5, Section 23.8]) and it follows from this that $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ is stable for highly ramified χ . Thus we may allow non-generic representations in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

- (2) Suppose $L_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi)$ and $\epsilon_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ are the corresponding Asai L and ϵ -factors satisfying the relation

$$\epsilon_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi) = \gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi) \frac{L_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi)}{L_{\text{As}}(1-s, \widetilde{\pi}, \chi^{-1})}.$$

One can show that $L_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi) \equiv 1$ for sufficiently highly ramified χ as in [15, Proposition 5.1]. Hence it follows, for π_1, π_2 as in Theorem 1.1, that

$$\epsilon_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_1, \chi, \psi) = \epsilon_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_2, \chi, \psi)$$

for all sufficiently highly ramified χ .

- (3) We hope to generalize our method to prove the stability of the Rankin-Selberg Asai, Sym^2 and \wedge^2 gamma factors for GL_n . This appears to be a non-trivial problem – the main obstacle being the analogue of Proposition 2.6 for GL_n (see [2, Lemma 6.2.2]) which introduces other relevant

Weyl elements, besides the identity and the long element, in the analysis of the partial Bessel function $B_m(\cdot, W_1 - W_2)$. We speculate the techniques of [9] to be useful in this regard.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Howe vectors and partial Bessel functions. For any local field L , we write \mathfrak{o}_L to denote its ring of integers and \mathfrak{p}_L for the maximal ideal in \mathfrak{o}_L . Let $\text{val}_L : L^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated discrete valuation map. We fix a uniformizer ϖ_L , so that $\mathfrak{p}_L = (\varpi_L)$ and $\text{val}_L(\varpi_L) = 1$. The corresponding absolute value $|x|_L = q_L^{-\text{val}_L(x)}$ is the normalized absolute of L with $|\varpi_L|_L = q_L^{-1}$, where $q_L = |\mathfrak{o}_L/\mathfrak{p}_L|$ is the cardinality of the residue field. For the algebraic group $\mathbf{G} = \text{GL}_2$, let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$ denote the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, where

$$\mathbf{T} = \left\{ t(a, b) := \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{G}_m \right\}$$

is the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices and

$$\mathbf{U} = \left\{ u(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{G}_a \right\}$$

is the unipotent radical of \mathbf{B} . Let \mathbf{Z} denote the center of GL_2 , and let \mathbf{A} denote the subtorus

$$\mathbf{A} = \{ t(a, 1) \mid a \in \mathbb{G}_m \}.$$

Let

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}} = \left\{ \overline{u}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{G}_a \right\}$$

be the unipotent subgroup opposed to \mathbf{U} . We write

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

to denote the long Weyl element in GL_2 . Recall the Bruhat decomposition

$$\mathbf{G}(L) = \mathbf{B}(L) \cup \mathbf{B}(L)w\mathbf{U}(L),$$

with uniqueness of expression, i.e., every $g \notin \mathbf{B}(L)$ has a unique expression of the form $g = b w u$, $b \in \mathbf{B}(L)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}(L)$. Put $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_L = \text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_L)$, the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(L)$.

Before proceeding further, we fix our choice of Haar measures. If \mathbf{H} is any of the unimodular groups $\mathbf{G}(L)$, $\mathbf{A}(L)$, $\mathbf{Z}(L)$, $\mathbf{U}(L)$, $\overline{\mathbf{U}}(L)$ or \mathbf{K} , we normalize the Haar measure on \mathbf{H} so that $\text{vol}(\mathbf{H} \cap \mathbf{K}) = 1$. Since $\mathbf{U}(L), \overline{\mathbf{U}}(L) \simeq L$ and $\mathbf{A}(L) \simeq L^\times$, we may identify the measure on $\mathbf{U}(L)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{U}}(L)$ with the additive measure dx on L , and the measure on $\mathbf{A}(L)$ with the multiplicative measure $d^\times a$ on L^\times . (Likewise, for $\mathbf{Z}(L) \simeq L^\times$.) Our normalization is such that $d^\times a$ and dx assigns \mathfrak{o}_L^\times and \mathfrak{o}_L , respectively, unit measure. If we use the coordinates $g = u(x)z t(a, 1)k$, the Haar measure dg on $\mathbf{G}(L)$ can then be decomposed as

$$dg = \delta_B^{-1}(a) dx d^\times z d^\times a dk,$$

where δ_B is the modulus character. If $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{G}(L))$ denotes the space of smooth functions of compact support on $\mathbf{G}(L)$, then for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{G}(L))$, there is a constant $c = c(\mathbf{B})$ that only depends on \mathbf{B} , so that we have the following integration formula [24] (also see [18, Proposition 8.45])

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{G}(L)} f(g) dg = c \int_{\mathbf{U}(L) \times \mathbf{T}(L) \times \overline{\mathbf{U}}(L)} f(ut\overline{u}) \delta_B(t)^{-1} d\overline{u} dt du.$$

We will use this formula in our calculations. (Of course, one can also normalize the Haar measures so that $c = 1$ in the above formula.)

Now, we review the theory of Howe vectors [13] for GL_2 over a local field L which was subsequently studied by Baruch [2] in a more general context. Let ψ be an additive character of L of conductor 0, i.e., ψ is trivial on \mathfrak{o}_L while $\psi|_{\mathfrak{p}_L^{-1}} \neq 1$. Suppose (π, V) is an irreducible admissible *generic* representation of $GL_2(L)$ with the associated Whittaker model $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ relative to ψ . Let $\omega = \omega_\pi$ denote its central character. After fixing a non-zero Whittaker functional λ on V (unique up to a scalar multiple), we may identify V with \mathcal{W} via $v \mapsto W_v$, where $W_v(g) = \lambda(\pi(g)v)$. Let π' denote the representation of $GL_2(L)$ with $\pi'(g) = \pi({}^t g^{-1})$ on the same underlying space V . It is well-known that π' is isomorphic to its contragredient representation $\tilde{\pi}$. If $\tilde{W}(g) := W({}^t g^{-1})$, then \tilde{W} lies in $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$.

For $m \geq 1$, let K_m be the m -th congruence subgroup, i.e., $K_m = I + M_2(\mathfrak{p}_L^m)$. On K_m , define the function τ_m by the formula

$$\tau_m(k) = \psi(\varpi_L^{-2m} k_{1,2}),$$

where $(k_{i,j})$ is the matrix of k . One checks that τ_m is a linear (unitary) character of K_m , trivial on K_{2m} . Put

$$d_m = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_L^{-2m} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let $J_m = d_m K_m d_m^{-1}$. Then J_m is given by

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{p}_L^m & \mathfrak{p}_L^{-m} \\ \mathfrak{p}_L^{3m} & 1 + \mathfrak{p}_L^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a character φ_m of J_m by $\varphi_m(j) = \tau_m(d_m^{-1} j d_m)$, $j \in J_m$.

It is well-known that K_m is *decomposed* with respect to B , i.e., the product map

$$K_m \cap U(L) \times K_m \cap T(L) \times K_m \cap \bar{U}(L) \longrightarrow K_m$$

is a bijection, in fact, a homeomorphism of topological spaces. Since d_m is diagonal, it follows that the group J_m is also decomposed with respect to B . Further,

$$d_m(K_m \cap U(L))d_m^{-1} \supset K_m \cap U(L), d_m(K_m \cap \bar{U}(L))d_m^{-1} \subset K_m \cap \bar{U}(L),$$

i.e., conjugation by d_m enlarges the *upper* part $K_m \cap U(L)$ of K_m while shrinking its *lower* part $K_m \cap \bar{U}(L)$.

Let us put $J_m^u = J_m \cap U(L)$ and $J_m^{\ell} = J_m \cap \bar{U}(L)$. One checks that φ_m and ψ both agree on J_m^u .

For $m \geq 1$, consider the function f_m in the Hecke algebra of $G(L)$ given by

$$f_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m)} \varphi_m^{-1} & \text{on } J_m \\ 0 & \text{outside of } J_m \end{cases}.$$

For v in V , put $v_m = \pi(f_m)v$. Explicitly, $v_m = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m)} \int_{J_m} \varphi_m^{-1}(j)(\pi(j)v) dj$.

Definition 2.2. Fix a v satisfying $\lambda(v) = 1$ and let l be an integer so that K_l fixes v . Then the vector v_m is called a *Howe vector* of π if $m \geq l$.

An important property of Howe vector v_m is that it is also given by the formula

$$v_m = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m^u)} \int_{J_m^u} (\pi(u)v) \psi^{-1}(u) du.$$

To see this, one has to utilize the decomposition $J_m = J_m^\omega \cdot (J_m \cap \overline{B}(L))$ and observe that $J_m \cap \overline{B}(L) \subset K_m$ fixes v for $m \geq l$. For $W \in \mathcal{W}$, we define $W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ by

$$(2.3) \quad W_m(g) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} \int_{J_m^\omega} W(gu) \psi^{-1}(u) du.$$

Note, if $W = W_v$ and v_m is a Howe vector, then $W_m = W_{v_m}$ is the corresponding Howe Whittaker function.

We collect the important properties of the functions W_m in the following lemma. (cf. [3, Lemma 5.2]).

Lemma 2.4. *Choose $W \in \mathcal{W}$ so that $W(e) = 1$. Let l be such that $\rho(K_l)W = W$, where ρ denotes right translation. Then we have*

- (1) $W_m(e) = 1$;
- (2) If $m \geq l$, then $W_m(gj) = \varphi_m(j)W_m(g)$ for all $j \in J_m$;
- (3) If $m \geq k$, then

$$W_m(g) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} \int_{J_m^\omega} W_k(gu) \psi^{-1}(u) du.$$

We also have the following lemma (cf. [2]) concerning the support of W_m on the diagonal torus.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $m \geq l$ and W_m be as in Lemma 2.4. Then*

$$W_m(t(a, 1)) \neq 0 \iff a \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_L^m.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.4, W_m is what one calls a *partial Bessel function*, in the sense that,

$$W_m(u_1 g u_2) = \psi(u_1) \psi(u_2) W_m(g), u_1 \in U(L), u_2 \in J_m^\omega, g \in \text{GL}_2(L).$$

As mentioned in Section 1, we use the notation $B_m(g, W) = W_m(g)$, $g \in \mathbf{G}(L)$. The main result that we need regarding these partial Bessel functions is [25, Proposition 5.3] (slightly paraphrased here):

Proposition 2.6. *Let π_1 and π_2 be irreducible ψ -generic representation of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ with the same central character. Let l be an integer so that $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_1, \psi)$ and $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_2, \psi)$ are Whittaker functions fixed by K_l . Assume $W_i(e) = 1, i = 1, 2$. Then, for $m \geq 3l$, the function given by the difference*

$$B_m(g, W_1) - B_m(g, W_2)$$

is supported on $g \in B(L)wJ_m^\omega$.

2.2. Asai local factors. For the rest of the paper, we fix E/F as in Section 1 with associated trace map $\text{Tr}_{E/F}$. Choose an element $\xi \in E$ so that $\text{Tr}_{E/F}\xi = 0$ and $E = F(\xi)$. Let $C_c^\infty(F^2)$ be the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions $\Phi : F^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We write $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ to denote the standard basis for F^2 . Fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F of conductor 0. The Fourier transform of $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(F^2)$ with respect to ψ is given by

$$\hat{\Phi}(y) = \hat{\Phi}_\psi(y) = \int_{F^2} \Phi(x) \psi(x^t y) dx,$$

where dx is the self-dual measure for which the Fourier inversion formula takes the form $\hat{\hat{\Phi}}(x) = \Phi(-x)$.

Define $\psi_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \psi_E(x) = \psi_E(x; \xi) = \psi(\text{Tr}_{E/F}(\xi x)).$$

Then ψ_E defines a non-trivial additive character of E that is trivial on F . We choose ξ so that ψ_E also has conductor 0. As usual, ψ defines a non-degenerate character of $U(F)$ via $u(x) \mapsto \psi(x)$. Similarly, ψ_E defines one for $U(E)$.

Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of $GL_2(E)$ that is *generic* with respect to ψ_E . Let $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E)$ denote the corresponding Whittaker model with the associated bijection $v \mapsto W_v$ as before. Let χ_E be a smooth character of E^\times whose restriction to F^\times is denoted as χ . For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E)$, $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(F^2)$, and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the zeta integral [11, 16]

$$Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi) = \int_{U(F) \backslash G(F)} W(g) \Phi(e_2 g) \chi(\det(g)) |\det(g)|_F^s dg.$$

It is the *Flicker* integral [11] associated to the representation $\pi \otimes \chi_E$ and clearly only depends on the restriction χ of χ_E .

For each (s, W, Φ) as above, the integral $Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi)$ converges absolutely when $\Re(s)$ is sufficiently large and defines a rational function of q^{-s} . The collection of all such integrals span a $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ -fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$. Further, there is a function $\gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$ satisfying the local functional equation

$$(2.8) \quad Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}, \chi^{-1}) = \gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi) Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi).$$

We refer the reader to [23, Theorem 2.7] for proofs of these properties, and as noted in [16, Theorem 2], the proofs there are applicable to this situation. The function $\gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi)$ is the so-called *Asai* γ -factor attached to the representation $\pi \otimes \chi_E$ of $G(E)$ [16, Theorem 3]. From loc.cit., we also have the corresponding Asai L -factor $L_{As}(s, \pi, \chi)$ and the Asai ϵ -factor $\epsilon_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi)$ satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad \epsilon_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi) = \gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi) \frac{L_{As}(s, \pi, \chi)}{L_{As}(1-s, \widetilde{\pi}, \chi^{-1})}.$$

2.3. Dependence on the pair (ψ, ξ) . A different choice of (ψ', ξ') results in elements $a, b \in F^\times$, satisfying $\psi'(x) = \psi(ax)$, $x \in F$, and $\xi' = b\xi$. We have the following well-known fact whose proof we include here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.10. *For $a, b \in F^\times$ as above, we have*

$$\gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi', \xi) = \omega_\pi^2(a) \chi^4(a) |a|^{4s-2} \gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi)$$

and

$$\gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi') = \omega_\pi(b) \chi^2(b) |b|^{2s-1} \gamma_{As}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi, \xi).$$

Proof. Let $W'(g) = W(t(a, 1)g)$, then one checks that $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi'_E)$ and the map $W \mapsto W'$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E)$ to $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi'_E)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, W', \Phi, \chi) &= \int_{U(F) \backslash G(F)} W'(g) \Phi(e_2 g) \chi(\det(g)) |\det(g)|_F^s dg \\ &= \chi^{-1}(a) |a|_F^{1-s} Z(s, W, \Phi, \chi). \end{aligned}$$

For $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(F^2)$, if $\widehat{\Phi}_{\psi'}$ denotes the Fourier transform with respect to ψ' , then

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\psi'}(x, y) = |a|_F \widehat{\Phi}_\psi(ax, ay).$$

Thus (with $\widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{\Phi}_\psi$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}', \widehat{\Phi}_{\psi'}) \\
&= \omega_\pi(a) |a|_F \int_{\mathbf{U}(F) \backslash \mathbf{G}(F)} \widetilde{W}(t(a, 1)g) \widehat{\Phi}(e_2 t(a, a)g) \chi^{-1}(\det(g)) |\det(g)|_F^{1-s} dg \\
&= \omega_\pi^2(a) \chi^2(a) |a|_F^{2s-1} \int_{\mathbf{U}(F) \backslash \mathbf{G}(F)} \widetilde{W}(t(a, 1)g) \widehat{\Phi}(e_2 g) \chi^{-1}(\det(g)) |\det(g)|_F^{1-s} dg \\
&= \omega_\pi^2(a) \chi^3(a) |a|_F^{3s-1} Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}).
\end{aligned}$$

The first assertion now follows from the local functional equation (2.8). A similar calculation works for the second assertion. One only needs to observe that, changing $\xi \mapsto \xi'$ but keeping ψ fixed, alters the additive character with respect to which the Whittaker model is considered but has no effect on the Fourier transform. \square

Henceforth, we fix a choice of ξ and take ψ to be unramified as in the previous subsection, and write $\gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ and $\epsilon_{\text{As}}(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ to denote the corresponding Asai γ -factor and ϵ -factor, respectively.

3. Proof of stability of Asai local gamma factor for GL_2

A (smooth) character η of F^\times is said to be unramified if it is trivial on \mathfrak{o}_F^\times . If η is unramified, we set its conductor to be 0; otherwise, the conductor of η is the smallest positive integer d for which η is trivial upon restriction to $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^d$. We write $n(\eta)$ to denote the conductor of η . For $a \in F$, recall the Gauss sum

$$\tau(\eta, \psi, a) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}_F^\times} \eta^{-1}(u) \psi^{-1}(au) d^\times u$$

of η relative to ψ . We have the following basic result (cf. [5, 23.6 Remark], for example):

Lemma 3.1. *For η ramified,*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}_F^\times} \eta^{-1}(u) \psi^{-1}(\varpi_F^k u) d^\times u \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } k \neq -n(\eta) \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } k = -n(\eta). \end{cases}$$

For a set $A \subset F$, we denote by $\mathbb{1}_A$ the characteristic function of A . For integers $i \geq 0, j > 0$, put

$$\Phi_{i,j}(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^i}(x) \mathbb{1}_{1+\mathfrak{p}_F^j}(y).$$

Keeping the notation of Subsection 2.2, recall π is an irreducible admissible generic representation of $\text{GL}_2(E)$. Let $\omega = \omega_\pi|_{F^\times}$ be the restriction of its central character to F^\times . Choose a Whittaker function W satisfying $W(e) = 1$ and let l be a positive integer such that $\rho(K_l)W = W$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n = n(\omega \chi^2)$ and choose $m \geq \max\{l, 2n(\chi)\}$. Then for $i \geq 3m$,*

$$Z(s, W_m, \Phi_{i,n}, \chi) = cq^{-i-n} \text{vol}((1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^m) \cap F^\times).$$

Proof. Put $\Phi = \Phi_{i,n}$. According to (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad & Z(s, W_m, \Phi, \chi) \\
 &= c \int_{F^\times} \int_F W_m(t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)) \chi(a) |a|_F^{s-1} \left(\int_{F^\times} \Phi(e_2 t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)z) \omega_\pi(z) \chi^2(z) |z|_F^{2s} d^\times z \right) dx d^\times a \\
 &= c \int_{F^\times} \int_F W_m(t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)) \chi(a) |a|_F^{s-1} \left(\int_{F^\times} \Phi(e_2 \bar{u}(x)z) \omega_\pi(z) \chi^2(z) |z|_F^{2s} d^\times z \right) dx d^\times a.
 \end{aligned}$$

The innermost integral reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{F^\times} \Phi(e_2 \bar{u}(x)z) \omega_\pi(z) \chi^2(z) |z|_F^{2s} d^\times z &= \int_{F^\times} \Phi(xz, z) \omega_\pi(z) \chi^2(z) |z|_F^{2s} d^\times z \\
 &= \int_{F^\times} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^i}(xz) \mathbb{1}_{1+\mathfrak{p}_F^n}(z) d^\times z = \begin{cases} q^{-n} & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{p}_F^i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$Z(s, W_m, \Phi_{i,n}, \chi) = cq^{-n} \int_{F^\times} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_F^i} W_m(t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)) \chi(a) |a|_F^{s-1} dx d^\times a.$$

Since $i \geq 3m$, $x \in \mathfrak{p}_F^i \subset \mathfrak{p}_F^{3m} \implies \bar{u}(x) \in J_m$. But, according to Lemma 2.4, the function $W_m(\cdot)$ is right invariant under $\bar{u}(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{p}_F^i$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(s, W_m, \Phi, \chi) &= cq^{-i-n} \int_{F^\times} W_m(t(a, 1)) \chi(a) |a|_F^{s-1} d^\times a \\
 &= cq^{-i-n} \int_{(1+\mathfrak{p}_E^m) \cap F^\times} \chi(a) |a|_F^{s-1} d^\times a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second equality follows from Lemma 2.5. Now, since $m \geq 2n(\chi)$ and $((1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^m) \cap F^\times) \subset (1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor})$, we obtain

$$Z(s, W_m, \Phi_{i,n}, \chi) = cq^{-i-n} \text{vol}((1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^m) \cap F^\times).$$

□

Next, we consider the dual side. By our choice of the measure on F , for the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^i}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^i}}(y) = q^{-i} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^{-i}}(y),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{1+\mathfrak{p}_F^j}}(y) &= \int_F \mathbb{1}_{1+\mathfrak{p}_F^j}(x) \psi(xy) dx = \int_F \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^j}(x) \psi((x-1)y) dx \\
 &= \psi(-y) \int_F \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^j}(x) \psi(xy) dx = q^{-j} \psi(-y) \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^{-j}}(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_{i,j}(x, y) = q^{-i-j} \psi(-y) \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^{-j}}(y) \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}_F^{-i}}(x).$$

Lemma 3.5. *With notations as in Proposition 3.2, for $i \geq 3m$ and $n = n(\omega\chi^2) > 0$, we have*

$$Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_m, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) = c \int_{F^\times} \int_F B_m(t(1, a)wu(-x), W) \chi(a) |a|_F^s G_{i,n}(x) dx d^\times a,$$

where the function

$$G_{i,n}(x) = \begin{cases} q^{-i-n+2n(1-s)} (\omega\chi^2)(\varpi_F^n) \tau(\omega\chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^{-n}) & \text{if } |x|_F \leq q^{i-n}, \\ q^{-i-n} \int_{|z|_F \leq \frac{q^i}{|x|_F}} \psi(-z) \omega^{-1}(z) \chi^{-2}(z) |z|_F^{2(1-s)} d^\times z & \text{if } |x|_F > q^{i-n}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $G_{i,n}(x)$ depends on π only through its central character and is constant on x in the range $|x|_F \leq q^{i-n}$.

Proof. Using (2.1) again, we see that $Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_m, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1})$ equals

$$(3.6) \quad c \int_{F^\times} \int_F \widetilde{W}_m(t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)) \chi^{-1}(a) |a|_F^{-s} \left(\int_{F^\times} \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}(e_2 t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)z) \omega_\pi^{-1}(z) \chi^{-2}(z) |z|_F^{2-2s} d^\times z \right) dx d^\times a.$$

Substituting for the Fourier transform (3.4), the inner integral

$$= \begin{cases} q^{-i-n} \int_{|z|_F \leq q^n} \psi(-z) \omega^{-1}(z) \chi^{-2}(z) |z|_F^{2(1-s)} d^\times z & \text{if } |x|_F \leq q^{i-n}, \\ q^{-i-n} \int_{|z|_F \leq \frac{q^i}{|x|_F}} \psi(-z) \omega^{-1}(z) \chi^{-2}(z) |z|_F^{2(1-s)} d^\times z & \text{if } |x|_F > q^{i-n}. \end{cases}$$

However, we may re-write the first integral as a sum over *shells* to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-i-n} \int_{|z|_F \leq q^n} \psi(-z) \omega^{-1}(z) \chi^{-2}(z) |z|_F^{2(1-s)} d^\times z \\ &= q^{-i-n} \sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_F^k - \mathfrak{p}_F^{k+1}} \psi(-z) (\omega\chi^2)(z^{-1}) (q^{-k})^{2(1-s)} d^\times z \\ &= q^{-i-n} \sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} q^{-2k(1-s)} (\omega\chi^2)(\varpi_F^{-k}) \tau(\omega\chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega\chi^2$ is ramified character of F^\times with conductor n , by Lemma 3.1, the above expression reduces to

$$q^{-i-n+2n(1-s)} (\omega\chi^2)(\varpi_F^n) \tau(\omega\chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^{-n}).$$

Collecting all of these, using $\widetilde{W}_m(t(a, 1)\bar{u}(x)) = W_m(t(1, a^{-1})wu(-x))$ in (3.6) and change $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ in the resulting integral, we get the desired conclusion. \square

Now, suppose π_1 and π_2 are irreducible admissible generic representations of $GL_2(E)$ having the same central character. Fix Whittaker functions $W_j \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_j, \psi_E)$ satisfying $W_j(e) = 1$, $j = 1, 2$, and let l be a positive integer so that K_l fixes both W_1 and W_2 . Choose $m \geq \max\{3l, n, 2n(\chi)\}$. We write $\widetilde{W}_{j,m}$ to denote the dual of $W_{j,m} = (W_j)_m$ (cf. 2.3). It follows from Proposition 2.6 that

$$B_m(t(1, a)wu(-x), W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)wu(-x), W_2) = 0$$

for $x \notin \mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5, the difference

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{1,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) - Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{2,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) \\ &= c \int_{F^\times} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F} [B_m(t(1, a)wu(-x), W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)wu(-x), W_2)] \chi(a) |a|_F^s G_{i,n}(x) dx d^\times a. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $m \geq n$ so that $x \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F \implies x \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-(i-n)}$ for $i \geq 3m$. Hence

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{1,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) - Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{2,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) \\ &= cq^{-i-n+2n(1-s)} (\omega \chi^2) (\varpi_F^n) \tau(\omega \chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^{-n}) \text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F, dx) \\ & \quad \int_{F^\times} [B_m(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)w, W_2)] \chi(a) |a|_F^s d^\times a. \end{aligned}$$

To remove the dependence of the integrand on m , we use part (3) of Lemma 2.4 with $m \geq 3l$, to obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_m(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)w, W_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} \int_{J_m^\omega} [B_{3l}(t(1, a)wu, W_1) - B_{3l}(t(1, a)wu, W_2)] \psi_E^{-1}(u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} \int_{J_{3l}^\omega} [B_{3l}(t(1, a)wu, W_1) - B_{3l}(t(1, a)wu, W_2)] \psi_E^{-1}(u) du \\ &= \frac{\text{vol}(J_{3l}^\omega)}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} [B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used Proposition 2.6 in deducing the second equality. Therefore the function

$$a \mapsto B_m(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_m(t(1, a)w, W_2)$$

is right invariant under $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^{3l}$ and is consequently uniformly smooth relative to m .

Plugging (3.9) into (3.8), we arrive at the following proposition:

Proposition 3.10. *Let π_1, π_2 and ω as above. and χ as above. For a character χ of F^\times , set $n = n(\omega \chi^2)$. Also, choose W_1, W_2 and l be as indicated above. Then there exists a suitably large integer $m \gg 0$ such that, for $i \geq 3m$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{1,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) - Z(1-s, \widetilde{W}_{2,m}, \widehat{\Phi}_{i,n}, \chi^{-1}) \\ &= cq^{-i-n+2n(1-s)} (\omega \chi^2) (\varpi_F^n) \tau(\omega \chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^{-n}) \text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F, dx) \frac{\text{vol}(J_l^\omega)}{\text{vol}(J_m^\omega)} \\ & \quad \int_{F^\times} [B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_2)] \chi(a) |a|_F^s d^\times a. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.10 and (2.8), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_1, \chi, \psi) - \gamma_{\text{As}}(s, \pi_2, \chi, \psi) \\ &= v_m c_{\omega, \chi, \psi} \int_{F^\times} [B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_1) - B_{3l}(t(1, a)w, W_2)] \chi(a) |a|_F^s d^\times a, \end{aligned}$$

where v_m is the volume factor $v_m = \frac{\text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}_E^{-m} \cap F) \text{vol}(J_l^\mu)}{\text{vol}(1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^m \cap F^\times) \text{vol}(J_m^\mu)}$ and

$$c_{\omega, \chi, \psi} = q^{2n(1-s)} (\omega \chi^2) (\varpi_F^n) \tau(\omega \chi^2, \psi, \varpi_F^{-n})$$

is a non-zero constant. But the integral on the right hand side is 0 for a suitable highly ramified χ . For instance, if χ is such that $n(\chi) > 3l$, then the integral vanishes concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Roger Howe for sending us a copy of [13].

REFERENCES

- [1] U. K. Anandavardhanan, Robert Kurinczuk, Nadir Matringe, Vincent Sécherre, Shaun Stevens, *Galois self-dual cuspidal types and Asai local factors*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2019), to appear. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.07755>.
- [2] Baruch, Ehud Moshe, *Local factors attached to representations of p -adic groups and strong multiplicity one*, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Yale University. 1995. 83pp.
- [3] Baruch, Ehud Moshe, *On the gamma factors attached to representations of $\text{U}(2, 1)$ over a p -adic field* Israel J. Math. 102 (1997), 317–345.
- [4] Raphaëll Beuzart-Plessis, *Archimedean theory and ϵ -factors for the Asai Rankin-Selberg integrals*, preprint (2018), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.00053>.
- [5] Bushnell, Colin J. and Henniart, Guy, *The local Langlands conjecture for $\text{GL}(2)$* , Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 335. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. xii+347 pp.
- [6] Shih-Yu Chen, Yao Cheng, Isao Ishikawa *Gamma factors for Asai representations of GL_2* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.1256> (2018).
- [7] Cogdell, J. W. and Piatetski-Shapiro, I. I., *Stability of gamma factors for $\text{SO}(2n+1)$* , Manuscripta Math. 95 (1998), no. 4, 437–461.
- [8] Cogdell, J. W. and Piatetski-Shapiro, I. I. and Shahidi, F., *Stability of γ -factors for quasi-split groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 7 (2008), no. 1, 27–66.
- [9] Cogdell, J. W., Shahidi, F., Tsai, T.-L., *Local Langlands correspondence for GL_n and the exterior and symmetric square ϵ -factors*, Duke Math. J., 166 (2017), no 11, 2053–2132.
- [10] Deligne, P. and Henniart, G., *Sur la variation, par torsion, des constantes locales d'équations fonctionnelles de fonctions L* , Invent. Math. 64 (1981), no. 1, 89–118.
- [11] Flicker, Yuval Z., *Twisted tensors and Euler products*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, 116 (1988), no. 3, 295–313.
- [12] Flicker, Yuval Z., *On zeroes of the twisted tensor L -function*, Math. Ann., Mathematische Annalen, 297 (1993), no. 2, 199–219.
- [13] Roger Howe, *Classification of irreducible representations of $\text{GL}_2(F)$* , Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques (1978), 18pp.
- [14] Henniart, Guy and Lomelí, Luis, *Characterization of γ -factors: the Asai case*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2013), no. 17, 4085–4099.
- [15] Jacquet, Hervé and Shalika, Joseph, *A lemma on highly ramified ϵ -factors*, Math. Ann., Mathematische Annalen, 271, 1985, no. 3, 319–332.
- [16] Kable, Anthony C., *Asai L -functions and Jacquet's conjecture*, Amer. J. Math., American Journal of Mathematics, 126 (2004), no. 4, 789–820.
- [17] Kim, Henry H. and Krishnamurthy, Muthukrishnan, *Stable base change lift from unitary groups to GL_n* , IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap., 2005, no. 1, 1–52.

- [18] Knapp, Anthony W., *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, Volume 140, Second Edition, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [19] Lomelí, Luis Alberto, *On automorphic L -functions in positive characteristic*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 66 (2016), no. 5, 1733–1771.
- [20] Rallis, Stephen and Soudry, David, *Stability of the local gamma factor arising from the doubling method*, Math. Ann. 333 (2005), no. 2, 291–313.
- [21] Shahidi, Freydoon, *A proof of Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures; complementary series for p -adic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2), Annals of Mathematics. Second Series, 132 (1990), no. 2, 273–330.
- [22] Daniel Shankman *Local Langlands correspondence for Asai L -functions and epsilon factors*, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11852>.
- [23] Jacquet, H. and Piatetskii-Shapiro, I. I. and Shalika, J. A., *Rankin-Selberg convolutions*, Amer. J. Math., American Journal of Mathematics, 105 (1983), no. 2, 367–464.
- [24] J.-L. Waldspurger; *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p -adiques (d'après Harish-Chandra)*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), no. 2, 235–333.
- [25] Zhang, Qing, *A local converse theorem for $U(1, 1)$* , Int. J. Number Theory, 13 (2017), no. 8, 1931–1981.
- [26] Zhang, Qing, *A local converse theorem for Sp_{2r}* , Math. Ann., 372 (2018), no. 1-2, 451–488.

YEONGSEONG JO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA 52242, USA.

E-mail address: yeongseong-jo@uiowa.edu

M. KRISHNAMURTHY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA 52242, USA.

E-mail address: muthu-krishnamurthy@uiowa.edu