

ON A CALABI-TYPE ESTIMATE FOR PLURICLOSED FLOW

JOSHUA JORDAN AND JEFFREY STREETS

ABSTRACT. The regularity theory for pluriclosed flow hinges on obtaining C^α regularity for the metric assuming uniform equivalence to a background metric. This estimate was established in [14] by an adaptation of ideas from Evans-Krylov, the key input being a sharp differential inequality satisfied by the associated ‘generalized metric’ defined on $T \oplus T^*$. In this work we give a sharpened form of this estimate with a simplified proof. To begin we show that the generalized metric itself evolves by a natural curvature quantity, which leads quickly to an estimate on the associated Chern connections analogous to, and generalizing, Calabi-Yau’s C^3 estimate for the complex Monge-Ampère equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pluriclosed flow [17] is a geometric flow extending Kähler-Ricci flow to more general complex manifolds while preserving the pluriclosed condition for a Hermitian metric, $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$. Associated to a pluriclosed metric we have the Bismut connection, the unique Hermitian connection with skew symmetric torsion, defined by

$$\nabla^B = D + \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}H, \quad H = d^c\omega,$$

where D denotes the Levi-Civita connection. Let Ω^B denote the curvature of ∇^B , and furthermore let

$$\rho_B = \text{tr } \Omega^B \in \Lambda^2.$$

This is a closed form representing πc_1 , but is not in general of type $(1, 1)$. The pluriclosed flow can be expressed using the Bismut connection as

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega = -\rho_B^{1,1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\beta = -\rho_B^{2,0},$$

where $\beta \in \Lambda^{2,0}$ is the ‘torsion potential’ along the solution (i.e. $\bar{\partial}\beta = \partial\omega$). First introduced in [14], β is not strictly necessary to describe associated the Hermitian metric, but plays a central role in obtaining a priori estimates.

By now there are many global existence and convergence results for pluriclosed flow ([1, 10, 14, 15, 16]). All of these results exploit some underlying structure of the given background to obtain a priori L^∞ estimates for the metric tensor along the flow. In the setting of Kähler-Ricci flow, reduced to a parabolic Monge-Ampère equation, this corresponds to having a $C^{1,1}$ estimate for the potential, at which point one applies either the Evans-Krylov method [5, 7] to obtain a $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimate, or Calabi’s C^3 estimate [2, 13, 18], after which Schauder estimates can be applied to obtain C^∞ estimates. As pluriclosed metrics cannot be described locally by a single function, the pluriclosed flow does not admit a scalar reduction, so the method of Evans-Krylov cannot be applied. As pluriclosed flow is a parabolic system of equations for the Hermitian metric g , obtaining a C^α estimate for the metric is similar to

the DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser/Krylov-Safonov [3, 12, 11, 8, 9] estimate for uniformly parabolic equations. However these results are known to be false in general for *systems* of equations [4]. Thus a key step in obtaining regularity of pluriclosed flow is to turn L^∞ control over the metric into a C^α estimate.

This regularity barrier was overcome by the second author in [14], with a key role played by the *generalized metric* associated to g and β . Specifically, given g a Hermitian metric and $\beta \in \Lambda^{2,0}$, the associated generalized metric¹ is, expressed in local coordinates,

$$(1.2) \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} g_{i\bar{j}} + \beta_{ik}\bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}l}g^{\bar{l}k} & \beta_{ip}g^{\bar{l}p} \\ \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}\bar{p}}g^{\bar{p}k} & g^{\bar{l}k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a Hermitian metric on $T^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{1,0}$, which has unit determinant. In local complex coordinates, it turns out that G is a matrix subsolution of the linear heat equation. This together with the fact that G has unit determinant allows one to adapt the strategy behind Evans-Krylov regularity to obtain a C^α estimate for G , after which one obtains a C^α estimate for g and β .

Our purpose here is to give a simplified and sharpened version of this estimate which reveals further structure of pluriclosed flow related to the generalized metric and its associated Chern connection. As a Hermitian metric on a holomorphic vector bundle, G has a canonically associated Chern connection, and curvature tensor $\Omega \in \Lambda^{1,1} \otimes \text{End}(T \oplus T^*)$. Taking its trace with respect to the Hermitian metric g and lowering the final index with G yields a natural curvature operator

$$S_{A\bar{B}} = g^{\bar{j}i}\Omega_{i\bar{j}A\bar{B}}.$$

As we show in §3, under the pluriclosed flow equations (1.1), the associated generalized metric evolves by

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G = -S.$$

This remarkably simple formula leads to a clean evolution equation for the Chern connection associated to G , to which the maximum principle can be applied to obtain a C^1 estimate for the metric assuming uniform equivalence of G with a background metric. This computation is similar in style, and in fact generalizes, the classic C^3 estimate of Calabi-Yau for real/complex Monge-Ampère equations and Kähler-Ricci flow ([2, 13, 18]). Given this, a blowup argument adapted from [14] leads to sharp scale-invariant estimates on all derivatives of G , yielding our main result. Before stating it we record some notation.

Definition 1.1. Given a complex manifold (M^{2n}, J) and Hermitian metrics g and \tilde{g} , let

$$\Upsilon(g, \tilde{g}) := \nabla^g - \nabla^{\tilde{g}}$$

denote the difference of the associated Chern connections. Similarly, given G and \tilde{G} Hermitian metrics on $T^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{1,0}$ let

$$\Upsilon(G, \tilde{G}) := \nabla^G - \nabla^{\tilde{G}}$$

¹In the terminology of generalized geometry, the generalized metric is an endomorphism of $T \oplus T^*$ as opposed to a symmetric inner product. Our metric is related to such an endomorphism by raising one index using the associated neutral inner product.

be the difference of the associated Chern connections. Furthermore, let

$$f_k = f_k(G, \tilde{G}) := \sum_{j=0}^k |\nabla^j \Upsilon|^{\frac{2}{1+j}}.$$

This is a fixed-scale measure of the $(k+1)$ -st derivatives of G .

Theorem 1.2. *Given (M^{2n}, J) , fix (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1) on $[0, \tau)$, $\tau \leq 1$, with associated generalized metric G . Fix a background generalized metric $\tilde{G}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\beta})$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}\tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda\tilde{G}$. There exists $\rho > 0$ depending on \tilde{g} such that for all $0 < R < \rho$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $K = K(k, \Lambda, \tilde{G})$ such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(p) \times \{t\}} f_k(x, t) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{t} + R^{-4} \right).$$

As the quantity f_k dominates the corresponding C^{k+1} norm of the metric g (cf. Lemma 3.7), Theorem 1.2 recovers the estimates of ([14] Theorem 1.7). In fact, it has strengthened that estimate in several ways. First, we have explicitly localized the estimate in terms of the geometry of a background metric \tilde{g} . Furthermore, the quantity ρ is equivalent to the curvature radius of \tilde{g} , allowing us to obtain regularity for G in settings where the metric is collapsing.

Acknowledgments: The authors are supported by the NSF via DMS-1454854.

2. CURVATURE OF GENERALIZED METRIC

All connections below are Chern connections unless otherwise stated; those that are decorated with a g are of the classical metric on $T^{1,0}$ and those that are undecorated are of the generalized metric G on $T^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{1,0}$. The same convention holds for curvatures and traces thereof. Furthermore, we use tildes to denote quantities associated to a fixed background metric \tilde{g} or generalized metric $\tilde{G}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\beta})$. We use Einstein summation convention wherein lower case indices are summed over elements of either the tangent or cotangent spaces and capital letters are summed over the entire generalized tangent space $T^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^{1,0}$. Also, choosing complex coordinates z^i , we let $Z^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ and $W^i = dz^i$. Thus in particular the generalized metric G in (1.2) can be expressed in these components as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{Z^i \bar{Z}^j} &= g_{i\bar{j}} + \beta_{ik} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}l} g^{\bar{l}k} \\ G_{Z^i \bar{W}^j} &= \tilde{g}^{\bar{j}p} \beta_{ip} \\ G_{W^i \bar{Z}^j} &= \tilde{g}^{\bar{p}i} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}p} \\ G_{W^i \bar{W}^j} &= \tilde{g}^{\bar{j}i} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, further elementary computations yield the inverse matrix

$$G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\bar{j}i} & \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}p} g^{\bar{p}i} \\ \beta_{ip} g^{\bar{j}p} & g_{k\bar{l}} + \beta_{kp} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{l}q} g^{\bar{q}p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Or, in components,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\bar{Z}^j Z^i} &= g^{\bar{j}i}, \\ G^{\bar{Z}^j W^i} &= \beta_{ip} g^{\bar{j}p}, \\ G^{\bar{W}^j Z^i} &= \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}\bar{p}} g^{\bar{p}i}, \\ G^{\bar{W}^j W^i} &= g_{i\bar{j}} + \beta_{ip} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}\bar{q}} g^{\bar{q}p}. \end{aligned}$$

Before computing the curvature of G below, we observe an important convention used throughout the paper. We assume that the metric ω and torsion potential β satisfy

$$\partial\omega = \bar{\partial}\beta.$$

Given ω , such β can always be found at least locally. More generally one can expect to solve globally for

$$\partial\omega = \tilde{T} + \bar{\partial}\beta,$$

where \tilde{T} denotes the Chern torsion with respect to some background metric. All of the results below generalize to this case.

Lemma 2.1. *Given (M^{2n}, J) a complex manifold and generalized metric G , the Chern curvature associated to G is*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{i\bar{j}W^a\bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{b}m} g^{\bar{n}a} (\Omega_{i\bar{j}m\bar{n}}^g - g^{\bar{l}o} T_{mo\bar{j}} T_{\bar{n}l\bar{i}}), \\ \Omega_{i\bar{j}Z^a\bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{b}n} \nabla_i^g \nabla_{\bar{j}}^g \beta_{an}, \\ \Omega_{i\bar{j}Z^a\bar{Z}^b} &= (\Omega^g)_{i\bar{j}a\bar{b}} - T_{ak\bar{j}} T_{\bar{b}li} g^{\bar{l}k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First recall the general formula for Chern curvature of a general Hermitian metric h in complex coordinates,

$$\Omega_{i\bar{j}\alpha}^\beta = -\partial_{\bar{j}} \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta = -\partial_{\bar{j}} (\partial_i h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} h^{\bar{\gamma}\beta}) = -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} h^{\bar{\gamma}\beta} + \partial_i h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} h^{\bar{\gamma}\delta} \partial_{\bar{j}} h_{\delta\bar{\epsilon}} h^{\bar{\epsilon}\beta}.$$

Lowering the index yields

$$\Omega_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -\Omega_{j\bar{i}\alpha}^\sigma h_{\sigma\bar{\beta}} = -h_{\alpha\bar{\beta},i\bar{j}} + h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma},i} h^{\bar{\gamma}\delta} h_{\delta\bar{\beta},j}.$$

We note that the claimed formulas are invariant under transformations $\beta \mapsto \beta - \gamma$ where γ is a holomorphic local section of $\Lambda^{2,0}$. To take advantage of this, let $p \in M$ and choose $\gamma = \beta_{ij}(p) dz^i \wedge dz^j$. Clearly γ is holomorphic, and after subtracting it we have forced $\beta(p) = 0$. Thus, we can without loss of generality compute at a single point and suppose β vanishes at that point. In particular notice that $G^{\bar{Z}W} = 0$ at p .

With that in mind, let us begin by expanding

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{i\bar{j}W^a\bar{W}^b} &= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^a\bar{W}^b} + \partial_i G_{W^a\bar{\alpha}} G^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{\beta\bar{W}^b} \\ &= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^a\bar{W}^b} + \left[\partial_i G_{W^a\bar{Z}^k} G^{\bar{Z}^k Z^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^l\bar{W}^b} + \partial_i G_{W^a\bar{W}^k} G^{\bar{W}^k W^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^l\bar{W}^b} \right] \\ &= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^a\bar{W}^b} + A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^a \bar{W}^b} &= g_{\bar{j}i}^{a\bar{b}} \\
&= -\partial_i (g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}m} g_{m\bar{n},\bar{j}}) \\
&= -g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}m} g_{m\bar{n},\bar{j}i} + g^{\bar{n}l} g^{\bar{o}a} g_{l\bar{o},i} g^{\bar{b}m} g_{m\bar{n},\bar{j}} + g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}l} g^{\bar{m}o} g_{l\bar{o},i} g_{m\bar{n},\bar{j}} \\
&= g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}m} (\Omega_{i\bar{j}m\bar{n}}^g + g^{\bar{o}l} g_{l\bar{n},i} g_{m\bar{o},\bar{j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= g^{\bar{k}l} \partial_i (g^{\bar{p}a} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{k}\bar{p}}) \partial_{\bar{j}} (g^{\bar{b}n} \beta_{l\bar{n}}) = g^{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{p}a} g^{\bar{b}n} \beta_{l\bar{n},\bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{k}\bar{p},i}, \\
A_2 &= g_{l\bar{k}} \partial_i g^{a\bar{k}} \partial_{\bar{j}} g^{l\bar{b}} = g_{l\bar{k}} g^{a\bar{n}} g^{m\bar{k}} g^{l\bar{o}} g^{s\bar{b}} g_{m\bar{n},i} g_{s\bar{o},\bar{j}} = g^{a\bar{n}} g^{m\bar{o}} g^{s\bar{b}} g_{m\bar{n},i} g_{s\bar{o},\bar{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Gathering these all up gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{i\bar{j}W^a \bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}m} (\Omega_{i\bar{j}m\bar{n}}^g + g^{\bar{o}l} g_{l\bar{n},i} g_{m\bar{o},\bar{j}}) + g^{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{p}a} g^{\bar{b}n} \beta_{l\bar{n},\bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{k}\bar{p},i} + g^{a\bar{n}} g^{m\bar{o}} g^{s\bar{b}} g_{m\bar{n},i} g_{s\bar{o},\bar{j}} \\
&= -g^{\bar{n}a} g^{\bar{b}m} (\Omega_{i\bar{j}m\bar{n}}^g - g^{\bar{k}l} \beta_{l\bar{n},\bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{k}\bar{n},i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we expand

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{i\bar{j}Z^a \bar{W}^b} &= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{W}^b} + \partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{\alpha}} G^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{\beta \bar{W}^b} \\
&= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{W}^b} + \left[\partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^k} G^{\bar{Z}^k Z^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^l \bar{W}^b} + \partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{W}^k} G^{\bar{W}^k W^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^l \bar{W}^b} \right] \\
&= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{W}^b} + A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{W}^b} &= \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} (g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap}) \\
&= \partial_i (g_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap} + g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}}) \\
&= g_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,i} + g_{\bar{j},i}^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}} + g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}i} \\
&= g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}i} - g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}p} (g_{\mu\bar{\nu},\bar{j}} \beta_{ap,i} + g_{\mu\bar{\nu},i} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

And also,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= g^{\bar{k}l} \partial_i (g_{a\bar{k}} + \beta_{a\bar{n}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{k}\bar{n}} g^{\bar{m}n}) \partial_{\bar{j}} (g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{lp}) = g^{\bar{b}p} g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k},i} \beta_{lp,\bar{j}}, \\
A_2 &= g_{\bar{k}l} \partial_i (\beta_{ap} g^{\bar{k}p}) \partial_{\bar{j}} (g^{\bar{b}l}) = g_{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{k}p} \beta_{ap,i} (-g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}l} g_{\mu\bar{\nu},\bar{j}}) = -g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}p} \beta_{ap,i} g_{\mu\bar{\nu},\bar{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assembling these gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{i\bar{j}Z^a \bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}i} + g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}p} (g_{\mu\bar{\nu},\bar{j}} \beta_{ap,i} + g_{\mu\bar{\nu},i} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}}) + (g^{\bar{b}p} g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k},i} \beta_{lp,\bar{j}} - g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}p} \beta_{ap,i} g_{\mu\bar{\nu},\bar{j}}) \\
&= -g^{\bar{b}p} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}i} + g^{\bar{b}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}p} g_{\mu\bar{\nu},i} \beta_{ap,\bar{j}} + g^{\bar{b}p} g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k},i} \beta_{lp,\bar{j}} \\
&= -g^{\bar{b}p} \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} \beta_{ap},
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Lastly, we expand

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{i\bar{j}Z^a \bar{Z}^b} &= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^b} + \partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{\alpha}} G^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{\beta \bar{Z}^b} \\
&= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^b} + \left[\partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^k} G^{\bar{Z}^k Z^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^l \bar{Z}^b} + \partial_i G_{Z^a \bar{W}^k} G^{\bar{W}^k W^l} \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{W^l \bar{Z}^b} \right] \\
&= -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^b} + A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Computing gives

$$\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} G_{Z^a \bar{Z}^b} = g_{a\bar{b}, i\bar{j}} + (\beta_{ak, \bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, i} + \beta_{ak, i} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, \bar{j}}) g^{\bar{l}k}.$$

And also,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k}, i} g_{l\bar{b}, \bar{j}}, \\ A_2 &= g_{\bar{k}l} (\beta_{ap, i} g^{\bar{k}p}) (\bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}n, \bar{j}} g^{\bar{n}l}) = g^{\bar{n}p} \beta_{ap, i} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}n, \bar{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this together gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{i\bar{j} Z^a \bar{Z}^b} &= -(g_{a\bar{b}, i\bar{j}} + (\beta_{ak, \bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, i} + \beta_{ak, i} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, \bar{j}}) g^{\bar{l}k}) + (g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k}, i} g_{l\bar{b}, \bar{j}} + g^{\bar{n}p} \beta_{ap, i} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}n, \bar{j}}) \\ &= (-g_{a\bar{b}, i\bar{j}} + g^{\bar{k}l} g_{a\bar{k}, i} g_{l\bar{b}, \bar{j}}) - \beta_{ak, \bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, i} g^{\bar{l}k} \\ &= (\Omega^g)_{i\bar{j} a\bar{b}} - \beta_{ak, \bar{j}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{b}l, i} g^{\bar{l}k}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Given (M^{2n}, J) a complex manifold and generalized metric G , the tensor S associated to G satisfies*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{W^a \bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{b}m} g^{\bar{n}a} (S_{m\bar{n}}^g - T_{m\bar{n}}^2), \\ S_{Z^a \bar{W}^b} &= -g^{\bar{b}n} \Delta_g \beta_{an}, \\ S_{Z^a \bar{Z}^b} &= S_{a\bar{b}}^g - T_{a\bar{b}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where here and below we refer to $T_{i\bar{l}\bar{n}} \bar{T}_{\bar{j}k\bar{m}} g^{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{n}m}$ as $T_{i\bar{j}}^2$.

Proof. Taking the trace in Lemma 2.1 proves the result. \square

3. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

In this section we establish formula (1.3), the evolution equation for G under pluriclosed flow. Before we begin computing, it will be useful to establish some B-field transformations of various quantities.

Lemma 3.1. *Given (M^{2n}, J) a complex manifold, $\gamma \in \Lambda^{2,0}$ and a generalized Hermitian metric G , the Christoffel symbols of the generalized metric $\tilde{G} = e^{-\bar{\gamma}} G e^{\gamma}$ are given by*

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = e^{-\gamma} G^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\partial\bar{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \Gamma e^{\gamma} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \partial\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if γ is constant, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega} &= e^{-\gamma} \Omega e^{\gamma} \\ S^{\tilde{G}} &= e^{-\bar{\gamma}} S^G e^{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma} &= \tilde{G}^{-1} \partial \tilde{G} \\
&= (e^{-\bar{\gamma}} G e^{\gamma})^{-1} \partial (e^{-\bar{\gamma}} G e^{\gamma}) \\
&= e^{-\gamma} G^{-1} (e^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial e^{-\bar{\gamma}}) G e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} (G^{-1} \partial G) e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \partial e^{\gamma} \\
&= e^{-\gamma} G^{-1} (e^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial e^{-\bar{\gamma}}) G e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \Gamma e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \partial e^{\gamma} \\
&= e^{-\gamma} G^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\partial \bar{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \Gamma e^{\gamma} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \partial \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Given this, in the case γ is constant we have $\tilde{\Gamma} = e^{-\gamma} \Gamma e^{\gamma}$, and differentiating again we have

$$\tilde{\Omega} = -\partial \tilde{\Gamma} = -\partial e^{-\gamma} \Gamma e^{\gamma} = -e^{-\gamma} \partial \Gamma e^{\gamma} = e^{-\gamma} \Omega e^{\gamma}.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Given (M^{2n}, J) and (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1), the associated generalized metric G satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G = -S.$$

Proof. We will use the following equations

$$\rho_B^{1,1} = S^g - T^2 \quad \rho_B^{2,0} = \partial \bar{\partial}^* \omega$$

These can be derived in using the Bianchi identity for the Chern curvature and type decomposing the identity $\rho_B - \rho_C = dd^* \omega$ (cf. [6]).

Furthermore, arguing as above by applying Lemma 3.1, we can without loss of generality compute at a space-time point where β vanishes. Using this we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{Z^i \bar{Z}^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i\bar{j}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\beta_{ik} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}l} g^{\bar{l}k}) \\
&= -(\rho_B^{1,1})_{i\bar{j}} - \left((\rho_B^{2,0})_{ik} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}l} + \beta_{ik} (\overline{\rho_B^{2,0}})_{\bar{j}l} \right) g^{\bar{l}k} + \beta_{ik} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{j}l} (\rho_B^{1,1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} g^{\bar{l}\mu} g^{\bar{\nu}k} \\
&= -(S_{i\bar{j}}^g - T_{i\bar{j}}^2) \\
&= -S_{Z^i \bar{Z}^j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{Z^i \bar{W}^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\beta_{ip} g^{\bar{j}p}) \\
&= g^{\bar{j}p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_{ip} - \beta_{ip} g^{\bar{j}m} g^{\bar{n}p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{m\bar{n}} \\
&= -g^{\bar{j}p} (\rho_B^{2,0})_{ip} \\
&= -g^{\bar{j}p} (\partial \bar{\partial}^* \omega)_{ip} \\
&= g^{\bar{j}p} \Delta_g \beta_{ip} \\
&= -S_{Z^i \bar{W}^j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{W^i \bar{W}^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{\bar{j}i} \\
&= g^{\bar{j}m} g^{\bar{n}i} (\rho_B^{1,1})_{m\bar{n}} \\
&= g^{\bar{j}m} g^{\bar{n}i} (S_{m\bar{n}}^g - T_{m\bar{n}}^2) \\
&= -S_{W^i \bar{W}^j}^G.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *Given (M^{2n}, J) and (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1), with associated generalized metric G and background generalized metric \tilde{G} , one has*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\Upsilon|^2 = -|\nabla \Upsilon|^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon + T \cdot \Upsilon|^2 + T * \Upsilon * \tilde{\Omega} + \Upsilon * \bar{T} * \tilde{\Omega} + \Upsilon * \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Proof. A general calculation for the variation of the Chern connection associated to a Hermitian metric yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Upsilon_{iA}^B = \nabla_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_A^B.$$

Specializing this result using Proposition 3.2 we thus have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Upsilon_{iA}^B = -\nabla_i S_A^B.$$

Using this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \Upsilon_{iA}^B &= g^{\bar{k}l} \nabla_l \nabla_{\bar{k}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \\
&= g^{\bar{k}l} \nabla_l \left(\Omega_{kiA}^B - \tilde{\Omega}_{kiA}^B \right) \\
(3.3) \quad &= g^{\bar{k}l} \nabla_i \Omega_{\bar{k}lA}^B + g^{\bar{k}l} T_{i\bar{l}}^p \Omega_{\bar{k}pA}^B + g^{\bar{k}l} \nabla_l \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^B \\
&= \partial_t \Upsilon_{iA}^B - g^{\bar{k}l} T_{i\bar{l}}^p \Omega_{\bar{k}pA}^B + g^{\bar{k}l} \tilde{\nabla}_l \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^B + g^{\bar{k}l} \left(\Upsilon_{li}^q \tilde{\Omega}_{q\bar{k}A}^B + \Upsilon_{lA}^D \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}D}^B - \Upsilon_{lD}^B \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^D \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we observe the commutation formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta} \Upsilon_{jA}^{\bar{B}} &= g^{\bar{l}k} \nabla_{\bar{l}} \nabla_k \bar{\Upsilon}_{jA}^{\bar{B}} \\
(3.4) \quad &= g^{\bar{l}k} \left[\nabla_k \nabla_{\bar{l}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{jA}^{\bar{B}} - (\Omega^g)_{\bar{k}l\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{q}A}^{\bar{B}} - \Omega_{\bar{k}lA}^{\bar{C}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{j\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} + \Omega_{\bar{k}l\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{jA}^{\bar{C}} \right] \\
&= \Delta \bar{\Upsilon}_{jA}^{\bar{B}} - (S^g)_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{q}A}^{\bar{B}} - S_A^{\bar{C}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{j\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} + S_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{jA}^{\bar{C}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2)-(3.4), the evolution equations of Proposition 3.2 and the pluriclosed flow equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Upsilon|_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, G}^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \right] \\
&= -g^{\bar{j}k} \left(-S_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^g + T_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^2 \right) g^{\bar{l}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} - g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}M} \left(-S_{\bar{M}\bar{L}}^G \right) G^{\bar{L}A} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \\
&\quad + g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} \left(-S_{\bar{B}\bar{D}}^G \right) \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} + g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \left(\Delta \Upsilon_{iA}^B + g^{\bar{k}l} (T^g)^p_{il} \Omega_{p\bar{k}A}^B \right. \\
&\quad \left. - g^{\bar{k}l} \tilde{\nabla}_l \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^B - g^{\bar{k}l} \left[\Upsilon_{li}^q \tilde{\Omega}_{q\bar{k}A}^B + \Upsilon_{lA}^M \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}M}^B - \Upsilon_{lM}^B \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^M \right] \right) \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \\
&\quad + g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \left(\Delta \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} - g^{\bar{k}l} (\bar{T}^g)_{\bar{k}\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \Omega_{l\bar{q}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} + g^{\bar{k}l} \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{k}} \tilde{\Omega}_{l\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - g^{\bar{k}l} \left[\bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \tilde{\Omega}_{l\bar{q}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} + \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{C}}^{\bar{L}} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}\bar{L}}^{\bar{D}} - \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{L}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}\bar{C}}^{\bar{L}} \right] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We furthermore compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta |\Upsilon|_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, G}^2 &= g^{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \nabla_l \nabla_{\bar{k}} (\Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}}) \\
&= \langle \Delta \Upsilon, \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle + \langle \Upsilon, \Delta \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle + |\nabla \Upsilon|^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon|^2 \\
&= (S^g)_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{q}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} + S_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{\Lambda}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{\Lambda}}^{\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \\
&\quad - S_{\bar{\Lambda}}^{\bar{D}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{\Lambda}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B g^{\bar{j}i} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} + \langle \Delta \Upsilon, \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle + \langle \Upsilon, \Delta \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle + |\nabla \Upsilon|^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the two equations above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\Upsilon|^2 &= -|\nabla \Upsilon|^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon|^2 \\
&\quad + g^{\bar{j}i} g^{\bar{k}l} G^{\bar{C}A} G_{\bar{B}\bar{D}} \left(-(T^2)_{i\bar{k}} \Upsilon_{lA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} + T_{il}^p \Omega_{p\bar{k}A}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} - \bar{T}_{\bar{k}\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \Omega_{l\bar{q}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \Upsilon_{iA}^B \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Upsilon_{iA}^B \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{k}} \tilde{\Omega}_{l\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} - \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\nabla}_l \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^B \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Upsilon_{li}^q \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\Omega}_{q\bar{k}A}^B - \Upsilon_{lA}^M \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}M}^B + \Upsilon_{lM}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\Omega}_{i\bar{k}A}^M \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{j}}^{\bar{q}} \tilde{\Omega}_{l\bar{q}\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}} - \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{C}}^{\bar{L}} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}\bar{L}}^{\bar{D}} + \Upsilon_{iA}^B \bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{k}\bar{L}}^{\bar{D}} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}\bar{C}}^{\bar{L}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, observing that the second through fifth terms above form a perfect square we arrive at

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\Upsilon|^2 = -|\nabla \Upsilon|^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon + T \cdot \Upsilon|^2 + T * \Upsilon * \tilde{\Omega} + \Upsilon * \bar{\Upsilon} * \tilde{\Omega} + \Upsilon * \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\Omega},$$

as claimed. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Supposing that the initial generalized metric is uniformly equivalent to a background, i.e. $\Lambda^{-1} \tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda \tilde{G}$, then along a solution to the pluriclosed flow (1.1),*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\Upsilon|^2 \leq -|\nabla \Upsilon|^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Upsilon + T * \Upsilon|^2 + C(\Lambda, \tilde{g}) |\Upsilon| (|T| + |\Upsilon| + 1).$$

Proof. This follows by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the result of the previous proposition. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Given (M^{2n}, J) and (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1), with associated generalized metric G and background generalized metric \tilde{G} , one has*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} = -|\Upsilon|_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, \tilde{G}}^2 + G^{\bar{B}A} g^{\bar{n}m} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{n}m\bar{B}A}.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.2 we compute

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (G^{\bar{B}A} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}) = G^{\bar{C}A} G^{\bar{B}D} S_{D\bar{C}} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\Delta \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} = g^{\bar{n}m} \nabla_m \nabla_{\bar{n}} (G^{\bar{B}A} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}) = g^{\bar{n}m} G^{\bar{B}A} \nabla_m \nabla_{\bar{n}} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}.$$

However,

$$\nabla_{\bar{n}} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = (\nabla_{\bar{n}} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{n}}) \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = -\tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{C}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\bar{n}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}}.$$

The second covariant derivative then yields

$$\nabla_m \nabla_{\bar{n}} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = -\nabla_m (\tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{C}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\bar{n}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}}) = \tilde{G}_{D\bar{C}} \Upsilon_{m\bar{A}}^D \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\bar{n}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} + \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{C}} (\Omega_{\bar{n}m\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} - \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{n}m\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}}).$$

Contracting this with g yields

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} = |\Upsilon|_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, \tilde{G}}^2 + G^{\bar{B}A} \tilde{G}_{\bar{A}\bar{C}} (S_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} - g^{\bar{n}m} \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{n}m\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}}).$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6) gives the result. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Given (M^{2n}, J) and (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1), with associated generalized metric G and background generalized metric \tilde{G} , such that $\Lambda^{-1} \tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda \tilde{G}$, one has*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} \leq -\Lambda^{-1} |\Upsilon|_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, G}^2 + K(\Lambda, \tilde{g}).$$

Proof. This follows in a straightforward way from Lemma 3.5 using the uniform equivalence of G and \tilde{G} . \square

Lemma 3.7. *On a complex manifold (M, J) with Hermitian metrics g and \tilde{g} having torsion potentials β and $\tilde{\beta}$ respectively satisfying $\Lambda^{-1} \tilde{g} \leq g \leq \Lambda \tilde{g}$ and $|\beta|^2 \leq \Lambda$, we have*

$$|\Upsilon^g|^2 \leq \Lambda^2 |\Upsilon^{\tilde{g}}|^2_{g^{-1}, G^{-1}, G} + K(\Lambda, \tilde{g}).$$

Proof. We will compute Υ using Lemma 3.1. Set $\gamma_{ij} \equiv \beta_{ij}(p)$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \equiv \tilde{\beta}_{ij}(p)$, and compute at p as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(p) &= \Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma} \\ &= e^{-\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^g & 0 \\ 0 & g \partial g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{\gamma} - e^{-\tilde{\gamma}} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^{\tilde{g}} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{g} \partial \tilde{g}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{\tilde{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

But $g \partial g^{-1}$ is the natural connection action on $(T^*)^{1,0}$ since

$$g \partial_i g^{-1} (dz^j) = g \partial_i (g^{\bar{k}j} \bar{\partial}_k) = g (g_{,i}^{\bar{k}j} \bar{\partial}_k) = -g_{\bar{k}l} g^{\bar{k}\mu} g^{\bar{p}j} g_{\mu\bar{p},i} dz^l = -(\Gamma^g)_{il}^j dz^l.$$

Thus, the above can naturally be written as

$$\Upsilon(p) = e^{-\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^g & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^g \end{pmatrix} e^\gamma - e^{-\tilde{\gamma}} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^{\tilde{g}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^{\tilde{g}} \end{pmatrix} e^{\tilde{\gamma}}$$

Then since $\gamma(p) = \beta(p)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(p) = \tilde{\beta}(p)$, after some simplification, we get

$$\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^g & 0 \\ -\tilde{\beta}\Gamma^{\tilde{g}} - \Gamma^{\tilde{g}}\tilde{\beta} + \beta\Gamma^g + \Gamma^g\beta & \Upsilon^g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^g & 0 \\ \beta\Upsilon^g + \Upsilon^g\beta + (\beta - \tilde{\beta})\Gamma^{\tilde{g}} + \Gamma^{\tilde{g}}(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) & \Upsilon^g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing in Hermitian coordinates about p simplifies this to

$$\Upsilon = e^\beta \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^g & 0 \\ 0 & \Upsilon^g \end{pmatrix} e^\beta + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (\beta - \tilde{\beta}) * \tilde{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By tensoriality, this equality is global. But then, letting \hat{G} be the diagonal metric with $G = e^{-\beta}\hat{G}e^\beta$, it is clear that

$$|\Upsilon|_{g,G}^2 \geq \left| \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^g & 0 \\ 0 & \Upsilon^g \end{pmatrix} \right|_{g^{-1},G^{-1},G}^2 - K(\Lambda, \tilde{g}) \geq \Lambda^{-2} |\Upsilon^g|_g^2 - K(\Lambda, \tilde{g}),$$

as claimed. \square

In the proposition below we will use a cutoff function to get a localized estimate. In particular we will say that η is a cutoff function for a ball of radius R at $p \in M$ with respect to a background metric \tilde{g} if

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta|_{B(p, \frac{R}{2})} &\equiv 1, & \text{supp } \eta &\subset B(p, R) \\ |\nabla\eta| &\leq \frac{C}{R}, & |\nabla^2\eta| &\leq \frac{C}{R^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends on the background metric \tilde{g} .

Proposition 3.8. *Given (M^{2n}, J) , fix (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1), with associated generalized metric G . Fix a background metric generalized metric $\tilde{G}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\beta})$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}\tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda\tilde{G}$, a cutoff function η for a ball of radius R with respect to \tilde{g} , constants $A, p \geq 1$, and define*

$$\Phi = t\eta^p |\Upsilon|^2 + A\eta^{p-2} \text{tr}_G \tilde{G}.$$

There exists a constant $K = K(\Lambda, \tilde{G}, p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \Phi &\leq -2\Re \langle \nabla\Phi, p\eta^{-1}\bar{\nabla}\eta \rangle \\ &\quad + |\Upsilon|^2 \eta^{p-2} (1 + tK(1 + R^{-2}) - A\Lambda^{-1}) + KA(1 + R^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first compute using Corollary 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t\eta^p |\Upsilon|^2) &= \eta^p |\Upsilon|^2 + t\eta^p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (|\Upsilon|^2) - 2pt\eta^{p-1} \Re \langle \nabla |\Upsilon|^2, \bar{\nabla}\eta \rangle \\ &\quad - p(p-1)t\eta^{p-2} |\nabla\eta|^2 |\Upsilon|^2 - pt\eta^{p-1} |\Upsilon|^2 \Delta\eta \\ &\leq \eta^p |\Upsilon|^2 + K(\Lambda, \tilde{g})t\eta^p |\Upsilon| (|T| + |\Upsilon| + 1) - 2pt\eta^{p-1} \Re \langle \nabla |\Upsilon|^2, \bar{\nabla}\eta \rangle \\ &\quad - p(p-1)t\eta^{p-2} |\nabla\eta|^2 |\Upsilon|^2 - pt\eta^{p-1} |\Upsilon|^2 \Delta\eta. \end{aligned}$$

For ease of computing we set $q = p - 2$. Using Corollary 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \eta^q \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} &= \eta^q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} - 2q\eta^{q-1} \Re \langle \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &\quad - q(q-1)\eta^{q-2} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} |\nabla \eta|^2 - q\eta^{q-1} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} \Delta \eta \\ &\leq -\eta^q \Lambda^{-1} |\Upsilon|^2 + K(\Lambda, \tilde{g}) - 2q\eta^{q-1} \Re \langle \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &\quad - q(q-1)\eta^{q-2} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} |\nabla \eta|^2 - q\eta^{q-1} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} \Delta \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities above, Lemma 3.7, and (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \Phi &\leq \eta^p |\Upsilon|^2 + tK\eta^p |\Upsilon| (|T| + |\Upsilon| + 1) - 2pt\eta^{p-1} \Re \langle \nabla |\Upsilon|^2, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &\quad - p(p-1)t\eta^{p-2} |\nabla \eta|^2 |\Upsilon|^2 - pt\eta^{p-1} |\Upsilon|^2 \Delta \eta \\ (3.8) \quad &\quad + A \left\{ -\eta^q \Lambda^{-1} |\Upsilon|^2 + K - 2q\eta^{q-1} \Re \langle \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q(q-1)\eta^{q-2} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} |\nabla \eta|^2 - q\eta^{q-1} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} \Delta \eta \right\} \\ &\leq -2pt\eta^{p-1} \Re \langle \nabla |\Upsilon|^2, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle - 2Aq\eta^{q-1} \Re \langle \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &\quad + |\Upsilon|^2 \eta^{p-2} (1 + t(K + CR^{-2}) - A\Lambda^{-1}) + K(\Lambda, p)A(1 + R^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

We also directly compute

$$\nabla \Phi = pt\eta^{p-1} \nabla \eta |\Upsilon|^2 + t\eta^p \nabla |\Upsilon|^2 + A \left\{ q\eta^{q-1} \nabla \eta \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} + \eta^q \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G} \right\}.$$

Taking the inner product with $\eta^{-1} \nabla \eta$, multiplying by p , and rearranging we find

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad &-2\Re \langle pt\eta^{p-1} \nabla |\Upsilon|^2, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &= -2\Re \langle \nabla \Phi, p\eta^{-1} \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle + 2p(pt\eta^{p-2} |\Upsilon|^2 + Aq\eta^{q-2} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\ &\quad + 2Ap\eta^{q-1} \Re \langle \nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}, \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We also will exploit the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad &|\nabla \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}|^2 = g^{\bar{j}k} (\nabla_k \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}) (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \operatorname{tr}_G \tilde{G}) \\ &= g^{\bar{j}k} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_k \tilde{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \tilde{G}_{a\bar{b}} \\ &= g^{\bar{j}k} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_k - \tilde{\nabla}_k) \tilde{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{j}}) \tilde{G}_{a\bar{b}} \\ &= g^{\bar{j}k} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{b}a} (\Upsilon_{k\alpha}^\nu \tilde{G}_{\nu\bar{\beta}}) (\bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{j}b}^{\bar{n}} \tilde{G}_{a\bar{n}}) \\ &\leq C(\Lambda) |\Upsilon|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9) and (3.10) in (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \Phi &\leq -2\Re \langle \nabla \Phi, p\eta^{-1} \bar{\nabla} \eta \rangle \\ &\quad + |\Upsilon|^2 \eta^{p-2} (1 + tK(1 + R^{-2}) - A\Lambda^{-1}) + KA(1 + R^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

4. MAIN RESULTS

Proposition 4.1. *Given (M^{2n}, J) , fix (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1) on $[0, \tau]$, $\tau \leq 1$, with associated generalized metric G . Fix a background generalized metric $\tilde{G}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\beta})$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}\tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda\tilde{G}$, and some $0 < R < 1$. There exists a constant $K = K(p, \Lambda, \tilde{G})$ such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(p) \times \{t\}} \left| \Upsilon(G, \tilde{G}) \right|^2 \leq K \left(\frac{1}{t} + R^{-4} \right).$$

Proof. Let η denote a cutoff function for B_R , fix $p = 2$, and define Φ as in Proposition 3.8. We can choose $A \geq C\Lambda KR^{-2}$ so that for times $t \leq 1$, Proposition 3.8 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \Phi &\leq -2\Re \langle \nabla \Phi, p\eta^{-1}\bar{\nabla}\eta \rangle + KA(1 + R^{-2}) \\ &\leq -2\Re \langle \nabla \Phi, p\eta^{-1}\bar{\nabla}\eta \rangle + KR^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi \leq K$ at time 0, it follows from the maximum principle that

$$\sup_{M \times \{t\}} \Phi \leq K(1 + tR^{-4})$$

But then for any $x \in B_{\frac{R}{2}}$,

$$|\Upsilon|^2(x, t) = \eta^p(x) |\Upsilon|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \Phi(x, t) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{t} + R^{-4} \right),$$

as claimed. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Given (M^{2n}, J) , fix (ω, β) a solution to pluriclosed flow (1.1) on $[0, \tau]$, $\tau \leq 1$, with associated generalized metric G . Fix a background metric generalized metric $\tilde{G}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\beta})$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}\tilde{G} \leq G \leq \Lambda\tilde{G}$. There exists $\rho > 0$ depending on \tilde{g} such that for all $0 < R < \rho$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $K = K(k, \Lambda, \tilde{G})$ such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(p) \times \{t\}} f_k(x, t) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{t} + R^{-4} \right).$$

Proof. The case $k = 0$ is established in Proposition 4.1. For $k > 0$, suppose otherwise. We choose $\rho > 0$ so that, for all $x \in B_R(p)$, the exponential map associated to \tilde{g} is a local diffeomorphism on a ball of radius ρ with uniform estimates on the pullback metric $\exp_p^* \tilde{g}$. Given G_t a solution to pluriclosed flow as described and $0 < R < \rho$, suppose there exist points $(x_i, t_i) \in B_R(p) \times [0, \tau]$ s.t.

$$\frac{t_i f_k(x_i, t_i)}{1 + t_i d(x_i, \partial B_R)^{-4}} \nearrow \infty.$$

We refine to points $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{t}_i)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\tilde{t}_i f_k(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{t}_i)}{1 + \tilde{t}_i d(\tilde{x}_i, \partial B_R)^{-4}} = \sup_{B_R(p) \times [0, t_i]} \frac{t_i f_k(x_i, t_i)}{1 + t_i d(x_i, \partial B_R)^{-4}}.$$

From here on, we will drop these decorations and refer only to the refined points. By construction, for each x_i we can use the exponential map of \tilde{g} on a ball of radius $d(x_i, \partial B_R)$

to pullback G and so work in complex coordinate charts. Set $\sigma_i = f_k(x_i, t_i)$, and define a family of metrics on $B(0, \sqrt{\sigma_i}d(x_i, \partial B_R)) \times [-t_i\sigma_i, (\tau - t_i)\sigma_i]$ by

$$G_i(x, t) = G\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}\right)$$

where $\tilde{G}_i(x, t)$ is defined similarly. Each of these indexed metrics is actually a solution to (3.1) on their domains as $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G$ and $S_i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i}S$. Associated to these metrics, are quantities $f_{k,i}$ given by

$$f_{k,i}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i}f_k\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}\right),$$

and thus by construction

$$f_{k,i}(0, 0) = 1.$$

Notice that since $t_i\sigma_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $d(x_i, \partial B_R)^2\sigma_i \rightarrow \infty$, eventually all of these solutions and associated quantities exist on $B_1(0) \times [-1, 0] \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Also note that since for sufficiently large i , $t_i\sigma_i \geq 2$, one has

$$t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i} \geq \frac{t_i}{2}$$

for any $t \in [-1, 0]$. Similarly, for sufficiently large i it will hold for $x \in B_1(0)$ that

$$d\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, \partial B_R\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(x_i, \partial B_R)$$

This implies using (4.1) that for any $(x, t) \in B_1(0) \times [-1, 0]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t_i}{64(1 + t_i d(x_i, \partial B_R))^{-4}} f_{k,i}(x, t) &\leq \frac{\left(t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}\right)}{1 + \left(t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}\right) d\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, \partial B_R\right)^{-4}} f_{k,i}(x, t) \\ &\leq \frac{t_i}{1 + t_i d(x_i, \partial B_R)^{-4}} f_{k,i}(0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$f_{k,i}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i}f_k\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}\right) \leq 64$$

on $B_1(0) \times [-1, 0]$ for sufficiently large i . This is a uniform C^{k+1} estimate, which implies a $C^{k,\alpha}$ estimate for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. As our equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(G_i)_{n\bar{j}} = (G_i)^{\bar{l}k}(G_i)_{n\bar{j},k\bar{l}} + \partial G_i * \partial G_i$$

and a uniform $C^{k,\alpha}$ -estimate for G_i implies a uniform $C^{k-1,\alpha}$ -estimate for $\partial G_i * \partial G_i$. As $k \geq 1$, we are exactly in the case of the Schauder estimates. So, on $B(0, \frac{1}{2}) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, we have a uniform $C^{k+1,\alpha}$ -estimate. Applying Ascoli-Arzelá then gives subsequential C^{k+1} -convergence of the metrics to some limit G_∞ . This convergence in particular implies that

$$f_{k,\infty}(0, 0) = 1.$$

We note that the rescaled background metrics converge in C^∞ to a metric \tilde{G}_∞ which must be Euclidean. Furthermore, using the estimate on f_0 it follows that for points in $B(0, \frac{1}{2}) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$,

$$0 \leq f_{0,i}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} f_0(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}, t_i + \frac{t}{\sigma_i}) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_i} \left(\frac{1}{t_i} + d(x_i, \partial B_R)^{-4} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence the metric G_∞ is constant in $B(0, \frac{1}{2})$ after the blow-up. Therefore $f_{k,\infty}(0, 0) = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] APOSTOLOV, V., AND STREETS, J. The nondegenerate generalized Kähler Calabi-Yau problem. *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2017).
- [2] CALABI, E. Improper affine hyperspheres of convex type and a generalization of a theorem by K. Jörgens. *Michigan Math. J.* 5 (1958), 105–126.
- [3] DE GIORGI, E. Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari. *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (3)* 3 (1957), 25–43.
- [4] DE GIORGI, E. Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4)* 1 (1968), 135–137.
- [5] EVANS, L. C. Classical solutions of fully nonlinear, convex, second-order elliptic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 35, 3 (1982), 333–363.
- [6] IVANOV, S., AND PAPADOPOULOS, G. Vanishing theorems and string backgrounds. *Classical Quantum Gravity* 18, 6 (2001), 1089–1110.
- [7] KRYLOV, N. V. Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 46, 3 (1982), 487–523, 670.
- [8] KRYLOV, N. V., AND SAFONOV, M. V. An estimate for the probability of a diffusion process hitting a set of positive measure. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 245, 1 (1979), 18–20.
- [9] KRYLOV, N. V., AND SAFONOV, M. V. A property of the solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 44, 1 (1980), 161–175, 239.
- [10] LEE, M.-C., AND STREETS, J. Complex manifolds with negative curvature operator. *arXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2019).
- [11] MOSER, J. A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 13 (1960), 457–468.
- [12] NASH, J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. *Amer. J. Math.* 80 (1958), 931–954.
- [13] PHONG, D. H., SESUM, N., AND STURM, J. Multiplier ideal sheaves and the Kähler-Ricci flow. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 15, 3 (2007), 613–632.
- [14] STREETS, J. Pluriclosed flow, Born-Infeld geometry, and rigidity results for generalized Kähler manifolds. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 41, 2 (2016), 318–374.
- [15] STREETS, J. Pluriclosed flow on manifolds with globally generated bundles. *Complex Manifolds* 3, 1 (2016), 222–230.
- [16] STREETS, J. Pluriclosed flow on generalized Kähler manifolds with split tangent bundle. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 739 (2018), 241–276.
- [17] STREETS, J., AND TIAN, G. A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 16 (2010), 3101–3133.
- [18] YAU, S. T. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 31, 3 (1978), 339–411.