

Spectral analysis of the blazars Markarian 421 and Markarian 501 with the HAWC Gamma-Ray Observatory

Sara Coutiño de León, Alberto Carramiñana Alonso[∗] **, Daniel Rosa-González and Anna Lia Longinotti for the HAWC collaboration**†

Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Puebla, Mexico. E-mail: sara@inaoep.mx*,* alberto@inaoep.mx*,* danrosa@inaoep.mx*,* annalia@inaoep.mx

The High Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) Gamma-Ray Observatory surveys the very high energy sky in the ∼ 300 to 100 TeV energy range and has detected two high-synchrotron-peaked BL Lacertae objects, Markarian 421 and Markarian 501 in a period of time of 837 days between June 2015 and December 2017. In this work we present the detailed time-average spectral analysis. Using an extragalactic background light model, we address the difference in the intrinsic spectral properties between the two blazars above 1 TeV, preliminary results show that the intrinsic spectrum of Mrk 421 is better described by a power-law with an exponential energy cut-off function with photon index $\alpha_{421} = 2.22 \pm 0.10$ and energy cut-off $Ec_{421} = 5.24 \pm 1.02$ TeV, and for Mrk 501 the intrinsic spectrum is well described by a power law with spectral index $\alpha_{501} = 2.40 \pm 0.06$, without requiring an energy cut-off.

36th International Cosmic Ray Conference -ICRC2019- July 24th - August 1st, 2019 Madison, WI, U.S.A.

 \overline{c} Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). http://pos.sissa.it/

[∗]Speaker.

[†]For collaboration list see PoS(ICRC2019)1177 and https://www.hawc-observatory.org/collaboration/icrc2019.php

1. Introduction

Most extragalactic sources of very high-energy (VHE) radiation are associated to active galactic nuclei (AGN), characterized by ultra-relativistic jets escaping from a super massive black hole (SMBH) in the central region of the galaxies. Two of the most studied and brightest sources in the extragalactic TeV sky are Markarian 421 (Mrk 421) and Markarian 501 (Mrk 501) with a redshift of $z = 0.031$ and $z = 0.034$, respectively. They were first detected at VHE by the Whipple Observatory, the first one in 1992 [\[1\]](#page-4-0) and the second one in 1996 [\[2\]](#page-4-0). They were classified as highsynchroton-peaked (HBL) BL Lac objects [[3](#page-4-0)] and their gamma-ray spectrum has been measured with many imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs). They are continuously monitored by the *Fermi*-LAT whose latest report is in *Fermi* Large Area Telescope Third Source Catalog [[4\]](#page-4-0).

The spectral energy distribution of HBL has two components, one at low energies is originated via synchrotron radiation of relativistic electrons, whereas the high-energy that is commonly attributed to inverse Compton scattering (IC); however the nature of the high energy component is still under debate [[5](#page-4-0), [6,](#page-4-0) [7](#page-4-0)]. For this reason, Mrk 421 and Mrk 501 are often studied to constrain emission blazar models by separating their intrinsic properties and the attenuation effects due to the extragalactic background light (EBL). In this work we present the preliminary results of the VHE observations of both sources as a result of 837 days of observations with the High Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) Gamma-Ray Observatory in order to address the difference in their intrinsic spectral properties.

2. Data

The HAWC Observatory is a ground-based TeV gamma-ray detector in the state of Puebla, Mexico at an altitude of 4100 m a.s.l. The detector continuously measures the arrival time and direction of cosmic and gamma-ray primaries within its 2 sr field of view. It is most sensitive to gamma-ray energies ranging from 300 GeV - 100 TeV. Cuts on the data can be applied to differentiate gamma-ray air showers from the large cosmic-ray background. A reconstruction of the air shower in the detector is performed to obtain the event's size and the direction of the primary γ-ray. For more details about the detector performance read [\[8,](#page-4-0) [9\]](#page-4-0).

The data used for this analysis goes from June 2015 to December 2017 comprising 837 days of effective exposure. The data is divided into bins which definition depends on the fraction of photomultiplier tubes that are triggered in each event; however this method does not take into account energy assignment variables so the energy of the gamma-ray events is estimated through a ground parameter method that measures the charge 40 meters from the air shower axis of each event and the estimated energy is found performing a fit using an empirical function that matches the simulated events, this way the bins are sub-divided into quarter-decade energy bins in the 0.316- 100 TeV range. Due to the poor energy resolution below 1 TeV, we performed the fits above this energy. For more details about the energy estimator performance see [\[10\]](#page-4-0).

3. Analysis

A forward-folding method is performed to fit the spectral shape of the sources using the HAWC maximum-likelihood framework (LiFF) described in [[11](#page-4-0)]. Given a source spectral model, the data and background maps are convolved with the detector response and LiFF computes a binned Poisson log-likelihood value. The test statistic (*T S*) is computed using the likelihood of the observations, estimated using a background-only model (null hypothesis, *H*0), and the signal-plusbackground model (alternative hypothesis, *H*1). *T S* is defined as

$$
TS = 2\ln\frac{\mathcal{L}(H_1)}{\mathcal{L}(H_0)},\tag{3.1}
$$

where $\mathscr L$ is the likelihood function. To determine a source spectrum, the *TS* is numerically maximized by iteratively changing the input parameters, yielding those values that have the highest likelihood of describing the observed data for the point source model assumption. Using Wilks' √ Theorem [[12](#page-4-0)] the significance is $\sigma = \pm \sqrt{TS}$ and according to [[9](#page-4-0)], we consider a source detected when $\sigma > 5$.

In order to estimated an intrinsic spectrum, the input spectral model is assumed to be the intrinsic one which is then attenuated using an EBL model. This attenuated spectral model is the one convolved with the detector response to be then compared with the observed counts. This way, the output parameters correspond to the intrinsic ones. The EBL model used to perform the fits in this work is from [\[13](#page-4-0)].

For this work, we tested two spectral shapes, a single power law (PL, equation 3.2) and a power law with an exponential energy cut-off (PL+CO, equation 3.3).

$$
\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\alpha} \times \exp(-\tau),\tag{3.2}
$$

$$
\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\alpha} \times \exp\left(\frac{-E}{E_c}\right) \times \exp(-\tau),\tag{3.3}
$$

where N_0 is the flux normalization $[{\rm TeV}^{-1} {\rm cm}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1}]$, E_0 is the pivot energy fixed at 1 TeV, α is the spectral index, E_c is the energy cut-off [TeV], and τ is the opacity value given by EBL models, and which is an increasing function of *E* and the source redshift, *z*.

Depending on the *T S* values in the global fit using all the available energy bins, a preferred spectral shape is chosen. As described in [\[10](#page-4-0)], the process to estimate flux points is performing individual fits in each energy bin where only N_0 is free to vary and α and E_c are fixed using the resulting values from the global fit. If a fit from an individual energy bin has a *T S* < 25, an upper limit at a 95% confidence interval is set following [[14\]](#page-4-0).

4. Results

The model that best describes the intrinsic spectrum of the sources is a PL+CO for Mrk 421; however for Mrk 501 the spectral fit has very similar values of *T S* for both a PL and a PL+CO spectral models but the fitted energy cut-off is larger than 700 TeV, so that within the HAWC energy range the intrinsic spectrum can be modeled with a single PL. The resulting spectral parameters are given in Table [1](#page-3-0) where the corresponding uncertainties are statistical only. Figure [1](#page-3-0) show the best fits for the observed (black) and intrinsic (blue) spectra of both sources.

\sqrt{TS}	N_0	α	E_c
	$[TeV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}]$		[TeV]
	Mrk 421 48 $(4.77 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-11}$ 2.22 \pm 0.10 5.24 \pm 1.02		
	Mrk 501 22 $(1.40 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-11}$ 2.40 ± 0.06		∞

Table 1: Fitted spectral parameters for Mrk 421 and Mrk 501 following the method described in section [3.](#page-1-0)

Figure 1: Differential energy spectra of Mrk 421 (top) and Mrk 501 (bottom). The observed (black line and dots) spectra is the best fit to the data and the intrinsic spectra (blue dashed line) is obtained using the EBL model from [[13\]](#page-4-0). The black points are the result of individual fits in each energy bin as described in section [3](#page-1-0).

5. Summary

We present the VHE spectra of Mrk 421 and Mrk 501, the nearest BL Lacs, with HAWC. The data set comprises 837 transits and the spectral analysis was performed using an energy estimator based on the measurement of the charge at the ground to divide the data into energy bins [\[10](#page-4-0)], and the HAWC maximum-likelihood framework [\[11\]](#page-4-0).

The differential energy spectra of each source is also EBL-corrected using the model from [[13\]](#page-4-0), and the preliminary results show that Mrk 421 has a curved shape which may indicate photonphoton attenuation inside the source, while Mrk 501 is well described by a simple power law. These differences prompt a deeper exploration of the intrinsic nature of both sources through blazar emission models in order to determine the physical processes and the nature of the particles that produce radiation at very high energies.

References

- [1] M. Punch, C. W. Akerlof, M. F. Cawley, M. Chantell, D. J. Fegan, S. Fennell et al., *Detection of TeV photons from the active galaxy Markarian 421*, *Nature* 358 [\(Aug., 1992\) 477.](https://doi.org/10.1038/358477a0)
- [2] J. Quinn, C. W. Akerlof, S. Biller, J. Buckley, D. A. Carter-Lewis, M. F. Cawley et al., *Detection of Gamma Rays with E* > *300 GeV from Markarian 501*, *[Astrophysical Journal, Letters](https://doi.org/10.1086/309878)* 456 (Jan., 1996) [L83](https://doi.org/10.1086/309878).
- [3] A. A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, I. Agudo, M. Ajello, H. D. Aller, M. F. Aller et al., *The Spectral Energy Distribution of Fermi Bright Blazars*, *[Astrophysical Journal](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/1/30)* 716 (June, 2010) 30–70, [[0912.2040](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2040)].
- [4] F. Acero, M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, A. Albert, W. B. Atwood, M. Axelsson et al., *Fermi Large Area Telescope Third Source Catalog*, *[Astrophysical Journal, Supplement](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/218/2/23)* 218 (June, 2015) 23, [[1501.02003](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.02003)].
- [5] T. W. Jones, S. L. O'dell and W. A. Stein, *Physics of Compact Nonthermal Sources. I. Theory of Radiation Processes*, *Astrophysical Journal* 188 [\(Mar., 1974\) 353–368](https://doi.org/10.1086/152724).
- [6] K. Mannheim, γ *rays and neutrinos from a powerful cosmic accelerator*, *[Physical Review D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.2408)* 48 (Sept., [1993\) 2408–2414,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.2408) [[astro-ph/9306005](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9306005)].
- [7] K. Mannheim, *The proton blazar*, *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 269 (Mar., 1993) 67–76, [[astro-ph/9302006](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9302006)].
- [8] A. U. Abeysekara, A. Albert, R. Alfaro, C. Alvarez, J. D. Álvarez, R. Arceo et al., *Observation of the Crab Nebula with the HAWC Gamma-Ray Observatory*, *ApJ* 843 [\(July, 2017\) 39,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7555) [[1701.01778](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01778)].
- [9] A. U. Abeysekara, A. Albert, R. Alfaro, C. Alvarez, J. D. Álvarez, R. Arceo et al., *The 2HWC HAWC Observatory Gamma-Ray Catalog*, *ApJ* 843 [\(July, 2017\) 40](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7556), [[1702.02992](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02992)].
- [10] HAWC Collaboration, A. U. Abeysekara, A. Albert, R. Alfaro, C. Alvarez, J. D. Álvarez et al., *Measurement of the Crab Nebula at the Highest Energies with HAWC*, *arXiv e-prints* (May, 2019) arXiv:1905.12518, [[1905.12518](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.12518)].
- [11] P. W. Younk, R. J. Lauer, G. Vianello, J. P. Harding, H. A. Ayala Solares, H. Zhou et al., *A high-level analysis framework for HAWC*, in *34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2015)*, vol. 34 of *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, p. 948, July, 2015.
- [12] S. S. Wilks, *The Large-Sample Distribution of the Likelihood Ratio for Testing Composite Hypotheses*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 9 (1938) 60–62.
- [13] R. C. Gilmore, R. S. Somerville, J. R. Primack and A. Domínguez, *Semi-analytic modelling of the extragalactic background light and consequences for extragalactic gamma-ray spectra*, *[Monthly](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20841.x) Notices of the RAS* 422 [\(June, 2012\) 3189–3207,](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20841.x) [[1104.0671](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.0671)].
- [14] G. Feldman and R. Cousins, *Unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals*, *Phys. Rev. D* 57(7) (1998) 3873–3889.