

## AEPPLI COHOMOLOGY AND GAUDUCHON METRICS

RICCARDO PIOVANI AND ADRIANO TOMASSINI

ABSTRACT. Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a complete Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$  and assume that  $\omega^{n-p}$  is  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})$ -bounded. We prove that, if  $\psi$  is an  $L^2$  and  $d$ -closed  $(p, 0)$ -form on  $M$ , then  $\psi = 0$ . In particular, if  $M$  is compact, we derive that if the Aeppli class of  $\omega^{n-p}$  vanishes, then  $H_{BC}^{p,0}(M) = 0$ . As a special case, if  $M$  admits a Gauduchon metric  $\omega$  such that the Aeppli class of  $\omega^{n-1}$  vanishes, then  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact smooth manifold of even dimension  $2n$ . In this note, we will consider only manifolds without boundary. A simple obstruction in order that  $M$  carries a Kähler metric is that  $H_{dR}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Indeed, if  $(J, g, \omega)$  is a Kähler structure on  $M$ , then it is well known that  $\omega^k$  gives rise to a non-zero de Rham cohomology class on  $M$ , for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Furthermore, Hodge decomposition theorem on compact Kähler manifolds guarantees that complex de Rham cohomology decompose as the direct sum of Dolbeault cohomology groups and that these coincide with  $(p, q)$ -Bott-Chern cohomology and  $(p, q)$ -Aeppli cohomology groups, defined respectively as

$$H_{BC}^{*,*}(M) = \frac{\ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial}}{\text{im } \partial \bar{\partial}}, \quad H_A^{*,*}(M) = \frac{\ker \partial \bar{\partial}}{\text{im } \partial + \text{im } \bar{\partial}}.$$

For a compact non Kähler manifold, things are more complicated. For example, there are compact complex manifolds endowed with balanced metrics, namely Hermitian metrics  $g$  whose fundamental form  $\omega$  satisfies  $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$ , such that  $0 = [\omega^{n-1}]_{dR} \in H_{dR}^{2n-2}(M; \mathbb{C})$  (see, e.g., [15] or Example 4.1). Moreover, Hodge decomposition does not hold; therefore Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups are natural objects to study. A remarkable result by Gauduchon, [6, Theorem 1], states that if  $(M, J)$  is a compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n > 2$ , given any Hermitian metric  $g$ , with fundamental form  $\omega$ , there exists a unique Hermitian metric  $\tilde{g}$ , conformally equivalent to  $g$ , whose fundamental form  $\tilde{\omega}$  satisfies  $dd^c \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = 0$ , where  $d^c = J^{-1}dJ$ . In particular, if  $J$  is integrable, then  $\tilde{\omega}$  satisfies  $\partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = 0$ . Hence  $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1}$  gives rise to a cohomology class in  $H_A^{n-1, n-1}(M)$ . A Hermitian metric  $g$  on a compact complex  $n$ -dimensional manifold, whose fundamental form  $\omega$  satisfies  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$ , is said to be a *Gauduchon metric*.

In this note, given a Gauduchon metric  $g$ , with fundamental form  $\omega$ , on a compact complex manifold  $M$  of complex dimension  $n$ , we are interested in studying whether the Aeppli cohomology class  $[\omega^{n-1}]_A \in H_A^{n-1, n-1}(M)$  vanishes. If  $n = 2$ , i.e., on compact complex surfaces, an application of the Stokes Theorem shows that any Gauduchon metric gives rise to a non-zero class in Aeppli cohomology, i.e.,  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$  (see, e.g., [9, Prop. 37]). Very recently Yau, Zhao and

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 32Q15.

*Key words and phrases.* Aeppli cohomology; Bott-Chern cohomology; Gauduchon metric.

This work was partially supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica” and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

Zheng prove that if  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  is a compact SKL (Strominger Kähler-like) non-Kähler manifold, then  $\omega$  gives rise to a non-zero class in Aeppli cohomology, i.e.,  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$  (see [23, Thm. 1]). In this paper, we prove the following result (see Theorem 3.4).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ . If  $0 = [\omega^{n-p}]_A \in H_A^{n-p, n-p}(M)$ , then  $H_{BC}^{p,0}(M) = 0$ .*

As a consequence, we obtain the following (see Theorem 3.5).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$ . If  $M$  carries a Gauduchon metric  $\omega$  such that  $0 = [\omega^{n-1}]_A \in H_A^{n-1, n-1}(M)$ , then  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ .*

As an application of Theorem 1.1, following [15], we describe the example of  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ , where  $G$  is the complex Lie group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup (see Example 4.1). On  $M$  we consider an invariant Hermitian metric  $\omega$  such that  $\omega^2$  is exact. Therefore  $0 = [\omega^2]_A \in H_A^{2,2}(M)$  and, consequently, Theorem 3.4 applies, giving  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ .

Theorem 1.1 derives from a more general result on complete Hermitian manifolds. Indeed, inspired by a vanishing theorem by Gromov [7, Theorem 1.2.B], we study the problem presented above in a more general setting, namely on complete Hermitian manifolds. In this context, Gromov [7] got a vanishing result for  $L^2$ -Dolbeault cohomology, that is, he proved that if  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  is a complete Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$  such that  $\omega$  is  $d$ -bounded, i.e.,  $\omega = d\eta$ , with  $\eta$  bounded, then  $H_{dR}^{p,q}(M) = 0$  for  $p + q \neq n$ . In his proof, he made use of the  $L^2$ -Hodge decomposition theorem, and showed the vanishing of  $L^2$  de Rham harmonic forms. In [16] and [17] (see also [10] for the almost Kähler setting), the authors of the present note extend Gromov's result for  $W^{1,2}$  Bott-Chern harmonic forms, giving a characterization of  $W^{1,2}$  Bott-Chern harmonic forms on Stein  $d$ -bounded manifolds, respectively on complete Hermitian manifolds. Along the same line, we prove the following result (see Theorem 3.3), which implies Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a complete Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and assume that  $\omega^{n-p}$  is  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})$ -bounded. Let  $\psi$  be a  $(p, 0)$ -form on  $M$  such that*

$$\psi \in L^2(M), \quad \partial\psi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\psi = 0.$$

*Then  $\psi = 0$ .*

The paper is organized in the following way. In section 2, we set notation and introduce the objects which will be studied in the following. In section 3, we give two basic lemmas and prove the main Theorem 3.3. In section 4, we give some examples, on compact quotients of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , on the Calabi-Eckmann manifold  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$  and on the Kodaira surface of secondary type. Finally we give two applications of Theorem 1.1 to compact nilmanifolds endowed with an invariant complex structure (see Propositions 4.4 and 4.5).

*Acknowledgments.* We are grateful to Daniele Angella, Paul Gauduchon, and Valentino Tosatti for useful conversations and helpful comments.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n$ , where  $M$  is a smooth manifold of real dimension  $2n$ ,  $J$  is a complex structure on  $M$ ,  $g$  is a

$J$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$ , and  $\omega$  denotes the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form associated to the metric  $g$ . We denote by  $h$  the Hermitian extension of  $g$  on the complexified tangent bundle  $T^{\mathbb{C}}M = TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ , and by the same symbol  $g$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear extension of  $g$  on  $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ . Also denote by the same symbol  $\omega$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear extension of the fundamental form  $\omega$  of  $g$  on  $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ . Recall that  $h(u, v) = g(u, \bar{v})$  for all  $u, v \in T^{1,0}M$ , and  $\omega(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$  for all  $u, v \in TM$ . The Hermitian metric  $g$  is said to be *Gauduchon* if  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$ .

We denote by  $\Omega^r(M, \mathbb{C}) = \Gamma(\Lambda^r M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$  the space of complex  $r$ -forms, and by  $\Omega^{p,q}(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^{p,q}M)$  the space of  $(p, q)$ -forms on  $M$ . Denoting by  $*$  :  $\Omega^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-p,n-q}(M)$  the complex anti-linear Hodge operator associated with  $g$ , the *Bott-Chern Laplacian* and *Aeppli Laplacian*  $\tilde{\Delta}_{BC}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_A$  are the 4-th order elliptic differential operators defined respectively as (see [14, p. 71] and [19, p. 8])

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{BC} := \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*\partial^*\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*\partial\partial^*\bar{\partial} + \partial^*\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\partial + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} + \partial^*\partial$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_A := \partial\partial^* + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\partial^*\partial\bar{\partial} + \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\partial^* + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\partial^* + \bar{\partial}\partial^*\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*,$$

where, as usual

$$\partial^* := - * \partial *, \quad \bar{\partial}^* := - * \bar{\partial} *.$$

Note that  $*\tilde{\Delta}_{BC} = \tilde{\Delta}_A*$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_{BC}* = *\tilde{\Delta}_A$ , then  $u \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC}$  if and only if  $*u \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_A$ . If  $M$  is compact, then

$$u \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC} \iff \partial u = 0, \bar{\partial} u = 0, \partial^* \bar{\partial}^* u = 0,$$

and

$$v \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_A \iff \partial^* v = 0, \bar{\partial}^* v = 0, \partial\bar{\partial} v = 0.$$

Moreover, according to [19],  $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC}$  and  $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_A$  are finite dimensional complex vector spaces, and

$$\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC}|_{\Omega^{p,q}(M)} \cong H_{BC}^{p,q}(M), \quad \ker \tilde{\Delta}_A|_{\Omega^{p,q}(M)} \cong H_A^{p,q}(M).$$

More explicitly, given any  $(p, q)$ -form  $\varphi$ , we may decompose  $\varphi$  as

$$(1) \quad \varphi = h_{BC}(\varphi) + \partial\bar{\partial}\gamma + \partial^*\alpha + \bar{\partial}^*\beta,$$

where  $h_{BC}(\varphi) \in H_{BC}^{p,q}(M)$ , and

$$(2) \quad \varphi = h_A(\varphi) + \partial^*\bar{\partial}^*\eta + \partial\mu + \bar{\partial}\lambda,$$

where  $h_A(\varphi) \in H_A^{p,q}(M)$ . We will refer to (1), respectively (2), as the Bott-Chern, respectively Aeppli, decomposition of the  $(p, q)$ -form  $\varphi$ .

Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Denote by  $\text{Vol} = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$  the standard volume form. Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be the pointwise Hermitian inner product induced by  $g$  on the space of tensors of complex bigrade  $(p, q)$ . Given any tensor  $\varphi$ , set

$$|\varphi|^2 := \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle,$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 := \int_M |\varphi|^2 \text{Vol},$$

and

$$L^2(M) := \{ \varphi \in \Omega^r(M) \mid 0 \leq r \leq 2n, \|\varphi\|_{L^2} < \infty \}.$$

For any tensors  $\varphi, \psi$ , denote by  $\ll, \gg$  the  $L^2$  Hermitian product defined by

$$\ll \varphi, \psi \gg := \int_M \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \text{Vol}.$$

For any given tensor  $\varphi$ , we also set

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} := \sup_{x \in M} |\varphi|(x)$$

and we call  $\varphi$  *bounded* if  $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . Furthermore, if  $\varphi \in \Omega^r(M)$ ,  $\varphi = d\eta$ , and  $\eta$  is bounded, then  $\varphi$  is said to be *d-bounded*. We say that a  $(p, q)$ -form  $\eta \in \Omega^{p,q}(M)$  is  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})$ -*bounded* if  $\eta = \partial\mu + \bar{\partial}\lambda$ , and  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are bounded. In particular  $\partial\bar{\partial}\eta = 0$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We start by proving the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and  $\psi$  be a  $(p, 0)$ -form on  $M$ . Set*

$$c_{n,p} = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} (-i)^{n-p} (n-p)!$$

Then,

$$*(\omega^{n-1} \wedge \psi) = c_{n,p} \bar{\psi}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n\}$  be a local unitary coframe on  $M$ . Firstly, assume that  $\psi$  is a  $(p, 0)$ -form. Then, for  $i_1 < \dots < i_p$ ,  $i_k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\psi = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \varphi^{i_1 \dots i_p}, \quad \omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi^j \wedge \bar{\varphi}^j,$$

and

$$\omega^{n-p} = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n-p} (n-p)! \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \varphi^{1\bar{1} \dots \widehat{i_1 \bar{i_1}} \dots \widehat{i_p \bar{i_p}} \dots n\bar{n}},$$

where  $\varphi^{r\bar{s}} = \varphi^r \wedge \bar{\varphi}^s$  and  $\widehat{ss}$  means that the pair  $s\bar{s}$  is omitted. Therefore,

$$\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n-p} (n-p)! \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \varphi^{1\bar{1} \dots \widehat{i_1 \bar{i_1}} \dots \widehat{i_p \bar{i_p}} \dots n\bar{n}},$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} *(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) &= \overline{2^{n-p} (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n-p} (n-p)! \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \bar{a}_{i_1 \dots i_p} \varphi^{\bar{i}_1 \dots \bar{i}_p}} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} (-i)^{n-p} (n-p)! \bar{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) = c_{n,p} \bar{\psi}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and  $\psi$  be a  $(p, 0)$ -form on  $M$  such that*

$$\partial\psi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\psi = 0.$$

Then,

$$\partial^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be a closed  $(p, 0)$ -form. By the definition of  $\partial^*$ ,  $\bar{\partial}^*$ , and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) &= - * \partial * (\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) = - \overline{c_{n,p}} * \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) &= - * \bar{\partial} * (\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi) = - \overline{c_{n,p}} * \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We can now prove the following vanishing result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a complete Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and assume that  $\omega^{n-p}$  is  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})$ -bounded. Let  $\psi$  be a  $(p, 0)$ -form on  $M$  such that*

$$\psi \in L^2(M), \quad \partial\psi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\psi = 0.$$

Then  $\psi = 0$ .

*Proof.* By completeness, as stated in [3, Chapter VIII, Lemma 2.4], let  $\{K_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of compact subsets of  $M$ , and  $\{a_\nu : K_\nu \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of smooth cut off functions with compact support, such that the following properties hold:

- $\bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} K_\nu = M$  and  $K_\nu \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{\nu+1}$ ;
- $a_\nu = 1$  in a neighbourhood of  $K_\nu$  and  $\text{supp } a_\nu \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{\nu+1}$ ;
- $|da_\nu| \leq 2^{-\nu}$ .

Let  $\psi$  be as in the statement of the theorem. Since  $\partial\psi = 0$ ,  $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$  and  $\omega^{n-p}$  is  $(\partial + \bar{\partial})$ -bounded, note that

$$(3) \quad \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi = (\partial\mu + \bar{\partial}\lambda) \wedge \psi = \partial(\mu \wedge \psi) + \bar{\partial}(\lambda \wedge \psi).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \ll \partial^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi), a_\nu \mu \wedge \psi \gg \\ &= \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \partial(a_\nu \mu \wedge \psi) \gg \\ &= \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \partial a_\nu \wedge \mu \wedge \psi \gg + \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \partial(\mu \wedge \psi) \gg. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since  $\omega^{n-p}$  and  $\mu$  are bounded,  $\psi$  is  $L^2$  and  $|da_\nu| \leq 2^{-\nu}$ , we get that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \partial a_\nu \wedge \mu \wedge \psi \gg = 0.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \langle \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \partial a_\nu \wedge \mu \wedge \psi \rangle \text{Vol} \right| &\leq \int_M |\omega^{n-p}| |\mu| |da_\nu| |\psi|^2 \text{Vol} \\ &\leq 2^{-\nu} \|\omega^{n-p}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mu\|_{L^\infty} \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking into account (4), we also get that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \partial(\mu \wedge \psi) \gg = 0.$$

By the same calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \ll \bar{\partial}^*(\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi), a_\nu \lambda \wedge \psi \gg \\ &= \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \bar{\partial}(a_\nu \lambda \wedge \psi) \gg \\ &= \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \bar{\partial} a_\nu \wedge \lambda \wedge \psi \gg + \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \bar{\partial}(\lambda \wedge \psi) \gg, \end{aligned}$$

and both summands approach 0. Therefore, by the above computations, taking into account (3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \partial(\mu \wedge \psi) \gg + \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \bar{\partial}(\lambda \wedge \psi) \gg \right] \\ &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, a_\nu \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi \gg = \ll \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi, \omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi \gg, \end{aligned}$$

by the monotone convergence theorem or the dominated convergence theorem. Thus,  $\omega^{n-p} \wedge \psi = 0$  and  $\psi = 0$ , since the wedge product by  $\omega^{n-p}$ , the Lefschetz operator applied  $n-p$  times, is an isomorphism at the level of the exterior algebra (see [12, Prop. 1.2.30]).  $\square$

Note that if  $M$  is compact in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, then the proof is even simpler. There is no need of cut-off functions and it suffices to use the Stokes theorem and Lemma 3.2. Also note that, if  $M$  is compact, then the case  $n = 2$  is no more interesting, since every Gauduchon metric gives rise to a non zero class in Aeppli cohomology. Therefore, as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ . If  $0 = [\omega^{n-p}]_A \in H_A^{n-p, n-p}(M)$ , then  $H_{BC}^{p,0}(M) = 0$ .*

Finally, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4, we get:

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$ . If  $M$  carries a Gauduchon metric  $\omega$  such that  $0 = [\omega^{n-1}]_A \in H_A^{n-1, n-1}(M)$ , then  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ .*

#### 4. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

We start by giving a direct application of Theorem 3.4.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ , where  $G$  is the complex Lie group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup. As a complex basis for the complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  of the Lie group  $G$ , take the matrices

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

such that the structure equations of the Lie algebra are

$$[Z_1, Z_2] = -Z_3, \quad [Z_1, Z_3] = Z_2, \quad [Z_2, Z_3] = -Z_1.$$

Denote by  $\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \varphi^3$  the dual basis of  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$ , i.e.,  $\varphi^j(Z_i) = \delta_i^j$ . The covectors  $\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \varphi^3 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  can be seen as a  $G$ -left-invariant basis of holomorphic  $(1, 0)$ -forms on  $G$  and on  $M$ . Since  $d\alpha(x, y) = -\alpha([x, y])$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we derive the complex structure equations

$$(5) \quad d\varphi^1 = \varphi^{23}, \quad d\varphi^2 = -\varphi^{13}, \quad d\varphi^3 = \varphi^{12}.$$

Let us consider on  $M$  the Hermitian metric whose fundamental form is

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2}(\varphi^{1\bar{1}} + \varphi^{2\bar{2}} + \varphi^{3\bar{3}}).$$

Using the structure equations (5), one easily checks that  $d\omega^2 = 0$ . Moreover, as observed by [15, p. 467], every left-invariant  $(2, 2)$ -form on  $M$  is  $d$ -exact. It can be seen using again the structure equations (5). Hence,  $\omega^2$  is exact. Therefore  $0 = [\omega^2]_A \in H_A^{2,2}(M)$ . Consequently, Theorem 3.4 applies and  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ . To show that  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ , we can also argue in this way. Let  $[\alpha^{1,0}]_{BC} \in H_{BC}^{1,0}(M)$ ; since  $\alpha^{1,0}$  is  $d$ -closed, then  $\bar{\partial}\alpha^{1,0} = 0$ . By [24, Theorem 2], the holomorphic cohomology ring of  $M$  is isomorphic with the cohomology ring of the complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the complex Lie group  $G$ . Therefore, by [24, Theorem 2],

$$\alpha^{1,0} = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j \varphi^j, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, by the structure equations (5),  $d\alpha^{1,0} = 0$  if and only if  $c_j = 0$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , i.e.,  $\alpha^{1,0} = 0$ , so that  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that the condition on the Hermitian metric in Theorem 3.3 is only sufficient and not necessary for the vanishing of  $L^2$  closed  $(p, 0)$ -forms in the case of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let  $M = \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is identified with the special unitary group  $SU(2)$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Let  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  be the Lie algebra of  $SU(2)$  and denote by  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$  and  $\{F_1, F_2, F_3\}$  the real basis of the two copies of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} [E_1, E_2] &= 2E_3, & [E_1, E_3] &= -2E_2, & [E_2, E_3] &= 2E_1, \\ [F_1, F_2] &= 2F_3, & [F_1, F_3] &= -2F_2, & [F_2, F_3] &= 2F_1. \end{aligned}$$

Precisely, using the notation of Example 4.1,  $E_1 = F_1 = 2Z_3$ ,  $E_2 = F_2 = 2Z_2$ ,  $E_3 = F_3 = 2Z_1$ . Denote by  $\{E^1, E^2, E^3\}$ , and  $\{F^1, F^2, F^3\}$ , the dual coframe of  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ , respectively  $\{F_1, F_2, F_3\}$ . Then the following structure equations hold

$$\begin{cases} dE^1 &= -2E^2 \wedge E^3 \\ dE^2 &= 2E^1 \wedge E^3 \\ dE^3 &= -2E^1 \wedge E^2 \\ dF^1 &= -2F^2 \wedge F^3 \\ dF^2 &= 2F^1 \wedge F^3 \\ dF^3 &= -2F^1 \wedge F^2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $J$  be the almost complex structure on  $M$  defined by the following  $(1, 0)$ -complex forms

$$\begin{cases} \psi^1 &= E^1 + iE^2 \\ \psi^2 &= F^1 + iF^2 \\ \psi^3 &= E^3 + iF^3. \end{cases}$$

Then, the following complex structure equations hold

$$\begin{cases} d\psi^1 &= i\psi^{13} + i\psi^{1\bar{3}} \\ d\psi^2 &= \psi^{23} - \psi^{2\bar{3}} \\ d\psi^3 &= -i\psi^{1\bar{1}} + \psi^{2\bar{2}} \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $J$  is an integrable almost complex structure on  $M$ , which turns to be the Calabi-Eckmann complex structure on  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ . Then, by [22, p.359], the Bott-Chern cohomology of  $M$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{BC}^{0,0}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [1]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{1,1}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{1\bar{1}}]_{BC}, [\psi^{2\bar{2}}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{2,1}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{23\bar{2}} + i\psi^{13\bar{1}}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{1,2}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{2\bar{2}3} - i\psi^{1\bar{1}3}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{2,2}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{3,2}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{2,3}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}3}]_{BC} \rangle, \\ H_{BC}^{3,3}(M) &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{123\bar{1}\bar{2}3}]_{BC} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where all the representatives are Bott-Chern harmonic with respect to the Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $M$  whose fundamental form is given by

$$\gamma = \frac{i}{2}(\psi^{1\bar{1}} + \psi^{2\bar{2}} + \psi^{3\bar{3}})$$

The other Bott-Chern cohomology groups vanish. In particular,  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$ . By the above expressions, it follows at once that

$$H_A^{2,2}(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\psi^{1\bar{1}3\bar{3}}]_A, [\psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}}]_A \rangle.$$

Let  $\omega$  be the fundamental form of any Gauduchon metric on  $M$ . Then,  $\omega$  can be expressed in the following way,

$$(6) \quad 2\omega = i(r^2\psi^{1\bar{1}} + s^2\psi^{2\bar{2}} + t^2\psi^{3\bar{3}}) + u\psi^{12} - \bar{u}\psi^{2\bar{1}} + v\psi^{13} - \bar{v}\psi^{3\bar{1}} + w\psi^{23} - \bar{w}\psi^{3\bar{2}},$$

where  $r, s, t$  are smooth real valued functions on  $M$  and  $u, v, w$  are complex valued smooth functions on  $M$ , satisfying the following conditions

$$(7) \quad r^2 > 0, \quad r^2s^2 - |u|^2 > 0, \quad r^2s^2t^2 - 2\text{Re}(iu\bar{v}w) > r^2|w|^2 + s^2|v|^2 + t^2|u|^2$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(r^2 s^2 \psi^{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} + r^2 t^2 \psi^{1\bar{1}3\bar{3}} + s^2 t^2 \psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}(r^2 w \psi^{1\bar{1}2\bar{3}} - r^2 \bar{w} \psi^{1\bar{1}3\bar{2}} + s^2 v \psi^{2\bar{2}1\bar{3}} - s^2 \bar{v} \psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{1}}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}(t^2 u \psi^{3\bar{3}1\bar{2}} - t^2 \bar{u} \psi^{3\bar{3}2\bar{1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\ll \omega^2, \psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}} \gg = \int_M \langle \omega^2, \psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}} \rangle \text{Vol} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M s^2 t^2 \text{Vol} < 0.$$

Since  $\psi^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}}$  is Aeppli harmonic the last computation shows that  $\omega^2$  is not  $L^2$ -orthogonal to the space of Aeppli harmonic  $(2,2)$ -forms, so that  $0 \neq [\omega^2]_A \in H_A^{2,2}(M)$ .  $\square$

Reminding that on compact complex surfaces any Gauduchon metric gives rise to a non-zero class in Aeppli cohomology, we give an explicit computation of the Aeppli cohomology class of the fundamental form of Gauduchon metrics being non-zero, while the Bott-Chern cohomology of  $(1,0)$ -forms is zero, in some specific compact complex surfaces.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$  be a Secondary Kodaira surface.  $M$  is a compact complex surface which is diffeomorphic to the solvmanifold  $G/\Gamma$ , i.e.,  $G$  is a simply connected solvable Lie group and  $\Gamma$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . See [1] and [2] for a more detailed survey of the surface. Denote by  $\varphi^1, \varphi^2$  a  $G$ -left-invariant coframe of the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}M$  with structure equations

$$(8) \quad d\varphi^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{12} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{1\bar{2}}, \quad d\varphi^2 = \frac{i}{2}\varphi^{1\bar{1}}.$$

Following [1], we know that  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$  and  $H_{BC}^{1,1}(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\varphi^{1\bar{1}}]_{BC} \rangle$ . Let  $g$  be a Hermitian metric whose fundamental form is

$$\gamma = \frac{i}{2}(\varphi^{1\bar{1}} + \varphi^{2\bar{2}}).$$

By equations (8), the  $(1,1)$ -form  $\varphi^{1\bar{1}}$  is  $BC$ -harmonic, then  $*\varphi^{1\bar{1}} = -\varphi^{2\bar{2}}$  is Aeppli-harmonic and  $H_A^{1,1}(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle [\varphi^{2\bar{2}}]_A \rangle$ . Now, let  $\omega$  be the fundamental form of any Gauduchon metric on  $M$ . In general,  $\omega$  can be written as

$$2\omega = i(A^2\varphi^{1\bar{1}} + C^2\varphi^{2\bar{2}}) + B\varphi^{1\bar{2}} - \bar{B}\varphi^{2\bar{1}},$$

where  $A, B, C$  are  $C^\infty$  functions,  $A^2 > 0$ , and  $A^2 C^2 - |B|^2 > 0$ . By Hodge decomposition for Aeppli cohomology,  $\omega$  can be decomposed as

$$\omega = E\varphi^{2\bar{2}} + \partial\mu + \bar{\partial}\lambda,$$

where  $E \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $E \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$ , as claimed. By contradiction, assume that  $E = 0$ . Then

$$\ll \omega, \varphi^{2\bar{2}} \gg = \ll \partial\mu + \bar{\partial}\lambda, \varphi^{2\bar{2}} \gg = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\ll \omega, \varphi^{2\bar{2}} \gg = \frac{i}{2} \ll C^2 \varphi^{2\bar{2}}, \varphi^{2\bar{2}} \gg = \frac{i}{2} \|C\|_{L^2}^2 \neq 0.$$

Summing up,  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) = 0$  but  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$ .  $\square$

Note that the same computations made in Example 4.3 for the Secondary Kodaira surface still apply if we consider the Inoue surfaces  $\mathcal{S}_M$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\pm$ . See [1] and [2] for a description, for the structure equations and for the computation of the Bott-Chern cohomology of these surfaces.

Finally, we give two other applications of the main result.



Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$  be a compact nilmanifold of dimension  $2n$ , that is a compact quotient of a simply connected nilpotent  $2n$ -dimensional Lie group  $G$  by a uniform discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  endowed with a left-invariant complex structure. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, according to [18, Theorem 1.3], there exists a basis of left-invariant  $(1, 0)$ -forms  $\{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n\}$  on  $M$ , indeed  $\{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , such that

$$d\varphi^1 = 0, \quad d\varphi^i \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^{i-1}), \quad i = 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^{i-1})$  is the ideal in  $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  spanned by  $\{\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^{i-1}\}$ . Therefore, we immediately get that  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle \varphi^1 \rangle \subset H_{BC}^{1,0}(M)$ , that is  $H_{BC}^{1,0}(M) \neq 0$ ; consequently we derive the following.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$  be a compact nilmanifold endowed with a left-invariant complex structure. Then every Gauduchon metric  $\omega$  on  $M$  is such that  $0 \neq [\omega^{n-1, n-1}]_A \in H_A^{n-1, n-1}(M)$ .*

Let  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . The Hermitian metric  $g$  is said to be *Strong Kähler with Torsion* or, shortly, *SKT*, if  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$  or, equivalently,  $dd^c\omega = 0$ . Hermitian SKT metrics have been studied by many authors and they have also applications in type II string theory and in 2-dimensional supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -models [5, 21, 13]. Moreover, they have also relations with generalized Kähler geometry (see for instance [5, 8, 11]). In the terminology by Streets and Tian, SKT metrics are called *pluriclosed metrics*. In [20], a curvature evolution equation on compact complex manifolds endowed with pluriclosed metrics is introduced and studied.

From [4, Theorem 1.2], we deduce the following application of our main result.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$  be a nilmanifold of real dimension 6 with an invariant complex structure  $J$ . Then every SKT metric  $\omega$  on  $M$  is such that  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* By [4], the SKT condition is satisfied by either all invariant Hermitian metrics  $\omega$  on  $M$  or by none. Indeed, it is satisfied if and only if  $J$  has a basis  $(\alpha^i)$  of  $(1, 0)$ -forms such that

$$(9) \quad d\alpha^1 = 0, \quad d\alpha^2 = 0, \quad d\alpha^3 = A\alpha^{1\bar{2}} + B\alpha^{2\bar{2}} + C\alpha^{1\bar{1}} + D\alpha^{1\bar{2}} + E\alpha^{1\bar{2}},$$

where  $A, B, C, D, E$  are complex numbers such that

$$|A|^2 + |D|^2 + |E|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\overline{BC}) = 0.$$

Therefore, if  $\omega$  is a SKT metric on  $M$ , then by structure equations (9) we get  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2 \rangle \subset H_{BC}^{2,0}(M)$ , that is  $H_{BC}^{2,0}(M) \neq 0$ . Thus by Theorem 3.4 we derive  $0 \neq [\omega]_A \in H_A^{1,1}(M)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Angella, G. Dloussky, A. Tomassini, On Bott-Chern cohomology of compact complex surfaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **195** (2016), no. 1, 199–217.
- [2] D. Angella, T. Sferruzza, Geometric formalities along the Chern-Ricci flow, **preprint** arXiv:1906.01424 [math.DG]
- [3] J.P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, Université de Grenoble, Saint-Martin d'Hères, 2012.
- [4] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, Families of strong KT structures in six dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004), no. 2, 317–340.
- [5] S.J. Gates, C.M. Hull and M. Roček, Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models, *Nuc. Phys. B* **248** (1984), 157–186.
- [6] P. Gauduchon, Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle. (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **285** (1977), no. 5, A387–A390.

- [7] M. Gromov, Kähler Hyperbolicity and  $L_2$ -Hodge Theory, *J. Differential Geom.* **33** (1991), 263–292.
- [8] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 75–123.
- [9] R. Harvey, H.B. Lawson, An intrinsic characterization of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 169–198.
- [10] R.K. Hind, A. Tomassini, On  $L_2$ -cohomology of almost Hermitian manifolds, [arXiv:1708.06316](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.06316), to appear in the *J. Sympl. Geom.*
- [11] N.J. Hitchin, Instantons and generalized Kähler geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006), 131–164.
- [12] D. Huybrechts, *Complex Geometry. An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin 2005.
- [13] S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, Vanishing theorems and string backgrounds, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **18** (2001), 1089–1110.
- [14] K. Kodaira, D.C. Spencer, On deformations of complex analytic structures, III. Stability theorems for complex structures, *Ann. of Math.* **71** (1960), 43–76.
- [15] A. Otal, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Invariant solutions to the Strominger system and the heterotic equations of motion, *Nuclear Phys.*, B 920 (2017), 442–474.
- [16] R. Piovani, A. Tomassini, Bott-Chern harmonic forms on Stein manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147** (2019), 1551–1564.
- [17] R. Piovani, A. Tomassini, Bott-Chern harmonic forms on complete Hermitian manifolds, *Internat. J. Math.*, **30** (2019), no. 5.
- [18] S. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), 311–333.
- [19] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, **preprint** [arXiv:0709.3528v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3528v1)[math.AG]
- [20] J. Streets, G. Tian, A Parabolic Flow of Pluriclosed Metrics, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2010**, (2010), 3101–3133.
- [21] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion, *Nuclear Phys. B* **274** (1986), 253–284.
- [22] N. Tardini, A. Tomassini, On geometric Bott-Chern formality and deformations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **196** (2017), 349–362.
- [23] S.T. Yau, Q. Zhao, F. Zheng, On Strominger Kähler-like manifolds with degenerate torsion, **preprint** [arXiv:1908.05322](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.05322) [math.DG].
- [24] H.C. Wang, Complex paralisable manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954), 771–776.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* [piovani@mail.dm.unipi.it](mailto:piovani@mail.dm.unipi.it)

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE E INFORMATICHE, UNITÀ DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124 PARMA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* [adriano.tomassini@unipr.it](mailto:adriano.tomassini@unipr.it)