

On supersaturation and stability for generalized Turán problems

Anastasia Halfpap*

Cory Palmer*

October 1, 2019

Abstract

Fix graphs F and H . Let $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ denote the maximum number of copies of a graph H in an n -vertex F -free graph. In this note we will give a new general supersaturation result for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ in the case when $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$ as well as a new proof of a stability theorem for $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$.

1 Introduction

Let F be a graph. A graph G is F -free if it contains no copy of F as a subgraph. Denote the maximum number of copies of a graph H in an n -vertex F -free graph by

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F).$$

After several sporadic results (a famous example is $\text{ex}(n, C_5, K_3)$; see e.g., [11, 12, 10]), the systematic study of the function $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ was initiated by Alon and Shikhelman [1]. An overview of results on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ can be found in [1] and [9].

We denote the number of copies of a graph H in a graph G by $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$. Recall that the *Turán graph* $T_{k-1}(n)$ is the n -vertex complete $(k-1)$ -partite graph with classes of size as close as possible (i.e., classes differ by at most one vertex). Zykov's "symmetrization" proof [17] of Turán's theorem gives the following generalization.

Theorem 1 (Zykov, 1949). *The Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$ is the unique n -vertex K_k -free graph with the maximum number of copies of K_r . Thus,*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, T_{k-1}(n)) \leq \binom{k-1}{r} \left\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \right\rceil^r.$$

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59812, USA.

Zykov's theorem has been rediscovered and reproved several times (see e.g., [1, 2, 4]).

The Turán graph T_{k-1} contains no k -chromatic graph F , so we always have the trivial lower bound

$$\mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, F).$$

Erdős-Stone-type generalizations of Theorem 1 were given in [1] and [9]. We state them as a single theorem below.

Theorem 2 (Alon-Shikhelman, 2016; Gerbner-Palmer, 2019). *Let H be a graph and F be a graph with chromatic number k , then*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, K_k) + o(n^{|V(H)|}).$$

Thus, when $H = K_r$,

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = \binom{k-1}{r} \left(\frac{n}{k-1} \right)^r + o(n^r).$$

Note that the first part of Theorem 2 only gives a useful upper-bound if $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k) = \Omega(n^{|H|})$, which happens if and only if K_k is not a subgraph of H .

An important description of the *degenerate case* is given by Alon and Shikhelman [1]. The *blow-up* $G[t]$ of a graph G is the graph resulting from replacing each vertex of G with t copies of itself.

Proposition 3 (Alon-Shikhelman, 2016). *The function $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$ if and only if F is a subgraph of a blow-up of H . Otherwise, $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega(n^{|V(H)|})$.*

The purpose of this paper is to establish a general supersaturation result and give a new proof of a stability theorem for the function $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$. Both of the main results are proved using modifications of standard proofs of stability and supersaturation for the ordinary Turán function $\text{ex}(n, F)$. Previous supersaturation results were given by Cutler, Nir and Radcliffe [3] who (among other things) proved a structural supersaturation for Theorem 1 (Zykov's Theorem) as well as a supersaturation result for the case when H is a clique and F is a star. Our first main result is a supersaturation theorem for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ in the case when $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$.

Theorem 4. *Fix graphs F, H on f and h vertices, respectively such that $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$. For $c > 0$, there exists $c_F > 0$ such that if G is an n -vertex graph with*

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G) > \text{ex}(n, H, F) + cn^h,$$

then $\mathcal{N}(F, G) \geq c_F n^f$.

Through a standard argument we can reprove Theorem 2 via Theorem 4. This and the proof of Theorem 4 will be given in Section 2.

A general stability theorem for $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ was given by Ma and Qiu [14]. A second proof is due to Liu [13]. We give a new short proof of this theorem by making use of the standard stability theorem. This will be discussed in Section 3.

Theorem 5 (Ma-Qiu, 2019). *Fix integers $r < k$ and let F be graph with $\chi(F) = k$. If G is an n -vertex F -free graph with*

$$\mathcal{N}(K_r, G) > \text{ex}(n, K_r, F) - o(n^r),$$

then G can be obtained from $T_{k-1}(n)$ by adding and removing $o(n^2)$ edges.

2 Supersaturation

We begin with a modification of a result of Katona, Nemetz and Simonovits [8].

Lemma 6. *Let H and F be graphs. Then*

$$\frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{\binom{n}{|V(H)|}}.$$

is monotone decreasing as n increases.

Proof. Suppose G is an n -vertex F -free graph with the maximum number of copies of H . We double-count the pair (H, v) where H is a copy of the graph H in G and v is a vertex not incident to H . We can fix H in $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ ways and then choose v in $n - |V(H)|$ ways. On the other hand, there are n ways to fix v and on the remaining $n - 1$ vertices there are at most $\text{ex}(n - 1, H, F)$ copies of H . Thus,

$$(n - |V(H)|) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq n \cdot \text{ex}(n - 1, H, F).$$

Solving for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ and dividing both sides by $\binom{n}{|V(H)|}$ gives

$$\frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{\binom{n}{|V(H)|}} \leq \frac{n}{n - |V(H)|} \frac{\text{ex}(n - 1, H, F)}{\binom{n}{|V(H)|}} = \frac{\text{ex}(n - 1, H, F)}{\binom{n-1}{|V(H)|}}.$$

□

Observe that if F and H satisfy $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$, then

$$\frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{\binom{n}{|V(H)|}}$$

is monotone decreasing by Lemma 6 and bounded below by the number of copies of H in the Turán graph $T_{\chi(F)-1}(n)$. This implies that

$$\pi(H, F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{\binom{n}{|V(H)|}}$$

exists.

We are now ready to prove supersaturation in the generalized setting. The argument is essentially the same as an averaging argument used to prove supersaturation for hypergraphs (see [7, 5]).

Proof of Theorem 4. Fix graphs F, H on f and h vertices, respectively such that $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$. Let

$$q = \pi(H, F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{\binom{n}{h}}.$$

Fix $c > 0$ and suppose G is an n -vertex graph with

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G) > \text{ex}(n, H, F) + cn^h \geq (q + c) \binom{n}{h}.$$

Choose m such that

$$\text{ex}(m, H, F) \leq \left(q + \frac{c}{2}\right) \binom{m}{h}.$$

Assume (for the sake of a contradiction) that there are less than $\frac{c}{2 \cdot h!} \binom{n}{m}$ sets of m vertices spanning more than $\left(q + \frac{c}{2}\right) \binom{m}{h}$ copies of H . Note that among m vertices there are at most $\binom{m}{h} h!$ distinct copies of H . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S \in \binom{V(G)}{m}} \mathcal{N}(H, S) &< \frac{c}{2 \cdot h!} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{h} h! + \binom{n}{m} \left(q + \frac{c}{2}\right) \binom{m}{h} \\ &= (q + c) \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, each copy of H in G is contained in $\binom{n-h}{m-h}$ vertex sets of size m , so

$$\sum_{S \in \binom{V(G)}{m}} \mathcal{N}(H, S) = \binom{n-h}{m-h} \mathcal{N}(H, G) \geq \binom{n-h}{m-h} (q + c) \binom{n}{h} = (q + c) \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{h}.$$

Combining these two estimates for $\sum \mathcal{N}(H, S)$ gives a contradiction. Therefore, there are at least $\frac{c}{2 \cdot h!} \binom{n}{m}$ sets of m vertices spanning more than $\left(q + \frac{c}{2}\right) \binom{m}{h} \geq \text{ex}(m, H, F)$ copies of H . Each of these m -sets contains a copy of F and each copy of F in G is counted at most $\binom{n-f}{m-f}$ times in this way. Therefore, the number of copies of F in G is

$$\mathcal{N}(F, G) \geq \frac{c}{2 \cdot h!} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-f}{m-f}^{-1} \geq c_F n^f$$

for c_F small enough. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let H and F be graphs on h and f vertices, respectively. Fix any $c > 0$ and suppose G is an n -vertex F -free graph with

$$\mathcal{N}(G, H) > \text{ex}(n, H, F) + cn^h.$$

Then Theorem 4 implies that G contains at least $c_F n^f$ copies of F . Therefore, Proposition 3 implies that G contains blow up $F[t]$ of F .

This implies that

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F[t]) = \text{ex}(n, H, F) + o(n^h).$$

Now, as F is contained in a blow-up $K_k[t]$ of K_k for some t large enough, we have

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, K_k[t]) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, K_k) + o(n^h).$$

□

3 Stability

We begin with two lemmas. The first will demonstrate that a K_k -free graph with nearly the extremal number of copies of H contains a large subgraph in which every vertex is contained in many copies of H . The lemma is an argument of Norin [15] but adjusted to the subgraph counting context.

Lemma 7. *Fix positive integers $k > r$. For $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that every K_k -free graph G with $|V(G)| \geq n_0$ and*

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G) > (1 - \beta)\pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G)|^r}{r!}$$

contains either

1. *a subgraph G' with $|V(G')| > (1 - \alpha)|V(G)|$ such that every vertex of G' is contained in more than*

$$(1 - \alpha)\pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G')|^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}$$

copies of H , or

2. *a subgraph G' with $|V(G')| = \lfloor (1 - \alpha)|V(G)| \rfloor$ and $\mathcal{N}(H, G') > \pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G')|^r}{r!}$.*

Proof. Choose δ so that $(1 - \delta)^2 > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\delta < \frac{r\alpha^2}{2}$. Choose n_0 so that $n^r \geq (n - 1)^r + (1 - \delta)rn^{r-1}$ for all $n \geq (1 - \alpha)n_0$. If every vertex of G belongs to more than $(1 - \alpha)\pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G)|^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}$ copies of H , then we are done. If not, delete a vertex contained in the minimum number of copies of H to obtain a subgraph G_1 of G . Repeat this procedure to obtain subgraphs G_2, G_3 , etc. If we reach a graph G' that satisfies the lemma, then we are done. Therefore, suppose we have reached a graph G_m such that $m = \lceil \alpha n \rceil$. We shall prove by induction on ℓ that

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G_\ell) > \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell}{m}\beta\right)\pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G_\ell)|^r}{r!}. \quad (1)$$

for $\ell \leq m$.

The base case $\ell = 0$ follows from the hypotheses of the lemma. So put $0 < \ell \leq m$ and assume (1) holds for $\ell - 1$. For ease of notation put $n' = |V(G_{\ell-1})| = |V(G)| - \ell + 1$. Now (applying the induction hypothesis for $G_{\ell-1}$, and the choices of δ and n_0) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{N}(H, G_\ell)}{\pi(H, K_k)} &\geq \frac{\mathcal{N}(H, G_{\ell-1})}{\pi(H, K_k)} - (1 - \alpha) \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell + 1}{m} \beta\right) \frac{(n')^r}{r!} - (1 - \alpha) \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell + 1}{m} \beta\right) \left(\frac{(n' - 1)^r}{r!} + (1 - \beta) \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}\right) - (1 - \alpha) \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell}{m} \beta\right) \frac{(n' - 1)^r}{r!} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha n} \frac{(n' - 1)^r}{r!} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell}{m} \beta\right) \frac{(n' - 1)^r}{r!} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha r}\right) \frac{(n')^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\
&> \left(1 - \frac{m - \ell}{m} \beta\right) \frac{(n' - 1)^r}{r!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying through by $\pi(H, K_k)$ proves (1). When $m = \ell$ the inequality (1) gives

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G_m) > \pi(H, K_k) \frac{|V(G_m)|^r}{r!}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Our second lemma gives a lower bound on vertex degrees in K_k -free graphs with many copies of K_r .

Lemma 8. *Let G be an n -vertex, K_k -free graph and $x \in V(G)$. If*

$$\mathcal{N}(K_{r-1}, N(x)) \geq (1 - \alpha)r \binom{k-1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^r n^{r-1}, \quad (2)$$

then

$$d(x) \geq (1 - \alpha)^{1/(r-1)} \frac{k-2}{k-1} n - (k-3).$$

Proof. The neighborhood $N(x)$ is K_{k-1} -free as G is K_k -free. Therefore, by Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(K_{r-1}, N(x)) &\leq \text{ex}(|N(x)|, K_{r-1}, K_{k-1}) \leq \binom{k-2}{r-1} \left\lceil \frac{d(x)}{k-2} \right\rceil^{r-1} \\
&\leq \binom{k-2}{r-1} \left(\frac{d(x) + (k-3)}{k-2}\right)^{r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimate for $\mathcal{N}(K_{r-1}, N(x))$ with (2) and solving for $d(x)$ completes the proof. \square

Lemma 8 implies that if each vertex of G is contained in at least $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k) \frac{r}{n} - o(n^{r-1})$ copies of K_r , then $e(G) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - o(n^2)$.

We will need a standard stability result for edges (see [16]).

Theorem 9 (Stability theorem). *Let G be an n -vertex F -free graph with*

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - o(n^2).$$

Then G can be obtained from $T_{\chi(F)-1}(n)$ by adding and removing $o(n^2)$ edges.

Proof of Theorem 5. Fix integers $r < k$ and let F be a graph with $\chi(F) = k$. Let G be an n -vertex F -free graph with

$$\mathcal{N}(K_r, G) > \text{ex}(n, K_r, F) - o(n^r).$$

As G is F -free with $\chi(F) = k$, a removal lemma due to Erdős Frankl and Rödl [6] asserts that G can be made K_k -free with the removal of $o(n^2)$ edges¹. Removing $o(n^2)$ edges destroys at most $o(n^2) \cdot n^{r-1} = o(n^r)$ copies of K_r . Let G' be the resulting K_k -free subgraph of G . Now

$$\mathcal{N}(K_r, G') > \text{ex}(n, K_r, F) - o(n^r) \geq \text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k) - o(n^r).$$

Let us apply Lemma 7 to G' . Observe that the second outcome of Lemma 7 is impossible here as it would imply that G' contains a subgraph K_k . Therefore, the first outcome gives that G' contains a subgraph G'' on $n'' \geq (1 - \alpha)n$ vertices such that each vertex of G'' is contained in $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k) \frac{r}{n} - o(n^{r-1})$ copies of K_r . Applying Lemma 8 to G'' gives that every degree in G'' is at least $\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) n - o(n)$ and therefore

$$e(G'') \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - o(n^2).$$

As $e(G) - e(G'') = o(n^2)$ we may apply the Stability theorem (Theorem 9) to complete the proof. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman, Many T copies in H -free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **121** (2016) 146–172.
- [2] B. Bollobás, On complete subgraphs of different orders, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **79** (1976) 19–24.
- [3] J. Cutler, J. Nir and J. Radcliffe, Supersaturation for subgraph counts. arXiv:1903.08059 (2019).

¹Note that when $F = K_k$ we may skip the use of the removal lemma.

- [4] P. Erdős, On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. KutatóInt. Közl.* **7** (1962) 459–464.
- [5] P. Erdős, On some extremal problems on r -graphs. *Discrete Math.* **1** (1971) 1–6.
- [6] P. Erdős, P. Frankl and V. Rödl, The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent. *Graphs Combin.* **2** (1986) 113–121.
- [7] Erdős and M. Simonovits, Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorica* **3** (1982) 181–192.
- [8] G. Katona, T. Nemetz and M. Simonovits, On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs, *Mat. Lapok* **15** (1964) 228–238.
- [9] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer, Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, to appear.
- [10] A. Grzesik, On the maximum number of five-cycles in a triangle-free graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **102** (2012) 1061–1066.
- [11] E. Győri, On the number of C_5 's in a triangle-free graph. *Combinatorica* **9** (1989) 101–102.
- [12] H. Hatami, J. Hladký, D. Král', S. Norine and A. Razborov, On the number of pentagons in triangle-free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **120** (2013) 722–732.
- [13] Xizhi Liu, New Short Proofs to Some Stability Theorems. arXiv:1903.01606 (2019).
- [14] Jie Ma and Yu Qiu, Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers. arXiv:1802.01091 (2018).
- [15] S. Norin, Stability for Turán's theorem. <http://www.math.mcgill.ca/snorin/Stability.pdf>
- [16] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems. *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq. Tihany, 1966)*, Academic Press, New York, and Akad. Kiado, Budapest, 1968, 279–319.
- [17] A. A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes. *Matematicheskii sbornik*, **66** (1949) 163–188.