

TRANSFER OF CHARACTERS IN THE THETA CORRESPONDENCE WITH ONE COMPACT MEMBER

ALLAN MERINO

ABSTRACT. For an irreducible dual pair $(G, G') \in \text{Sp}(W)$ with one member compact and two representations $\Pi \leftrightarrow \Pi'$ appearing in the Howe duality, we give an expression of the character $\Theta_{\Pi'}$ of Π' via the character of Π . We make computations for the dual pair $(G = \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), G' = \text{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C}))$, which are explicit in low dimensions. For $(G = \text{U}(1, \mathbb{C}), G' = \text{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C}))$, we verify directly a result of H. Hecht saying that the character has the same value on both Cartan subgroups of G' .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Metaplectic representation and Howe's duality theorem	4
3. Howe's Oscillator semigroup	7
4. A general formula for $\Theta_{\Pi'}$	11
5. A restriction of Θ to a maximal compact subgroup	15
6. The dual pair $(G = \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), G' = \text{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C}))$	16
7. The case $G' = \text{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$	22
8. A conjecture of T. Przebinda	24
Appendix A. The oscillator semigroup for $\text{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$	26
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

For a finite dimensional representation (Π, V) of a group G , the character of Π , denote by Θ_{Π} , is defined by:

$$\Theta_{\Pi} : G \ni g \rightarrow \text{tr}(\Pi(g)) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In general, to determine precisely the character of such representations it's a hard problem, but in few cases, in particular for a compact connected group, the formula is explicit. Indeed, let G be a compact connected Lie group, T a Cartan subgroup of G , \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{t} the Lie algebras of G and T respectively, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexifications of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{t} , $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (resp. $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$) be the set of roots (resp. positive roots) of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the corresponding Weyl group. According to H. Weyl, all the irreducible representations (Π, V) of G are finite dimensional and parametrised by a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 22E45; Secondary: 22E46, 22E30.

Key words and phrases. Howe correspondence, Characters, Oscillator semigroup, Reductive dual pairs.

linear form λ on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$: this linear form is called the highest weight of Π . Moreover, the character of Π is given by the following formula:

$$(1) \quad \Theta_{\Pi}(\exp(x)) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathscr{W}} \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \frac{e^{\omega(\lambda+\rho)(x)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} (e^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}})} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{t}^{\operatorname{reg}}),$$

where ρ is a linear form on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \alpha$.

In the 50's, for a real reductive Lie group G , Harish-Chandra extended the concept of characters for a certain class of representation of G called quasi-simple (see [12, Section 10]). More precisely, for such a representation (Π, \mathscr{H}) of G , he proved that the map:

$$\Theta_{\Pi} : \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(G) \ni \Psi \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(\Pi(\Psi)) = \operatorname{tr} \int_G \Psi(g) \Pi(g) dg \in \mathbb{C}$$

is well-defined and continuous. The map Θ_{Π} is called the global character of Π . Moreover, he proved that this distribution is given by an analytic function, still denoted by Θ_{Π} , on the set of regular points of G , i.e.

$$\Theta_{\Pi}(\Psi) = \int_G \Theta_{\Pi}(g) \Psi(g) dg \quad (\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(G)).$$

Again, an explicit formula for the function Θ_{Π} on G^{reg} is hard to get. We recall briefly some well known facts on those characters. Let G be reductive group, K be a maximal compact subgroup of G such that $\operatorname{rk}(K) = \operatorname{rk}(G)$ and T be a Cartan subgroup of K (which is also a Cartan subgroup for G by our assumptions on ranks). As before, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$ and \mathfrak{t} their Lie algebras and by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ their complexifications.

- (1) If (Π, \mathscr{H}) is a discrete series representation of G of Harish-Chandra parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, the character Θ_{Π} of Π is given by (see [13]):

$$\Theta_{\Pi}(\exp(x)) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim(G/K)}{2}} \sum_{\omega \in \mathscr{W}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \varepsilon(\omega) \frac{e^{\omega(\lambda(x))}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} (e^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}})} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{t}^{\operatorname{reg}}),$$

where $\mathscr{W}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the compact Weyl group of K .

- (2) If (Π, \mathscr{H}_{Π}) is an irreducible unitary representation of G of highest weight $\lambda - \rho$, the character Θ_{Π} of Π is given by (see [14, Corollary 2.3]):

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) \setminus \Phi^+(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} (e^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}}) \Theta_{\Pi}(\exp(x)) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathscr{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{k}}} (-1)^{l(\omega)} \Theta(K, \Lambda(\omega, \lambda))(\exp(x)) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{t}^{\operatorname{reg}}),$$

where $\mathscr{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is defined in [14, Definition 2.1], and $\Theta(K, \Lambda(\omega, \lambda))(\exp(x))$ is the character of a K -representation of highest weight $\Lambda(\omega, \lambda)$, where $\Lambda(\omega, \lambda)$ is defined in [14, Corollary 2.3].

We can also mention a conjecture of A. Kirillov (see [23]), which should hold for a really general Lie group, and a paper of H. Hecht ([26])

Let $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real symplectic space, $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ its corresponding group of isometries, $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(W)$ the metaplectic group and (ω, \mathscr{H}) the corresponding metaplectic representation (see Section 2). For a subgroup $H \in \operatorname{Sp}(W)$, we denote by \widetilde{H} its preimage in $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}(W)$ and by $\mathscr{R}(\widetilde{H}, \omega)$ the set of equivalence

classes of irreducible admissible representations of \widetilde{H} which are infinitesimally equivalent to a quotient of ω^∞ . For an irreducible reductive dual pair (G, G') in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, R. Howe proved that there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}', \omega)$.

In this paper, we assume that G is compact. In that case, it turns out that the situation is easier: the representations Π and Π' are just subrepresentations of ω^∞ . Our goal here is to determine the character $\Theta_{\Pi'}$ using the character Θ_Π of Π . By projecting on the Π -isotypic component in \mathcal{H}^∞ , we get, for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widetilde{G})$, that:

$$\Theta_\Pi(\Psi) = \mathrm{tr} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\widetilde{g})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}') \omega^\infty(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} d\widetilde{g}'.$$

So, formally, we have:

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\widetilde{g}') = \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\widetilde{g})} \Theta(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} \quad (\widetilde{g}' \in \widetilde{G}'^{\mathrm{reg}}),$$

where the last equality is in terms of distributions on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widetilde{G})$ and where Θ is the character of ω (see Section 2). To avoid the problem of non-continuity of Θ , we use the Oscillator semigroup introduced by Howe (see [2] or Section 3) and denoted by $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_\mathbb{C})^{++}$. The extension of Θ on $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_\mathbb{C})^{++}$ is holomorphic and $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_\mathbb{C})^{++} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_\mathbb{C})^{++}$. In particular, we get for $\widetilde{g}' \in \widetilde{G}'^{\mathrm{reg}}$ that:

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\widetilde{g}') = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \widetilde{G}'^{++}}} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\widetilde{g})} \Theta(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}'\widetilde{p}) d\widetilde{g},$$

where $\widetilde{G}'^{++} = \widetilde{G}'_c \cap \mathrm{Sp}(W_c)^{++}$. The character of the representation Π can be obtained using Weyl's character formula (see Equation (1)) together with a paper of M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne [17], where they give explicitly the weights of the representations appearing in the correspondence. Moreover, using [24] or [5], we get an explicit formula for the restriction of the character Θ on $\mathrm{T} \cdot \mathrm{T}'^{++}$, where T (resp. T') is a compact torus of G (resp. G') and $\mathrm{T}'^{++} = \mathrm{T}'_c \cap \mathrm{Sp}(W_c)^{++}$. We focus our attention on the case $(G = \mathrm{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), G' = \mathrm{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C}))$. More precisely, for $n = 1$, we get the following result (see Proposition 6.4):

$$\Theta_{\Pi'_k}(\widetilde{t}') = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{p+q} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=1}^p \frac{t_h^{p-(k+1)}}{\prod_{h \neq j} (t_h - t_j)} & \text{if } k \leq p-1 \\ - \prod_{i=1}^{p+q} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{t_h^{p-(k+1)}}{\prod_{h \neq j} (t_h - t_j)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In Section 7, we work with the pair $(G = \mathrm{U}(1, \mathbb{C}), G' = \mathrm{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C}))$ and determine the value of the character $\Theta_{\Pi'}$ on the non-compact torus of $\mathrm{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$ (unique up to conjugation, see Section 7). In particular, we verify a result of H. Hecht [26], saying that the value of the character does not depend of the Cartan subgroup

In Section 8, we recall a conjecture of T. Przebinda (see [7]) concerning the transfer of characters for a general dual pair (G, G') and present in few words an ongoing project linked with recent works of T. Przebinda [6].

Acknowledgements: A part of this paper was done during my thesis at the University of Lorraine under the supervision of Angela Pasquale (University of Lorraine) and Tomasz Przebinda (University of Oklahoma). I would like to thank them for the ideas and time they shared with me. I finished this paper during my stay at the National University of Singapore, as a Research Fellow under the supervision of Hung Yean Loke. I am supported by the grant R-146-000-261-114 (Reductive dual pair correspondences and supercuspidal representations).

2. METAPLECTIC REPRESENTATION AND HOWE'S DUALITY THEOREM

As far as I know, the first construction of this metaplectic representation used the so-called Stone-Von Neumann theorem. Briefly, let $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real symplectic space and $H(W)$ the space $W \oplus \mathbb{R}$ with group multiplication:

$$(w_1, \lambda_1).(w_2, \lambda_2) = (w_1 + w_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle), \quad (w_1, w_2 \in W, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}(H(W)) = \{(0, \lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \approx \mathbb{R}$. According to the Stone- Von Neumann theorem, for every character non trivial character Ψ of $\mathcal{L}(H(W))$, there exists, up to equivalence, a unique irreducible unitary representation of $H(W)$ with central character Ψ . The group of isometries of $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, denoted by $\text{Sp}(W)$, acts naturally on $H(W)$ by

$$g.(w, \lambda) = (g(w), \lambda) \quad (g \in \text{Sp}(W), (w, \lambda) \in H(W)).$$

By fixing an irreducible unitary representation $(\Pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $H(W)$ with infinitesimal character $\Psi_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that the map:

$$\Pi_{\lambda, g}(h) = \Pi_\lambda(g^{-1}(h)) \quad (g \in \text{Sp}(W), h \in H(W)),$$

is an irreducible unitary representation of $H(W)$ with infinitesimal character Ψ_λ , and then, by application of the Stone- Von Neumann theorem, there exists an operator $\omega_\lambda(g)$ such that:

$$\omega_\lambda(g)\Pi_\lambda(h)\omega_\lambda(g)^{-1} = \Pi_\lambda(g^{-1}(h)).$$

In particular, we get a projective representation of $\text{Sp}(W)$. One can prove that we get a representation (ω, \mathcal{H}) of a non-trivial double cover of $\text{Sp}(W)$, that we will denote by $\widetilde{\text{Sp}(W)}$ (see [11]).

In this section, we give an explicit realisation of the metaplectic representation (using a paper of A-M. Aubert and T. Przebinda [5]). In particular, we get a formula for the character of this representation (one can also check the paper of T. Thomas [24]).

Let χ be the character of \mathbb{R} given by $\chi(r) = e^{2i\pi r}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$ the Lie algebra of $\text{Sp}(W)$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{sp}(W) = \{X \in \text{End}(W), \langle X(w), w' \rangle + \langle w, X(w') \rangle = 0, (\forall w, w' \in W)\}.$$

Let J be an element of $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$ satisfying $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ and such that the symmetric bilinear form (w, w') defined by $(w, w') = \langle J(w), w' \rangle$ is positive definite. For all $g \in \text{Sp}(W)$, we denote by J_g the automorphism of W given by $J_g = J^{-1}(g - 1)$. One can check easily that the adjoint J_g^* of J_g with respect to the form (\cdot, \cdot) is given by $J_g^* = Jg^{-1}(1 - g)$ and that the restriction of J_g to $J_g(W)$ is well defined and invertible. The metaplectic group is defined as:

$$(2) \quad \widetilde{\text{Sp}(W)} = \left\{ \widetilde{g} = (g, \xi) \in \text{Sp}(W) \times \mathbb{C}^*, \xi^2 = i^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(g-1)W} \det(J_g)_{J_g(W)}^{-1} \right\}.$$

The covering map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \ni (g, \xi) \rightarrow g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ is the first projection and the multiplication law is defined by:

$$(g_1, \xi_1) \cdot (g_2, \xi_2) = (g_1 g_2, \xi_1 \xi_2 C(g_1, g_2)),$$

where the cocycle $C : \mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined in [5, Proposition 4.13]. Using [6, Equation (3)], we get that the absolute value of C satisfies, for every $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$, the following equations:

$$|C(g_1, g_2)| = \sqrt{\left| \frac{\det(J_{g_1})_{J_{g_1}(W)} \det(J_{g_2})_{J_{g_2}(W)}}{\det(J_{g_1 g_2})_{J_{g_1 g_2}(W)}} \right|}, \quad \frac{C(g_1, g_2)}{|C(g_1, g_2)|} = \chi \left(\frac{1}{8} \mathrm{sgn}(q_{g_1, g_2}) \right),$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(q_{g_1, g_2})$ is the signature of the form q_{g_1, g_2} defined by:

$$q_{g_1, g_2}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle c(g_1)u, v \rangle + \langle c(g_2)u, v \rangle) \quad (u, v \in (g_1 - 1)W \cap (g_2 - 1)W).$$

To simplify the notations, for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$, we denote by $\chi_{c(g)}$ the form on $(g - 1)W$ given by $\chi_{c(g)}(u) = \chi \left(\frac{1}{8} \langle c(g)u, u \rangle \right)$.

We now construct the metaplectic representation. We denote by $\mathrm{S}(W)$ the Schwarz space corresponding to W and by $t : \mathrm{Sp}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^*(W)$, $\Theta : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and $T : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^*(W)$ defined by

$$t(g) = \chi_{c(g)} \mu_{(g-1)W} \quad \Theta(\bar{g}) = \xi, \quad T(\bar{g}) = \Theta(\bar{g}) t(g), \quad (\bar{g} = (g, \xi)),$$

where $\mu_{(g-1)W} \in \mathrm{S}^*(W)$ is the Lebesgue measure on the space $(g - 1)W$ such that the volume with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) of the corresponding unit cube is 1.

We now fix a complete polarisation $W = X \oplus Y$, i.e. a direct sum of two maximal isotropic subspaces of W . The Weyl transform $\mathcal{K} : \mathrm{S}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(X \times X)$ given by:

$$\mathcal{K}(\eta)(x, x') = \int_Y \eta(x - x' + y) \chi \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle y, x + x' \rangle \right) dy$$

is an isomorphism and the extension of \mathcal{K} to the corresponding space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{K} : \mathrm{S}^*(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^*(X \times X)$ is still an isomorphism. Similarly, the map $\mathrm{Op} : \mathrm{S}(X \times X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{S}(X), \mathrm{S}^*(X))$ given by:

$$\mathrm{Op}(K)v(x) = \int_X K(x, x') v(x') dx'$$

extends to isomorphism $\mathrm{Op} : \mathrm{S}^*(X \times X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{S}(X), \mathrm{S}^*(X))$. According to [5, Section 4.8], for every $\Psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(W)$, $\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K}(\Psi)$ is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $\mathrm{L}^2(X)$ and the map:

$$\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K} : \mathrm{L}^2(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{L}^2(X))$$

is an isometry. We denote by $\omega : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{L}^2(X))$ defined by:

$$\omega = \mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K} \circ T$$

is a unitary representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)$, called metaplectic representation. Moreover, the function Θ defined previously is the character of $(\omega, \mathrm{L}^2(X))$ and the space of smooth vectors is $\mathrm{S}(X)$, the Schwartz space of X .

Remark 2.1. We denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^c$ the subspace of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ defined by $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^c = \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W), \det(g - 1) \neq 0\}$: it's the domain of the Cayley transform of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})^c$ the preimage of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^c$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})$. For every $\widetilde{g} = (g, \xi) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})^c$, we get:

$$\Theta(\widetilde{g}) = \left(i^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W} \det(J(g - 1))^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \det(i(g - 1))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ is a pair of subgroups (G, G') of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ which are mutually centralizer in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, i.e. $C_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(G) = G'$ and $C_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(G') = G$. The dual pair is said to be reductive if the action of G and G' on W is reductive. If we have a decomposition of W as an orthogonal sum $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ where W_1 and W_2 are $G \cdot G'$ -invariants, then $(G|_{W_i}, G'|_{W_i})$ is a dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W|_{W_i})$, $i = 1, 2$. If we cannot find such a decomposition, the dual pair is said irreducible.

The irreducible reductive dual pairs in the symplectic group had been classified by R. Howe [4]. In this paper, we assume that the group G is compact. In this case, (G, G') is one of the following dual pairs

- (1) $(\mathrm{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C})) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(2n(p + q), \mathbb{R})$,
- (2) $(\mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R})) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(2nm, \mathbb{R})$,
- (3) $(\mathrm{U}(n, \mathbb{H}), \mathrm{O}^*(m, \mathbb{H})) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(4nm, \mathbb{R})$.

For the computations in the Section 6, we will focus our attention on the first one.

Remark 2.2. (1) For a dual pair (G, G') in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, we denote by $\widetilde{G} = \pi^{-1}(G)$ and \widetilde{G}' the preimages of G and G' in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})$. In [4], R. Howe proved that $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}')$ is a dual pair in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})$. With the precise definition we gave for $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})$ in Equation (2), we can see that easily. Indeed, we need to prove that for all $g \in G$ and $g' \in G'$, we have $C(g, g') = C(g', g)$. Obviously, $|C(g, g')| = |C(g', g)|$, and because $q_{g, g'} = q_{g', g}$, the result follows.

- (2) If the group G is compact, then \widetilde{G} is also compact.

From now on, we assume that (G, G') is an irreducible reductive dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ with G compact. The Howe duality theorem can be stated in a easier way when we assume that one member is compact. As before, we consider a complete polarisation of W of the form $X \oplus Y$ and we realise the metaplectic representation ω on the space $L^2(X)$. The space of smooth vector is the Schwartz space $S(X)$ and under the action of \widetilde{G} , we get the following decomposition:

$$S(X) = \bigoplus_{(\Pi, V_{\Pi}) \in \widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{\omega}} V(\Pi),$$

where $\widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{\omega}$ is the set of irreducible unitary representations of \widetilde{G} such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi, \omega^{\infty}) \neq \{0\}$ and $V(\Pi)$ is the Π -isotypic component in $S(X)$, i.e. the closure with respect to the topology on $S(X)$ of the space $\{T(V_{\Pi}), T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi, \omega^{\infty})\}$.

Because \widetilde{G}' commute with \widetilde{G} , the group \widetilde{G}' acts on $V(\Pi)$ for every $\Pi \in \widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{\omega}$, and as a $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}'$ -module, we get the following decomposition:

$$S(X) = \bigoplus_{(\Pi, V_{\Pi}) \in \widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{\omega}} \Pi \otimes \Pi',$$

where Π' is an irreducible unitary representation of \widetilde{G}' . The map

$$\theta : \widetilde{G}_\omega \ni \Pi \rightarrow \Pi' = \theta(\Pi) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}'_\omega$$

is one-to-one and usually called Howe's correspondence.

Let $(\Pi, V_\Pi) \in \widetilde{G}_\omega$ and $\Pi' = \theta(\Pi)$ the corresponding representation of \widetilde{G}' . We denote by $\mathcal{P}_\Pi : S(X) \rightarrow V(\Pi)$ the projection onto the Π -isotypic component. According to [15, Section 1.4], the map \mathcal{P}_Π is given by the formula:

$$\mathcal{P}_\Pi = d_\Pi \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\overline{g})} \omega^\infty(\overline{g}) d\overline{g} = \omega^\infty(d_\Pi \overline{\Theta_\Pi}),$$

where $d_\Pi = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\Pi)$ is the dimension of the representation Π . We get the following result for the global character of Π' .

Proposition 2.3. *For every compactly supported function $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widetilde{G}')$, we get:*

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi) = \text{tr} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \left(\int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\overline{g})} \omega^\infty(\overline{g}g') d\overline{g} \right) \Psi(g') dg'.$$

Proof. For such a function Ψ , we have:

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{P}_\Pi \omega(\Psi)) = \text{tr}(\text{Id}_{V_\Pi} \otimes \Pi'(\Psi)) = d_\Pi \Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi),$$

and then,

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi) = \frac{1}{d_\Pi} \text{tr}(\mathcal{P}_\Pi \omega^\infty(\Psi)) = \text{tr} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \Psi(g') \mathcal{P}_\Pi \omega^\infty(\overline{g}') d\overline{g}' = \text{tr} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \left(\int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\overline{g})} \omega^\infty(\overline{g}g') d\overline{g} \right) \Psi(g') dg'.$$

□

Using that Θ is the character of ω , we get formally:

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\overline{g}) = \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\overline{g})} \Theta(\overline{g}g') d\overline{g} \quad (\overline{g}' \in \widetilde{G}').$$

Because the character Θ is not continuous, the second member of the previous equation could not make sense. To avoid this problem, we use the Oscillator semigroup introduced by R. Howe (see [2]).

3. HOWE'S OSCILLATOR SEMIGROUP

Let $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a (finite dimensional) real symplectic vector space and $(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ its complexification. For every $w \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$, we consider the decomposition $w = a + ib$, $a, b \in W$ and we denote by $\overline{w} = a - ib$ the conjugate with respect to the decomposition $W_{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus iW$. By extension, we get a symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $W_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The form $H : W_{\mathbb{C}} \times W_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by*

$$(3) \quad H(w, w') = i \langle w, \overline{w'} \rangle$$

is hermitian.

Proof. Straightforward verification.

□

We define now the subset $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ of $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$ by:

$$(4) \quad \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} = \{g \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}}) ; H(w, w) > H(g(w), g(w)), (\forall w \in W_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})\}.$$

Similarly, we denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ the subset of $\text{End}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} = \{z = x + iy ; x, y \in \mathfrak{sp}(W), \det(z - 1) \neq 0, \langle yw, w \rangle > 0, w \in W \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fix an element $z = x + iy$ with $x, y \in \mathfrak{sp}(W)$ such that $\det(z - 1) \neq 0$. Then,*

$$H(w, w) > H(c(z)w, c(z)w) \quad (\forall w \in W_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})$$

if and only if

$$\langle yw, w \rangle > 0 \quad (\forall w \in W \setminus \{0\}).$$

Finally, we obtain $c(\mathfrak{sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}) = \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$.

Proof. Fix $z = x + iy$, with $x, y \in \mathfrak{sp}(W)$. We have $H(c(z)w, c(z)w) = H(\overline{c(z)}^{-1}c(z)w, w)$ and then $H(w, w) > H(c(z)w, c(z)w) \Leftrightarrow H((1 - \overline{c(z)}^{-1}c(z))w, w) > 0$. Or,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \overline{c(z)}^{-1}c(z) &= 1 - ((\bar{z} + 1)(\bar{z} - 1)^{-1})^{-1} (z + 1)(z - 1)^{-1} = 1 - (\bar{z} - 1)(\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}(z + 1)(z - 1)^{-1} \\ &= 1 - (\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}(\bar{z} - 1)(z + 1)(z - 1)^{-1} \\ &= (\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}(\bar{z} + 1)(z - 1)(z - 1)^{-1} - (\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}(\bar{z} - 1)(z + 1)(z - 1)^{-1} \\ &= (\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}((\bar{z} + 1)(z - 1) - (\bar{z} - 1)(z + 1))(z - 1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

By definition of z , we get $(\bar{z} + 1)(z - 1) - (\bar{z} - 1)(z + 1) = 4iy$. So, $1 - \overline{c(z)}^{-1}c(z) = 4i(\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}y(z - 1)^{-1}$. Then, for all $w \in W_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} H(w, w) > H(c(z)w, c(z)w) &\Leftrightarrow H((1 - \overline{c(z)}^{-1}c(z))w, w) > 0 \Leftrightarrow 4iH((\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}y(z - 1)^{-1}w, w) > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow -4\langle (\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}y(z - 1)^{-1}w, \bar{w} \rangle > 0 \Leftrightarrow -4\langle y(z - 1)^{-1}w, (-\bar{z} + 1)^{-1}\bar{w} \rangle > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 4\langle yw', \bar{w}' \rangle > 0 \end{aligned}$$

with $w' = (z - 1)^{-1}w$. Because $\langle yw', \bar{w}' \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, by writing w' as $w' = w'_1 + iw'_2$, we get:

$$\langle yw', \bar{w}' \rangle = \langle y(w'_1), w'_1 \rangle + \langle y(w'_2), w'_2 \rangle.$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *The set $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ is a subsemigroup of $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$, which does not contain the identity but stable under $g \rightarrow \bar{g}^{-1}$. Moreover, we have*

$$(5) \quad \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \cdot \text{Sp}(W) = \text{Sp}(W). \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \subseteq \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$$

and the set $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \cup \text{Sp}(W)$ is a subsemigroup of $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$. To conclude, the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(W)$ is contained in the closure of $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$.

Proof. Fix g and g' in $\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. Obviously, $gg' \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$. For every $w \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have:

$$H(gg'w, gg'w) < H(g'w, g'w) < H(w, w),$$

which imply that $gg' \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. The subspace $\mathfrak{sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ is stable under the map $z \rightarrow -\bar{z}$ and $\overline{c(z)}^{-1} = c(-\bar{z})$. Then, if $g \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, we get $\bar{g}^{-1} \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$.

Now, fix $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ and $h \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. For all $w \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have $\overline{h(w)} = h(\bar{w})$ and then:

$$H(gh(w), \overline{gh(w)}) < H(h(w), \overline{h(w)}) = i\langle h(w), \overline{h(w)} \rangle = i\langle h(w), h(\bar{w}) \rangle = H(w, w).$$

In particular, $gh \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. Finally, for every element $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$,

$$g = -c(0)g = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow 0 \\ \langle y, \cdot \rangle > 0}} -c(iy)g$$

which prove that every elements of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ is a limit of elements in the semigroup $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. □

Remark 3.4. Let $z = X + iY$ with $z \in \mathfrak{sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. Then, for all $w \in W$,

$$\chi_z(w) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}w^t J(X+iY)w} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}w^t JXw} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}w^t JYw}.$$

The matrix $Y \in \mathfrak{sp}(W)$, the form $\langle Y \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive and JY is symmetric and positive definite. Then, there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_{2n})$ and a matrix $O \in \mathrm{O}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $JY = O^t D O$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W \chi_{iY}(w) dw &= \int_W e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}w^t JYw} dw = \int_W e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}w^t O^t D O w} dw = \int_W e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}Y^t D Y} |\det(O)| dY \\ &= \int_W e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} d_k Y_k^2} |\det(O)| dY = \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} d_k Y_k^2} dY_k = \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_k}} = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(D) \end{aligned}$$

Using that $|\chi_{X+iY}(w)| = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}w^t JYw}$, we get that the integral

$$\int_W \chi_{X+iY}(w) dw$$

is absolutely convergent. More precisely, we get:

$$\int_W \chi_{X+iY}(w) dw = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(X + iY) \right).$$

From now on, we denote by $\Lambda(X + iY)$ the previous determinant, i.e.

$$(6) \quad \Lambda(X + iY) = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(X + iY) \right).$$

Even if the complex symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$ is simply connected, the complex manifold $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ is not simply connected. We define on $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ a non-trivial cover, denoted by $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, by

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} = \{(g, \xi) ; g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}, \xi^2 = \det(i(g - 1))^{-1}\},$$

and let $C : \mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by:

$$C(g_1, g_2) = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2i}(c(g_1) + c(g_2)) \right).$$

Theorem 3.5. *The function $\Theta : \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \ni (g, \xi) \rightarrow \xi \in \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and we have the following equality:*

$$(7) \quad \frac{\Theta(\widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{g}_2)}{\Theta(\widetilde{g}_1) \Theta(\widetilde{g}_2)} = C(g_1, g_2) \quad (\widetilde{g}_1, \widetilde{g}_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \cup \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W})).$$

Moreover, for every functions $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W}))$, we get:

$$(8) \quad \int_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})} \Theta(\overline{g})\Psi(\overline{g})d\mu_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})}(\overline{g}) = \lim_{\substack{\overline{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \overline{p} \in \widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W}_c)^{++}}} \int_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})} \Theta(\overline{p}\overline{g})\Psi(\overline{g})d\mu_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})}(\overline{g}).$$

Proof. We assume that the support of Ψ is contained in the image of $\widetilde{c}(0)\widetilde{c}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})} \Theta(\overline{p}\overline{g})\Psi(\overline{g})d\overline{g} &= \int_{\widehat{\text{Sp}}(\overline{W})} \Theta(\widetilde{p}\widetilde{c}(0)\overline{g})\Psi(\widetilde{c}(0)\overline{g})d\overline{g} = \int_{\text{supp } \Psi} \Theta(\widetilde{p}\widetilde{c}(0)\overline{g})\Psi(\widetilde{c}(0)\overline{g})d\overline{g} \\ &= \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Theta(\widetilde{p}\widetilde{c}(0)\widetilde{c}(x))\Psi(\widetilde{c}(0)\widetilde{c}(x))j(x)dx = \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Theta(\widetilde{c}(iy)\widetilde{c}(x))\Psi(\widetilde{c}(0)\widetilde{c}(x))j(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{c}(0) = \widetilde{c}(iy)$ with $y \in \text{sp}(W)$ and $\langle y, \cdot \rangle > 0$. In particular, $y \rightarrow 0$ when $\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1$. But, according to equation (6)

$$\Theta(\widetilde{c}(iy)\widetilde{c}(x)) = \Theta(\widetilde{c}(iy))\Theta(\widetilde{c}(x))\Lambda(x + iy).$$

We denote by ψ the function of $\text{sp}(W)$ given by $\psi(x) = \Theta(\widetilde{c}(x))\Psi(\widetilde{c}(x))j(x)$ (we notice easily that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{sp}(W))$). We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Lambda(x + iy)\psi(x)dx &= \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \int_W \chi_{x+iy}(w)\psi(x)dw dx = \int_W \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \chi_x(w)\chi_{iy}(w)\psi(x)dx dw \\ &= \int_W \chi_{iy}(w) \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \chi_x(w)\psi(x)dx dw \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\int_{\text{sp}(W)} \chi_x(w)\psi(x)dx = \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \psi(x)e^{2i\pi\tau(w)(x)} dx = \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau(w)\right),$$

where $\tau : W \rightarrow \text{sp}(W)^*$ is the moment map and $\widehat{\psi}$ is the Fourier transform of ψ on $\text{sp}(W)$. Then,

$$\int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Lambda(x + iy)\psi(x)dx = \int_W \chi_{iy}(w)\widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau(w)\right)dw.$$

For all $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\chi_{iy}(w) = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{4}\langle iy(w), w \rangle} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\langle yw, w \rangle} < 1,$$

because $\langle yw, w \rangle > 0$ for every non zero $w \in W$. Finally, we get:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Lambda(x + iy)\psi(x)dx = \int_W \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau(w)\right)dw.$$

Using that $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \Theta(\widetilde{c}(iy)) = \Theta(\widetilde{c}(0))$, we get:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Theta(\widetilde{c}(iy))\Lambda(x + iy)\psi(x)dx = \int_W \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau(w)\right)dw.$$

Finally, we proved that the limit we considered in Equation (8) exists. Now, we determine this limit. For every $x \in \text{sp}(W)$, we denote by B the matrix of the bilinear form $\langle x, \cdot \rangle$. We remark that the matrix of the form $\langle J, \cdot \rangle$ is the identity matrix. For all $t > 0$, we have:

$$\Lambda(x + itJ) = \int_W \chi_{x+itJ}(w)dw = \int_W e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}\langle (x+itJ)w, w \rangle} dw = \int_W e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}\langle (x+itJ)w, w \rangle} dw$$

$$= \int_W e^{\frac{it}{2}w'(B+itI)w} dw = \int_W e^{\frac{-t}{2}w'(-iB+itI)w} dw = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(-iB + tI) \right)$$

We know that the eigenvalues of x are real numbers. So, for all $t > 0$,

$$|\det(-iB + tI)| > |\det(iB)|$$

i.e.

$$|\det(-iB + tI)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} < |\det(iB)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then,

$$|\Lambda(x + itJ)| \leq |\Lambda(x)|.$$

Using that the function Λ is locally integrable, we get:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Lambda(x + itJ) \psi(x) dx = \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Lambda(x) \psi(x) dx.$$

□

Remark 3.6. We first extend the map T on the semigroup. For every element $g \in \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, we have $\det(g - 1)$. We define the map $T : \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \rightarrow \text{S}^*(W)$ by

$$T(\widetilde{g} = (g, \xi)) = \Theta(\widetilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)} \mu_W.$$

We denote by $\text{Cont}(L^2(X))$ the semigroup of contractions on the Hilbert space $L^2(X)$. One can prove that:

$$\omega = \text{Op} \circ \mathcal{H} \circ T : \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++} \rightarrow \text{Cont}(L^2(X))$$

is a semigroup homomorphism. Moreover, for all $\widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, the operator $\omega(\widetilde{p})$ is of trace class and

$$\text{tr } \omega(\widetilde{p}) = \Theta(\widetilde{p}).$$

4. A GENERAL FORMULA FOR $\Theta_{\text{II}'}$

Let us start this section with comments concerning some particular integrals. As shown in [5, Section 4.8], for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}))$,

$$(9) \quad \int_{\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}) T(\widetilde{g}) d\widetilde{g}$$

is in $\text{S}(W)$. Briefly, for $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}))$ such that $\text{supp}(\Psi) \subseteq \text{Im}(\widetilde{c})$ and $\phi \in \text{S}(W)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}) T(\widetilde{g}) d\widetilde{g} \right) (\phi) = \int_W \int_{\text{sp}(W)} \Psi(\widetilde{c}(X)) \Theta(\widetilde{c}(X)) \phi(w) j_{\text{sp}}(X) \chi \left(\frac{1}{4} \langle Xw, w \rangle \right) dX dw \\ & = \int_W \left(\int_{\text{sp}(W)} \varphi(X) \chi \left(\frac{1}{4} \langle Xw, w \rangle \right) dX \right) \phi(w) dw = \int_W \widehat{\varphi} \circ \tau_{\text{sp}}(w) \phi(w) dw \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(X) = \Psi(\widetilde{c}(X)) \Theta(\widetilde{c}(X)) j_{\text{sp}}(X) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{sp}(W))$. Then, $\widehat{\varphi} \in \text{S}(\text{sp}(W))$ and $\lambda(w) = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \tau_{\text{sp}}(w) \in \text{S}(W)$.

Similarly, for all $\tilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}))$, one can prove that there exists $\lambda_{\tilde{p}} \in \text{S}(W)$ such that for every $\phi \in \text{S}(W)$, we have:

$$(10) \quad \left(\int_{\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})} \Psi(\tilde{g}) T(\tilde{p}\tilde{g}) d\tilde{g} \right) (\phi) = \int_W \lambda_{\tilde{p}}(w) \phi(w) dw.$$

The link between the functions $\lambda_{\tilde{p}}$ and λ is given by the following equality:

$$(11) \quad \lambda_{\tilde{p}}(w) = T(\tilde{p}) \natural \lambda(w) \quad (w \in W).$$

Lemma 4.1. For all $\tilde{g} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})^c$ and $\tilde{h} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, we get:

$$C(g, h) \chi_{c(gh)}(w) = \int_W \chi_{c(g)}(u) \chi_{c(h)}(w-u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \quad (\forall w \in W).$$

Proof. We have $T(\tilde{g}\tilde{h}) = T(\tilde{g}) \natural T(\tilde{h})$, i.e. $T(\tilde{g}\tilde{h}) \natural \phi = T(\tilde{g}) \natural T(\tilde{h}) \natural \phi$ for all $\phi \in \text{S}(W)$.

For all $w \in W$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tilde{g}\tilde{h}) \natural \phi(w) &= \int_W \Theta(\tilde{g}\tilde{h}) \chi_{c(gh)}(u) \phi(w-u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \\ &= \Theta(\tilde{g}) \Theta(\tilde{h}) C(g, h) \int_W \chi_{c(gh)}(u) \phi(w-u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \\ &= -\Theta(\tilde{g}) \Theta(\tilde{h}) C(g, h) \int_W \chi_{c(gh)}(w-v) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle v, w \rangle\right) dv \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (T(\tilde{g}) \natural T(\tilde{h})) \natural \phi(w) &= T(\tilde{g}) \natural (T(\tilde{h}) \natural \phi)(w) = \int_W \Theta(\tilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)}(u) T(\tilde{h}) \natural \phi(w-u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \\ &= \int_W \Theta(\tilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)}(u) \left(\int_W \Theta(\tilde{h}) \chi_{c(h)}(v) \phi(w-u-v) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle v, w-u \rangle\right) dv \right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \\ &= \int_W \Theta(\tilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)}(u) \left(\int_W \Theta(\tilde{h}) \chi_{c(h)}(w-u-z) \phi(z) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle z, w-u \rangle\right) dz \right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \\ &= \Theta(\tilde{g}) \Theta(\tilde{h}) \int_W \phi(z) \left(\int_W \chi_{c(g)}(u) \chi_{c(h)}(w-u-z) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle z, w-u \rangle\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \right) dz \\ &= C(g, h) \chi_{c(gh)}(w-v) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle v, w \rangle\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $v, w \in W$, we get:

$$C(g, h) \chi_{c(gh)}(w-v) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle v, w \rangle\right) = \int_W \chi_{c(g)}(u) \chi_{c(h)}(w-u-v) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle v, w-u \rangle\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du.$$

We get the result by taking $v = 0$. □

Proposition 4.2. For every $\tilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ and $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}))$, we get that:

$$\int_{\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})} \Psi(\tilde{g}) \int_{\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W})} \Phi(\tilde{h}) T(\tilde{g}\tilde{h}\tilde{p}) d\tilde{h} d\tilde{g}$$

is a Schwartz function $\phi_{\bar{p}}$ given by:

$$\phi_{\bar{p}}(w) = \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) \Theta(\bar{g}\bar{h}\bar{p}) \chi_{c(ghp)}(w) d\bar{h} d\bar{g}.$$

Proof. For all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(W)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) T(\bar{g}\bar{h}\bar{p}) d\bar{h} d\bar{g} \right) (\phi) = \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) T(\bar{g}\bar{h}\bar{p}) \natural \phi(0) d\bar{h} d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) T(\bar{g}) \natural \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) T(\bar{h}\bar{p}) \natural \phi d\bar{h} \right) (0) d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \int_W \Theta(\bar{g}) \chi_{c(g)}(w) \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) T(\bar{h}\bar{p}) \natural \phi d\bar{h} \right) (-w) dw d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \int_W \Theta(\bar{g}) \chi_{c(g)}(w) \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Phi(\bar{h}) \left(\int_W \Theta(\bar{h}\bar{p}) \chi_{c(hp)}(u) \phi(-w-u) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) du \right) d\bar{h} dw d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_W \phi(v) \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \int_W \Psi(\bar{g}) \Phi(\bar{h}) \Theta(\bar{g}) \Theta(\bar{h}\bar{p}) \chi_{c(g)}(u-v) \chi_{c(hp)}(u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w \rangle\right) dud\bar{h} d\bar{g} \right) dv \\ &= \int_W \phi(v) \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \Phi(\bar{h}) \Theta(\bar{g}) \Theta(\bar{h}\bar{p}) C(g, hp) \chi_{chp}(v) d\bar{h} d\bar{g} \right) dv \\ &= \int_W \phi(v) \left(\int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \int_{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W})} \Psi(\bar{g}) \Phi(\bar{h}) \Theta(\bar{g}\bar{h}\bar{p}) \chi_{c(ghp)}(v) d\bar{g} d\bar{h} \right) dv \end{aligned}$$

(where the last equality is obtained using Lemma (4.1)). □

Now, we are able to prove state and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. For every function $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\bar{G}')$, we get:

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi) = \lim_{\substack{\bar{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \bar{p} \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} \int_{\bar{G}'} \int_{\bar{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\bar{g})} \Theta(\bar{g}\bar{g}'\bar{p}) \Psi(\bar{g}') d\bar{g} d\bar{g}'.$$

Then, as a distributions on \bar{G}' , we have:

$$(12) \quad \Theta_{\Pi'}(\bar{g}') = \lim_{\substack{\bar{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \bar{p} \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\bar{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} \int_{\bar{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\bar{g})} \Theta(\bar{g}\bar{g}'\bar{p}) d\bar{g}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4.2, there exists a function $\lambda_{\bar{p}} \in \mathcal{S}(W)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\bar{G}'} \int_{\bar{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\bar{g})} T(\bar{g}\bar{g}'\bar{p}) \Psi(\bar{g}') d\bar{g} d\bar{g}' \right) (\phi) = \int_W \lambda_{\bar{p}}(w) \phi(w) dw \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{S}(W)).$$

Similarly, there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(W)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\bar{G}'} \int_{\bar{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\bar{g})} T(\bar{g}\bar{g}') \Psi(\bar{g}') d\bar{g} d\bar{g}' \right) (\phi) = \int_W \lambda(w) \phi(w) dw \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{S}(W)).$$

Using Equation (11), for all $w \in W$, we have $\lambda_{\bar{p}}(w) = T(\bar{p}) \natural \lambda(w)$.

We have that $\delta_0 = T(\widetilde{1}) = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} T(\widetilde{p})$, and then, using [5, Section 4.5],

$$\lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} \lambda_{\widetilde{p}}(0) = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} T(\widetilde{p}) \natural \lambda(0) = \delta_0 \natural \lambda(0) = \lambda(0).$$

Then, using [3, Theorem 3.5.4], we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi) &= \text{tr} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\widetilde{g})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}') \omega(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} d\widetilde{g}' \\ &= \text{tr} \text{Op} \circ \mathcal{H} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\widetilde{g})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}') T(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} d\widetilde{g}' \\ &= \left(\int_{\widetilde{G}'} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\widetilde{g})} \Psi(\widetilde{g}') T(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} d\widetilde{g}' \right) (0) = \lambda(0) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} \lambda_{\widetilde{p}}(0) = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}}} \int_{\widetilde{G}'} \int_{\widetilde{G}} \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\widetilde{g})} \Theta(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}'\widetilde{p}) \Psi(\widetilde{g}') d\widetilde{g} d\widetilde{g}'. \end{aligned}$$

□

From now on, we assume that G is connected. For every $\widetilde{p} \in \text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ and $\widetilde{g}' \in \widetilde{G}'$, we define the function $F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'} : \widetilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(\widetilde{g}) = \overline{\Theta_{\Pi}(\widetilde{g})} \Theta(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}'\widetilde{p}).$$

We easily prove that for every element $g \in G$, we have:

$$F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}((g, \xi)) = F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}((g, -\xi))$$

and by a standard result of differential geometry (see [16, Lemma A.4.2.11]), we get

$$\int_{\widetilde{G}} F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(\widetilde{g}) d\widetilde{g} = 2 \int_G H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(g) dg,$$

where dg is the normalized Haar measure on G and $H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the function defined by:

$$H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(\text{pr}(\widetilde{g})) = F_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(\widetilde{g}) \quad (\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}).$$

From now on, we assume that G is connected. By Weyl's integration formula (see [22, Theorem 8.60]), we get:

$$\int_G H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(g) dg = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{G/\mathbb{T}} H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(gtg^{-1}) dg \right) |D(t)|^2 dt$$

where D is the Weyl denominator. We define $G'^{++} = G'_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$ and denote by \widetilde{G}'^{++} the preimage in $\text{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$. For every element $\widetilde{p} \in \widetilde{G}'^{++}$, we prove easily that the function $H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}$ is invariant by conjugation. In particular, we get:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{G/\mathbb{T}} H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(gtg^{-1}) dg \right) |D(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(t) |D(t)|^2 dt$$

Using Theorem 4.3, we get:

Lemma 4.4. For every regular element $\widetilde{g}' \in \widetilde{G}'$, the character $\Theta_{\Pi'}$ of Π' is given by the following formula:

$$(13) \quad \Theta_{\Pi'}(\widetilde{g}') = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \widetilde{p} \in \widetilde{G}'^{++}}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_{\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{g}'}(t) |\Delta(t)|^2 dt.$$

Using an article of M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne [17], we obtain the weights of the representations $\Pi \in \widetilde{G}_\omega$. Then, using the Weyl character formula (Equation (1)), we get a formula for the character Θ_{Π} . What we need now, is an explicit realisation of the character Θ of the metaplectic representation.

5. A RESTRICTION OF Θ TO A MAXIMAL COMPACT SUBGROUP

We recall here the main ideas of [8, Section 2]. Here we want an explicit formula for the character Θ of the metaplectic representation on a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. We know that for any positive complex structure J on W , the subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^J$ of symplectic matrices which commute with J is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. More precisely, for every compact dual pair (G, G') (with G compact), there exists a complex structure J of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ such that $G \cdot T' \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(W)^J$, where T' is the maximal compact Cartan subgroup of G' (we will construct this element J explicitly for the dual pair $(\mathrm{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C}))$ in Section 6).

We fix a positive complex structure J on W , and we denote by $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of W . With respect to the endomorphism J , we get a decomposition of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the form

$$W_{\mathbb{C}} = W_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \oplus W_{\mathbb{C}}^-$$

where $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ (resp. $W_{\mathbb{C}}^-$) is the i -eigenspace (resp. $-i$ -eigenspace) for J . One can prove easily that the restriction of the form H defined in Equation (3) to the space $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ is positive definite. We denote by $U = \mathrm{U}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+, H|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}^+})$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)$ which preserve the form $H|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}^+}$.

We define a two fold cover of U , denoted by \widetilde{U} , as

$$(14) \quad \widetilde{U} = \{(u, \xi), \xi^2 = \det(u), u \in U\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+) \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Then, \widetilde{U} is a group (endowed with the pointwise multiplication). More precisely, it's a connected two-fold covering of U .

Proposition 5.1. *The map:*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(W)^J \ni g \rightarrow g|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}^+} \in U$$

is a group isomorphism and lifts to an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}^J \ni (g, \xi) \rightarrow (u, \xi \det(g-1)|_{(g-1)W_{\mathbb{C}}^+}) \in \widetilde{U}.$$

Then, the restriction of the metaplectic cover to $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^J$ is isomorphic to the covering

$$\widetilde{U} \ni (u, \xi) \rightarrow u \in U$$

Proof. The proof of this result can be found in [8, Proposition 1].

□

According to Equation (12), we need a formula for Θ not only on $\text{Sp}(W)^J$, but on an analogue subset in the oscillator semigroup. Briefly, the map

$$(\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++})^J \ni g \rightarrow g|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}^+} \in \text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)$$

is well define and bijective. We now define a subgroup $\text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++}$ of $\text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)$ as

$$\text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++} = \{h \in \text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+), H_{|w_{\mathbb{C}}}(w, w) > H_{|w_{\mathbb{C}}}(hw, hw), 0 \neq w \in W_{\mathbb{C}}^+\}$$

As in Equation (14), we define a non-trivial double cover of $\text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++}$ by

$$\widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++} = \{(h, \xi) \in \text{GL}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++} \times \mathbb{C}^*, \xi^2 = \det(h)\}.$$

The group structure on $\widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++}$ is given by the coordinate-wise multiplication. More particularly, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *The set $\widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++} \cup \widetilde{U}$ is a semigroup. Moreover, the map*

$$(\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++})^J \cup \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})^J \ni g \rightarrow g|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}^+} \in \widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++} \cup \widetilde{U}$$

is a semigroup isomorphism.

The following corollary gives us the character Θ on the subsemigroup $(\text{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++})^J \cup \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})^J$.

Corollary 5.3. *The restriction of the character Θ on the subsemigroup $(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++})^J \cup \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(\widetilde{W})^J$ is given by*

$$(15) \quad \Theta(\widetilde{k}) = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{h} \rightarrow \widetilde{k} \\ \widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++}}} \Theta(\widetilde{h}) = \lim_{\substack{\widetilde{h} \rightarrow \widetilde{k} \\ \widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\text{GL}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+)^{++}}} \frac{\xi}{\det(1-h)} \quad (\widetilde{h} = (h, \xi)).$$

6. THE DUAL PAIR $(G = \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C}), G' = \text{U}(p, q, \mathbb{C}))$

Let (V, b) be a n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} endowed with a positive definite hermitian form b and \mathcal{B} be a basis of V such that $\text{Mat}(b, \mathcal{B}) = \text{Id}_n$. We denote by $\text{U}(V, b)$ the group of isometries of b , i.e.

$$(16) \quad \text{U}(V, b) = \{g \in \text{GL}(V), b(gu, gv) = b(u, v), (\forall u, v \in V)\}.$$

By writing the endomorphisms in the basis \mathcal{B} , we get that the right hand side of Equation (16) can be written as:

$$(17) \quad \{g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), g^*g = \text{Id}_n\},$$

where $g^* = g^{-1t}$. We denote by $G = \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the group defined in Equation (17), by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the Lie algebra of $\text{U}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The maximal torus T of $\text{U}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is given by $T = \{\text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n), t_i \in S^1\}$ and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{t} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n i\mathbb{R} E_{k,k}.$$

One can check (see [22, Chapter II]) that the roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are given by:

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{\pm(e_i - e_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

where $e_k(\text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n)) = h_k$.

Similarly, let (V', b') be a $p + q$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} endowed with a non-degenerate hermitian form b' of signature (p, q) and let \mathcal{B}' be a basis of V' such that $\text{Mat}(b', \mathcal{B}') = \text{Id}_{p,q}$. We denote by $U(V', b')$ the group of isometries of b' , i.e.

$$(18) \quad U(V', b') = \{g \in \text{GL}(V'), b'(gu, gv) = b'(u, v), (\forall u, v \in V')\},$$

and by $U(p, q, \mathbb{C})$ the following group

$$(19) \quad \left\{g \in \text{GL}(p, q, \mathbb{C}), g^* \text{Id}_{p,q} g = \text{Id}_{p,q}\right\}.$$

Let $K' = U(p, \mathbb{C}) \times U(q, \mathbb{C})$ be the maximal compact subgroup of G' .

Using the paper of M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne [17] (we can also use the Appendix of [9]), the weights of the representations of $\Pi \in \widehat{U(n, \mathbb{C})}_\omega$ which appears in the correspondence are given by the following formula:

$$(20) \quad \lambda = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{q-p}{2} e_a - \sum_{a=1}^r \nu_a e_{n+1-a} + \sum_{a=1}^s \mu_a e_a,$$

where $0 \leq r \leq p$, $0 \leq s \leq q$, $r + s \leq m$, and integers $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ which satisfy $\nu_1 \geq \dots \geq \nu_r > 0$ and $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_s > 0$. The weights λ can also be written as

$$(21) \quad \lambda = \sum_{a=1}^m \left(\frac{q-p}{2} + \lambda_a \right) e_a$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ with at most q of the integers λ_i are positives and p negatives.

We easily proved that, for $G = U(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \alpha = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{n-2a+1}{2} e_a$$

Using Corollary 5.3, we give a formula for the character Θ on $T \cdot T'^{++}$, where T and T' are diagonal Cartan subgroups of G and G' respectively and $T'^{++} = T'_\mathbb{C} \cap \text{Sp}(W_\mathbb{C})^{++}$.

Proposition 6.1. (1) *The set T'^{++} is given by*

$$(22) \quad T'^{++} = \left\{ \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{p+q}); |t_i| < 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p, |t_i| > 1 \text{ for } p < i \leq p+q \right\}.$$

(2) *For all $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{t}' \in T'^{++}$, the character Θ is given by:*

$$(23) \quad \Theta(\tilde{t}\tilde{t}') = \frac{(-1)^{mq} \prod_{b=1}^m t_b^p \left(\prod_{a=1}^{p+q} t'_a \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\prod_{b=1}^m t_b^{\frac{q-p}{2}} \right)}{\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^m (t_b - t'_a) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^m \left(t_b - \frac{1}{t'_a} \right)}.$$

Proof. (1) See Appendix A.

(2) We consider $W = M((p+q) \times m, \mathbb{C})$ as a real vector space endowed with the following form

$$\langle w, w' \rangle = \text{Im}(\bar{w}'^t I_{p,q} w)$$

This form is symmetric and non-degenerate. Moreover, the map $J(w) = iI_{p,q}w$ is a positive definite complex structure on W . The maps

$$G \times W \ni (g, X) \rightarrow gX \in W \quad G' \times W \ni (g', X) = Xg'^{-1}$$

give embeddings of G and G' into $\text{Sp}(W)$. For every matrix $E_{a,b} \in W$, we have:

$$(tt') E_{a,b} = t'_a t_b^{-1} E_{a,b}$$

By definition of J , we get:

$$J(E_{a,b}) = \begin{cases} i E_{a,b} & \text{if } 1 \leq a \leq p \\ -i E_{a,b} & \text{if } a > p \end{cases}$$

Then, the eigenvalues of tt' are of the form

$$\{t'_a t_b^{-1}; 1 \leq a \leq p, 1 \leq b \leq n\} \cup \{\overline{t'_a t_b^{-1}}; p+1 \leq a \leq p+q, 1 \leq b \leq n\}.$$

Finally, using Equation (15), we get:

$$\Theta(\tilde{tt}') = \frac{\left(\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^n t'_a t_b^{-1} \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^n \overline{t'_a t_b^{-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^n (1 - t'_a t_b^{-1}) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^n (1 - \overline{t'_a t_b^{-1}})} = \frac{\left(\prod_{a=1}^p (t'_a)^n \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} (\overline{t'_a})^n \prod_{b=1}^n t_b^{q-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^n (1 - t'_a t_b^{-1}) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^n (1 - \overline{t'_a t_b^{-1}})}$$

In particular, for every element \tilde{t}' of \tilde{T}' , we get:

$$\Theta(\tilde{t}') = \frac{\left(\prod_{a=1}^p (t'_a)^m \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} (\overline{t'_a})^m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^m (1 - t'_a) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^m (1 - \overline{t'_a})}$$

According to Equation (7), we get that:

$$\Theta(\tilde{tt}') = \Theta(\tilde{t}) \Theta(\tilde{t}') \Lambda(c(t) + c(t')).$$

The rest of the proof is a straightforward computation. □

Proposition 6.2. For every regular element \tilde{t}' in \tilde{T}' , we get:

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\tilde{t}') = \frac{(-1)^{nq + \frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{p+q} t'_a \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(2i\pi)^n n!} \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow 1 \\ 0 < r < 1}} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \text{sgn}(w) \int_{S^1} \dots \int_{S^1} \frac{\prod_{b=1}^n t_b^{p-n-1} \prod_{a=1}^n t_{w(a)}^{a-\lambda_a} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - t_j)}{\prod_{a=1}^p \prod_{b=1}^n (t_b - r t'_a) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{b=1}^n \left(t_b - \frac{1}{r t'_a} \right)} \prod_{k=1}^n dt_k$$

$$= K(t') \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow 1 \\ 0 < r < 1}} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \operatorname{sgn}(\beta) \prod_{b=1}^n \int_{S^1} \frac{t_b^{p-n-2+w^{-1}(b)-\lambda_{w^{-1}(b)}+\beta^{-1}(b)}}{\prod_{a=1}^p (t_b - r t'_a) \prod_{a=p+1}^{p+q} \left(t_b - \frac{1}{r t'_a}\right)} dt_b$$

$$\text{where } K(t') = \frac{(-1)^{nq + \frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{p+q} t'_a\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(2i\pi)^n n!}.$$

Proof. Using Equation (13), we get that

$$\Theta_{\Pi'}(\tilde{g}') = \lim_{\substack{\tilde{p} \rightarrow 1 \\ \tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{t++}}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{g}'}(t) |\Delta(t)|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(t).$$

The torus \mathbb{T} of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $S^1^{\otimes n}$. Under this identification, we get:

$$d\mu_{\mathbb{T}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n d\mu_{S^1},$$

and $d\mu_{S^1}(z) = \frac{dz}{2i\pi z}$. Moreover,

$$(24) \quad D(\tilde{t} = \widetilde{\exp(x)}) = \prod_{a>0} (e^{\frac{a}{2}(x)} - e^{-\frac{a}{2}(x)}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (t_i^{\frac{1}{2}} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} t_j^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (t_i - t_j).$$

and by using the Vandermonde's determinant formula, we get:

$$(25) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j - t_i) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^n t_{\beta_i}^{i-1}.$$

The rest of the proof is a straightforward computation using Equation (1), Proposition 6.1 and Equation (21). □

We now give a technical lemma concerning the integrals which appears in the previous proposition (the proof is obvious using residue theorem).

Lemma 6.3. *Let a_1, \dots, a_p be p -complex numbers such that $|a_i| < 1$ for all $i \in \llbracket 1, p \rrbracket$. Similarly, we consider $a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+q} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|a_i| > 1$ for all $i \in \llbracket p+1, p+q \rrbracket$. Moreover, we assume that $a_i \neq a_j, i \neq j$. Then, we get:*

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{S^1} \frac{t^k}{\prod_{i=1}^{p+q} (t - a_i)} dt = \begin{cases} \sum_{h=1}^p \frac{a_h^k}{\prod_{j \neq h} (a_h - a_j)} & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ - \sum_{h=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{a_h^k}{\prod_{j \neq h} (a_h - a_j)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let's now fix $n = 1$. In this case, the weights λ of the representations Π are of the form $\lambda = k e_1$ if k is positive and $\lambda = k e_2$ otherwise. The reason why we voluntarily change the notations here is because

the set of irreducible genuine representations of $\widehat{U(1, \mathbb{C})}$ is isomorphic to the unitary dual of $U(1, \mathbb{C})$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} via the isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni k \rightarrow (x \rightarrow e^{2i\pi kx}) \in \widehat{U(1, \mathbb{C})}.$$

Using Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4. *The character $\Theta_{\Pi'_k}$ of the representation Π'_k of $U(p, q, \mathbb{C})$ is given, for every $\tilde{r} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}'$, by:*

$$(26) \quad \Theta_{\Pi'_k}(\tilde{r}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{p+q} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=1}^p \frac{t_h^{p-(k+1)}}{\prod_{h \neq j} (t_h - t_j)} & \text{if } k \leq 0 \\ - \prod_{i=1}^{p+q} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{t_h^{p-(k+1)}}{\prod_{h \neq j} (t_h - t_j)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notation 6.5. The Weyl group \mathcal{W} (resp. $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f})$) of G' (resp. K') is isomorphic to \mathcal{S}_{p+q} (resp. $\mathcal{S}_p \times \mathcal{S}_p$). For every element $h \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$, we denote by \mathcal{W}^h the stabilizer of h , i.e.

$$\mathcal{W}^h = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}, \sigma(h) = h\}.$$

We define similarly $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f})^h$.

Proposition 6.6. *We get, up to a constant, the following result:*

$$D(X)\Theta_{\Pi'}(\exp(X)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu \in A^{p+1}} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_p \times \mathcal{S}_q} \text{sgn}(\omega) e^{i\omega(\mu\lambda_1 + \xi)(x)} & \text{if } k > p-1 \\ \sum_{\mu \in A^1} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_p \times \mathcal{S}_q} \text{sgn}(\omega) e^{i\omega(\mu\lambda_2 + \xi)(x)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

- $\lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p (i-1)e_i + (p-(k+1))e_{p+1} + \sum_{i=p+2}^{p+q} (i-2)e_i$,
- $\lambda_2 = (p-(k+1))e_1 + \sum_{a=2}^{p+q} (a-2)e_a$,
- A^1 (resp. A^{p+1}) is a system of representatives of $\mathcal{W}^1 / \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f})^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}^{p+1} / \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f})^{p+1}$)
- $\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{p+q} \frac{p+q-2}{2} e_k$.

Proof. We assume first that $k > p-1$. According to Equation (24), we have:

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} (e^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}}) = \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{-\frac{p+q-1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q} (t_i - t_j).$$

For all $h \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$, we get:

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q} (t_i - t_j)}{\prod_{k \neq h} (t_h - t_k)} = (-1)^{h-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q \\ i, j \neq h}} (t_i - t_j)$$

and then

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} (e^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(x)}{2}}) \Theta_{\Pi'_k}(\widetilde{\exp}(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^{p+q} t_i^{-\frac{p+q-2}{2}} \sum_{h=p+1}^{p+q} (-1)^{h+1} t_h^{p-(k+1)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q \\ i \neq h, j \neq h}} (t_i - t_j).$$

For all $h \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$, we denote by \tilde{t}_k , $1 \leq k \leq p+q-1$ the following elements

$$\tilde{t}_k = \begin{cases} t_k & \text{if } k < h \\ t_{k+1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, up to a ± 1 , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q \\ i \neq h, j \neq h}} (t_i - t_j) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+q-1} (\tilde{t}_i - \tilde{t}_j) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{p+q-1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{a=1}^{p+q-1} \tilde{t}_{\sigma(a)}^{a-1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{p+q}^h} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{a=1}^{h-1} t_{\sigma(a)}^{a-1} \prod_{a=h+1}^{p+q} t_{\sigma(a)}^{a-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove that:

$$\sum_{h=p+1}^{p+q} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{p+q}^h} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (-1)^{h+1} t_h^{p-(k+1)} \prod_{a=1}^{h-1} t_{\sigma(a)}^{a-1} \prod_{a=h+1}^{p+q} t_{\sigma(a)}^{a-2} = (-1)^p \sum_{\mu \in A^{p+1}} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_{p \times \mathcal{S}_q}} \text{sgn}(\omega) e^{i\omega(\mu\lambda)(x)}$$

where $\lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p (i-1)e_i + (p-(k+1))e_{p+1} + \sum_{i=p+2}^{p+q} (i-2)e_i$. The proof is similar if $k \leq p-1$. \square

Recall 6.7. We recall briefly some well-known facts from [10] (see also [25]) concerning the Fourier transform of co-adjoint orbits. To simplify the notations, we assume that G is a semi-simple connected Lie group such that $\text{rk}(\mathbb{K}) = \text{rk}(G)$, where \mathbb{K} is a maximal compact subgroup of G . We denote by Ad^* the natural co-adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* . For every $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we denote by G_λ the G -orbit associated to λ . On the space G_λ , we have a natural measure $d\beta_\lambda$, usually called the Liouville measure on G_λ (see [25, Section 7.5]).

The Fourier transform of G_λ , denoted by F_{G_λ} , is the generalized function on \mathfrak{g} defined by:

$$F_{G_\lambda}(X) = \int_{G_\lambda} e^{if(X)} d\beta_\lambda(f) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

As proved in [10, Page 217], if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^{*\text{reg}}$, we have, up to a constant, the following quality:

$$\left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \alpha(X) \right) F_{G_\lambda}(X) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{t})} \varepsilon(\omega) e^{i\lambda(\omega(X))} \quad (X \in \mathfrak{t}^{\text{reg}}).$$

If the weight λ is not regular, see [17, Theorem 7.24]. To simplify the notations, we denote by $\pi(X)$ the quantity $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \alpha(X)$.

We now work with the pair $(G(V, b_V), G(V', b_{V'})) = (U(1, \mathbb{C}), U(1, 1, \mathbb{C})) \subseteq \text{Sp}(W)$, where $W = (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \text{Im}(b_V \otimes b_{V'})$. We denote by H_1 and H_2 the two Cartan subgroups of G' (up to conjugation), with H_1 compact.

Let $\mathcal{B}' = \{v_1, v_2\}$ be a basis of V' such that:

$$F = \text{Mat}(\mathcal{B}', b_{V'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$U(1, 1, \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}), g^* F g = F\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, 1, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{C}), A F + F A^* = 0\} = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we have $\mathfrak{u}(1, 1, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \text{Id}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. We fix the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -triple (h, e, f) defined by $h = E_{1,1} - E_{2,2}$, $e = E_{1,2}$, $f = E_{2,1}$ and let

$$C = \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}(e + f)\right).$$

More particularly, we have:

$$C = \exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i\pi}{4} \\ \frac{i\pi}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ch}(\frac{i\pi}{4}) & \text{sh}(\frac{i\pi}{4}) \\ \text{sh}(\frac{i\pi}{4}) & \text{ch}(\frac{i\pi}{4}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) & i \sin(\frac{\pi}{4}) \\ i \sin(\frac{\pi}{4}) & \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so $C \notin \mathfrak{u}(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let*

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, $H_1 = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_1)$ and $H_2 = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_2)$ are the two non-conjugate Cartan subgroups of $U(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$ and H_1 is compact. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} C^{-1}$$

Remark 7.2. More particularly, the subgroups H_1 and H_2 are given by

$$H_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and

$$H_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \text{ch}(X) & i \text{sh}(X) \\ -i \text{sh}(X) & e^{i\theta_1} \text{ch}(X) \end{pmatrix}, \theta_1, X \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \text{ch}(X) & i \text{sh}(X) \\ -i \text{sh}(X) & \text{ch}(X) \end{pmatrix}, X \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = T_2 A_2.$$

The set A_2 is the split part of H_2 (see [15, Section 2.3.6]).

Proposition 7.3. *For all element $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}'^{++}$, we get:*

$$\Theta(\tilde{g}) = \det(g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(g - 1)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{U}(1, 1, \mathbb{C}) \left(\leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^* \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R}) \right)$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}(i(g-1)) &= \det_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}(g-1) = \det_{\mathbb{C}^2}(g-1) \det_{\mathbb{C}^2}(g^*-1) \\ &= \det(g-1) \det(g^{-1}-1) = \det(g-1) \det(g^{-1}) \det(1-g) \\ &= \det(g)^{-1} \det(g-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

We can now determine $\Theta_{\Pi'_k}$ on H_2 (more particularly on A_2). According to Equation (13), we get for $X > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\Pi'_k} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{ch}(X) & i \mathrm{sh}(X) \\ -i \mathrm{sh}(X) & \mathrm{ch}(X) \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \Theta_{\Pi'_k} \left(\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX \\ -iX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(z \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX \\ -iX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dz \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(z \exp C \begin{pmatrix} -X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} \right) dz = \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(\begin{pmatrix} ze^{-X} & 0 \\ 0 & ze^X \end{pmatrix} \right) dz \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^{-k+1} \det \begin{pmatrix} ze^{-X} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ze^X - 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} dz = \int_{S^1} \frac{z^{-k+1}}{(ze^X - 1)(ze^{-X} - 1)} dz \\ &= \int_{S^1} \frac{z^{-k+1}}{(z - e^{-X})(z - e^X)} dz = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{X(k-1)}}{e^X - e^{-X}} & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ \frac{e^{-X(k-1)}}{e^{-X} - e^X} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

More generally, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\Pi'_k} \left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} \mathrm{ch}(X) & ie^{i\theta} \mathrm{sh}(X) \\ -ie^{i\theta} \mathrm{sh}(X) & e^{i\theta} \mathrm{ch}(X) \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \Theta_{\Pi'_k} \left(\exp \left(\begin{pmatrix} i\theta & 0 \\ 0 & i\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX \\ -iX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(z \exp \left(\begin{pmatrix} i\theta & 0 \\ 0 & i\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX \\ -iX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) dz = \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(z \exp \left(C \left(\begin{pmatrix} i\theta & 0 \\ 0 & i\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} \right) C^{-1} \right) \right) dz \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^{-k} \Theta \left(\begin{pmatrix} ze^{-X} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & ze^{i\theta} e^X \end{pmatrix} \right) dz = \int_{S^1} z^{-k+1} e^{i\theta} \det \begin{pmatrix} ze^{i\theta} e^{-X} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ze^{i\theta} e^X - 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} dz \\ &= \int_{S^1} \frac{z^{-k+1} e^{i\theta}}{(ze^{i\theta} e^X - 1)(ze^{i\theta} e^{-X} - 1)} dz = \int_{S^1} \frac{e^{-i\theta} z^{-k+1}}{(z - e^{-i\theta} e^{-X})(z - e^{-i\theta} e^X)} dz = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{i\theta(k-1)} e^{X(k-1)}}{e^X - e^{-X}} & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ \frac{e^{i\theta(k-1)} e^{-X(k-1)}}{e^{-X} - e^X} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We got similar results for $X < 0$.

8. A CONJECTURE OF T. PRZEBINDA

In [7], T. Przebinda investigated the correspondence of characters for a general dual pair. We recall here the Howe's duality theorem in this context. Let $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a symplectic vector space over \mathbb{R} , $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W})$ the corresponding metaplectic group, (ω, \mathcal{H}) the metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W})$, (G, G') be a dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}')$ the corresponding dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W})$.

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible admissible representations of \widetilde{G} which are infinitesimally equivalent to a quotient of ω^∞ . In [1], R. Howe proved that there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}', \omega)$ whose graph is $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}', \omega)$. We denote by θ the following one-to-one map:

$$\theta : \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega) \ni \Pi \rightarrow \Pi' = \theta(\Pi) \in \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}', \omega).$$

Let's (G, G') be an irreducible reductive dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathrm{rk}(G) \leq \mathrm{rk}(G')$. We denote by $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ the set of conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G . As explained in [15, Section 2.3.6], for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a decomposition of H_i of the form

$$H_i = T_i A_i,$$

where T_i is compact and A_i is the split part of H_i (by convention, H_1 is the compact Cartan subgroup, i.e. $A_1 = \{\mathrm{Id}\}$).

For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote by $A'_i = C_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(A_i)$ and $A''_i = C_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(A'_i)$ (in particular, $A'_1 = \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $A''_1 = Z(\mathrm{Sp}(W)) = \{\pm 1\}$). Then, (A'_i, A''_i) is a reductive dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ (not irreducible in general).

We define a measure \overline{dw}_i on the quotient $A''_i \backslash W$ given by:

$$\int_W \phi(w) dw = \int_{A''_i \backslash W} \int_{A'_i} \phi(a'w) d\mu_{A'_i}(a') \overline{dw}.$$

In [7, Section 2], T. Przebinda define the following distribution on A'_i :

$$\mathrm{Chc}(\Psi) = \int_{A''_i \backslash W} \left(\int_{A'_i} \Psi(\overline{g}) T(\overline{g}) d\overline{g} \right) (w) \overline{dw} \quad (\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(A'_i)).$$

As mentioned in Section 4, the integral

$$\int_{A'_i} \Psi(\overline{g}) T(\overline{g}) d\overline{g} \in \mathcal{S}(W),$$

in particular, $\mathrm{Chc}(\Psi)$ is well defined. Moreover, for all $\tilde{h} \in H_i^{\mathrm{reg}}$, the intersection of the wave front set $\mathrm{WF}(\mathrm{Chc})$ of the distribution Chc with the conormal bundle of the embedding

$$\widetilde{G}' \ni \tilde{g}' \rightarrow \tilde{h}\tilde{g}' \in \widetilde{A}'_i$$

is empty. In particular, there is a unique restriction of the distribution Chc to \widetilde{G}' . We denote by $\mathrm{Chc}_{\tilde{h}}$ this restriction. In [7, Conjecture 2.18], T. Przebinda conjectured the following result:

Conjecture 8.1 (T. Przebinda). We denote by \widetilde{G}'_1 the connected component at identity of \widetilde{G}' . We assume that $(\Theta_{\Pi'})_{\widetilde{G}' \setminus \widetilde{G}'_1} = 0$. For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widetilde{G}'_1)$, the character $\Theta_{\Pi'}$ of Π' is given by:

$$(27) \quad \Theta_{\Pi'}(\Psi) = K_\Pi \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}(H_i)|} \int_{\widetilde{H}_i^{\mathrm{reg}}} \overline{\Theta_\Pi(\tilde{h})} |D(\tilde{h})|^2 \mathrm{Chc}_{\tilde{h}}(\Psi) d\tilde{h}.$$

where K_Π is a complex number depending of Π (one can check [7, Definition 2.17]).

We now explain how can we get characters by double lifting starting with a compact dual pair. To simplify the notations, we will present that for the dual pair of unitary groups. Let $(G = U(1, \mathbb{C}), G' = U(1, 1, \mathbb{C}))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$, where $W_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{C}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $(G_1, G'_1) = (U(1, 1, \mathbb{C}), U(m, m+1))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{R}}^m)$, where $W_{\mathbb{R}}^1 = (\mathbb{C}^{1,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{m,1+m})_{\mathbb{R}}$. We denote by (ω, \mathcal{H}) the metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{R}})$, by $(\omega_m, \mathcal{H}_m)$ the metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^m)$ and by θ and θ_m the two bijections

$$\theta : \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}', \omega) \quad \theta_m : \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}_1, \omega_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}'_1, \omega_m).$$

Notation 8.2. For two positive integers r, s , we denote by $\det^{\frac{r-s}{2}} - \mathrm{cov}$ the double cover of $U(r, s, \mathbb{C})$ given by:

$$\{(g, \xi) \in U(r, s, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*, \xi^2 = \det(g)^{r-s}\}.$$

According to [18, Section 1.2], we have:

$$\widetilde{G} \approx \det^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mathrm{cov}, \quad \widetilde{G}' \approx \det^0 - \mathrm{cov}, \quad \widetilde{G}_1 \approx \det^0 - \mathrm{cov} \quad \widetilde{G}'_1 \approx \det^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mathrm{cov}.$$

where $\det^0 - \mathrm{cov}$ is the trivial cover.

Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$ such that $\theta(\Pi) \neq \{0\}$. According to a result of J-S Li [20], $\theta(\Pi) \in \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{G}'_1, \omega_m)$ and using the conservation of Kudla [19], $\theta_m(\theta(\Pi)) \neq \{0\}$.

We now assume that the dual pair (G_1, G'_1) is in the stable range (with $\mathrm{rk}(G_1) \leq \mathrm{rk}(G'_1)$).

As explained previously, the weights of the representations Π such that $\theta(\Pi) \neq \{0\}$ are well-known (see [17]). The distribution character $\Theta_{\theta_m(\theta(\Pi))}$ is given, for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\widetilde{G}'_1)$, by the following formula:

$$\Theta_{\theta_m(\theta(\Pi))}(\Psi) = K_{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{H}_i)|} \int_{\widetilde{H}_i^{\mathrm{reg}}} \overline{\Theta_{\theta(\Pi)}(\widetilde{h}_i)} |D(\widetilde{h}_i)|^2 \mathrm{Ch}c_{\widetilde{h}_i}(\Psi) d\widetilde{h}_i.$$

where $\{\mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2\}$ the set of Cartan subgroups of G' (up to equivalence). The value of the character $\Theta_{\theta(\Pi)}$ on \mathbf{H}_1 can be obtained using the formula given in Proposition 6.4 (it can also be obtained using Enright's formula (see [14, Corollary 2.3]). The representation $\theta(\Pi)$ is an irreducible unitary highest weight module. According to H. Hecht's thesis [26], the formula on the other Cartan subgroups is given by the same formula on the other Cartan subgroups. \mathbf{H}_2 can be obtained similarly.

Clearly, the same method can be applied in "higher dimensions".

APPENDIX A. THE OSCILLATOR SEMIGROUP FOR $U(1, 1, \mathbb{C})$

In this appendix, we would like to prove the equality given in Equation 22 of the Proposition 6.1.

We recall here some well-known facts concerning complexifications. Let's V be a complex dimension n endowed with an antilinear involution c (i.e. $c^2 = 1$ and $c(\lambda v) = \bar{\lambda}c(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, v \in V$). Then, we have the decomposition:

$$(28) \quad V = \{v \in V, c(v) = v\} \oplus \{v \in V, c(v) = -v\} = \mathrm{Re}(V, c) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(V, c).$$

Both $\mathrm{Re}(V, c)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(V, c)$ are vector spaces over \mathbb{R} of dimension n . Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Re}(V, c) \ni v \rightarrow iv \in \mathrm{Im}(V, c)$$

is well-defined and an isomorphism of \mathbb{R} vector spaces. We denote by $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ the vector space given in Equation (28).

Example A.1. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $c : V \rightarrow V$ given by $c(v) = \bar{v}$ the natural conjugation on \mathbb{C}^n . Then,

$$\operatorname{Re}(V) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x = \bar{x}\} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \operatorname{Im}(V) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x = -\bar{x}\} = i\mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the vector space $V \oplus V$, we define the map \tilde{c} given by

$$\tilde{c} : V \oplus V \ni (u, v) \rightarrow (c(v), c(u)) \in V \oplus V$$

is an antilinear involution. As in Equation (28), we define the spaces $\operatorname{Re}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c})$ and $\operatorname{Im}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c})$. In particular, we have:

$$\operatorname{Re}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c}) = \{(v, c(v)), v \in V\}.$$

Moreover, the map:

$$(29) \quad V_{\mathbb{R}} \ni v \rightarrow (v, c(v)) \in \operatorname{Re}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c}) \subseteq V \oplus V$$

is an isomorphism of real vector spaces. In particular, the complexification of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, denoted by $(V_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}$, is $V \oplus V$.

For all $(x, y) \in V \oplus V$, its "conjugate" $\tilde{c}(x, y)$ is equal to $(c(y), c(x))$. Moreover, there exists $a, b \in V$ such that

$$(x, y) = (a, c(a)) + (b, -c(b)) \in \operatorname{Re}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c}) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(V \oplus V, \tilde{c}).$$

More particularly, we have $a = \frac{u+c(v)}{2}$ and $b = \frac{u-c(v)}{2}$.

Let's now assume that the space V is endowed with an hermitian form b_V of signature (p, q) (i.e. there exists a basis \mathcal{B}_V of V such that $F = \operatorname{Mat}(b_V, \mathcal{B}_V) = \operatorname{Id}_{p,q}$). On the space $W = V_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have a natural symplectic form b given by $b = \operatorname{Im}(b_V)$. We denote by $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of W and by $b_{\mathbb{C}}$ the corresponding symplectic form on $W_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, using the identification given in Equation (29), we get for all $w = (w_1, w_2) \in W_{\mathbb{C}} = V \oplus V$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} H(w, w) &= \operatorname{Im} \left(b_V \left(\frac{w_1 + c(w_2)}{2}, -\frac{i(w_1 - c(w_2))}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, c(w_2))) + \operatorname{Re}(b_V(c(w_2), w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(c(w_2), c(w_2)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \overline{\operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, c(w_2)))} + \operatorname{Re}(b_V(c(w_2), w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(c(w_2), c(w_2)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(c(w_2), c(w_2)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_2, w_2))) \end{aligned}$$

For all $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(W_{\mathbb{C}})^{++}$, we get, according to Equation (4), that:

$$\begin{aligned} g \in G^{++} &\Leftrightarrow H \left(g \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, g \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) < H \left(\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (\forall (w_1, w_2) \in V \oplus V) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_2, w_2)) > \operatorname{Re}(b_V(gw_1, gw_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(g^*w_2, g^*w_2)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_1, w_1)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(gw_1, gw_1)) &> 0 \\ \operatorname{Re}(b_V(w_2, w_2)) - \operatorname{Re}(b_V(g^*w_2, g^*w_2)) &< 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathfrak{b}_V(w, w) - \mathfrak{b}_V(gw, gw) &> 0 \\ \mathfrak{b}_V(w, w) - \mathfrak{b}_V(g^*w, g^*w) &< 0 \end{cases} \quad (\forall w \in \operatorname{Re}(V, c) \setminus \{0\}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow F - g^* F g > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Example A.2. We assume that $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ and that the signature of \mathfrak{b}_V is $(1, 1)$. The compact torus T is given by:

$$T = \left\{ t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}, t_1, t_2 \in U(1, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

and then, using the notations of Section 7,

$$F - \bar{t}^T F t < 0 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{1,1} - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{t}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{t}_2 \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{Id}_{1,1} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |t_1|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |t_2|^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0,$$

i.e. $t \in T^{++} \Leftrightarrow |t_1| < 1$ and $|t_2| > 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Howe, Roger. Transcending classical invariant theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):535-552, 1989.
- [2] Howe, Roger. The oscillator semigroup. In *The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl (Durham, NC, 1987)*, volume 48 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 61-132. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [3] Howe, Roger. Quantum mechanics and partial differential equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 38(2):188-254, 1980.
- [4] Howe, Roger. Preliminaries I. *Unpublished*.
- [5] Aubert, Anne-Marie and Przebinda, Tomasz. A reverse engineering approach to the Weil representation. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 12(10):1500-1585, 2014.
- [6] Przebinda, Tomasz. The character and the wave front set correspondence in the stable range. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 274(5):1284-1305, 2018.
- [7] Przebinda, Tomasz. A Cauchy Harish-Chandra integral, for a real reductive dual pair *Invent. Math.*, 141(2):299-363, 2000.
- [8] T. Przebinda and A. Pasquale and M. McKee. Weyl Calculus and dual pairs. In *arXiv:1405.2431v2*, pages 1-100. 2015.
- [9] Przebinda, Tomasz. The duality correspondence of infinitesimal characters. *Colloq. Math.*, 70(1):93-102, 1996.
- [10] Rossmann, Wulf. Kirillov's character formula for reductive Lie groups. *Invent. Math.*, 48(3):207-220, 1978.
- [11] Weil, André. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.*, 111:143-211, 1964.
- [12] Harish-Chandra. Representations of semisimple Lie groups. III. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76:234-253, 1954.
- [13] Harish-Chandra. Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters. *Acta Math.*, 116:1-111, 1966.
- [14] Enright, Thomas J. Analogues of Kostant's u-cohomology formulas for unitary highest weight modules. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 392:27-36, 1988.
- [15] Wallach, Nolan R. *Real reductive groups. I.* volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [16] Wallach, Nolan R. *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 19.
- [17] Kashiwara, M. and Vergne, M. On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials. *Invent. Math.*, 44(1):1-47, 1978.
- [18] Paul, Annegret. Howe correspondence for real unitary groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 159(2):384-431, 1998.
- [19] Kudla, Stephen S. On the local theta-correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 83(2):229-255, 1986.
- [20] Li, Jian-Shu. Singular unitary representations of classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):237-255, 1989.

- [21] Hirai, Takeshi. The Plancherel formula for $SU(p, q)$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 22:134-179, 1970.
- [22] Knapp, Anthony W. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.
- [23] Kirillov, A. A. Characters of unitary representations of Lie groups. Reduction theorems. *unkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 3(1):36-47, 1969.
- [24] Thomas, Teruji. The character of the Weil representation. *Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 77(1):221-239, 2008.
- [25] Berline, Nicole and Getzler, Ezra and Vergne, Michèle. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [26] Hecht, Henryk. The characters of Harish-Chandra representations. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1976. Thesis (Ph.D.)-Columbia University.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, BLOCK S17, 10, LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076, REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE
E-mail address: matafm@nus.edu.sg