# Exploring the Diversity of Type 1 Active Galactic Nuclei Identified in SDSS-IV/SPIDERS

Julien Wolf,<sup>1\*</sup> Mara Salvato<sup>1</sup>, Damien Coffey<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Merloni<sup>1</sup>, Johannes Buchner<sup>1</sup>, Riccardo Arcodia<sup>1</sup>, Dalya Baron<sup>2</sup>, Francisco J. Carrera<sup>3</sup>, Johan Comparat<sup>1</sup>, Donald P. Schneider<sup>4,5</sup>, Kirpal Nandra<sup>1</sup>

 $1$ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Gießenbachstraße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria), 39005 Santander, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

<sup>5</sup> Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

### ABSTRACT

We present a statistical analysis of the optical properties of an X-ray selected Type 1 AGN sample, using high signal-to-noise ratio  $(S/N>20)$  spectra of the counterparts of the ROSAT/2RXS sources in the footprint of the SDSS-IV/SPIDERS (Spectroscopic IDentification of eROSITA Sources) programme. The final sample contains 2100 sources. It significantly extends the redshift and luminosity ranges ( $z \sim 0.01-0.80$  and L<sub>0.1−2.4 keV</sub> ~ 2.0×10<sup>41</sup> − 1.0×10<sup>46</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>) used so far in this kind of analysis. By means of a Principal Component Analysis, we derive Figure tor (FV) 1 and 2 in an eleven of a Principal Component Analysis, we derive Eigenvector (EV) 1 and 2 in an eleven dimensional optical and X-ray parameter space, which are consistent with previous results. The validity of the correlations of the Eddington ratio  $L/L_{Edd}$  with EV1 and the black hole mass with  $EV2$  are strongly confirmed These results imply that  $L/L_{Edd}$ and black hole mass are related to the diversity of the optical properties of Type 1 AGN. Investigating the relation of the width and asymmetry of  $H\beta$  and the relative strength of the iron emission  $r_{\text{FeII}}$ , we show that our analysis supports the presence of a distinct kinematic region: the Very Broad Line Region. Furthermore, comparing sources with a red-asymmetric broad  $H\beta$  emission line to sources for which it is blue-asymmetric, we find an intriguing difference in the correlation of the FeII and the continuum emission strengths. We show that this contrasting behaviour is consistent with a flattened, stratified model of the Broad Line Region, in which the FeII emitting region is shielded from the central source.

Key words: galaxies: nuclei – galaxies: active – accretion, accretion discs – techniques: spectroscopic

#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>**INTRODUCTION**

The exploration of the multi-wavelength emission of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN), including their spectral features, has motivated the development of unification schemes for this class of persistent and extremely luminous objects (e.g., [An](#page-15-0)[tonucci](#page-15-0) [1993;](#page-15-0) [Urry & Padovani](#page-17-0) [1995;](#page-17-0) [Netzer](#page-16-0) [2015;](#page-16-0) [Padovani](#page-16-1) [et al.](#page-16-1) [2017,](#page-16-1) see also [Elitzur](#page-16-2) [2012](#page-16-2) for some caveats about such unification schemes). Simplifying the complex and historically developed AGN zoo is an appealing idea, since most of the identified species share common spectral properties

over large ranges of luminosity and redshift. In this context, two main sub-classes have been defined based on their optical emission line properties: Type 1, which have both broad and narrow optical/UV emission lines, and Type 2, which show only narrow lines. These two classes are unified via the hypothesis that the broad lines in Type 2 sources are obscured (e.g., [Netzer](#page-16-3) [2008;](#page-16-3) [Hickox & Alexander](#page-16-4) [2018\)](#page-16-4). There is nonetheless substantial variety in the properties within the Type 1 population. The broad lines encode information about the geometry and the kinematics of the inner regions of the system : the Broad Line Region (BLR, e.g., [Rees et al.](#page-17-1) [1989;](#page-17-1) [Peterson](#page-16-5) [2006;](#page-16-5) [Gaskell](#page-16-6) [2009\)](#page-16-6). Photoionised by the continuum emission of the central source, the BLR emits transition lines, which are expected to be broadened by

<sup>?</sup> E-mail: jwolf@mpe.mpg.de

the motion of the gas (e.g., [Baldwin et al.](#page-16-7) [1995;](#page-16-7) [King](#page-16-8) [2016\)](#page-16-8). The virial broadening of the emission lines can, under certain assumptions, be used to estimate the mass of central supermassive black holes (SMBH). This mass estimation method is calibrated using estimates of the BLR radius from reverberation mapping. [\(Bahcall et al.](#page-16-9) [1972;](#page-16-9) [Blandford & McKee](#page-16-10) [1982;](#page-16-10) [Peterson](#page-16-11) [1993;](#page-16-11) [Gebhardt et al.](#page-16-12) [2000;](#page-16-12) [Kaspi et al.](#page-16-13) [2005;](#page-16-13) [Bentz & Katz](#page-16-14) [2015;](#page-16-14) [Shen et al.](#page-17-2) [2015\)](#page-17-2). The response delay of the BLR emission to variations in the ionising continuum emission from the central source (i.e., the accretion disk) is used to determine the distance between the BLR and the nucleus, assuming a Keplerian velocity field.

Broad, low-ionisation lines, such as  $H\beta$  (rest-frame wavelength at  $4862\text{\AA}$ ) and the MgII $\lambda$ 2800Å doublet<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup>, are generally thought to yield more reliable virial broadening estimators than, for instance, the high ionisation transition CIV $\lambda$ 1549A (e.g., [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-17-3) [2012;](#page-17-3) [Mejia-](#page-16-15)[Restrepo et al.](#page-16-15) [2016;](#page-16-15) Mejía-Restrepo et al. [2018\)](#page-16-16). This is in part due to the presence of prominent blueshifted components in the  $CIV\lambda1549\text{\AA}$  line profiles of strong accretors, which may not be related to the orbital motion of the gas and would affect the inferred velocities (e.g., [Richards et al.](#page-17-4) [2002;](#page-17-4) [Zamanov et al.](#page-17-5) [2002;](#page-17-5) [Marziani et al.](#page-16-17) [2017;](#page-16-17) [Sulentic](#page-17-6) [et al.](#page-17-6) [2017\)](#page-17-6).

However,  $H\beta$  lines possess profiles which are difficult to reconcile with simple Keplerian motion around the central black hole, and could significantly affect the black hole mass estimates [\(Negrete et al.](#page-16-18) [2018\)](#page-16-18). Accurate black hole mass measurements are important for the development of galaxy evolution models. It is therefore critical to understand the mechanisms responsible for the observed broad line shapes.

The debate on the actual BLR kinematics, physical processes and geometry has flourished through the past decades; the variety of the often asymmetric (sometimes doublepeaked) broad lines and their variability has lead to an abundant diversity of competing models. It was not until recently that the kinematics of this region could be resolved for the first time, favouring a flattened BLR as an orbiting extension of the accretion disk [\(Sturm et al.](#page-17-7) [2018\)](#page-17-7).

A flattened BLR geometry was initially supported by the relation between the width of  $H\beta$  and the radio coreto-lobe ratio. [\(Wills & Browne](#page-17-8) [1986;](#page-17-8) [Jarvis & McLure](#page-16-19) [2006;](#page-16-19) [Brotherton et al.](#page-16-20) [2015\)](#page-16-20) and by the double shoulders and peaks observed in certain broad emission lines [\(Oke](#page-16-21) [1987;](#page-16-21) [Chen et al.](#page-16-22) [1989;](#page-16-22) [Chen & Halpern](#page-16-23) [1989;](#page-16-23) [Eracleous](#page-16-24) [& Halpern](#page-16-24) [1994,](#page-16-24) [2003;](#page-16-25) [Storchi-Bergmann et al.](#page-17-9) [2016\)](#page-17-9). The double peaked profile variability has been shown not to correlate with continuum changes. The peak shifts are therefore not a reverberation effect [\(Wanders & Peterson](#page-17-10) [1996\)](#page-17-10). The strong variability of the double-peaked emission profiles has also been discussed in the light of different disk models by [Lewis et al.](#page-16-26) [\(2010\)](#page-16-26), which can account for some of the observed line profiles.

A potentially useful approach to constrain and explore the inner structure of AGN is to acquire an understanding of their spectral diversity. For instance, in their seminal paper [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27) performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of a set of optical, radio and

X-ray features of 87 Type 1 AGN. Through the orientation of the first principal component (Eigenvector 1, EV1) in their parameter space, they established an anti-correlation of the equivalent width of the narrow [OIII] lines at 4959Å and 5007Å with the relative strength of the iron emission  $r_{FeII} = F(FeII\lambda 4570)/F(H\beta)$ , and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the  $H\beta$  line as central markers of diversity in their sample. A large range of subsequent studies have investigated the EV1 correlation planes (e.g., [Sulentic et al.](#page-17-11) [2000a,](#page-17-11)[b;](#page-17-12) [Marziani et al.](#page-16-28) [2001;](#page-16-28) [Shang et al.](#page-17-13) [2003;](#page-17-13) [Grupe](#page-16-29) [2004;](#page-16-29) [Kuraszkiewicz et al.](#page-16-30) [2009;](#page-16-30) [Mao et al.](#page-16-31) [2009;](#page-16-31) [Tang et al.](#page-17-14) [2012\)](#page-17-14). In particular, [Sulentic et al.](#page-17-11) [\(2000a,](#page-17-11)[b\)](#page-17-12) and [Marziani et al.](#page-16-28) [\(2001\)](#page-16-28) established the foundations of the four-dimensional EV1 (4DE1) formalism, including FWHM $_{\rm Hg}$  and  $r_{\rm FeII}$  as two of the main correlates of EV1 in [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27). These two quantities are respectively related to the black hole mass (since  $FWHM_{HG}$  is used as virial broadening estimator) and the Eddington ratio (e.g., [Marziani et al.](#page-16-32) [2003b;](#page-16-32) [Netzer & Trakhtenbrot](#page-16-33) [2007;](#page-16-33) [Sun & Shen](#page-17-15) [2015\)](#page-17-15).

The 4DE1 parameter space was extended with the soft X-ray photon index  $\Gamma_{\text{soft}}$  [\(Boller et al.](#page-16-34) [1996\)](#page-16-34) and the centroid shifts from rest-frame wavelength of CIV $\lambda$ 1549 at 50% fractional intensity [\(Sulentic et al.](#page-17-16) [2007b\)](#page-17-16). The careful exploration of this parameter-space led to a proposed two population paradigm in the low-redshift universe, with an empirical separation at  $FWHM_{H\beta} \approx 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  [\(Sulentic et al.](#page-17-11) 2000a b, see section 5 of Margiani et al. 2018 and references [2000a,](#page-17-11)[b,](#page-17-12) see section 5 of [Marziani et al.](#page-16-35) [2018](#page-16-35) and references therein for a full review of the evidence supporting the population A/B classification.). [Zamfir et al.](#page-17-17) [\(2010,](#page-17-17) henceforth Z10) studied the characteristics of the H $\beta$  emission of 477 optically selected AGN  $(z < 0.7)$  in the optical plane of  $4DE1$  (i.e., FWHM<sub>H $\beta$ </sub> vs. r<sub>FeII</sub>). They reported that the line shapes distinctively changed along the sequence, the asymmetry and shifts scaling with both of the optical dimensions.

In this work, we explore the EV1 correlation space exploiting high signal-to-noise ratio optical spectra for the largest sample of X-ray selected AGN used to date for this type of analysis.

The X-ray selection allows to construct a less biased sample of AGN, since the host X-ray related processes are believed to be particularly weak compared to high-energetic AGN emission. At the same time X-rays are able to penetrate the host galaxies and in general large column densities, thus making the sample more complete than with other AGN selection techniques (e.g., [Singh](#page-17-18) [2013;](#page-17-18) [Padovani](#page-16-1) [et al.](#page-16-1) [2017\)](#page-16-1). The AGN in our sample were detected by ROSAT [\(Boller et al.](#page-16-36) [2016;](#page-16-36) [Voges et al.](#page-17-19) [1999\)](#page-17-19), while their multi-wavelength counterparts were determined in [Salvato](#page-17-20) [et al.](#page-17-20) [\(2018\)](#page-17-20) and spectroscopically followed-up in the SDSS-IV/SPIDERS program [\(Dwelly et al.](#page-16-37) [2017\)](#page-16-37). These sources are exclusively Type 1 AGN and were presented in [Coffey](#page-16-38) [et al.](#page-16-38) [\(2019,](#page-16-38) henceforth C19) where sources were selected according to the width of their broad lines.

The final sample contains 2100 sources, which is a factor of 4-18 larger than samples used in previous studies of this type. It significantly extends the probed redshift and luminosity ranges (z ~ 0.01 – 0.80 and L<sub>0.1–2.4 keV</sub> ~  $2.0 \times 10^{41} - 1.0 \times 10^{46}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>). We perform a PCA on the optical and X pay features of these sources, which allows us optical and X-ray features of these sources, which allows us to determine the markers of spectral diversity in our sample. We specifically investigate the role played by the Eddington ratio and the black hole mass for the total variance

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In an effort to simplify the notation, we will henceforth refer to this line as MgII

in our data. The asymmetry of the broad  $H\beta$  contributes strongly to the orientation of EV1 through the chosen spectral parameter space. We thus follow in the footsteps of Z10 and connect our global statistical results to the shape of the broad <sup>H</sup>β emission line, which is discussed in the context of BLR stratification and low-ionisation outflows.

The paper is organised as follows:

In Sec. [2](#page-2-0) we briefly present the SPIDERS AGN value added catalogue of C19 and how we created our subs-ample. We also outline how the additional properties, which were not provided by the catalogue, were determined. In Sec. [3](#page-5-0) we describe the distribution of the emission line asymmetry index. The core results of the direct correlation analysis and PCA on our sample are presented in Sec. [4.](#page-6-0) We demonstrate how the derived physical parameters Eddington ratio and central black hole mass scale with the obtained principal components in Sec. [5.](#page-9-0) The distribution of our sample in the EV1 plane and the possible presence of a distinct kinematic BLR region is investigated in Sec. [6.](#page-9-1) We divided the sample in two distinct subsets according to the sign of their  $H\beta$  asymmetry indices. From the comparison of parameter correlations in the two sub-samples we detect an interesting contrast in the scaling relation between source luminosity and the equivalent width of the iron emission. This is discussed in Sec. [7.](#page-12-0) Conclusions are presented in Sec. [8](#page-14-0)

Throughout this work, we adopt a standard cosmology:  $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$  and  $H_0 = 70 \,\rm km\,s^{-1}\,Mpc^{-1}$ .

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2 DATA

The sample of Type 1 AGN analysed in this work is extracted from the SDSS-IV/SPIDERS AGN catalogue, presented in C19. The original catalogue compiles spectral in-formation through SDSS DR14<sup>[2](#page-2-1)</sup> [\(Abolfathi et al.](#page-15-1) [2018\)](#page-15-1), for 7344 Type 1 AGN detected in the Second ROSAT All-Sky Survey ROSAT/2RXS, [Boller et al.](#page-16-36) [2016\)](#page-16-36) and 1157 Type 1 AGN in the XMM-Newton Slew 1 catalogue (XMMSL1, [Saxton et al.](#page-17-21) [2008\)](#page-17-21).

The multi-wavelength counterparts of these X-ray sources were determined via the Bayesian-based code NWAY by [\(Salvato et al.](#page-17-20) [2018\)](#page-17-20), using a prior [\(Dwelly et al.](#page-16-37) [2017\)](#page-16-37) based on AllWISE data [\(Cutri & et al.](#page-16-39) [2013\)](#page-16-39).

Optical spectra of the counterparts were obtained from two different spectrographs, [SDSS](https://classic.sdss.org/dr7/instruments/spectrographs/index.html) and [BOSS.](https://www.sdss.org/instruments/boss_spectrograph/) These instruments cover different optical wavelength ranges: 3800 −  $9200 \text{\AA}$  for SDSS (counting both channels, with a spectral resolution ranging from 1850 to 2200) and 3600 – 10400 Å for BOSS (spectral resolution of 1560-2270 in the blue channel, 1850-2650 in the red channel). A technical summary of the SDSS-IV survey is provided by [Blanton et al.](#page-16-40) [\(2017\)](#page-16-40). The instrument is presented in [Gunn et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2006\)](#page-16-41). Finally, a detailed description of the SDSS and BOSS spectrographs is given by [Smee et al.](#page-17-22) [\(2013\)](#page-17-22).

For the sources in the C19 catalogue, the authors fit the spectroscopy following a procedure detailed described in C19. Here we report only the key features.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>In C19, the spectral regions centered around the  $H_8$  and MgII lines (4420–5500 Å and 2450–3050 Å, respectively) were

fit with a multi-component continuum model and a series of Gaussian functions. The present work will specifically focus on the broad  $H\beta$  emission line and the narrow [OIII] $\lambda$ 4959Å and  $[OIII]\lambda5007\text{\AA}$  forbidden transition lines. C19's fitting algorithm used up to four Gaussian functions to fit the  $H\beta$ line. The best-fit model (and thus the required number of Gaussian components) was automatically selected on the basis of the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Of the four Gaussians, one accounts for the narrow core, while the remaining potential three components of  $H\beta$  are defined as broad if they fulfill the criterion:  $FWHM_{H\beta} > 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .<br>This relatively complex model allows one to trace not only This relatively complex model allows one to trace not only typical broad bimodal profiles above  $\sim 1000 \text{km s}^{-1}$ , but also distinct broader components (see C19, Section 8.1, and see Section [6](#page-9-1) of this work). For instance [Marziani et al.](#page-16-42) [\(2010\)](#page-16-42) propose a broad line decomposition into broad, very broad and blue components, which the model defined in C19 may individually trace.

Up to two Gaussians were used for each of the [OIII] lines: one fitting the narrow core and one tracing shifted wings. The continuum model was constructed from a powerlaw, a host galaxy component and an FeII template. The iron emission and the galaxy contribution are obtained respectively from a normalized Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 I Zw 1 template [\(Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [1992\)](#page-16-27) and an early type galaxy template<sup>[3](#page-2-2)</sup>. Morphological studies (e.g., [Grogin et al.](#page-16-43) [2005\)](#page-16-43) have shown that AGN are mainly found in bulge dominated galaxies. Bulges are predominantly home to old star populations. C19 thus justify the use of an early-type galaxy template for the spectral host contribution by the fact that SDSS fibers sample only the central regions of targeted galaxies. The early-type approximation for the host contribution was also used in previous work (e.g. [Calderone et al.](#page-16-44) [2017\)](#page-16-44). The template has  $H\beta$  absorption features. Comparing a subsample with strong host contribution to a sub-sample with low host contribution at  $z \leq 0.2$ , we found no significant impact of these features on  $H\beta$  line shape diagnostics.

The fitting parameters are listed in the catalogue of C19 along with monochromatic continuum and X-ray luminosities and derived parameters such as bolometric luminosities, estimates for the black hole masses and Eddington ratios.

The single-epoch mass estimation method was used for the derivation of the black hole masses [\(Vestergaard](#page-17-23) [2002;](#page-17-23) [McLure & Jarvis](#page-16-45) [2002;](#page-16-45) [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-17-24) [2006;](#page-17-24) [Assef](#page-16-46) [et al.](#page-16-46) [2011;](#page-16-46) [Shen & Liu](#page-17-25) [2012](#page-17-25) and [Shen](#page-17-26) [2013](#page-17-26) for a review). The masses stem from the [Assef et al.](#page-16-46) [\(2011\)](#page-16-46) calibration, which builds on the FWHM of  $H\beta$  and the BLR radiusluminosity relation from [Bentz et al.](#page-16-47) [\(2009\)](#page-16-47). For this paper, we have reconstructed the best-fit models from the parameters listed in C19. Two examples of  $H\beta$  fit reconstructions are shown in Appendix [A](#page-17-27) of the present work. Details of the broad  $H\beta$  line decomposition are also presented.

In the following section we describe the sample construction for our statistical analysis.

#### <span id="page-2-3"></span>2.1 Sample construction and selection pipeline

<span id="page-2-2"></span>We are primarily interested in Type 1 AGN spectral properties which can be associated with the physics of the BLR



Figure 1. Distribution of the median S/N ratios per resolution element of the 2100 SDSS DR14 sources in our final sample(white). Also presented are sub-samples of the full SPIDERS sample in C19 after two consecutive quality cuts: the presence of a  $0.1 - 2.4 \,\text{keV}$  flux and the redshift restriction  $z < 0.8$ .

and the Narrow Line Region (NLR). We thus assembled a parameter subset inspired by the classical quasar PCA papers by [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27) and [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29). They included emission properties of H $\beta$ , FeII, [OIII] line at 5007Å<sup>[4](#page-3-0)</sup> and  $HeII\lambda1640\text{\AA}$ , as well as X-ray and optical monochromatic luminosities to trace the emission of the hot electron corona and the accretion disk.

The inclusion of X-ray luminosities forces a restriction to just one of the two X-ray surveys compiled in the C19 catalogue, since 2RXS and XMMSL1 soft X-ray fluxes are measured in different bands, at different times, from two different satellites/instruments and different assumptions used for computing the flux (see [Saxton et al.](#page-17-21) [2008;](#page-17-21) [Boller et al.](#page-16-36) [2016\)](#page-16-36). We confined the analysis to sources detected in 2RXS only (7344 sources), as they were six times more numerous than XMMSL1 sources in the SPIDERS AGN sample. More specifically we retained 2RXS sources, for which the X-ray flux in the <sup>0</sup>.1−2.4 keV band is provided in C19 (7154 sources). The missing 190 sources have no X-ray flux measurement listed. They correspond to sources with low photon count-rates.

Since we use the monochromatic luminosity at  $5100 \text{ Å}$  to trace the continuum emission, we limit the sample to  $z=0.8$ so that the rest-frame  $5100 \text{\AA}$  are covered by the spectral window.

The redshift cut ensures the presence of fits of the  $H\beta$ centered region. These constraints yield 5926 sources.

We further limit our analysis to sources with good SDSS signal-to-noise ratio per resolution element in order to im-



<span id="page-3-4"></span>Figure 2. The relation to the monochromatic fluxes at 5100Å, as measured from the power-law model with FeII and host contributions ( $\mathrm{F_{5100\text{\AA},Total}}) ,$  the power-law model with the FeII emission  $(F_{5100\text{\AA},\text{PL+FeII}})$  and the power-law model with the host emission  $(F_{5100\text{\AA},\text{PL+Gal}})$ . The fluxes are in units of  $10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>prove the significance of our results. Our analysis relies on the accurate measurement of line shapes, and low-quality spectra might strongly affect the fits. For this reason we consider only sources with median  $S/N \geq 20$ . This critical restriction drops our total source count to 2124 objects. The SDSS signal-to-noise ratio distribution of the original sample and its consecutive cuts are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-1)

Finally, 24 sources were excluded due to a lack of black hole mass estimates, extreme uncertainties on the fit parameters of  $H\beta$  or absent [OIII]. A visual inspection of these sources' spectra revealed that these issues might be linked to strong continuum emission.

For the 2100 sources, the following subset of spectral properties was compiled (unless specified otherwise, all wavelengths, energies, equivalent widths are defined in the rest-frame):

• **FWHM** $_{\text{H}\beta}$  : full width at half maximum of H $\beta$  (broad component) [km s−<sup>1</sup> ]

• **F**([OIII])/**F**(**H** $\beta$ ) : flux ratio of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007Å and H $\beta$ 

 $\bullet$   $\mathbf{r_{FeII}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{FeII}) / \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{H}\beta)$ : flux ratio of  $\mathbf{H}\beta$  and the total FeII (FeII emission in the  $4434 - 4684 \text{ Å}$  range)

• **<sup>L</sup>5100**˚A : optical continuum monochromatic luminosity at 5100 Å from the power-law component (without host and FeII contributions)  $[\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{\AA}^{-1}]$ 

• **L<sup>X</sup>** : soft observed X-ray luminosity in the 0.1-2.4 keV band  $[erg s<sup>-1</sup>]$ 

 $\bullet$  W(**FeII**): equivalent width of FeII (4[5](#page-3-2)70 Å blend)<sup>5</sup> [Å]

• **W**([OIII]) : equivalent width of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007Å (full profile $)^5$ [Å]

• **<sup>W</sup>**(**H**β) : equivalent width of the broad component of  $H_\beta^5[\AA]$ 

• **W(HeII)**: equivalent width of HeII at  $4686 \AA^5[\AA]$ 

•  $\Delta\lambda$ [**OIII**] : asymmetry index for [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 $\AA$ <sup>[6](#page-3-3)</sup>

• **∆** $\lambda$ **H**β : asymmetry index for the broad component of  $H\beta^6$ 

<span id="page-3-2"></span>5 cf. Appendix [A](#page-17-27)

<span id="page-3-3"></span>6 cf. Sec. [2.2](#page-4-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span> $^4\;$  We choose to work with the [OIII] transition line at 5007 Å and not  $4959 \text{ Å}$ , since this line will be less affected by interline fit contamination with  $H\beta$ . We argue that any blue asymmetries and shifts in [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007Å should be detectable in [OIII] $\lambda$ 4959Å. Throughout this paper,  $[OIII]$  $\lambda$ 5007Å will be referred to as [OIII]

It is worth to highlight the following points:

• Sources for which the parameters relative to the FeII emission were not listed in C19, typically correspond to AGN with very weak FeII emission. We manually set their  $r_{\text{FeII}}$ parameters and iron equivalent widths to zero. We thus implicitly assume that their iron emission is too weak to be disentangled from the AGN continuum emission.

• All the spectral properties of our defined sub-set are available in C19 except for the equivalent widths and asymmetry indices which were derived as presented in Sec. [2.2](#page-4-0) and Appendix [A.](#page-17-27)

• The  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  provided in C19 includes the host contribution. However, in the present analysis we aim at tracing the accretion disk emission with  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$ . We thus derived the monochromatic luminosity from the reconstructed power-law model at rest-frame, removing the FeII and host contribution. The uncertainties are obtained from the errors on the normalization of the slope. The considerable degeneracy between the host, iron and power-law components at lower redshift  $(z < 0.2)$  due to the stronger host contribution makes it challenging to correctly perform an AGNhost decomposition. It is the principal limitation of deriving monochromatic luminosities directly from the fitted powerlaw model. The relative contributions of the FeII complex and the host galaxy to the monochromatic flux a  $5100\text{\AA}$  are illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-3-4) While the FeII contribution at  $5100\text{\AA}$  to the total monochromatic flux is marginal over the complete redshift range, the host galaxy strongly contributes to the monochromatic flux at lower redshifts.

• The X-ray fluxes were obtained by C19, following [Dwelly et al.](#page-16-37) [\(2017\)](#page-16-37), from an absorbed power-law  $(\Gamma = 2.4)$ . In addition to these classical fluxes, C19 provide Eddington bias corrected 2RXS fluxes using a Bayesian prior [\(Kraft](#page-16-48) [et al.](#page-16-48) [1991;](#page-16-48) [Laird et al.](#page-16-49) [2009;](#page-16-49) [Georgakakis & Nandra](#page-16-50) [2011\)](#page-16-50). The Bayesian flux estimates reach unrealistic low values for sources with low count rates. C19 allow up to a factor ten difference with the classical fluxes. Sources for which the Bayesian fluxes do not meet this requirement only have classical flux measurements. We chose to use the uncorrected X-ray flux measurements in this work, since using Bayesian fluxes would reduce the size of our final sample by a factor of two. We note that a test run of the statistical pipeline presented in this work on a smaller sample with Bayesian soft X-ray luminosities yielded similar results to those presented here for the entire sample using the nominal fluxes.

Fig. [3](#page-4-1) presents the soft X-ray luminosity-redshift distribution of our sources, and compares our sample to that of [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29). Our sample spans a range of soft X-ray luminosities from  $1.9 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  to  $9.9 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , with redshifts up to 0.8. Compared to Grupe (2004), we same redshifts up to <sup>0</sup>.8. Compared to [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29), we sample to lower luminosities and higher redshifts. The larger sample will in particular allow placement of more stringent constrains on the relation of EV1 and EV2 to physical parameters (see Fig. [11\)](#page-10-0).

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>2.2 Measuring asymmetry in emission lines

This section presents the derivation of a key emission line diagnostic: the asymmetry index.

Emission lines in AGN show asymmetries which encode precious information on the geometry and kinematics of the emitting region. In the case of broad emission lines, asymme-



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 3. The observed soft X-ray luminosity-redshift for our 2100 2RXS sources. The red triangles are the 110 AGN used in [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29), which all lie at the brighter end of our sample for equivalent redshifts. Our sample significantly extends both the redshift and the luminosity ranges.



Table 1. Summary of the sample construction pipeline

try arises from the relative displacement of the profile's peak and base component's centroid wavelengths. The peak wavelength of the profile is in practice measured at a high fraction of the broad component's intensity (without the narrow core) and is related to the classical broad component [\(Broth](#page-16-51)[erton et al.](#page-16-51) [1994;](#page-16-51) [Popovic et al.](#page-17-28) [2002;](#page-17-28) [Adhikari et al.](#page-15-2) [2016\)](#page-15-2). The emission line's profile base is, as its name indicates, measured at lower fractional intensities and is expected to trace a distinct, red- or blue shifted, sometimes broader component. In [Marziani et al.](#page-16-42) [\(2010\)](#page-16-42) and subsequent works of this group, the authors distinguish blueshifted and broad redshifted components of the full profile ('BLUE' and Very Broad Component 'VBC'; for a review see Section 6.2 in [Marziani et al.](#page-16-35) [2018\)](#page-16-35). The shifted VBC is believed to originate from the presence of a distinct emitting region in the BLR: the Very Broad Line Region (VBLR). The VBLR is expected to be composed of highly ionised gas situated even closer to the central black hole. The concept of BLR stratification arose from reverberation studies. [Peterson & Ferland](#page-17-29)  $(1986)$  reported variability in the profiles of HeII4686Å and  $H\beta$  in NGC 5548, most notably the appearance of broader line components while the continuum luminosity increased. Measuring the asymmetry of broad emission lines and including the indices in a statistical analysis therefore offers an approach to investigate how the relative kinematics of as-

## 6 Wolf et al.

sumed layers in the BLR impacts the diversity of observed Type 1 AGN.

One can also use the asymmetry index to trace the relative displacement of narrow emission lines and their shifted wings. This is particularly useful to trace the presence of outflows in the NLR (e.g., [Heckman et al.](#page-16-52) [1981;](#page-16-52) [Zamanov](#page-17-5) [et al.](#page-17-5) [2002;](#page-17-5) [Zakamska et al.](#page-17-30) [2016;](#page-17-30) [Wang et al.](#page-17-31) [2018;](#page-17-31) [Rakshit](#page-17-32) [& Woo](#page-17-32) [2018](#page-17-32) ). In this case the displacement of peak and base components is measured for the full profile (i.e. narrow core and shifted wings).

The asymmetry index was not included in C19 and was determined here starting from the reconstruction of the lines, using the Gaussian models listed in the catalogue. In this work we derived the following asymmetry indices:

•  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  for the broad component of H $\beta$ . Following C19, we retain the Gaussians with  $FWHM > 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  as broad components. From the four Gaussian components used to fit the full  $H\beta$  profile, one was fixed by C19 at FWHM  $\leq$ 800 km s−<sup>1</sup> to force the fit of the narrow emission line. Up to three Gaussians were thus used to fit the broad profile.

•  $\Delta \lambda$ [OIII] for the full profile of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007Å. Here both rrow and broad components are used for the measure narrow and broad components are used for the measure.

We use the same method to measure these two indices. The description of  $\Delta \lambda$  for any emission line presented here was first introduced by [Heckman et al.](#page-16-52) [\(1981\)](#page-16-52), and is further detailed in [Winkler & Chauke](#page-17-33) [\(2014\)](#page-17-33).

This method requires the identification of the wavelengths at which the line reaches **15**% and 80% of its maximum intensity, which required the reconstruction of the multi-Gaussian line fits as described in Appendix [A.](#page-17-27) We determined the maximum of the full line profile (or alternatively the broad components for <sup>H</sup>β) and measure **<sup>15</sup>**% and 80% of this maximum. A schematic view of this measure-ment is presented in Fig. [4.](#page-5-1) The asymmetry index  $(\Delta \lambda)$  is defined by:

$$
\Delta \lambda = \frac{\alpha_{\rm b} - \alpha_{\rm r}}{\alpha_{\rm b} + \alpha_{\rm r}} = \frac{(\lambda_{\rm c, high} - \lambda_{\rm b, low}) - (\lambda_{\rm r, low} - \lambda_{\rm c, high})}{(\lambda_{\rm c, high} - \lambda_{\rm b, low}) + (\lambda_{\rm r, low} - \lambda_{\rm c, high})}
$$
(1)

$$
= \frac{\lambda_{\text{b,high}} + \lambda_{\text{r,high}} - \lambda_{\text{b,low}} - \lambda_{\text{r,low}}}{\lambda_{\text{r,low}} - \lambda_{\text{b,low}}}
$$
(2)

Here  $\lambda_{\text{b,high}}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{r,high}}$  are measured at  $80\%$  fractional intensity and  $\lambda_{b,low}$  and  $\lambda_{r,low}$  at 15% fractional intensity.  $\lambda_{\rm chich}$  is the wavelength of the center of the line at 80% fractional intensity, i.e., the midpoint between  $\lambda_{\text{b,high}}$  and  $\lambda_{r,high}$ . The parameters  $\alpha_{b}$  and  $\alpha_{r}$  are the distances from  $\lambda_{\text{chigh}}$  to the blue and red edge of the line at 15% fractional intensity.

A negative (positive) <sup>∆</sup>λ corresponds to a blue-ward (red-ward) asymmetry, and its value ranges from -1 to 1. This measure is not sensitive to the continuum emission (cf. correlation matrix shown in Section [4.1\)](#page-6-1). We propagated the uncertainties of the FWHM of the fits into the measure of asymmetry and obtain typical errors of  $\sigma_{\Delta\lambda} \sim 0.05$ . An important caveat is that using the uncertainties of the FWHM from the full profile, does not account for the lower, distinct and possibly more contaminated line components.

Examples of fit reconstructions of red- and blueasymmetric  $H\beta$  from our sample are displayed in Appendix [B,](#page-17-34) alongside their Gaussian decomposition.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Figure 4. Diagram for the determination of the asymmetry parameter using the [Heckman et al.](#page-16-52) [\(1981\)](#page-16-52) definition. Adapted from [Winkler & Chauke](#page-17-33) [\(2014\)](#page-17-33). For  $H\beta$  the broad component is used without the narrow line. For [OIII] the asymmetry of the full profile (narrow and wing) is measured.

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>IMPACT OF FIT CONTAMINATION ON THE  $H\beta$  AND [OIII] ASYMMETRY INDEX DISTRIBUTION

The distributions for  $H\beta$  and [OIII] asymmetry indices are shown respectively in Fig. [5-](#page-6-2)[6.](#page-6-3) The indices clearly follow very different distributions for the two emission lines. The following statistics were derived for the distributions of asymmetries with base centroids measured at 25% fractional intensity (i.e., the blue histograms in Fig. [5-](#page-6-2)[6\)](#page-6-3). The asymmetry indices of the [OIII] are predominantly blue-ward (skewness of distribution:  $\gamma = -0.54$ ), which is consistent with the presence of a blue-shifted wing detected in a two-Gaussian model. The mean value of  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$  is ~ -0.10. 1546 sources<br>with [OIII] asymmetry below zero and 547 above zero are with [OIII] asymmetry below zero and 547 above zero are counted, confirming the excess of blue asymmetric [OIII] lines. The distribution of the  $H\beta$  asymmetry appears positively asymmetric (skewness of distribution  $\gamma = 0.36$ ). The mean value of  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$  is +0.03. An excess of red-asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters is clearly measured (1244 sources out of 2100 with  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta} > 0$ ).

The fractional intensity at which the lower velocity shift is measured for the asymmetry index has important repercussions on the overall distribution of asymmetries as Fig. [5-](#page-6-2)[6](#page-6-3) demonstrate. The distribution of  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$  and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$  are displayed for different fractional intensities at which  $\lambda_{b,low}$ and  $\lambda_{r,low}$  are set : 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%. While we always detect an excess in red-ward asymmetry for the  $H\beta$ profiles, the lower the percentage at which  $\lambda_{\text{h,low}}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{r,low}}$ are measured, the more the asymmetry index distribution is bimodal, with a clear separation between the symmetric and the red asymmetric  $H\beta$  modes. It is unclear if this red-ward excess in  $H\beta$  asymmetries is influenced by [OIII] and continuum contamination in the fit. The bimodality of the  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H} \beta}$  distribution measured at lower base intensities, however, clearly indicates a preferred displacement between

peak and base component which could arise from the near systematic contamination by [OIII] or its blue wing.

A similar effect is observed for the distribution of the [OIII] asymmetries: it becomes double peaked, with a distinct second mode appearing blue-ward of the symmetric sources for lower base intensities. For the measurement of the asymmetry index, we set the base of the lines at **15**% fractional intensity, in order to avoid the detection of overlaying line models. This choice is motivated by two aspects of the distributions seen in Fig. [5](#page-6-2)[-6](#page-6-3) : **15**% fractional intensity, the peak of the symmetric sources is more sensitive to low intensity, shifted profile components are detected by the measurement than at 25% and 20%. Furthermore, for this measurement configuration (peak at 80% and base at **15**% fractional intensity), the bimodality which we identify as a signature of model degeneracy is not yet detected.

To further investigate the risk of model degeneracy, the dependency of the [OIII] asymmetry index on the shifts of the H $\beta$  base component  $c_{15}$  (the centroid shift at 15% fractional intensity with respect to the rest-frame wavelength) is presented in Fig. [7.](#page-7-0) We clearly observe an excess of sources in the quadrant of blue-asymmetric [OIII] lines and redshifted  $H\beta$  base components. The coloured markers correspond to high signal-to-noise ratio sources, which also appear to preferentially populate the lower right quadrant in the figure. 1196 sources out of 2100 (57%) have  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}} < 0$  and  $c_{15} > 0$ . In the high signal-to-noise regime, i.e.  $\dot{\rm S/N} >$  35, 278 out of 498 sources (56%) have  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}} < 0$  and  $c_{15} > 0$ . A binomial test showed that the clustering in the lower right quadrant is indeed significant. For both,  $\mathbf{c}_{15}$  and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$ , the null hy-<br>pothesis that the sources distribute equally on both sides of pothesis that the sources distribute equally on both sides of zero was rejected with p-values  $p < 10^{-67}$  $p < 10^{-67}$  $p < 10^{-67}$ .<br>This result underlines the possibility

This result underlines the possibility of model degeneracy in the  $H\beta$  region. In their study of Extremely Reddened Quasars (ERQs), [Perrotta et al.](#page-16-53) [\(2019\)](#page-16-53), recently presented rare sources for which  $H\beta$  and [OIII] appear to blend (see their Fig. 1). Disentangling the presence of a shifted Very Broad Component from fit contamination would offer a cleaner window on the kinematics of the BLR, however, the fitting procedure in C19 did not allow for such a decomposition.

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

This section presents the statistical analysis performed on the selected optical properties of our sample of 2100 SPI-DERS AGN, listed in Section [2.](#page-2-0)

#### <span id="page-6-1"></span>4.1 Direct correlation

Our initial step is to generate a Spearman rank correlation matrix for our sample; the resulting matrix is shown in Fig. [8.](#page-8-0) Statistically insignificant correlations, defined by imposing a threshold of  $p_{\text{max}} = 0.05/55$  on the p-values, were masked. The threshold pmax applies the Bonferroni correction for multiple statistical hypothesis testing (e.g., [Haynes](#page-16-54) [2013\)](#page-16-54).

<span id="page-6-4"></span>



<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Figure 5.** The  $H\beta$  asymmetry distribution in the final source subsample for different levels of base fractional intensity: 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% and 25%. A clear inflection redward of the symmetric  $H\beta$  profiles arises for bases measured at lower intensities. Typical  $1\sigma$  errors are shown centered at zero by two dotted vertical bars.  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{H}B}$  values derived for a fractional base intensity of 15% are used in this paper (green histogram).



<span id="page-6-3"></span>Figure 6. The [OIII] asymmetry distribution for different levels of base fractional intensity: 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% and 25%. A clear inflection can be detected here, bluewards of the symmetric [OIII] profiles for bases measured at lower intensities. Typical  $1\sigma$  errors are shown centered at zero by two dotted vertical bars.The green histogram was obtained from  $\Delta\lambda_{\rm [OIII]}$  measurements with base fractional intensity at **15**% as used in this work.



Figure 7. The asymmetry index of [OIII] as a function of the  $H\beta$ centroid shift at **15**% fractional intensity. The excess of sources in the lower right quadrant suggests an inter-line contamination for redshifted  $H\beta$  components and blueshifted [OIII] components. The filled circles mark the sources with S/N above 35. The green dotted horizontal lines indicate typical  $1\sigma$  uncertainties in the asymmetry parameter. The density contours containing 68%, 86%, 95% and 98% of all the sources in our sample were obtained from a Gaussian kernel density estimate.

Positive (negative) coefficients indicate a positive (negative) monotonic relation between two parameters. We have included a dendrogram (tree diagram) which clusters our data hierarchically using correlation as distance metric (for a review see [Baron](#page-16-55) [2019\)](#page-16-55). The clustered correlation matrix reveals structure in our parameter-subset.

We confirm individual correlations also previously reported in [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27), [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29) and [Shen](#page-17-35)  $\&$  Ho [\(2014\)](#page-17-35). The correlations all have significance levels  $p < 10^{-6}$ .

• A clear anti-correlation ( $\rho = -0.53$ ) arises between  $FWHM_{HG}$  and r<sub>FeII</sub>. This behaviour is the well-known anticorrelation between the first two dimensions of the 4DE1 (e.g., [Sulentic et al.](#page-17-11) [2000a](#page-17-11)[,b;](#page-17-12) [Marziani et al.](#page-16-56) [2003a,](#page-16-56)[b;](#page-16-32) [Su](#page-17-36)[lentic et al.](#page-17-36) [2007a,](#page-17-36) for a review see [Marziani et al.](#page-16-35) [2018](#page-16-35) and Sulentic  $&$  Marziani [2015\)](#page-17-37). In addition, the equivalent width of iron W(FeII) and FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub> are anti-correlated ( $\rho = -0.38$ ), as expected.

• Similarly, an even stronger anti-correlation ( $\rho = -0.61$ ) is measured between the equivalent width of  $[OIII]$  and  $r_{FeII}$ , in agreement with the main EV1 anti-correlation.

• The X-ray and continuum luminosities,  $L_X$  and  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$ , are related to the emission line properties in a similar manner. There is a strong correlation ( $\rho = 0.54$  for  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and  $\rho = 0.55$  for L<sub>x</sub>) with the equivalent width of H<sup>R</sup>. The cor- $\rho = 0.55$  for  $L_X$ ) with the equivalent width of H $\beta$ . The cor-<br>relation between I and W(H $\beta$ ) is consistent with phorelation between  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and  $W(H\beta)$  is consistent with pho-<br>toionisation models in which increasing emission of the contoionisation models in which increasing emission of the central engine results in a more luminous BLR. L<sub>X</sub> and L<sub>5100Å</sub> decrease strongly ( $\rho = -0.44$  and  $\rho = -0.51$  respectively) with increasing flux ratio  $F(OIII)/F(H\beta)$ .

• The asymmetry index  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$  is positively correlated to

the equivalent width of [OIII] ( $\rho = 0.34$ ) and the FWHM<sub>H<sub>B</sub></sub>  $(\rho = 0.35)$ . We also report its relatively strong anticorrelation with r<sub>FeII</sub> ( $\rho = -0.51$ ) and W(FeII) ( $\rho = -0.40$ ). Despite using a different convention for the asymmetry index, [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27) describe a similar correlation behaviour of  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>In order to study the correlations with the  $\Delta\lambda_{\rm H}$  parameter more closely we perform a partial correlation analysis of FWHM $_{\text{H}\beta}$ , W([OIII]), r<sub>FeII</sub> and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  (e.g., [Baba et al.](#page-16-57) [2004\)](#page-16-57). For this exercise, we first generate the Pearson correlation coefficients for this subset of parameters, then measure the strength of each of these correlations while controlling for the other confounding variables. In the simplest case, when only one confounding variable is accounted for, this is achieved by performing a linear regression for each of the two test variables with the confounding variable. The partial correlation of the two test variables is then obtained by measuring the correlation of the residuals resulting from the linear regressions. The results are shown in Fig. [9.](#page-8-1) When we control for  $FWHM_{H\beta}$  and  $r_{FeII}$ , the correlation between W([OIII]) and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  significantly decreases (we measure a drop  $\rho_P = 0.20$ ,  $p < 10^{-7}$  to  $\rho_{P,partial} = 0.017$ ,  $p = 0.45$ ).<br>The p-value of the latter partial correlation is above the cor-The p-value of the latter partial correlation is above the corrected significance threshold:  $p = 0.05/6$ . Similarly, when we control for W([OIII]) and  $r_{FeII}$ , the strength of the FWHM $_{H\beta}$ vs.  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  correlation decreases ( $\rho_P = 0.28$ , p <  $10^{-6}$  to  $\rho_{P,partial} = 0.075$ ,  $p \sim 10^{-4}$ ). The anti-correlation between  $\Lambda$  hyperparticle between  $\Lambda$  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  and r<sub>FeII</sub> is, however, less affected when we control for FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub> and W([OIII]) ( $\rho_{P,partial} = -0.44$ ,  $p < 10^{-6}$  in-<br>steed of  $g = 0.52$ ,  $p < 10^{-6}$ ). These results demonstrates stead of  $\rho_P = -0.52$ ,  $p < 10^{-6}$ ). These results demonstrate that  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{H}}$  parameter is principally related to the relative strength of the iron emission and is marginally linearly correlated to  $FWHM_{HG}$ , indicating that the broader profiles tend to be more red-skewed, as also suggested by [Marziani et al.](#page-16-58) [\(2013a\)](#page-16-58).

The key result so far is not the rediscovery of correlations mapped out by e.g., [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27); [Grupe](#page-16-29)  $(2004)$ ; [Shen & Ho](#page-17-35)  $(2014)$ , but the fact that these relations hold for type 1 AGN in general, up to a redshift limit of at least z = 0.80 and for luminosities up to  $L_X \sim 10^{46}$ erg.s<sup>-1</sup>.<br>A now insight provided by the correlation matrix is to

A new insight provided by the correlation matrix is the correlation behaviour of  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$  with parameters related to the BLR emission :

• The asymmetry index of [OIII] appears to be marginally related to the rest of the parameters, suggesting the absence of kinematic linkage between the inner region of the AGN and the NLR. This result is in contrast to [Zamanov et al.](#page-17-5) [\(2002\)](#page-17-5), who reported evidence for correlation between the shifts of the high ionisation lines  $C<sub>I</sub> = C<sub>I</sub> = 1/249$ Å and the shifts of the [OIII] lines, inferring a possible linkage between the NLR and BLR.

#### 4.2 Principal components

The second step consisted of running a PCA on the standardized data set to determine which parameters are contributing most to the total variance of our sources. It is particularly important to scale the parameters to unit variance since PCA is sensitive to the variance of the parameter



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 8. Spearman rank correlation matrix for our parameters. A positive (negative) coefficient indicates a positive (negative) monotonic correlation. Statistically insignificant correlations (with p-values  $> 0.05/55$ ) have been masked. The matrix was hierarchically clustered using correlation as a distance measure. A dendrogram displays the average linkage of the parameters. Previously reported correlations are confirmed.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>Figure 9. Left panel: Pearson Correlation coefficients for the parameter subset : FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub>, W([OIII]), r<sub>FeII</sub>,  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$ . Right panel: partial correlation coefficients (correlation coefficients, when the effects of confounding variables are removed).

distributions. PCA is an orthogonal linear transformation which is often used as a dimensionality-reduction algorithm (for a review see Jolliffe  $&$  Cadima [2016\)](#page-16-59). It yields the eigenvectors in parameter-space which point in the direction of total maximal variance in the dataset. For an N×M dataset, the first component is found by minimising the distance between points in the M-dimensional parameter space and their orthogonal projections onto an M-dimensional vector, which simultaneously increases the variance of the projected



<span id="page-8-3"></span>Figure 10. The component coefficients (factor loadings) defining the first and second principal components : EV1 (green bars) and EV2 (red bars). EV1 appears to be heavily dominated by the anti-correlation of  $FWHM_{HG}$  and the strength of the [OIII] emission with  $r_{FeII}$ . A relatively large linear coefficient links the H $\beta$ asymmetry to EV1. EV2 is heavily dominated in equal measure by the X-ray and optical luminosities.

points. The second component is chosen to be orthogonal to the first and is determined in the same manner given this condition. The associated eigenvalues measure the amount of explained variance in each principal component (eigenvector). The variables (our parameters) are linked to the principal components by linear coefficients. These coefficients yield the amount of a variable's variance explained by the component.[8](#page-8-2) While PCA is a very common tool in astronomy it comes with several disadvantages, such as the linearity of the dimensionality reduction, which might not capture the full complexity of our data. One must in general be careful with the choice of the particular dimensionality reduction one uses and its interpretation (e.g., see [Baron](#page-16-55) [2019\)](#page-16-55).

The first two principal components are presented in Fig. [10.](#page-8-3) The bar diagrams show the values of the correlation coefficients which link the parameters (our variables) to the given component. The first and second principal component respectively explain <sup>25</sup>.2% and <sup>20</sup>.3% of the total variance in the selected parameter subset.

The first principal component (Eigenvector 1, EV1), is anti-correlated to the strength of the iron emission and correlated to  $FWHM_{HG}$  and the (relative) strength of the [OIII] emission, i.e., the diversity in the selected optical features of our SPIDERS AGN sub-sample is dominated by the anti-correlation of W([OIII]) and W(FeII). The Balmer profile asymmetries  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}B}$  are noticeably correlated to EV1, which corroborates the findings of Z10, who stress that the

<span id="page-8-2"></span>8 In practice, we made use of a SCIKIT-LEARN implementation of PCA [\(Pedregosa et al.](#page-16-60) [2011\)](#page-16-60).

asymmetry parameter could in essence be used as surrogate 4DE1 parameter. The authors note, however, that  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}B}$  is also substantially orienting Eigenvector 2 (EV2). This behaviour is not observed in our PCA results. Our EV1 is consistent with early results in [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29).

The second principal component, EV2, is strongly dominated by the X-ray and optical continuum luminosities. The flux ratio  $F([OIII])/F(H\beta)$  is correlated to EV2. The equivalent width of  $H\beta$  once again significantly contributes to the orientation of the principal component. EV2 is also consistent with [Grupe](#page-16-29) [\(2004\)](#page-16-29).

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>5 BLACK HOLE MASS AND EDDINGTON RATIO

We extend the analysis to derived AGN properties: the black hole mass and the Eddington ratio. In [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27) EV1 was related to the Eddington ratio  $L/L_{Edd}$ , tracing the accretion power of the observed SMBH. Their EV2 was largely dominated by luminosity and related to the Baldwin effect [\(Baldwin](#page-16-61) [1977;](#page-16-61) [Baldwin et al.](#page-16-62) [1978;](#page-16-62) [Dietrich](#page-16-63) [et al.](#page-16-63) [2002\)](#page-16-63). The Eddington ratio has indeed long been considered a prime candidate to explain the observed diversity in optical AGN features (e.g., [Sulentic et al.](#page-17-12) [2000b;](#page-17-12) [Yuan &](#page-17-38) [Wills](#page-17-38) [2003;](#page-17-38) [Grupe](#page-16-29) [2004;](#page-16-29) [Kuraszkiewicz et al.](#page-16-30) [2009;](#page-16-30) [Grupe](#page-16-64) [2011;](#page-16-64) [Shen & Ho](#page-17-35) [2014;](#page-17-35) [Bon et al.](#page-16-65) [2018\)](#page-16-65).

The FWHM of broad emission lines serves as proxy for the line of sight velocity of the BLR gas, which in combination with the distance to the black hole yields an estimate for its mass :

$$
M_{\rm BH} = \frac{r_{\rm BLR} f_{\rm BLR} \text{FWHM}_{\rm BLR}^2}{G} \tag{3}
$$

*f*BLR denotes the geometric form factor of the BLR. The distance  $r_{\text{BLR}}$  from the SMBH to the line-emitting region is obtained from the delay in response of the line fluxes to continuum changes. The  $FWHM_{BLR}$  of a line from the emitting region corresponds to the radial velocity component of the BLR gas. The Keplerian velocity  $v_{\text{Kepl}}$  of the gas is obtained by correcting for projection effects encoded in the geometric form factor :  $v_{Kepl}^2 = f_{BLR} FWHM_{BLR}^2$ . One can construct an expression of  $f_{BLR}$ , which takes in account the source orientation  $\theta$  and the shape of the velocity field described by the motion of the gas in the BLR (e.g., equation 12 in [Negrete](#page-16-18) [et al.](#page-16-18) [2018\)](#page-16-18), by making assumptions about the structure of the disk.

The black hole masses and the Eddington ratio for our sample are derived from  $H\beta$  using the calibration developed in [Assef et al.](#page-16-46) [\(2011\)](#page-16-46), who based their estimates on the BLR radius-luminosity relation of [Bentz et al.](#page-16-47) [\(2009\)](#page-16-47).

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\log\left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{M\odot}\right) = A + B \log\left(\frac{\lambda L_{\lambda}}{10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}}\right) + C \log\left(\frac{\text{FWHM}_{\rm H\beta}}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) (4)
$$

 $L_{\lambda}$  corresponds to the monochromatic luminosity at 5100  $\AA$  and the parameters  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are derived from reverberation mapping studies:  $A = 0.895$ ,  $B = 0.52$  and  $C = 2$ . From these black hole masses, C19 provided estimates of the Eddington ratio following:

$$
L_{Bol}/L_{Edd} = \frac{L_{Bol}}{4\pi cGM_{BH}m_p/\sigma_T}
$$
(5)

where G is the gravitational constant, c the speed of light,  $m_p$  the proton mass and  $\sigma_T$  the Thomson scattering cross-section. The bolometric luminosities  $L_{Bol}$  used here are obtained from the bolometric corrections presented in [Richards et al.](#page-17-39)  $(2006)$ :  $L_{Bol} = 9.26L_{5100 \text{ Å}}$ . This factor is in principle dependent on  $L_{5100 \text{ Å}}$ , but for the purpose of this work, this assumption is not crucial.

Fig. [11](#page-10-0) displays how the Eddington ratio and black hole mass scale with the projection of the sources onto our newly determined EV1 and EV2.

We can strongly corroborate that EV1 correlates with the Eddington ratio. An even stronger anti-correlation is that of EV2 with black hole mass. However, the  $log M_{BH}$ -EV2 relation breaks down at lower redshifts ( $z \sim 0.2$ ). In order to determine to which extend this correlation is a sideproduct of the  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and FWHM<sub>H</sub> $\beta$  principal coefficients<br>in EV2, we once again perform a partial correlation test in EV2, we once again perform a partial correlation test. We start by measuring the Spearman rank correlation of EV2 with black hole mass, while controlling for  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$  and  $FWHM_{H\beta}$ . In Fig. [12](#page-10-1) the Spearman correlation coefficients measured for  $log M_{BH}$ , EV2,  $L_{5100 \text{ Å}}$  and FWHM<sub>H</sub> $\beta$  are dis-<br>played in the left panel. In the right panel of Fig. 12, the parplayed in the left panel. In the right panel of Fig. [12,](#page-10-1) the partial correlation coefficients results are presented. As already discussed in Section [4,](#page-6-0) this exercise consisted in performing a (multi) linear regression of  $EV2$  and log  $M_{BH}$  with the confounding variables. In this version we compute the Spearman correlation coefficients of the residuals of these two multilinear regressions. EV2 is still strongly anti-correlated to the black hole mass ( $\rho = -0.56$ ). This is not surprising since in Eq. [4,](#page-9-2) log M<sub>BH</sub> is a non-linear function of FWHM<sub>H</sub><sub>B</sub> and  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$ , while the Eigenvectors obtained from PCA are obtained through linear orthogonal transformations of the initial parameter. The monotonic anti-correlation measured in the residuals might thus simply arise from the non-linearity of Eq. [4.](#page-9-2) More generally, this result implies that one can construct a linear combination of the parameters which dominate the orientation of EV2 (see Fig. [10\)](#page-8-3) in order to estimate the black hole mass at redshifts  $z > 0.2$ .

We propose that EV2, through its anti-correlation to black hole mass, might be related to the evolution of the broad line AGN population. Over the observed redshift range, the median black hole mass increases with decreasing redshift. This trend a combination of two effects: the 2RXS flux limit resulting in the Malmquist bias and the decreasing number density of high black hole masses at lower redshifts. This downsizing of black hole masses across cosmic time remains a matter of debate (cf. downsizing models, e.g., [Fanidakis et al.](#page-16-66) [2012\)](#page-16-66).

We conclude that the Eddington ratio and the black hole mass are related to the principal components which explain ∼ 45% of the total variance in our data.

## <span id="page-9-1"></span>6 ASYMMETRY OF THE BROAD H $\beta$ EMISSION LINE; A MARKER OF TYPE 1 AGN DIVERSITY

EV1's direction in parameter space has been used in the past to establish an analog to the stellar Hertzsprung-Russel diagram for the Type 1 AGN population. In the plane spanned by FWHM $_{\rm H\beta}$  and  $r_{\rm FeII}$  (i.e., the first two dimensions of the 4DE1) the domain occupied by Type 1 AGN has been pre-



Figure 11. Left panel: The Eddington ratio has an exponential correlation to EV1. The 95% confidence contours are represented by the dashed blue lines. Right panel: The black hole mass is correlated to EV2. Again the 95% confidence contours are shown in blue. The linear regressions were performed with Linmix [\(Kelly](#page-16-67) [2007\)](#page-16-67).



Figure 12. The monotonic correlation coefficients of EV2 with  $M_{BH}$ ,  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$  and  $FWHM_{H\beta}$  for our sample are shown: simple Spearman correlation in the left column and partial Spearman correlation in the right column. For the computation of the partial correlation of a parameter pair, we control for the other confounding variables. The  $M_{BH}$  vs. EV2 anti-correlation slightly drops when we control for  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$  and  $FWHM_{H\beta}$ , while partial  $M_{BH}$  vs.<br>EV2 onti-correlation remains significantly stream  $r = 0.59$ . EV2 anti-correlation remains significantly strong  $p = -0.59$ .

sented as a quasar main sequence [\(Marziani et al.](#page-16-28) [2001;](#page-16-28) [Su](#page-17-40)[lentic et al.](#page-17-40) [2011\)](#page-17-40). We investigate the role played by  $H\beta$  line asymmetries in this framework. Since they appear to be one of the central sources of variance in our sample, they can be source of optical diversity of Type 1 AGN.

Fig. [13](#page-11-0) presents the sample in the FWHM $_{H\beta}$  vs. r<sub>FeII</sub> plane, also called EV1 plane. The sources have been separated in two subsets: blue and red asymmetric  $H\beta$  emission. More specifically these two sub-samples were constructed ac<span id="page-10-0"></span>cording to :  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta} > 0.07$  and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta} < -0.07$ . These criteria exclude symmetric sources and account for the typical uncertainties of the asymmetry index  $(\sigma_{\Delta A_{\text{H}}\beta} \sim 0.05)$ . Contours of<br>the bivariate Caussian kernal density estimates (KDF, e.g. the bivariate Gaussian kernel density estimates (KDE, e.g., [Silverman](#page-17-41) [1986\)](#page-17-41) for each of the subsets are also indicated. The contours delimit the areas containing 68%, 86%, 95% and [9](#page-10-2)8% of the data points.<sup>9</sup>

The sample occupies an L-shaped form in the plane. Using simulations, C19 demonstrate that the absence of high  $FWHM_{HG}$  and high r<sub>FeII</sub> sources is to an extent due to limitations of the spectral fitting method. Most of the sources with blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  emission profiles and those with red-asymmetric profiles appear to occupy different domains of this sequence. While the AGN with blue-ward asymmetric H $\beta$  spread over the full r<sub>FeII</sub> range and a large portion of the FWHM $_{\text{H}\beta}$  range, the red-ward asymmetric sources are concentrated at lower values of  $r_{FeII}$ , while dominating the higher segment of  $FWHM_{H\beta}$ . This result is essentially suggesting that high accretors, with relatively large  $r_{\text{FeII}}$  values (e.g., [Panda et al.](#page-16-68) [2019\)](#page-16-68), show mainly blue-ward asymmetric <sup>H</sup>β profiles. We also observe a considerable overlap of red and blue asymmetries for sources with moderate  $FWHM_{HG}$ and  $r_{\text{FeII}}$ .

<span id="page-10-1"></span>In their in-depth study of H $\beta$  line profiles of ~ 470 low-z SDSS (DR5) quasars, Z10 show that low accretion rates sources (low  $r_{FeII}$ ) possess a typically red-asymmetric  $H\beta$  profile, while high accretion rate sources tend to prefer blue-asymmetric  $H\beta$  profiles. Figs. [14-](#page-11-1)[15](#page-11-2) can directly be compared to Fig. 6a and 9a presented in their work:

• The size of our sample allows us to extend their results by affirming that blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  profiles can be found

<span id="page-10-2"></span><sup>9</sup> The density contours shown throughout the rest of this work are defined in the same way.



Figure 13. The horizontal trend of the  $H_\beta$  asymmetry in the EV1 plane is made clear in the figure above. The contours derived from the KDE, delimit the areas in which 68%, 86%, 95% and 98% of the data points are confined. These contours reveal two very different occupation domains for red- and blue asymmetric Balmer emitters : sources with red-asymmetric  $H\beta$  are confined at low r<sub>FeII</sub> values, while sources with blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  seem to extend over the full EV1 sequence.

in sources with relatively high FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub> ( $\sim$  8000  $km s^{-1}$ ) and<br>along the full EV1 sequence. There is, however, a clear de along the full EV1 sequence. There is, however, a clear decrease in blue asymmetric Balmer profiles at FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub>  $\sim$ 4000 km s−<sup>1</sup> , consistent with the low-redshift separation in Population A/B introduced by [Sulentic et al.](#page-17-11) [\(2000a\)](#page-17-11). An excess of red-asymmetric  $H\beta$  profiles is indeed observed for larger widths. Sources with lower  $FWHM_{H\beta}$  do not, however, display more symmetric profiles. We thus argue that there is no evidence for a systematic relationship between FWHM<sub>Hβ</sub> and  $\Delta \lambda$ . The excess of red asymmetric Hβ emission for larger widths might be the signature of an additional redshifted broad emission component.

• Fig. [15](#page-11-2) strongly confirms the decreasing trend presented in figure 5 of [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [\(1992\)](#page-16-27) and figure 9a of Z10. Combined with the Spearman correlation coefficient of  $\rho \sim -0.5$  reported in the previous section, we can state that, up to redshift of  $z \sim 0.8$ , low accretors tend to show more red asymmetric profiles, with a significant decrease of red-asymmetric H $\beta$  emitters beyond r<sub>FeII</sub> ~ 1.5. While blueasymmetric profiles are reported over the full r<sub>FeII</sub> range, the shifts become more pronounced at higher values of the iron-Hβ flux ratio.

Z10 discuss the VBLR-emission as potential origin of typically red asymmetries in H $\beta$  [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0). In a layered model of the BLR, the asymmetry index traces the displacement between the the  $H\beta$  broad component and its very broad component. H $\beta$  lines often show a broad, redshifted, lowintensity feature in their profiles (Peterson  $\&$  Ferland [1986;](#page-17-29) [Corbin](#page-16-69) [1995;](#page-16-69) [Brotherton](#page-16-70) [1996\)](#page-16-70). The presence of a distinct emission region, the VBLR (Popović et al. [2004;](#page-17-42) [Marziani](#page-16-42) [et al.](#page-16-42) [2010\)](#page-16-42), has been proposed to explain the characteristic red wing of broad Balmer emission. The VBLR is expected to be located in the inner region of the AGN: The typical



<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 14. FWHM of  $H\beta$  as a function of the Balmer asymmetry index. The kernel density contours separate blue- from redward asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitting sources. The green dotted line indicates the separation in Pop.A/B at FWHM\_H $\beta \sim 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Typical<br>1π errors are shown centered at zero by two detted vertical bars



<span id="page-11-2"></span>Figure 15.  $r_{\text{FeII}}$  as a function of the Balmer asymmetry index. The kernel density contours separate blue- from redward asymmetric H $\beta$  emitting sources. The typical  $1\sigma$  error in  $\Delta\lambda$  are presented by two dotted vertical bars.

widths of the very broad component in  $H\beta$  indicate much higher Keplerian velocities than the classical broad line region. The presence of a VBC in the profile shapes has to be corrected for when broad low ionization lines are used as virial broadening estimator [\(Marziani et al.](#page-16-58) [2013a\)](#page-16-58). In Appendix [B,](#page-17-34) three sources of our sample, which have one broad Gaussian component with  $FWHM > 10.000 \text{km s}^{-1}$ , are presented.

In order to further characterise the kinematics of two potentially distinct emitting regions, we compute the centroid shifts of the H $\beta$  profiles at 15% ( $c_{15}$ ) and 80% ( $c_{80}$ ) fractional intensity. Fig. [16](#page-12-1) displays the correlations between these shifts and the asymmetry index of <sup>H</sup>β, colour-coded according to the FWHM $_{\text{H}B}$ . The shifts with respect to the rest-frame at 80% fractional intensity are negatively related to the asymmetry index, i.e., the more blue shifted the top of the profile, the more red-ward asymmetric the complete profile and vice-versa. Symmetric profiles range over the full shift range.

For the centroid shifts at **c<sup>15</sup>** fractional intensity, an opposite and stronger trend is observed. **c<sup>15</sup>** correlates positively with  $\Delta \lambda_{\rm H\beta}$ : a red-ward asymmetric  ${\rm H}\beta$  profile can be associated to a redshift of the broad component. As expected, sources with symmetric  $H\beta$  span over the full  $c_1$ range. The highest values of  $FWHM_{H\beta}$  occur for red asymmetric  $H\beta$  consistent with the results in Fig. [14.](#page-11-1)

A clear picture arises from the **c<sup>15</sup>** distribution in the EV1 plane (Fig. [17\)](#page-13-0). The absolute value of the shifts at the profile base appears to decrease along the EV1-sequence, while  $c_{15}$  shows clear trends with both  $r_{\text{FeII}}$  and  $FWHM_{\text{H}}g$ . This picture is consistent with the presence of a redshifted VBLR.

The base shifts of the  $H\beta$  profiles in our sample are tightly correlated to the asymmetry index  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$ . The largest shifts are observed for the most red-asymmetric profiles. The highest base component redshifts are found at the top of the Type 1 AGN main sequence, i.e., at the highest widths of Hβ: the broadest components in our sources'  $Hβ$  profiles are preferentially redshifted. The correlation of **c<sup>15</sup>** with EV1 provides additional information: as can be seen in Fig. [18,](#page-13-1) the positive base centroid shifts appear to correlate with EV1. Interestingly, the broad redshifted  $H\beta$  components are found for the sources with the highest black hole masses.

The broad component redshifts are thus a source of variance in our sample. If the redshifted, very broad components are interpreted as the signature of a VBLR, we can argue that the presence or absence of a distinct, inner emitting shell in the BLR (or more precisely its redshift) is a strong source of diversity in our sample of Type 1 AGN. Furthermore we report that our results are consistent with [Marziani](#page-16-71) [et al.](#page-16-71)  $(2009)$ , who find a systematic increase of FWHM $_{HR}$ with source luminosity. More precisely, [Marziani et al.](#page-16-71) [\(2009\)](#page-16-71) find a scaling relation between the luminosity and the contribution of the VBLR to the full  $H\beta$  flux in population B  $($  > 4000 km s<sup>-1</sup>). The absence of red asymmetric H $\beta$  lines at higher  $r_{\rm B}$  is a shown in Fig. 15 might be due to strong accretion higher  $r_{\text{FeII}}$  shown in Fig. [15](#page-11-2) might be due to strong accretion disk winds preventing the formation of a VBLR.

In Appendix [C,](#page-19-0) we explore a toy model of BLR obscuration, which accounts for the presence of a VBLR.

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>7 BLUE-ASYMMETRIC <sup>H</sup>β: OUTFLOWS IN A FLATTENED AND STRATIFIED BLR **MODEL**

#### 7.1 Blue asymmetries, outflows and self-shielding

If we consider that blue- and red-ward asymmetric  $H\beta$  profiles are signatures of two distinct kinematic states of the BLR, we should divide our sample according to the sources' asymmetry indices to investigate the properties of each subsample. As in the previous section, we formed two subsamples with  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$  < -0.07 (blue asymmetric) and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}}$  >



<span id="page-12-1"></span>Figure 16. The H $\beta$  centroid shifts at 15% and 80% fractional intensity as a function of  $H\beta$  asymmetry.  $c_{15}$  appears more tightly related to  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  than c<sub>80</sub>. The kernel density contours show the sub-sample of sources, for which  $H\beta$  has not been fit with broad Gaussians (FWHM < 10.000 km s<sup>-1</sup>). Typical  $1\sigma$  uncertainties in the measurement of  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  are indicated as vertical red dotted lines.

<sup>0</sup>.<sup>07</sup> (red asymmetric). We constructed a Spearman rank correlation matrix for the parameter subset, as defined in Sec. [2.1,](#page-2-3) of each sub-sample and directly compared their correlation spaces. A striking difference is observed for the correlation of the optical and X-ray luminosity and the iron emission. For blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters,  $L_X$  and  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  cor-<br>relate positively with the equivalent width of the iron emisrelate positively with the equivalent width of the iron emission W(FeII) (Spearman correlation coefficients:  $\rho_S = 0.47$ and  $\rho_S = 0.55$ , respectively). The sources with red asymmetric  $H\beta$  have a much weaker correlation between the source luminosities and the equivalent width W(FeII) ( $\rho$ <sub>S</sub> = 0.023 for L<sub>X</sub> and  $\rho_S = 0.097$  for L<sub>5100Å</sub>). The correlation of L<sub>5100Å</sub><br>and W(FeII) for rod asymmetric sources is  $n = 0.53$  and can and W(FeII) for red-asymmetric sources is  $p = 0.53$  and can<br>safely be considered as insimificant. This contrasting be safely be considered as insignificant. This contrasting behaviour is presented in the left panel of Fig. [19.](#page-15-3) In order to confirm the different clustering of red- and blue-asymmetric  ${ {\rm H}{\beta}}$  emitters in the plane spanned by  ${\rm L}_{\rm 5100\,\AA}$  and W(FeII), we



<span id="page-13-0"></span>Figure 17. The individual correlations of  $c_{15}$  with the two optical 4DE1 dimensions. The absolute centroid shifts decrease along the EV1 sequence.



Figure 18. H $\beta$  base centroid shifts ( $c_{15}$ ) projected onto EV1. The points are colour-coded according to the black hole masses estimated with the calibration from [Assef et al.](#page-16-46) [\(2011\)](#page-16-46). Positive centroid shifts (redshifts) correlate with EV1. Coloured triangles represent the median of **c<sup>15</sup>** in EV1 bins and have been added to improve the visualisation of this trend. Their colour represents the median black hole masses in the bins, with darker colours corresponding to higher black hole masses. The highest black hole masses are found for redshifted base components.

binned log W(FeII) in the range  $1.0 - 1.9$  and bootstrapped the sub-samples in each bin (10.000 resamples). We obtained the mean  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$  for each bin from the sampling distribution of the means. The 68% confidence intervals were derived using the percentile method (from the <sup>16</sup>.0% and <sup>84</sup>.0% percentiles). This method should yield relatively good estimates of the error, given the symmetric shape of the sampling distribution of the mean in each bin (shown in the right panel of Fig. [19\)](#page-15-3). The positive correlation between  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and W(FeII) for sources with blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  is confirmed.

No trend is found for sources with red-asymmetric  $H\beta$ . The error bars, showing the standard deviation of the the bootstrap samples' means, do not overlap. The correlation of  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and W(FeII) for the blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  population<br>with stood a partial correlation test, where we controlled for withstood a partial correlation test, where we controlled for the confounding  $W(H\beta)$ . Similarly, when we control for the FWHM<sub>FeII</sub> of the Gaussian kernel used to fit FeII,  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and W(FeII) remain positively correlated. The dependency of the FeII flux on the continuum luminosity in blue-asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters is only marginally related to the broadening of the iron lines.

This contrasting behaviour also manifests itself in the correlation of the luminosity parameters with the flux ratio r<sub>FeII</sub>. Blue-asymmetric asymmetric H $\beta$  sources are significantly correlated r<sub>FeII</sub> and source luminosities ( $\rho$ <sub>S</sub> = 0.20 for  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and  $\rho_S = 0.19$  for  $L_X$ ). For red asymmetric  $H\beta$ <br>sources these quantities are anti-correlated (eq. - -0.16 for sources, these quantities are anti-correlated ( $\rho_s = -0.16$  for  $L_{5100\text{\AA}}$  and  $\rho_S = -0.25$  for  $L_X$ ).

<span id="page-13-1"></span>Another noticeable difference is observed for the  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$ parameter. In the sub-sample of blue-asymmetric Balmer emitters,  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{[OIII]}}$  and F([OIII])/F(H $\beta$ ) are anti-correlated  $(\rho_S = -0.26)$ . If blue shifted wings are interpreted as the signature of NLR outflows, this result would imply that outflow velocities increase with increasing  $[OIII]/H\beta$  flux ratios. In the red-asymmetric sub-sample, these two parameters are positively correlated ( $\rho_S = 0.17$ ).

Blue-ward asymmetries of low-ionisation lines have been related to radiation driven outflows [\(Marziani et al.](#page-16-72) [2013b\)](#page-16-72). They occur in the high r<sub>FeII</sub> bins of population A. (e.g. [Ganci](#page-16-73) [et al.](#page-16-73) [2019\)](#page-16-73), which contain the highest accretion rate sources along the main sequence (e.g. [Sun & Shen](#page-17-15) [2015;](#page-17-15) [Sulentic](#page-17-6) [et al.](#page-17-6) [2017;](#page-17-6) [Panda et al.](#page-16-68) [2019\)](#page-16-68). The origin of FeII emission in the BLR has been a long-standing matter of research. Several lines of evidence support an emission of FeII in the outer parts of the BLR, while  $H\beta$  might be emitted closer the the black hole (e.g. Rodríguez-Ardila et al. [2002;](#page-17-43) [Barth](#page-16-74) [et al.](#page-16-74) [2013;](#page-16-74) [Marinello et al.](#page-16-75) [2016\)](#page-16-75). The physical conditions for iron ionisation have been investigated in detail. [Wills](#page-17-44) [et al.](#page-17-44) [\(1985\)](#page-17-44) showed that photoionisation models such as the locally optimally emitting clouds [\(Baldwin et al.](#page-16-7) [1995\)](#page-16-7) might not suffice to account for the total observed FeII flux in AGN. For a review of necessary conditions for the formation of the observed FeII in photoionisation models see [Collin &](#page-16-76) [Joly](#page-16-76) [\(2000\)](#page-16-76). Models of collisional excitation (e.g. [Baldwin](#page-16-77) [et al.](#page-16-77) [2004;](#page-16-77) [Joly et al.](#page-16-78) [2007\)](#page-16-78), in addition to continuum and line fluorescence (e.g. [Sigut & Pradhan](#page-17-45) [1998;](#page-17-45) [Marinello et al.](#page-16-75) [2016\)](#page-16-75), have been considered. These models make predictions on the physical conditions of the FeII emitting region, such as shielding from the continuum source and high densities.

In a flattened, horizontally stratified cloud distribution in Keplerian motion around the central black hole, the difference in sensitivity to continuum of the FeII emitting region might arise from different degrees of exposure to the central continuum source of the dense clouds from which the iron is emitted. Radiation driven winds produce outflows of  $H\beta$ emitting gas, thereby exposing the previously shielded FeII regions more directly to continuum emission. The scaling between W(FeII) and the  $\rm L_{5100\AA}$  might thus be due to the contribution of UV fluorescence to the excitation of Fe+ levels. Investigating FeII fluorescence in HII regions, Rodríguez [\(1999\)](#page-17-46) uses the sensitivity to fluorescence of [FeII] $\lambda$ 4287, as well as the continuum insensitive [FeII]λ<sup>8617</sup> to investigate the role played by the UV radiation field in the formation of this line. If the presented model of unshielding holds, the intensity ratio <sup>I</sup>([FeII]λ4287)/I([FeII]λ8617) should scale differently with the UV/optical continuum luminosity for the red- and blue-asymmetric  $H\beta$  populations. This may be investigated in further work.

In this scenario, the distance of the FeII region to the SMBH should not depend on the presence of outflows. We performed a two-sample Anderson-Darling test on the distributions of the  $\text{FWHM}_{\text{FeII}}$  of the Gaussian kernel which was convolved with the FeII template in the red- and blueasymmetric  $H\beta$  subsets. 55 sources in our sample have no FeII flux detection and were not considered for this test. The obtained p-value is  $p > 0.25$ . We thus cannot reject that the FWHM<sub>FeII</sub> for red- and blue-asymmetric  $H\beta$  sources were sampled from the same distribution. Under the assumption of a Keplerian velocity field, the radial distribution of the FeII emitting clouds is not affected by the presence of outflows.

We conclude that our data is consistent with a flat, stratified and self-shielding model of the BLR, in which the FeII and  $H\beta$  emissions originate at different radii. When the inner clouds are driven out of the plane by outflows during strong accretion events, the previously shielded, neutral and dense FeII clouds are more exposed to the ionizing continuum radiation, which is clearly seen in the FeII fluxluminosity scaling.

#### 7.2 Evidence for model degeneracy: FeII vs.  $H\beta$

We note that C19 fitted the emission of the iron complex over the full  $H\beta$  centered region, using the I Zw 1 template [\(Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [1992\)](#page-16-27). Since all the emission features of FeII in the spectral window were taken in account during the fits, the effect of contamination by  $H\beta$  should be marginal on the total FeII flux, i.e. W(FeII) should not be strongly affected by increasing  $H\beta$  line flux. However we can investigate if the FeII contaminates the  $H\beta$  fit on its blue side.

For blue asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters, we seek to test if the

the equivalent width of FeII correlates with the  $H\beta$  asymmetry index, i.e. we want to determine if the excess flux on the blue side of  $H\beta$  is due to contamination by iron.

On the sub-sample with,  $\Delta \lambda_{H\beta}$  < -0.07, we measure the Spearman rank correlation coefficient of  $\Delta\lambda H\beta$  | and W(FeII). We obtain  $\rho = 0.087$  with a p-value of  $p = 0.08$ . Even if we do not correct the significance threshold for multihypothesis testing, one can state that there is no significant correlation between  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  and W(FeII). We note however that the equivalent width of  $H\beta$  is a confounding variable for both:  $\Delta \lambda H\beta$  ( $p = -0.26$ ) and W(FeII) ( $p = 0.29$ ). We thus have to once again perform a partial correlation analysis, marginalising over <sup>W</sup>(Hβ) in order to obtain the real correlation behaviour of the blue-asymmetries and the iron strength. The partial correlation coefficient is  $p_P = 0.17$  with a p-value of  $p \sim 10^{-4}$ . There is a significant correlation between  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H} \beta}$  and W(FeII) once we control for W(H $\beta$ ). We thus cannot exclude that the iron complex contaminates the blue wing of  $\Delta H\beta$ .

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>8 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have performed a statistical analysis of a high signal-to-noise ratio  $(S/N > 20)$  sub-sample of the SDSS-IV/SPIDERS DR14 VAC of X-ray selected Type 1 AGN. The sample included 2043 sources spanning an X-ray luminosity range of  $L_X = 1.9 \times 10^{41} - 9.9 \times 10^{45}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, up to redshift  $z = 0.80$ . It is the largest sample of high signal-to redshift  $z = 0.80$ . It is the largest sample of high signal-tonoise ratio sources used for the PCA-based, statistical study of Type 1 AGN spectral properties to date.

• We used PCA as a central tool to determine the source of variance in our data and have mapped a correlation space which is remarkably consistent with previous studies of the optical Eigenvector 1 (e.g., [Boroson & Green](#page-16-27) [1992;](#page-16-27) [Sulentic](#page-17-11) [et al.](#page-17-11) [2000a;](#page-17-11) [Grupe](#page-16-29) [2004;](#page-16-29) [Shen & Ho](#page-17-35) [2014\)](#page-17-35), while probing a larger cosmological volume.

• We confirm that the Eddington ratio and the black hole mass are significantly related to the observed diversity of Type 1 AGN, through their correlation to EV1 and EV2.

• Studying  $H\beta$  line shapes in this context, we find blue asymmetric emission profiles for the full Type 1 AGN main sequence, while red asymmetries only appear for low accretors. Z10, investigating  $H\beta$  profile asymmetries in the 4DE1 context, have suggested that profiles with lower  $FWHM_{HG}$  tend to be more symmetric while profiles with larger  $FWHM_{HG}$  are preferentially red-asymmetric. The larger number of sources in our sample enabled us to complete this picture: While we do observe a larger portion of sources with red asymmetry index at high  $FWHM_{H\beta}$ , lower width profiles appear to cover the full range of asymmetries observed in our sample, i.e., lower  $FWHM_{HG}$  do not lead to more symmetric profiles. We can, however, confirm a strong trend between r<sub>FeII</sub> and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$ . In their discussion of the physical origins of  $H\beta$  profile shapes, Z10 identify VBLR-emission and disk winds as main candidates.

• A sub-class of our sources does indeed show very shifted, broad components in their  $H\beta$  emission, possibly due to the presence of a distinct emitting gas distribution in the inner regions of the BLR. The redshift of this VBLR correlates with Eigenvector 1 and might thus be related to Type 1 AGN diversity.



<span id="page-15-3"></span>Figure 19. Left panel : The monochromatic luminosity at 5100 Å as a function of the equivalent width of the FeII-blend at 4570 Å. The red triangles and blue squares distinguish red- $(\Delta \lambda > 0.07)$  from blue-asymmetric  $(\Delta \lambda < -0.07)$  H $\beta$  emitters. Kernel density contours have been included. A tighter relation is observed for sources with blue-asymmetric Balmer emission. A similar picture arises in the case of <sup>L</sup>0.1−2 keV-W(FeII). Right panel : The <sup>L</sup>5100-W(FeII) plane binned in 10 log W(FeII)-bins between 1.0 and 1.9. For each bin, 10.000 bootstrap samples were obtained, for which the mean  $L_{5100 \text{\AA}}$  was derived. The points and error bars represent the mean of the sampling distribution of the mean. The error bars correspond to the  $1\sigma$  error derived from the sampling distribution of the mean, using the percentile method. The linear regression was performed with Linmix [\(Kelly](#page-16-67) [2007\)](#page-16-67).

• Exploring parameter correlations for blue- and redward asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters separately, we observed that FeII line flux correlates differently with source luminosity for redand blue-ward asymmetric  $H\beta$  emitters. We discussed this effect in the light of a flattened, self-shielding BLR, in which the FeII emitting clouds are located are larger radii than the ones emitting the Balmer lines. The outflows, we associate  $H\beta$  blue-ward asymmetries with, might in such a configuration strip the FeII region of its shield, i.e. the innermost parts of the BLR. However, we find evidence for inter-line contamination between FeII and <sup>H</sup>β, which might play a confounding role in this effect.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for comments that significantly improved this paper.

We would like to thank Hagai Netzer for insightful and stimulating conversations on the physics of the BLR. We also thank Claudia Scarlata for her precious input on Machine Learning techniques and Tom Dwelly for helpful advice.

FJC acknowledges financial support through grant AYA2015-64346-C2-1P (MINECO/FEDER).

FJC also acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme under the AHEAD project (grant agreement n. 654215).

Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org.

SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU) / University of Tokyo, the Korean Participation Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut fur Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), Na- ¨ tional Astronomical Observatories of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatório Nacional / MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University.

#### REFERENCES

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Abolfathi B., et al., 2018, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aa9e8a) [235, 42](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJS..235...42A)

- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Adhikari T. P., Różańska A., Czerny B., Hryniewicz K., Ferland G. J., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/1/68) [831, 68](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...831...68A)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Ameijeiras-Alonso J., Crujeiras R. M., Rodríguez-Casal A., 2016, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:1609.05188](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv160905188A)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Antonucci R., 1993, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.31.090193.002353) [31, 473](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993ARA&A..31..473A)

- <span id="page-16-46"></span>Assef R. J., et al., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/742/2/93) [742, 93](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...742...93A)
- <span id="page-16-57"></span>Baba K., Shibata R., Sibuya M., 2004, [Australian & New Zealand](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.00360.x) [Journal of Statistics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.00360.x) 46, 657
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Bahcall J. N., Kozlovsky B.-Z., Salpeter E. E., 1972, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/151300) [171,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1972ApJ...171..467B) [467](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1972ApJ...171..467B)
- <span id="page-16-61"></span>Baldwin J. A., 1977, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/155294) [214, 679](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1977ApJ...214..679B)
- <span id="page-16-62"></span>Baldwin J. A., Burke W. L., Gaskell C. M., Wampler E. J., 1978, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/273431a0) [273, 431](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1978Natur.273..431B)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Baldwin J., Ferland G., Korista K., Verner D., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309827) [455,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...455L.119B) [L119](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...455L.119B)
- <span id="page-16-77"></span>Baldwin J. A., Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Hamann F., LaCluyz´e A., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/424683) [615, 610](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...615..610B)
- <span id="page-16-55"></span>Baron D., 2019, arXiv e-prints,
- <span id="page-16-74"></span>Barth A. J., et al., 2013, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/769/2/128) [769, 128](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...769..128B)
- <span id="page-16-82"></span>Baskin A., Laor A., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2850) [474, 1970](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.474.1970B)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Bentz M. C., Katz S., 2015, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/679601) [127, 67](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015PASP..127...67B)
- <span id="page-16-47"></span>Bentz M. C., et al., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/705/1/199) [705, 199](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...705..199B)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Blandford R. D., McKee C. F., 1982, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/159843) [255, 419](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1982ApJ...255..419B)
- <span id="page-16-40"></span>Blanton M. R., et al., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa7567) [154, 28](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AJ....154...28B)
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>Boller T., Brandt W. N., Fink H., 1996, A&A, [305, 53](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996A&A...305...53B)
- <span id="page-16-36"></span>Boller T., Freyberg M. J., Truemper J., Haberl F., Voges W., Nandra K., 2016, [Astron. Astrophys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525648) 588, 103
- <span id="page-16-65"></span>Bon N., Bon E., Marziani P., 2018, [Frontiers in Astronomy and](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2018.00003) [Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2018.00003) 5, 3
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>Boroson T. A., Green R. F., 1992, [Astrophys. J. Suppl.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/191661) 80, 109
- <span id="page-16-70"></span>Brotherton M. S., 1996, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/192249) [102, 1](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996ApJS..102....1B)
- <span id="page-16-51"></span>Brotherton M. S., Wills B. J., Francis P. J., Steidel C. C., 1994, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174425) [430, 495](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994ApJ...430..495B)
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Brotherton M. S., Singh V., Runnoe J., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2186) [454, 3864](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.454.3864B)
- <span id="page-16-44"></span>Calderone G., Nicastro L., Ghisellini G., Dotti M., Sbarrato T., Shankar F., Colpi M., 2017, [Monthly Notices of the Royal](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2239) [Astronomical Society,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2239) [472, 4051](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.472.4051C)
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Chen K., Halpern J. P., 1989, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/167782) [344, 115](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989ApJ...344..115C)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Chen K., Halpern J. P., Filippenko A. V., 1989, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/167332) [339, 742](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989ApJ...339..742C)
- <span id="page-16-38"></span>Coffey D., et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833996) [625, A123](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...625A.123C)
- <span id="page-16-76"></span>Collin S., Joly M., 2000, [New Astronomy Reviews,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-6473(00)00093-2) 44
- <span id="page-16-69"></span>Corbin M. R., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/175894) [447, 496](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...447..496C)
- <span id="page-16-39"></span>Cutri R. M., et al. 2013, VizieR Online Data Catalog, [2328](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013yCat.2328....0C)
- <span id="page-16-81"></span>Czerny B., Hryniewicz K., 2010, [Astronomy and Astrophysics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201016025) 525
- <span id="page-16-80"></span>Czerny B., et al., 2017, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8810) 846
- <span id="page-16-63"></span>Dietrich M., Hamann F., Shields J. C., Constantin A., Vestergaard M., Chaffee F., Foltz C. B., Junkkarinen V. T., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/344410) [581, 912](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...581..912D)
- <span id="page-16-37"></span>Dwelly T., et al., 2017, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx864) 469, 1065
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Elitzur M., 2012, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/747/2/l33) 747, L33
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Eracleous M., Halpern J. P., 1994, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/191856) [90, 1](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994ApJS...90....1E)
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Eracleous M., Halpern J. P., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/379540) [599, 886](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...599..886E)
- <span id="page-16-66"></span>Fanidakis N., et al., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19931.x) [419, 2797](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.419.2797F)
- <span id="page-16-84"></span>Fischer N., Mammen E., Marron J., 1994, [Computational Statis](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9473(94)90080-9)[tics & Data Analysis,](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9473(94)90080-9) 18, 499
- <span id="page-16-73"></span>Ganci V., Marziani P., D'Onofrio M., del Olmo A., Bon E., Bon N., Negrete C. A., 2019, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:1908.07308](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190807308G)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Gaskell C. M., 2009, [New Astronomy Reviews,](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2009.09.006) 53, 140
- <span id="page-16-79"></span>Gaskell C. M., Harrington P. Z., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty848) [478, 1660](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.478.1660G)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Gebhardt K., et al., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/318174) [543, L5](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...543L...5G)
- <span id="page-16-50"></span>Georgakakis A., Nandra K., 2011, [Monthly Notices of the Royal](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18387.x) [Astronomical Society,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18387.x) 414, 992
- <span id="page-16-43"></span>Grogin N. A., et al., 2005, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/432256) [627, L97](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...627L..97G)
- <span id="page-16-29"></span>Grupe D., 2004, [Astron. J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/382516) 127, 1799
- <span id="page-16-64"></span>Grupe D., 2011, in Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies and their Place in the Universe. p. 4 ([arXiv:1106.0228](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.0228))
- <span id="page-16-41"></span>Gunn J. E., et al., 2006, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/500975) [131, 2332](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AJ....131.2332G)
- <span id="page-16-83"></span>Hall P., York M., 2001, Statistica Sinica, 11, 515
- <span id="page-16-54"></span>Haynes W., 2013, Bonferroni Correction. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 154-154, [doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7_1213)<sub>-1213</sub>, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7\\_1213](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7_1213)
- Drivers of Type 1 AGN Diversity 17
- <span id="page-16-52"></span>Heckman T. M., Miley G. K., van Breugel W. J. M., Butcher H. R., 1981, [Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/159050) 247, 403
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Hickox R. C., Alexander D. M., 2018, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051803) [56, 625](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ARA%26A..56..625H)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Jarvis M. J., McLure R. J., 2006, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10295.x) [369, 182](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006MNRAS.369..182J)
- <span id="page-16-59"></span>Jolliffe I. T., Cadima J., 2016, [Philosophical Transactions of the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202) [Royal Society of London Series A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202) [374, 20150202](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016RSPTA.37450202J)
- <span id="page-16-78"></span>Joly M., Véron-Cetty M., Véron P., 2007, in Ho L. C., Wang J.-W., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 373, The Central Engine of Active Galactic Nuclei. p. 376
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Kaspi S., Maoz D., Netzer H., Peterson B. M., Vestergaard M., Jannuzi B. T., 2005, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/431275) [629, 61](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...629...61K)
- <span id="page-16-67"></span>Kelly B. C., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/519947) [665, 1489](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...665.1489K)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>King A., 2016, in Active Galactic Nuclei: What's in a Name?. p. 3, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.60346](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.60346)
- <span id="page-16-48"></span>Kraft R. P., Burrows D. N., Nousek J. A., 1991, [Astrophys. J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/170124) [374, 344](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991ApJ...374..344K)
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Kuraszkiewicz J., Wilkes B. J., Schmidt G., Smith P. S., Cutri R., Czerny B., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/1180) [692, 1180](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...692.1180K)
- <span id="page-16-49"></span>Laird E. S., et al., 2009, [Astrophys. J. Suppl.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/180/1/102) 180, 102
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>Lewis K. T., Eracleous M., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2010, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/187/2/416) [187, 416](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJS..187..416L)
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>Mao Y.-F., Wang J., Wei J.-Y., 2009, [Research in Astronomy and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/9/5/004) [Astrophysics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/9/5/004) [9, 529](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009RAA.....9..529M)
- <span id="page-16-75"></span>Marinello M., Rodríguez-Ardila A., Garcia-Rissmann A., Sigut T. A. A., Pradhan A. K., 2016, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/116) [820, 116](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...820..116M)
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Calvani M., 2001, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/322286) [558, 553](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001ApJ...558..553M)
- <span id="page-16-56"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zamanov R., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Bachev R., Zwitter T., 2003a, [The Astrophysical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/346025) [Journal Supplement Series,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/346025) [145, 199](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2003ApJS..145..199M)
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>Marziani P., Zamanov R. K., Sulentic J. W., Calvani M., 2003b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07033.x) [345, 1133](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2003MNRAS.345.1133M)
- <span id="page-16-71"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Stirpe G. M., Zamfir S., Calvani M., 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810764) [495, 83](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...495...83M)
- <span id="page-16-42"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., Zamfir S., Bachev R., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17357.x) [409, 1033](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.409.1033M)
- <span id="page-16-58"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Plauchu-Frayn I., del Olmo A., 2013a, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321374) 555, A89
- <span id="page-16-72"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Plauchu-Frayn I., del Olmo A., 2013b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/150) [764, 150](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...764..150M)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Marziani P., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., Martínez-Aldama M. L., Del Olmo A., D'Onofrio M., Stirpe G. M., 2017, [Frontiers in](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2017.00016) [Astronomy and Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2017.00016) [4, 16](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017FrASS...4...16M)
- <span id="page-16-35"></span>Marziani P., et al., 2018, [Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sci](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2018.00006)[ences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2018.00006) 5, 6
- <span id="page-16-45"></span>McLure R. J., Jarvis M. J., 2002, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05871.x) [337, 109](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002MNRAS.337..109M)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Mejia-Restrepo J., Trakhtenbrot B., Lira P., Netzer H., Capellupo D., 2016, in Active Galactic Nuclei: What's in a Name?. p. 7, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.60354](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.60354)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Mejía-Restrepo J. E., Trakhtenbrot B., Lira P., Netzer H., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1086) [478, 1929](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.478.1929M)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Negrete C. A., et al., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833285) [620, A118](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018A%26A...620A.118N)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Netzer H., 2008, [New Astronomy Reviews,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2008.06.009) [52, 257](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008NewAR..52..257N)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Netzer H., 2015, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122302) [53, 365](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ARA%26A..53..365N)
- <span id="page-16-33"></span>Netzer H., Trakhtenbrot B., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/509650) [654, 754](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...654..754N)
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>Oke J. B., 1987, in Zensus J. A., Pearson T. J., eds, Superluminal Radio Sources. pp 267–272
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Padovani P., et al., 2017, [Astron. Astrophys. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00159-017-0102-9) 25, 2
- <span id="page-16-68"></span>Panda S., Marziani P., Czerny B., 2019, arXiv e-prints, [p.](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190501729P) [arXiv:1905.01729](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190501729P)
- <span id="page-16-60"></span>Pedregosa F., et al., 2011, Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12, 2825
- <span id="page-16-53"></span>Perrotta S., Hamann F., Zakamska N. L., Alexand roff R. M., Rupke D., Wylezalek D., 2019, arXiv e-prints, [p.](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190600980P) [arXiv:1906.00980](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190600980P)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span>Peterson B. M., 1993, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/133140) [105, 247](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993PASP..105..247P)
- Peterson B., 2006, The Broad-Line Region in Active Galactic

# 18 Wolf et al.

Nuclei. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 77–100, [doi:10.1007/3-540-34621-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-34621-X_3) 3, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-34621-X_3) [1007/3-540-34621-X\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-34621-X_3)

- <span id="page-17-29"></span>Peterson B. M., Ferland G. J., 1986, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/324345a0) [324, 345](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986Natur.324..345P)
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>Popovic L., G. Mediavilla E., A K., Jovanovi $\ddot{A} \ddot{G}$  P., 2002, [Astron](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020724)[omy and Astrophysics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020724) 390, 473
- <span id="page-17-42"></span>Popović L. Č., Mediavilla E., Bon E., Ilić D., 2004, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20034431) [423,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004A%26A...423..909P) [909](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004A%26A...423..909P)
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>Rakshit S., Woo J.-H., 2018, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad9f8) 865, 5
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Rees M. J., Netzer H., Ferland G. J., 1989, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/168155) [347, 640](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989ApJ...347..640R)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Richards G. T., Vanden Berk D. E., Reichard T. A., Hall P. B., Schneider D. P., SubbaRao M., Thakar A. R., York D. G., 2002, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341167) [124, 1](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002AJ....124....1R)
- <span id="page-17-39"></span>Richards G. T., et al., 2006, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/506525) [166, 470](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJS..166..470R)
- <span id="page-17-46"></span>Rodríguez M., 1999, A&A, [348, 222](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999A&A...348..222R)
- <span id="page-17-43"></span>Rodríguez-Ardila A., Viegas S. M., Pastoriza M. G., Prato L., 2002, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324598) [565, 140](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...565..140R)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span><span id="page-17-20"></span>Salvato M., et al., 2018, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2651) 473, 4937 Saxton R. D., Read A. M., Esquej P., Freyberg M. J., Altieri B.,
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Bermejo D., 2008, [Astron. Astrophys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20079193) 480, 611 Shang Z., Wills B. J., Robinson E. L., Wills D., Laor A., Xie B.,
- Yuan J., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/367638) [586, 52](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...586...52S)
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>Shen Y., 2013, Bull. Astron. Soc. India, 41, 61
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>Shen Y., Ho L. C., 2014, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13712) 513, 210
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>Shen Y., Liu X., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/2/125) [753, 125](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...753..125S)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Shen Y., et al., 2015, VizieR Online Data Catalog, [221](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015yCat..22160004S)
- <span id="page-17-45"></span>Sigut T. A. A., Pradhan A. K., 1998, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/311369) [499, L139](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998ApJ...499L.139S)
- <span id="page-17-48"></span>Silverman B. W., 1981, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), 43, 97
- <span id="page-17-41"></span>Silverman B. W., 1986, Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis. Chapman & Hall, London
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Singh K. P., 2013, Bull. Astron. Soc. India, 41, 137
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>Smee S. A., et al., 2013, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/2/32) [146, 32](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013AJ....146...32S)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Storchi-Bergmann T., Schimoia J., Peterson B., Elvis M., Denney K., Eracleous M., Nemmen R., 2016, [The Astrophysical](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/236) [Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/236) 835
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Sturm E., et al., 2018, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0731-9) 563
- <span id="page-17-37"></span>Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., 2015, [Frontiers in Astronomy and](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2015.00006) [Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2015.00006) 2, 6
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000a, [Annual](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.38.1.521) [Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.38.1.521) [38, 521](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2000ARA&A..38..521S)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/312717) [536, L5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...536L...5S)
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>Sulentic J. W., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Marziani P., 2007a, in Kurtz S., ed., Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica, vol. 27 Vol. 28, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series. pp 83–88
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Sulentic J. W., Bachev R., Marziani P., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., 2007b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/519916) [666, 757](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...666..757S)
- <span id="page-17-40"></span>Sulentic J., Marziani P., Zamfir S., 2011, [Baltic Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/astro-2017-0314) [20,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011BaltA..20..427S) [427](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011BaltA..20..427S)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Sulentic J. W., et al., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630309) [608, A122](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A%26A...608A.122S)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Sun J., Shen Y., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/804/1/L15) [804, L15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...804L..15S)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Tang B., Shang Z., Gu Q., Brotherton M. S., Runnoe J. C., 2012, [The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/201/2/38) [201, 38](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJS..201...38T)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Trakhtenbrot B., Netzer H., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22056.x) [427, 3081](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.427.3081T)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/133630) [107, 803](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995PASP..107..803U)
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>Vestergaard M., 2002, [Astrophys. J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/340045) 571, 733
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>Vestergaard M., Peterson B. M., 2006, [Astrophys. J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/500572) 641, 689
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Voges W., et al., 1999, Astron. Astrophys., 349, 389
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Wanders I., Peterson B. M., 1996, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/177502) [466, 174](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996ApJ...466..174W)
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>Wang J., Xu D. W., Wei J. Y., 2018, [Astrophys. J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9d1b) 852, 26
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Wills B. J., Browne I. W. A., 1986, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/163973) [302, 56](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986ApJ...302...56W)
- <span id="page-17-44"></span>Wills B. J., Netzer H., Wills D., 1985, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/162767) [288, 94](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1985ApJ...288...94W)
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>Winkler H., Chauke T., 2014, preprint ([arXiv:1412.4498](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4498))
- <span id="page-17-38"></span>Yuan M. J., Wills B. J., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/378037) [593, L11](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2003ApJ...593L..11Y)
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>Zakamska N. L., et al., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw718) [459, 3144](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016MNRAS.459.3144Z)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Zamanov R., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Bachev R., 2002, [The Astrophysical Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/342783) 576, L9
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Zamfir S., Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin D., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16236.x) [403, 1759](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2010MNRAS.403.1759Z)

## <span id="page-17-27"></span>APPENDIX A: EQUIVALENT WIDTHS **ESTIMATE**

The equivalent width offers a measure of an emission line's strength. Equivalent widths were not included in C19 and had to be derived.

The equivalent width of a spectral line is defined as:

<span id="page-17-47"></span>
$$
W_{\lambda} = \int \frac{S_1(\lambda) - S_c(\lambda)}{S_c(\lambda)} d\lambda \tag{A1}
$$

*S*<sup>1</sup> denotes the total flux density at a given wavelength and *S*c the continuum flux density.

To obtain the interpolated  $S_1$  and  $S_c$  fluxes, the lines were reconstructed from the catalogue's fitting parameters, the associated Gaussian fitting functions, the continuum power law, and the templates for the iron and galaxy contributions. Each Gaussian component  $G(\lambda)$  was reconstructed according to:

$$
G_{\text{line}}(\lambda) = N_{\text{line}} \cdot \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda - P_{\text{line}}}{W_{\text{line}}} \right)^2 \right]
$$
 (A2)

Here  $N_{line}$ ,  $P_{line}$  and  $W_{line}$  denote the norm, peak wavelength and width for the fit as listed in C19. For multiple Gaussian components  $G_j(\lambda)$  the total flux in the line is then<br>obtained from  $G_{j}(\lambda) = \sum_{i} G_i(\lambda)$ . In C10, the continuum obtained from  $G_{total}(\lambda) = \sum_j G_j(\lambda)$ . In C19, the continuum<br>model consists of a power law, a bost galaxy component and model consists of a power law, a host galaxy component and the iron emission.

The power law model is reconstructed from :

$$
PL(\lambda) = N_{\text{PL}} \cdot \lambda^{\text{S}_{\text{PL}}} \tag{A3}
$$

*N*PL and *S*PL are the norm and the slope of the power law for each spectrum as listed in the catalog. Following the catalogue's author's prescription a Gaussian filter was applied to the FeII emission to reproduce the blending of the multiplets. The continuum emission is calculated by:

$$
S_C(\lambda) = PL(\lambda) + FEI(\lambda) + GAL(\lambda)
$$
\n(A4)

The total flux in a given line is:  $S_1(\lambda) = S_c(\lambda) + G_{total}(\lambda)$ . Fig. [A1-](#page-18-0)[A2](#page-18-1) displays two fits centered around the <sup>H</sup>β/[OIII] complex. reconstructed using the above model. Interpolating  $D_1$  and  $S_c$  over the fitting range of  $H\beta$  or MgII and performing the integration in [\(A1\)](#page-17-47) yields the equivalent width for the chosen lines.

## <span id="page-17-34"></span>APPENDIX B: EXAMPLES OF Hβ PROFILES: RED- AND BLUE ASYMMETRIC & VERY BROAD COMPONENTS

In the top (middle) three panels of the figure in Appendix [B](#page-17-34) we present examples of red- (blue-) asymmetric broad  $H\beta$ 



Figure A1. Left panel: Reconstructed Hβ fitting region using the model used by C19. The [OIII], HeII and Hβ model components are labeled. The components of the continuum model (a power-law, a host galaxy model and the iron pseudo-continuum) are also displayed. The original observed spectrum is shown in light grey. Right panel: A zoom onto the Hβ Gaussian line decomposition. In order to improve the visualisation, the power-law contribution was added to the Gaussian components. Only two broad components were required to fit Hβ.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

<span id="page-18-1"></span>Figure A2. Same as in Fig. [A1](#page-18-0) for another source in our sample. Here three broad Gaussian components were needed to fit H $\beta$ .

profiles and the Gaussian fits performed in C19. The corresponding asymmetry indices are indicated in the caption. Similarly in the bottom three panels of this same figure,  $H\beta$ lines with very broad Gaussian components are presented. The VBC is highlighted in red.

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>APPENDIX C: TESTING A SIMPLE OBSCURATION SCENARIO

[Richards et al.](#page-17-4) [\(2002\)](#page-17-4) proposed that the spatial configuration resulting from the BLR's inclination and obscuration might explain the asymmetries in the observed profile of CIV $\lambda$ 1549Å (see also 4.3. in Z10). In this picture, the asymmetry arises from the attenuation of redshifted photons by obscuring material. Similarly [Gaskell & Harrington](#page-16-79) [\(2018\)](#page-16-79) developed a model of outflowing clouds of dust, blocking the line of sight to the inner disk-shaped BLR, which predicts broad emission profiles similar to those observed. An alternative view of the effect of the BLR and outflows is developed by [Czerny et al.](#page-16-80) [\(2017\)](#page-16-80) (see also [Czerny & Hryniewicz](#page-16-81) [2010\)](#page-16-81). In this model the dusty clouds are driven out of an optically thick disk, and are eventually exposed to radiation by the central source. The dust evaporates, removing the matter/radiation interaction and results in the fallback of gas clouds. This scenario is referred to as Failed Radiatively Accelerated Dusty Outflow (FRADO). The physics of dust sublimation and the consequences for the BLR disk structure were further investigated by [Baskin & Laor](#page-16-82) [\(2018\)](#page-16-82).

Fig. [16](#page-12-1) allows us to investigate a simple obscuration scenario in which the observed asymmetry of the line shapes of  $H\beta$  would result from partial obscuration of a flattened BLR in Keplerian motion around the black hole. If the receding or incoming region (with respect to our line of sight) of a disk like structure is obscured by optically thick material, the emitted broad lines would be respectively red- or blue asymmetric (see for example Fig. 2 in [Gaskell & Harrington](#page-16-79) [2018\)](#page-16-79), since one peak of the double-peaked emission profile would be attenuated. In this scenario, the low-intensity, 'excess' emission measured on blue (or red) side of the line would correspond to the attenuated blue (or red) horn of the disk-like emission.

Furthermore, if we assume no further kinematics in our BLR model, the attenuation of the red of blue peak of the disk like emission would lead to a centroid shift configuration :

• Blue-ward asymmetric  $H\beta$  ( $\Delta \lambda_{H\beta}$  < 0): Redshift of the peak component  $(c_{80} > 0)$  and blueshift of the base component  $(c_{15} < 0)$ 

• Red-ward asymmetric H $\beta$  ( $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta} > 0$ ): Blueshift of the peak component  $(c_{80} < 0)$  and redshift of the base component  $(c_{15} > 0)$ 

Fig[.16](#page-12-1) supports this scenario. The results in the previous section suggest that the broad Balmer shapes might be affected by the presence of a distinct emitting region: the VBLR. The next step is to test if the obscuration scenario is compatible with the presence of a very broad component related to the stratification of the BLR. To this effect we identify sources for which the broad  $H\beta$  has been fit broad Gaussians.

Fig. [C1](#page-21-1) presents the peak wavelength of all the Gaus-

sians which have been used to fit the components of <sup>H</sup>β as a function of their FWHM. We clearly identify a sequence of very broad Gaussians at velocities > 10.000 km s<sup>-1</sup> which are mostly redshifted with respect to systemic redshift. We investigated the reduced  $\chi^2$  distribution of the fits of the sources which have at least one Gaussian comfits of the sources which have at least one Gaussian component of  $FWHM > 10.000$  km.s<sup>-1</sup>. The sources which have a very broad component are not biased towards higher rea very broad component are not biased towards higher reduced  $\chi^2$  (and thus higher BIC) values, indicating that they are not preferentially found in less secure fits. The statistic are not preferentially found in less secure fits. The statistical significance of a second mode in the distribution of the Gaussian FWHM in our sample at FWHM >  $10.000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ was assessed with a Silverman test of multimodality [\(Sil](#page-17-48)[verman](#page-17-48) [1981,](#page-17-48) for its calibration see e.g. [Hall & York](#page-16-83) [2001;](#page-16-83) [Ameijeiras-Alonso et al.](#page-15-4) [2016\)](#page-15-4). We could confirm that this second mode of very broad Gaussian components is indeed significant. The test is presented in details in Appendix [D.](#page-19-1) We empirically identify this sequence as the sub-class of sources which contain a VBLR. As expected the broadest Gaussians have the largest contributions to the full line luminosity. Fig[.16](#page-12-1) includes the density contours containing the sources for which  $H\beta$  has not been fitted with a very broad Gaussian (FWHM <  $10.000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , i.e., the sources to the left of the vertical dashed line in Fig. (1). The trend observed of the vertical dashed line in Fig[.C1\)](#page-21-1). The trend observed for all objects in our sample is preserved for this subset, supporting the possibility of a partially obscurated flattened  $BLR + VBLR$  model. The red- and blueshifts of the H $\beta$  base and peak components are symmetrically distributed, which is consistent with the obscuration scenario.

In a simple model in which wing attenuation via partial obscuration is the sole source of asymmetry of the broad emission lines, we expect the  $H\beta$  line fluxes to be symmetrically distributed for  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  < 0 and  $\Delta \lambda_{\text{H}\beta}$  > 0, as no wing of the emission line profile should be preferentially attenuated. The left panel in Fig[.C2](#page-22-0) shows the equivalent width of  $H\beta$  plotted against its asymmetry index for our full sample. We observe an offset in  $W(H\beta)$  between the population of red- and blue-asymmetric emitters. We argue that this offset might once again be the signature of the presence of a VBLR in some of our sources. If we display the kernel density contours for the subset of sources which were not fitted with a very broad Gaussian, a more symmetric distribution of equivalent widths is produced (i.e., line fluxes), as shown in the right panel of Fig. [C2.](#page-22-0)

These simple tests have demonstrated that our sample is consistent with a model of partial obscuration coupled to a stratified BLR (one that might or might not contain a VBLR).

## <span id="page-19-1"></span>APPENDIX D: VBC MODE SIGNIFICANCE WITH A SILVERMAN TEST OF MULTI-MODALITY

The FWHM of broad Gaussian components  $(> 800 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ <br>that were fit to the H<sub>B</sub> emission lines show a bimodal distrithat were fit to the  $H\beta$  emission lines show a bimodal distribution. The two modes appear to distinguish broad components  $(800 \text{ km/s} <$  FWHM  $< 10.000 \text{ km/s})$  and very broad components (FWHM > 10.000km s<sup>-1</sup>). Out of the 8400 Gaussian components used to fit the 2100 sources of the sample sian components used to fit the 2100 sources of the sample, 4936 (58%) can be considered broad, with  $FWHM > 800 \text{ km s}$ and 1080 (13%) are very broad components with  $FWHM >$ 



Figure B1. Top: The Gaussian line decomposition and spectra of the broad  $H\beta$  from three sources with red-asymmetric  $H\beta$  profiles. From left to right, the asymmetry indices  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{H}B}$  are: +0.22, +0.22 and +0.27. Middle: The Gaussian line decomposition and spectra of the broad Hβ from three sources with blue-asymmetric Hβ profiles. From left to right, the asymmetry indices  $\Delta \lambda_{\rm H}$  are: -0.23, -0.20 and  $-0.24$ . Bottom: The Gaussian line decomposition and spectra of the broad H $\beta$  from three sources which have one very broad Gaussian component (FWHM >  $10.000 \text{ km.s}^{-1}$ . The very broad component is marked in red.

MNRAS 000, [1–](#page-0-0)[23](#page-21-0) (2019)



Figure C1. The peak wavelength of the Gaussians used to fit the  $H\beta$  components as a function of their FWHM. The red-dotted line indicates the systemic wavelength of <sup>H</sup>β. The green dotted lines shows an empirical separation of broad and very broad Gaussian components at  $10.000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The right side of this separation<br>closely royals a sequence of your broad, mainly redshifted Gaus. clearly reveals a sequence of very broad, mainly redshifted Gaussians. The points are colour-coded according to the luminosity from each Gaussian. Each source in our sample has four points in this figure (one for each of its Gaussian).

<sup>10</sup>.000 km s. The significance of the second mode was assessed using the Silverman test of multimodality [\(Silverman](#page-17-48) [1981\)](#page-17-48). We present the outline of this test here.

• We extract all the Gaussians used in the fits of our sample with the condition: FWHM > 800 km s<sup>-1</sup> &  $\lambda_{peak}$  ≠ 4830Å &  $\lambda_{\text{peak}} \neq 4900\text{\AA}$ . This cut removes narrow Gaussians, as well as Gaussian which central wavelength was shifted to the edge of the fitting window. 4275 Gaussian components remain. We show the resulting density histogram of FWHM in Fig. [D1.](#page-22-1)

• For a range of bandwidths  $h = [0.01, 2.00]$  (step size s= 100), we performed a Gaussian kernel density estimate (KDE) of the distribution of FWHM (centered at zero and scaled to unit variance). An example probability density function (pdf) from KDE is displayed in Fig. [D1](#page-22-1) (red line). For *n* observations in our sample, the bandwidth *h* smooths the Gaussian kernel estimate as follows:

$$
\hat{f}(x,h) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-X_i)^2}{2h^2}}
$$
(D1)

• We then detect the critical bandwidths  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$ , at which new local maxima appear in the pdf, when *h* is decreased. For  $1 \le j \le n$  (where n corresponds to the num-<br>ber of observations), the critical bandwidths  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  are for-<br>mally defined as the minimum  $h$  at which the Gaussian mally defined as the minimum  $h$ , at which the Gaussian density estimate has no more then *j* maxima. In Fig. [D2,](#page-22-2) the bandwidth *h* and the corresponding number of detected local maxima *j* are displayed. Critical widths are symbolized by vertical lines.

• In order to assess the significance of a mode detection,

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

ьDAIA	Number of local maxima	p-value
0.57		0.100
0.15	2	0.001
0.14	3	0.008
0.13	5	0.041
0.12		0.095
.	.	.

Table D1. p-values for mode detections for decreasing critical bandwidths.

we follow [Fischer et al.](#page-16-84) [\(1994\)](#page-16-84) and set up a null hypothesis test, which is based on the observation that the number of modes of  $\hat{f}(x)$  decreases with increasing bandwidth *h*. If *k* is the true number of modes:

> Null hypothesis  $H_0: k = j$ Alternative  $H_1 : k \ge j + 1$  for  $j = 1, 2...$

<span id="page-21-1"></span>For each *h*<sup>DATA</sup> detected in our data, we perform a Gaus-<br>in kernel density estimate on 100 smoothed bootstranned sian kernel density estimate on 100 smoothed bootstrapped samples, using  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  as bandwidth. These resamples are<br>obtained by sampling smoothed **FWHM**, using bootstrapobtained, by sampling smoothed FWHMs, using bootstrapping (with replacement). The exact computation of FWHMs is as follows:

$$
\text{FWHM}_{\text{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + h_{\text{c},j}^2/\sigma^2}} \left( \text{FWHM}_{\text{r}} + h_{\text{c},j} \epsilon \right) \tag{D2}
$$

were FWHM<sub>r</sub> are resampled FWHM,  $\sigma$ , the standard deviation of the bootstrapped sample and  $\epsilon$  is a random standard variable. For the null-hypothesis test [Silverman](#page-17-48) [\(1981\)](#page-17-48) motivates a p-value defined for a given number of maxima j as:

$$
p = P\{h_{c,j}^{\text{NEW}} > h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}\} = P\{\hat{f}(x, h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}})\text{ has } > j \text{ modes}\},\tag{D3}
$$

where  $h_{c,j}^{\text{NEW}}$  is a the critical value for j maxima derived<br>we the geographic  $h_{c}$ <sup>DATA</sup> the initial width from from the resamples,  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  the initial critical width from<br>the data,  $\hat{\ell}_{(a)}$  to DATA) the learnal density estimate of the rethe data,  $\hat{f}(x, h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}})$  the kernel density estimate of the re-<br>complex using  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  as exities) density. The pull hypothesis samples using  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  as critical density. The null hypothesis<br>is priorited if  $\text{D}^{\text{DATA}}$ ,  $\text{D}^{\text{ATA}}$ ,  $\text{D}^{\text{ATA}}$ , and  $\text{D}^{\text{ATA}}$ , and is rejected if  $P\{h_{\text{c},j}^{\text{NEW}} > h_{\text{c},j}^{\text{DATA}}\} < \alpha$ . For each  $h_{\text{c},j}^{\text{DATA}}$ , and<br>thus each mode detection, we compute a p-value. We assess thus each mode detection, we compute a p-value. We assess how many times the density estimates with fixed bandwidths of the 100 resamples have at most *j* detected modes. The ratio of these realizations and the total number of simulations are taken as p-value. The resulting p-values are listed in Table [D1.](#page-21-0)

• Following [Silverman](#page-17-48) [\(1981\)](#page-17-48), we read this table as hierarchical successive significance test. As soon as for a new *j*, the p-values drop below an  $\alpha$  significance threshold, we interpret the detection of *j* modes as significant. From Table [D1,](#page-21-0) if we adopt a significance threshold  $\alpha = 0.05$ , it appears that the bimodality of the distribution of our Gaussian components FWHM is significant, i.e. the very broad mode of Gaussian components is significant.



Figure C2. Left panel: The equivalent width of the broad  $H\beta$  emission as a function of its asymmetry Index. The blue and red density contours are included to improve the visualisation of the red- and blue asymmetric sub-samples. An offset between the two populations is observed. The horizontal red (blue) line mark the mean of the bootstrapped mean <sup>W</sup>(Hβ) of the the red- (blue-)ward asymmetric sources. The red and blue, horizontal dashed lines correspond to the  $3\sigma$  confidence contours, as derived from the percentile method at 0.13% and 99.87% of the sampling distribution of the mean. Right panel: Same format as on the left. The blue and purple contours respectively represent the sources with blue- and red-asymmetric H $\beta$ , for which the Gaussian models had FWHM < 10.000 km s<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure D1. Density of standardadised Gaussian component FWHM.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

<span id="page-22-2"></span><span id="page-22-1"></span>Figure  $D2$ . Number of local maxima k of the estimated pdf as a function of the smoothing  $h$ , the bandwidth of the Gaussian KDE. The critical values  $h_{c,j}^{\text{DATA}}$  are marked as vertical lines.