

Lorentz Violation and Partons

Nathan Sherrill

Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

A parton-model description of high-energy hadronic interactions in the presence of Lorentz violation is presented. This approach is used to study lepton–hadron and hadron–hadron interactions at large momentum transfer. Cross sections for deep inelastic scattering and the Drell–Yan process are calculated at first order for minimal and nonminimal Lorentz violation. Estimated bounds are placed using existing LHC and future US-based Electron–Ion Collider data.

1. Introduction

The effective-field-theory framework to search for potential signals of Lorentz and CPT violation is known as the Standard-Model Extension (SME).^{1,2} Despite numerous bounds that have been placed,³ the QCD sector of the SME is relatively unexplored both experimentally and phenomenologically. This work, which is based on Ref. 4, describes a method for accessing some of this terrain from high-energy hadronic processes.

2. Description

In the presence of spin-independent Lorentz-violating effects on quarks, the dispersion relation is modified from the conventional one $k^2 = m^2$ and reads⁵

$$k^2 - F(k^\mu, m, \hat{Q}) \equiv \tilde{k}^2 = m^2. \quad (1)$$

In general, the function F depends on the coefficients for Lorentz violation \hat{Q} , which are associated with operators of arbitrary mass dimension. To lowest order in electroweak interactions, partons (quarks) of momentum k^μ may be approximated as on-shell and massless, $k^2 = 0$, leading in the conventional case to the parameterization of the parton momentum as a fraction of the hadron momentum, $k^\mu = \xi p^\mu$. This parameterization, however, is inconsistent with Eq. (1); instead, the choice $\tilde{k}^\mu = \xi p^\mu$ satisfies the conditions of interest. The implications of this parameterization are studied for minimal c -type and nonminimal $a^{(5)}$ -type quark coefficients, which

were first studied in Refs. 6, 7, respectively, for the process of deep inelastic scattering (DIS).

2.1. Deep inelastic scattering

The DIS process $l + H \rightarrow l' + X$ describes a lepton l delivering a large momentum transfer $-q^2$ upon scattering from a hadron H producing a hadronic final state X . In the DIS limit $-q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ with $x = -q^2/(2p \cdot q)$ fixed, where p is the hadron momentum, the on-shell and massless limit of Eq. (1) yields the differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy d\phi} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2q^4} \sum_f e_f^2 \frac{1}{-\tilde{q}_f^2} L_{\mu\nu} H_f^{\mu\nu} f_f(\tilde{x}_f, c_f^{pp}), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mu\nu} H_f^{\mu\nu} = & 8 \left[2(\widehat{k}_f \cdot l)(\widehat{k}_f \cdot l') + \widehat{k}_f \cdot (l - l')(l \cdot l') - 2(l \cdot l') c_f^{\widehat{k}_f \widehat{k}_f} \right. \\ & \left. + 2(\widehat{k}_f \cdot l) \left(c_f^{\widehat{k}_f l'} + c_f^{l' \widehat{k}_f} - c_f^{l' l'} \right) + 2(\widehat{k}_f \cdot l') \left(c_f^{\widehat{k}_f l} + c_f^{l \widehat{k}_f} + c_f^{ll} \right) \right], \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

with $\widehat{k}_f^\mu \equiv \tilde{x}_f(p^\mu - c_f^{\mu p})$, $q_f^\mu = (\eta^{\mu\nu} + c_f^{\mu\nu})q_\nu$, and $y = (p \cdot q)/(p \cdot k)$. The shifted Bjorken variable $x_f = x \left(1 + 2c_f^{qq}/q^2 \right) + (x^2/q^2) \left(c_f^{pq} + c_f^{qp} \right)$ to first order in $c_f^{\mu\nu}$. The cross section for the quark $a^{(5)}$ -type coefficients is given by Eq. (61) in Ref. 7, which is also consistent with Eq. (1) with the proton coefficients $a_p^{(5)\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$. Results for both cases are also consistent with the electromagnetic Ward identity and the operator product expansion.

2.2. The Drell–Yan process

Applying the parameterization Eq. (1) to the Drell–Yan process $H_1 + H_2 \rightarrow l_1 + l_2 + X$ gives the total cross section in the center-of-mass frame for the c -type coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = & \frac{2\alpha^2}{3s} \frac{1}{Q^4} \int d\Omega_l \frac{d\xi_1}{\xi_1} \frac{d\xi_2}{\xi_2} \sum_f e_f^2 \left[(\tilde{k}_1 \cdot l_1)(\tilde{k}_2 \cdot l_2) + (\tilde{k}_1 \cdot l_2)(\tilde{k}_2 \cdot l_1) \right. \\ & + (\tilde{k}_1 \cdot l_1) \left(c_f^{\tilde{k}_2 l_2} + c_f^{l_2 \tilde{k}_2} \right) + (\tilde{k}_1 \cdot l_2) \left(c_f^{\tilde{k}_2 l_1} + c_f^{l_1 \tilde{k}_2} \right) + (\tilde{k}_2 \cdot l_1) \left(c_f^{\tilde{k}_1 l_2} + c_f^{l_2 \tilde{k}_1} \right) \\ & \left. + (\tilde{k}_2 \cdot l_2) \left(c_f^{\tilde{k}_1 l_1} + c_f^{l_1 \tilde{k}_1} \right) - (\tilde{k}_1 \cdot \tilde{k}_2) \left(c_f^{l_1 l_2} + c_f^{l_2 l_1} \right) - (l_1 \cdot l_2) \left(c_f^{\tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} + c_f^{\tilde{k}_2 \tilde{k}_1} \right) \right] \\ & \times \left(f_f(\xi_1, c_f^{p_1 p_1}) f_{\bar{f}}(\xi_2, c_f^{p_2 p_2}) + f_f(\xi_2, c_f^{p_2 p_2}) f_{\bar{f}}(\xi_1, c_f^{p_1 p_1}) \right). \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{k}_i^\mu = \xi_i p_i^\mu$ for $i = 1, 2$. As with DIS, the $a^{(5)}$ -type quark coefficients yield a similar expression. The Ward identity is also satisfied in both cases.

3. Results

Using existing data from the LHC⁸ and pseudodata for the future Electron–Ion Collider (EIC),⁹ the best estimated limits for the equivalent coefficient combinations in the Sun-centered celestial-equatorial frame are shown in Table 1. These results suggest the c -type coefficients are more sensitive to

Table 1. Comparison of u -quark coefficients between the EIC and LHC. Bounds are reported in units of 10^{-5} and 10^{-6}GeV^{-1} for minimal and nonminimal coefficients, respectively.

	EIC	LHC
$ c_u^{XX} - c_u^{YY} $	0.74	15
$ c_u^{XY} $	0.26	2.7
$ c_u^{XZ} $	0.23	7.3
$ c_u^{YZ} $	0.23	7.1
$ a_{Su}^{(5)TXX} - a_{Su}^{(5)TYY} $	0.15 GeV ⁻¹	0.015 GeV ⁻¹
$ a_{Su}^{(5)TXY} $	0.12 GeV ⁻¹	0.0027 GeV ⁻¹
$ a_{Su}^{(5)TXZ} $	0.13 GeV ⁻¹	0.0072 GeV ⁻¹
$ a_{Su}^{(5)TYZ} $	0.13 GeV ⁻¹	0.0070 GeV ⁻¹

lepton–hadron colliders, whereas $a^{(5)}$ -type coefficients are more sensitive to hadron–hadron colliders.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under grant no. DE-SC0010120, the Indiana University Space Grant Consortium, and by the Indiana University Center for Spacetime Symmetries.

References

1. D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997); Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).
2. V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
3. *Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation*, V.A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 11 (2011); 2019 edition arXiv:0801.0287.
4. V.A. Kostelecký, E. Lunghi, N. Sherrill, and A.R. Vieira, arXiv:1911.04002.
5. V.A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 096006 (2013).
6. V.A. Kostelecký, E. Lunghi, and A.R. Vieira, Phys. Lett. B **769**, 272 (2017).
7. V.A. Kostelecký and Z. Li, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 056016 (2019).
8. CMS Collaboration, A.M. Sirunyan *et al.*, arXiv:1812.10529.
9. E. Lunghi and N. Sherrill, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 115018 (2018).