Planar wiggler as a tool for generating hard twisted photons

O.V. Bogdanov^{1),2)}^{*}, P.O. Kazinski¹⁾[†], and G.Yu. Lazarenko^{1),2)‡}

1) Physics Faculty, Tomsk State University, Tomsk 634050, Russia

2) Division for Mathematics and Computer Sciences,

Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk 634050, Russia

Abstract

Simple formulas for the probability of radiation of twisted photons by scalar and Dirac particles with quantum recoil taken into account are derived. We show that the quantum recoil does not spoil the selection rule for the forward radiation of twisted photons in the planar undulator: $m + n$ is an even number, where n is the harmonic number and m is the projection of the total angular momentum of the radiated twisted photon. The explicit formulas for the radiation probability of twisted photons produced in the planar wiggler are obtained with account for the quantum recoil. The radiation of twisted photons by GeV electrons in the planar wiggler and in the crystalline undulator is investigated.

Introduction

A rigorous definition of twisted photons in QED is as follows: the twisted photons are the quanta of the electromagnetic field with the definite energy k_0 , the momentum projection k_3 , the projection of the total angular momentum m on the same axis, and the helicity s . We will call the axis that appears in the definition of twisted photons as the detector axis. The mass-shell condition for such photons reads as $k_0 = (k_3^2 + k_\perp^2)^{1/2}$. In the paraxial approximation, $n_{\perp} := k_{\perp}/k_0 \ll 1$, the projection of the orbital angular momentum l is defined. It is related to the helicity and the total angular momentum as $l = m - s$. By its definition, the projection of angular momentum changes under the shifts of the axis with respect to which it is defined. In performing such a shift, a photon state with the definite projection of the total angular momentum m passes into a superposition of twisted states with all the possible projections m [\[1\]](#page-5-0).

Due to peculiar properties of twisted photons stemming from the fact that they are quanta with the definite projection of angular momentum m , the twisted electromagnetic waves provide new instruments for study and solution of fundamental and technical problems. For example, the twisted photons were used in telecommunication to increase the capacity of a channel by employing the projection of angular momentum as an additional quantum number that carries information [\[2\]](#page-5-1). In microscopy, the use of twisted photons resulted in overcoming the diffraction limit [\[3\]](#page-5-2). In astrophysics, the twisted photons were used to perform a high-contrast coronagraph [\[4\]](#page-5-3). The optical tweezers based on twisted photons were employed to manipulate nanoparticles [\[5,](#page-5-4) [6\]](#page-5-5). The peculiarities of interaction of twisted photons with atoms were investigated in many papers (see, e.g., [\[7](#page-5-6)[–10\]](#page-5-7)). As for interaction of twisted photons with nuclei, we refer to the works [\[11,](#page-5-8) [12\]](#page-5-9).

The simplest means to create twisted electromagnetic wave is to convert an ordinary plane-wave radiation to a twisted one by employing the holographic or phase plates [\[9\]](#page-5-10). However, this approach is unapplicable for production of hard twisted photons in the x-ray and gamma spectral ranges. The pioneering theoretical proposals for generation of hard twisted photons were based on the use of inverse Compton scattering [\[13–](#page-5-11) [15\]](#page-5-12), its nonrelativistic limit [\[11,](#page-5-8) [16\]](#page-5-13), and channeling [\[17,](#page-6-0) [18\]](#page-6-1). The charges moving along helical trajectories provide a pure source of twisted photons with definite projection of the total angular momentum [\[19–](#page-6-2)[26\]](#page-6-3). This observation was confirmed experimentally in the radiation of helical undulators [\[22,](#page-6-4) [27,](#page-6-5) [28\]](#page-6-6). There are other ways to produce hard twisted photons. For example, they can be generated in irradiating a plasma by intense laser beams [\[29\]](#page-6-7) and in the transition and Vavilov-Cherenkov radiations [\[30\]](#page-6-8). Recently, modifying the well-known Baier-Katkov method [\[31,](#page-6-9) [32\]](#page-6-10), the general theory of radiation of twisted photons with account for the quantum recoil was developed for both scalar and Dirac particles [\[26\]](#page-6-3). In particular, it was shown there

[∗]E-mail: bov@tpu.ru

[†]E-mail: kpo@phys.tsu.ru

 $^\ddag\mathrm{E}\textrm{-}\mathrm{mail:}\$ laz@phys.tsu.ru

that MeV twisted photons can be generated by 180 GeV electrons in the helical wiggler and by 51.1 MeV electrons evolving in the laser wave produced by the free-electron laser with photon energy 1 keV. Sufficiently strong electromagnetic fields of $CO₂$ and Ti:Sa lasers can be employed for production of keV twisted photons by 256 MeV electrons.

Modern detectors of twisted photons have a rather compact form [\[33,](#page-6-11) [34\]](#page-6-12) and are applicable in a quite wide spectral range. The impressive achievements were reached in the methods of sorting electromagnetic radiation by the states with definite projection of the total angular momentum. Nowadays, they allow one to discriminate the projections of angular momentum within the range $|l_{max}| \leq 30$ [\[35\]](#page-6-13). Such a wide resolution of the twisted photon detectors can be used for detailed analysis of the matter structure by twisted photons. It should be noted, however, that the design of twisted photon sorters in the x-ray and gamma ranges is still an open problem [\[36\]](#page-6-14). As for the x-ray photons with definite projection of the orbital angular momentum, a triangle aperture can be used as the simplest detector [\[37,](#page-6-15) [38\]](#page-7-0).

2 Constant energy approximation

We use in this paper the system of units such that $\hbar = 1, c = 1$, and $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha$, where $\alpha \approx 1/137$ is the fine structure constant. To describe the radiation of twisted photons, it is convenient to introduce the basis

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\pm} := \mathbf{e}_1 \pm i \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \mathbf{e}_3,\tag{1}
$$

where ${e_1, e_2, e_3}$ is a right-handed orthonormal triple and e_3 is directed along the detector axis. Any vector can be written as

$$
\mathbf{r} = r_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{1}{2} (r_+ \mathbf{e}_- + r_- \mathbf{e}_+), \quad r_{\pm} = (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{e}_{\pm}), \quad r_3 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_3). \tag{2}
$$

In the paper [\[26\]](#page-6-3), the formulas were obtained for the probability of radiation of twisted photons by relativistic charged particles with the quantum recoil taken into account. These formulas are the analog of semiclassical formulas for the probability of radiation of plane-wave photons with the quantum recoil [\[31,](#page-6-9) [32\]](#page-6-10). For the charged scalar particle, we have

$$
dP(s,m,k_{\perp},k_{3}) = e^{2} \Big| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i[k_{0}-k_{\perp}^{2}/(2P_{0i})]q_{i}t + iq_{i}k_{3}x_{3}} q^{1/2}(t) \Big(\frac{1}{2} \big[\dot{x}_{+}a_{-} + \dot{x}_{-}a_{+}\big] + \dot{x}_{3}a_{3}\Big) \Big|^{2} \Big(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_{0}}\Big)^{3} \frac{dk_{3}dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^{2}}.
$$
\n(3)

where $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is found by solving the Lorentz equations for a charged particle in the given electromagnetic field and t is the laboratory time. The trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ describes approximately the motion of the center of particle's wave-packet. Also

$$
P_0(t) := m_e \gamma(t), \qquad P'_0(t) := P_0(t) - k_0, \qquad q(t) := P_0(t) / P'_0(t), \tag{4}
$$

where m_e is the electron mass and $\gamma(t)$ is the Lorentz factor. Besides, $q_i = P_{0i}/P'_{0i} = const$, where P_{0i} is the initial energy of the particle. The notation has been introduced:

$$
a_3 \equiv a_3(m, k_3, k_\perp; \mathbf{x}) = J_m(k_\perp | x_+ |) e^{im \arg(x_+)} =: j_m(k_\perp x_+, k_\perp x_-),
$$

\n
$$
a_\pm \equiv a_\pm(s, m, k_\perp; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{ik_\perp}{sk_0 \pm k_3} j_{m \pm 1}(k_\perp x_+, k_\perp x_-).
$$
\n(5)

As far as the Dirac fermions are concerned, the formula is written as

$$
dP(s,m,k_{\perp},k_{3}) = e^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt_{1}dt_{2}}{4P'_{01}P'_{02}} e^{-i(k_{0}-k_{\perp}^{2}/(2P_{0i}))q_{i}(t_{2}-t_{1})+ik_{3}q_{i}(x_{23}-x_{13})} \times
$$

\n
$$
\times \left\{ (P_{01}+P'_{01})(P_{02}+P'_{02})(\frac{1}{2}[\dot{x}_{1}-a_{-}^{*}+\dot{x}_{1}+a_{+}^{*}]+\dot{x}_{13}a_{3}^{*})(\frac{1}{2}[\dot{x}_{2}+a_{-}+\dot{x}_{2}-a_{+}]+\dot{x}_{23}a_{3}) + \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{4} [(\dot{x}_{1}+a_{+}^{*}-in_{\perp}a_{+}^{*}(m-1))(\dot{x}_{2}-a_{+}+in_{\perp}a_{+}(m-1))++(\dot{x}_{1}-a_{-}^{*}+in_{\perp}a_{-}^{*}(m+1))(\dot{x}_{2}+a_{-}-in_{\perp}a_{-}(m+1))] \left\} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_{0}}\right)^{3} \frac{dk_{3}dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^{2}},
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

where $n_{\perp} := k_{\perp}/k_0$, $n_3 := (1 - n_{\perp}^2)^{1/2}$, and, for brevity, we denote

$$
a_{\pm} \equiv a_{\pm}(s, m, k_3, k_{\perp}; \mathbf{x}_2), \qquad a_3 \equiv a_3(s, m, k_{\perp}; \mathbf{x}_2), a_{\pm}^* \equiv a_{\pm}^*(s, m, k_3, k_{\perp}; \mathbf{x}_1), \qquad a_3^* \equiv a_3^*(s, m, k_{\perp}; \mathbf{x}_1).
$$
\n(7)

In formula [\(6\)](#page-1-0), only those arguments of the mode functions are indicated that differ from [\(7\)](#page-1-1) and $\mathbf{x}_{1,2}$:= $\mathbf{x}(t_{1,2})$. These formulas were derived in [\[26\]](#page-6-3) under the assumptions

$$
k_0/P_0 \lesssim 1, \qquad |\dot{x}_\pm| \sim \varkappa/\gamma, \qquad |\dot{x}_3| \approx 1, \qquad |\dot{x}_3 - n_3| \lesssim \varkappa^2/\gamma^2, \qquad |n_\perp| \lesssim \varkappa/\gamma, \qquad n_3 \approx 1, \qquad (8)
$$

where $\varkappa = \max(1, K), K = \langle \beta_{\perp} \rangle \gamma$ is the undulator strength parameter [\[24,](#page-6-16) [39\]](#page-7-1). It is that region of parameters where the main part of radiation of a relativistic particle is concentrated.

Formulas [\(3\)](#page-1-2), [\(6\)](#page-1-0) simplify in the case when the energy of a particle, $P_0(t)$, is constant or its change is negligible on the radiation formation scale. In the leading order in \varkappa/γ , the expression entering into the radiation amplitude for a scalar particle [\(3\)](#page-1-2) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{x}_{+} a_{-} + \dot{x}_{-} a_{+} \right] + \dot{x}_{3} a_{3} \approx j_{m} + \frac{s i \dot{x}_{s}}{n_{\perp}} j_{m-s}.
$$
\n(9)

Then formula [\(3\)](#page-1-2) for a scalar particle is reduced to

$$
dP(s,m,k_{\perp},k_3) = q_i e^2 \Big| \int dt e^{-i(k_0 - k_{\perp}^2/(2P_{0i}))q_i t + ik_3 q_i x_3} \Big(a_3 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_s a_{-s}\Big)\Big|^2 \Big(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_0}\Big)^3 \frac{dk_3 dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^2}.
$$
 (10)

In the case of a Dirac particle, the spin contributions have the form

$$
\dot{x}_{\mp}a_{\pm} \pm in_{\perp}a_{\pm}(m \mp 1) \approx (s \mp 1) \left(\frac{i\dot{x}_{\mp}}{n_{\perp}}j_{m \pm 1} \mp j_m\right),\tag{11}
$$

in the leading order in \varkappa/γ . Only one of the two terms survives for any given value of the helicity s. As a result, the sum of these contributions can be written as

$$
dP_a(s,m,k_{\perp},k_3) = e^2 \frac{(1-q_i)^2}{4} \Big| \int dt e^{-i(k_0 - k_{\perp}^2/(2P_{0i}))q_i t + ik_3 q_i x_3} \Big(a_3 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_s a_{-s}\Big)\Big|^2 \Big(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_0}\Big)^3 \frac{dk_3 dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^2}.
$$
 (12)

The main contribution to [\(6\)](#page-1-0) is obtained from [\(10\)](#page-2-0) by replacing the common factor q_i by $(1+q_i)^2/4$. Adding the spin contributions [\(12\)](#page-2-1) to the main one, we arrive at

$$
dP(s,m,k_{\perp},k_3) = \frac{1+q_i^2}{2}e^2\Big|\int dt e^{-i(k_0-k_{\perp}^2/(2P_{0i}))q_i t + ik_3 q_i x_3} \big(a_3 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_s a_{-s}\big)\Big|^2 \Big(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_0}\Big)^3 \frac{dk_3 dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^2}.
$$
 (13)

The validity of the derived formulas is confirmed by the particular examples considered in [\[24,](#page-6-16) [26,](#page-6-3) [40\]](#page-7-2). We see that, in this approximation, the radiation probability is a modulus squared of the radiation amplitude taking into account the quantum recoil. This amplitude can be used describe the coherent effects in the radiation of beams of charged particles [\[30,](#page-6-8) [41,](#page-7-3) [42\]](#page-7-4) caused by their transverse structure.

3 Planar Wiggler

As an application of the above formulas, let us consider the radiation of a charged particle in a planar undulator or in a laser wave with linear polarization. In those cases, the charged particle moves along the z axis uniformly and rectilinearly with the velocity $\beta_{\parallel} \approx 1$ for $t < -TN/2$ and $t > TN/2$. As for $t \in [-TN/2, TN/2]$, the particle evolves along the trajectory (see, e.g, [\[39\]](#page-7-1))

$$
x_3 = \beta_{\parallel} t - \frac{\beta_{\parallel} K^2}{4\omega \gamma^2} \sin(2\omega t), \quad x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2} \beta_{\parallel} K}{\gamma \omega} \sin(\omega t), \tag{14}
$$

where t is the laboratory time, $T = 2\pi/\omega$ is the oscillation period, and $N \gg 1$ is the number of periods (the number of sections in the undulator). In fact, the second term in $x_3(t)$ can be neglected under the assumptions [\(8\)](#page-2-2). The trajectory is joined continuously at the end-points of the interval $[-TN/2, TN/2]$. The undulators in the wiggler regime correspond to $K \gtrsim 1$ and $K/\gamma \ll 1$ (see for details, e.g., [\[39\]](#page-7-1)).

Performing the calculations along the lines of [\[24\]](#page-6-16), where the radiation of twisted photons in planar undulators without the quantum recoil was considered, we deduce the radiation amplitude

$$
A = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_N \left[k_0 q_i (1 - k_0 n_\perp^2 / (2P_{0i})) - q_i k_3 \beta_{\parallel} - \omega n \right] \left(f_{n,m} - \frac{K}{\sqrt{2\gamma n_\perp}} \left(f_{n+1,m-s} + f_{n-1,m-s} \right) \right),\tag{15}
$$

Figure 1: The forward radiation of twisted photons by 180 GeV unpolarized electrons in the planar wiggler. The seventh harmonic is presented. The wiggler period is 0.72 cm, the number of periods $N = 15$, and the magnetic field strength in the wiggler is 63 kG. The quantum recoil reduces the energy of radiated photons by 1.38 keV in comparison with the classical formula. The ratio of probabilities [\(13\)](#page-2-3) and [\(10\)](#page-2-0) calculated by the use of formulas [\(3\)](#page-1-2), [\(6\)](#page-1-0) is constant over the whole domain of the variables k_0 and m. It is equal to $(1+q_i^2)/(2q_i) =: 1 + \eta$. The main plot: The distribution over m of incoherently radiated twisted photons by the axially symmetric Gaussian bunch of particles with the transverse size $\sigma_{\perp} = 125 \mu m$ (see the details in [\[41,](#page-7-3) [42\]](#page-7-4)). The parameter ℓ is the projection of the total angular momentum of radiated photons per photon. It coincides with the same quantity for the radiation by one particle (right inset) in accordance with the theorem proved in [\[41\]](#page-7-3). The left inset: The probability to record a twisted photon produced by electrons in the wiggler against the photon energy. The photon energy is measured in the electron rest energies. The right inset: The probability distribution over m of radiated twisted photons by the electron moving along the center of the bunch. The selection rule $m + n$ is an even number is fulfilled for $n = 7$.

where

$$
\delta_N[x_n] := \int_{-TN/2}^{+TN/2} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{-itx_n} = \frac{\sin(TNx_n/2)}{\pi x_n},\tag{16}
$$

and

$$
f_{n,m} = \pi (1 + (-1)^{n+m}) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k J_k \left(q_i \frac{\beta_{\parallel} K^2 k_0}{4\omega \gamma^2} \right) J_{(n-m)/2-k} \left(\frac{\beta_{\parallel} K k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2} \omega \gamma} \right) J_{(n+m)/2-k} \left(\frac{\beta_{\parallel} K k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2} \omega \gamma} \right). \tag{17}
$$

As in the case of radiation without the quantum recoil [\[24\]](#page-6-16), it follows from formulas [\(17\)](#page-3-0) and [\(15\)](#page-2-4) that the selection rule $m + n$ is an even number is obeyed.

In the general case, the energy spectrum of radiated photons following from [\(15\)](#page-2-4) has a rather awkward form [\[26\]](#page-6-3). Nevertheless, neglecting the term $k_0 n_\perp^2/(2P_0)$ in the argument of the delta function, we come to

$$
k_0 = \frac{n\omega}{1 - n_3\beta_{\parallel} + n\omega/P_{0i}} \iff \frac{1}{k_0} = \frac{1}{\bar{k}_0} + \frac{1}{P_{0i}},\tag{18}
$$

where \bar{k}_0 is the energy of radiated photons without the quantum recoil. It is seen from this expression that the energy of radiated photons cannot exceed the energy of a radiating particle.

As a result, the probability of radiation of a twisted photon by a charged scalar particle is

$$
dP(s, m, k_{\perp}, k_3) = q_i e^2 \delta_N^2 \left[k_0 q_i (1 - k_0 n_{\perp}^2 / (2P_{0i})) - q_i k_3 \beta_{\parallel} - \omega n \right] \times \left| f_{n,m} - \frac{K}{\sqrt{2} \gamma n_{\perp}} \left(f_{n+1,m-s} + f_{n-1,m-s} \right) \right|^2 \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_0} \right)^3 \frac{dk_3 dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^2}.
$$
\n
$$
(19)
$$

As for the Dirac particle, we find

$$
dP(s, m, k_{\perp}, k_{3}) = \frac{1 + q_{i}^{2}}{2} e^{2} \delta_{N}^{2} \left[k_{0} q_{i} (1 - k_{0} n_{\perp}^{2} / (2P_{0i})) - q_{i} k_{3} \beta_{\parallel} - \omega n \right] \times \times \left| f_{n,m} - \frac{K}{\sqrt{2} \gamma n_{\perp}} \left(f_{n+1,m-s} + f_{n-1,m-s} \right) \right|^{2} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{2k_{0}} \right)^{3} \frac{dk_{3} dk_{\perp}}{2\pi^{2}}.
$$
\n(20)

Figure 2: The forward radiation of twisted photons by 2.56 GeV unpolarized electrons in the crystalline undulator. The second harmonic is presented. The trajectory has the form [\(14\)](#page-2-5) with $\omega = 2\pi\beta_{\parallel}/\lambda_0$, the period of crystalline undulator is $\lambda_0 = 23 \mu m$, the amplitude of oscillations of electron's trajectory is $a = 1$ nm. The number of sections is $N = 15$. These parameters are taken from [\[45\]](#page-7-5). The quantum recoil reduces the energy of radiated photons by 1.39 keV in comparison with the classical formula. The ratio of probabilities [\(13\)](#page-2-3) and [\(10\)](#page-2-0) calculated by the use of formulas [\(3\)](#page-1-2), [\(6\)](#page-1-0) is constant over the whole domain of the variables k_0 and m. It is equal to $(1+q_i^2)/(2q_i) = 1+\eta$. The main plot: The distribution over m of incoherently radiated twisted photons by the axially symmetric Gaussian bunch of particles with the transverse size $\sigma_{\perp} = 125 \mu m$. The projection of the total angular momentum of radiated photons per photon ℓ coincides with the same quantity for the radiation by one particle. The left inset: The difference between the probability [\(13\)](#page-2-3) and the same quantity given by the classical formula [\[24\]](#page-6-16) against the photon energy. The photon energy is measured in the electron rest energies. The right inset: The probability distribution over m of radiated twisted photons by the electron moving along the center of the bunch. The selection rule $m + n$ is an even number is fulfilled for $n=2$.

The probability of radiation of twisted photons by a beam of relativistic particles can easily be obtained from (19) , (20) by employing the formulas presented in [\[30,](#page-6-8) [41,](#page-7-3) [42\]](#page-7-4).

The coherent radiation of helically microbunched beams of charged particles is concentrated at the harmonics [\[42\]](#page-7-4)

$$
k_0 = 2\pi \chi n_c \beta_3 / \delta, \qquad \chi n_c > 0, \ n_c \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{21}
$$

stemming from the periodic structure of the beam. Here the undulator radiation of the beam is considered, n_c is the number of the coherent harmonic, χ specifies the handedness of the bunch, and δ is the helix pitch in the laboratory reference frame. For the coherent radiation of such a beam, the strong addition rule is fulfilled [\[42\]](#page-7-4). Namely, the spectrum of twisted photons over m produced at the n_c -th coherent harmonic is shifted by n_c with respect to the radiation spectrum of twisted photons over m produced by one particle moving along the beam center. As a result, the projection of the total angular momentum per photon, ℓ_{ρ} , can be increased as $\ell_{\rho} = \ell_1 + \chi n_c$, where ℓ_1 is the projection of the total angular momentum per photon for the radiation generated by one particle moving along the beam center. The helically microbunched beams of charged particles were already used in experiments for generation of twisted photons with orbital angular momentum [\[43\]](#page-7-6). The details of experimental techniques used for imprinting the helical structure on the beam can be found in [\[44\]](#page-7-7).

As the particular examples we consider the radiation of twisted photons by the planar wiggler Fig. [1](#page-3-3) and crystalline undulator Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The ratio of the radiation probability for the Dirac particle and the same quantity for the scalar one coincides perfectly with $(1+q_i^2)/(2q_i)$. The selection rule $m+n$ is an even number is satisfied.

4 Conclusion

Let us briefly recapitulate the results. Starting from the general formulas for the radiation probability of twisted photons with quantum recoil taken into account that were derived in [\[26\]](#page-6-3), we obtained simpler formulas under the assumptions that the radiated photons are paraxial and the energy of a charged particle

as it is given by the Lorentz equations can be regarded as a constant. The radiation of both scalar and Dirac charged particles was investigated. As an application of these general formulas, the explicit formulas for the radiation probability of twisted photons by charged particles in planar undulators were deduced. It was proved that the selection rule established in [\[24\]](#page-6-16) for the radiation of twisted photons by charged particles in planar undulators when the quantum recoil is discarded holds also in the case when this recoil is included into the theory. The numerical simulations for the radiation of twisted photons in the planar wiggler and the crystalline undulator showed a good agreement with the general formulas derived.

Acknowledgments. The work is supported by the RFBR grant 20-32-70023.

References

- [1] M. P. J. Lavery et al., Measurement of the light orbital angular momentum spectrum using an optical geometric transformation, J. Opt. 13, 064006 (2011).
- [2] Y. Yan, G. Xie, M. P. J. Lavery, H. Huang, N. Ahmed, C. Bao, Y. Cao, L. Li, Z. Zhao, A. F. Molisch, M. Tur, M.J. Padgett, A. E. Willner, High-capacity millimetre-wave communications with orbital angular momentum multiplexing, Nat. Commun. 5, 4876 (2014).
- [3] F. Tamburini, G. Anzolin, G. Umbriaco, A. Bianchini, C. Barbieri, Overcoming the Rayleigh criterion limit with optical vortices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 163903 (2006).
- [4] D. Mawet, E. Serabyn, K. Liewer, R. Burruss, J. Hickey, D. Shemo, The vector vortex coronagraph: laboratory results and first light at Palomar observatory, Astrophys. J. 709, 53 (2009).
- [5] D. G. Grier, A revolution in optical manipulation, Nature 424, 810 (2003).
- [6] Y. Ma, G. Rui, B. Gu, Y. Cui, Trapping and manipulation of nanoparticles using multifocal optical vortex metalens, Sci. Rep. 7, 14611 (2017).
- [7] O. Matula et al., Atomic ionization of hydrogen-like ions by twisted photons: angular distribution of emitted electrons, J. Phys. B 46, 205002 (2013).
- [8] H. M. Scholz-Marggraf, S. Fritzsche, V. G. Serbo, A. Afanasev, A. Surzhykov, Absorption of twisted light by hydrogenlike atoms, Phys. Rev. A 90, 013425 (2014).
- [9] B. A. Knyazev, V. G. Serbo, Beams of photons with nonzero projections of orbital angular momenta: New results, Phys.-Usp. 61, 449 (2018).
- [10] M. Solyanik-Gorgone, A. Afanasev, C. E. Carlson, C. T. Schmiegelow, F. Schmidt-Kaler, Excitation of E1-forbidden atomic transitions with electric, magnetic, or mixed multipolarity in light fields carrying orbital and spin angular momentum [Invited], J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 36, 565 (2019).
- [11] Y. Taira, T. Hayakawa, M. Katoh, Gamma-ray vortices from nonlinear inverse Thomson scattering of circularly polarized light, Sci. Rep. 7, 5018 (2017).
- [12] A. Afanasev , V. G. Serbo, M, Solyanik, Radiative capture of cold neutrons by protons and deuteron photodisintegration with twisted beams, J. Phys. G 45, 055102 (2018).
- [13] U. D. Jentschura, V. G. Serbo, Generation of high-energy photons with large orbital angular momentum by Compton backscattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 013001 (2011).
- [14] U. D. Jentschura, V. G. Serbo, Compton upconversion of twisted photons: Backscattering of particles with non-planar wave functions, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1571 (2011).
- [15] Y.-Y. Chen, J.-X. Li, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, C. H. Keitel, γ-ray beams with large orbital angular momentum via nonlinear Compton scattering with radiation reaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 074801 (2018).
- [16] Y. Taira, M. Katoh, Generation of optical vortices by nonlinear inverse Thomson scattering at arbitrary angle interactions, Astrophys. J. 860, 45 (2018).
- [17] S. V. Abdrashitov, O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, T. A. Tukhfatullin, Orbital angular momentum of channeling radiation from relativistic electrons in thin Si crystal, Phys. Lett. A 382, 3141 (2018).
- [18] V. Epp, J. Janz, M. Zotova, Angular momentum of radiation at axial channeling, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 436, 78 (2018).
- [19] S. Sasaki, I. McNulty, Proposal for generating brilliant X-ray beams carrying orbital angular momentum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 124801 (2008).
- [20] A. Afanasev, A. Mikhailichenko, On generation of photons carrying orbital angular momentum in the helical undulator, [arXiv:1109.1603.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.1603)
- [21] V. A. Bordovitsyn, O. A. Konstantinova, E. A. Nemchenko, Angular momentum of synchrotron radiation, Russ. Phys. J. 55, 44 (2012).
- [22] E. Hemsing et al., First characterization of coherent optical vortices from harmonic undulator radiation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 134803 (2014).
- [23] M. Katoh et al., Angular momentum of twisted radiation from an electron in spiral motion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 094801 (2017).
- [24] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, G. Yu. Lazarenko, Probability of radiation of twisted photons by classical currents, Phys. Rev. A 97, 033837 (2018).
- [25] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, G. Yu. Lazarenko, Probability of radiation of twisted photons in the infrared domain, Annals Phys. 406, 114 (2019).
- [26] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, G. Yu. Lazarenko, Semiclassical probability of radiation of twisted photons in the ultrarelativistic limit, Phys. Rev. D. 99, 116016 (2019).
- [27] J. Bahrdt et al., First observation of photons carrying orbital angular momentum in undulator radiation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 034801 (2013).
- [28] M. Katoh et al., Helical phase structure of radiation from an electron in circular motion, Sci. Rep. 7, 6130 (2017).
- [29] X.-L. Zhu, T.-P. Yu, M. Chen, S.-M. Weng, Z.-M. Sheng, Generation of GeV positron and γ-photon beams with controllable angular momentum by intense lasers, New J. Phys. 20, 083013 (2018).
- [30] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, G. Yu. Lazarenko, Probability of radiation of twisted photons in the isotropic dispersive medium, Phys. Rev. A 100, 043836 (2019).
- [31] V. N. Baier, V. M. Katkov, Quasiclassical theory of bremsstrahlung by relativistic particles, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 55, 1542 (1968) [J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 28, 807 (1969)].
- [32] V. N. Baier, V. M. Katkov, V. M. Strakhovenko, Electromagnetic Processes at High Energies in Oriented Single Crystals (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [33] G. Ruffato et al., A compact diffractive sorter for high-resolution demultiplexing of orbital angular momentum beams, Sci. Rep. 8, 10248 (2018).
- [34] G. Ruffato et al., Non-paraxial design and fabrication of a compact OAM sorter in the telecom infrared, Opt. Express 27, 15750 (2019).
- [35] G. F. Walsh et al., Parallel sorting of orbital and spin angular momenta of light in a record large number of channels, Opt. Lett. 43, 2256 (2018).
- [36] B. Paroli et al., Single-shot measurement of phase and topological properties of orbital angular momentum radiation through asymmetric lateral coherence, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 22, 032901 (2019).
- [37] J. M. Hickmann et al., Unveiling a truncated optical lattice associated with a triangular aperture using light's orbital angular momentum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 053904 (2010).
- [38] Y. Taira, Y. Kohmura, Measuring the topological charge of an x-ray vortex using a triangular aperture, J. Opt. 21, 045604 (2019).
- [39] V. G. Bagrov, G. S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, V. A. Bordovitsyn, A. V. Borisov, O. F. Dorofeev, V. Ya. Epp, V. S. Gushchina, V. C. Zhukovskii, Synchrotron Radiation Theory and its Development (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).
- [40] A. N. Matveev, The role of spin in the radiation from a "radiating" electron, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 31, 479 (1956) [J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 4, 409 (1957)].
- [41] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, Probability of radiation of twisted photons by axially symmetric bunches of particles, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 134, 586 (2019).
- [42] O. V. Bogdanov, P. O. Kazinski, G. Yu. Lazarenko, Probability of radiation of twisted photons by cold relativistic particle bunches, [arXiv:1905.07688.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07688)
- [43] E. Hemsing et al., Coherent optical vortices from relativistic electron beams, Nature Phys. 9, 549 (2013).
- [44] E. Hemsing, G. Stupakov, D. Xiang, A. Zholents, Beam by design: Laser manipulation of electrons in modern accelerators, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 897 (2014).
- [45] W. Krause, A. V. Korol, A. V. Solov'yov, W. Greiner, Photon emission by ultra-relativistic positrons in crystalline undulators: the high-energy regime, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 483, 455 (2002).