

Width- k Eulerian polynomials of type A and B and its γ -positivity

Marwa Ben Abdelmaksoud¹ and Adel Hamdi²

^{1,2}Faculty of Science of Gabes, Department of Mathematics,
Cité Erriadh 6072, Zrig, Gabes, Tunisia
¹abdelmaksoud.marwa@hotmail.fr ²adel_hamdi@yahoo.fr.

Abstract

We define some generalizations of the classical descent and inversion statistics on signed permutations that arise from the work of Sack and Úlfarsson [20] and called after *width- k descents* and *width- k inversions* of type A in Davis's work [8]. Using the aforementioned new statistics, we derive some new generalizations of Eulerian polynomials of type A , B and D . It should also be noticed that we establish the γ -positivity of the "*width- k* " Eulerian polynomials and we give a combinatorial interpretation of finite sequences associated to these new polynomials using quasisymmetric functions and P -partition in Petersen's work [18].

Keywords: Coxeter groups, Eulerian polynomials, unimodality, permutations, γ -positivity, (enriched) P -partition, quasisymmetric functions.

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to extend some fundamental aspects of the theory of Eulerian polynomials on Coxeter groups and their unimodality, symmetry and *Gamma*-positivity. Many polynomials with combinatorial meanings have been shown to be unimodal (see [6] or [14] for example). Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be a finite sequence of nonnegative numbers. Recall that a polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$ of degree d is said to be positive and unimodal, if the coefficients are increasing and then decreasing, i.e., there is a certain index $0 \leq j \leq d$ such that

$$0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{j-1} \leq a_j \geq a_{j+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_d \geq 0.$$

We will say that $g(x)$ is *palindromic* (or symmetric) with center of symmetry at $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$, if $a_i = a_{d-i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d$.

The polynomial $g(x)$ is said to be *Gamma-positive* (or γ -positive) if

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \gamma_i x^i (1+x)^{d-2i},$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonnegative reals $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$. So, both palindromic and unimodal are two necessary conditions for the *Gamma-positivity* of $g(x)$. One of the most important polynomials in combinatorics is the n th Eulerian polynomials, for the statistic "*des^A*" on \mathfrak{S}_n , defined as

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{des}^A(\sigma)}.$$

Given a set of combinatorial objects τ , a combinatorial statistics is an integer for to every element of the set. In other words, it is a function $st : \tau \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

For a statistic st on symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , one may form the generating function :

$$F_n^{st}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{st(\pi)}.$$

MacMahon [15] considered four different statistics for a permutation σ in the group of all permutations \mathfrak{S}_n (it is also called type-*A* permutation) of the set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$; the number of descents ($\text{des}^A(\sigma)$), the number of excedances ($\text{exc}^A(\sigma)$), the number of inversions ($\text{inv}^A(\sigma)$) and the major index ($\text{maj}^A(\sigma)$). Given a permutation σ in \mathfrak{S}_n , we say that the pair $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ is an inversion of σ if $i < j$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$, that $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ is a descent if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$, and that $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is an excedance if $\sigma(i) > i$. The major index is the sum of all the descents. These four statistics have many generalization.

The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n is generated by the set $\tau := \{\tau_i; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, where $\tau_i := (1, \dots, i-1, i+1, i, i+2, \dots, n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, for $i \in [n-1]$. Therefore, the length function on \mathfrak{S}_n is defined to be : for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$,

$$\ell^A(\sigma) := \min\{r \geq 0; \sigma = \tau_{x_1} \tau_{x_2} \cdots \tau_{x_r}; \tau_{x_i} \in \tau, x_i \in [n-1]\}.$$

Then the length function can be written in terms of inversion number on \mathfrak{S}_n (see [4], Proposition 1.5.2) as follows

$$\ell^A(\sigma) = \text{inv}^A(\sigma).$$

The paper is organized as follows. We start with some definitions which generalized the *width-k descents* and *width-k inversions* statistics on classical permutations studied by Davis [8] into signed permutations. In section 2, we will prove Proposition 9, in which we improve the combinatorial formulas of these last statistics in signed permutations and give some examples. In section 3 and 4, we will show Theorem 13, Theorem 15 and Theorem 17, in which we define the *width-k Eulerian* polynomials of type *A* and *B*.

So, we give some recurrence relations concerning the coefficients of these polynomials. Then, we will study the γ -positivity by specifying the combinatorial values of γ . Finally, in section 5, which is the same as section 3 and 4, we will define the *width-k Eulerian* polynomials of type D and we define two sets $WD_{n,k,p}$ and $W\bar{D}_{n,k,p}$ in order to find the recurrence relations for the coefficients of this polynomial. We will prove Theorem 29 by studying the necessary condition for this polynomial to be γ -positive.

2 Width-k descents and width-k inversions on signed permutations

Recently, Sack and Úlfarsson [20] introduced a new natural generalizations of classical descents and inversions statistics for any permutation in \mathfrak{S}_n , and called after *width-k descents* and *width-k inversions* in Davis's work [8]. For each $1 \leq k < n$, the cardinals of these last statistics are defined as follows

$$des_k^A(\sigma) := |\{i \in [n-k]; \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+k)\}|,$$

$$inv_k^A(\sigma) := |\{(i,j) \in [n]^2; \sigma(i) > \sigma(j) \text{ and } j-i = mk, m > 0\}|.$$

In this work, we study same analogness of these statistics on signed permutations. A signed permutation is a bijection of $[-n, n] := \{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$ onto itself that satisfies $\pi(-i) = -\pi(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$. We denote by B_n the set of signed permutations of length n , also known as the hyperoctahedral groups.

Let $D_n \subset B_n$ be the subset consisting of the signed permutations with even number of negative entries. We denote by $neg(\pi)$ the number of negative entries in $\pi \in B_n$ and more precisely

$$D_n := \{\pi \in B_n; neg(\pi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Adin, Brenti and Roichman [1] defined a permutation statistics called the signed descent number (or type- B descent number) and the *flag* descent number. A signed descent of $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)) \in B_n$ is an integer $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ satisfying $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$, where $\pi(0) = 0$ (a signed descent of $\pi \in D_n$ has the same notion in B_n). The signed descent number is defined by

$$des^B(\pi) := |\{0 \leq i \leq n-1; \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)\}|.$$

Whereas, the *flag* descent statistics of a signed permutation π denoted by $fdes^B(\pi)$, counts a descent in position 0 once and all other descents twice. In other words,

$$fdes^B(\pi) := des^B(\pi) + des^A(\pi).$$

The n th *Eulerian* polynomials of signed permutations defined by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{des^B(\pi)},$$

and the n th flag descents polynomials defined by

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{fdes^B(\pi)}.$$

Definition 1. Let π be a permutation in B_n . The

1. inversion number in π is $inv^A(\pi) := |\{(i, j); 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}|$,
2. number of negative integer in π is $neg(\pi) := |\{i \in [n]; \pi(i) < 0\}|$,
3. number of negative sum pairs on π is $nsp(\pi) := |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2; i < j \text{ and } \pi(i) + \pi(j) < 0\}|$,
4. type B inversion number of π is $inv^B(\pi) := inv^A(\pi) + neg(\pi) + nsp(\pi)$.

As the Coxeter group B_n is generated by the set $\tau^B := \{\tau_1^B, \tau_2^B, \dots, \tau_{n-1}^B, \tau_0^B\}$, where $\tau_i^B := (1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, i, i+2, \dots, n)$, for $i \in [n-1]$ and $\tau_0^B := (-1, 2, \dots, n)$. For more details see [4]. Thus, the length function on B_n , denoted by $\ell^B(\cdot)$, is defined to be : for any $\pi \in B_n$,

$$\ell^B(\pi) := \min\{r \geq 0; \pi = \tau_{x_1}^B \tau_{x_2}^B \cdots \tau_{x_r}^B; \tau_{x_i}^B \in \tau^B, 0 \leq x_i \leq n-1\}.$$

Björner and Brenti [4] proved that the length function on B_n can be interpreted combinatorially as

$$\ell^B(\pi) = inv^B(\pi).$$

Now, we extend same definitions of the width- k statistics for permutations of type A in Davis's work [8] to permutations of type B .

Definition 2. For any permutation $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)) \in B_n$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, the numbers of all width- k descent, width- k flag descent, width- k negative descent, width- k inversion, width- k negative, width- k negative sum pairs and width- k inversion on π are defined respectively by

1. $des_k^B(\pi) := |\{0 \leq i \leq n-k; \pi(i) > \pi(i+k)\}|$, where $\pi(0) = 0$,
2. $fdes_k^B := des_k^A(\pi) + des_k^B(\pi)$,
3. $ndes_k^B(\pi) := |\{1 \leq i \leq n-k; \pi(-i) > \pi(i+k)\}|$,
4. $inv_k^A(\pi) := |\{(i, j); 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \pi(i) > \pi(j) \text{ and } j-i = mk, m > 0\}|$,
5. $neg_k(\pi) := |\{1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor; \pi(ik) < 0\}|$,
6. $nsp_k(\pi) := |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2; \pi(i) + \pi(j) < 0 \text{ and } j-i = mk, m > 0\}|$,
7. $inv_k^B(\pi) := inv_k^A(\pi) + neg_k(\pi) + nsp_k(\pi)$.

The set of all width- k descents of $\pi \in D_n$ has the same notion as above in B_n . We let

$$des_k^D(\pi) := |\{0 \leq i \leq n-k; \pi(i) > \pi(i+k)\}|, \text{ where } \pi(0) = 0.$$

Taking $k = 1$, we obtain the classical statistics of a signed permutation $\pi \in B_n$. So, the width-1 descent, the width-1 flag descent and the width-1 inversion are the usual

descent, flag descent and inversion of a signed permutation.

Let $\emptyset \neq K \subseteq [n]$ denote the set of widths under consideration. These latter statistics are also defined, respectively, by

$$Des_K^B(\pi) := \bigcup_{k \in K} Des_k^B(\pi) \text{ and } des_K^B(\pi) = |Des_K^B(\pi)|,$$

$$Inv_K^A(\pi) := \bigcup_{k \in K} Inv_k^A(\pi) \text{ and } inv_K^A(\pi) = |Inv_K^A(\pi)|,$$

$$Inv_K^B(\pi) := \bigcup_{k \in K} Inv_k^B(\pi) \text{ and } inv_K^B(\pi) = |Inv_K^B(\pi)|.$$

Example 3. Let $\pi = (4, -1, -3, -6, 5, -7, 2) \in B_7$. Then
 $Des_2^B(\pi) = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}$, $Des_3^B(\pi) = \{0, 1, 3\}$, $Des_{\{2,3\}}^B(\pi) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$,
 $Inv_2^A(\pi) = \{(1, 3), (1, 7), (2, 4), (2, 6), (4, 6), (5, 7)\}$, $Inv_3^A(\pi) = \{(1, 4), (1, 7), (3, 6)\}$,
 $Inv_{\{2,3\}}^A(\pi) = \{(1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 7), (2, 4), (2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 6), (5, 7)\}$,
 $des_{\{2,3\}}^B(\pi) = 6$, $inv_{\{2,3\}}^A(\pi) = 8$, $inv_2^B(\pi) = 13$, $inv_3^B(\pi) = 8$ and $inv_{\{2,3\}}^B(\pi) = 19$.

We now start by showing the two following propositions on signed permutations generalizing the width- k inversion number and set type A cases [8].

Proposition 4. For any $k \in [n]$ and any $\pi \in B_n$, we have

$$inv_k^B(\pi) = \sum_{m \geq 1} (des_{mk}^B(\pi) + ndes_{mk}^B(\pi)). \quad (1)$$

Proof. The elements of $Inv_k^B(\pi)$ are pairs of the form $(i, i + mk)$, for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ or $(-i, i + mk)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, for some $m > 0$. So, an element exists in $Inv_k^B(\pi)$ if and only if there is a width- mk descent of π at i or a width- mk negative descent of π at $(-i)$. Thus, $inv_k^B(\pi)$ just counts the number of descents of length mk for every possible m . \square

Proposition 5. For any nonempty $K \subseteq [n]$ and any $\pi \in B_n$, we have

$$inv_K^B(\pi) = \sum_{\emptyset \subsetneq K' \subseteq K} (-1)^{|K'|+1} inv_{lcm(K')}^B(\pi), \quad (2)$$

where we set $inv_{lcm(K')}^B(\pi) = 0$ if $lcm(K') \geq n + 1$.

Proof. The case where $K = \{k\}$ is immediately comes from Eq. (1). For the general subset K of $[n]$, the proof of this case for the classical permutations ([8], Proposition 2) remains true for the signed permutations. \square

Example 6. Let $\pi = (4, -1, -3, -6, 5, -7, 2) \in B_7$ be the same permutation in the previous example and $K = \{2, 3\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}_2^B(\pi) &= \sum_{m \geq 1} (\text{des}_{2m}^B(\pi) + \text{ndes}_{2m}^B(\pi)) \\ &= (\text{des}_2^B(\pi) + \text{ndes}_2^B(\pi)) + (\text{des}_4^B(\pi) + \text{ndes}_4^B(\pi)) + (\text{des}_6^B(\pi) + \text{ndes}_6^B(\pi)) \\ &= (5 + 2) + (2 + 2) + (2 + 0) = 13. \end{aligned}$$

And $\text{inv}_{\{2,3\}}^B(\pi) = 19$, where $\text{inv}_2^B = 13$ and $\text{inv}_3^B = 8$. But $(0, 6)$ and $(1, 7)$ have the width both 2 and 3, it must also have the width-lcm(2, 3). Thus, $\text{inv}_6^B(\pi) = \{(0, 6), (1, 7)\}$. Finally, $\text{inv}_{\{2,3\}}^B(\pi) = \text{inv}_2^B(\pi) + \text{inv}_3^B(\pi) - \text{inv}_6^B(\pi)$.

In the following, we will generalize the search function described in [8], on the set of signed permutations, which helps to demonstrate the interaction between width- k statistics by changing its normalization map. This function is defined as follows.

Let n and k be positive integers for which $n = dk + r$ for some $(d, r) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $0 \leq r < k$. For any π in B_n , we may then associate the set of disjoint substrings $\gamma_{n,k}(\pi) = \{\gamma_{n,k}^1(\pi), \gamma_{n,k}^2(\pi), \dots, \gamma_{n,k}^k(\pi)\}$ where

$$\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi) = \begin{cases} (\pi(i), \pi(i+k), \pi(i+2k), \dots, \pi(i+dk)), & \text{if } i \leq r; \\ (\pi(i), \pi(i+k), \pi(i+2k), \dots, \pi(i+(d-1)k)), & \text{if } r < i \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Now, we define the following correspondence ψ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : B_n &\longrightarrow B_{d+1}^r \times B_d^{k-r} \\ \pi &\longmapsto (\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^1(\pi), \text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^2(\pi), \dots, \text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^k(\pi)), \end{aligned}$$

where std is the standardization map such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, the permutation $\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)$ obtained by replacing the smallest integer in absolute value of $\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)$ by 1, the second smallest integer in absolute value by 2, etc. Then, for each element of $\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)$, add a sign $(-)$ at each $\pi(i+jk) < 0$, where $0 \leq j \leq d$.

It gives that each $\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)$ is a signed permutation of B_d or B_{d+1} .

Example 7. Let $\pi = (4, -1, -3, -6, 5, -7, 2) \in B_7$ and suppose that $k = 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{7,3}(\pi) &= (\text{std}\gamma_{7,3}^1(\pi), \text{std}\gamma_{7,3}^2(\pi), \text{std}\gamma_{7,3}^3(\pi)) \\ &= (\text{std}(4, -6, 2), \text{std}(-1, 5), \text{std}(-3, -7)) \\ &= ((2, -3, 1), (-1, 2), (-1, -2)). \end{aligned}$$

We give also the width- k -analogue definition of the length function statistics on B_n , denoted by $\ell_k^B(\cdot)$.

$$\ell_k^B(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^k \ell^B(\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)).$$

So, we have its explicit combinatorial description in the following result.

Proposition 8. *Let $\pi \in B_n$. Then,*

$$\ell_k^B(\pi) = \text{inv}_k^B(\pi).$$

Proof. For any π in B_n , it is straightforward to check that

$$|\text{Inv}_k^B(\pi)| = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Inv}^B(\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\text{Inv}^B(\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi))| = \sum_{i=1}^k \ell^B(\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)) = \ell_k^B(\pi). \square$$

We come now to one of the main results in this work. First, let n and k be positive integers such that $n = dk + r$, $0 \leq r < k$ and $d > 0$. Let $M_{n,k}$ be the multinomial coefficient defined by

$$M_{n,k} = \binom{n}{(d+1)^r, d^{k-r}},$$

where i^m indicates i repeated m times.

Also let the x -analogue of the integer $n \geq 1$ be

$$[n]_x := \frac{1 - x^n}{1 - x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1},$$

the x -analogue of the factorial $n \geq 1$ is then

$$[n]_x! := [1]_x \cdots [n-1]_x [n]_x, \quad [0]! := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [2n]_x!! := \prod_{i=1}^n [2i]_x, \quad [0]!! := 1.$$

Proposition 9. *For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{\text{inv}^A(\pi) + \text{nsp}(\pi)} t^{\text{neg}(\pi)} = [n]_x! \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + tx^i). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Our proof will be by induction on n . Notice that the result is obvious for $n = 1$. So, suppose that the result holds for all natural numbers less than $n \geq 2$,

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \sum_{\pi \in B_{n-1}} x^{\text{inv}^A(\pi) + \text{nsp}(\pi)} t^{\text{neg}(\pi)} = [n-1]_x! \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} (1 + tx^i). \quad (4)$$

For this, it is enough to account for the number of *inversions*, *nsp* and *negatives* for every integer $\pi(n)$ in $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n-1), \pi(n)) \in B_n$.

Therefore, if $\pi(n) = l$, for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and for any $j \in [-n, n]$, such that $\pi(j) = k$ where $l < k \leq n$, we have exactly an inversion or an *nsp*.

Then, $\pi(n)$ makes $(n-l)$ choices of *inversions* and *nsp*. It implies that identity (4) is multiplied by x^{n-l} , for all $\pi(n) = l$.

If $\pi(n) = -l$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, then for any $-l < k < l$ there exists $j \in [-n, n]$, such that $\pi(j) = k$. In that case, we have exactly $(2l-2)$ *inversions* and *nsp*.

And for any $l < k \leq n$ if $k > l$, we have exactly $(n-l)$ *inversions* or *nsp*. So, $\pi(n)$

makes $(n - 2 + l)$ choices of *inversions* and *nsp*. That gives the identity (4) is multiplied by tx^{n-2+l} . Finally, identity (4) is multiplied by $[n]_x(1 + tx^{n-1})$. This completes the induction step. \square

An immediate consequence of the above result if $t = 1$, the identity (3) becomes equal to :

$$\sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{\text{inv}^A(\pi) + \text{nsp}(\pi)} = 2[n]_x [2(n-1)]_x!!. \quad (5)$$

We note that the sequences of coefficients of $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{\text{inv}^A(\pi) + \text{nsp}(\pi)}$ appear in the OEIS (sequence A162206).

3 Width-k Eulerian polynomials of type A

In this section, we will first present Davis's *width-k Eulerian* polynomials of type A. This description is very general, so we give its *Gamma-positivity* afterwards.

The concept of *Gamma-positivity* appeared first in the work of Foata and Schützenberger [9] and thereafter of Foata and Strehl ([11], [10]), on the classical Eulerian polynomials, one of the most important polynomials in combinatorics. *Gamma-positivity* is an elementary property that polynomials with symmetric coefficients may have, which directly implies their unimodality.

Working with *Eulerian descent* statistics is in a sense a generalization of the study of the Eulerian numbers. Just as there are Eulerian numbers, there is counting the number of permutations with the same descent number. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, an index $i \in [n]$ is a double descent of σ if $\sigma(i-1) > \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$, where $\sigma(0) = \sigma(n+1) = \infty$. We also have a *left peak* (resp. *peak*) of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is any index $i \in [n-1]$ (resp. $2 \leq i \leq n-1$) such that $\sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$, where $\sigma(0) := 0$.

In the following, we need to define these statistics.

Definition 10. For any permutation $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n)) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the numbers of all double width-k descents, width-k peaks (also, we say that a interior width-k peaks) and width-k left peaks are defined as follow

$$Ddes_k^A(\sigma) := \{i \in [n]; \sigma(i-k) > \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+k)\} \text{ and } \sigma(j) = \infty, \forall j > n \text{ or } j \leq 0,$$

$$ddes_k^A(\sigma) := |Ddes_k^A(\sigma)|.$$

$$Peak_k(\sigma) := \{k+1 \leq i \leq n-k; \sigma(i-k) < \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+k)\}, \text{ peak}_k(\sigma) := |Peak_k(\sigma)|.$$

$$Lpeak_k(\sigma) := \{k \leq i \leq n-k; \sigma(i-k) < \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+k)\} \text{ and } \sigma(0) := 0,$$

$$lpeak_k(\sigma) := |Lpeak_k(\sigma)|.$$

We now define the *width-k Eulerian* polynomials of type A by

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{A}_{n,k}(x) = F_n^{\text{des}_k^A}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{des}_k^A(\sigma)}. \quad (6)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{WA}_{n,k,p}$ the set $\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n; des_k^A(\sigma) = p\}$, and its cardinal by $a(n, k, p)$. For $k = 1$, we find the classical Eulerian polynomials, and its n th γ -positivity, $A_n(x) = \mathfrak{WA}_{n,1}(x)$, is given by Foata and Schützenberger [9], Theorem 5.6, as

$$\mathfrak{WA}_{n,1}(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor n-1/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,p} x^p (1+x)^{n-1-2p}, \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma_{n,p} = |\Gamma_{n,p}|$ and $\Gamma_{n,p}$ is the set of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ with :

- ▷ $des_1^A(\sigma) = p$,
- ▷ $ddes_1^A(\sigma) = 0$.

The following identity was originally established Sack and Úlfarsson [20], but with a slightly different notation. Thereafter, Davis [8] showed another proof.

Proposition 11.

$$\mathfrak{WA}_{n,k}(x) = F_n^{des_k^A}(x) = M_{n,k} A_{d+1}^r(x) A_d^{k-r}(x). \quad (8)$$

Let $\alpha(n, k, p)$ be the coefficients of the polynomials $\mathfrak{WA}_{n,k}(x)$ such that $a(n, k, p) = M_{n,k} \alpha(n, k, p)$. For a clearer observation, we put, in the table below, a few coefficients of $\alpha(n, k, p)$, for $1 \leq n \leq 6$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - k$.

n	k	p					
		0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	1					
2	1	1	1				
3	1	1	4	1			
	2	1	1				
4	1	1	11	11	1		
	2	1	2	1			
	3	1	1				
5	1	1	26	66	26	1	
	2	1	5	5	1		
	3	1	2	1			
	4	1	1				
6	1	1	57	302	302	57	1
	2	1	8	18	8	1	
	3	1	3	3	1		
	4	1	2	1			
	5	1	1				

TABLE 1 – A few values of $\alpha(n, k, p)$.

The polynomial $\mathfrak{WA}_{n,k}(x)$ is unimodal, symmetric with nonnegative coefficients and γ -positive with center of symmetry $\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ and $deg(\mathfrak{WA}_{n,k}(x)) = n - k$. Because, it is the product of k unimodal, symmetric and γ -positive polynomials.

For example, $\mathfrak{WA}_{6,2}(x) = M_{6,2}(1 + 8x + 18x^2 + 8x^3 + x^4)$ is γ -positive since

$$\mathfrak{WA}_{6,2}(x) = 20x^0(1+x)^4 + 80x(1+x)^2 + 80x^2(1+x)^0.$$

$\alpha(n, k, p)$ is a new sequence integers on the Coxeter group of type A . So, it is natural to make the following problem on the recurrence relation of this sequence which has been by confirmed the fact that any $n \geq 4$, k be the smallest positive integer such that $n = 2k + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - k$, we have

$$\alpha(n, k, p) = \alpha(n - 2, k - 1, p - 1) + \alpha(n - 2, k - 1, p),$$

with $\alpha(n, k, 0) = \alpha(n, k, n - k) = 1$ and $\alpha(n, k, -1) = 0$.

Problem 12. Is it possible to find the recurrence relation of $\alpha(n, k, p)$, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$?

Theorem 13. For all $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$,

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{A}_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{des}_k^A(\sigma)} = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor n-k/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k,p}^A x^p (1+x)^{n-k-2p},$$

where $\gamma_{n,k,p}^A = |\Gamma_{n,k,p}|$ and $\Gamma_{n,k,p}$ is the set of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ with :

- ▷ $\text{des}_k^A(\sigma) = p$,
- ▷ $\text{ddes}_k^A(\sigma) = 0$.

For $1 \leq n \leq 6$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$, we record a few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^A$.

n	k	p		
		0	1	2
1	1	1		
2	1	1		
3	1	1	2	
	2	3		
4	1	1	8	
	2	6	0	
	3	12		
5	1	1	22	16
	2	10	20	
	3	30	0	
	4	60		
6	1	1	52	136
	2	20	80	80
	3	90	0	
	4	180	0	
	5	360		

TABLE 2 – A few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^A$.

Proof. Using the standardization map φ defined on \mathfrak{S}_n by Davis [8] and assuming, for all $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $\varphi(\sigma) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ such that $\text{std}\gamma_{n,k}^i(\sigma) = \sigma_i$ for all i , we have

$$\text{des}_k^A = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{des}^A(\sigma_i).$$

So, it is clear that each *width-k* descent and *width-k* double descent in σ are usual descent and double descent in some unique σ_i . \square

4 Width-k Eulerian polynomials of type B

In this section, we give a new generalized of type B Eulerian polynomials and its γ -positivities which is inspired to type A. We define the *width-k Eulerian* polynomials of type B by

$$\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x) = F_n^{des_k^B}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{des_k^B(\pi)}.$$

We denote by $WB_{n,k,p}$ the set $\{\pi \in B_n; des_k^B(\pi) = p\}$ and its cardinal by $b(n, k, p)$.

For $k = 1$, we find the classical Eulerian polynomials of type B, and its n th γ -positive is given in the following result.

Theorem 14. ([18], Proposition 4.15) *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathfrak{WB}_{n,1}(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,p}^B x^p (1+x)^{n-2p},$$

where $\gamma_{n,p}^B$ is equal to the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with p left peaks, multiplied by 4^p .

Now, we need to define the statistics descent of type A over the set of signed permutations by, for any $\pi \in B_n$,

$$des^A(\pi) := |\{i \in [n-1]; \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)\}|.$$

We then have the following identities.

Theorem 15. *For any $n \geq k \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$ such that $n = dk + r$, $0 \leq r < k$, we have*

$$F_n^{des_k^B}(x) = 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} B_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x) A_{d+1}^r(x), \quad (9)$$

$$F_n^{fdes_k^B}(x) = 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} F_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x^2) A_{d+1}^r(x^2), \quad (10)$$

$$F_n^{inv_k^B}(x) = 2^{k-1} M_{n,k} [d]_x^{k-r-1} [d+1]_x^r [2d]_x^{r+1}!! [2(d-1)]_x^{k-r-1}!! \quad (11)$$

Proof. We consider the correspondence ψ defined in section 2 by

$$\psi : B_n \rightarrow B_{d+1}^r \times B_d^{k-r}$$

$$\psi(\pi) = (std\gamma_{n,k}^1(\pi), std\gamma_{n,k}^2(\pi), \dots, std\gamma_{n,k}^k(\pi)).$$

We fix k in $[n]$ and $\psi(\pi) = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k) \in B_{d+1}^r \times B_d^{k-r}$ such that, $std\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi) = \pi_i$ for all i . There exists $M_{n,k}$ choice to partition $[n]$ in the subsequences $\gamma_{n,k}^i(\pi)$.

We need the map ϵ defined for any $\pi \in B_n$ by

$$\epsilon(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \pi(1) < 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is also important to note that

$$des_k^B(\pi) = des^B(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (des^B(\pi_i) - \epsilon(\pi_i)).$$

Then for all $\pi \in B_n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (des^B(\pi_i) - \epsilon(\pi_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} des^A(\pi_i).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{des_k^B}(x) &= \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{des_k^B(\pi)} \\ &= M_{n,k} \sum_{\pi_k \in B_d, (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) \in B_d^{k-r-1} \times B_{d+1}^r} x^{des^B(\pi_k)} x^{des^A(\pi_1)} \dots x^{des^A(\pi_{k-1})} \\ &= M_{n,k} B_d(x) (2^d)^{k-r-1} A_d^{k-r-1}(x) (2^{d+1})^r A_{d+1}^r(x) \\ &= 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} B_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x) A_{d+1}^r(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first identity.

Using the definition of width- k descent, we get

$$\begin{aligned} fdes_k^B(\pi) &= des_k^A(\pi) + des_k^B(\pi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k des^A(\pi_i) + des^B(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} des^A(\pi_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2des^A(\pi_i) + des^A(\pi_k) + des^B(\pi_k) \\ &= fdes^B(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2des^A(\pi_i). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{2des^A(\pi)} = 2^n A_n(x^2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{fdes_k^B}(x) &= \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{fdes_k^B(\pi)} \\ &= M_{n,k} \sum_{\pi_k \in B_d, (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) \in B_d^{k-r-1} \times B_{d+1}^r} x^{fdes^B(\pi_k)} x^{2des^A(\pi_1)} \dots x^{2des^A(\pi_{k-1})} \\ &= 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} F_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x^2) A_{d+1}^r(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the second identity.

Now, we observe that the number of the width- k inversion in $\pi \in B_n$ is the sum of

$$\text{inv}_k^B(\pi) = \text{inv}^B(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\text{inv}^A(\pi_i) + \text{ns}p(\pi_i)).$$

On the other hand, the generating function of the inversion numbers can be presented in the following manner (see, for instance [13], Section 3.15).

$$\sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{\text{inv}^B(\pi)} = [2n]_x!!.$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{\text{inv}_k^B}(x) &= \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x_k^{\text{inv}_k^B(\pi)} \\ &= M_{n,k} \sum_{\pi_k \in B_d, (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) \in B_d^{k-r-1} \times B_{d+1}^r} x^{\text{inv}^B(\pi_k)} x^{(\text{inv}^A(\pi_1) + \text{ns}p(\pi_1))} \dots x^{(\text{inv}^A(\pi_{k-1}) + \text{ns}p(\pi_{k-1}))} \\ &= 2^{k-1} M_{n,k} [2d]_x^{r+1}!! [2(d-1)]_x^{k-r-1}!! [d]_x^{k-r-1} [d+1]_x^r. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the third identity. \square

Using identity (9), we find that $\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} B_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x) A_{d+1}^r(x)$. Let $\beta(n, k, p)$ be the coefficient of the polynomial $\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)$ such that, $b(n, k, p) = 2^{n-d} M_{n,k} \beta(n, k, p)$. For a clearer observation, we put in the table below a few coefficients of $\beta(n, k, p)$, for $1 \leq n \leq 6, 1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - k + 1$.

As $\beta(n, k, p)$ is a new sequence integers on the Coxeter group of type B , it natural to make the following problem on the recurrence relation of this sequence. It has been by confirmed the fact that any $n \geq 3, k$ be the smallest positive integer such that $n + 1 = 2k + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - k + 1$, we have the following recurrence relation

$$\beta(n, k, p) = \beta(n - 2, k - 1, p - 1) + \beta(n - 2, k - 1, p)$$

where,

$$\beta(n, k, 0) = \beta(n, k, n - k + 1) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(n, k, -1) = 0.$$

Problem 16. *Is it possible to find the recurrence relation of $\beta(n, k, p)$, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$?*

$\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)$ is unimodal and symmetric with nonnegative coefficients and it is γ -positive (as the product of k γ -positive polynomials) with center of symmetry $\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $\text{deg}(\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)) = n - k + 1$.

For example, $\mathfrak{WB}_{6,2}(x) = 2^3 M_{6,2} (1 + 27x + 116x^2 + 116x^3 + 27x^4 + x^5)$ is γ -positive since

$$\mathfrak{WB}_{6,2}(x) = 160x^0(1+x)^5 + 3520x(1+x)^3 + 6400x^2(1+x).$$

So, we have the following theorem.

n	k	p						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	1	1					
2	1	1	6	1				
	2	1	1					
3	1	1	23	23	1			
	2	1	2	1				
	3	1	1					
4	1	1	76	230	76	1		
	2	1	7	7	1			
	3	1	2	1				
	4	1	1					
5	1	1	237	1682	1682	237	1	
	2	1	10	26	10	1		
	3	1	3	3	1			
	4	1	2	1				
	5	1	1					
6	1	1	722	10543	23548	10543	722	1
	2	1	27	116	116	27	1	
	3	1	8	14	8	1		
	4	1	3	3	1			
	5	1	2	1				
	6	1	1					

TABLE 3 – The first few values of $\beta(n, k, p)$.

Theorem 17. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in B_n} x^{\text{des}_k^B(\pi)} = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor n-k+1/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k,p}^B x^p (1+x)^{n-k+1-2p},$$

where $\gamma_{n,k,p}^B = 2^{2p+k-1} |\Gamma_{n,k,p}^{(\ell)}|$ and $\Gamma_{n,k,p}^{(\ell)}$ is the set of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ with p width- k left peaks.

To prove this theorem, we need to generalize some results of the work of Petersen [18] on P-partitions and enriched P-partitions.

In the theory of partition, there are two definitions of P-partition. The first one is due to Stanley [23] which defined them as order reversing maps while the second definition defined by Gessel [12] as order preserving maps. In the current paper, we will adopt the second definition.

Definition 18. (*P-Partition*) Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a countable and totally ordered set. For a given poset P with partial order $<_p$, a P -partition is an order-preserving map $f : [n] \rightarrow X$ such that :

1. if $i <_p j$ then $f(i) \leq f(j)$,
2. if $i <_p j$ and $i > j$ in \mathbb{Z} then $f(i) < f(j)$.

We assume that X as a subset of the positive integers. We let $\mathfrak{L}(P)$ denote the set of all permutations of $[n]$ which extend P to a total order. When X has finite cardinality p , the number of P -partitions must also be finite. In this case, define the order polynomial, denoted $\Omega(P; p)$, to be the number of P -partitions $f : [n] \rightarrow X$. In our case, when

studying P -partitions it is enough to consider P is a permutation and $\Omega_A(P, p)$ is the type A order polynomial.

Notice that for any permutation π and any positive integer p , we can write

$$\Omega_A(\pi, p) = |\{f : [n] \rightarrow [p]; 1 \leq f(\pi(1)) \leq f(\pi(2)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(n)) \leq p, \\ \text{and if } s \in Des^A(\pi) \text{ then } f(\pi(s)) < f(\pi(s+1))\}|.$$

Recall that Chow [7] defined a type B poset P whose elements are $0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$ such that if $i <_p j$ then $-j <_p -i$. So, the type B P -partitions differ from ordinary P -partition only in the property $f(-i) = -f(i)$.

For the group of signed permutations, the only difference from the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n is, if $\pi(1) < 0$, then 0 is a descent of π . Let $\Omega_B(\pi, p)$ be the order polynomial for any signed permutation. For fixed n , the order polynomials prove to be : $\Omega_A(i, x) = \Omega_B(i, x) = \binom{x+n-i}{n}$, for any permutation of type A or type B with $i-1$ descents. Similarly of corollary 2.4 of [18], we will given the relation between the width- k order polynomial of a poset P and the sum of the width- k order polynomials of its linear extensions.

Definition 19. *We can say that each permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ as a poset with the total order $\pi(s) < \pi(s+k)$, for all $s \in Des_k^A(\pi)$. Then, the width- k order polynomial of a poset P having $p \in \mathcal{N}$ descents, deonted by $\Omega_A(P, k, p)$, is the sum of the width- k order polynomials of its linear extensions :*

$$\Omega_A(P, k, p) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} \Omega_A(\pi, k, p),$$

where, for any permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the width- k order polynomial is

$$\Omega_A(\pi, k, p) := |\{f : [n-k+1] \rightarrow [p]/ \tag{12}$$

$$1 \leq f(\pi(1)) \leq f(\pi(1+k)) \leq f(\pi(1+2k)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(1+\tau_d k)) < \\ f(\pi(2)) \leq f(\pi(2+k)) \leq f(\pi(2+2k)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(2+\tau_d k)) < \cdots < \\ f(\pi(k)) \leq f(\pi(k+k)) \leq f(\pi(k+2k)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(k+\tau_d k)) \leq p, \\ \text{and } f(\pi(s)) < f(\pi(s+k)), \text{ if } s \in des_k^A(\pi)\}|,$$

with

$$\tau_d = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } i \leq r; \\ d-1, & \text{if } r < i \leq k, \end{cases}$$

and $n = dk + r$ is the Euclidean division of n by k .

In the same way, we define the type B width- k order polynomial of a poset P having p descents of type B , deonted by $\Omega_B(P, k, p)$, as the sum of the type B width- k order polynomials of its linear extensions

$$\Omega_B(P, k, p) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} \Omega_B(\pi, k, p),$$

where, for any permutation $\pi \in B_n$, the type B width- k order polynomial is

$$\Omega_B(\pi, k, p) := |\{f : [n - k + 1] \rightarrow [p] / \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \leq f(\pi(1)) \leq f(\pi(1 + k)) \leq f(\pi(1 + 2k)) \leq \cdots f(\pi(1 + \tau_d k)) < \\ & f(\pi(2)) \leq f(\pi(2 + k)) \leq f(\pi(2 + 2k)) \leq \cdots f(\pi(2 + \tau_d k)) < \cdots < \\ & f(\pi(k)) \leq f(\pi(k + k)) \leq f(\pi(k + 2k)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(k + \tau_d k)) \leq p, \\ & \text{and } f(\pi(s)) < f(\pi(s + k)), \text{ if } s \in \text{des}_k^B(\pi) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give the analog generating function type B order polynomials of Proposition 3.10 [19] in the following in term of width- k descents statistics.

Theorem 20. *For a given permutation $\pi \in B_n$. The generating function for width- k type B order polynomials is :*

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega_B(\pi, k, p) x^p = \frac{x^{\text{des}_k^B(\pi)}}{(1 - x)^{n - k + 2}}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{L}(P) = \{\pi\}$, where π has width- k descents counting an extra width- k descent at the end (we assume $\pi(k + \tau_d k) > \pi(n + 1)$). Then, $\Omega_B(\pi; k; p)$ is the number of solutions of equation (13) :

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \leq f(\pi(1)) \leq f(\pi(1 + k)) \leq f(\pi(1 + 2k)) \leq \cdots f(\pi(1 + \tau_d k)) < \\ & f(\pi(2)) \leq f(\pi(2 + k)) \leq f(\pi(2 + 2k)) \leq \cdots f(\pi(2 + \tau_d k)) < \cdots < \\ & f(\pi(k)) \leq f(\pi(k + k)) \leq f(\pi(k + 2k)) \leq \cdots \leq f(\pi(k + \tau_d k)) \leq p - (\text{des}_k^B(\pi) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the number of ways choosing $n - k + 1$ things from $p - \text{des}_k^B(\pi) + 1$ with repetitions. Therefore, the number is $\binom{p - \text{des}_k^B(\pi) + 1 + n - k}{n - k + 1} = \binom{p - \text{des}_k^B(\pi) + n - k + 1}{n - k + 1}$. So the result becomes :

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega_B(\pi, k, p) x^p = \sum_{p \geq 0} \binom{p - \text{des}_k^B(\pi) + n - k + 1}{n - k + 1} x^p = \frac{x^{\text{des}_k^B(\pi)}}{(1 - x)^{n - k + 2}}.$$

□

Petersen [18] gave a relation between enriched P -partitions and quasisymmetric functions. Hence, we can see this link in terms of width- k statistic which the connection helps us to prove the Theorem 17.

The basic theory of enriched P -partitions is due to Stembridge [24]. An enriched P -partitions and a left enriched P -partitions of type A defined as follow.

Let \mathbb{P}' denote the set of nonzero integers, totally ordered as :

$$-1 < +1 < -2 < +2 < -3 < +3 < \cdots ,$$

and $\mathbb{P}^{(\ell)}$ to be the integers with the following total order :

$$0 < -1 < +1 < -2 < +2 < -3 < +3 < \cdots .$$

In general, for any totally ordered set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, we define \mathbb{X}' and $\mathbb{X}^{(\ell)}$ to be the set :

$$\{-x_1, x_1, -x_2, \dots\}$$

and

$$\{x_0, -x_1, x_1, -x_2, \dots\},$$

with total order

$$x_0 < -x_1 < x_1 < -x_2 < x_2 < \dots .$$

Definition 21. ([18], Definition 4.1) An enriched P -partition (resp. left enriched P -partition) is an order-preserving map $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$ (resp. $\mathbb{X}^{(\ell)}$) such that for all $i <_P j$ in P ,

1. if $i <_P j$ in \mathbb{Z} then $f(i) \leq^+ f(j)$,
2. if $i <_P j$ and $i > j$ in \mathbb{Z} then $f(i) \leq^- f(j)$.

For type B poset, An enriched P -partition of type B differ from type A only in the addition of a third condition, $f(-i) = -f(i)$ (more detail, see [18], Definition 4.8).

Let $\varepsilon(P)$ denote the set of all enriched P -partitions and $\varepsilon^{(\ell)}(P)$ denote the set of left enriched P -partitions. The number of (left) enriched P -partitions is finite if we assume that $|X| = p$. In this case, define the enriched order polynomial, denoted $\Omega'(P, p)$, to be the number of enriched P -partitions $f : P \rightarrow X'$ and the left enriched order polynomial, denoted $\Omega^{(\ell)}(P, p)$, to be the number of left enriched P -partitions $f : P \rightarrow X^{(\ell)}$.

Following Gessel [12], a quasisymmetric function is one for which the coefficient of $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_p}^{\alpha_p}$ is the same for all fixed tuples of integers $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and all $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$.

For any subset $D = \{d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{p-1}\}$ of $[n]$, the quasisymmetric functions is characterized by two common bases, defined by the monomial quasisymmetric functions, M_D , and the fundamental quasisymmetric functions F_D :

$$M_D = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} x_{i_1}^{d_1} x_{i_2}^{d_2 - d_1} \dots x_{i_p}^{n - d_{p-1}} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_p}^{\alpha_p},$$

and

$$F_D = \sum_{D \subset T \subset [n-1]} M_T = \sum_{\substack{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_p \\ d \in D \Rightarrow i_d < i_{d+1}}} \prod_{d=1}^n x_{i_d} = \Gamma_A(\pi),$$

where $\Gamma_A(\pi)$ is the generating function for the type A P -partitions of a permutation with descent set D . It is possible to recover the order polynomial of π by specializing :

$$\Omega_A(\pi, p) = \Gamma_A(\pi)(1^p). \quad (15)$$

For all $D \subset [n-1]$, the functions M_D and F_D span the quasisymmetric functions of degree n , indicated $Qsym_n$. The ring of quasisymmetric functions is defined by $Qsym := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} Qsym_n$.

Also, the generating function for enriched P -partitions $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}'$ is defined by

$$\Delta_A(P) := \sum_{f \in \varepsilon(P)} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{|f(i)|}.$$

Evidently, $\Delta_A(P)$ is a quasisymmetric function and we can specialize it as

$$\Omega'(P, p) = \Delta_A(P)(1^p).$$

Chow [7] gave a connection between ordinary type B P -partitions and type B quasisymmetric functions. Furthermore, Petersen [18] related the type B quasisymmetric functions to left enriched P -partitions and type B enriched P -partitions.

For fixed n and for any subset $D = \{d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{p-1}\}$ of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, the monomial N_D and the fundamental quasisymmetric functions of type B , L_D , are defined by

$$N_D = \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} x_0^{d_1} x_{i_2}^{d_2 - d_1} \dots x_{i_p}^{n - d_{p-1}} = \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} x_0^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_p}^{\alpha_p},$$

and

$$L_D = \sum_{D \subset T \subset [0, n-1]} N_T = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_p \\ d \in D \Rightarrow i_d < i_{d+1}}} \prod_{d=1}^n x_{i_d} = \Gamma_B(\pi),$$

where $\Gamma_B(\pi)$ is the generating function for the ordinary type B P -partitions of any signed permutation with descent set D . Once more, we can particularize it as

$$\Omega_B(\pi, p) = \Gamma_B(\pi)(1^{p+1}). \quad (16)$$

Similar of type A , these functions form a basis for the type B quasisymmetric functions of degree n , defined by $BQsym := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} BQsym_n$.

The generating function for left enriched P -partitions $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{(\ell)}$, defined by

$$\Delta^{(\ell)}(P) := \sum_{f \in \varepsilon^{(\ell)}(P)} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{|f(i)|}.$$

It is also clear that $\Delta^{(\ell)}(P)$ is a quasisymmetric function and we have

$$\Omega^{(\ell)}(P, p) = \Delta^{(\ell)}(P)(1^{p+1}).$$

For any two subsets of integers D and T , define the set $D + k = \{d + k | d \in D\}$ with, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and define the symmetric set difference by $D \Delta T = (D \cup T) \setminus (D \cap T)$.

Using the standardization map φ on \mathfrak{S}_n defined in section 2, we can write width- k descent as $des_k^B(\pi) = des^B(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (des^B(\pi_i) - \epsilon(\pi_i))$. So, we count 0 as a descent just in π_k , and all other permutations, we see it as a descent of type A . In addition, we can

define $\Omega_B(D, k, p)$ by the ordinary type B order polynomial of any signed permutation with width- k descent set D by

$$\Omega_B(D, k, p) = \Omega_B(D_k, p_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \Omega'_B(D_i, p_i), \quad (17)$$

with $\Omega_B(D_k, p_k)$ is the ordinary type B order polynomial of signed permutation with descent set D_k and $\Omega'_B(D_i, p_i)$ is the ordinary type B order polynomial of signed permutation with descent set $D_i \setminus \{0\}$, where D_i is the set of descents in each π_i and $p_i = p - k + i$, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, $\cup_{i=1}^k D_i = D$.

In the following, to study the left enriched P -partitions, $\Omega^{(\ell)}(P, p)$, it is sufficient to consider the case where P is a permutation. So, it is possible to characterize the set of all left enriched π -partition in term of descent set. Left peaks in \mathfrak{S}_n are a special case of type B peaks, which are naturally related to type B descents. Thus, in terms of width- k descent sets, we can define the set of all width- k left enriched π -permutation, denote by $\Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p)$, but we consider 0 as a descent only in π_k . Then, for $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we can write

$$\Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) = \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi_k, p_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \Omega^{(\ell)'}(\pi_i, p_i), \quad (18)$$

where $\Omega^{(\ell)'}(\pi_i, p_i)$ is the left enriched order polynomial with $Des(\pi_i) \subset [\tau_d]$.

It is important to observe that

$$Lpeak_k(\pi) = Lpeak(\pi_k) \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} Peak(\pi_i).$$

Thus,

$$lpeak_k(\pi) = lpeak(\pi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} peak(\pi_i).$$

The generating function for enriched π -partitions depends on the set of peaks and the generating function for left enriched π -partitions depends on the set of left peaks. As we can write $\Delta^{(\ell)}(\pi)$ according to the monomial and fundamental quasisymmetric functions of type B . In this case, if we using the application φ in section 2, we can define the width- k left enriched P -partitions by

$$\Delta^{(\ell)}(\pi, k) = \Delta^{(\ell)}(\pi_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta_A(\pi_i),$$

with nonnegative coefficients.

This coefficient is equal to the number of product of enriched and left enriched π -partitions f such that :

$$(|f(\pi_1(1))|, |f(\pi_1(2))|, \dots, |f(\pi_1(1 + \tau_d k))|) = (1, \dots, 1, \dots, p_1, \dots, p_1),$$

$$(|f(\pi_2(1))|, |f(\pi_2(2))|, \dots, |f(\pi_2(1 + \tau_d k))|) = (1, \dots, 1, \dots, p_2, \dots, p_2),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(|f(\pi_k(1))|, |f(\pi_k(2))|, \dots, |f(\pi_k(1 + dk))|) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, \dots, p_k, \dots, p_k).$$

Applying the Proposition 3.5 of Stembridge [24], Theorem 6.6 of Petersen [18] and the Eq. (17), we find

$$\Delta^{(\ell)}(\pi, k) = 2^{lpeak(\pi_k)} \sum_{\substack{D_k \subset [0, d-1] \\ Lpeak(\pi_k) \subset D_k \Delta(D_k+1)}} L_{D_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{peak(\pi_i)+1} \sum_{\substack{D_i \subset [\tau_d] \\ Peak(\pi_i) \subset D_i \Delta(D_i+1)}} F_{D_i}.$$

We can also write

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) &= 2^{lpeak(\pi_k)} \sum_{\substack{D_k \subset [0, d-1] \\ Lpeak(\pi_k) \subset D_k \Delta(D_k+1)}} \Omega_B(D_k, p_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{peak(\pi_i)+1} \sum_{\substack{D_i \subset [\tau_d] \\ Peak(\pi_i) \subset D_i \Delta(D_i+1)}} \Omega'_B(D_i, p_i), \\ &= 2^{lpeak_k(\pi)+k-1} \sum_{\substack{D \subset [0, n-k] \\ Lpeak_k(\pi) \subset D \Delta(D+k)}} \Omega_B(D, k, p). \end{aligned}$$

From this result, we can find the following theorem.

Theorem 22. *We have the following generating function for width- k left enriched order polynomials,*

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) x^p = 2^{k-1} \frac{(1+x)^{n-k+1}}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}} \left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2} \right)^{lpeak_k(\pi)}.$$

Proof. For any permutation π in \mathfrak{S}_n , we have

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) x^p = \sum_{p \geq 0} 2^{lpeak_k(\pi)+k-1} \sum_{\substack{D \subset [0, n-k] \\ Lpeak_k(\pi) \subset D \Delta(D+k)}} \Omega_B(D, k, p) x^p.$$

Applying the generating function for width- k type B order polynomials defined in Theorem 20, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) x^p = \frac{2^{lpeak_k(\pi)+k-1}}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}} \sum_{\substack{D \subset [0, n-k] \\ Lpeak_k(\pi) \subset D \Delta(D+k)}} x^{|D|}.$$

It is not complicated to specify the generation function for D sets by size. For all $s \in Lpeak_k(\pi)$, we have precisely s or $s-k$ is in D . So, there is still $n-k+1-2lpeak_k(\pi)$ elements in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-k\}$ can be contained in D or not. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{D \subset [0, n-k] \\ Lpeak_k(\pi) \subset D \Delta(D+k)}} x^{|D|} &= \underbrace{(x+x)(x+x) \cdots (x+x)}_{lpeak_k(\pi)} \underbrace{(1+x)(1+x) \cdots (1+x)}_{n-k+1-2lpeak_k(\pi)} \\ &= (2x)^{lpeak_k(\pi)} (1+x)^{n-k+1-2lpeak_k(\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining them all together, we deduce the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \geq 0} \Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) x^p &= \frac{2^{l_{\text{peak}_k(\pi)} + k - 1}}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}} (2x)^{l_{\text{peak}_k(\pi)}} (1+x)^{n-k+1-2l_{\text{peak}_k(\pi)}} \\ &= 2^{k-1} \frac{(1+x)^{n-k+1}}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}} \left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2} \right)^{l_{\text{peak}_k(\pi)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recalling that the number of permutations of \mathfrak{S}_n with p width- k left peaks is $|\Gamma_{n,k,p}^{(\ell)}|$. Then, the width- k left peak polynomial is defined as

$$W_{n,k}^{(\ell)}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{l_{\text{peak}_k(\pi)}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} |\Gamma_{n,k,p}^{(\ell)}| x^p. \quad (19)$$

We need also the following identity for the type B Eulerian polynomials given by Stembridge [22] in Proposition 7.1. b

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} (2p+1)^n x^p = \frac{B_n(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}.$$

Proposition 23. *We have the following relation between the width- k left peak polynomials and the width- k Eulerian polynomials of type B*

$$W_{n,k}^{(\ell)} \left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2} \right) = \frac{\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)}{2^{k-1}(1+x)^{n-k+1}}. \quad (20)$$

Proof. Using the Eq. (18), the number of width- k left enriched P -partitions f is

$$(2p+1)^d \left((2p+1)^{(d-1)} \right)^r \left((2p+1)^d \right)^{k-r-1}.$$

Since, $\pi_k \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ and $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) \in \mathfrak{S}_{d+1}^r \times \mathfrak{S}_d^{k-r-1}$. In fact, the number in total of $f : [n-k+1] \rightarrow [p]^{(\ell)}$ is $(2p+1)^{n-k+1}$. Consequently, $\Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p) = (2p+1)^{n-k+1}$.

Let P_B be a type B poset whose elements are $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$. In the present case, P_B is an antichain of $[-n+k-1, n-k+1]$. Thus, the order polynomial $\Omega_B(\pi, k, p)$ is the same of $\Omega^{(\ell)}(\pi, k, p)$.

By reason on $\mathfrak{L}(P_B)$, we have

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} (2p+1)^{n-k+1} x^p = \frac{\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}}.$$

Using Theorem 22, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{k-1} \frac{(1+x)^{n-k+1}}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}} W_{n,k}^{(\ell)} \left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2} \right) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} (2p+1)^{n-k+1} x^p \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)}{(1-x)^{n-k+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The reorganization of the terms gives the desired result

$$2^{k-1}W_{n,k}^{(\ell)}\left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}\right) = \frac{\mathfrak{WB}_{n,k}(x)}{(1+x)^{n-k+1}}.$$

□

Now, we can prove Theorem 17.

Proof of Theorem 17. Substituting x by $\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}$ in Eq. (19) and using Eq. (4), we obtain

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor n-k+1/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k,p}^B x^p (1+x)^{n-k+1-2p} = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} 2^{2p+k-1} |\Gamma_{n,k,p}^{(\ell)}| x^p (1+x)^{n-k+1-2p}$$

the desired result. □

For $1 \leq n \leq 6$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor$, we record a few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^B$ in the following table.

n	k	p			
		0	1	2	3
1	1	1			
2	1	1	4		
	2	4			
3	1	1	20		
	2	12	0		
	3	24			
4	1	1	72	0	
	2	24	96		
	3	96	0		
	4	192			
5	1	1	232	976	
	2	80	480	640	
	3	480	0		
	4	960	0		
	5	1920			
6	1	1	716	7664	3904
	2	160	3520	6400	
	3	1440	5760	0	
	4	5760	0		
	5	11520	0		
	6	23040			

TABLE 4 – The first few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^B$.

5 Width-k Eulerian polynomials of type D

Now we will study the *Gamma*-positivity of the width- k Eulerian polynomials on the set D_n . Borowiec and Mlotkowski [5] have introduced a new array of type D Eulerian numbers. They found in particular a recurrence relation for this array. In this section, we will generalize these numbers and we give a new generalization of the Eulerian polynomials of type D , $D_n(x)$, and its *Gamma*-positivity with the statistics *width-k descent*.

The case $k = 1$ corresponds to the classical Eulerian polynomials $D_n(x)$. We start by defining the width- k Eulerian polynomials of type D by

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x) = F_n^{des_k^D}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in D_n} x^{des_k^D(\pi)}.$$

In the following theorem, we give the mean result of this section on the set D_n .

Theorem 24. *For any $n \geq 1$, we have if $k = 1$ then*

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,1}(x) = D_n(x),$$

and if $2 \leq k \leq n$ then

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n-d-1} M_{n,k} B_d(x) A_d^{k-r-1}(x) A_{d+1}^r(x). \quad (21)$$

Proof. If $2 \leq k \leq n$, we use the standardization map ψ define in section 2 restrained to the set D_n . So, this identity follows completely in the same way as the identity (9) of Theorem 15, with the same reasoning except the fact that $|D_n| = \frac{|B_n|}{2}$. \square

Denote $\bar{D}_n = B_n \setminus D_n$ and,

$$\text{WD}_{n,k,p} = \{\pi \in D_n; des_k^D(\pi) = p\},$$

$$\text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,p} = \{\pi \in \bar{D}_n; des_k^{\bar{D}}(\pi) = p\}.$$

So, $\text{WD}_{n,k,p} = \text{WB}_{n,k,p} \cap D_n$ and $\text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,p} = \text{WB}_{n,k,p} \setminus D_n$. The cardinals of these sets will be denoted by $d(n, k, p)$ and $\bar{d}(n, k, p)$, respectively. Since $\text{WB}_{n,k,p} = \text{WD}_{n,k,p} \cup \text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,p}$, we have

$$b(n, k, p) = d(n, k, p) + \bar{d}(n, k, p). \quad (22)$$

Now, we give the following symmetry which generalizes the Proposition 4.1 of Borowiec and Mlotkowski [5] for $k = 1$.

Proposition 25. *For $0 \leq p \leq n$, we have if n is even with $1 \leq k \leq n$ or n is odd with $2 \leq k \leq n$, then*

$$\delta(n, k, p) = \delta(n, k, n - k + 1 - p) \text{ and } \bar{\delta}(n, k, p) = \bar{\delta}(n, k, n - k + 1 - p), \quad (23)$$

and if n is odd with $k = 1$, then

$$\delta(n, 1, p) = \bar{\delta}(n, 1, n - p) \text{ and } \bar{\delta}(n, 1, p) = \delta(n, 1, n - p).$$

Proof. Let $\xi : B_n \rightarrow B_n$ be a map such that for any $\pi = (\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n)) \in B_n$, $\xi(\pi) = -\pi := (-\pi(1), \dots, -\pi(n))$. It is easy to see that the map ξ defined a bijection from $\text{WD}_{n,k,p}$ to $\text{WD}_{n,k,n-k+1-p}$ and from $\text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,p}$ to $\text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,n-k+1-p}$. So Eqs. (23) are checked if n is even with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Also, ξ defined a bijection from $\text{WD}_{n,k,p}$ to $\text{W}\bar{\text{D}}_{n,k,n-k+1-p}$ if n is odd with $2 \leq k \leq n$ and using Eq. (22), the proposition becomes obvious. \square

For $k = 1$, Borowiec and Mlotkowski [5] showed the following recurrence relations.

Proposition 26. For $0 \leq p \leq n$,

$$d(n, 1, p) = (2p + 1)d(n - 1, 1, p) + (2n - 2p + 1)d(n - 1, 1, p - 1) + (-1)^p \binom{n - 1}{p - 1}$$

and

$$\bar{d}(n, 1, p) = (2p + 1)\bar{d}(n - 1, 1, p) + (2n - 2p + 1)\bar{d}(n - 1, 1, p - 1) - (-1)^p \binom{n - 1}{p - 1}.$$

Using Eq. (21), we put in the table below the coefficients of the product polynomials $B_d(x)A_d^{k-r-1}(x)A_{d+1}^r(x)$, denoted by $\delta(n, k, p)$, for $1 \leq n \leq 6$, $1 < k \leq n$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - k + 1$. We record also a few values of $\bar{\delta}(n, k, p)$.

We can remark that for $0 \leq p \leq n - k + 1$, where k is the smallest positive integer such that $n + 1 = 2k + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$, we have the following recurrence relations. For any $n \geq 3$,

$$\delta(n, k, p) = \delta(n - 2, k - 1, p - 1) + \delta(n - 2, k - 1, p),$$

and for any $n \geq 4$,

$$\bar{\delta}(n, k, p) = \bar{\delta}(n - 2, k - 1, p - 1) + \bar{\delta}(n - 2, k - 1, p),$$

where,

$$\delta(n, k, -1) = \bar{\delta}(n, k, -1) = 0,$$

$$\delta(n, k, 0) = \bar{\delta}(n, k, 0) = \delta(n, k, n - k + 1) = \bar{\delta}(n, k, n - k + 1) = 1, \text{ for } 2 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$\delta(n, 1, 0) = 1, \quad \bar{\delta}(n, 1, 0) = 0, \text{ for } k = 1,$$

and

$$\delta(n, 1, n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{\delta}(n, 1, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Problem 27. Is it possible to find the recurrence relations of $\delta(n, k, p)$ and $\bar{\delta}(n, k, p)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$?

Remark 28. If n is even,

$$\deg(\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x)) = n - k + 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

and if n is odd,

$$\deg(\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x)) = \begin{cases} n - 1, & \text{if } k = 1; \\ n - k + 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From Table 5, we observe that if n is odd and in the case where $k = 1$, the polynomial $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x)$ is not unimodal and not symmetric. For example, $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{5,1}(x) = 1 + 116x + 846x^2 + 836x^3 + 121x^4$.

n	k	p						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	1	1					
2	1	1	2	1				
	2	1	1					
3	1	1	10	13	0			
	2	1	2	1				
	3	1	1					
4	1	1	36	118	36	1		
	2	1	7	7	1			
	3	1	2	1				
	4	1	1					
5	1	1	116	846	836	121	0	
	2	1	10	26	10	1		
	3	1	3	3	1			
	4	1	2	1				
	5	1	1					
6	1	1	358	5279	11764	5279	358	1
	2	1	27	116	116	27	1	
	3	1	8	14	8	1		
	4	1	3	3	1			
	5	1	2	1				
	6	1	1					

TABLE 5 – A few values of $\delta(n, k, p)$.

n	k	p						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	0	1					
2	1	0	4	0				
	2	1	1					
3	1	0	13	10	1			
	2	1	2	1				
	3	1	1					
4	1	0	40	112	40	0		
	2	1	7	7	1			
	3	1	2	1				
	4	1	1					
5	1	0	121	836	846	116	1	
	2	1	10	26	10	1		
	3	1	3	3	1			
	4	1	2	1				
	5	1	1					
6	1	0	364	5264	11784	5264	364	0
	2	1	27	116	116	27	1	
	3	1	8	14	8	1		
	4	1	3	3	1			
	5	1	2	1				
	6	1	1					

TABLE 6 – A few values of $\bar{\delta}(n, k, p)$.

Theorem 29. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, (unless if n is odd and $k = 1$), we have

$$\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{\pi \in D_n} x^{\text{des}_k^D(\pi)} = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k,p}^D x^p (1+x)^{n-k+1-2p},$$

where for all $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\gamma_{n,k,p}^D = \frac{\gamma_{n,k,p}^B}{2}$.

Proof. If n is odd and for $k = 1$, there are no permutations π in D_n such as $\text{des}_1^D(\pi) = n$. Thus, $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,1}(x)$ is not symmetric and therefore $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,1}(x)$ is not γ -positive.

If n is even and for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of permutations whose $\text{des}_k^D(\pi) = n - k + 1 - p$ is equal to the number of permutations of which $\text{des}_k^D(\pi) = p$ with $0 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor$. Thus, $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x)$ is symmetric with center of symmetry $\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}(x)$ is unimodal.

Therefore, since for all $2 \leq k \leq n$ the width- k Eulerian polynomials of type D is γ -positive, and the cardinal of this group is equal to $\frac{|B_{n,k}|}{2}$, thus $\gamma_{n,k,p}^D = \frac{\gamma_{n,k,p}^B}{2}$. \square

For $2 \leq n \leq 6$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor$, we record a few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^D$ in Table 7.

n	k	p			
		0	1	2	3
2	1	1	0		
	2	2			
3	1				
	2	6	0		
	3	12			
4	1	1	32	48	
	2	12	48		
	3	48	0		
	4	96			
5	1				
	2	40	240	320	
	3	240	0		
	4	480	0		
	5	960			
6	1	1	352	3856	1920
	2	80	1760	3200	
	3	720	2880	0	
	4	2880	0		
	5	5760	0		
	6	11520			

TABLE 7 – A few values of $\gamma_{n,k,p}^D$.

Problem 30. Is it possible to find the recurrence relation of $\gamma_{n,1,p}^D$, if n is even ($k = 1$) ?

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Jaing Zeng for reading an earlier version of this manuscript and for their comments.

References

- [1] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Descent numbers and major indices for the hyperoctahedral group*. Adv. in Appl. Math. 27 (2001), 210-224.
- [2] M. Aguiar, N. Bergeron and K. Nyman, *The peak algebra and the descent algebras of types B and D*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 2781-2824.
- [3] C. A. Athanasiadis, *Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry*. Sém. Lothar. Combin. 77 (2018), Article B77i, 64pp (electronic).
- [4] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [5] A. Borowiec and W. Mlotkowski, *New Eulerian numbers of type D*. Electr. J. Comb. 23(1) : P1.38 (2016).
- [6] P. Brändén, *Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond*. Handbook of enumerative combinatorics, Discrete Math. Appl. (Boca Raton), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015, pp. 437-483. MR 3409348
- [7] C. O. Chow, *Noncommutative symmetric functions of type B*, Ph.D. thesis, MIT, 2001.
- [8] R. Davis, *Width-k Generalizations of Classical Permutation Statistics*. J. Integer Seq. 20 (2017), no. 6, Art. 17.6.3, 14 pp.
- [9] D. Foata and M. P. Schützenberger, *Théorie Géométrique des Polynômes Eulériens*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 138, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [10] D. Foata and V. Strehl, *Euler numbers and variations of permutations*, in Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Roma, 1973), Tomo I, Atti dei Convegni Lincei, Vol. 17, Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, 1976, pp. 119-131.
- [11] D. Foata and V. Strehl, *Rearrangements of the symmetric group and enumerative properties of the tangent and secant numbers*, Math. Z. 137(1974), 257-264.
- [12] I. Gessel, *Multipartite P-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions*, in : Contemp. Math., vol. 34, 1984, pp. 289-317.
- [13] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 29, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] Z. Lin and J. Zeng, *The γ -positivity of basic Eulerian polynomials via group actions*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 135 (2015), 112-129.
- [15] Percy A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1960.
- [16] K. Nyman, *Enumeration in Geometric Lattices and the Symmetric Group*, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, 2001.
- [17] K. Nyman, *The peak algebra of the symmetric group*, J. Algebraic Combin. 17 (2003), 309-322.
- [18] T. K. Petersen, *Enriched P-partitions and peak algebras*, Adv. Math. 209 (2007), no. 2, 561-610.
- [19] V. Reiner, *Signed posets*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 62 (1993), 324-360.

- [20] J. Sack and H. Úlfarsson, *Refined inversion statistics on permutations*, Electron. J. Combin. 19 (1) (2012), Paper #P29.
- [21] L. Solomon, *A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group*, J. Algebra 41 (1976), 255-264.
- [22] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume I, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [23] R. P. Stanley, *Ordered structures and partitions*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 199, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1972.
- [24] J. Stembridge, *Enriched P -partitions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 2, 763-788.
- [25] J. Stembridge, *Some permutation representations of Weyl groups associated with the cohomology of toric varieties*, Adv. Math., 106(2) (1994), 244-301.