# M-SPARC: MATLAB-Simulation Package for Ab-initio Real-space Calculations

Qimen Xu, Abhiraj Sharma, and Phanish Suryanarayana

College of Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

## Abstract

We present M-SPARC: MATLAB-Simulation Package for Ab-initio Real-space Calculations. It can perform pseudopotential spin-polarized and unpolarized Kohn-Sham Density Functional Theory (DFT) simulations for isolated systems such as molecules as well as extended systems such as crystals, surfaces, and nanowires. M-SPARC provides a rapid prototyping platform for the development and testing of new algorithms and methods in real-space DFT, with the potential to significantly accelerate the rate of advancements in the field. It also provides a convenient avenue for the accurate first principles study of small to moderate sized systems.

Keywords: Kohn-Sham, Density Functional Theory, Electronic structure, Real-space, **MATLAB** 



# Code Metadata

Table 1: Code metadata

#### 1. Motivation and significance

Kohn-Sham Density Functional Theory (DFT) [\[1,](#page-7-0) [2\]](#page-7-1) is one of the most widely used electronic structure theories for understanding and predicting materials properties from the first principles of quantum mechanics  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$ , without the need for any empirical or adjustable parameters. The popularity of DFT can be attributed to its high accuracy to cost ratio when compared to other such ab initio methods. However the efficient solution of the Kohn-Sham problem remains a significant challenge, which limits the size and length scales that are accessible to such a rigorous first principles investigation.

The computational cost and memory associated with diagonalization based solutions of the Kohn-Sham equations scale cubically and quadratically with respect to system size, respectively [\[5\]](#page-7-4). The accompanying prefactors are particularly large when systematically improvable discretizations are used. Though the Kohn-Sham problem can be reformulated in terms of the truncated density matrix to develop linear scaling methods [\[6,](#page-7-5) [7\]](#page-7-6), they suffer from a number of limitations. These include being restrictive in the types of systems that can be studied and having a significantly larger prefactor, which makes them inefficient relative to their cubic scaling counterparts for small to moderate system sizes [\[8,](#page-7-7) [9\]](#page-7-8).

In view of the above bottlenecks, nearly all established DFT codes take advantage of the efficiency and parallel scalability provided by lower level programming languages such as C, C++, and Fortran. In particular, it is common to employ multiple levels of parallelization, the nature of which also changes between different parts of the code. This leads to significant code complexity, making the testing of new ideas and methods prone to error, while requiring excessively large effort for implementation. As a consequence, the rate of advancements in the field can be significantly hindered. This motivates the development of simple but accurate codes written in high level programming languages such as Python and Matlab, which enable rapid prototyping.

KSSOLV [\[10\]](#page-7-9) is one such MATLAB code for the planewave method, traditionally the discretization of choice in Kohn-Sham DFT [\[11,](#page-8-0) [12,](#page-8-1) [13,](#page-8-2) [14,](#page-8-3) [15,](#page-8-4) [16\]](#page-8-5). However, to the best of our knowledge, no such counterpart exists for real-space methods, which have gained significant attention recently [\[17,](#page-8-6) [18,](#page-8-7) [19,](#page-8-8) [20,](#page-8-9) [21,](#page-8-10) [22,](#page-8-11) [23,](#page-9-0) [24\]](#page-9-1), in part due to their high scalability for large-scale parallel computing [\[25,](#page-9-2) [23,](#page-9-0) [24\]](#page-9-1), flexibility in the choice of boundary conditions [\[26,](#page-9-3) [27,](#page-9-4) [28\]](#page-9-5), and amenability to the development of linear scaling methods [\[29,](#page-9-6) [8\]](#page-7-7). Motivated by this, in this work we develop M-SPARC: Matlab-Simulation Package for Ab-initio Realspace Calculations. It provides a rapid prototyping platform for the development and testing of new algorithms and methods in real-space DFT. Additionally, it provides a convenient avenue for the accurate first principles study of small to moderate sized systems.

### 2. Software description

The central focus of M-SPARC is the solution of the Kohn-Sham equations [\[2\]](#page-7-1):

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\left(\mathcal{H}^{\sigma}\equiv-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}+V_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\left[\rho^{\alpha},\rho^{\beta};\mathbf{R}\right]\right)\psi_{n}^{\sigma}=\lambda_{n}^{\sigma}\psi_{n}^{\sigma},\quad n=1,2,\ldots,N_{s},\quad\sigma\in\left\{\alpha,\beta\right\},\quad(1)
$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{\sigma}$  is the Hamiltonian,  $\psi_n^{\sigma}$  are the Kohn-Sham orbitals with energies  $\lambda_n^{\sigma}$ ,  $V_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}$  is the effective potential,  $N_s$  is the number of states,  $\sigma$  denotes the spin component, and **R** represents the collection of atomic positions. In addition,  $\rho^{\alpha}$  and  $\rho^{\beta}$  are the spin-up and spin-down components of the electron density, respectively:

$$
\rho^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_s} g_n^{\sigma} |\psi_n^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})|^2, \quad \sigma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3
$$
 (2)

where  $g_n^{\sigma}$  are the orbital occupations, typically given by the Fermi-Dirac distribution. In computations, zero Dirichlet and Bloch-periodic boundary conditions are prescribed on the orbitals along the directions in which the system is finite and extended, respectively. Note that when spin is neglected, i.e., for spin-unpolarized calculations, all quantities become independent of the spin, whereby the two coupled nonlinear eigenproblems in Eqn. [1](#page-1-0) reduce to a single nonlinear eigenproblem.

### 2.1. Software Architecture

M-SPARC is written exclusively using the Matlab language. It employs a high-order central finite-difference approximation for discretization of the equations and a trapezoidal rule for spatial integrations. A pictorial overview of the M-SPARC framework for performing DFT calculations is illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) It requires two input files: (i) . inpt file containing user options and parameters, including the dimensions of the cell, boundary conditions, information about the finite-difference grid, and choice of exchange-correlation functional; and (ii) .ion file containing the atomic information, including the atom type, its spatial position, and path to its pseudopotential file.

Three types of calculations can be performed in M-SPARC: single point, structural relaxation, and molecular dynamics. In single point calculations, the electronic ground-state is calculated for a given atomic configuration. In structural relaxation simulations, the energy is minimized with respect to the atomic positions or volume of the cell, while employing the computed Hellmann-Feynman atomic forces [\[23,](#page-9-0) [24\]](#page-9-1) or stress tensor [\[30\]](#page-9-7), respectively. In molecular dynamics simulations, the ionic positions, velocities, and accelerations are evolved by integrating the equations of motion, while utilizing the atomic forces. Indeed, the electronic ground state needs to be determined for every atomic configuration encountered during the structural relaxation and molecular dynamics simulations.

The electronic ground state is determined using the self-consistent field (SCF) method [\[5\]](#page-7-4). Specifically, a fixed-point iteration is performed with respect to either the electron density or the potential. For the very first electronic ground state calculation, the superposition of isolated atom electron densities is used as initial guess for the electron density, whereas for every subsequent such calculation, extrapolation based on previous solutions is used [\[31\]](#page-9-8). The convergence of the SCF iteration is accelerated using the restarted variant of the Periodic Pulay mixing scheme [\[32,](#page-9-9) [33\]](#page-9-10), with the option of real-space preconditioning [\[34\]](#page-9-11). For spin-polarized calculations, mixing is performed simultaneously for both spin components, i.e., using a vector of twice the original length containing both spin-up and



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 1: Overview of the M-SPARC framework for performing DFT calculations.

spin-down density/potential components. The SCF iteration is considered to be converged when self-consistency in the solution is achieved.

In each SCF iteration, partial diagonalization of the linearized eigenproblem is performed using the CheFSI method [\[35,](#page-10-0) [36\]](#page-10-1), with multiple Chebyshev filtering steps in the very first iteration of the entire DFT simulation [\[37\]](#page-10-2). Zero Dirichlet and Bloch-periodic boundary conditions are prescribed on the orbitals  $\psi_n^{\sigma}$  along the directions in which the system is finite and extended, respectively. While performing the Hamiltonian-matrix products, the Kronecker product formulation for the Laplacian [\[38\]](#page-10-3) is used, with the remaining terms handled in a matrix-free fashion. A local formulation of the electrostatics in terms of ionic pseudocharges is employed [\[39,](#page-10-4) [40\]](#page-10-5), wherein the electrostatic potential (component of  $V_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}$ ) is determined by the solution of the Poisson equation. Dirichlet and periodic boundary conditions are prescribed on the electrostatic potential along directions in which the system is finite and extended, respectively. The Dirichlet boundary condition values are determined using a multipole expansion for isolated systems and a dipole correction for surfaces and nanowires  $|41, 26|$ . The linear system is solved using the AAR method  $|42, 43|$  in conjunction with Cholesky preconditioning.

After completion of the DFT simulation, in addition to the parameters used in the calculation, all quantities of interest such as the orbitals, occupations, electron density, and electrostatic potential are stored in the structure denoted by S. General information such as input parameters, progress of the SCF iteration, energy, maximum force, and timing are written into the .out file. Additionally, .static, .geopt, .cellopt, and .aimd files are written for single point, atomic structural relaxation, cell structural relaxation, and molecular dynamics simulations, respectively. The .static file contains the atomic positions and forces; the .geopt file contains the atomic positions and forces for each atomic relaxation step; the .cellopt contains the cell information and stress tensor for each cell relaxation step; and the .aimd file contains atomic positions, forces, and velocities. Note that a .restart file is also written for structural relaxations and molecular dynamics, which can be used to restart the simulation.

#### 2.2. Software Functionalities

M-SPARC can perform spin-polarized and unpolarized ab initio calculations based on pseudopotential Kohn-Sham DFT for isolated systems such as molecules as well as extended systems such as crystals, surfaces, and nanowires. Specifically, it can currently perform single point calculations for a given atomic configuration, structural relaxations with respect to atomic positions or cell volume, and NVE molecular dynamics simulations, all using either the ONCV  $[44]$  or Troullier-Martin pseudopotentials  $[45]$  and either the LDA  $[46, 47]$  $[46, 47]$  $[46, 47]$  or GGA [\[48\]](#page-11-0) exchange-correlation functionals. In so doing, M-SPARC can calculate the energy of the system as well as the Hellmann-Feynman atomic forces and stress tensor. The output from such DFT calculations can be used to calculate a number of properties, such as equilibrium bond lengths, HOMO-LUMO gap, dipole moment, surface energy, cohesive energy, defect energy, lattice constant, elastic moduli, density of states, electronic band structure, and pair correlation function.

## 3. Illustrative Examples

We now demonstrate some of the major functionalities of M-SPARC through representative examples. Specifically, we consider a (i) 4-atom cell of bulk fcc gold with LDA,  $7 \times 7 \times 7$ grid for Brillouin zone integration, and mesh-size of 0.3 Bohr; (ii) 49-atom cell of germanene  $(5 \times 5$  supercell with a vacancy) with GGA,  $2 \times 2$  grid for Brillouin zone integration, and mesh-size of 0.3 Bohr; (iii) 12-atom (3,3) carbon nanotube with GGA, 10 points for Brillouin zone integration, and mesh-size of 0.3 Bohr; and (iv) a  $Si<sub>275</sub>H<sub>172</sub>$  nanodot with LDA and mesh-size of 0.5 Bohr. We employ ONCV pseudopotentials [\[44\]](#page-10-9) with 19, 14, 4, 4, and 1 valence electrons for gold, germanium, carbon, silicon, and hydrogen, respectively. In all

cases, we use the 12-th order finite-difference approximation for discretization of the equations. Input files for these examples are available as part of the distribution. We present the results so obtained in Fig. [2](#page-5-0) and compare them to highly converged results obtained by the established planewave code ABINIT [\[14\]](#page-8-3). It is clear that that there is very good agreement between M-SPARC and ABINIT, verifying the accuracy of the implementation. Indeed, the agreement further increases as the discretization is refined in M-SPARC, i.e., the mesh-size is made smaller.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 2: Examples demonstrating some of the major functionalities of M-SPARC.

#### 4. Impact

M-SPARC provides a rapid prototyping platform for the development and testing of new algorithms/methods in Kohn-Sham DFT, particularly for the real-space method. This is evidenced by the number of accurate and efficient methods developed in M-SPARC that have resulted in immediate publications, including the linear scaling Spectral Quadrature (SQ) DFT method that is identically applicable to insulating and metallic systems [\[49\]](#page-11-1); coarsegrained DFT formulation that enables the study of crystal defects at realistic concentrations [\[27\]](#page-9-4); cyclic and helical symmetry-adapted DFT formulations that allow for the highly efficient study of systems with such symmetries, enabling the ab initio study of nanomaterials subjected to bending and torsional deformations [\[50,](#page-11-2) [51\]](#page-11-3); Kronecker product formulation of the kinetic energy operator that reduces the cost of real-space DFT for non-orthogonal systems; the Discontinuous Discrete Basis Projection (DDBP) method that significantly increases the efficiency of real-space DFT by reducing the size of the Hamiltonian by up to three orders of magnitude [\[52\]](#page-11-4); real-space formulation for the Hellmann-Feynman stress tensor in DFT [\[30\]](#page-9-7); real-space formulation for isotropic Fourier-space preconditioners that can accelerate the SCF iteration in DFT [\[34\]](#page-9-11).

M-SPARC and its variants are currently being used by multiple research groups. Moving forward, the user base is expected to significantly expand, given the current open source software release of M-SPARC and the noticeable emphasis placed on the development of real-space DFT [\[17,](#page-8-6) [18,](#page-8-7) [19,](#page-8-8) [20,](#page-8-9) [21,](#page-8-10) [22,](#page-8-11) [23,](#page-9-0) [24\]](#page-9-1). Possible avenues for M-SPARC to have an immediate impact in real-space DFT include: implementation of sophisticated exchangecorrelation functionals such as hybrids; preconditioners for accelerating the convergence of eigensolvers; mixing schemes and associated preconditioners for accelerating SCF convergence, particularly for spin polarized calculations; techniques for reducing the size of the Hamiltonian by projection onto a significantly smaller basis; novel boundary conditions that accurately and efficiently capture the physics/chemistry of the system; formulations for reducing the eggbox effect; and machine learning models in the context of DFT. Note that even though the main utility of M-SPARC is in rapid prototyping for large-scale implementations, it provides a convenient avenue for the ab initio investigation of small to moderately sized systems, wherein the time to solution (i.e., wall time) is only a few fold larger than established parallel DFT codes.

# 5. Conclusions

M-SPARC is now a mature Matlab code for performing real-space Kohn-Sham DFT calculations, motivating its open-source release along with this article. It provides a rapid prototyping platform for the development and testing of new algorithms and methods in Kohn-Sham DFT, particularly for the real-space method. As a result, M-SPARC has the potential to significantly accelerate the rate of advancements in the field, which can enable a number of new and exciting applications in science and engineering that were previously intractable.

### 6. Conflict of Interest

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

### Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the grant DE-SC0019410 funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science. Initial development efforts were supported by the grants 1333500 and 1553212 funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation.

## References

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] P. Hohenberg, W. Kohn, Inhomogeneous electron gas, Physical Review 136 (3B) (1964) B864.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[2] W. Kohn, L. J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects, Physical Review 140 (4A) (1965) A1133–A1138.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[3] A. D. Becke, Perspective: Fifty years of density-functional theory in chemical physics, The Journal of Chemical Physics 140 (18) (2014) 18A301.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[4] A. Pribram-Jones, D. A. Gross, K. Burke, DFT: A theory full of holes?, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 66 (2015) 283–304.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[5] R. Martin, Electronic Structure: Basic theory and practical methods, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[6] S. Goedecker, Linear scaling electronic structure methods, Reviews of Modern Physics 71 (4) (1999) 1085–1123.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[7] D. R. Bowler, T. Miyazaki, O(N) methods in electronic structure calculations, Reports on Progress in Physics 75 (3) (2012) 036503.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[8] P. Suryanarayana, P. P. Pratapa, A. Sharma, J. E. Pask, SQDFT: Spectral Quadrature method for large-scale parallel O(N) Kohn–Sham calculations at high temperature, Computer Physics Communications 224 (2018) 288–298.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[9] P. Suryanarayana, On nearsightedness in metallic systems for O(N) Density Functional Theory calculations: A case study on aluminum, Chemical Physics Letters 679 (2017) 146–151.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[10] C. Yang, J. C. Meza, B. Lee, L.-W. Wang, KSSOLV–a MATLAB toolbox for solving the Kohn-Sham equations, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS) 36 (2) (2009) 10.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[11] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Physical Review B 54 (16) (1996) 11169–11186.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[12] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, et al., Quantum espresso: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials, Journal of physics: Condensed matter 21 (39) (2009) 395502.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[13] S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. Probert, K. Refson, M. C. Payne, First principles methods using castep, Zeitschrift für Kristallographie-Crystalline Materials 220 (5/6) (2005) 567–570.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[14] X. Gonze, J. M. Beuken, R. Caracas, F. Detraux, M. Fuchs, G. M. Rignanese, L. Sindic, M. Verstraete, G. Zerah, F. Jollet, M. Torrent, A. Roy, M. Mikami, P. Ghosez, J. Y. Raty, D. C. Allan, First-principles computation of material properties: the ABINIT software project, Computational Materials Science 25 (2002) 478–492(15).
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[15] F. Gygi, Architecture of Qbox: A scalable first-principles molecular dynamics code, IBM Journal of Research and Development 52 (1.2) (2008) 137–144.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[16] M. Valiev, E. J. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T. P. Straatsma, H. J. Van Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, E. Apra, T. L. Windus, et al., NWChem: A comprehensive and scalable open-source solution for large scale molecular simulations, Computer Physics Communications 181 (9) (2010) 1477–1489.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[17] J. Bernholc, J.-Y. Yi, D. Sullivan, Structural transitions in metal clusters, Faraday Discussions 92 (1991) 217–228.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[18] J. R. Chelikowsky, N. Troullier, Y. Saad, Finite-difference-pseudopotential method: electronic structure calculations without a basis, Physical Review Letters 72 (8) (1994) 1240.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[19] A. P. Seitsonen, M. J. Puska, R. M. Nieminen, Real-space electronic-structure calculations: Combination of the finite-difference and conjugate-gradient methods, Physical Review B 51 (20) (1995) 14057.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[20] F. Gygi, G. Galli, Real-space adaptive-coordinate electronic-structure calculations, Physical Review B 52 (4) (1995) R2229.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[21] E. L. Briggs, D. J. Sullivan, J. Bernholc, Real-space multigrid-based approach to largescale electronic structure calculations, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 14362–14375.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[22] J.-I. Iwata, D. Takahashi, A. Oshiyama, T. Boku, K. Shiraishi, S. Okada, K. Yabana, A massively-parallel electronic-structure calculations based on real-space density functional theory, Journal of Computational Physics 229 (6) (2010) 2339–2363.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[23] S. Ghosh, P. Suryanarayana, SPARC: Accurate and efficient finite-difference formulation and parallel implementation of density functional theory: Isolated clusters, Computer Physics Communications 212 (2017) 189–204.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[24] S. Ghosh, P. Suryanarayana, SPARC: Accurate and efficient finite-difference formulation and parallel implementation of density functional theory: Extended systems, Computer Physics Communications 216 (2017) 109–125.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[25] Y. Hasegawa, J.-I. Iwata, M. Tsuji, D. Takahashi, A. Oshiyama, K. Minami, T. Boku, F. Shoji, A. Uno, M. Kurokawa, et al., First-principles calculations of electron states of a silicon nanowire with 100,000 atoms on the k computer, in: Proceedings of 2011 International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, ACM, 2011, p. 1.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[26] A. Natan, A. Benjamini, D. Naveh, L. Kronik, M. L. Tiago, S. P. Beckman, J. R. Chelikowsky, Real-space pseudopotential method for first principles calculations of general periodic and partially periodic systems, Physical Review B 78 (7) (2008) 075109.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[27] P. Suryanarayana, K. Bhattacharya, M. Ortiz, Coarse-graining Kohn-Sham density functional theory, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 61 (1) (2013) 38– 60.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[28] S. Ghosh, A. S. Banerjee, P. Suryanarayana, Symmetry-adapted real-space density functional theory for cylindrical geometries: Application to large group-IV nanotubes, Physical Review B 100 (12) (2019) 125143.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[29] D. Osei-Kuffuor, J.-L. Fattebert, Accurate and scalable O(N) algorithm for firstprinciples molecular-dynamics computations on large parallel computers, Physical Review Letters 112 (4) (2014) 046401.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[30] A. Sharma, P. Suryanarayana, On the calculation of the stress tensor in real-space kohn-sham density functional theory, The Journal of Chemical Physics 149 (19) (2018) 194104.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[31] D. Alfe, Ab initio molecular dynamics, a simple algorithm for charge extrapolation, Computer Physics Communications 118 (1) (1999) 31–33.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[32] P. P. Pratapa, P. Suryanarayana, Restarted Pulay mixing for efficient and robust acceleration of fixed-point iterations, Chemical Physics Letters 635 (2015) 69–74.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[33] A. S. Banerjee, P. Suryanarayana, J. E. Pask, Periodic Pulay method for robust and efficient convergence acceleration of self-consistent field iterations, Chemical Physics Letters 647 (2016) 31–35.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[34] S. Kumar, Q. Xu, P. Suryanarayana, On preconditioning the self-consistent field iteration in real-space density functional theory, Chemical Physics Letters 739 (2020) 136983.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[35] Y. Zhou, Y. Saad, M. L. Tiago, J. R. Chelikowsky, Self-consistent-field calculations using Chebyshev-filtered subspace iteration, Journal of Computational Physics 219 (1) (2006) 172–184.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[36] Y. Zhou, Y. Saad, M. L. Tiago, J. R. Chelikowsky, Parallel self-consistent-field calculations via Chebyshev-filtered subspace acceleration, Physical Review E 74 (6) (2006) 066704.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[37] Y. Zhou, J. R. Chelikowsky, Y. Saad, Chebyshev-filtered subspace iteration method free of sparse diagonalization for solving the Kohn–Sham equation, Journal of Computational Physics 274 (2014) 770–782.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[38] A. Sharma, P. Suryanarayana, On real-space density functional theory for nonorthogonal crystal systems: Kronecker product formulation of the kinetic energy operator, Chemical Physics Letters 700 (2018) 156–162.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[39] P. Suryanarayana, D. Phanish, Augmented Lagrangian formulation of orbital-free density functional theory, Journal of Computational Physics 275 (0) (2014) 524–538.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[40] S. Ghosh, P. Suryanarayana, Higher-order finite-difference formulation of periodic orbital-free density functional theory, Journal of Computational Physics 307 (2016) 634–652.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[41] W. RussellBurdick, Y. Saad, L. Kronik, I. Vasiliev, M. Jain, J. R. Chelikowsky, Parallel implementation of time-dependent density functional theory, Computer Physics Communications 156 (1) (2003) 22–42.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[42] P. P. Pratapa, P. Suryanarayana, J. E. Pask, Anderson acceleration of the Jacobi iterative method: An efficient alternative to Krylov methods for large, sparse linear systems, Journal of Computational Physics 306 (2016) 43–54.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[43] P. Suryanarayana, P. P. Pratapa, J. E. Pask, Alternating Anderson–Richardson method: An efficient alternative to preconditioned Krylov methods for large, sparse linear systems, Computer Physics Communications 234 (2019) 278–285.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[44] D. R. Hamann, Optimized norm-conserving Vanderbilt pseudopotentials, Physical Review B 88 (8) (2013) 085117.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[45] N. Troullier, J. L. Martins, Efficient pseudopotentials for plane-wave calculations, Physical Review B 43 (3) (1991) 1993–2006.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[46] J. P. Perdew, A. Zunger, Self-interaction correction to density-functional approximations for many-electron systems, Phyical Review B 23 (1981) 5048–5079.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[47] J. P. Perdew, W. Yue, Accurate and simple density functional for the electronic exchange energy: Generalized gradient approximation, Physical Review B 33 (12) (1986) 8800.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[48] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Physical Review Letters 77 (18) (1996) 3865.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[49] P. P. Pratapa, P. Suryanarayana, J. E. Pask, Spectral quadrature method for accurate O(N) electronic structure calculations of metals and insulators, Computer Physics Communications 200 (2015) 96–107.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[50] A. S. Banerjee, P. Suryanarayana, Cyclic density functional theory: A route to the first principles simulation of bending in nanostructures, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 96 (2016) 605–631.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[51] A. S. Banerjee, P. Suryanarayana, Ab initio framework for simulating systems with helical symmetry: formulation, implementation and applications to torsional deformations in nanostructures, preprint.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[52] Q. Xu, P. Suryanarayana, J. E. Pask, Discrete discontinuous basis projection method for large-scale electronic structure calculations, The Journal of Chemical Physics 149 (9) (2018) 094104.