

# A near-linear time minimum Steiner cut algorithm for planar graphs

Stephen Jue, Philip N. Klein

January 1, 2020

## Abstract

We consider the MINIMUM STEINER CUT problem on undirected planar graphs with non-negative edge weights. This problem involves finding the minimum cut of the graph that separates a specified subset  $X$  of vertices (*terminals*) into two parts. This problem is of theoretical interest because it generalizes two classical optimization problems, MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT and MINIMUM CUT, and of practical importance because of its application to computing a lower bound for STEINER (SUBSET) TSP. Our algorithm has running time  $O(n \log n \log k)$  where  $k$  is the number of terminals.

## 1 Introduction

The study of the MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT and MINIMUM CUT problems on graphs has a rich history. In 1956, Ford and Fulkerson gave an algorithm for the MAXIMUM  $s$ - $t$  FLOW problem and proved the problem's duality to MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT [8]. The MINIMUM CUT problem was initially considered to be a harder variant of the  $s$ - $t$  formulation, but further algorithmic improvements showed that they have comparable difficulty. Indeed, for planar undirected graphs, the fastest algorithm for MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT is  $O(n \log \log n)$  [13] and the fastest algorithm for MINIMUM CUT is also  $O(n \log \log n)$  [26].

The MINIMUM STEINER CUT problem is as follows. Given an undirected edge-weighted graph  $G$  and a subset of  $k$  vertices  $X \subseteq V$  called terminals, find a *terminal-separating* cut that minimizes the weight of edges whose ends are separated by the cut. A cut  $W \subseteq V$  is *terminal-separating* if there is at least one terminal in  $W$  and at least one terminal in  $V \setminus W$ . This problem generalizes both the MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT problem ( $X = \{s, t\}$ ) and the MINIMUM CUT problem ( $X = V$ ).

### 1.1 Our result

We give a near-linear-time algorithm for MINIMUM STEINER CUT in planar graphs.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected planar graph with  $n$  vertices and edge weights. Let  $X \subseteq V$  be a set of  $k$  terminals. The minimum Steiner cut of  $G$  with respect to  $X$  can be found in time  $O(n \log n \log k)$ .*

A trivial algorithm repeats MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT on any terminal and the  $k - 1$  other terminals, giving a  $O(n(\log \log n)k)$  runtime. Our algorithm improves on this bound when  $k > \log n$ . Our algorithm follows the structure of a near-linear-time algorithm for MINIMUM CUT in planar graphs due to Chalermsook, Fakcharoenphol and Nanongkai [5]. Their algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer strategy that is based on finding a balanced cycle separator consisting of two shortest paths. At its core, it uses calls to a subroutine for minimum  $st$ -cut.

The algorithm of Chalermsook *et al.* (hereafter CFN) depends on the minimum  $st$ -cut for carefully selected vertices  $s$  and  $t$  being a candidate solution for MINIMUM CUT. The CFN algorithm cannot be straightforwardly generalized to MINIMUM STEINER CUT because that minimum  $st$ -cut might not be a terminal-separating cut. However, we show that an appropriate terminal-separating cut can be found by an algorithm that combines (1) some ideas of Reif’s MINIMUM CUT algorithm for planar graphs [24] with (2) an orientation of nontree edges with respect to a shortest-path tree. The latter idea draws on work by Rao [23] and subsequent work by Park and Phillips [21]; however, their algorithms were  $\Omega(n^2)$  so our algorithm must use the structure differently.

Why do we restrict ourselves to planar graphs? Because the algorithms for minimum cut and minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut / maximum  $s$ - $t$  flow in general graphs have quadratic runtime. Hao and Orlin’s minimum cut algorithm which runs in time  $O(nm \log(n^2/m))$  could likely be modified to find the minimum Steiner cut of a general graph in the same amount of time [9]. Orlin’s more recent maximum  $s$ - $t$  flow algorithm runs in time  $O(nm)$  or  $O(n^2/\log n)$  in the case when  $m = O(n)$ , which holds for planar graphs [20]. These runtimes suggest a quadratic runtime for minimum Steiner cut using existing techniques. For applications such as computing a lower bound for Steiner TSP, faster runtimes are needed.

## 1.2 Related work

When it was published, the CFN algorithm had a running time of  $O(n \log^2 n)$ . As noted by Mozes, Nikolaev, Nussbaum, and Weimann [19], this can be improved to  $O(n \log n \log \log n)$  by using the  $O(n \log \log n)$  minimum- $st$ -cut algorithm of Italiano, Nussbaum, Sankowski, and Wulff-Nilsen [13].

Borradaile *et al.* [4] gave an  $O(n \log^3 n)$  algorithm to process a bounded-genus graph and construct a data structure that supports constant-time  $s$ - $t$  minimum cut queries. By using the algorithm of Borradaile *et al.*, one can therefore find a minimum terminal-separating cut in  $O(n \log^3 n)$  time. Thus our algorithm gives a logarithmic-factor improvement for the problem of finding a minimum terminal-separating cut. (Our algorithm is also much simpler than the very sophisticated algorithm of Borradaile *et al.*, and in fact we are in the process of implementing our algorithm.)

For general *unweighted* graphs, Cole and Hariharan [7] gave a  $O(C^3 n \log n + m)$  algorithm for computing the minimum Steiner cut, if the size of that cut is  $C$ . Bhalgat *et al.* [3] later improved this to  $\tilde{O}(C^2 n + m)$ .

## 1.3 Application to obtaining lower bounds for Steiner TSP in planar graphs

Our motivation to work on this problem comes from the *Steiner traveling salesman problem (TSP) in planar graphs*. In this problem, one is given the same input—an edge-weighted planar graph and a subset of terminals, and the goal is to find a minimum-weight closed walk visiting all terminals.<sup>1</sup>

For standard (non-Steiner) TSP, the well-known *Held-Karp lower bound*, which is considered a good lower bound in practice, is equivalent to solving a huge structured linear program. There has been much work on fast approximation schemes for computing the Held-Karp lower bound; recently Chekuri

---

<sup>1</sup>In studying TSP in general graphs (the metric TSP), one need not consider the Steiner variant; one can always consider the metric induced on the terminals. However, when the graph is from a restricted family, e.g. the family of planar graphs, this reduction is not applicable because the induced metric likely lies outside the family.

and Quanrud [6] showed that this could be done in nearly linear time, by using the packing-covering framework, a.k.a. multiplicative weights (see, e.g., [22, 17, 1]). Their algorithm (like at least one previous approximation scheme for Held-Karp [22]) relies on repeatedly finding a minimum cut in a graph whose weights change in every iteration.

In previous experimental work on an implementation [2] of (non-Steiner) TSP in planar graphs [15], we computed lower bounds that are slightly weaker than Held-Karp by optimizing over the *subtour elimination polytope*.<sup>2</sup> We used an approximation algorithm that also relies on repeatedly finding a minimum cut in a graph whose weights change in every iteration. For this purpose, we used the CFN algorithm.<sup>3</sup> Using this implementation, we were able to show our TSP implementation found near-optimal solutions in huge planar graphs.

We are now implementing an approximation scheme [14] for Steiner TSP in edge-weighted planar graphs.<sup>4</sup> For Steiner TSP, the Held-Karp lower bound and subtour elimination lower bound are not valid. However, one can consider a variant of these linear programs in which the constraints that give rise to the minimum-cut subproblem are replaced with constraints that give rise to minimum-Steiner-cut subproblems. Our plan is to use our new minimum-Steiner-cut algorithm in an approximation scheme to compute lower bounds on Steiner TSP and use these to evaluate the quality of solutions obtained by our Steiner TSP implementation.

Note that the methods of previous approximation schemes [22, 6] are not applicable to computing a lower bound on Steiner TSP. Even the original minimum-spanning-tree method of Held and Karp [10] is not applicable.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected planar graph. It is useful to consider each (undirected) edge  $e = uv$  as corresponding to a pair of *darts* pointing in opposite directions,  $u \rightarrow v$  and  $v \rightarrow u$ . For a dart  $u \rightarrow v$ ,  $u$  is the *tail* and  $v$  is the *head*. We denote the set of darts as  $D = E \times \{1, -1\}$ .

### 2.1 Cuts

Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a proper nonempty subset  $S$  of its vertices,  $\delta(S)$  denotes the set of edges  $uv$  such that  $u$  is in  $S$  and  $v$  is not. Such a set is called a *cut*. We call it a *simple cut* (sometimes called a *bond*) if  $S$  and  $V - S$  each induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ . Given a graph with edge-weights, the MINIMUM CUT problem is to compute a cut whose total weight is minimum. In the MINIMUM  $s$ - $t$  CUT problem, one is given in addition vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , and one seeks a minimum-weight cut  $\delta(S)$  such that  $s \in S$  and  $t \notin S$ . In the MINIMUM STEINER CUT problem, one is given a subset  $X$  of vertices (the *terminals*), and one seeks a minimum-weight cut  $\delta(S)$  such that at least one terminal is in  $S$  and at least one is not. Assume without loss of generality that the input graph  $G$  is connected. In this case, for each of the above cut problems, there is always a solution that is a *simple cut*.

---

<sup>2</sup>This polytope is defined by  $2^n$  constraints. The Held-Karp lower bound is equivalent to optimizing over the same polytope intersected with that defined by an additional  $n$  constraints.

<sup>3</sup>This was essential because the available implementations of Held-Karp required  $\Omega(n^2)$  space and time, and we were testing on huge sparse graphs.

<sup>4</sup>This problem models, e.g., optimizing deliveries in a road map.

## 2.2 Cycles and orientations

An *orientation* of a graph is a function  $\lambda : E \rightarrow D$  that maps each edge to one of its darts. We sometimes consider an orientation  $\lambda : E' \rightarrow D$  of a subset of edges  $E' \subseteq E$  of a graph. An *oriented cycle*  $(C, \lambda_C)$  is a cycle with an orientation on its edges such that, for each consecutive pair of edges  $e_1, e_2$ , the head of  $\lambda_C(e_1)$  is the tail of  $\lambda_C(e_2)$ . The orientation of a cycle can be clockwise or counterclockwise. We say that an oriented cycle  $(C, \lambda_C)$  *agrees with* an orientation  $\lambda$  if  $\lambda_C(e) = \lambda(e)$  for all edges  $e$  in the domain of  $\lambda$ . Two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  can be *combined* using their symmetric difference  $C_1 \Delta C_2 = (C_1 \setminus C_2) \cup (C_2 \setminus C_1)$ . We say that two oriented cycles *combine simply* if they combine to form a simple cycle that agrees with their orientations.

## 2.3 Planar graph duality

Let  $G$  be a planar embedded graph. The *dual* of  $G$  is another planar embedded graph  $G^*$  whose vertices correspond to faces of  $G$  and vice versa. We refer to  $G$  as the *primal*. Two vertices of  $G^*$  are joined by an edge if their corresponding faces are adjacent in  $G$ . Hence, every edge  $e$  in  $G$  corresponds to an edge  $e^*$  in  $G^*$ , every vertex  $v$  in  $G$  corresponds to a face  $v^*$  in  $G^*$ , and every face  $f$  in  $G$  corresponds to a vertex  $f^*$  in  $G^*$ . For a dart  $d$  of  $G$ , the corresponding dart of  $G^*$  points from  $d$ 's left face (when  $d$  is oriented upwards) to  $d$ 's right face.

## 2.4 Interdigitating spanning trees

For every spanning tree  $T$  of a planar graph  $G$ , the set of edges of  $G^*$  whose edges are not in  $T$  form a spanning tree  $T^*$  of  $G^*$ . This tree is called the *interdigitating tree* with respect to  $T$  (and vice versa). The *fundamental cycle* of  $e$  in  $T$  is the unique cycle consisting of  $e$  together with the path in  $T$  between its endpoints. Let  $(T^*, \lambda)$  be the interdigitating tree with its edges oriented rootwise. This orientation corresponds to a counterclockwise orientation to each non-tree edge  $e$  and its fundamental cycle in the primal graph  $G$ .




Figure 1: A graph with a spanning tree  $T$  (thick black) and its corresponding interdigitating tree  $T^*$  (wavy blue).  $T^*$  is given a rootwise orientation, which corresponds to a counterclockwise orientation of non-tree edges in the primal (thin gray).

## 2.5 Cut-cycle duality

A set of edges of a planar embedded graph  $G$  form a simple cycle if and only if the duals of those edges form a simple cycle in  $G^*$  [25]. Therefore problems involving finding minimum-weight cuts in planar graphs are equivalent to finding minimum-weight cycles in planar graphs.

Consider a simple cut  $C = \delta(S)$  in a planar embedded graph  $G$  and the corresponding simple cycle  $C^*$  in  $G^*$ . The subgraph induced by the faces of  $G^*$  enclosed by  $C^*$  is denoted  $int(C^*)$  (the *interior* of  $C^*$ ), and the graph induced by the faces of  $G^*$  not enclosed is denoted  $ext(C^*)$  (the *exterior* of  $C^*$ ).

We define the interior and exterior of a simple cut in  $G$  as follows. The *interior* of  $C$  is the planar embedded graph obtained by coalescing to a single vertex all the vertices corresponding to the faces forming the exterior of  $C^*$ . (This is the same as taking the dual of the planar embedded graph obtained from  $G^*$  by deleting edges not enclosed by  $C^*$ .) The *exterior* of  $C$  is defined symmetrically.

## 2.6 Chalermsook *et al.*'s minimum-cut algorithm

For planar graphs, there is an  $O(n \log^2 n)$  minimum-cut algorithm by Chalermsook, Fakcharoenphol and Nanongkai (CFN). The algorithm finds a simple cycle  $C^*$  in the dual whose interior and exterior have roughly the same size. (Such a simple cycle, called a balanced simple cycle separator, always exists if the primal has degree three [18].) Let  $C$  be the corresponding simple cut. The algorithm recursively finds the minimum cut in the interior of  $C$  and in the exterior of  $C$ . The algorithm also finds the shortest cycle in the dual that crosses  $C^*$ . This cycle corresponds in the primal to a cut. The minimum cut is then among these three cuts. The time required for this algorithm depends on how fast one can find a shortest cycle that crosses  $C^*$ . Chalermsook *et al.* show that a vertices  $s$  and  $t$  can be identified such that a minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut in the primal corresponds in the dual to a shortest cycle cycle that crosses  $C^*$ .

We will adapt this algorithm by making two changes. First, the balanced simple cycle separator is chosen to balance not the total graph sizes but the number of terminals. This is straightforward.

Second, instead of finding the shortest cycle that crosses  $C^*$ , the new algorithm must find the shortest cycle  $C$  that crosses  $C^*$  and such that  $C$  encloses a face corresponding to a terminal and also fails to enclose some other face corresponding to a terminal. This is not straightforward; we show how to modify Reif's minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut algorithm to achieve this.

## 2.7 Reif's minimum $s$ - $t$ cut algorithm

We now present Reif's minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut algorithm. Let  $s^*$  and  $t^*$  be the faces of  $G^*$  corresponding to vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , respectively. Let  $P^* = f_1^* \dots f_l^*$  be a shortest path in  $G^*$  between a vertex incident to  $s^*$  to a vertex incident to  $t^*$ . Cut  $G^*$  along  $P^*$  by splitting each vertex  $f^*$  of  $P^*$  into two vertices,  $f^*$  and  $\hat{f}^*$ , duplicating the edges on the resulting set of vertices. Considering  $s^*$  as the left end of the path and  $t^*$  as the right end of the path, the edges above the path adjacent to the original vertex are connected to  $\hat{f}^*$ , and the edges below the path adjacent to the original vertex are connected to  $f^*$ . Note that a shortest  $\hat{f}^*$ - $f^*$  path in the cut-open dual graph corresponds directly to a cycle that passes through  $f^*$  in the original dual graph.

A shortest  $s^*$ - $t^*$  separating cycle must cross  $P^*$ . Therefore the shortest  $s^*$ - $t^*$  separating cycle is equivalent to the shortest  $\hat{f}^*$ - $f^*$  path, which can be found in  $O(n)$  applications of Dijkstra's algorithm.

This gives an overall running time of  $O(n^2 \log n)$ , which was the runtime of the original algorithm by Itai and Shiloach [12]. Reif improved this runtime by observing the following non-crossing property.

**Property 1.** *Let  $C_i^*$  be a shortest  $s^*-t^*$  separating cycle that crosses  $f_i^*$ . Shortest separating cycles crossing vertices  $f_{<i}^*$  can be found in  $\text{int}(C_i^*)$ , and shortest separating cycles crossing vertices  $f_{>i}^*$  can be found in  $\text{ext}(C_i^*)$ .*

Reif's algorithm first finds the shortest cycle  $C^*$  that crosses the midpoint of  $P^*$ . If this is not the shortest  $s^*-t^*$  separating cycle, then by Property 1, a shortest  $s^*-t^*$  separating cycle exists entirely in  $\text{int}(C^*)$  or entirely in  $\text{ext}(C^*)$ . Applying this algorithm recursively on the interior and exterior subgraphs and picking the shortest cycle yields the minimum  $s-t$  cut. This algorithm induces a recursion tree of depth  $O(\log n)$ , where the amount of work at each level is the time it takes to compute a shortest path on  $n$  vertices, or SSSP( $n$ ). The algorithm thus runs in time  $O(\text{SSSP}(n) \log n)$  time. Using the algorithm by Henzinger, Klein, Rao, and Subramanian [11] for the SSSP problem in planar graphs, Reif's algorithm runs in total time  $O(n \log n)$ .

## 2.8 Orientation of non-tree edges with a shortest-path tree

Fundamentally, the CFN algorithm cannot be directly applied to the minimum Steiner cut problem since it relies on a minimum  $s-t$  cut algorithm as a subroutine. Since minimum  $s-t$  cuts may or may not be terminal-separating, we must search for minimum terminal-separating cuts using a different method. By choice of the terminal-separating cycle  $S^*$ , we can ensure that cycles crossing  $S^*$  have a terminal in their exterior. We show that a shortest-terminal separating cycle crossing  $S^*$  separates two dual faces  $v_e^*$  and  $v^*$ . For any dual vertex  $f^*$  on the path between  $v_e^*$  and  $v^*$ , a terminal-enclosing cycle can be found by orienting certain non-tree edges with respect to a tree rooted at  $f^*$  such that a cycle containing such an edge must enclose a terminal. A shortest path computation in a layered graph yields the shortest terminal-separating cycle. Our main insight is that we only have to find such a cycle for one vertex on the path between  $v_e^*$  and  $v^*$ , since the shortest terminal-separating cycle is either this cycle or one of the shortest cycles found by Reif's algorithm.

## 3 The algorithm

To find the minimum terminal-separating cut of a graph  $G$ , we find a shortest terminal face-separating cycle in  $G^*$ . We first find a terminal-balancing cycle  $S^*$ . Using a modified version of Reif's minimum  $s-t$  cut algorithm, we find the shortest terminal-separating cycle  $C^*$  that crosses  $S^*$ . The shortest terminal-separating cycle of  $G^*$  is the smallest of: (1) The crossing cycle  $C^*$ , (2) the shortest terminal-separating cycle of  $\text{int}(S^*)$ , and (3) the shortest terminal-separating cycle of  $\text{ext}(S^*)$ . Applying the algorithm recursively on the interior and exterior of  $S^*$  yields the solution.

### 3.1 The dividing step: finding a terminal-balancing cut

Like the CFN algorithm, we need a balancing cycle separator of  $G^*$ . However, for our purposes, this separator needs to balance terminals. The constructive proof of Lemma 1 yields such a separating cycle in linear time, after triangulating the graph.

**Lemma 1** (adaptation from [16]). *Given a triangulated graph  $G^*$  with  $k$  distinguished faces  $X \subseteq V$  called terminals, there exists a cycle separator, which can be found in linear time, such that the interior of the cycle contains at most  $3/4$  of the terminals and the exterior contains at most  $3/4$  of the terminals for all  $k \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T^*$  be a spanning tree of  $G^*$ . Then the interdigitating tree  $T$  is a spanning tree of  $G$ , where some vertices are terminals. Root  $T$  at a degree one vertex  $r$ . For each vertex  $v$ , define  $w(v) = \{1/k \text{ if } v \text{ is a terminal, } 0 \text{ otherwise}\}$ . Then define  $\hat{w}(v) = \sum \{w(v') : v' \text{ a descendant of } v\}$  and apply the function below to the root of  $T$ :

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} f(u), & \text{if some child } u \text{ of } v \text{ has } \hat{w}(u) > \frac{3}{4} \\ v, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $u = f(r)$ . By induction on the number of invocations of  $f(\cdot)$ ,  $\hat{w}(u) > \frac{3}{4}$ . But  $w(u) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , so  $u$  must have children. Let  $u'$  be the heaviest child of  $u$  with respect to  $\hat{w}(\cdot)$ . By choice of  $u$ ,  $\hat{w}(u') \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Since  $u'$  is heaviest,  $\hat{w}(u') > \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{4} - w(u)) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $e = uu'$ . Each component of  $T - \{e\}$  has total weight at most  $\frac{3}{4}$ , so the simple separating cycle  $S^*$  formed by  $T^* \cup \{e\}$  satisfies the balance condition.  $\square$

We now triangulate the dual graph  $G^*$  and give the new edges infinite weight to preserve the minimum Steiner cycle of the graph. After applying Lemma 1 to the triangulated dual graph with a shortest path tree  $T^*$  rooted at a vertex adjacent to a terminal face, we obtain a cycle separator  $S^* = P_a^* e P_b^*$ , where  $P_a^*$  and  $P_b^*$  are shortest paths and  $e$  is the non-tree edge chosen to balance terminals.

### 3.2 The conquering step: finding the minimum Steiner cut crossing $S$

We show that there exists a shortest  $S^*$ -crossing cycle that separates two special faces of  $G^*$ . The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 2.3 from [5]. We then give a corollary for terminal-separating cycles. See Figures 2 and 3.

**Lemma 2** (adaptation from [5]). *Let  $S^* = P_a^* e P_b^*$  be a cycle separator formed by two paths of a shortest path tree  $T^*$  and a non-tree edge  $e$ . Let  $v_e^*$  be a dual face incident to  $e$  and let  $v^*$  be a dual face outside  $S^*$  incident to the parentmost edge of  $P_b^*$ . Any cycle  $C^*$  in  $G^*$  that contains in its interior both  $v^*$  and  $v_e^*$  is no shorter than a cycle that does not cross  $S^*$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $C^*$  crosses  $S^*$ . To contain both  $v_e^*$  and  $v^*$ , the cycle must cross  $P_a^*$  or  $P_b^*$  an even number of times. Let  $f_p^*$  (resp.  $f_l^*$ ) be the parentmost (resp. leafmost) vertex where  $C^*$  and  $P_a^*$  cross with respect to  $T^*$ . Since  $P_a^*$  is a shortest path, the subpath  $C^*[f_p^*, f_l^*]$  can be replaced with  $P_a^*[f_p^*, f_l^*]$  without increasing the length of the cycle. The subpath of  $C^*$  that crosses  $P_b^*$  can be replaced similarly. With these replacements,  $C^*$  no longer crosses  $S^*$  and has not increased in length.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $T^*$  is rooted at a vertex adjacent to a terminal face  $v_t^*$ . Any terminal-separating cycle  $C^*$  in  $G^*$  that contains (i) both  $v^*$  and  $v_e^*$  or (ii) both  $v_t^*$  and  $v_e^*$  is no shorter than a terminal-separating cycle that does not cross  $S^*$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of statement (i) follows from applying the proof of Lemma 2 and noticing that short-cutting along the paths  $P_a^*$  and  $P_b^*$  of  $T^*$  either preserves the terminal-separating property of the cycle or not. Suppose that replacing a path  $C^*[f^*, f'^*]$  with a shortcut  $T^*[f^*, f'^*]$  causes the resulting cycle to no longer be terminal-separating. Then the cycle formed by the two aforementioned paths between  $f^*$  and  $f'^*$  is terminal-separating, no longer than  $C^*$ , and does not cross  $S^*$ . Otherwise, the new cycle formed by shortcutting along  $T^*$  has the properties we desire.

We now prove statement (ii). Suppose  $int(C^*)$  contains  $v_t^*$  and  $v_e^*$ . Let  $f_1^*$  be the parentmost vertex of the shortest path between  $v_t^*$  and  $v^*$  that  $C^*$  crosses and let  $f_2^*$  and  $f_3^*$  be the first and last vertices of the subpath of  $C^*$  that crosses  $P_a^*$  and  $P_b^*$ . Since  $C^*$  is terminal-separating, there is a terminal in (a)  $ext(C^*) \cup ext(S^*)$  or (b)  $ext(C^*) \cup int(S^*)$ . In case (a), shortcutting along the  $f_1^*-f_2^*$  or  $f_1^*-f_3^*$  path in  $T^*$  yields a cycle no longer than  $C^*$  in  $ext(S^*)$ . In case (b), shortcutting along the  $f_1^*-f_2^*$  path and then shortcutting from the common vertex of  $P_a^*$  and  $P_b^*$  to  $f_3^*$  yields a cycle no longer than  $C^*$  in  $int(S^*)$ . If shortcutting makes the cycle no longer terminal-separating, then there exists a subpath of  $T^*$  along with a subpath of  $C^*$  which together form a terminal-separating cycle inside or outside of  $S^*$ .  $\square$




Figure 2: Proof of Lemma 2. Thick solid tree is  $T^*$  and thin dashed cycle is  $C^*$ . Dual faces  $v^*$  and  $v_e^*$  are indicated in gray.




Figure 3: Proof of Corollary 1. Light green faces are terminals in (a)  $ext(C^*) \cup ext(S^*)$  or in (b)  $ext(C^*) \cup int(S^*)$

Therefore, to find a shortest terminal-separating cycle crossing  $S^*$ , it suffices to find a shortest terminal-separating cycle  $C^*$  that separates  $v_t^*$  and  $v^*$  from  $v_e^*$ . In the same manner as Reif's algorithm, we create a new graph  $\hat{G}^*$  by cutting along a path. To ensure that  $v_t^*$  is in  $ext(C^*)$ , we cut along the path  $P_a^*$  extended to the root. Recall that a shortest path in  $\hat{G}^*$  between a vertex  $f^*$  of  $P_a^*$  and its copy  $\hat{f}^*$  corresponds directly to a shortest  $v_e^*-v^*$  separating cycle crossing the vertex  $f^*$  in  $G^*$ .



We now use a slightly modified version of Reif’s algorithm to produce  $v_e^*$ - $v^*$  separating cycles in  $G^*$  and show that either the shortest terminal-separating cycle is found by Reif’s algorithm, or it can be found with Dijkstra’s algorithm on a new graph construction. We modify Reif’s algorithm by observing a non-crossing property which is an extension of Property 1.

**Property 2.** *Let  $C^*$  be a shortest  $v_e^*$ - $v^*$  separating cycle that does not separate terminals. There exists a shortest  $v_e^*$ - $v^*$  separating, terminal-separating cycle that does not cross  $C^*$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $ext(C^*)$  contains all of the terminals. Let  $\tilde{C}^*$  be a  $v_e^*$ - $v^*$  separating, terminal-separating cycle that crosses  $C^*$ .  $\tilde{C}^*$  must cross  $C^*$  an even number of times. We will now construct a cycle as short as  $\tilde{C}^*$  contained in  $ext(C^*)$ . For every consecutive pair of vertices where the two cycles cross, consider the two possible paths. If the path along  $\tilde{C}^*$  is enclosed by  $int(C^*)$ , take the path along  $C^*$ . Otherwise, take the path along  $\tilde{C}^*$ . The resulting cycle is terminal-separating since  $int(C^*)$  contains no terminals, and the choice of paths ensures that it is in  $ext(C^*)$ .  $\square$




Figure 4: The cycles  $C_i^*$  and  $C_{i+1}^*$  found by Reif’s algorithm. Green faces are terminals.

We can therefore modify Reif’s algorithm to stop recursing on the interior of a cycle if the interior does not contain terminals. Recall that the path between  $v_e^*$  and  $v^*$  is  $P_a^* = f_1^* \dots f_l^*$ . Let the shortest cycles crossing each vertex of  $P_a^*$  found by Reif’s algorithm be  $C_1^* \dots C_l^*$ . Let  $i = \max \{k = 1 \dots l \mid int(C_k^*) \text{ contains no terminals}\}$ . If  $i = l$ , there exists a subpath of  $C_l^*$  enclosed by  $S^*$  which forms a terminal-separating cycle when connected to a subpath of  $T^*$  since  $int(S^*)$  contains terminals. This cycle is no longer than  $C_l^*$  and is contained in  $int(S^*)$ . Now suppose  $i < l$ . By choice of  $i$ , the cycles  $C_{i+1}^*, C_{i+2}^*, \dots, C_l^*$  are terminal-separating. The shortest terminal-separating cycle is either one of these, or by the non-crossing properties it is the shortest-terminal separating cycle crossing  $f_i^*$  in the annulus graph  $G_i^* = ext(C_i^*) \cap int(C_{i+1}^*)$ .

It now remains to find the shortest terminal-separating cycle crossing  $f_i^*$  in  $G_i^*$ . Let  $T_i^*$  be a shortest-path tree rooted at  $f_i^*$  in  $G_i^*$  and let  $T_i$  be its interdigitating tree. The next lemma allows us to restrict our focus to cycles that enclose the fundamental cycle of each of its non-tree edges.

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a shortest terminal-enclosing cycle  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  crossing  $f_i^*$  such that, for every non-tree edge  $e$ , the fundamental cycle of  $e$  in  $T_i^*$  is contained in  $\text{int}(\tilde{C}_i^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  be a shortest terminal-enclosing cycle crossing  $f_i^*$ . Consider the leafmost edges of  $T_i$  such that their fundamental cycles in  $T_i^*$  are not enclosed by  $\tilde{C}_i^*$ . Combining these cycles with  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  gives a cycle no longer than  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  since each disjoint fundamental cycle replaces some subpath of  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  with a shortest path, and the combination of adjacent fundamental cycles also replaces some subpath of  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  with a shortest path. Repeating this process on  $T_i$  results in a terminal-enclosing cycle no shorter than  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  crossing  $f_i^*$ .  $\square$

We now classify the edges that are not in  $T_i^*$  to find terminal-enclosing cycles. Consider the counterclockwise orientation  $\lambda_{T_i} : E[T_i] \rightarrow D[T_i]$  of non-tree edges (with respect to  $T_i^*$ ). Recall that this corresponds to the rootwise orientation of edges in  $T_i$ . In the following lemma, we show that a simple counterclockwise cycle must agree with  $\lambda_{T_i}$  to enclose its fundamental cycles. Refer to Figure 5.




Figure 5: Example of a simple cycle crossing the root of  $T_i^*$  which contains a counterclockwise dart  $d_{\circlearrowleft}^*$  (shown in red) and a clockwise dart  $d_{\circlearrowright}^*$  (shown in blue).

**Lemma 4.** *A simple counterclockwise cycle  $C^*$  crossing the root of  $T_i^*$  encloses the fundamental cycles of its non-tree edges if and only if it agrees with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C^*$  does not agree with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $C^*$  contains a counterclockwise non-tree dart  $d_{\circlearrowleft}^*$  and a clockwise non-tree dart  $d_{\circlearrowright}^*$ . The fundamental cycle of either  $d_{\circlearrowleft}^*$  or  $d_{\circlearrowright}^*$  in  $T_i^*$  is not enclosed by  $C^*$ , otherwise  $C^*$  is non-simple. On the other hand, suppose that  $C^*$  does not enclose the fundamental cycle of one of its edges  $e$ . Consider the subtree of the interdigitating tree  $T_i$  that is rooted at the parentmost vertex of  $e$ .  $C^*$  must contain another edge  $e'$  of this subtree since

it does not enclose the fundamental cycle of  $e$ . But since  $C^*$  is simple, it must contain the clockwise dart of  $e$  or  $e'$ . So  $C^*$  does not agree with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ .  $\square$

By Lemmas 3 and 4, we can limit our search to cycles that agree with  $\lambda_{T_i}$  without sacrificing optimality. We label the interior and exterior faces of the annulus graph as  $v_{int}^*$  and  $v_{ext}^*$ , respectively. Root the interdigitating tree  $T_i$  at  $v_{ext}^*$ . Let  $R = \{u \rightarrow v \in \lambda_{T_i} \mid uv \text{ is an ancestor of a terminal in } T_i\}$  be a set of counterclockwise darts which we color *red*. Treating  $v_{ext}^*$  as the infinite face, every edge of  $T_i$  that is a parent of a terminal vertex corresponds to a fundamental cycle in the dual enclosing the terminal face. By the following lemma, the presence of red darts sufficiently characterizes terminal-enclosing cycles crossing  $f_i^*$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  be a simple counterclockwise  $v_{int}^* - v_{ext}^*$  separating cycle in  $G_i^*$  that crosses  $f_i^*$  and agrees with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ .  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  encloses a terminal if and only if it has a red dart.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  does not have a red dart.  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  must contain at least one non-tree dart. But the only non-tree darts left are counterclockwise darts that do not enclose terminals or clockwise non-tree darts.  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  cannot contain clockwise non-tree darts since it agrees with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ . So  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  does not enclose a terminal. On the other hand, suppose  $\tilde{C}_i^*$  has a red dart. Since this dart is a parent of a terminal with respect to  $T_i$ , it corresponds to a fundamental cycle enclosing a terminal. Then by Lemmas 3 and 4,  $int(\tilde{C}_i^*)$  contains a terminal.  $\square$




Figure 6: Graph construction used to find a shortest terminal separating cycle in  $G_i^*$ . The graph on the left is  $G_i^*$  split along the edge  $f_i^* f_{i+1}^*$ , and the graph on the right is the layered graph used to find terminal separating cycles.

We now construct a graph that can be used to find a shortest terminal separating cycle in  $G_i^*$  (refer to Figure 6). First split  $G_i^*$  along the edge  $f_i^* f_{i+1}^*$ , resulting in a copied edge  $\hat{f}_i^* \hat{f}_{i+1}^*$ . Then replace each edge  $uv \in E[G_i^*]$  with two directed edges  $u \rightarrow v$  and  $v \rightarrow u$ . Call this new graph  $\hat{G}_i^*$ . Let  $\hat{G}_i^{*'}$  be a copy of  $\hat{G}_i^*$ . For each dart  $u \rightarrow v \in R$ , replace  $u \rightarrow v$  with an edge  $u \rightarrow v'$  where  $v'$  is  $\hat{G}_i^{*'}$ 's copy of  $v$ . Remove each edge in  $\hat{G}_i^*$  and  $\hat{G}_i^{*'}$  that does not agree with  $\lambda_{T_i}$  (by Lemmas 3 and 4 this will not limit our solution). A shortest path in this new layered graph from  $f_i^* \in \hat{G}_i^*$  to  $\hat{f}_i^{*'}$  is equivalent to a shortest counterclockwise cycle crossing  $f_i^*$  that has a red dart and agrees with  $\lambda_{T_i}$ , which is a shortest terminal-separating cycle crossing  $f_i^*$ . Running Dijkstra's algorithm from  $f_i^*$  to  $\hat{f}_i^{*'}$  gives such a cycle.

## 4 Time complexity

We break up the complexity into the dividing step and the conquering step. In the dividing step, the operations for finding a cycle separator and creating subgraphs both take linear time. In the conquering step, we first modify Reif's algorithm by checking the interior of cycles, which adds at most  $O(n)$  time to any level of the recursion tree. Hence, the modified Reif's algorithm still runs in  $O(n \log n)$  time. The darts can be colored in linear time with a traversal of  $T_i$ . The construction of the layered graph takes linear time and the additional call to Dijkstra's algorithm takes  $O(|E| + |V| \log |V|)$  time, which is  $O(n \log n)$  since  $|E| = O(|V|)$  in the layered graph. So the conquering step takes a total of  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Since the divide step decreases the number of terminals geometrically, the recursion tree of the entire algorithm has  $O(\log k)$  depth, where  $k$  is the number of terminals. Every level of this tree takes  $O(n \log n)$  time, so the entire algorithm runs in  $O(n \log n \log k)$  time, proving Theorem 1.

## 5 Remarks

We have presented an algorithm for finding minimum Steiner cuts in near-linear time in planar graphs. Since our algorithm relies on the characterization of individual edges and faces of the dual graph, it is not trivial to adapt it to a dense-distance graph based algorithm such as that of Italiano *et al.* [13] or Łącki *et al.* [26]. However, we conjecture that the runtime could be improved to at least  $O(n \log \log n \log k)$  with clever use of similar techniques.

## References

- [1] Sanjeev Arora, Elad Hazan, and Satyen Kale. The multiplicative weights update method: a meta-algorithm and applications. *Theory of Computing*, 8(1):121–164, 2012.
- [2] Amariah Becker, Eli Fox-Epstein, Philip N. Klein, and David Meierfrankenfeld. Engineering an approximation scheme for traveling salesman in planar graphs. In *16th International Symposium on Experimental Algorithms*, pages 8:1–8:17, 2017.
- [3] Anand Bhalgat, Richard Cole, Ramesh Hariharan, Telikepalli Kavitha, and Debmalya Panigrahi. Efficient algorithms for steiner edge connectivity computation and gomory-hu tree construction for unweighted graphs. 2008.
- [4] Glencora Borradaile, David Eppstein, Amir Nayyeri, and Christian Wulff-Nilsen. All-Pairs Minimum Cuts in Near-Linear Time for Surface-Embedded Graphs. In Sándor Fekete and Anna Lubiw, editors, *32nd International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2016)*, volume 51 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 22:1–22:16, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2016. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [5] Parinya Chalermsook, Jittat Fakcharoenphol, and Danupon Nanongkai. A deterministic near-linear time algorithm for finding minimum cuts in planar graphs. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA '04*, pages 828–829, 2004.

- [6] Chandra Chekuri and Kent Quanrud. Approximating the held-karp bound for metric tsp in nearly-linear time. In *Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 2017 IEEE 58th Annual Symposium on*, pages 789–800. IEEE, 2017.
- [7] Richard Cole and Ramesh Hariharan. A fast algorithm for computing steiner edge connectivity. In *Proceedings of the thirty-fifth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 167–176. ACM, 2003.
- [8] Lester Randolph Ford Jr and Delbert Ray Fulkerson. *Flows in networks*. Princeton university press, 2015.
- [9] J.X. Hao and J.B. Orlin. A faster algorithm for finding the minimum cut in a directed graph. *Journal of Algorithms*, 17(3):424 – 446, 1994.
- [10] Michael Held and Richard M Karp. The traveling-salesman problem and minimum spanning trees. *Operations Research*, 18(6):1138–1162, 1970.
- [11] Monika R Henzinger, Philip Klein, Satish Rao, and Sairam Subramanian. Faster shortest-path algorithms for planar graphs. *Journal of Computer and System sciences*, 55(1):3–23, 1997.
- [12] A. Itai and Y. Shiloach. Maximum flow in planar networks. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 8(2):135–150, 1979.
- [13] Giuseppe F Italiano, Yahav Nussbaum, Piotr Sankowski, and Christian Wulff-Nilsen. Improved algorithms for min cut and max flow in undirected planar graphs. In *Proceedings of the Forty-third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC ’11*, pages 313–322, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM.
- [14] Philip N. Klein. A subset spanner for planar graphs, with application to subset TSP. In *Proceedings of the 38th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 749–756, 2006.
- [15] Philip N. Klein. A linear-time approximation scheme for TSP in undirected planar graphs with edge-weights. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(6):1926–1952, 2008.
- [16] Philip N. Klein and Shay Mozes. Optimization algorithms for planar graphs (draft).
- [17] Stavros G. Kolliopoulos and Neal E. Young. Approximation algorithms for covering/packing integer programs. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 71(4):495–505, 2005.
- [18] G. L. Miller. Finding small simple cycle separators for 2-connected planar graphs. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 32(3):265–279, 1986.
- [19] Shay Mozes, Kirill Nikolaev, Yahav Nussbaum, and Oren Weimann. Minimum cut of directed planar graphs in  $O(n \log \log n)$  time. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 477–494. SIAM, 2018.
- [20] James B. Orlin. Max flows in  $O(nm)$  time, or better. In *Proceedings of the Forty-fifth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC ’13*, pages 765–774, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.

- [21] J. K. Park and C. A. Phillips. Finding minimum-quotient cuts in planar graphs. In *STOC*, pages 766–775, 1993.
- [22] Serge A Plotkin, David B Shmoys, and Éva Tardos. Fast approximation algorithms for fractional packing and covering problems. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 20(2):257–301, 1995.
- [23] Satish Rao. Faster algorithms for finding small edge cuts in planar graphs (extended abstract). In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 229–240, 1992.
- [24] John H Reif. Minimum s-t cut of a planar undirected network in  $O(n \log^2 n)$  time. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 12(1):71–81, 1983.
- [25] Hassler Whitney. Planar graphs. *Fundamenta mathematicae*, 21(1):73–84, 1933.
- [26] Jakub Łacki and Piotr Sankowski. Min-cuts and shortest cycles in planar graphs in  $O(n \log \log n)$  time. abs/1104.4890, 04 2011.