

# INTERSECTION TORSION AND ANALYTIC TORSION OF SPACES WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES

L. HARTMANN AND M. SPREAFICO

ABSTRACT. We prove an extension of the Cheeger-Müller theorem to spaces with isolated conical singularities: the  $L^2$ -analytic torsion coincides with the Ray-Singer intersection torsion on an even dimensional space, and they are trivial, while the ratio is non trivial on an odd dimensional space, and the anomaly depends only on the link of the singularities. For this aim, we develop on one side a combinatorial cellular theory whose homology coincides with the intersection homology of Gregory and Macpherson, and where the Ray-Singer intersection torsion is well defined. On the other side, we elaborate the spectral theory for the Hodge-Laplace operator on the square integrable forms on a space with conical singularities *à la* Cheeger, and we extend the classical results of the Hodge theory and the analytic torsion.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries on RS torsion	7
3. Some elementary constructions with chain complexes and their torsion	15
4. Algebraic intersection homology	26
5. Whitehead torsion for the algebraic intersection chain complexes	35
6. Cellular intersection homology	45
7. Intersection torsion of pseudomanifolds	58
8. Intersection homology of cones and spaces with conical singularities	69
9. Some results on Sturm-Liouville operators	71
10. Analysis on the deformed cone	101
11. The torsion zeta function	138
12. The analytic torsion of a deformed cone	145
13. The analytic torsion of a frustum	158
14. The singular part and the boundary contribution in the analytic torsion	167
15. De Rham maps and Ray-Singer intersection torsion of a cone	171
16. The analytic torsion and the Cheeger-Müller theorem for a cone	174
17. Analysis on a space with conical singularities	176
18. The De Rham complex on the cone	189
19. Analytic Torsion and the glueing formula	192
20. Variation of the Analytic Torsion	194
21. Hodge Theorem, De Rham maps and Ray-Singer intersection torsion for a space with conical singularities	196

---

*Date:* January 24, 2020.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 58J52 and 57Q10.

22. The analytic torsion and the Cheeger-Müller theorem for a space with conical singularities	200
Appendix A. Standard bases	201
Appendix B. Some results on Sturm-Liouville differential equations	205
Appendix C. Some technical lemmas	212
Appendix D. Elements of zeta regularisation technique	216
References	219

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This work is devoted to answer the following question: what would it be, if it existed, an extension of the Cheeger-Müller theorem on a space with conical singularities? This question, even if not explicitly stated, emerges clearly in a series of works of Jeff Cheeger [Che79b, Che83, Che80], dedicated to the study of the spectral properties of the Hodge-Laplace operator on singular spaces. Since then, several works appeared where some aspects of this problem have been considered, mainly from the analytic side [BZ92, LR91, Lüc93, Vis95, BM06, ALMP12, MV12, BM13, Les13, AGR16, Pfa17, HLV18a, HLV18b, ARS18b, ARS18a, Lud18, Ver19]. However, observe that most of these results are given under the assumption of the Witt condition, that is not requested in our approach. Indeed, a prerequisite to the solution of this problem is the definition of suitable extensions of both analytic and combinatorial torsion for singular spaces.

The analytic side has been deeply investigated: the Cheeger-Müller theorem has been extended to manifolds with boundary, initially for a product metric near the boundary, by Lück [Lüc93] (see also [LR91]), and consequently in the general case by Brüning and Ma [BM06], exploiting techniques of Bismut and Zang [BZ92]. In particular, this approach also allowed to produce explicit glueing formulas for analytic torsion on manifolds [BM13], extending the original formula of Vishick for a product metric structure near the glueing [Vis95]. This line of investigation led to the recent work of Lesh [Les13], where the Vishick glueing formula for analytic torsion is generalised to the case of singular spaces. These results suggest to tackle the problem of the Cheeger-Müller theorem on singular spaces decomposing the space into a regular part, a smooth manifold with boundary, and a singular part, the model of the singularity. A number of works appeared where analytic torsion for the model cone has been described, in the case of a flat cone [Ver09, Ver12, HS10, dMHS12, HS11, HS12, Har14, MV14, HS15, HS17], all essentially based on the technique of decomposing the spectrum of the relevant Hodge-Laplace operator on the cone over the one of the boundary, described in [Spr12], applied originally in [Spr05], and inspired by works of Brüning and Seeley [BS85, BS87]. On the combinatorial side there is much less available material, essentially the works of Dar [Dar87, Dar88], and [HS15], all for an even dimensional cone.

The aim of this work is to develop on one side a theory that extends the classical theory of Reidemeister combinatorial torsion to spaces with conical singularities, and on the other side a theory that extends the classical theory of analytic torsion to spaces with conical singularities, with the final aim of describing the relation between the two torsions.

The first part of the work, Sections 2.1 to 8, is devoted to the combinatorial aspects. Our main purpose is to introduce and discuss a combinatorial torsion for spaces with conical singularities (see Section 6) that coincides with Reidemeister torsion when the links of the singularities are homology manifolds. Since spaces with conical singularities are pseudomanifolds with singular locus of dimension zero, the natural geometric setting is the one introduced by Goresky and Macpherson to define intersection homology [GM80, GM83a, GM83b] (see also [HS15]). We refer in particular to [GM80], where the definition is topological/combinatorial, more than to [GM83a], where a more abstract sheaf theoretical point of view is presented. In this approach, the intersection homology groups are the homology groups of some chain complexes constructed from a simplicial triangulation of the underlying space, by selecting some ad hoc basic sets [GM80, 3.4] (see also [HS15], where the construction is revisited with a purpose similar to the present one). Here, we prefer to work with regular CW complexes instead than with simplicial complexes. We define CW basic sets, whose family we call intersection cellular family, Definition 6.14, that reduce to the basic sets of Goresky and Macpherson if the triangulation is simplicial. The intersection cellular family provides with a (non cellular) filtration of the given space, so it comes with a naturally associated chain complex, as the one associated to the classical CW structure. We call this chain complex the intersection chain complex of the given space, Definition 6.18.

Our construction is developed for proper pairs of pseudomanifolds with isolated singularities, definitions of Section 6, covering the case of a space with isolated singularities and smooth boundary, namely the cone over a compact manifold. We arrive to define intersection homology groups for a cone and for a space with conical singularities, Definitions 8.1 and 8.4, that coincide, Proposition 6.26 with the intersection homology groups of Goresky and MacPherson [GM80, KW06]. In particular, recall that intersection homology was introduced with the aim of recovering for singular spaces the classical Poincaré duality of manifolds. We describe in Section 6.29 the construction of a dual complex for a pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary, and we prove duality of intersection homology groups in Theorems 8.2 and 8.5. Next, we turn to the our main objective. For, we introduce in Section 4, an algebraic setting for the intersection chain complexes. Here a difficult technical gap must be tackled, that is not clearly detectable using the standard approach in [GM80]. In fact, the intersection complexes are free but a preferred graded chain basis is not well defined by the cells, see Remark 4.8. However, the topology of the cone permits to define a suitable graded chain basis for the intersection chain complex, see Lemmas 7.3 and 7.18. We are then in the position of defining the intersection torsion of a cone and of a pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary, Theorems 7.7 and 7.19.

All the necessary combinatorial part of the theory having been developed, we need some geometry: in order to define the Ray-Singer torsion we need De Rham maps for the intersection homology theory so far defined. Since for that the development of the spectral analysis and of the Hodge theory is necessary, the definition of the Ray-Singer intersection torsion has been moved at the end of the second and of the third parts, the analytic ones, Sections 15 and 21, respectively. There, we construct intersection De Rham maps from the spaces of the square integrable harmonic forms of some suitable Hodge-Laplace operators on the cone and on a space with conical singularity, Theorems

15.2 and 21.2. As a corollary we have the Hodge Theorem. This part of the theory is developed only for some particular perversities, the ones that correspond to square integrable forms (compare also with [Nag82, You94]). We may now define the Ray-Singer intersection torsion for a cone and for a space with conical singularity, Definitions 15.5 and 21.6. We give some natural algebraic characterisation of them, Theorems 15.6 and 21.7. This shows the intersection torsion is an invariant with an algebraic part that only depends on the homology of the underlying space, and a geometric part, that depends on the norm of the homology line. We conclude this part discussing the behaviour of intersection torsion under duality, Theorem 15.8, and under a variation of the metric, Theorem 21.11.

In the second part of the work, Sections 9 to 16, we investigate the analytic side of the problem. As in the approach of Cheeger, this program consists in an extension of the  $L^2$  theory of the Hodge-Laplace operator on a space with conical singularity. Our approach to the problem, as observed, is to split the underground space into a model cone and a smooth manifold, and glue these two pieces. This is the original idea of Cheeger, see also [CY81, Gaf54b, Gaf54a]. However, our approach has some substantial differences. First, we tackle a cone with a generic slope, that we also call deformed cone, instead that a flat cone. This approach may be reinterpreted as follows. Let decompose the space  $X$  with a conical tip as  $X = C(W) \cup_W Y$ , where  $W = \partial(Y)$ , for some smooth manifold  $Y$ . Then, we add to a smooth chart for  $Y$  a new open set diffeomorphic to the punctured Euclidian space, and we develop analysis on the resulting new chart. The second difference, is in the definition the Hodge-Laplace operator. The striking feature of the  $L^2$  spectral geometry on a space with conical singularity  $X$  is that Hodge theory produce topologic invariants of  $X$  that indicate intersection cohomology rather than classical cohomology, unless  $X$  is a rational homology manifold. However, the original definition of the Hodge-Laplace operator introduced by Cheeger works only if the middle homology of the boundary of  $Y$  is trivial when the dimension of  $Y$  is even. We introduce some boundary conditions at the tip of the cone that permit to define the suitable Hodge-Laplace operator and recover the Hodge theorem in all cases.

All this is in the second part of the work. An essential technical tool to deal, first with the Hodge theory on the deformed cone, as defined in Section 10.1, and second with the asymptotic analysis of the relevant spectral functions, is the theory of the singular Sturm Liouville operators on an interval  $(0, l]$ . In fact, in the previous cited works such analysis being performed on the flat cone, the classical results in the theory of Bessel functions were available and sufficient for all purpose (the idea originated probably in the works of Brüning and Seeley [BS85, BS87]). We need to extend this theory to a more general family of Sturm Liouville problems. This theory is developed in the Section 9. We develop some basic results on the solutions of main differential equation 9.3, we define the relevant self-adjoint bounded below operators, Theorem 9.15 and Definition 9.17, and we prove that they have compact resolvent with square integrable kernel, and a complete discrete spectral resolution, Corollaries 9.33, 9.34, 9.35. This permits to introduce the main spectral function for these operators, the logarithmic Gamma function, in Section 9.37. The main point is that the logarithmic Gamma function is a global function that contain deep spectral information. In particular, its asymptotic expansion for large values of the spectral variable determines the zeta determinant [Spr12, 2.10],

and therefore the analytic torsion. Following an idea introduced in [Spr19], we show in Section 9.37 that the asymptotic expansion of the logarithmic Gamma function is determined by that of a fundamental system of normalised solutions, Definition 9.3, of the eigenvalues equation of the formal differential operator, equation 9.3. We need then asymptotic expansion of such normalised solutions, both for large values of the spectral variable, and for large values of some parameter uniformly in the spectral variable. This technical part of the work is developed in Section 9.38, applying standard techniques of asymptotic analysis, like the WKB and Green Liouville methods [Mur84, Olv97], and some new ideas based on introducing a perturbation of the flat case.

Next, we briefly describe the geometry of the deformed cone, introducing the formal Hodge-Laplace operator, and we proceed to decompose the local analysis on the cone into local analysis on the interval and global analysis on the section, Section 10. In particular, we characterise the solutions of the harmonic, Proposition 10.19, and of the eigenvalues equations, Proposition 10.15. This permits to define some concrete Hodge-Laplace operators  $\Delta_{bc,p}$ , with classical boundary conditions  $bc$  at the boundary of the cone, and some new boundary conditions at the tip of the cone, Section 10.6. More precisely, these conditions are of two types  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ , that corresponds to the two middle perversities appearing in the intersection theory described in the first part. We prove that the operators  $\Delta_{bc,p}$  are self-adjoint and non negative, and have a compact resolvent with integral kernel, Section 10.24. We give explicit description of the harmonics, Section 10.28, of the spectrum and of the eigenfunctions, Section 10.35, obtaining the Hodge decomposition for the space of square integrable function on the cone, Theorem 10.40. A notable point is that we prove that the harmonics coincide with the intersection cohomology without any assumption, in particular without requiring the Witt condition [Sie83, Prop. 2.5], namely that the middle homology (of the base space) is trivial in the even dimension base case.

We are now in the position of defining the absolute and the relative analytic torsion with middle perversities for the cone of a manifold, Section 11 and 12. In particular, the information on the spectrum and the spectral resolution gives a duality result for the analytic torsion of the cone, Theorem 11.1. We decompose the torsion zeta function into a global part and a boundary part, following the approach introduced and used in [HS11, HS12, HS17]. The information on the spectrum makes it possible to apply the Spectral Decomposition Theorem [Spr12, 3.9] (for simplicity we collect the main results of this theory in Appendix D) to study the logarithmic Gamma function associated to the operators  $\Delta_{bc,p}$ . Essentially, this consists in decomposing the double sums appearing in the logarithmic Gamma function into sums of simple sums, plus regularising terms. The method is developed in Section 12. In order to complete the description of the analytic torsion on the cone, it is necessary to identify the contribution of the boundary. We prove that this contribution is precisely the same as it would be if the cone were a smooth manifold. In other word we prove an extension of the work of Brüning and Ma [BM06, BM13] for the cone. This we do repeating the same analysis made on the cone on a deformed conical frustum, Section 13, and comparing the resulting boundary contributions in the analytic torsion, Section 14. Accomplished this final step, we complete our description the absolute and the relative analytic torsion with middle perversities on a cone, Theorem 16.1. After that, as previously mentioned, we describe De Rham maps

and Ray-Singer torsion, Section 15, so to complete the proof of our extension of the Cheeger-Müller Theorem 16.2 on a cone. We observe that in the even dimensional case, the result is analogous to the classical one, in the boundary version, while in the odd dimensional case two anomaly terms appear. In particular, we note that these anomaly terms depend only on the section of the cone.

The third part of the work, starting in Section 17, is devoted to spaces with singularity of conical type, namely we glue the results of the previous part with the classical results valid for smooth manifolds. A space with an isolated metric conical singularity, see Section 17, decomposes by definition as  $X = C(W) \cup Y$ , where  $Y$  is a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold with boundary  $W$ , and  $C(W)$  the deformed finite metric cone over  $W$ , considered in the second part. Exploiting this decomposition and the analysis developed on the cone, we may define concrete self-adjoint extensions  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$ , where either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ , of the formal Hodge-Laplace operator on square integrable forms on  $X$ , essentially by fixing some boundary conditions at the tip of the cone, Definition 17.2. We prove that the operators  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$  are non negative with compact resolvent with square integrable kernel, Proposition 17.5. So we have a complete discrete spectral resolution and the Hodge decomposition. In particular, this shows the existence of a complete asymptotic expansion for the trace of the kernel of the heat operator, and gives a direct approach to the determination of (at least the first) coefficients. This was the original problem faced by Brüning and Seeley in [BS85], and Cheeger in [Che83].

Exploiting the results in the second part, we have the Hodge Theorem that proves the existence of a natural isomorphism between the spaces of the  $L^2$  harmonic forms and the intersection homology Theorem 21.4, and the De Rham maps 21.2 (again without any homological conditions). Moreover, we may define the analytic torsion of  $X$  with middle perversities, Section 19. In particular, we identify the variation of the analytic torsion under the variation of the metric, provided that the last is constant near the singularity, and we prove that the variation is the same as in the smooth case, as described by Ray and Singer [RS71], and coincides with the variation of the Ray-Singer intersection torsion, Theorem 20.1. In order to compare the analytic torsion with the Ray-Singer intersection torsion, and to complete the proof of our extension of the Cheeger-Müller theorem on a space with conical singularities, Theorem 16.2, we only need to prove that they coincide for some particular metric. This we do using a gluing formula of M. Lesh [Les13], that extends the original gluing formula of S.M. Vishik [Vis95], and holds if the metric is a product near the gluing. In order to apply the formula of Lesh, we need to identify  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$  with the Laplace operator of some Hilbert complex with finite dimension spectrum, as defined in [BL92]. For, we study the De Rham complex on the cone, and we prove that there exist Hilbert complexes with finite dimension spectrum whose associated Laplace operator is  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$ , Proposition 18.7. Observe that we do not construct such an Hilbert complex (this should be an interesting project), but just prove its existence, using the explicit description of the self-adjoint extensions of the Hodge-Laplace formal operator given in Theorem 10.7. In other words, we have proved that  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$  is an ideal boundary condition with finite dimension spectrum for some De Rham complex of forms on the cone. This permits to apply the glueing formula in [Les13], and to complete the proof of the extension of the Cheeger-Müller Theorem 16.2. In particular, we show that the

anomaly terms appear only if the dimension of the space is even, and they are the same as in the case of the cone, namely they depends on the link of the singularity.

We observe that our analysis implies the extension of the mentioned results of Lesh Vishick (glueing formula) and Brüning Ma (boundary term) to spaces with conical singularities, Theorems 22.3 and 22.4.

## PART I

### 2. PRELIMINARIES ON RS TORSION

**2.1. Whitehead torsion of a chain complex.** We briefly recall the definition of the torsion of a chain complex. We follows the classical definition of Milnor [Mil66], but with a little change of notation. Let  $R$  be a ring (with unit) with the invariant dimension property, and  $M$  a finitely generated free (left)  $R$ -module (all our modules will be of this type if not otherwise stated). Let  $U$  be a subgroup of the group  $R^\times$  of units of  $R$ , and let  $K_U(R) = K_1(R)/U$  denotes the quotient of the *Whitehead group of the ring  $R$*  by the subgroup generated by the classes of the elements of  $U$ . In particular, if  $\pi$  is a group, and may consider the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ . It is well known that  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  satisfies the invariant property dimension, and then above construction goes through giving  $K_U(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ . The group

$$Wh(\pi) = K_{\pm\pi}(\mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

is called the *Whitehead group of the group  $\pi$* .

Let  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be two bases for  $M$ . We denote by  $(\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x})$  the non singular  $n$ -square matrix over  $R$  defined by the change of bases ( $y_j = \sum_k (\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x})_{j,k} x_k$ ), and we denote by  $[(\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x})]$  the class of  $(\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x})$  in the Whitehead group  $K_U(R)$ .

If we have an exact sequence of free  $R$  modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{i} M \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

with preferred bases  $\mathbf{m}_1$  for  $M_1$ , and  $\mathbf{m}_2$  for  $M_2$ , then a preferred basis for  $M$  is naturally given by the set  $i(\mathbf{m}_1) \cup \hat{\mathbf{m}}_2$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2$  denotes a lift. We denote this basis of  $M$  by:  $i(\mathbf{m}_1)\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2$ . It is clear that the Whitehead class of this basis does not depend on the lift. Moreover, if  $\mathbf{m}'_1$  for  $M_1$ , and  $\mathbf{m}'_2$  for  $M_2$ , then

$$[(i(\mathbf{m}'_1)\hat{\mathbf{m}}'_2/i(\mathbf{m}_1)\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2)] = [(i(\mathbf{m}'_1)/i(\mathbf{m}_1))] + [(\hat{\mathbf{m}}'_2/\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2)].$$

Two bases  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{m}'$  of an  $R$  module  $M$  are said to be *equivalent bases* if  $[(\mathbf{m}'/\mathbf{m})] = 0$  in the group  $K_U(R)$ .

We will work in the category of the based modules, i.e. the family of the pairs  $(M, \mathbf{m})$  where  $M$  is an  $R$  module and  $\mathbf{m}$  an equivalence class of preferred bases for  $M$ .

We say that an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{i} M \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

of  $R$  modules is a *based exact sequence of  $R$  modules* if the modules are based modules  $(M_1, \mathbf{m}_1)$  and  $(M_2, \mathbf{m}_2)$ , and the bases are *compatible*, i.e. if

$$[(i(\mathbf{m}_1)\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2/\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$

**Remark 2.2.** *If not necessary, we will omit explicit reference to the inclusion  $i$  and to the lift, namely we will write  $\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{m}_2$  for the basis  $i(\mathbf{m}_1)\hat{\mathbf{m}}_2$  of  $M$ .*

Let

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet : \quad \mathbf{C}_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \mathbf{C}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0,$$

be a bounded chain complex of finite length  $m$  of (finite dimensional) free left  $R$ -modules. When not otherwise stated, all our complexes will be of this type.

Denote by  $Z_q = \ker(\partial_q : \mathbf{C}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{q-1})$ ,  $B_q = \text{Im}(\partial_{q+1} : \mathbf{C}_{q+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_q)$ , and  $H_q = Z_q/B_q$  the homology groups of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Assume that all the chain modules  $\mathbf{C}_q$  have preferred bases  $\mathbf{c}_q = \{c_{q,1}, \dots, c_{q, \dim(\mathbf{c}_q)}\}$ .

The family of the chain complexes that have these properties forms the category of the *based chain complexes*, whose elements are denoted by pairs  $(\mathbf{C}_\bullet, \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$ .

Next, assume that the homology modules  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  are free with preferred bases  $\mathbf{h}_q$ , and let  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q = \{\hat{h}_{q,j}\}$  be a set of independent elements of  $Z_q$  that is a lift of  $\mathbf{h}_q$ . Fix a set of linearly independent elements  $\mathbf{b}_q = \{b_{q,1}, \dots, b_{q, \dim(\mathbf{b}_q)}\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_q$  such that  $\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)$  is a basis for  $B_{q-1}$  for each  $q$  (in other words we are choosing a lift of a basis of  $B_{q-1}$ ) (note that it is not necessary to assume  $B_q$  to be free with preferred bases, since we can use stable bases if necessary; thus, in order to avoid unnecessary technical details, we work directly with free bases, see Remark 2.4 below for details). Considering the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B_q = \text{Im}\partial_{q+1} \longrightarrow Z_q \xrightarrow{p} H_q \longrightarrow 0,$$

a basis for  $Z_q$  is given by the basis  $\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})$  of  $B_q$  and the set  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q$ . We denote this basis by  $\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q$  (see [Mil62] for details). The same argument applied to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_q \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_q \xrightarrow{\partial_q} B_{q-1} = \text{Im}\partial_q \longrightarrow 0,$$

determines the basis  $\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q$  of  $\mathbf{C}_q$ .

The *Whitehead torsion of the based chain complex*  $(\mathbf{C}_\bullet, \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$  with respect to the bases  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet = \{\mathbf{c}_q\}$ , and  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet = \{\mathbf{h}_q\}$  is the class

$$\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)],$$

in the Whitehead group  $K_U(R)$ . The definition is well posed since it is possible to show that the torsion does not depend on the bases  $\mathbf{b}_q$ , and on the lifts of the homology basis.

**Remark 2.3.** *Note that torsion only depends on the equivalence classes of the bases, namely: if  $[(\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{b}'_q)] = [(\mathbf{h}_q/\mathbf{h}'_q)] = 0$ , then  $\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}'_\bullet, \mathbf{h}'_\bullet)$ .*

**Remark 2.4.** *In order to define torsion we do not really need free modules, stably free modules are sufficient, but the definitions a bit more involved. In order to guarantee that all the modules necessary in the definition of torsion are stably free, it is sufficient to require that the chain modules and homology modules are free. In such a case, it follows that the modules of the cycles and those of the boundaries are stably free and torsion can be defined. Due to these facts, we will proceed assuming that all the modules of chains, homology, cycles and boundaries are actually free, in order to avoid unnecessary*

complications. In some particular instances we will recall explicitly that actually we only have stably free modules, and in those instances we assume the present remark and we will proceed by considering those modules as free.

In order to completely understand the necessity of stably free modules, recall that in the general case (i.e. rings that are not principal ideal domains) submodules of free modules are not necessarily free.

## 2.5. Main properties of the Whitehead torsion of a chain complex.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(C_\bullet, c_\bullet)$  and  $(C'_\bullet, c'_\bullet)$  be two based chain complexes and  $\varphi : (C_\bullet, c_\bullet) \rightarrow (C'_\bullet, c'_\bullet)$  a based chain isomorphism. Assume that the homology modules are free with graded preferred bases  $h_\bullet$  and  $h'_\bullet$ , and that  $\varphi_{*,\bullet}(h_\bullet) = h'_\bullet$ . Then,  $\tau(C_\bullet; c_\bullet, h_\bullet) = \tau(C'_\bullet; c'_\bullet, h'_\bullet)$ , and we say that  $\varphi$  is a simple chain isomorphism of based chain complexes.*

**Theorem 2.7.** [Mil62] *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow (C_\bullet, c_\bullet) \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} (C'_\bullet, c'_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} (C''_\bullet, c''_\bullet) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be an exact sequence of based chain complexes (i.e.  $[(c'_q/i_q(c_q)s_q(c''_q))] = 0$ , where  $s_\bullet$  denote a splitting map, namely the  $s_q(c''_q) = \hat{c}''_q$  are lifts of the  $c''_q$ ).

Assume that the homology modules are free with graded preferred bases  $h_\bullet$ ,  $h'_\bullet$  and  $h''_\bullet$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the free acyclic chain complex defined by the terms of the exact long homology sequence associated to the short exact chain sequence above. More precisely, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_q &= H_q(C''_\bullet), & \mathcal{H}_{q+1} &= H_q(C'_\bullet), & \mathcal{H}_{q+2} &= H_q(C_\bullet), \\ x_q &= h''_q, & x_{q+1} &= h'_q, & x_{q+2} &= h_q. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\tau_W(C'_\bullet; c'_\bullet, h'_\bullet) = \tau_W(C_\bullet; c_\bullet, h_\bullet) + \tau_W(C''_\bullet; c''_\bullet, h''_\bullet) + \tau_W(\mathcal{H}; x_\bullet, \emptyset).$$

**Remark 2.8.** *Observe that the formula of the previous theorem is independent on the homology graded bases.*

**2.9. Whitehead torsion of a cellular complex.** By a cellular complex we mean a regular connected finite CW complex [Mun84, pg. 216]. Recall that a regular CW complex can be triangulated in such a way that each closed cell is the polytope of a subcomplex. Thus, we can always associate to a regular CW complex a simplicial complex.

Let  $(K, L)$  be a pair of connected finite cellular complexes of dimension  $m$ , and  $(\tilde{K}, \tilde{L})$  its universal covering complex pair, and identify the fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(K)$  with the group of the covering transformations of  $\tilde{K}$ . Note that covering transformations are cellular. Let  $C_\bullet(\tilde{K}, \tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z})$  be the cellular chain complex of  $(\tilde{K}, \tilde{L})$  with integer coefficients. The action of the group of covering transformations makes each chain group  $C_q(\tilde{K}, \tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z})$  into a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ , and since  $K$  is finite, each of these modules is  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -free and finitely generated with preferred basis  $c_q$  determined by the natural choice of the  $q$ -cells of  $K - L$ . We obtain a complex of free finitely generated modules over  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  that we denote by  $C_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ . Any fixed geometric lift  $\tilde{c}_\bullet$  of the cells in  $K - L$  provides

a preferred graded basis for  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ , and hence  $(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet)$  is a based chain complex.

If the homology modules  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi))$  are free with preferred bases  $\mathbf{h}_q$ , and taking a set of independent elements of  $Z_q$  that is a lift of  $\mathbf{h}_q$ , we may consider the Whitehead torsion  $\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) \in K_U(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$  has defined as in Section 2.1, for any subgroups  $U$  of units of  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ . If  $U = \pm\pi$ , then the torsion does not depend any more on the choice of the representative lift of the graded cell basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  used to define the preferred graded chain basis on the covering space, that will then be denoted by the same symbol  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  (since any different choice will have change of basis matrix in  $\pi$ , and hence trivial torsion), thus we call the resulting element of  $Wh(\pi)$ , the *Whitehead torsion of the cellular pair  $(K, L)$  with respect to the graded homology basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$* , and we write:

$$\tau_W(K, L; \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet).$$

This torsion does not depend on the choice of the lifts of the cells used to define the preferred chain basis, since any different choice will have change of basis matrix in  $\pi$ , and hence trivial torsion.

Given a CW complex  $K$ , a CW complex  $K'$  is a *subdivision* of  $K$  if the underlying space  $|K'|$  is equal to  $|K|$ , and if each open cell of  $K'$  is contained in an open cell of  $K$ , so that the identity map  $K \rightarrow K'$  is cellular. Similarly the pair  $(K', L')$  is a subdivision of  $(K, L)$  if  $K'$  is a subdivision of  $K$  and  $L'$  is a subdivision of  $L$  [Mil66, pg. 378]. For a finite regular CW complex, a subdivision is consistent with the subdivision of the associated triangulations, as subdivision of a simplicial complexes [Mun84, pg. 83] (see also [Spa95]). Since we may reduce all our complexes to simplicial complexes, saying a subdivision we mean if necessary the barycentric subdivision, see [Mun84, pg. 85].

Note that, if  $K$  has a subdivision  $K'$ , the universal covering  $\tilde{K}'$  of the last is a subdivision of  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** (Milnor [Mil66]) *Let  $(K, L)$  be a pair of connected finite cellular complexes, and  $(K', L')$  a subdivision pair. Assume the homology of  $(K, L)$  is free with graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$ . Then,  $\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K', L'; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}'_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet)$ , where the graded chain bases are the cell bases, and we identified the homology.*

## 2.11. Whitehead torsion of a twisted complex and $\mathbf{R}$ torsion. If

$$\varphi : R \rightarrow R',$$

is a ring homomorphism, we may form the complex of free left  $R'$ -modules (using the homomorphism  $\varphi$  to make  $R'$  into a right  $R$ -module)

$$\mathbf{C}_{\varphi, q} = R' \otimes_{\varphi} \mathbf{C}_q,$$

that has a preferred graded basis  $1 \otimes \mathbf{c}_\bullet$ , induced by the preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ . Assuming that the homology modules  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_{\varphi, \bullet})$  are free with preferred graded bases  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet = \{\mathbf{h}_q\}$ , then the *Whitehead torsion of the twisted complex  $\mathbf{C}_{\varphi, \bullet}$*  (also called *Whitehead torsion with respect to the representation  $\rho$* ) with respect to the graded bases  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  and  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$  is well defined in  $K_{\varphi(U)}(R')$ , and we have the formula

$$\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_{\varphi, \bullet}; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q [\varphi(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\zeta_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q)] = \varphi^*(\tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet)).$$

If  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{R'}(M)$  is a representation of  $\pi$  in the group of the automorphisms of some free right module  $M$  over  $R'$  (more precisely, using the sequence of ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}\pi \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{Z}\text{Aut}_{R'}(M) \longrightarrow M(R', \text{rk}(M)),$$

where the second homomorphism is the natural one), we may form the twisted complex

$$C_{\rho,q} = M \otimes_{\rho} C_q,$$

that however is only a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, unless  $M$  is also a left  $R''$ -modules, in which case we obtain a complex of free finitely generated  $R''$ -modules. In particular, this is the case when  $M$  is some vector space.

Fixing a basis  $\mathfrak{m}$  for  $M$ , bases for these modules (and for cycles and boundary submodules) are given by tensoring with  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  will be omitted from the notation. All the argument above works and we can talk about based twisted chain complex. Assuming that the homology modules  $H_q(C_{\rho,\bullet})$  are free with preferred graded bases  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bullet} = \{\mathfrak{h}_q\}$ , then the *Whitehead torsion of the twisted complex  $C_{\rho,\bullet}$  with respect to the graded bases  $\mathfrak{c}_{\bullet}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bullet}$*  is a class in  $K_{\pm\rho(\pi)}(M(R', \text{rk}(M))) = K_{\pm 1}(M(R', \text{rk}(M)))/\rho(\pi) = K_{\pm 1}(R')/\rho(\pi)$ . We have the formula

$$\tau_{\mathbb{W}}(C_{\rho,\bullet}; \mathfrak{c}_{\bullet}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bullet}) = \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(M \otimes_{\rho} C_{\bullet}; \mathfrak{c}_{\bullet}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bullet}) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q [\rho(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q \mathfrak{b}_q / \mathfrak{c}_q)].$$

**Remark 2.12.** Note that if we consider the trivial representation  $\rho_0 : \pi \rightarrow 1$ , then  $C_{\rho_0,\bullet} = C_{\bullet}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, the induced ring homomorphism is

$$\rho_0 : \mathbb{Z}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}1.$$

If in particular the ring  $R' = A$  is abelian, then  $M$  is a left and a right  $A$ -module, and

$$\rho : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(V) = \text{Gl}(A, \text{rk}(M)).$$

In this case  $C_{\rho,\bullet}$  is a complex of  $A$ -modules

$$C_{\rho,q} = M \otimes_{\rho} C_q,$$

and the isomorphism  $K_{\pm 1}(M(A, \text{rk}(M)))/\rho(\pi) = K_{\pm 1}(A)/\rho(\pi) = A^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}\rho(\pi)$  is the absolute value of determinant function

$$|\det| : K_{\pm 1}(M(A, \text{rk}(M)))/\rho(\pi) \rightarrow A^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}\rho(\pi).$$

An even more particular case is when  $M = V$  is some  $k$ -vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic zero, and  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V) = \text{Gl}(\mathbb{F}, k)$ . In this case  $C_{\rho,\bullet}$  is a complex of vectors spaces

$$C_{\rho,q} = V \otimes_{\rho} C_q,$$

and the torsion is a class in  $K_{\pm 1}(\mathbb{F})/\rho(\pi) = \mathbb{F}^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}\rho(\pi)$ . The isomorphism is induced by the determinant map  $\det : \text{Gl}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ , and we denote by  $|x|$  the class of  $x \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ . If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , we consider (real) orthogonal representations of  $\pi$ . In such a case,  $K_{\pm 1}(\mathbb{F})/\rho(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^+$ , the positive real numbers, the homology  $H_q(C_{\rho,\bullet})$  is free with some basis  $\mathfrak{h}_q$ , and the Whitehead class is just the module of the determinant of the matrix,

product notation is more usual, torsion is called *R torsion of the complex  $C_{\rho,q}$  with respect to the graded bases  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  and  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(C_{\rho,\bullet}; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) &= \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(V \otimes_{\rho} \mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q [\rho(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q)] \\ &= \prod_{q=0}^n (-1)^q |\det(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q)|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and it appears as the change of basis of the homology determinant line.

**2.13. Torsion with respect to a representation and *R* torsion for cellular complexes.** Let  $(K, L)$  be a cellular pair and  $\tau_{\mathbb{W}}(K, L; \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet)$  its Whitehead torsion as defined in Section 2.9. Let  $\pi = \pi_1(K)$ , and  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}'}(M)$  be a representation of the fundamental group. Then, assuming that the homology groups  $H_q(M \otimes_{\rho} C_q(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi))$  are free with basis  $\mathbf{h}_q$ , proceeding as in Section 2.11, we may define the *Whitehead torsion of the cellular pair  $(K, L)$  with respect to representation  $\rho$  of the fundamental group, and the graded homology basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$* :

$$\tau_{\mathbb{W}}(K, L; M_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(C_{\rho,\bullet}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(M \otimes_{\rho} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet).$$

In particular, if  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow O(V)$  is a real orthogonal representation of the fundamental group on a real vector space  $V$ , then the groups

$$H_q(V \otimes_{\rho} C_q(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi)),$$

are free, and we denote by  $\mathbf{h}_q$  a basis. Then, we define the *R torsion of the cellular pair  $(K, L)$  with respect to the representation  $\rho$ , and the graded homology basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$*  to be the torsion of the twisted complex

$$C_{\rho,\bullet}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = V \otimes_{\rho} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

that is sometimes denoted also by  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; V_{\rho})$ , and we use the notation

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(K, L; V_{\rho}, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(C_{\rho,\bullet}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(V \otimes_{\rho} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet),$$

where recall  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  is a lift of the cell basis, and  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$  the homology basis of the twisted complex.

**Remark 2.14.** *In the case of the trivial representation, we obtain the complex (where  $V$  has been identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{\dim V}$  in the last formula)*

$$C_{\rho_0,\bullet}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = V \otimes_{\rho_0} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = V \otimes \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{R}).$$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $(K, L)$  be a cellular pair of dimension  $n$ , and  $\rho_0 : \pi \rightarrow O(V)$  the trivial real orthogonal representation of the fundamental group on a real vector space  $V$ . Let  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$  a graded basis for  $H_\bullet(V \otimes \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{R}))$ , and  $\mathbf{n}_\bullet$  be the standard integral graded basis (see Appendix A). Then,*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(K, L; V_{\rho_0}, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) = \prod_{q=0}^n |\det(\mathbf{h}_q / \mathbf{n}_q)|^{(-1)^q} \prod_{q=0}^n \#TH_q(K, L)^{(-1)^q}.$$

**2.16. R torsion for manifolds.** Let  $W$  be an orientable compact connected Riemannian manifold of finite dimension  $m$  without boundary and with metric  $g$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow O(k, \mathbb{R})$  be a representation of the fundamental group of  $W$ , and let  $E_\rho$  be the associated vector bundle over  $W$  with fibre  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (that we assume with a fixed basis) and group  $O(k, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $E_\rho = \mathbb{R}^k \times_\rho \widetilde{W}$ . Let  $L$  denote either a simplicial triangulation of  $W$  or a CW decomposition. The homology groups (here there is a slight abuse of notation, since the bundle appears where its fibre should)

$$H_q(\mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_q(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = H_q(W; E_\rho),$$

are free, and we denote by  $h_q$  a basis. Then, we can define the R torsion of  $L$  as above and we define the *R torsion of  $W$  with respect to the representation  $\rho$ , and the graded homology basis  $h_\bullet$*  to be the torsion of the twisted complex

$$C_{\rho, \bullet}(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = \mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_\bullet(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

and we use the notation

$$\tau_R(W; E_\rho, h_\bullet) = \tau_R(\mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_\bullet(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); c_\bullet, h_\bullet).$$

This definition is well posed since, given any other triangulation  $L_1$  of  $W$  it is well known that there exists a common subdivision  $L'$  of  $L$  and  $L_1$ , and therefore by Lemma the torsion computed with respect to  $L$  coincides with the one computed with respect to  $L_1$ .

If  $Y$  is an orientable compact connected Riemannian manifold of finite dimension  $n$  with boundary  $\partial Y = W$ , and with metric  $g$ , then the construction is exactly the same and we define the *relative R torsion of  $Y$ , or R torsion of the pair  $(Y, W)$  with respect to the representation  $\rho$ , and the graded homology basis  $h_\bullet$*  to be the torsion of the twisted complex

$$C_{\rho, \bullet}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = \mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

where  $L$  is either a triangulation or a CW decomposition of  $W$ , and we use the notation

$$\tau_R(Y, W; E_\rho, h_\bullet) = \tau_R(\mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_\bullet(K, L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); c_\bullet, h_\bullet).$$

**2.17. RS torsion for a manifold.** In case of a manifold, it is possible to construct a particular basis for homology as follows [RS71]. Let  $W$  a manifold as in the previous Section 2.16. Let  $\Omega(W, E_\rho)$  denote the graded linear space of smooth forms on  $W$  with values in  $E_\rho$ . The exterior differential on  $W$  defines the exterior differential on  $\Omega^q(W, E_\rho)$ ,  $d : \Omega^q(W, E_\rho) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(W, E_\rho)$ . The metric  $g$  defines an Hodge operator on  $W$  and hence on  $\Omega^q(W, E_\rho)$ ,  $\star : \Omega^q(W, E_\rho) \rightarrow \Omega^{m-q}(W, E_\rho)$ , and, using the inner product  $(-, -)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , an inner product on  $\Omega^q(W, E_\rho)$  is defined by

$$\langle \omega, \eta \rangle = \int_W (\omega \wedge \star \eta).$$

The adjoint  $d^\dagger$  and the Laplacian  $\Delta = (d + d^\dagger)^2$  operator are defined on the space of sections with values in  $E_\rho$ , we obtain the twisted de Rham complex, and the Hodge decomposition holds. In particular we denote by

$$\mathcal{H}^q(W, E_\rho) = \{\omega \in \Omega^q(W, E_\rho) \mid \Delta^{(q)}\omega = 0\},$$

the spaces of the harmonic forms.

In this setting, we have the the de Rham map  $\mathcal{A}^q$  (that induce isomorphisms in cohomology),

$$\mathcal{A}^q : \mathcal{H}^q(W, E_\rho) \rightarrow C^q(W; E_\rho),$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}^q(\omega)(v \otimes_\rho c) = \int_c (\omega, v),$$

where  $v \otimes_\rho c$  belongs to  $C_q(W; E_\rho)$ , and  $c$  is identified with the  $q$ -subcomplex (simplicial or cellular) that  $c$  represents. Following Ray and Singer [RS71], we introduce the de Rham map  $\mathcal{A}_q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_q : \mathcal{H}^q(W, E_\rho) &\rightarrow C_q(W; E_\rho), \\ \mathcal{A}_q : \omega &\mapsto (-1)^{(m-1)q} \mathcal{P}_q^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{m-q} \star(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_q(\omega) = (-1)^{(m-1)q} \sum_{j,i} \left( \int_{\hat{c}_{q,j}} (\star\omega, e_i) \right) c_{q,j} \otimes_\rho e_i, \quad (2.2)$$

where the sum runs over all  $q$ -simplices  $c_{q,j}$  of  $L$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_q : C_q(L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C^{m-q}(\check{L}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the Poincaré map, and  $\check{c}$  denotes the dual block cell of  $c$ , see Sections 3.5 and 6.29.

The homology groups

$$H_q(\mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_q(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi)) = H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(L; E_\rho)) = H_q(W; E_\rho),$$

are free and have a natural basis coming from an orthonormal basis of the spaces of harmonic forms. Namely, let  $\mathbf{a}_q$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^q(W, E_\rho)$ ; then,  $\mathcal{A}_q(\mathbf{a}_q)$  is a basis of  $H_q(W, E_\rho)$ . Then, following Ray and Singer [RS71], we define the *RS torsion of  $W$  with respect to the representation  $\rho$*  to be the torsion of the twisted complex

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet(L; E_\rho) = \mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho \mathbf{C}_\bullet(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

with respect to the graded homology basis  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(\mathbf{a}_\bullet)$ , and we use the notation

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(W; E_\rho) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho \mathbf{C}_\bullet(L; \mathbb{Z}\pi); \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathcal{A}_\bullet(\mathbf{a}_\bullet)).$$

This torsion is well defined since as  $\mathbb{R}$  torsion it does not depends on the choice of the triangulation.

For a manifold  $Y$  with boundary  $\partial Y = W$ , as in the previous section, recall that near the boundary there is a natural splitting of  $\Lambda Y$  as direct sum of vector bundles  $\Lambda T^* \partial Y \oplus \mathcal{N}^* Y \otimes \Lambda T^* \partial Y$ , where  $\mathcal{N}^* Y$  is the dual to the normal bundle to the boundary, and the smooth forms on  $Y$  near the boundary decompose as  $\omega = \omega_{\text{tan}} + \omega_{\text{norm}}$ , where  $\omega_{\text{norm}}$  is the orthogonal projection on the subspace generated by  $dx$ , the one form corresponding to the outward pointing unit normal vector to the boundary, and  $\omega_{\text{tan}}$  is in  $C^\infty(Y) \otimes \Lambda(\partial Y)$ . We write  $\omega = \omega_1 + dx \wedge \omega_2$ , where  $\omega_j \in C^\infty(Y, \Lambda(T^* \partial Y))$ , and

$$\star\omega_2 = dx \wedge \star\omega.$$

Define absolute and relative boundary conditions by

$$B_{\text{abs}}(\omega) = \omega_{\text{norm}}|_{\partial Y} = \omega_2|_{\partial Y} = 0, \quad B_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = \omega_{\text{tan}}|_{\partial Y} = \omega_1|_{\partial Y} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\omega) = B(\omega) \oplus B((d + d^\dagger)(\omega))$ . The adjoint  $d^\dagger$  and the Laplacian  $\Delta = (d + d^\dagger)^2$  operators are defined on the space of sections with values in  $E_\rho$ , the Laplacian with

boundary conditions  $\mathcal{B}(\omega) = 0$  is self-adjoint, and the spaces of the harmonic forms with boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y, E_\rho) &= \{\omega \in \Omega^q(Y, E_\rho) \mid d\omega = d^\dagger\omega = 0, \mathcal{B}_{\text{abs}}(\omega) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y, E_\rho) &= \{\omega \in \Omega^q(Y, E_\rho) \mid d\omega = d^\dagger\omega = 0, \mathcal{B}_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be a regular cellular or simplicial decomposition of  $Y$  and  $L$  of  $\partial Y$ . Let  $C_q(K; E_\rho) = \mathbb{R}^k \otimes_\rho C_q(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi_1(Y))$  be complex of the twisted chains, as above. Then we have the following de Rham maps  $\mathcal{A}^q$  (that induce isomorphisms in cohomology),

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs}}^q : \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y, E_\rho) \rightarrow C^q(K; E_\rho), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^q : \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y, E_\rho) \rightarrow C^q(K, L; E_\rho),$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs}}^q(\omega)(v \otimes_\rho c) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^q(\omega)(v \otimes_\rho c) = \int_c (\omega, v),$$

where  $v \otimes_\rho c$  belongs to  $C_q(K; E_\rho)$ , and  $c$  is identified with the  $q$ -subcomplex (simplicial or cellular) that  $c$  represents. Following Ray and Singer [RS71], we introduce the de Rham maps  $\mathcal{A}_q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rel}} : \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y, E_\rho) &\rightarrow C_q(K, L; E_\rho), & \mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rel}} : \omega &\mapsto (-1)^{(m-1)q} \mathcal{P}_q^{-1} \check{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{abs}}^{m-q} \star (\omega), \\ \mathcal{A}_q^{\text{abs}} : \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y, E_\rho) &\rightarrow C_q(K; E_\rho), & \mathcal{A}_q^{\text{abs}} : \omega &\mapsto (-1)^{(m-1)q} \mathcal{P}_q^{-1} \check{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q} \star (\omega),\end{aligned}$$

both defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rel}}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}_q^{\text{abs}}(\omega) = (-1)^{(m-1)q} \sum_{j,i} \left( \int_{\check{c}_{q,j}} (\star\omega, e_i) \right) c_{q,j} \otimes_\rho e_i, \quad (2.3)$$

where the sum runs over all  $q$ -simplices  $c_{q,j}$  of  $\check{K} - \check{L}$  in the first case, but runs over all  $q$ -simplices  $c_{q,j}$  of  $\check{K} \sqcup \check{L}$  in the second case (see Section 6.29 for details on the construction of the dual block complex). Here  $\mathcal{P}_q : C_q(K, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C^{m-q}(\check{K} - \check{L}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the Poincaré map, and  $\hat{c}$  denotes the dual block cell of  $c$ .

### 3. SOME ELEMENTARY CONSTRUCTIONS WITH CHAIN COMPLEXES AND THEIR TORSION

**3.1. The cone of a chain complex.** Let  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  be a finite chain complex of free finitely generated left  $R$ -modules

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet : \quad \mathbf{C}_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \mathbf{C}_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0,$$

We assume that  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  has a graded preferred basis, and we denote it by  $\mathbf{c}_q = \{c_{q,k}\}$ . The *cone of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$*  is the algebraic mapping cone of the chain identity of the augmented complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ , i.e. the chain complex  $C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet$  of length  $m+1$  with<sup>1</sup>

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_q = C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_{q-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_q, & q > 0, \\ R[v] \oplus \mathbf{C}_0, & q = 0. \end{cases}$$

<sup>1</sup>It is important to observe that this sum is not multilinear, namely:  $(x+y) \otimes z \neq x \otimes z + y \otimes z$ . This works only if one of the components is 0.

and boundary operator

$$\dot{\partial}_q = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1} & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_q \end{pmatrix}, & q > 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_1 \end{pmatrix}, & q = 1, \\ 0, & q = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\epsilon : \mathbf{C}_0 \rightarrow R[v]$  is the augmentation; in particular:

$$C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet : \quad \dots \longrightarrow \dot{\mathbf{C}}_1 = \mathbf{C}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_1} \dot{\mathbf{C}}_0 = R[v \oplus 0, 0 \oplus c_{0,k}] \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_0=0} 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For  $q > 0$ ,

$$\dot{H}_q = \frac{\dot{Z}_q}{\text{Im} \dot{\partial}_{q+1}} = \frac{\{\dot{c} = \partial_q(y) \oplus y \mid y \in \mathbf{C}_q\}}{\dot{Z}_q - (0 \oplus \partial_q(\mathbf{C}_{q+1}))} = 0,$$

while

$$\dot{H}_0 = \frac{R[v \oplus 0, 0 \oplus c_{0,k}]}{R[v \oplus c_{0,k}]} = R[[v \oplus 0]].$$

The chain inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} j_q &: \mathbf{C}_q \rightarrow C(\mathbf{C}_q), \\ j_q &: c_q \mapsto 0 \oplus c_q, \end{aligned}$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\bullet \xrightarrow{j_\bullet} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} (C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where the relative complex is  $\mathbf{C}''_q = (C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q = \dot{\mathbf{C}}_q / \mathbf{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{q-1}$ , with boundary  $\partial_{q-1}$ :

$$(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet : \quad \mathbf{C}''_m = \mathbf{C}_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial''_m = \partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial''_2 = \partial_1} \mathbf{C}''_1 = \mathbf{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial''_1 = \epsilon} R[v] \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

Bases for the chain modules are  $\mathbf{c}''_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1}$  for  $q > 0$ , and  $c_{0,0} = \{v\}$ .

The exact sequence (3.2) induces an homology long exact sequence: for  $q > 1$

$$\dots \rightarrow H_q(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0 \rightarrow H_q((C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{p^{*,q}} H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0,$$

where the boundary homomorphism is in fact the identity. Therefore,  $H_q(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ , for  $q > 1$ , and it is trivial otherwise. A basis for homology is  $\mathbf{h}''_q = \mathbf{h}_{q-1}$ , for  $q > 1$ .

**3.1.1. Torsion and relative torsion.** Since  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  is a based chain complex, so is  $C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  with preferred basis  $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_q = \{c_{q-1,j} \oplus 0, 0 \oplus c_{q,k}\}$ , for  $q > 0$ , and  $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_0 = \{v \oplus 0, 0 \oplus c_{0,j}\}$ . The unique non trivial homology group of the cone is in dimension zero, and it is free, therefore the Whitehead torsions of  $C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is defined, and is related to the torsion of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{h}_0$  a fixed basis for  $\dot{H}_0$ . We have  $\mathbf{h}_0 = \{\dot{h}_{0,0}\}$ , where  $\dot{h}_{0,0} = \alpha[v \oplus 0]$ , for some unit  $\alpha \in R^\times$ . The integral basis is  $\dot{n}_{0,0} = [v \oplus 0]$ .

Assume  $\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q$  is a fixed set of elements of  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_q$  with  $\dot{\partial}_q(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q) \neq 0$ , and l.i.. Since  $H_q(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  it follows that a basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_q$  is  $\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}), \dot{\mathbf{b}}_q$ , for  $q > 0$ . A basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_0$  is  $\dot{\partial}_1(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0$ , since  $\dot{\mathbf{b}}_0 = \emptyset$ . Applying the definition

$$\tau_W(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet); \dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, \dot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) = [(\dot{\partial}_1(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0/\dot{\mathbf{c}}_0)] + \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q/\dot{\mathbf{c}}_q)].$$

We want to write the torsion of the cone as a function of the torsion of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ . For  $q > 1$ , if  $c = x \oplus y \in \dot{\mathbf{C}}_q = \mathbf{C}_{q-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_q$ ,

$$\dot{\partial}_q = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1} & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1}(x) \\ x - \partial_q(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, when  $q > 1$ ,

$$\dot{\partial}_q(0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q) = 0 \oplus -\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) \neq 0, \quad \dot{\partial}_q(\mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_{q-1}(\mathbf{c}_{q-1}) \oplus \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \neq 0,$$

and this happens only for these elements (the notation  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle$  for two sets of linearly independent vectors means the subspace direct sum of the two subspaces generated by these sets of vectors). However, these elements do not have linearly independent images: for if  $\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) = \mathbf{c}_{q-1}$  ( $0 \neq y = \partial_q x$ ), then

$$\dot{\partial}_q(0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q + \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_{q-1}(\mathbf{c}_{q-1}) \oplus \mathbf{c}_{q-1} - \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) = 0,$$

the image will vanish. Since  $\mathbf{c}_{q-1} = \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}$ , this problem can be avoided taking only the elements in  $\mathbf{c}_{q-1}$  coming from  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}$ . Therefore, a set of elements in  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_q$  with non trivial l.i image is

$$\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q,$$

and

$$\dot{\partial}_q(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q) = 0 \oplus \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1} \quad \partial_{q-1}(\mathbf{b}_{q-1}) \oplus \mathbf{b}_{q-1} \quad 0 \oplus \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q),$$

and hence the new basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_q$ ,  $q > 1$ , is (the equivalence follows since the matrix of the change of basis is block triangular).

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q &= 0 \oplus \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \quad \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) \oplus \mathbf{b}_q \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \quad \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q \\ &\cong 0 \oplus \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q \quad \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0. \end{aligned}$$

At  $q = 1, 0$ , recall the right end of the sequence displayed in equation (3.1) where

$$\dot{\partial}_1(x \oplus y) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon(x) \\ x - \partial_1(y) \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon(x) \oplus x - \partial_1(y),$$

we see that  $\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1$  is as before, and  $\mathbf{b}_0 = \alpha v \oplus 0$ . So the new basis at  $q = 1$  is

$$\dot{\partial}_2(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_2)\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1 = 0 \oplus \partial_2(\mathbf{b}_2)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1\mathbf{b}_1 \quad \partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\mathbf{b}_0 \oplus 0.$$

A short calculation gives the boundary:

$$\dot{\partial}_1(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1) = 0 \oplus \partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0 \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{b}_0) \oplus \mathbf{b}_0,$$

since  $\dot{\mathbf{b}}_0 = \emptyset$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0 = \alpha v \oplus 0$ , the new basis at  $q = 0$  is

$$\dot{\partial}_1(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\dot{\mathbf{b}}_0 = 0 \oplus \partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0 \quad \tilde{\partial}_0(\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0) \oplus \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0 \quad \alpha v \oplus 0 \cong 0 \oplus \partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0 \quad \alpha v \oplus 0.$$

Therefore, for  $q > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} [(\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q/\dot{\mathbf{c}}_q)] &= [(0 \oplus \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q \quad \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0/\mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{c}_q)] \\ &= [(\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}/\mathbf{c}_{q-1})] + [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(\dot{\partial}_2(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_2)\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1/\dot{\mathbf{c}}_1)] &= [(\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\mathbf{b}_0/\mathbf{c}_0)] + [(\partial_2(\mathbf{b}_2)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1\mathbf{b}_1/\mathbf{c}_1)], \\ [(\dot{\partial}_1(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_1)\dot{\mathbf{b}}_0/\dot{\mathbf{c}}_0)] &= [(\alpha v/v)] + [(\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\mathbf{b}_0/\mathbf{c}_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathbb{W}}(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet); \dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, \dot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) &= [(\alpha v/v)] + [(\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0\mathbf{b}_0/\mathbf{c}_0)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}/\mathbf{c}_{q-1})] + [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] \\ &= [(\alpha v/v)] = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha = 1$ .

For relative torsion, it is easy to see that in all degrees  $q > 1$ , the relevant change of basis is

$$(\partial_{q+1}''(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}'')\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q''\mathbf{b}_q''/\mathbf{c}_q'') = (\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}/\mathbf{c}_{q-1}).$$

It remains to consider the right end of the sequence as displayed in equation (3.3). Recalling that homology is trivial, let  $c_{0,0}$  be a fixed 0 cell representing the zero homology of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ , i.e.  $\hat{h}_{0,0} = \beta c_{0,0}$ ,  $\beta \in R^\times$ . Then, we may choose  $\mathbf{b}_0'' = c_0 - \{c_{0,0}\}$ . Whence

$$(\partial_2''(\mathbf{b}_2'')\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1''\mathbf{b}_1''/\mathbf{c}_1'') = (\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)c_0 - \{c_{0,0}\}/c_0).$$

Since the cells in the boundary of each  $\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)$  are the same  $R$  multiple of 0 cells, it follows that

$$[(\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)c_0 - \{c_{0,0}\}/c_0)] = [(\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_0/\mathbf{c}_0)].$$

In degree 0 the change of basis is trivial. So

$$\tau_{\mathbb{W}}((C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet); \mathbf{c}_\bullet'', \mathbf{h}_\bullet'') = \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] = -\tau_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet).$$

Note that this follows as well applying Theorem 2.7, since the torsion of the homology sequence in this case is precisely  $[(\alpha v/v)] = \alpha$ .

**3.2. The algebraic mapping cone.** Let  $i_\bullet : \mathbf{C}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_\bullet$  an inclusion of chain complexes, and consider its algebraic mapping cone

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} & \mathbf{D}_\bullet \\ j_\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{j}_\bullet \\ C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_\bullet} & \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet = C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{D}_\bullet \end{array}$$

By definition,  $\ddot{C}_\bullet$  is the following complex

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{C}_q &= C_{q-1} \oplus D_q, \\ \ddot{\partial}_q &= \partial_{q-1}^{C_\bullet} \oplus (i_{q-1} - \partial_q^{D_\bullet}) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1}^{C_\bullet} & 0 \\ i_{q-1} & -\partial_q^{D_\bullet} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that this construction is functorial: if  $\varphi : (D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow (D'_\bullet, C'_\bullet)$  is a chain map of pairs, then it induces a chain map

$$\ddot{\varphi} : \ddot{C}_\bullet = C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet \rightarrow \ddot{C}'_\bullet = C(C'_\bullet) \sqcup_{i'_\bullet} D'_\bullet,$$

coinciding with  $\varphi$  on  $D_\bullet$  and with its restriction on  $C_\bullet$ . Existence follows by definition, commutativity with the boundary is easily verified.

Note also that we have the inclusion  $\bar{i}_\bullet : C(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \ddot{C}_\bullet$ , and it is easy to see that the quotient complex is

$$(\ddot{C}_\bullet, C(C_\bullet))_q = \ddot{C}_q / C(C_\bullet)_q = \frac{C_{q-1} \oplus D_q}{C_{q-1} \oplus C_q} = D_q / C_q = (D_\bullet, C_\bullet)_q.$$

i.e. that the inclusion  $\bar{j}_\bullet : D_\bullet \rightarrow \ddot{C}_\bullet$  induces a chain isomorphism on classes

$$\tilde{j}_\bullet : (D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow (\ddot{C}_\bullet, C(C_\bullet)). \quad (3.4)$$

We have the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow C_\bullet \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} D_\bullet \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} D_\bullet / C_\bullet \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow C(C_\bullet)_\bullet \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_\bullet} \ddot{C}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_\bullet} \ddot{C}_\bullet / C(C_\bullet)_\bullet \longrightarrow 0,$$

that induce the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_\bullet & \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} & D_\bullet & \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} & D_\bullet / C_\bullet \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow j_\bullet & & \downarrow \bar{j}_\bullet & & \downarrow \tilde{j}_\bullet \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(C_\bullet)_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_\bullet} & \ddot{C}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_\bullet} & \ddot{C}_\bullet / C(C_\bullet)_\bullet \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow q_\bullet & & \downarrow \bar{q}_\bullet & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(C_\bullet)_\bullet / C_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_\bullet} & \ddot{C}_\bullet / D_\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

3.2.1. *Homology.* The homology of  $\ddot{C}_\bullet$  appears in several sequences. Consider the homology ladder induced by the map of pairs  $(\tilde{j}_\bullet, j_\bullet) : (D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow (\ddot{C}_\bullet, C(C_\bullet))$ , coupled with the homology long exact sequence of the pairs  $(C(C_\bullet), C_\bullet)$  and  $(\ddot{C}_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ :

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{q+1}(C(C_\bullet)/C_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{*,q+1}} & H_{q+1}(\ddot{C}_\bullet/D_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{q+1} & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_q(C_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{i_{*,q}} & H_q(D_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{p_{*,q}} & H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow j_{*,q} & & \downarrow \tilde{j}_{*,q} & & \downarrow \tilde{j}_{*,q} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_q(C(C_\bullet)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{*,q}} & H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{*,q}} & H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet, C(C_\bullet)) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow q_{*,q} & & \downarrow \bar{q}_{*,q} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_q(C(C_\bullet)/C_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{*,q}} & H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet/D_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{p}_{*,q}$  for all  $q > 1$ , since the homology of the cone is trivial.

Using the chain isomorphism of pairs in equation (3.4), we immediately obtain the isomorphism

$$\tilde{j}_{*,\bullet} : H_\bullet(D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_\bullet(\ddot{C}_\bullet, C(C_\bullet)).$$

**Remark 3.3.** *If the chain complexes comes from topology, then this follows by excision.*

For  $q > 0$ , composing with  $p_{*,\bullet}$ , we have the isomorphism

$$\tilde{p}_{*,q}^{-1} \tilde{j}_{*,q} : H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet).$$

For further use we also provide explicit computation of homology. For  $q > 0$ , if  $c = x \oplus y \in \ddot{C}_q = C_{q-1} \oplus D_q$ ,

$$\ddot{\partial}_q(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1} & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1}(x) \\ x - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the kernel of  $\ddot{\partial}_q$  is generated by the elements of the form  $\partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) \oplus y$ , with  $y \in i_q(C_q)$ , and  $0 \oplus z_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}$ , where  $z_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} \in \ker \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}$ . The image of  $\ddot{\partial}_{q+1}$  is

$$\text{Im} \ddot{\partial}_{q+1} = \text{Im} \partial_q \oplus (C_q - \text{Im} \partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) = \langle \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) \rangle \oplus \langle c_q \partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \rangle$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet) &= \frac{\ker \ddot{\partial}_q}{\text{Im} \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}} = \frac{\partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) \oplus y \mid y \in i_q(C_q) \rangle}{\langle \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) \rangle} \oplus \frac{\langle z_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} \rangle}{\langle c_q \partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \rangle} = \frac{\langle z_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} \rangle}{\langle c_q \partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \rangle} \\ &= H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

3.3.1. *Some homology sequences and their torsion.* We proceed to some identifications of homology sequences.

Recall that the inclusion of the base of the cone induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_\bullet \xrightarrow{j_\bullet} \dot{C}_\bullet \xrightarrow{q_\bullet} (\dot{C}_\bullet, C_\bullet) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the long exact sequence in homology

$$\dots \rightarrow H_q(\dot{C}_\bullet) = 0 \rightarrow H_q(\dot{C}_\bullet, C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta_q} H_{q-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(\dot{C}_\bullet) = 0,$$

where the boundary homomorphism  $\delta_q$  is in fact the identity. Using the diagram in figure 3.5, we have the following ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \mathcal{H} : & \dots & \longrightarrow & H_q(C_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{i_{*,q}} & H_q(D_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{p_{*,q}} & H_q(D_\bullet/C_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\delta_q} & H_{q-1}(C_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & \varphi_q \downarrow & & id \downarrow & & \psi_q \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \ddot{\mathcal{H}} : & \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{q+1}(\ddot{C}_\bullet/D_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{q+1}} & H_q(D_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}_{*,q}} & H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_{*,q}} & H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet/D_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi_q = \tilde{i}_{*,q} \delta_q^{-1}$  and  $\psi_q = \bar{p}_{*,q} \tilde{j}_{*,q}$  are isomorphisms. Let  $h_q$ ,  $h_q^{D_\bullet}$  and  $h_q''$  be bases of  $H_q(C_\bullet)$ ,  $H_q(D_\bullet)$  and  $H_q(D_\bullet/C_\bullet)$  respectively. Then, we fix the bases  $\ddot{h}_q = \psi_q(h_q'')$ , and  $\ddot{h}_{q+1}'' = \varphi_q(h_q)$  for  $H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet)$  and  $H_{q+1}(\ddot{C}_\bullet/D_\bullet)$ .

When computing the torsion, we need to identify the sets of elements  $y'_q$ ,  $y_q$  and  $y''_q$  in the modules of the two sequences  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\ddot{\mathcal{H}}$ . We proceed as follows. We will denote by  $y'_q$  a set on  $H_q(C_\bullet)$  such that its image is a basis for the image of  $i_{*,q}$ , and by  $\ddot{y}_{q+1}''$  the corresponding set under  $\varphi_q$ ; by  $y_q$  a set in  $H_q(D_\bullet)$  such that its image is a basis for  $p_{*,q}$ ; by  $y''_q$  a set in  $H_q(D_\bullet/C_\bullet)$  such that its image is a basis for the image of  $d_q$  and by  $\ddot{y}_q$  its image under  $\psi_q$ . Thus, the torsions reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathcal{H}; h_q, h_q^{D_\bullet}, h_q'') &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{D_\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h_q'')] \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q [(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( -[(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{D_\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h_q'')] \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; \ddot{h}_q, h_q^{D_\bullet}, \ddot{h}_q'') = \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(\ddot{y}_{q+1}'')\ddot{y}'_q/h_q^{D_\bullet})] - [(\bar{j}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}'_q)\ddot{y}_q/\ddot{h}_q)] + [(\bar{q}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q)\ddot{y}''_q/\ddot{h}_q'')] \right).$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{y}_{q+1}'' &= \varphi_q(y_q'), \\ \ddot{\delta}_{q+1}(\ddot{y}_{q+1}'') &= \ddot{d}_{q+1}''\varphi_q(y_q') = i_{*,q}(y_q'), \\ \ddot{y}_q' &= y_q, \\ \bar{j}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q') &= \bar{j}_{*,q}(y_q) = \psi_q p_{*,q}(y_q), \\ \ddot{y}_q &= \psi_q(y_q''), \\ \bar{q}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q) &= \bar{q}_{*,q}\psi_q(y_q'') = \varphi_{q-1}d_q(y_q''),\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\ddot{\delta}_{q+1}(\ddot{y}_{q+1}'')\ddot{y}_q'/\mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}) &= (i_{*,q}(y_q')y_q/\mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}), \\ (\bar{j}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q')\ddot{y}_q/\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q) &= (\psi_q p_{*,q}(y_q)\psi_q(y_q'')/\psi_q(\mathfrak{h}_q'')) = (p_{*,q}(y_q)y_q''/\mathfrak{h}_q''),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\bar{q}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q)\ddot{y}_q''/\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q'') = (\varphi_{q-1}\delta_q(y_q'')\varphi_{q-1}(y_{q-1}')/\varphi_{q-1}(\mathfrak{h}_{q-1})) = (d_q(y_q'')y_{q-1}'/\mathfrak{h}_{q-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{H}; \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q, \mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}, \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q'') &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\ddot{\delta}_{q+1}(\ddot{y}_{q+1}'')\ddot{y}_q'/\mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] - [(\bar{j}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q')\ddot{y}_q/\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q)] \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\bar{q}_{*,q}(\ddot{y}_q)\ddot{y}_q''/\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_q'')] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(i_{*,q}(y_q')y_q/\mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] - [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y_q''/\mathfrak{h}_q'')] \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(\delta_{q+1}(y_{q+1}'')y_q'/\mathfrak{h}_q)] \\ &= -\tau(\mathcal{H}; \mathfrak{h}_q, \mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}, \mathfrak{h}_q'').\end{aligned}$$

3.3.2. *Torsion.* Assume  $(\mathbf{D}\bullet, \mathbf{C}\bullet)$  are based consistently, and denote the bases by  $\mathbf{d}\bullet$  and  $\mathbf{c}\bullet$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{d}_q''$  is the subset of elements of  $\mathbf{d}\bullet$  that lie in  $\mathbf{D}\bullet - i_\bullet(\mathbf{C}\bullet)$ : this is a basis for  $(\mathbf{D}\bullet, \mathbf{C}\bullet)$ . We have a short exact sequence of based modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbf{C}\bullet, \mathbf{c}\bullet) \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} (\mathbf{D}\bullet, \mathbf{d}\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} (\mathbf{D}\bullet/\mathbf{C}\bullet, \mathbf{d}_\bullet'') \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$\mathbf{d}\bullet = i_\bullet(\mathbf{c}\bullet)\widehat{\mathbf{d}}_\bullet''.$$

Using the chain isomorphism described in equation (3.4),  $\tilde{j}_\bullet(\mathbf{d}_\bullet'')$  is a chain basis for  $(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\bullet, C(\mathbf{C}\bullet))$ . Consider the short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{C}\bullet) \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_\bullet} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\bullet \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_\bullet} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\bullet, C(\mathbf{C}\bullet)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and observe that the restriction to the inclusion

$$\bar{s}_\bullet := \tilde{p}_\bullet^{-1}|_{\tilde{j}_\bullet(\mathbf{D}\bullet - i_\bullet(\mathbf{C}\bullet))} : (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\bullet, C(\mathbf{C}\bullet)) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\bullet$$

is a splitting map for  $\bar{p}_\bullet$ . Then, a coherent basis for  $\check{C}_\bullet$  is given by

$$\check{c}_\bullet = \bar{i}_\bullet(\check{c}_\bullet)\bar{s}_\bullet\tilde{j}_\bullet(d''_\bullet).$$

This reads

$$\check{c}_q = c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus d_q = c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus i_q(c_q) \quad 0 \oplus d''_q.$$

For homology, note that the induced map  $\bar{p}_{*,q}$  is an isomorphism for  $q > 0$ , while in dimension  $q = 0$  the map  $\bar{i}_{*,q}$  is an isomorphism. Denote by  $\mathbf{h}''_\bullet$  a graded homology basis for  $H_\bullet(D_\bullet/C_\bullet)$ , and by  $\check{h}_\bullet$  a basis for  $H_\bullet(\check{C}_\bullet)$ . Since  $\tilde{j}_{*,\bullet}$  is an isomorphism, a graded basis for  $H_\bullet(\check{C}_\bullet, C_\bullet)$  is  $\tilde{j}_{*,q}(\mathbf{h}''_q)$ . Since  $\bar{p}_{*,q}$  is an isomorphism for  $q > 0$ , for such  $q$ , we have  $\check{h}_q = \bar{p}_{*,q}^{-1}\tilde{j}_{*,q}(\mathbf{h}''_q)$ . In the notation of direct sum, this reads

$$\check{h}_\bullet = 0 \oplus \mathbf{h}''_\bullet.$$

Whence, in order to define the torsion of  $\check{C}_\bullet$ , it is sufficient to assume that the relative homology groups  $H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$  are free with the basis above.

We proceed to compute the torsion. We start by computing the new bases for torsion. In these calculations we omit the inclusion and splitting maps, wherever possible.

For  $q > 0$ , if  $c = x \oplus y \in \check{C}_q = C_{q-1} \oplus D_q$ ,

$$\check{\partial}_q(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1} & 0 \\ 1 & -\partial_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1}(x) \\ x - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\check{\partial}_q(0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) = 0 \oplus -\partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \neq 0, \quad \check{\partial}_q(c_{q-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_{q-1}(c_{q-1}) \oplus c_{q-1} \neq 0,$$

and this happens only for these elements (the notation  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle$  for two sets of linearly independent vectors means the subspace direct sum of the two subspaces generated by these sets of vectors). However, these elements do not have linearly independent images: for if  $\partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) = x$  ( $0 \neq y = \partial_q x$ ), then

$$\check{\partial}_q(0 \oplus y + x \oplus 0) = \partial_{q-1}(x) \oplus x - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(y) = 0,$$

the image will vanish. Since  $c_{q-1} = \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{h}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}$ , this problem can be avoided taking only the elements in  $c_{q-1}$  coming from  $\hat{h}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}$ . Therefore, a set of elements in  $\check{C}_q$  with non trivial l.i. image is

$$\check{\mathbf{b}}_q = \hat{h}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}.$$

Recalling that the basis  $d_q$  splits as  $i_q(c_q)\hat{d}''_q$ , thus choosing the set  $\mathbf{b}''_q$  for the quotient complex, we may choose the set  $\check{\mathbf{b}}_q$  as follows (this works since the homology of the cone is trivial!)

$$\check{\mathbf{b}}_q = c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}''_q,$$

and

$$\check{\partial}_q(\check{\mathbf{b}}_q) = \partial_{q-1}(c_{q-1}) \oplus c_{q-1} \quad 0 \oplus \partial_q''(\mathbf{b}''_q),$$

and hence the new basis for  $\ddot{C}_q$ ,  $q > 1$ , is (the equivalence follows since the matrix of the change of basis is block triangular, see Section 2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(\ddot{b}_{q+1})\ddot{h}_q\ddot{b}_q &= \partial_q(c_q) \oplus c_q \quad 0 \oplus \partial''_{q+1}(b''_{q+1}) \quad \ddot{h}_q \quad c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus b''_q \\ &= c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad \ddot{h}_q \quad 0 \oplus \partial''_{q+1}(b''_{q+1})c_q b''_q. \end{aligned}$$

We may now compute the torsion:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(\ddot{C}_\bullet; \ddot{i}_\bullet(\dot{c}_\bullet)\ddot{s}_\bullet\ddot{j}_\bullet(d''_\bullet), \ddot{i}_{*,\bullet}(\dot{h}_\bullet)\ddot{p}_{*,\bullet}^{-1}\ddot{j}_{*,\bullet}(h''_\bullet)) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \widehat{h}_q'' \quad 0 \oplus \partial''_{q+1}(b''_{q+1})c_q b''_q / c_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus c_q d''_q)] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(c_{q-1} / c_{q-1})] + \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\partial''_{q+1}(b''_{q+1})\widehat{h}_q'' b''_q / d''_q)] \\ &= \tau(D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Observing that, considering the based exact sequence 3.6, by Lemma 2.7,

$$\tau(D_\bullet; d_\bullet, h_\bullet^D) = \tau(C_\bullet; h_\bullet, c_\bullet) + \tau(D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet) + \tau(\mathcal{H}),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is associated homology long the exact sequence, and that a simple comparison using the diagram in Figure 3.5 shows that  $\tau(\mathcal{H}) = -\tau(\dot{\mathcal{H}})$ , as proved in Section 3.3.1, we have that the two previous results are consistent.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Making the suitable identifications of the grade homology bases, we have that*

$$\tau(\ddot{C}_\bullet; \ddot{c}_\bullet, \ddot{h}_\bullet) = \tau(D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet).$$

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence of based chain complexes

$$(\dot{C}_\bullet, \dot{c}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\ddot{i}_\bullet} (\ddot{C}_\bullet, \ddot{c}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\ddot{p}_\bullet} (\ddot{C}_\bullet / \dot{C}_\bullet, \ddot{c}''_\bullet)$$

Recalling the chain isomorphism  $\tilde{j}_\bullet : D_\bullet / C_\bullet \rightarrow \ddot{C}_\bullet / \dot{C}_\bullet$ , see equation (3.4), and making the suitable identifications of chain and homology bases, this turns out to be a simple chain isomorphism, so we may rewrite

$$(\dot{C}_\bullet, \dot{c}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\ddot{i}_\bullet} (\ddot{C}_\bullet, \ddot{c}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_q^{-1}\ddot{p}_\bullet} (D_\bullet / C_\bullet, d''_\bullet).$$

Applying Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\tau(\ddot{C}_\bullet; \ddot{c}_\bullet, \ddot{h}_\bullet) = \tau(\dot{C}_\bullet; \dot{c}_\bullet, \dot{h}_\bullet) + \tau(D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet) + \tau(\dot{\mathcal{H}}; \dot{h}_\bullet, \dot{h}_\bullet, h''_\bullet),$$

where  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$  is the associated long homology exact sequence. Since the homology of  $\dot{C}_\bullet$  is trivial in positive degrees, and with our identification of the homology bases, the sequence  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$  is a sequence of isomorphisms and 0 maps, with zero torsion. We computed in Section 3.1

$$\tau(\dot{C}_\bullet; \dot{c}_\bullet, \dot{h}_\bullet) = [(\dot{h}_0 / h_0)],$$

so if we identify the two bases, this completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.5. Torsion and duality.** A chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  of dimension  $m$  is a *dualisable chain complex* if there exists a second complex  $\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet$  of the same dimension and a chain isomorphism that we call *Poincaré isomorphism*,

$$\mathcal{P}_q : \check{\mathbf{C}}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_{*,\bullet}$  in homology.

**Remark 3.6.** Note that, if  $(\mathbf{C}_\bullet, \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$  is based, then  $\check{\mathbf{C}}_q$  is naturally based with basis  $\check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet = \mathcal{P}_{\bullet}^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_{m-q}^\dagger)$ , and that the isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$  is an isometry with respect to the metrics induced by the chain bases. If  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is free and has graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$ , it follows that  $H_\bullet(\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet)$  is free and has graded basis  $\check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet = \mathcal{P}_{*,\bullet}^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_{m-\bullet}^\dagger)$ .

Also note that we have the commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}_q & \xrightarrow{\check{\psi}_q} & \check{\mathbf{C}}_q^\dagger \\ \mathcal{P}_q \downarrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{P}_{m-q}^\dagger \\ \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\psi_{m-q}^\dagger} & \mathbf{C}_{m-q} \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let be a dualizable based chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  of dimension  $m$  of free modules, with graded basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$ , and chain isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_q : \check{\mathbf{C}}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger.$$

Assume also the homology  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is free with graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_q$  a set of linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{C}_q$  such that  $\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)$  generate  $\text{Im}(\partial_q)$ . Let  $\check{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathcal{P}_q^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_{m-q}^\dagger)$ ,  $\check{\mathbf{b}}_q = \mathcal{P}_q^{-1}((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger)$ , and  $\check{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathcal{P}_{*,q}^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_{m-q}^\dagger)$ . Then,

$$[(\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\hat{\check{\mathbf{h}}}_q\check{\mathbf{b}}_q/\check{\mathbf{c}}_q)] = -[(\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}\mathbf{b}_{m-q}/\mathbf{c}_{m-q})],$$

and

$$\tau_W(\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet; \check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, \check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) = (-1)^{m+1} \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  is based with basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$ , then  $\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet$  is naturally based (it is obvious that also  $\mathbb{C}_\bullet$  is based). Also, since the homologies are free with graded bases  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$  and  $\check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet = \mathcal{P}_{*,\bullet}^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_{m-\bullet}^\dagger)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{b}_q$  a set of linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{C}_q$  such that  $\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)$  generate  $\text{Im}(\partial_q)$ . Then, the set

$$\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q,$$

is a basis of  $\mathbf{C}_q$  and the set

$$(\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}^\dagger\mathbf{b}_{m-q}^\dagger,$$

is a basis of  $\mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger$ , and is dual in the proper sense to the basis  $\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}\mathbf{b}_{m-q}$ . Therefore, the matrices of the change of basis satisfy the equation

$$((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}^\dagger\mathbf{b}_{m-q}^\dagger/\mathbf{c}_q^\dagger) = ((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}\mathbf{b}_{m-q}/\mathbf{c}_{m-q})^T)^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, we may verify that (see [Spr18, pg. 544] for details)

$$\partial_q((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger) = \partial_{m-q+1}^\dagger((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger) = \mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}^\dagger.$$

Let  $\check{\mathbf{b}}_q = \mathcal{P}_q^{-1}((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger)$ . Since  $\partial_q \mathcal{P}_q = \partial_{m-q+1}^\dagger \mathcal{P}_q = \mathcal{P}_{q-1} \check{\partial}_q$  [Spr18, pg. 530], and

$$\mathcal{P}_{q-1}|_{\text{Im}(\check{\partial}_q)} : \text{Im}(\check{\partial}_q) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\partial_q),$$

is an isomorphism, and  $\partial_q((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger) = \mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}^\dagger$  (see [Spr18, pg. 544] for details), the set  $\check{\mathbf{b}}_q$  is a set of linearly independent elements of  $\check{\mathcal{C}}_q$  such that  $\check{\partial}_q(\check{\mathbf{b}}_q)$  generate  $\text{Im}(\check{\partial}_q)$ . Thus, the set

$$\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \check{\mathbf{b}}_q,$$

is a basis of  $\check{\mathcal{C}}_q$ , and the set

$$\partial_{q+1} \mathcal{P}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \mathcal{P}_q(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q) \mathcal{P}_q(\check{\mathbf{b}}_q),$$

is a basis of  $\mathcal{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger$ , and we have the following equality between the matrices of change of basis

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \check{\mathbf{b}}_q / \check{\mathbf{c}}_q) &= (\partial_{q+1} \mathcal{P}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \mathcal{P}_q(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q) \mathcal{P}_q(\check{\mathbf{b}}_q) / \mathcal{P}_q(\check{\mathbf{c}}_q)) \\ &= (\mathbf{b}_{m-q}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}^\dagger (\partial_{m+1-q}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger / \mathbf{c}_{m-q}^\dagger) \\ &= ((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q} \mathbf{b}_{m-q} / \mathbf{c}_{m-q}))^T)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (3.7). For the Whitehead classes this means that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \check{\mathbf{b}}_q / \check{\mathbf{c}}_{m-q})] &= [((\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}))^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}^\dagger \mathbf{b}_{m-q}^\dagger / \mathbf{c}_q^\dagger)] \\ &= -[(\partial_{m-q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{m-q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q} \mathbf{b}_{m-q} / \mathbf{c}_{m-q})]. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. ALGEBRAIC INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

**Definition 4.1.** A *perversity* is a finite sequence of integers  $\mathbf{p} = \{\mathbf{p}_j\}_{j=2}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{p}_2 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{p}_{j+1} = \mathbf{p}_j$  or  $\mathbf{p}_j + 1$ . If  $\mathbf{p}$  is a perversity (of length  $n$ ), we define the constant  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_n) := n - \mathbf{p}_n$ .

The perversity:  $\mathbf{m} = \{\mathbf{m}_j = [j/2] - 1\}$  is called *lower middle perversity*. The *null perversity* is  $0_j = 0$ , and the *top perversity* is  $\mathbf{t}_j = j - 2$ . Given a perversity  $\mathbf{p}$ , the *complementary perversity*  $\mathbf{p}^c$  is  $\mathbf{p}_j^c = \mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{p}_j = j - \mathbf{p}_j - 2$ .

**4.2. Preliminaries.** If not specified otherwise, all the chain complexes are chain complexes of free left  $R$ -modules. Let

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet : \quad \mathbf{C}_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \mathbf{C}_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0,$$

be a chain complex, and for  $0 \leq k \leq m$  denote by  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(k)}$  the truncated chain complex

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(k)} : \quad \mathbf{C}_k \xrightarrow{\partial_k} \mathbf{C}_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{k-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0.$$

Let  $(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$  a pair of chain complexes, with dimensions  $(m+1, m)$ . It is clear that we have inclusions of  $C_\bullet^{(k)}$  in  $C_\bullet^{(k+1)}$ , and an inclusion  $i_\bullet$  of  $C_\bullet$  in  $D_\bullet$ .

As in Section 3.2, let  $\check{C}_\bullet = C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet$  denote the mapping cone.

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity, and define the constant  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_n = n - \mathfrak{p}_n$ . Then, we define the chain complex  $E_{q,\bullet}$  by

$$E_{q,\bullet} = \begin{cases} D_\bullet^{(q)}, & q < \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}, \\ \check{C}_\bullet^{(q)}, & q \geq \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}. \end{cases}$$

and with boundary homomorphism  $\partial_q^{E_\bullet}$  defined by the natural compositions of the homology exact sequences associated to the chain pairs  $(E_{q,\bullet}, E_{q-1,\bullet})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \cdots & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H_{q-1}(E_{q-2}) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & H_q(E_{q-1}) & \rightarrow & H_q(E_q, E_{q-1}) & \rightarrow & H_{q-1}(E_{q-1}) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & \searrow & \partial_q^{E_\bullet} & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & & & H_{q-1}(E_{q-1}, E_{q-2}) & & \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & & & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

**4.3. The intersection chain complex of the cone of a chain complex.** Consider the particular case when  $D_\bullet$  is empty, then

$$E_{q,\bullet} = \begin{cases} C_\bullet^{(q)}, & q < \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}, \\ \dot{C}_\bullet^{(q)}, & q \geq \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}, \end{cases}$$

and we define the *intersection chain complex*  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(C_\bullet)$  of perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $C(C_\bullet)$  by

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(C_\bullet)_q = H_q(E_{q,\bullet}, E_{q-1,\bullet}).$$

It is then clear that:

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(C_\bullet)_q = \begin{cases} C_q & q < \mathfrak{a}, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}(\dot{C}_\bullet^{(\mathfrak{a})}, C_\bullet^{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}), & q = \mathfrak{a}, \\ \dot{C}_q & q > \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q &= j_{q-1}''' \partial_q''' = \dot{\partial}_q, & q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 2, \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1} &= j_{\mathfrak{a}}'' \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}''', \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} &= j_{\mathfrak{a}-1}' \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}'', \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q &= j_{q-1}' \partial_q' = \partial_q, & q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the homomorphisms  $i''', j''', \partial''$ ,  $i'', j'', \partial''$ , and  $i', j', \partial'$  comes from the exact sequences:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j'_q} H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q)}, \mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial'_q} H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j'''_q} H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q)}, \mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial'''_q} H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j''_q} H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q)}, \dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial''_q} H_{q-1}(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

**Lemma 4.4.**

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_q & q < \mathfrak{a}, \\ Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{a}, & q = \mathfrak{a}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{C}}_q & q > \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= \dot{\partial}_q, & q \geq \mathfrak{a}, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= \partial_q, & q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet$ :

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{m+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}+2}} \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathfrak{a}+1} \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_\mathfrak{a}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* We need only to consider the case  $q = \mathfrak{a}$ . Since

$$(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet)_\mathfrak{a} = H_\mathfrak{a}(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^{(\mathfrak{a})}, \mathbf{C}_\bullet^{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}),$$

the relevant diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow \iota_{\mathfrak{a}-1} & & \\ \dot{\mathbf{C}}_\mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_\mathfrak{a}} & \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ \downarrow & & \\ & & \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\iota_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical sequence is the exact sequence associated to the inclusion  $\iota_\bullet$ . Recalling that  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-2} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$ , and that the inclusion  $\iota_{\mathfrak{a}-1} : \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{C}}_\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\iota_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(x) = 0 \oplus x$ , the associated exact sequence reads

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{a}-1}} \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-2} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

Whence, we need to compute the kernel of the composition  $pr_1 \ddot{\partial}_\mathfrak{a}$ :

$$\ker(pr_1 \dot{\partial}_\mathfrak{a}) = \{(x, y) \in \dot{\mathbf{C}}_\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{a} : pr_1 \dot{\partial}_\mathfrak{a}(x, y) = (\partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(x), 0) = 0\} = Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{a},$$

where  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  of the complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ .

□

**Lemma 4.5.** *The construction is functorial in the category of the finite chain complexes of free  $R$ -modules: namely, if  $\varphi_\bullet : \mathbf{C}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'_\bullet$  is a chain map, we have a chain map  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\varphi_\bullet)_\bullet : I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}'_\bullet)_\bullet$ , where  $C(\varphi_\bullet)_\bullet$  is the cone of the map  $\varphi_\bullet$ .*

**Lemma 4.6.** *The functor  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is exact.*

*Proof.* This follows by the description of the intersection chain complex given in Lemma 4.4. □

**Lemma 4.7.**

$$H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2, \\ 0, & \mathfrak{a} - 1 \leq q \leq m + 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the Lemma 4.4, if  $q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2$  then  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q = \mathbf{C}_q$  and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q = \partial_q$ , so  $H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ . If  $q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1$  then  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q = \dot{\mathbf{C}}_q$  and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q = \dot{\partial}_q$ , so  $H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet) = 0$ .

For the other cases, when  $q = \mathfrak{a} - 1$ ,  $\text{Im} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} = Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  then  $H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0$ . If  $q = \mathfrak{a}$  then

$$\ker I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{(z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}, c_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} : \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}) = z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\} = \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus 0.$$

Then take  $(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}), c_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \ker \dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}}$  and consider the element  $(c_{\mathfrak{a}}, 0) \in I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_{\mathfrak{a}+1}$ , then

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}, 0) = (\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}), c_{\mathfrak{a}}),$$

and we obtain that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0$ . □

**Remark 4.8.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  is a based complex, with preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet))_q$  is free and a preferred basis determined by that of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  for all  $q \neq \mathfrak{a}$ ,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_\bullet))_q = \mathbf{c}_q, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_\bullet))_q = \dot{\mathbf{c}}_q, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1.$$

*but  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet))_{\mathfrak{a}} = Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , is not necessarily free, but is stably free by the next assumption. We assume some basis  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  of  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  has been fixed and we set  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_\bullet))_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Assuming that  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is free with preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$ , using Lemma 4.7, it follows that  $H_\bullet(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet))$  is also free and has the preferred graded basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet$  defined by*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathbf{h}_q, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_q = \emptyset, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} - 1.$$

#### 4.9. The relative intersection chain complex of the cone of a chain complex.

We have an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_q : \mathbf{C}_q &\rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_q, \\ \iota_q : x &\mapsto \begin{cases} x, & q < \mathfrak{a}, \\ x \oplus 0, & q \geq \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

that commutes with the boundary operator, thus we have an inclusion of complexes and we can consider the pair  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ . We call the resulting relative (quotient) complex the *intersection chain complex of the pair  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)$*  of perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and we denote it by  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet$ . We have the following short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\iota_\bullet} I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \longrightarrow (I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet = I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)/\mathbf{C}_\bullet \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 4.10.**

$$(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_q = \begin{cases} 0, & q < \mathfrak{a}, \\ Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}, & q = \mathfrak{a}, \\ (C(\dot{\mathbf{C}}), \mathbf{C})_q = \mathbf{C}_{q-1}, & q > \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q &= \partial_{q-1}, & q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1, \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_q &= 0, & q \leq \mathfrak{a}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the complex  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$ :

$$(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{m+1} = \mathbf{C}_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} (\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\mathfrak{a}+1} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}} Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \dots \quad (4.2)$$

**Lemma 4.11.**

$$H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \\ H_q(\dot{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C}) = H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), & \mathfrak{a} \leq q \leq m + 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We just observe that, for  $q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1$ ,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_q = (\dot{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})_q \cong \mathbf{C}_{q-1},$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.12.** *Proceeding as in Remark 4.8, we assume that  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  is a based complex, and has got a preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{c}_{\bullet}$ . Then  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_q, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is free, and has the preferred basis in all degree different from  $\mathfrak{a}$ :*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet}))_{\text{rel},q} = \emptyset, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet}))_{\text{rel},q} = \mathbf{c}_q, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1.$$

$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}))_{\mathfrak{a}} = Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$ , is not necessarily free, but is stably free by the next assumption. We assume some basis  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  of  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  has been fixed and we set  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet}))_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{a}-1} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$ .

Assuming that  $H_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is free with preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_{\bullet}$ , then  $H_{\bullet}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is also free and has the preferred graded basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$  defined by

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q} = \emptyset, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q} = \mathbf{h}_{q-1}, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a}.$$

#### 4.13. The intersection chain complex of the mapping cone of a chain complex.

We consider now the general case of the mapping cone let  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet} = C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}$ . Given a perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , the complex  $\mathbf{E}_{q,\bullet}$  is

$$\mathbf{E}_{q,\bullet} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}^{(q)}, & q < \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q)}, & q \geq \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}. \end{cases}$$

and we define the *intersection chain complex*  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$  of perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}$  by

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_q = H_q(\mathbf{E}_{q,\bullet}, \mathbf{E}_{q-1,\bullet}).$$

It is then clear that:

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_q = \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_q & q < \mathfrak{a}, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet}^{(\mathfrak{a})}, \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}^{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}), & q = \mathfrak{a}, \\ (C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_q & q > \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= j_{q-1}''' \partial_q''' = \ddot{\partial}_q, \quad q \geq \mathbf{a} + 2, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}+1} &= j_{\mathbf{a}}'' \partial_{\mathbf{a}+1}''', \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}} &= j_{\mathbf{a}-1}' \partial_{\mathbf{a}}'', \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= j_{q-1}' \partial_q' = \partial_q^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}, \quad q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the homomorphisms  $i''', j''', \partial''', i'', j'', \partial''$ , and  $i', j', \partial'$  comes from the exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H_q(\mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j_q'} H_q(\mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q)}, \mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_q'} H_{q-1}(\mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots, \\ \dots &\longrightarrow H_q(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j_q'''} H_q(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q)}, \mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_q'''} H_{q-1}(\mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots, \\ \dots &\longrightarrow H_q(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j_q''} H_q(\dot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q)}, \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_q''} H_{q-1}(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.14.**

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbb{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbb{D}_{\bullet})_q = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_q & q < \mathbf{a}, \\ Z_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}}, & q = \mathbf{a}, \\ \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_q & q > \mathbf{a}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= \partial_q^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}, \quad q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= \ddot{\partial}_q, \quad q \geq \mathbf{a}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$ :

$$\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\ddot{\partial}_{m+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+2}} \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{a}+1} \xrightarrow{\ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+1}} Z_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}} \xrightarrow{\ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}} \mathbb{D}_0. \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof.* We need only to consider the case  $q = \mathbf{a}$ . Since

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{a}} = H_{\mathbf{a}}(\ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}^{(\mathbf{a})}, \mathbb{D}_{\bullet}^{(\mathbf{a}-1)}),$$

the relevant diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \longrightarrow \dots \\ & \downarrow \bar{j}_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \\ \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}}} \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \longrightarrow \dots \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \ddot{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{a}-1} / \bar{j}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}-1}) & \end{array}$$

where the vertical sequence is the exact sequence associated to the inclusion  $\bar{j}_{\bullet}$ .

Recalling that  $\ddot{C}_{a-1} = C_{a-2} \oplus D_{a-1}$ , and that the inclusion  $\bar{j}_{a-1} : D_{a-1} \rightarrow \ddot{C}_a$  is  $\bar{j}_{a-1}(x) = 0 \oplus x$ , the associated exact sequence reads

$$0 \longrightarrow D_{a-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{j}_{a-1}} \ddot{C}_{a-1} = C_{a-2} \oplus D_{a-1} \longrightarrow C_{a-2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

Whence, we need to compute the kernel of the composition  $pr_1 \ddot{\partial}_a$ :

$$\ker(pr_1 \ddot{\partial}_a) = \{(x, y) \in \ddot{C}_a = C_{a-1} \oplus D_a : pr_1 \ddot{\partial}_a(x, y) = (\partial_{a-1}(x), 0) = 0\} = Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a,$$

where  $Z_{a-1} = \ker \partial_{a-1}$  of the complex  $C_\bullet$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** *We have the identification of chain complexes*

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet = I^{\mathbb{P}}C(C_\bullet)_\bullet \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet.$$

**Lemma 4.16.**

$$H_q(I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet) = \begin{cases} H_q(D_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq a-2, \\ p_{*,a-1}(H_{a-1}(D_\bullet)) \leq H_{a-1}(D_\bullet/C_\bullet), & a \leq q \leq m+1. \\ H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet), & \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the lemma 4.14, if  $q \leq a-2$  then  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(\ddot{C}_\bullet)_q = D_q$  and  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q = \partial_q^{D_\bullet}$ , so  $H_q(I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet) = H_q(D_\bullet)$ . If  $q \geq a+1$  then  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(\ddot{C}_\bullet)_q = \ddot{C}_q$  and  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q = \ddot{\partial}_q$ , so  $H_q(I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet) = H_q(\ddot{C}_\bullet) = H_q(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ , since  $q > 0$ .

For the other cases, the relevant part of the complex is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\ddot{\partial}_{a+1}=\ddot{\partial}_{a+1}} (I^{\mathbb{P}}\ddot{D}_\bullet)_a = Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_a=\ddot{\partial}_a} (I^{\mathbb{P}}\ddot{D}_\bullet)_{a-1} = D_{a-1} \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{a-1}=\partial_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}} \dots$$

When  $q = a-1$ ,  $\ker I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{a-1} = \ker \partial_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}$ , while  $\text{Im } I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_a = Z_{a-1} + \text{Im } \partial_a^{D_\bullet}$ , and hence  $H_{a-1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\ddot{C}_\bullet) = \text{Im } p_{*,a-1} \leq H_{a-1}(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ .

If  $q = a$ , then, for  $x \oplus y \in Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a$ , we have

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_a(x \oplus y) = \partial_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}(x) \oplus \bar{j}_{a-1}(x) - \partial_a^{D_\bullet}(y) = 0 \oplus \bar{j}_{a-1}(x) - \partial_a^{D_\bullet}(y),$$

and this is zero if  $\partial_a^{D_\bullet}(y) = x$ . Since

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{a+1}(a \oplus b) = \partial_a(a) \oplus \bar{j}_a(a) - \partial_{a+1}^{D_\bullet}(b),$$

$I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{a+1} = Z_{a-1} + \partial_{a+1}^{D_\bullet}(D_{a+1})$ . It follows that  $H_a(I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet) = H_{a-1}(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.17.** *The construction is functorial in the category of the finite chain complexes of free  $R$ -modules: namely, if  $\varphi_\bullet : (D_\bullet, C_\bullet) \rightarrow (D'_\bullet, C'_\bullet)$  is a chain map of pairs, we have a chain map  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\tilde{\varphi}_\bullet : I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C'_\bullet) \sqcup_{i'_\bullet} D'_\bullet)_\bullet$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}_\bullet$  is the push out of  $\varphi$  and the cone of its restriction to  $C_\bullet$ .*

**Lemma 4.18.** *The functor  $I^{\mathbb{P}}$  is exact.*

*Proof.* This follows by the description of the intersection chain complex given in Lemma 10.38.  $\square$

**Remark 4.19.** *Suppose that  $D_\bullet$ , and  $C_\bullet$  are based with preferred bases  $\mathbf{d}_\bullet$  and  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$ . Let  $\mathbf{d}''_\bullet$  be a consistent preferred basis for  $(D_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ . Then,  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(C_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} D_\bullet)_\bullet$  is free and a*

preferred basis is determined for all  $q \neq \mathbf{a}$ : i.e. a preferred basis of  $R$ -modules for the intersection complex is (see Section 3.3.2 for the notation and the maps):

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_q &: & I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{c}_q &= \mathbf{d}_q, \quad q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{a}} &: & I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{c}_{\mathbf{a}-1} &= \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}}, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_q &: & I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{c}_q &= \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{d}_q, \quad q \geq \mathbf{a} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, assuming that  $H_{\bullet}(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet})$  is free with preferred graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_{\bullet}^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}}$ , and  $H_{\bullet}(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is free with preferred basis  $\mathbf{h}_{\bullet}''$ , it follows that  $H_{\bullet}(I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\bullet})$  is also free and has the preferred graded basis  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}$  defined by

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathbf{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}}, \quad q \leq \mathbf{a} - 2, \quad I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_q = p_{*, \mathbf{a}-1}(\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}}), \quad I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathbf{h}_{\bullet}'', \quad q \geq \mathbf{a}.$$

This assumption also guarantee that  $Z_{\mathbf{a}-1}$  is free (stably free) and therefore so is  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

**4.20. Subdivisions.** Let  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  be a chain complex. A subdivision of  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  is a second chain complex  $\mathbf{C}'_{\bullet}$  with a chain quasi isomorphism  $i_{\bullet} : \mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'_{\bullet}$ . Relative subdivision is defined analogously.

**Proposition 4.21.** *Let  $i_{\bullet} : \mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'_{\bullet}$  be a subdivision of  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$ . Then,  $C(i_{\bullet}) : C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{C}'_{\bullet})$  and  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(i_{\bullet}) : I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}'_{\bullet})$  are subdivisions.*

*Proof.* The existence of  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(i_{\bullet})$  follows by functoriality, see Lemma 4.5. We just have to prove that  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(i_{\bullet})$  induces an isomorphism in homology. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}_{m+1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+2}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+1}} & Z_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \mathbf{C}_0 \\ & & & & \downarrow C(i_{\mathbf{a}+1}) & & \downarrow i_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus i_{\mathbf{a}} & & & & & & \\ \check{\mathbf{C}}'_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}'_{m+1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}'_{\mathbf{a}+2}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}'_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}'_{\mathbf{a}+1}} & Z'_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}'_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}'_{\mathbf{a}}} & \mathbf{C}'_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial'_{\mathbf{a}-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \mathbf{C}'_0 \end{array}$$

Since the intersection homology is trivial in all dimensions  $q > \mathbf{a} - 2$ , and  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(i_q) = i_q$  for  $q \leq \mathbf{a} - 2$ , the thesis follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.22.** *Let  $j_{\bullet} : (\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}'_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}'_{\bullet})$  be a relative subdivision of  $(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$ . Then,  $\check{j}_{\bullet} = (C(j_{\bullet}|_{\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}}) \sqcup j_{\bullet})_{\bullet} : (C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\bullet} \rightarrow (C(\mathbf{C}'_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i'_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}'_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$  and  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(j_{\bullet}|_{\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}}) \sqcup j_{\bullet})_{\bullet} : I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}_{\bullet})_{\bullet} \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}'_{\bullet}) \sqcup_{i'_{\bullet}} \mathbf{D}'_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$  are subdivisions.*

*Proof.* The existence of  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{j}_{\bullet}$  follows by functoriality, see Lemma 4.17. We just have to prove that  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{j}_{\bullet}$  induces an isomorphism in homology. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \check{\check{\mathbf{C}}}_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}_{m+1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}_{\mathbf{a}+2}} & \check{\check{\mathbf{C}}}_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}_{\mathbf{a}+1}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}_{\mathbf{a}}} & Z_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}_{\mathbf{a}}} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \mathbf{D}_0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \check{j}_{\mathbf{a}+1} & & \downarrow j_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus j_{\mathbf{a}} & & & & & & & & \\ \check{\check{\mathbf{C}}}'_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}'_{m+1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}'_{\mathbf{a}+2}} & \check{\check{\mathbf{C}}}'_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}'_{\mathbf{a}+1}} & \mathbf{C}'_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{a}+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}'_{\mathbf{a}}} & Z'_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\check{\check{\partial}}'_{\mathbf{a}}} & \mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{a}-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial'_{\mathbf{a}-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \mathbf{D}'_0 \end{array}$$

Since  $I^p \ddot{j}_q = j_q$  for  $q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2$ , and  $I^p \ddot{j}_q = \ddot{j}_q = j_{q-1} \oplus j_q$  for  $q \geq \mathfrak{a} - 1$ , it is clear that it induces isomorphism in homology  $\square$

**4.23. Explicit homology formulas for the middle perversity.** First, we fix the value of some constants. Recall that, by definition,

$$\mathfrak{t}_q = q - 2, \quad \mathfrak{m}_q = \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \quad \mathfrak{m}_q + \mathfrak{m}_q^c = \mathfrak{t}_q, \quad \mathfrak{a} = n - \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

and  $n = \dim C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = m + 1 = \dim \mathbf{D}_\bullet$ , where  $m = \dim \mathbf{C}_\bullet$ .

4.23.1. *Cone, odd section case:*  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . If  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd,  $p \geq 1$ , then:  $n = 2p$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_{n=2p} = p - 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_{n=2p}^c = \mathfrak{t}_{2p} - \mathfrak{m}_{2p} = p - 1 = \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} = n - \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p} = p + 1$ , and hence by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.11 (compare [KW06, Section 4.7])

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \\ 0, & p \leq q \leq 2p, \end{cases}$$

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

Since  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{m-q}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q-1}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ , we verify that

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_{n-q}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet).$$

In particular

$$H_p(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = 0,$$

$$H_{p-1}(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_{p+1}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{p-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet).$$

4.23.2. *Cone, even section case:*  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . If  $m = 2p$  is even,  $p > 1$ , then:

$$n = 2p + 1, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p+1} = p - 1, \quad \mathfrak{a} = n - \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p+1} = p + 2;$$

$$n = 2p + 1, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p+1}^c = \mathfrak{t}_{2p+1} - \mathfrak{m}_{2p+1} = p, \quad \mathfrak{a}^c = n - \mathfrak{m}_{n=2p+1}^c = p + 1.$$

and hence by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.11 (compare [KW06, Section 4.7])

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ 0, & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq q \leq p + 1, \\ H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 2 \leq q \leq 2p + 1. \end{cases}$$

while

$$H_q(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \\ 0, & p \leq q \leq 2p + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H_q(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ H_{q-1}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p + 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{m-q}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ , we verify that

$$H_q(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_{n-q}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{2p+1-q}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet),$$

in particular

$$H_p(I^m C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)) = H_{p+1}^\dagger(I^{m^c} C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet), \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_p(\mathbf{C}_\bullet).$$

4.23.3. *Mapping cone, even dimensional case:  $n = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .* If  $n = 2p$ ,  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd,  $p \geq 1$ , then:

$$n = 2p, \quad \mathbf{m}_{n=2p} = p - 1, \quad \mathbf{m}_{n=2p}^c = \mathbf{t}_{2p} - \mathbf{m}_{2p} = p - 1 = \mathbf{m}_{n=2p}, \quad \mathbf{a} = n - \mathbf{m}_{n=2p} = p + 1,$$

and hence by Lemmas 4.16 (compare [KW06, Proposition 4.4.1])

$$H_q(I^m(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{D}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \\ p_{*,p}(H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

Since  $H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q-1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_q(I^m \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet) &= \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q-1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \\ p_{*,p}(H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{2p-q-1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p, \\ p_{*,p}(H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \end{cases} \\ &= H_{n-q}(I^m \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

4.23.4. *Mapping cone, odd dimensional:  $n = 2p + 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .* If  $n = 2p + 1$ ,  $m = 2p$  is even,  $p \geq 1$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2p + 1, \quad \mathbf{m}_{n=2p+1} &= p - 1, & \mathbf{a} &= n - \mathbf{m}_{n=2p+1} = p + 2; \\ n = 2p + 1, \quad \mathbf{m}_{n=2p+1}^c &= \mathbf{t}_{2p+1} - \mathbf{m}_{2p+1} = p, & \mathbf{a}^c &= n - \mathbf{m}_{n=2p+1}^c = p + 1. \end{aligned}$$

and hence by Lemmas 4.16 (compare [KW06, Proposition 4.4.1])

$$H_q(I^m(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{D}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ p_{*,p+1}(H_{p+1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_{p+1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 2 \leq q \leq 2p + 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_q(I^{m^c}(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{D}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq p - 1, \\ p_{*,p}(H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_p(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & p + 1 \leq q \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

## 5. WHITEHEAD TORSION FOR THE ALGEBRAIC INTERSECTION CHAIN COMPLEXES

Let  $(\mathbf{C}_\bullet, \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$  be a based finite complex of free left  $R$  modules with graded basis  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$ . Assume the homology graded module  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{C}_\bullet, \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$  is free with graded basis  $\mathbf{h}_\bullet$ .

5.1. **The cone (absolute).** Recall the complex in question is

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet} : \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{m+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{a+2}} \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{a+1} \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_{a+1}} Z_{a-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_a \xrightarrow{\dot{\partial}_a} \mathbf{C}_{a-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{a-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0.$$

with boundary

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_q = \dot{\partial}_q, \quad q \geq a, \quad I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_q = \partial_q, \quad q \leq a-1.$$

By Lemma 4.7, the intersection homology is

$$H_q(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), & 0 \leq q \leq a-2, \\ 0, & a-1 \leq q \leq m+1. \end{cases}$$

so it is free and we denote by  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_{\bullet}$  any graded basis, see Definition 4.19.

We will use the notation  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q$  to denote a set of independent vectors in  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_q$  with non trivial boundaries generating the image of the boundary operator.

For  $q < a-1$ , a new chain basis is

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{k}}_q \mathbf{b}_q,$$

and hence

$$(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q / I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_q) = (\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q) = (I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q / \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q)(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q).$$

For  $q > a+1$  the intersection homology is trivial, however we may use the homology basis of the cone, and then a new chain basis is

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_q \dot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1} \mathbf{b}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{k}}_q I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_q / I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_q) &= (\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_q \dot{\mathbf{b}}_q / \dot{\mathbf{c}}_q) \\ &= (\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q)(\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1} \mathbf{b}_{q-1} / \mathbf{c}_{q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the remaining cases the relevant part of the complex is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{a+1}=\dot{\partial}_{a+1}} I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_a = Z_{a-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_a \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_a=\dot{\partial}_a} I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{a-1} = \mathbf{C}_{a-1} \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{a-1}=\partial_{a-1}} \dots$$

It is clear that the case  $q = a+1$  is exactly the same as the cases with  $q > a+1$ , since the image of the boundary is always contained in the kernel of the next one.

At  $q = a$ , the intersection homology is trivial by Lemma 4.7. Since the image of the boundary operator is contained in the kernel of the following one, the choice of the  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{a+1}$  made above is fine. Since for each  $z \oplus x \in Z_{a-1} \oplus \mathbf{C}_a$ ,  $\dot{\partial}_a(z \oplus x) = 0 \oplus z - \partial_a(x)$ , we can choose as set  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_a = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_a$ , with image (up to sign)

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_a(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_a) = \dot{\partial}_a(0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_a)\dot{\partial}_a(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{a-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_a(\mathbf{b}_a)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{a-1}.$$

Thus, the new basis of  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_a$  is

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\partial}_{a+1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_{a+1})I^{\mathbb{P}}\dot{\mathbf{b}}_a &= 0 \oplus \hat{\mathbf{h}}_a \quad \partial_a(\mathbf{b}_a) \oplus \mathbf{b}_a \quad \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{a+1}(\mathbf{b}_{a+1}) \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{b}_a \\ &= \partial_a(\mathbf{b}_a)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{a+1}(\mathbf{b}_{a+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_a \mathbf{b}_a, \end{aligned}$$

and the matrix of the change of basis is

$$\begin{aligned} (I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}+1})I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathfrak{a}}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}}/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{a}}) &= (\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \\ &= (\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $q = \mathfrak{a} - 1$  we have that  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$ , with image

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}).$$

Since  $\text{Im}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}} = Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  then  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(C(\mathfrak{C}_{\bullet})) = 0$ , so the new basis of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathfrak{C}_{\bullet})_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  is

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}})I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1},$$

and the matrix of the change of basis

$$(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}})I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) = (\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}).$$

The torsion of the intersection chain complex of the cone with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathfrak{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{k}}) &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{q+1})I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{k}}_q I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_q / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_q)] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [([\hat{\mathfrak{k}}_q/\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q] + [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathfrak{k}}_q\mathfrak{b}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q))] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}-1} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}})] \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=\mathfrak{a}+1}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q\mathfrak{b}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q) + [(\partial_q(\mathfrak{b}_q)\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{q-1}\mathfrak{b}_{q-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{q-1})]] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [([\hat{\mathfrak{k}}_q/\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q\mathfrak{b}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}-1} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$[(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] = [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] - [(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})],$$

we have the alternative formula given in the proposition. We have proved the following result.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\bullet}$  any graded homology basis for  $H_{\bullet}(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}))$ , then the torsion of the intersection chain complex of the cone of  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}; I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_q/\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q)] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}} [(\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_q/\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q)] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathfrak{a}-1} [(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the  $\tau_W(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{c}}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{h}})$  coincides with the torsion of the following complex

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bullet} : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \ker(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1}} \dots \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_q \xrightarrow{\partial_q} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} 0,$$

with basis  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathbf{c}_q$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathbf{h}_q$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1$ . Moreover, the natural inclusion  $\iota_{\bullet} : \mathcal{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is a quasi isomorphism.

**Definition 5.3.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}$  be a quasi isomorphism of finite dimensional based chain complexes. If  $\tau_W(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}; \varphi(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet}), \varphi(\mathbf{h}_{\bullet})) = \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}; \mathbf{c}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}_{\bullet})$ , then we say that  $\varphi$  is a simple quasi isomorphism.*

**Proposition 5.4.** *The chain map  $\iota_{\bullet} : \mathcal{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is a simple quasi isomorphism of based chain complexes.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\iota_{\bullet}$  is a quasi isomorphism. Now, the calculations above show that the torsion of  $\tau_W(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{c}}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbf{h}})$  only depends on the basis in dimensions  $q < \mathfrak{a}$ . In dimensions  $q < \mathfrak{a} - 1$ ,  $\iota_q(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_q) = \mathbf{c}_q$  and  $\iota_q(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q) = \mathbf{h}_q$ , by the very definition. Thus, the torsion  $\square$

**5.5. The cone (relative).** Consider the relative intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$ . As previously, we assume that the homology of  $I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is free. Recall the preferred bases introduced in Definition 4.12. We have the splitting exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\bullet}} I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\quad} \underbrace{(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}}_{\xi_{\bullet}} = I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})/\mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.1)$$

and the homology of  $I^{\mathbb{P}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is free with a preferred basis that we denote by  $I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$ , with

$$I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q} = \emptyset, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \quad I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q} = \mathbf{h}_q, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a}.$$

The calculation of the torsion splits into two parts. Recall the complex in question is  $(I^{\mathbb{P}}C(\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\bullet}$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} m+1 & & \mathfrak{a}+1 & & \mathfrak{a} & & \mathfrak{a}-1 & & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \dots & \xrightarrow{0} & 0. \end{array}$$

For  $q < \mathbf{a} - 1$ , there is nothing to compute, while for  $q \geq \mathbf{a} + 1$

$$(I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{q+1}(I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_{q+1})I^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q}I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_q/I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{c}_{\text{rel},q}) = (\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{q-1}\mathbf{b}_{q-1}/\mathbf{c}_{q-1}).$$

To deal with the case  $q = \mathbf{a}$  the relevant part of the complex is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}+1}=\partial_{\mathbf{a}}} I^{\mathbf{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{a}} = Z_{\mathbf{a}-1} \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}}=\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}} I^{\mathbf{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C})_{\mathbf{a}-1} = 0 \xrightarrow{I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}=0} \dots$$

At  $q = \mathbf{a}$ , the relative intersection homology is the homology of  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  by Lemma 4.11. Since  $I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}+1} = \partial_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We have that  $I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}-1} = \emptyset$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}+1}(I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}+1})I^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},\mathbf{a}}I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}}/I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{c}_{\text{rel},\mathbf{a}}) &= (\partial_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{a}-1}/z_{\mathbf{a}-1}) \\ &= (\partial_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{a}-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}-1}/\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}-1})(z_{\mathbf{a}-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}-1}/\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, direct substitution in the definition

$$\tau_W((I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet})_{\text{rel},\bullet}, I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{k}_{\text{rel},\bullet}) = \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(I^{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{q+1}(I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_{q+1})I^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q}I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{b}_q/I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{c}_{\text{rel},q})],$$

gives the following result.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{k}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$  any graded homology basis for  $H_{\bullet}(I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$ , then the torsion of the relative intersection chain complex of the cone of  $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}$  with perversity  $\mathbf{p}$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W((I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{c}_{\bullet})_{\text{rel},\bullet}, I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{k}_{\text{rel},\bullet}) &= \sum_{q=\mathbf{a}-1}^m (-1)^{q+1} \left( [(I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{k}_{\text{rel},q+1}/I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q+1})] + [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\mathbf{a}-1} [(z_{\mathbf{a}-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}-1}/\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}-1})] \\ &= \sum_{q=\mathbf{a}-1}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{k}_{\text{rel},q+1}/I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{h}_{\text{rel},q+1})] + (-1)^{\mathbf{a}} [(\partial_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{a}-1}/z_{\mathbf{a}-1})] \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=\mathbf{a}}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathbf{h}}_q\mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\tau_W((I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}); I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{c}, I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{h})$  coincides with the torsion of the following complex  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$ :

$$m+1 \quad m-1 \quad \mathbf{a} \quad \mathbf{a}-1 \quad \mathbf{a}-2 \quad 0$$

$$C_m \longrightarrow C_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}}} C_{\mathbf{a}-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}} \text{Im}\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow 0,$$

with chain basis  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel},\mathbf{a}-1} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel},q} = \mathbf{c}_q$ ,  $\mathbf{a}-1 \leq q \leq m$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel},q} = \mathbf{h}_q$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \leq q \leq m$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *The chain map  $\iota_{\bullet} : \mathcal{C}_{\text{rel},\bullet} \rightarrow (I^{\mathbf{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}), \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  is a simple quasi isomorphism of based chain complexes.*

*Proof.* We only need to check what happens at dimension  $\mathbf{a}-1$ . Since torsion does not depend on the choice of the  $\mathbf{b}_q$ , we can chose  $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , a lift of the  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}-1}$ . This proves the thesis.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.**

$$\tau_W(I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet; I^p \dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, I^p \dot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) = \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) + \tau_W((I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet); I^p C(\mathbf{c}_\bullet)_{\text{rel}, \bullet}, I^p \mathbf{k}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}).$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see, considering the definition of the intersection chain bases, that the exact sequence in equation (5.1), is an exact sequence of based complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\iota_\bullet} I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \longrightarrow (I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet = I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)/\mathbf{C}_\bullet \longrightarrow 0,$$

so we may apply Theorem 2.7. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet; I^p \dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, I^p \dot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) &= \tau_W(\mathbf{C}_\bullet; \mathbf{c}_\bullet, \mathbf{h}_\bullet) + \tau_W((I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet); I^p C(\mathbf{c}_\bullet)_{\text{rel}, \bullet}, I^p \mathbf{k}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}) \\ &\quad + \tau(I^p \dot{\mathcal{H}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$  is the long homology exact sequence. Since the homology of  $I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is trivial for  $q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1$ , and  $I^p C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  is trivial for  $q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1$ , it follows that  $\tau(I^p \dot{\mathcal{H}})$  is trivial.  $\square$

**5.9. The mapping cone.** We consider the complex

$$I^p(\ddot{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet)_\bullet : \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{m+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+2}} \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{a}+1} \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}+1}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{a} \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}-1} \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{\mathbf{a}-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_0} \mathbf{D}_0.$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{a}-1} = \ker \partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}$  in the complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ , with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} I^p \ddot{\partial}_q &= \ddot{\partial}_q, \quad q \geq \mathbf{a}, \\ I^p \ddot{\partial}_q &= \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}, \quad q \leq \mathbf{a} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.16, the homology is

$$H_q(I^p(C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{D}_\bullet)) = \begin{cases} H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), & 0 \leq q \leq \mathbf{a} - 2, \\ p_{*, \mathbf{a}-1}(H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)) \leq H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet/\mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \\ H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, \mathbf{C}_\bullet), & \mathbf{a} \leq q \leq m + 1. \end{cases}$$

so it is free, and we denote by  $I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet$  a graded basis.

We use the notation  $I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q$  to denote a set of independent vectors in  $I^p \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_q$  with non trivial boundaries generating the image of the boundary operator.

For  $q < \mathbf{a} - 1$ , a new chain basis is

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (I^p \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q / I^p \ddot{\mathbf{c}}_q) &= (\partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} / d_q) \\ &= (\widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} / \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) (\partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} / d_q). \end{aligned}$$

For  $q > \mathbf{a} = 1$ , by the result of Section 3.3.2,

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(\ddot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \quad \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{q+1}''(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}'') \mathbf{c}_q \mathbf{b}_q'',$$

since  $I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q = \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q = \mathbf{h}_q''$ , with lift  $0 \oplus \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q''$ , and  $I^p \ddot{\mathbf{c}}_q = \ddot{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus d_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbf{c}_q \mathbf{h}_q''$ , we get

$$(I^p \ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1}) \widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} I^p \ddot{\mathbf{b}}_q / I^p \ddot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet) = (\widehat{I^p \ddot{\mathbf{h}}_q} / \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q'') (\partial_{q+1}''(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}'') \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q'' \mathbf{b}_q'' / d_q'').$$

To deal with the remaining cases the relevant part of the complex is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{a+1} = \ddot{\partial}_{a+1}} I^p \ddot{C}_a = Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_a = \ddot{\partial}_a} I^p \ddot{C}_{a-1} = D_{a-1} \xrightarrow{I^p \ddot{\partial}_{a-1} = \partial_a^{D_\bullet}} \dots$$

It is clear that the case  $q = a + 1$  is exactly the same as the cases with  $q > a + 1$ , since the image of the boundary is always contained in the kernel of the next one.

At  $q = a$ , the intersection homology is the homology of the quotient complex. Since for each  $z \oplus x \in Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a$ ,  $I^p \ddot{\partial}_a(z \oplus x) = \ddot{\partial}_a(z \oplus x) = 0 \oplus z - \partial_a^{D_\bullet}(x)$ , i.e.  $z$  is a cycle that is not a boundary, we can choose as set  $I^p \ddot{b}_a$  the set

$$I^p \ddot{b}_a = \hat{h}_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus b_a^{D_\bullet},$$

using the fact that  $d_q = i_q(c_q) \hat{d}_q''$  (we will omit  $i_q$  in the following, when there is not ambiguity), we may fix a set  $b_q''$  for the quotient complex and then

$$I^p \ddot{b}_a = \partial_a(b_a) \hat{h}_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus b_a'',$$

with image (up to sign)

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_a(I^p \ddot{b}_a) = \ddot{\partial}_a(0 \oplus b_a'') \ddot{\partial}_a(\partial_a(b_a) \hat{h}_{a-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_a(b_a) \partial_a''(b_a'') \hat{h}_{a-1}.$$

However, the elements of this set are not l.i., for  $\hat{h}_{a-1} = \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}'$ , and the  $\widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')}$  belong to the submodule generated by the  $\partial_a''(b_a'')$ . Whence, we need to reset

$$I^p \ddot{b}_a = \partial_a(b_a) \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}' \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus b_a''',$$

where  $\langle \partial_a''(b_a''') \rangle = \langle \partial_a''(b_a'') \rangle - \langle \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \rangle$ , with image

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_a(I^p \ddot{b}_a) = \ddot{\partial}_a(0 \oplus b_a''') \ddot{\partial}_a(\partial_a(b_a) \hat{h}_{a-1} \oplus 0) = \partial_a(b_a) \partial_a''(b_a''') i_{a-1}(\hat{y}_{a-1}').$$

The new basis of  $I^p \ddot{C}_a$  is (recall the image of the previous module is as above, so see Section 3.3.2 for it)

$$\begin{aligned} I^p \ddot{\partial}_{a+1}(I^p \ddot{b}_{a+1}) I^p \ddot{h}_a I^p \ddot{b}_a &= \partial_a(c_a) \oplus c_a \quad 0 \oplus \partial_{a+1}''(b_{a+1}'') \quad \widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} \quad \partial_a(b_a) \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}' \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus b_a'' \\ &= \partial_a(b_a) \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}' \oplus 0 \quad \widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} \quad 0 \oplus c_q \partial_{a+1}''(b_{a+1}'') b_a'', \end{aligned}$$

and recalling that  $I^p \ddot{h}_a = h_a''$ , with lift  $0 \oplus \hat{h}_a''$ , the matrix of the change of basis is

$$\begin{aligned} (I^p \ddot{\partial}_{a+1}(I^p \ddot{b}_{a+1}) I^p \ddot{h}_a I^p \ddot{b}_a / I^p \ddot{c}_a) \\ &= (\widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} / \hat{h}_a'') (\partial_a(b_a) \widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}' \oplus 0 \quad \widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} \quad 0 \oplus c_q \partial_{a+1}''(b_{a+1}'') b_a'' / z_{a-1} \oplus 0 \quad 0 \oplus d_a) \\ &= (\widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} / \hat{h}_a'') (\widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')} \hat{y}_{a-1}' / \hat{h}_{a-1}) (\partial_a(b_a) \hat{h}_{a-1} / z_{a-1}) (c_q \partial_{a+1}''(b_{a+1}'') \hat{h}_a'' b_a'' / c_q d_a''). \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $q = a - 1$ , the intersection homology is the image  $p_{*,a-1}(H_{a-1}(D_\bullet))$  in  $H_{a-1}(D_\bullet/C_\bullet)$ , and we have that  $I^p \ddot{b}_{a-1} = b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}$ , with image

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_{a-1}(I^p \ddot{b}_{a-1}) = \partial_a^{D_\bullet}(b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}).$$

The new basis of  $I^p \ddot{C}_{a-1}$  is

$$I^p \ddot{\partial}_a(I^p \ddot{b}_a) I^p \ddot{h}_a I^p \ddot{b}_{a-1} = \partial_a(b_a) \partial_a''(b_a'') i_{a-1}(\hat{y}_{a-1}') \widehat{I^p \ddot{h}_a} b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}.$$

Since we may choose as lifts of the homology classes in  $p_{*,a-1}(H_{a-1}(D_\bullet))$  precisely the lifts of the homology classes of the subset  $y_{a-1}$  of  $h_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}$ , and since  $i_{a-1}(\hat{y}'_{a-1}) = i_{*,a-1}(\widehat{y}'_{a-1})$ , the matrix of the change of basis is

$$\begin{aligned} & (I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_a(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_a)I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{h}_{a-1}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{a-1}/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{a-1}) \\ &= (\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{a-1}/\hat{y}_{a-1}})(\partial_a(b_a)\partial_a''(b_a'')i_{*,a-1}(\widehat{y}'_{a-1})\hat{y}_{a-1}b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}/d_{a-1}) \\ &= (\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{a-1}/\hat{y}_{a-1}})(i_{*,a-1}(\widehat{y}'_{a-1})\hat{y}_{a-1}/\hat{h}_{a-1}^{D_\bullet})(\partial_a^{D_\bullet}(b_a^{D_\bullet})\hat{h}_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}/d_{a-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The torsion of the intersection chain complex of the mapping cone with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{C}_\bullet; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}) &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{q+1})I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{k}_q I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_q / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_q)] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{q+1})I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{k}_q I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_q / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_q)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{a-1} [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_a(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_a)I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{k}_{a-1} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{a-1} / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{a-1})] \\ &\quad + (-1)^a [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_{a+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{a+1})I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{k}_a I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_a / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_a)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=a+1}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_{q+1})I^{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}\ddot{k}_q I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{b}_q / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_q)] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q \left( [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q/\hat{h}_q^{D_\bullet}})] + [(\partial_{q+1}^{D_\bullet}(b_{q+1}^{D_\bullet})\hat{h}_q^{D_\bullet}b_q^{D_\bullet}/d_q)] \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{a-1} [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_{a-1}/\hat{y}_{a-1}})] + (-1)^a [(c_q\partial_{a+1}''(b_{a+1}'')\hat{k}_a''b_a''/c_q d_a'')] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{a-1} \left( [(i_{*,a-1}(\widehat{y}'_{a-1})\hat{y}_{a-1}/\hat{k}_{a-1}^{D_\bullet})] + [(\partial_a^{D_\bullet}(b_a^{D_\bullet})\hat{k}_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}b_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}/d_{a-1})] \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^a \left( [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_a/\hat{k}_a''])] + [(\widehat{\delta_a(y_a'')\hat{y}'_{a-1}/\hat{k}_{a-1}})] + [(\partial_a(b_a)\hat{k}_{a-1}/z_{a-1})] \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=a+1}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q/\hat{k}_q''])] + [(\partial_{q+1}''(b_{q+1}'')\hat{k}_q''b_q''/d_q'')] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of the intersection homology basis for the mapping cone in Definition 4.19, and identifying  $p_{*,a-1}(h_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}) = p_{*,a-1}(y_{a-1})$  (see the proof of Proposition 5.11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q/\hat{h}_q^{D_\bullet}})] + (-1)^{a-1} [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_{a-1}/\hat{y}_{a-1}})] + \sum_{q=a+1}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q/\hat{k}_q''])] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^{m+1} [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q/\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_q}})]. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the following result.

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_{\bullet}$  a graded homology basis of  $H_{\bullet}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}(C(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet})\sqcup_{i_{\bullet}}\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}))$ , then the torsion of intersection chain complex of the mapping cone of the pair  $(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{C}_{\bullet}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_{\bullet}) &= \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^{m+1} [(\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{k}_q} / \widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_q})] + (-1)^{a-1} [(i_{*,a-1}(\widehat{y'_{a-1}})\widehat{y}_{a-1} / \widehat{h_{a-1}^{D_{\bullet}}})] \\ &+ (-1)^a [(\partial_a(\mathbf{b}_a)\widehat{h}_{a-1} / \mathbf{z}_{a-1})] + \sum_{q=0}^{a-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}^{D_{\bullet}}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{D_{\bullet}})\widehat{h}_q^{D_{\bullet}}\mathbf{b}_q^{D_{\bullet}} / \mathbf{d}_q)] \\ &+ \sum_{q=a}^{m+1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}''(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}'')\widehat{h}_q''\mathbf{b}_q'') / \mathbf{d}_q'']), \end{aligned}$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}$  is the graded homology basis defined in Remark 4.19

**Proposition 5.11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity, then the torsion of intersection chain complex of the mapping cone of the pair  $(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{C}_{\bullet})$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is*

$$\tau(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{C}_{\bullet}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}) = \tau(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{C}_{\bullet}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}) + \tau(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}/\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}; \mathbf{d}_{\bullet}'', \mathbf{h}_{\bullet}'') + \tau(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{H}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}_{\bullet}''),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{H}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}_{\bullet}'') &= (-1)^{a-1} [(p_{*,a-1}(\mathbf{h}_{a-1}^{D_{\bullet}})y_{a-1}'' / \mathbf{h}_{a-1}'')] \\ &+ \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q \left( [(\partial_{q+1}(y_{q+1}'')y_q' / \mathbf{h}_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y_q')y_q / \mathbf{h}_q^{D_{\bullet}})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y_q'' / \mathbf{h}_q'')] \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence of based chain complexes (we assume some basis for  $Z_{a-1}$  to have been fixed)

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{C}_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{i}_{\bullet}} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{C}_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{p}_{\bullet}} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{C}_{\bullet} / I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{C}_{\bullet}$$

This consists in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \dot{C}_{a+1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{a-1} \oplus C_a & \longrightarrow & C_{a-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{i}_{a+1}=\dot{i}_{a+1} & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{i}_a=id\oplus i_a & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{i}_{a-1}=i_{a-1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \ddot{C}_{a+1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{a-1} \oplus D_a & \longrightarrow & D_{a-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{p}_{a+1}=\dot{p}_{a+1} & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{p}_{a+1}=0\oplus p_a & & \downarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{p}_{a-1}=p_{a-1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \ddot{C}_{a+1} / \dot{C}_{a+1} & \longrightarrow & D_a / C_a & \longrightarrow & D_{a-1} / C_{a-1} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Recalling the chain isomorphism  $\tilde{j}_{\bullet} : \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}/\mathbf{C}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \ddot{C}_{\bullet}/\dot{C}_{\bullet}$ , see equation (3.4), and making the suitable identifications of chain and homology bases, this turns out to be a simple

chain isomorphism, and we may rewrite

$$(I^p \dot{C}_\bullet, I^p c_\bullet) \xrightarrow{I^p \dot{i}_\bullet} (I^p \ddot{C}_\bullet, I^p \ddot{c}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_\bullet^{-1} I^p \bar{p}_\bullet} (D_\bullet / C_\bullet, d''_\bullet)$$

Applying Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\tau(I^p \ddot{C}_\bullet; I^p \ddot{c}_\bullet, I^p \ddot{h}_\bullet) = \tau(I^p \dot{C}_\bullet; I^p \dot{c}_\bullet, I^p \dot{h}_\bullet) + \tau(D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet) + \tau(I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^p \dot{h}_\bullet, I^p \ddot{h}_\bullet, h''_\bullet),$$

where  $I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}}$  is the associated long homology exact sequence. Observe that in degrees  $q > a - 1$  the homology of  $I^p \dot{C}_\bullet$  is trivial, and therefore the sequence  $I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}}$  is a sequence of isomorphisms and 0 maps, with zero torsion. The relevant part of the sequence is thus the following

$$I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}} : \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_{a-1}(I^p \dot{C}_\bullet) = p_{*,a-1}(H_{a-1}(D_\bullet)) \leq H_{a-1}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_{*,a-1}} H_{a-1}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet)$$

With the choices of the homology bases made in Section 3.3.1, we have  $I^p \ddot{h}_{a-1} = p_{*,a-1}(h_{a-1}^{D_\bullet})$  in  $H_{a-1}(I^p \dot{C}_\bullet)$  and  $I^p \dot{h}_{a-1} = h''_{a-1}$  in  $H_{a-1}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet)$ . Therefore  $p_{*,a-1}$  is the inclusion,  $I^p y_{a-1} = p_{*,a-1}(h_{a-1}^{D_\bullet})$  and the matrix of the change of basis is the identity matrix in  $H_{a-1}(I^p \dot{C}_\bullet)$ . In  $H_{a-1}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet)$ , identifying  $p_{*,a-1}(h_{a-1}^{D_\bullet}) = p_{*,a-1}(y_{a-1})$ , the matrix of the change of basis is

$$(p_{*,a-1}(y_{a-1})y''_{a-1}/h''_{a-1}).$$

The remaining part of the sequence is

$$I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}} : \quad H_{a-1}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial_{a-1}} H_{a-2}(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{i_{*,a-2}} H_{a-2}(D_\bullet) \longrightarrow H_{a-2}(D_\bullet / C_\bullet) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and coincides with the one of the inclusion  $i_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ , whence the matrices of the change of basis are precisely those of the sequence associated to  $i_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ , as described in Section 3.3.1. Thus the torsion is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(I^p \ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^p \dot{h}_\bullet, I^p \ddot{h}_\bullet, h''_\bullet) &= (-1)^{a-1} [(p_{*,a-1}(y_{a-1})y''_{a-1}/h''_{a-1})] \\ &+ \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{D_\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow (C_\bullet, c_\bullet) \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} (D_\bullet, d_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} (D_\bullet / C_\bullet, d''_\bullet) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be a short exact sequence of based complexes, where  $C_\bullet$  has dimension  $m$ , and  $D_\bullet$  has dimension  $m + 1$ . Assume that the homology modules are free with graded bases  $h_\bullet, h_\bullet^{D_\bullet}$ , and  $h''_\bullet$ . Then,

$$\tau(D_\bullet; d_\bullet, h^{D_\bullet}) = \tau(C_\bullet; c_\bullet, h_\bullet) + \tau((D_\bullet / C_\bullet; d''_\bullet, h''_\bullet) + \tau(\mathcal{H}; h_q, h_q^{D_\bullet}, h''_q),$$

where

$$\tau(\mathcal{H}; h_q, h_q^{D_\bullet}, h''_q) = \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{D_\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q)] \right).$$

**Remark 5.13.** *Considering the formula in the above proposition for the truncated complexes at degree  $\mathbf{a} - 1$ , we have the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q=0}^{\mathbf{a}-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet} \mathbf{b}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet} / \mathbf{c}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathbf{a}-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{c}_q)] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathbf{a}-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}''(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}'') \hat{\mathbf{h}}_q'' \mathbf{b}_q'' / \mathbf{c}_q'')] \\ & \quad + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathbf{a}-1} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(y_{q+1}'') y_q' / \mathbf{h}_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y_q') y_q / \mathbf{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q) y_q'' / \mathbf{h}_q'')] \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 5.14.** *Direct verification shows that the formulas for the torsion given in Propositions 5.10 and 5.11 coincide.*

## 6. CELLULAR INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

**6.1. Pseudomanifolds with isolated singularities.** Let  $K$  be connected CW complex of (finite) dimension  $n$ . We denote by  $K_{(q)}$  the  $q$ -skeleton of  $K$ , and by  $e$  an open cell. Recall that a CW complex is *regular* if all attaching maps are homeomorphisms ([Rot88] pg. 226, [Mas91] Definition 6.1). Note that a simplicial complex is a regular CW complex. Recall that a face of a cell  $e$  in a regular CW complex  $K$  is a cell  $b$  of  $K$  such that  $b \subseteq \bar{e}$  (see for example [Mas91] pg. 243),

**Definition 6.2.** [Mas91, Definition 8.1] *An  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold with boundary  $K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional finite, regular CW complex which satisfies the following three conditions:*

- (1) *every cell is a face of some  $n$ -cell,*
- (2) *every  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cell is a face of at most two  $n$ -cells,*
- (3) *given any two  $n$ -cells,  $e$  and  $e'$ , there exists a sequence of  $n$ -cells  $\{e_0 = e, \dots, e_k = e'\}$ , such that  $e_{j-1}$  and  $e_j$  have a common  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face, for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .*

*The boundary  $\partial K$  of  $K$  is the subcomplex generated by the set of the  $(n - 1)$ -cells which are faces of exactly one  $n$ -cell of  $K$  (i.e. the subcomplex consisting of the set of the  $(n - 1)$ -cells which are faces of exactly one  $n$ -cell of  $K$  and all their faces).*

Note that  $(K, \partial K)$  is a relative pseudomanifold. See [Spa95] pg. 150 or [Mun84] pg. 261 for the definition of relative pseudomanifolds in the simplicial category.

Note that the pair  $(K, \partial K)$  is a relative CW complex [Whi78] Chapter II. For the definition of homology manifold with boundary see [Mit90].

**Remark 6.3.** *If a topological space  $X$  has a CW decomposition  $K$  (this means that there is an homeomorphism  $X = K$ ), and  $K$  is an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with boundary (see [Mas91] Chapter VIII for orientability of pseudomanifold), then it is clear that there exists a stratification of  $X$  by closed subsets, namely the sequence of closed subspaces*

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_{n-1} \subseteq X_n = X,$$

where  $X_q = K_{(q)}$  (homeomorphism) Now consider the space  $Y = X - X_{n-1} = K - K_{(n-1)}$ . Because of condition 1 in Definition 6.2,  $Y$  is the disjoint union of a finite number of open sets, and therefore it is an oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Moreover, it is clear that  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ . Since this can of course happens at some lower level, namely for some  $X - X_q$ , we can state that if the CW decomposition of  $X$  is an orientable  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold with boundary, then there exists a closed subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $X$  such that  $X - \Sigma$  is an orientable  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary, and  $X - \Sigma$  is dense in  $X$ .

Next, condition (2) of the same definition, shows that if we actually re-collocate the  $(n - 1)$ -cells in  $Y$ , we still have a manifold with boundary, namely that the space  $X - X_{(n-2)}$  is an orientable  $n$ -manifold with boundary, and therefore the codimension of  $\Sigma$  is at least 2.

**Definition 6.4.** A proper subcomplex of an  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold  $K$  is a subcomplex of  $K$  disjoint from the singular set. We call the pair  $(K, L)$  a proper pair of pseudomanifolds, or a proper relative pseudomanifold.

**Definition 6.5.** An  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and proper boundary is an  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold  $K$  with boundary such that there exists a (finite) subset of 0-cells  $\Sigma = \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$  in the interior of  $K$  such that  $K - \Sigma$  is an  $n$ -dimensional homology manifold with boundary. The subspace  $\Sigma$  is called the singular locus of  $K$ .

**Definition 6.6.** An  $n$ -dimensional space with isolated singularity and proper boundary is a topological space  $X$  that admits a CW decomposition  $K$ , where  $K$  is  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and proper boundary.

Let  $C(X)$  denote the cone over  $X$ , namely the quotient space  $(I \times X)/(\{0\} \times X)$ . Let  $N$  be a regular oriented CW complex that is an homology  $m$  manifold (for definition of orientation on regular CW complex see for example [Mas91]). Let  $C(N)$  the cone over  $N$ . Since  $(C(N), N)$  is a relative CW, it is clear that  $C(N)$  is a CW [Whi78]. A regular oriented CW structure on  $C(N)$  is given as follows. Let  $v$  be the vertex of  $C(N)$ . For each cell  $e$  in  $N$  denote by  $[v, e]$  the cone over  $\bar{e}$  with vertex  $v$ . Since  $N$  is regular, the set of the cells  $[v, e]$  and  $e$ , with  $e$  a cell of  $N$ , coincides with the standard CW structure of  $C(N)$  induced by that of the product  $I \times N$  (see for example [Whi78] ex. 4 and 5, pg. 51), and gives a regular oriented CW structure on  $C(N)$ . Writing  $C(N)$  we now means the cone over  $N$  with this regular oriented CW structure. Also note that the pair  $C(X)$  always come with a natural inclusion  $i : X \rightarrow C(X)$ ,  $i(x) = [(1, x)]$ , that is cellular and defines the cellular pair  $(C(X), X)$ .

**Lemma 6.7.** An  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold  $K$  with isolated singularities is the push out of a regular CW complex  $M$  that is an homology  $n$ -manifold with boundary  $\partial M = N_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup N_k$  by attaching the cone  $C(N_k)$  to  $N_k$ , for each  $k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K'$  be a subdivision of  $K$  such that the link in  $K'$  of two singular 0-cell of  $K$  are disjoint. Then, setting, for each 0-cell  $e_j$  of  $K$ ,  $N_j = \text{Link}(e_j)$ , and  $M = K' - \bigsqcup_{j=1}^k C(N_j)$ , we have the result.  $\square$

It is clear that we can consider the case of one isolated singularity, without loss of generality, and so we will do.

**Definition 6.8.** Let  $(K, L)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional proper relative pseudomanifold with one isolated singularity  $\Sigma = \{x_0\}$ . We call the decomposition of  $K$

$$K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0),$$

where  $N_0 = \text{Link}(x_0)$ ,  $M = \overline{K - C(N_0)}$ , the canonical decomposition of  $K$  (note that  $N_0 = \partial M - (\partial M \cap L)$ ).

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $X$  a space with one isolated singularity  $x_0$ , and possible proper boundary,  $\partial X \cup \{x_0\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $K$  a CW decomposition of  $X$ . Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be the canonical decomposition of  $K$ . Then, the inclusion  $i : N_0 \rightarrow K$  induces a trivial map in homotopy.

*Proof.* If  $\gamma$  is any circle in a non trivial class of  $\pi_1(N_0)$ , the image  $i_*([\gamma])$  is a class in  $\pi_1(C(N_0)) = 1$ , and therefore  $\gamma$  is homotopy trivial in  $K$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** Let  $X$  a space with one isolated singularity  $x_0$ , and possible proper boundary,  $\partial X \cup \{x_0\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $K$  a CW decomposition of  $X$ . Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be the canonical decomposition of  $K$ . Then,  $M/N_0$ ,  $K/C(N_0)$  and  $K$  have the same homotopy type.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $M/N_0$  and  $K/C(N_0)$  have the same homotopy type. The fact that they have the same homotopy type of  $K$  follows for example by [Pic92, 3.2.2], since the inclusion  $N_0 \rightarrow M$  is a cofibration. This in turns follows since  $i : N_0 \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion of a subcomplex (note that taking the long homotopy exact sequence associated to the inclusion  $i$  it follows that the homotopy groups of  $K$  and  $K/C(N_0)$  are isomorphic).  $\square$

**6.11. Intersection cellular chain complex.** Recall Definition 4.1:

**Definition 6.12.** A perversity is a finite sequence of integers  $\mathbf{p} = \{\mathbf{p}_j\}_{j=2}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{p}_2 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{p}_{j+1} = \mathbf{p}_j$  or  $\mathbf{p}_j + 1$ . If  $\mathbf{p}$  is a perversity (of length  $n$ ), we define the constant  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_n) := n - \mathbf{p}_n$ .

The perversity:  $\mathbf{m} = \{\mathbf{m}_j = [j/2] - 1\}$  is called *lower middle perversity*. The *null perversity* is  $0_j = 0$ , and the *top perversity* is  $\mathbf{t}_j = j - 2$ . Given a perversity  $\mathbf{p}$ , the *complementary perversity*  $\mathbf{p}^c$  is  $\mathbf{p}_j^c = \mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{p}_j = j - \mathbf{p}_j - 2$ .

**Definition 6.13.** Let  $K$  be an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary and  $\mathbf{p}$  a perversity. If  $q$  is an integer and  $\mathbf{p}$  a perversity, a cell  $e$  of  $K_q$  is said  $(\mathbf{p}, q)$ -allowable if

$$\dim(\bar{e} \cap \Sigma) \leq q - n + \mathbf{p}_n.$$

**Definition 6.14.** Let  $K$  be an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary, and  $\mathbf{p}$  a perversity. The intersection cellular family of perversity  $\mathbf{p}$  associated to  $K$  is the subfamily of the  $(\mathbf{p}, q)$ -allowable cells of  $K$ , namely  $I^{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}(K) = \{I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q)}\}_{q=0}^m$ , where  $I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q)}$  is the subcomplex

$$I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q)} = \{e \in K_{(q)} \mid e \text{ is } (\mathbf{p}, q)\text{-allowable}\}.$$

**Remark 6.15.** Note that for each  $q$  there are cellular inclusions  $I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q)} \rightarrow I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q+1)}$ , and  $I^{\mathbf{p}}K_{(q)} \rightarrow K_{(q)}$ .

**Lemma 6.16.** *Let  $N$  be a regular orientable CW complex that is an homology  $m$ -manifold,  $C(N)$  the cone over  $N$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $n = m + 1$ , then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(N)_{(q)} = \begin{cases} N_{(q)}, & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ C(N)_{(q)}, & q \geq n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By definition

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(N)_{(q)} = \{e \in C(N)_{(q)} \mid \dim(\bar{e} \cap v) \leq q - n + \mathfrak{p}_n\}.$$

Since  $\dim(\bar{e} \cap v)$  is either 0 or  $-\infty$ , if  $q - n + \mathfrak{p}_n \geq 0$ , then all cells of  $C(N)_{(q)}$  belong to  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(N)_{(q)}$ . On the other side, if  $q - n + \mathfrak{p}_n < 0$ , then only the cells of  $N_{(q)}$  belong to  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(N)_{(q)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.17.** *Let  $K$  be an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with one isolated singularity  $x_0$ , standard decomposition  $K = M \sqcup C(N_0)$ , and smooth boundary, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} = \begin{cases} M_{(q)}, & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ K_{(q)}, & q \geq n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.7.  $\square$

For each perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , the family  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{F}(K)$  defines a filtration (a relative filtration of  $(K, L)$ ) (see for example [Rot88] pg. 212) of  $K$  (note that for any perversity  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(n)} = K$ ):

$$K = I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(n)} \supset I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(n-1)} \supset \cdots \supset I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(1)} \supset I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(0)} \supset 0.$$

This filtration is not cellular, but any filtration has an associated chain complex defined using the homology of the pair and where the boundary operators are defined by composing the exact sequences of the pairs as follows (see for example [Rot88] pg. 213):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \cdots & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H_{q-1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-2)} \cup L) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots \rightarrow & H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} \cup L) & \rightarrow & H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} \cup L, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{q-1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L) & \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \searrow \partial_q & & \downarrow j & & \\ & & & & H_{q-1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-2)} \cup L) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

**Definition 6.18.** *Let  $K$  be an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. The intersection cellular chain complex of  $K$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}(K)$  with chain modules*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_q(K; \mathbb{Z}) = H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)}),$$

*Here the homology modules are any standard (singular, simplicial, CW) homology modules with integer coefficients.*

Let  $L$  be a proper subspace of  $K$ , the  $q$  relative intersection cellular chain complex of  $(K, L)$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K, L)$  of chain modules

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K, L; \mathbb{Z}) = H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} \cup L, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L).$$

In both cases, the boundary homomorphisms are defined by compositions of the restrictions of the boundary homomorphisms of the opportune long homology exact sequences (as described above).

This definition is well posed, since by Remark 6.15,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)}$  is a sub complex of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}$  for all  $q$ .

Note that  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K, L)$  coincides with the submodule of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K)$  generated by  $(\mathfrak{p}, q)$ -allowable cells  $e$  of  $K$  that are not in  $L$ .

**Lemma 6.19.** *Let  $K$  be an orientable compact connected  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary,  $L$  a proper subspace of  $K$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity, then  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K)$  and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K, L)$  are free finitely generate  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules.*

*Proof.* Since  $K$  is compact, there are a finite number of cells. Thus  $H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)})$  is the homology of a CW pair, whence generated by the some of  $q$ -cells (in the critical dimension  $n - \mathfrak{p}_n$  not all the  $n - \mathfrak{p}_n$ -cells are cycles).  $\square$

**Remark 6.20.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}(K) = \{\mathbf{C}_q(K)\}_{q=0}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{C}(K, L) = \{\mathbf{C}_q(K, L)\}_{q=0}^n$  be the cellular chain complex and the relative cellular chain complex of  $K$  and of the pair  $(K, L)$  respectively. The intersection cellular chain module of perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the submodule of  $\mathbf{C}_q(K)$  generated by the  $(\mathfrak{p}, q)$ -allowable cells with  $(\mathfrak{p}, q-1)$ -allowable boundary. Similarly for the relative case. The relative intersection chain module of perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , is  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(K)/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(L)$ . This shows the equivalence of our construction with the one in [GM80].*

Note that we could have taken the singular chain complex  $\mathcal{S}(K)$  and considered the filtration of the complex

$$\mathcal{S}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K) \supset \mathcal{S}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(n-1)}) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{S}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(1)}) \supset \mathcal{S}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(0)}) \supset 0,$$

and then proceeded as in [Mil66] pg. 371.

Next we provide an explicit description of the intersection cellular chain complex of a pseudomanifold with isolated singularities.

**Lemma 6.21.** *Let  $N$  be a regular oriented CW complex that is an homology  $m$ -manifold,  $C(N)$  the cone over  $N$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $n = m + 1$ , then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(C(N)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_q(N) & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(a)}, N_{(a-1)}), & q = \mathfrak{a} = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbf{C}_q(C(N)) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_q(C(N), N) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(a)} \cup N, N), & q = \mathfrak{a} = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbf{C}_q(C(N), N) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This follows by the definition and Lemma 6.16.  $\square$

It will be useful to have a more explicit description of the boundary operators in this case. Consider the long homology exact sequences of the pairs  $(C(N)_{(q)}, C(N)_{(q-1)})$ ,

$(C(N)_{(q)}, N_{(q-1)})$  and  $(N_{(q)}, N_{(q-1)})$ . Denote by  $i''', j''', \partial''$ ,  $i'', j'', \partial''$ , and  $i', j', \partial'$  the homomorphisms, i.e. for example

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_q(N_{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j'_q} H_q(N_{(q)}, N_{(q-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_q} H_{q-1}(N_{(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

Then, we find out that

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= j'''_{q-1}\partial'''_q, & q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 2, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1} &= j''_{\mathfrak{a}}\partial'''_{\mathfrak{a}+1}, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} &= j'_{\mathfrak{a}-1}\partial''_{\mathfrak{a}}, \\ I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_q &= j'_{q-1}\partial'_q, & q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The statement for  $q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 2$  and  $q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1$  is clear. At  $q = \mathfrak{a} + 1$ , the relevant diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}N_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a}+1)}) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a}+1)}, C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'''_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \rightarrow \dots \\ & & & \searrow^{I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} & & \downarrow^{j''_{\mathfrak{a}}} & \\ & & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a})}, N_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & & \dots & \end{array}$$

At  $q = \mathfrak{a}$ , the relevant diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(I^{\mathbb{P}}N_{(\mathfrak{a}-2)}) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(C(N)_{(\mathfrak{a})}, N_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial''_{\mathfrak{a}}} & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(N_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \rightarrow \dots \\ & & & \searrow^{I^{\mathbb{P}}\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}} & & \downarrow^{j'_{\mathfrak{a}-1}} & \\ & & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(N_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}, N_{(\mathfrak{a}-2)}) & \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & & \dots & \end{array}$$

**Remark 6.22.** Let  $K$  be a  $n$ -pseudomanifold with an isolated singularities pair and smooth boundary  $\partial K$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Then,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_q(K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_q(K - \Sigma) & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \{c \in \mathbb{C}_q(K) \mid \partial c \in \mathbb{C}_{q-1}(K - \Sigma)\}, & q = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbb{C}_q(K) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_q(K, \partial K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_q(K - \Sigma, \partial K) & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \{c \in \mathbb{C}_q(K, \partial K) \mid \partial c \in \mathbb{C}_{q-1}(K - \Sigma, \partial K)\}, & q = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbb{C}_q(K, \partial K) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 6.23.** Let  $K$  be a proper  $n$ -pseudomanifold with an isolated singularities and smooth boundary, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $K = M \sqcup C(N_0)$  be the standard decomposition of  $K$ . Then,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_q(K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_q(M) & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ H_a(K_{(a)}, M_{(a-1)}), & q = a = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbb{C}_q(K) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_q(K, \partial K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_q(M, \partial K) & q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ H_a(K_{(a)} \cup \partial K, M_{(a-1)} \cup \partial K), & q = a = n - \mathfrak{p}_n, \\ \mathbb{C}_q(K, \partial K) & q > n - \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the definition and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6.16  $\square$

**Definition 6.24.** The homology of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}(K)$  is called the intersection homology of  $K$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and denoted by  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(K)$ . The homology of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}(K, \partial K)$  is called the relative intersection homology of the pair  $(K, \partial K)$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and denoted by  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(K, \partial K)$ .

**Proposition 6.25.** Let  $N$  be a regular oriented CW complex that is an homology  $m$ -manifold,  $C(N)$  the cone over  $N$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $n = m + 1$ , then,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(C(N)) = \begin{cases} H_q(N), & 0 \leq q \leq a - 2, \\ 0, & a - 1 \leq q \leq m + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(C(N), N) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq q \leq a - 1, \\ H_q(C(N), N) = H_{q-1}(N), & a \leq q \leq m + 1. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 6.26.** Let  $K$  be an orientable  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities and smooth boundary and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $K = M \sqcup C(N_0)$  be the standard decomposition of  $K$ . Then,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(K) = \begin{cases} H_q(M) & q \leq a - 2, \\ \text{Im}(p_* : H_{a-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{a-1}(M, \partial M)), & q = a - 1, \\ H_q(K) & q \geq a - 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $p : M \rightarrow M/\partial M$  is the canonical projection on the quotient.

*Proof.* Recall the intersection chain complex as given in Proposition 6.23

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{a+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{a+1}^K} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_a(K) \xrightarrow{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_a} \mathbb{C}_{a-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_{a-1}^M} \mathbb{C}_{a-2}(M) \longrightarrow$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{C}_a(K) = H_a(K_{(a)}, M_{(a-1)}) = \{c \in \mathbb{C}_a(K) \mid \partial_a^K(c) \in \mathbb{C}_{a-1}(M)\} \leq \mathbb{C}_a(K)$ .

It is clear that  $I^p H_q(K) = H_q(M)$  for  $q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2$ , and that  $I^p H_q(K) = H_q(K)$  for  $q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 2$ . To proceed we consider the homology sequences appearing in the definition of the intersection chain modules. The relevant diagram at dimension  $q = \mathfrak{a} + 1$  is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \cdots & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) = 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \cdots \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(K_{(\mathfrak{a}+1)}) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(K_{(\mathfrak{a}+1)}, K_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}'''} & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & \searrow^{I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}} & & \downarrow^{j_{\mathfrak{a}}''} & \\
 & & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})}, M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & \cdots & 
 \end{array}$$

Comparing it with the analogous diagram for the complex  $K$ , since in both cases  $j_{\mathfrak{a}}''$  is injective, it follows that the  $I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1} = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}^K$ , and therefore  $I^p H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(K) = H_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(K)$ . This also implies that  $\text{Im } I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1} = \text{Im } \partial_{\mathfrak{a}+1}^K$ .

The relevant diagram at dimension  $q = \mathfrak{a}$  is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \cdots & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-2)}) = 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \cdots \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})}) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})}, M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}'''} & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & \searrow^{I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}} & & \downarrow^{j_{\mathfrak{a}-1}''} & \\
 & & & & & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)}, M_{(\mathfrak{a}-2)}) & \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & \cdots & 
 \end{array}$$

Injectivity of  $j_{\mathfrak{a}-1}''$ , implies that  $\ker I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}} = \ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}'''$ , the boundary operator of the sequence of the pair. By its definition,  $\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}'''([c]) = [\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}^K(c)]$ , for a chain  $c$  in  $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})})$  representing an element of  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})}, M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)})$ . Thus,  $\ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}''' = \{[c] \mid [\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}^K(c)] = 0\}$ . But  $\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}^K(c)$  is a cycle in  $C_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)})$ , so its homology class in  $H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M_{(\mathfrak{a}-1)})$  can be zero only if it is the trivial cycle, namely if  $\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}^K(c) = 0$ , i.e. if  $c$  is a cycle in  $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{(\mathfrak{a})})$ . This shows that  $\ker I^p \partial_{\mathfrak{a}} = \ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}^K$ , and therefore  $I^p H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)$ .

The relevant diagram at dimension  $q = \mathbf{a} - 1$  is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \cdots & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H_{\mathbf{a}-2}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-3)}) = 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \cdots \rightarrow & H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}) \rightarrow & H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}, M_{(\mathbf{a}-2)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}'''} & H_{\mathbf{a}-2}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-2)}) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \searrow^{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}} & & \downarrow^{j_{\mathbf{a}-2}''} & & \\
 & & & & H_{\mathbf{a}-2}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-2)}, M_{(\mathbf{a}-3)}) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \cdots & & 
 \end{array}$$

It is clear that  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}-1} = \partial_{\mathbf{a}-1}^M$ , and therefore its cycles are the cycles in  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ .

Eventually, again by injectivity of  $j_{\mathbf{a}-1}''$ , the image of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{a}}$  is isomorphic to the image of the boundary operator  $\partial_{\mathbf{a}}'''$ , and arguing as above, is given by the non zero elements of the type  $\partial_{\mathbf{a}}^K(c)$ , that are cycles in  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ , where  $c$  is a chain in  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}}(K_{(\mathbf{a})})$ . Therefore,  $c$  may be either a chain in  $\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{a})}(M_{(\mathbf{a})})$  (that is not a cycle) with boundary in  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ , or a chain in  $\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{a})}(C(N)_{(\mathbf{a})})$  (that is not a cycle) with boundary a cycle in  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}) \cap \mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}(C(N)_{(\mathbf{a})}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}(N_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ . The boundary in the first case coincides with the boundary of  $\partial_{(\mathbf{a})}^M$ . In the second case, with the module of the cycles of  $\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}(N_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ . This means that the homology is the homology of  $M$  quotient the cycles of  $\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{a}-1)}(N_{(\mathbf{a}-1)})$ , i.e. the image of  $p_* : H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{a}-1}(M, \partial M)$ , where  $p : M \rightarrow M/\partial M$  is the canonical projection.  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a CW complex, recall that a subdivision of  $K$  is a CW complex  $K'$  such that  $|K| = |K'|$ , and each cell of  $K'$  is contained in some cell of  $K$  in such a way that the identity map  $i : K \rightarrow K'$  is cellular. A subdivision of the pair  $(K, L)$ , is a pair  $(K', L')$ , where  $K'$  is a subdivision of  $K$ ,  $L'$  is a subdivision of  $L$ . It is clear that if  $N'$  is a subdivision of  $N$ , then  $C(N')$  is a subdivision of  $C(N)$ .

**Lemma 6.27.** *Let  $(K, L)$  be a proper  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities pair (in particular  $L = \partial K$ ). Then, we have the standard decomposition  $K = M \cup_{\partial M - (\partial M \cap L)} C(N)$ , for some  $M$  and  $N = \partial M - (\partial M \cap L)$ . Let  $M'$  be a subdivision of  $M$ ,  $L'$  be a subdivision of  $L$ . Then,*

$$K' = M' \sqcup_{\partial M' - (\partial M' \cap L')} C(N'),$$

*is a subdivision of  $K$ .*

**Proposition 6.28.** *Let  $(K, L)$  be a proper  $n$ -pseudomanifold with isolated singularities pair (in particular  $L = \partial K$ ), and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Then, there is a injective chain quasi isomorphism,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}j_{\bullet} : I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K, L) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K', L')$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_q(K', L') &= H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)} \cup L', I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q-1)} \cup L'), \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_q(K, L) &= H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} \cup L, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.17,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)} = M'_{(q)}$ , and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} = M_{(q)}$ , if  $q < n - \mathfrak{p}_n$ , while  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)} = K'_{(q)}$ , and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} = K_{(q)}$ , if  $q \geq n - \mathfrak{p}_n$ . In both cases  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)}$  is a decomposition of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}$ , and it is clear that the identity map is cellular and equivariant with respect to the relation of be an allowable cell, in other words the following diagram commute, where the vertical arrows are inclusions,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} & \xrightarrow{id|_{I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}}} & I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{(q)} & \xrightarrow{id|_{K_{(q)}}} & K'_{(q)} \end{array}$$

The map  $id|_{I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)}}$  is cellular, and for each  $q$  induces a map in homology

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}j_{*,q} : H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q)} \cup L', I^{\mathfrak{p}}K'_{(q-1)} \cup L') \rightarrow H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q)} \cup L, I^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{(q-1)} \cup L),$$

that is a subdivision operator for the to chain complexes (see also [Mun84]). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**6.29. Duality.** In this section we recall some material on duality, and then define a dual cell decomposition for the intersection theory.

**6.29.1. Poincaré complexes.** A chain complex of  $R$  modules  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  of dimension  $m$  is dualizable (or a Poincaré complex) if there exists a second chain complex of  $R$  modules  $\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet$  of dimension  $m$ , and a chain isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_q : \check{\mathbf{C}}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger,$$

where the notation  $\dagger$  is for the algebraic dual, and the complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  is defined as follows:  $\mathbf{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger$ ,  $\partial_q := \partial_{m-q+1}^\dagger$  of degree -1:

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet : \quad \mathbf{C}_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \dots \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\partial_q = \partial_{m-q+1}^\dagger} \mathbf{C}_{q-1} = \mathbf{C}_{m-q+1}^\dagger \longrightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1 = \partial^{m-1}} \mathbf{C}_0 = \mathbf{C}^m.$$

Equivalently, we require a chain isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Q}_q : \mathbf{C}_q = \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{C}}_q = \check{\mathbf{C}}_{m-q}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{Q}_q = \mathcal{P}_{m-q}^\dagger.$$

The map  $\mathcal{P}_q$  induces the following isomorphism in homology:

$$\mathcal{P}_{*,q} : H_q(\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet) \rightarrow H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) = H_{m-q}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet^\dagger), \quad (6.1)$$

the map  $\mathcal{Q}_q$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}_{*,q} : H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet) \rightarrow H_q(\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet) = H_{m-q}(\check{\mathbf{C}}_\bullet^\dagger), \quad (6.2)$$

A Poincaré dual appears naturally in the following geometric context. Let  $W$  be a compact connected oriented smooth manifold. Let  $N$  be a regular CW decomposition of  $W$ , in particular a simplicial one. Then, passing through the barycentric subdivision, a

dual block decomposition  $\check{N}$  of  $W$  may be obtained, having the baricentric subdivision as a common subdivision with  $N$ . Then, the previous results hold with the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} C_q &= C_q(N; \mathbb{Z}), \\ \check{C}_q &= C_q(\check{N}; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\pi = \pi_1(N)$ , these results hold for twisted coefficients as well, namely, with the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} C_q &= C_q(N; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \\ \check{C}_q &= C_q(\check{N}; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \end{aligned}$$

considering the complexes as complexes of  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules [Mil66]. Thus, in particular, considering an orthogonal representation  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow O(V)$ , we have chain isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_q &: C_q(\check{N}; V_\rho) \rightarrow C_{m-q}^\dagger(N; V_\rho), \\ \mathcal{Q}_q &: C_q(N; V_\rho) \rightarrow C_{m-q}^\dagger(\check{N}; V_\rho), \end{aligned}$$

inducing isomorphisms in homology

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{*,q} &: H_q(\check{N}; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_{m-q}^\dagger(N; V_\rho), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{*,q} &: H_q(N; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_q^\dagger(\check{N}; V_\rho). \end{aligned}$$

6.29.2. *Duality for a pair.* Let  $(M, N)$  be a simplicial complex pair of dimension  $(n = m + 1, m)$ , that is a triangulation of a compact connected oriented smooth manifold with boundary  $(Y, W)$ , and  $N$  is a triangulation of the boundary. For the following construction see for example [RS71, pg. 164] and [Mil66]. Each  $q$  simplex  $c_q$  of  $N$  has a dual block cell  $\check{c}_{m-q}$  of dimension  $m - q$  in  $N$ . Note that the duals cells are not simplexes. Call the family of these cells  $\check{N}$ , this is a cell complex of dimension  $m$ . We have a cell isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &: N \rightarrow \check{N}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &: c \rightarrow \check{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N$  is a basis for the chain complex (of  $R$  modules)  $C_\bullet(N)$  and  $\check{N}$  is a basis for  $C_\bullet^\dagger(\check{N})$ , the cell map  $\mathcal{Q}$  induces an isomorphism of graded  $R$  modules

$$\mathcal{Q}_q : C_q(N) \rightarrow C_{m-q}^\dagger(N).$$

It is possible to verify that this is a chain map (i.e. that it commutes with the boundary operators), and therefore we have an isomorphism of chain complexes

$$\mathcal{Q}_q : C_q(N) \rightarrow C_{m-q}^\dagger(N).$$

Each  $q$  simplex  $c_q$  of  $M$  has a dual block cell  $\check{c}_{n-q}$  of dimension  $n - q$ . Call the family of these cells  $\check{M}$ . The family  $\check{M}$  is not a cell complex: (if  $N$  is not empty) indeed, the

cells of  $\check{M}$  that meet the boundary  $N$  have not boundary in  $\check{M}$ . The boundary of these cells is in  $\check{N}$ . However, we have an isomorphism of sets

$$\begin{aligned} q : M &\rightarrow \check{M}, \\ q : c &\mapsto \check{c}. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the union

$$\check{G} = \check{N} \sqcup \check{M} = \mathcal{Q}(N) \sqcup q(M),$$

this is indeed a cell complex, and we have the isomorphism of cell complexes

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q} \sqcup q : N \sqcup M \rightarrow \check{G}.$$

The cell complex  $\check{G}$  is a cell decomposition of the geometric realisation  $|\check{M}|$  of  $\check{M}$ , and it induces a chain complex

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet(\check{G}),$$

and the family  $\check{M}$  is a basis for the relative complex

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet(\check{G}, \check{N}) = \langle \check{M} \rangle.$$

Thus the cell isomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$  induces a chain isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q : \mathbf{C}_q(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{G}, \check{N}).$$

On the other side, we may consider the following subcomplex of the cell complex  $\check{G}$ . Let  $c$  be a simplex in  $F = M - N$ , then  $\check{c}$  is disjoint from  $\check{N}$ . Let  $\check{F}$  denotes the family of such dual cells blocks

$$\check{F} = \{\check{c} \mid c \in M - N\}.$$

Then  $\check{F}$  is a subset of  $\check{M}$ . Moreover,  $\hat{F}$  is a cell complex, and a basis for the chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_q(\check{F})$ . The restriction of  $q$  induces a cell isomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = q|_F : M - N \rightarrow \check{F}.$$

Since  $F = M - N$  is a basis for the relative chain complex

$$\mathbf{C}_\bullet(M, N) = \langle M - N \rangle,$$

the cell map  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  induces a chain isomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q : \mathbf{C}_q(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{F}).$$

Also,  $\check{F}$  is a triangulation of the geometric realisation  $|\check{M}|$  of  $\check{M}$ , and therefore we have the chain isomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q : \mathbf{C}_q(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(|\check{M}|).$$

Back to the manifold, since any two decomposition have a common subdivision,  $(\check{G}, \check{N})$  is a decomposition for  $(Y, W)$ , and  $\check{F}$  a decomposition for  $Y$ . Therefore, taking twisted coefficients by an orthogonal real representation of the fundamental group  $\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow O(V)$ , we have the chain isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q &: \mathbf{C}_q(Y, W; V_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger(Y; V_\rho), \\ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q &: \mathbf{C}_q(Y; V_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m-q}^\dagger(Y, W; V_\rho), \end{aligned}$$

inducing isomorphisms in homology

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q} &: H_q(Y, W; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_{m-q}^\dagger(Y; V_\rho), \\ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q} &: H_q(Y; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_q^\dagger(Y, W; V_\rho).\end{aligned}$$

6.29.3. *Duality for the mapping cone.* In this section we extend the previous section to the mapping cone. Let  $X = C(W) \sqcup_W Y$ , where  $Y$  is a compact connected orientable smooth manifold of dimension  $n = m + 1$  with boundary  $W$ . Let  $(M, N)$  a triangulation of  $(Y, W)$ . We have the cell decomposition  $K = C(N) \sqcup_N M$  of  $X$ . We construct a new cell complex  $X^*$  that makes the role of the dual decomposition as follows. Let  $c$  a cell of  $M - N$ , then denote by  $c^*$  the dual cell  $q(c)$  in  $\check{M}$ . The family of these block cells is  $\check{F}$ . Let  $c$  be a cell of  $N$ . Then, we have three block cells associated to  $c$ : the first is  $\check{x}$ , the dual block cell of  $c$  in  $\check{N}$ , the second is  $\check{c}$ , the dual block cell of  $c$  in  $\check{M}$ , the third is the  $C(\check{c})$ , the cone over  $\check{c}$ . The cells  $\check{c}$  and  $C(\check{c})$  have part of the boundary in common, and this part of the boundary is precisely the cell  $\check{\check{c}}$ . We define the dual block cell  $c^*$  of  $c$  to be the mapping cone  $C(\check{c}) \sqcup_j \check{c}$ , where  $j : \check{\check{c}} \rightarrow \check{c}$  is the inclusion. The family  $K^*$  of the dual block cells  $c^*$  for  $c \in K$  is a cell decomposition of  $K$ , and we have the bijection

$$\mathcal{Q} : M \rightarrow K^*.$$

This induces a chain isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Q}_q : \mathbf{C}_q(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(K^*),$$

and therefore an isomorphism in homology

$$\mathcal{Q}_{*,q} : H_q(|M|) = H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(M)) \rightarrow H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*)) = H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(|K^*|).$$

On the other side, the restriction of  $\mathcal{Q}$  on the subcomplex  $M - N$  induces a bijection onto the subcomplex  $\check{F}$ :

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q}|_{M-N} : M - N \rightarrow \check{F}.$$

Since  $M - N$  is a basis for the chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(M, N) = \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, C(N))$  and  $\check{F}$  is a basis for the chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(\check{F}) = \mathbf{C}_\bullet(|\check{M}|)$ , we have a chain isomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q : \mathbf{C}_q(M, N) = \mathbf{C}_q(K, C(N)) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{F}) = \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(|\check{M}|),$$

that induces an isomorphism in homology

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q} : H_q(|K|) = H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K, C(N))) \rightarrow H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(M) = H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(|\check{M}|)).$$

In the particular case where  $M = N$ , i.e.  $K = C(N)$ , the cell complex  $K^*$  reduces to the family  $\check{A}$  of the cells of the cells complex  $C(\check{N})$ , the cone over the dual complex of  $N$ , that are the cone of the cells of  $\check{N}$ . So the previous cell isomorphisms reduce to the bijection of sets

$$\mathcal{Q}' : N \rightarrow \check{A}.$$

Observe that  $\check{A}$  is a basis for the relative chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(\check{N}), \check{N})$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}'$  induces the chain isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Q}'_q : \mathbf{C}_q(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m+1-q}^\dagger(C(\check{N}), \check{N}).$$

In particular, since  $X$  has the same homotopy type of  $Y/W$  (see Lemma 6.10), taking twisted coefficients by an orthogonal real representation of the fundamental group  $\rho : \pi = \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$ , we have the chain isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_q &: \mathcal{C}_q(Y; V_\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m-q}^\dagger(X; V_\rho), \\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q &: \mathcal{C}_q(Y, W; V_\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m-q}^\dagger(Y; V_\rho), \end{aligned}$$

inducing isomorphisms in homology

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{*,q} &: H_q(Y; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_{m-q}^\dagger(X; V_\rho), \\ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q} &: H_q(X; V_\rho) \rightarrow H_q^\dagger(Y; V_\rho). \end{aligned}$$

## 7. INTERSECTION TORSION OF PSEUDOMANIFOLDS

**7.1. The intersection torsion of the cone of a CW complex.** Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex of dimension  $m$ , and  $C(N)$  the cone over  $N$  as defined in Subsection 6.1.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$  denote the cellular (simplicial) chain complex of  $N$ . Then, the algebraic intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet(N, Z) = I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z}))$  of the cone of  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$  coincides with the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$  of  $C(N)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and the relative abstract intersection chain complex  $(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z}))$  coincides with the relative cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_\bullet(C(N), N; \mathbb{Z})$ . Both these complexes are complexes of free finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules.*

*Proof.* This follows by direct comparison of the chain modules and of the boundary homomorphisms, as described in details in Lemma 6.21 and Lemmas 10.38 and 4.10.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Then, the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$  has a natural class of equivalent graded chain bases, and this induces a natural graded class of chain basis for the algebraic intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$ . Representatives of this class are:*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet)_q = \mathbf{c}_q, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet)_\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus \mathbf{c}_\mathfrak{a}, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet)_q = \dot{\mathbf{c}}_q, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1,$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet$  is the graded cell basis of  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet$  the graded cell basis of  $\dot{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  is any integral basis for  $\ker \partial_{\mathfrak{a}-1} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-2}(N; \mathbb{Z})$ . In a similar way we define the relative intersection graded chain basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}$ .

*Proof.* As observed in Section 6, the complexes  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$  have a natural bases given by the cells. Therefore, the statement is clear in all degrees  $q \neq \mathfrak{a} - 1$ . In degree  $\mathfrak{a}$ , since we are working with the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , all submodules are free, and therefore  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  is free. We do not have one specific basis, but any two bases are equivalent since  $\pi_1(C(N))$  is trivial and thus the Whitehead group  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  is trivial (in other words, the matrix of the change of basis has integral entries, and therefore trivial Whitehead class).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Assume that  $H_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$  is free with preferred graded basis  $\mathfrak{h}_q$ , then  $H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet); \mathbb{Z})$  is free (stably free) and has the preferred graded basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet$  defined by*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_q = \mathfrak{h}_q, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_q = \emptyset, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} - 1.$$

*In a similar way we define the relative intersection graded homology basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}$ .*

**Proposition 7.5.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Assume that the homology modules  $H_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$  are free with basis  $\mathfrak{h}_q$ . Then, the torsion of the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$  with the natural graded chain basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet$  induced by the cells described in Lemma 7.3, and the graded homology basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet) &= \tau_W(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N); \mathbb{Z}))_\bullet; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-1} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_q \mathfrak{b}_q / \mathfrak{c}_q)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^\mathfrak{a} [(\partial_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a})\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} / \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows by Proposition 5.2. □

Consider now the twisted complex of real vector spaces

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_\rho) = V \otimes_\rho I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\rho : \pi_1(C(N)) \rightarrow O(V)$  is a representation of the fundamental group on a real vector space  $V$ . Since in the present case the first is trivial, this is the trivial representation and the twisted complex is just the complex  $V \otimes I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$ , that will only depend on the representation through its rank, we chose for simplicity the trivial representation  $\rho_0$  itself.

**Corollary 7.6.** *The complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0})$  has a natural class of equivalent graded bases induced by that of the complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$ . The same is true in the relative case, and for the homology and relative homology. We will use the same notation for these bases as above.*

**Theorem 7.7.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\mathfrak{n}_\bullet$  be the graded standard basis, and  $\mathfrak{h}_\bullet$  any other graded basis for the homology of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; V_\rho)$ . Then, the torsion of the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0})$  with the natural graded chain basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet$ , and the graded homology basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet$  is well defined and given by the following formula*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet) = \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (\det(\mathfrak{h}_q / \mathfrak{n}_q))^{(-1)^q} \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (\#TH_q(N; \mathbb{Z}))^{(-1)^q}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})$ . Since this is a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules, beside the cell basis there exists the standard basis  $\mathfrak{e}_\bullet$  introduced in Appendix A, and  $[(\mathfrak{c}_q / \mathfrak{e}_q)] = 1$ , for all  $q$ . The same for the induced basis in  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \rho_0)$ , and therefore for the graded chain bases of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0})$ . Moreover, we may consider the corresponding standard bases for homology and for cycles as defined in Appendix A. More precisely,

denote by  $\mathfrak{n}_q$  the standard basis for the free part of  $H_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , by  $\mathfrak{v}_q$  the standard basis of the cycles in  $C_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$  and by  $\mathfrak{h}_q$  any fixed basis for  $H_q(N; V_{\rho_0})$  (that is free as being a vector space). With these choices of basis, and choosing the  $\mathfrak{b}_q$  as in the appendix, we have that the class in  $\mathbb{R}$  of the matrix of the change of basis is

$$\det(\partial_{q+1}(\mathfrak{b}_{q+1})\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_q\mathfrak{b}_q/\mathfrak{e}_q) = \#TH_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet).$$

Therefore, for all  $q < \mathfrak{a} - 1$ ,

$$\det(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{q+1})\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_q}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_q/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{e}}_q) = \#TH_q(N; \mathbb{Z}).$$

In degree  $q = \mathfrak{a} - 1$ , we have that

$$\det(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}})\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{e}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) = \det(\partial_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{a}})\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/\mathfrak{v}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}).$$

As observed in Appendix A,  $Z_q$  is uniquely determined, and its basis is given by the first  $\dim Z_q$  elements of the basis  $\mathfrak{e}_q$ , whence, choosing again the  $\mathfrak{b}_q$  as in the appendix, we have

$$\det(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\partial}_{\mathfrak{a}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}})\widehat{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}}I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{e}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}) = \#TH_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(N; \mathbb{Z}),$$

that cancel out with the last factor in the previous product, giving the stated result.  $\square$

**Remark 7.8.** *Observe that the standard basis  $\mathfrak{n}_q$  of  $H_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$  may be interpreted geometrically as induced by the cycles, instead that by the cells. In fact, it comes from change of integral bases, where the first elements of the new basis are precisely the cycles representing the free part of homology, see Appendix A.*

**Remark 7.9.** *If the dimension of  $N$  is odd,  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then it is easy to see that (compare with [HS12, Spr19])*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^m\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^m\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_\bullet, I^m\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_\bullet) = \sqrt{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; V_{\rho_0}); \mathfrak{c}_\bullet, \mathfrak{h}_\bullet)}.$$

**Proposition 7.10.** *If  $N'$  is a subdivision of  $N$ , the inclusion  $i : N \rightarrow N'$  induces a chain quasi isomorphism  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(i_\bullet) : I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N'; \mathbb{Z}))$ , i.e.  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(i_\bullet)_{*,\bullet} : H_\bullet(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z}))) \rightarrow H_\bullet(I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N'; \mathbb{Z})))$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* This follows by Proposition 6.28.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.11.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Then, the torsion of the intersection complex of the cone of  $N$  is invariant under subdivisions.*

**Theorem 7.12.** *Let  $N$  be a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\mathfrak{n}_\bullet$  be the graded standard basis, and  $\mathfrak{h}_\bullet$  any other graded basis for the homology of  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; V_{\rho_0})$ . Then, the torsion of the relative cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N), N; V_{\rho_0})$  with graded chain basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$  and graded homology basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}$  is well defined and given by the following formula*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N), N; V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{c}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}) = \prod_{q=\mathfrak{a}-1}^m (\det(\mathfrak{h}_q/\mathfrak{n}_q))^{(-1)^{q+1}} (\#TH_q(N; \mathbb{Z}))^{(-1)^{q+1}}.$$

We conclude with some results on duality.

**Proposition 7.13.** *Let  $N$  be an  $m$ -dimensional a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Then there exists a chain map from the cone  $C(N)$  in a dual cell decomposition of  $C(N)$  (described in Section 6.29, since there is not ambiguity here, we identify  $\check{N}$  with  $\check{\check{N}}$ )*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{Q}'_q = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_q & q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \\ \mathcal{Q}'_q & q \geq \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases},$$

that induces isomorphism in homology:

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{Q}'_{*,q} : H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0})) \rightarrow H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}; V_{\rho_0})),$$

*Proof.* This is a particular case of Proposition 7.22. The maps are described at the end of Section 6.29. The relevant one is

$$\mathcal{Q}'_{\mathfrak{a}-1} : (I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N)))_{\mathfrak{a}-1} = \mathbf{C}_q(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{m-\mathfrak{a}+2=\mathfrak{a}^c-1}^{\dagger}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}) = (I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}))_{\mathfrak{a}^c-1}.$$

□

Since homology is invariant under subdivisions, we have the following result.

**Corollary 7.14.** *If  $N$  and its dual complex  $\check{N}$  have a common subdivision, then there is an isomorphism in homology*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{Q}'_{*,q} : H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0})) \rightarrow H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N), N; V_{\rho_0})).$$

**Proposition 7.15.** *Let  $N$  be an  $m$ -dimensional a connected finite regular CW complex, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity, then*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) = \left( \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}; V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}) \right)^{(-1)^m}.$$

*Proof.* We use sum notation. By Theorem 7.7,

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) = \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(h_q/n_q)] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^q [(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1})\hat{k}_q\mathbf{b}_q/c_q)].$$

We use the Poincaré isomorphism  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{Q}_q$ . This reduces to the classical Poincaré isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_q$ , when  $q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2$ , and therefore using Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^{q+1} [(\check{h}_{m-q}/\check{n}_{m-q})] + \sum_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (-1)^{q+1} [(\check{\partial}_{m-q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{m-q+1})\hat{\check{n}}_{m-q}\check{\mathbf{b}}_{m-q}/\check{\mathbf{c}}_{m-q})] \\ &= \sum_{q=m}^{m-\mathfrak{a}+2} (-1)^{m+q+1} [(\check{h}_q/\check{n}_q)] + \sum_{q=m}^{m-\mathfrak{a}+2} (-1)^{m+q+1} [(\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\hat{\check{n}}_q\check{\mathbf{b}}_q/\check{\mathbf{c}}_q)] \end{aligned}$$

recalling that  $\mathfrak{a}^c = m - \mathfrak{a} + 3$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{q=\mathfrak{a}^c-1}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(\check{h}_q/\check{n}_q)] + (-1)^m \sum_{q=\mathfrak{a}^c-1}^m (-1)^{q+1} [(\check{\partial}_{q+1}(\check{\mathbf{b}}_{q+1})\hat{\check{h}}_q\check{\mathbf{b}}_q/\check{\mathbf{c}}_q)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**7.16. The intersection torsion of a pseudomanifold.** Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional proper pseudomanifold with one isolated singularity  $\Sigma = \{x_0\}$ . For simplicity we assume that  $K$  has no boundary, the analysis in the case of non trivial boundary is analogous. Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be the standard decomposition of  $K$ . Let  $\pi = \pi_1(K)$  be the fundamental group of  $K$ . Since the inclusion of  $\pi_1(N) \rightarrow \pi_1(K)$  factors through  $\pi_1(C(N))$ , the universal covering space  $\tilde{K}$  of  $K$  has an induced decomposition

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{M} \sqcup_{\tilde{N}} \bigsqcup_{g \in \pi} C(\tilde{N}_g),$$

where  $N_g$  denotes the boundary of the  $g$  sheet of  $\tilde{M}$ .

Consider the cellular chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$ . The action of  $\pi$  onto  $\tilde{K}$  makes each chain group into a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ , and since  $K$  is finite, each of these modules is free over  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  and finitely generated by any lift of the cells of  $K$ . However, observe that  $\pi$  acts trivially onto the cells of  $C(N_0)$ , and therefore  $\pi$  acts globally on its lifts without mixing the sheets. In other words, we may identify the  $\pi$  action on the following chain complexes

$$\mathbf{C}_q \left( \bigsqcup_{g \in \pi} C(\tilde{N}_g); \mathbb{Z} \right) = \pi \mathbf{C}_q(C(N); \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\pi \mathbf{C}_q(C(N); \mathbb{Z})$  means the  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  module with basis the cells of  $C(N)$ . Using the decomposition of the chain modules introduced in Section 3.2 for the mapping cone, we may write

$$\mathbf{C}_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{C}_q(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = \pi \mathbf{C}_{q-1}(N; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbf{C}_q(M; \mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

where the notation  $\mathbf{C}_q(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  means a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules with basis the lift of the cells of  $K$ , we make  $\pi$  acting on the universal covering of  $M$  as subspace of  $\tilde{K}$ . The key point here is to observe that the boundary operator  $\partial_q$  of  $\mathbf{C}_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$  when restricted to the chains of the  $\bigsqcup_{g \in \pi} C(\tilde{N}_g)$  does not mix the sheets. Also recall that, by the Seifert - Van Kampen Theorem,  $\pi_1(K)$  is the quotient  $\pi_1(M)/N(i_*(\pi_1(N_0)))$  of  $\pi_1(M)$  by the normalised of  $i_*(\pi_1(N_0))$ ; this means that the cycles of  $\pi_1(M)$  homotopic to some image of a cycles of  $\pi_1(N_0)$  are trivial in  $\pi_1(K)$ . Using the decomposition of the boundary operator introduced in Section 3.2

$$\partial_q = \partial_{q-1}^{\mathbf{C}_\bullet} \oplus (i_{q-1} - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{q-1}^{\mathbf{C}_\bullet} & 0 \\ i_{q-1} & -\partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet = \pi \mathbf{C}_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\mathbf{D}_\bullet = \mathbf{C}_q(M; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ , what happens is that if  $c = x \oplus y \in \mathbf{C}_q(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ , then we may write  $c = gt \oplus y$ , for some  $g \in \pi$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{C}_q(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , and then

$$\partial_q(x \oplus y) = \partial_{q-1}^{\mathbf{C}_\bullet}(gt) \oplus (i_{q-1} - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})(y) = g\partial_{q-1}^{\mathbf{C}_\bullet}(t) \oplus (i_{q-1} - \partial_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})(y).$$

We have proved the following result.

**Lemma 7.17.** *The cellular chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  (of  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules) of the universal covering  $\tilde{K} = \tilde{M} \sqcup_{\tilde{N}} \bigsqcup_{g \in \pi} C(\tilde{N}_g)$  of the mapping cone  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  of the inclusion  $i : N_0 \rightarrow M$  coincides with the (abstract) mapping cone  $\pi C(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M; \pi\mathbb{Z})$  of the chain inclusion  $i_\bullet : \pi \mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M; \pi\mathbb{Z})$  of chain complexes of  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules.*

We proceed assuming the chain bases described in Section 3.3.2: i.e. we denote by  $\mathbf{c}_{q-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_q$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_q''$  the chain bases of  $\pi C(\mathbf{C}_q(N; \mathbb{Z})) = \pi \mathbf{C}_{q-1}(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , of  $\mathbf{C}_q(M; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ , and of  $\mathbf{C}_q(M; \mathbb{Z}\pi)/\pi \mathbf{C}_q(N_0; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{C}_q(M/N_0; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  determined by the lifts of the cells, respectively. Observe that for these chain bases, it is true that  $[(\mathbf{d}_q/i_q(\mathbf{c}_q)\hat{\mathbf{d}}_q'')] = 1$ , since we may choose all the lifts in the same sheet. Then, the chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  has preferred graded chain basis  $\check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet$  (determined by lifts of the cells) that using the direct sum decomposition reads:  $\check{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbf{d}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus i_q(\mathbf{c}_q) \oplus \mathbf{d}_q''$ .

**Lemma 7.18.** *Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold without boundary and with an isolated singularity  $x_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be the standard decomposition of  $K$ . Then, the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  coincides with the algebraic intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi \mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; \mathbb{Z})) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$  of the mapping cone of the chain inclusion induced by the geometric inclusion  $i : N_0 \rightarrow M$ , is a chain complex of free finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules and is naturally based by the lifts of the cells of  $K$  with a preferred class of equivalent graded bases, with representatives:*

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)_q &: I^{\mathfrak{p}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathbf{d}_q, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)_{\mathfrak{a}-1} &: I^{\mathfrak{p}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi)_q &: I^{\mathfrak{p}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbf{d}_q = \mathbf{c}_{q-1} \oplus 0 \oplus i_q(\mathbf{c}_q) \oplus \mathbf{d}_q'', \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first part of the statement follows by the previous considerations and the results of Section 4.13. The graded cell basis is described in Remark 4.19. Since  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}-1}$  is a submodule  $\pi \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(N_0; \mathbb{Z})$ , it is free, as in the proof of Lemma 7.3. Moreover, any two bases differs by a matrix with integer coefficients, and are therefore in the same Whitehead class.  $\square$

We consider now the twisted complex

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho) = V \otimes_\rho I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = I^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(N; V_{\rho_0}) \sqcup_{i_\bullet} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M; V_\rho)),$$

where  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow O(V)$  is a real orthogonal representation of  $\pi$ . This is a complex of free finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  modules with graded basis induced by the cone described in Lemma 7.18, that will be denoted with the same notation.

About the homology, recall that, as shown in Section 3.3.2, the projection  $p_q : K \rightarrow K/C(N_0)$  induces an isomorphism in homology (with any coefficients), that composed with the natural identification of the homologies of  $K/C(N_0)$  and  $M/N_0$  gives a natural isomorphism of  $H_q(K; V_\rho)$  with  $H_q(M, N_0; V_\rho)$ . We denote the basis of these vector spaces by  $\mathfrak{h}_q$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_q''$ . Also, denote by  $\mathfrak{h}_q$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_q^{\mathfrak{D}\bullet}$  graded bases for the homology vector spaces  $H_q(N_0; V_\rho)$  and  $H_q(M; V_\rho)$ , respectively, and by  $\mathfrak{k}_q$  the standard basis of  $H_q(N_0; V_\rho)$ . Also recall the long exact sequence

$$\mathcal{H} : \quad \dots \longrightarrow H_q(N_0; V_\rho) \xrightarrow{i_{*,q}} H_q(M; V_\rho) \xrightarrow{p_{*,q}} H_q(M, N_0; V_\rho) \longrightarrow \dots$$

induced by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\bullet(N_0; V_\rho) \xrightarrow{i_\bullet} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M; V_\rho) \xrightarrow{p_\bullet} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(M, N_0; V_\rho) \longrightarrow 0,$$

Then, by Remark 4.19, a preferred basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}$  for the intersection homology of  $K$  is:

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_q = h_q^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}}, \quad q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 2, \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_q = p_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1}(h^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}}), \quad I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_q = h''_{\bullet}, \quad q \geq \mathfrak{a}.$$

**Theorem 7.19.** *Let  $K$  be a pseudomanifold without boundary and with an isolated singularity  $x_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be the standard decomposition of  $K$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(K) \rightarrow O(V)$  be some orthogonal representation of the fundamental group of  $K$ . Assume the chain bases and the homology bases defined above. Then, the torsion of the cellular intersection chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho})$  with graded chain basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}$  and graded homology basis  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}$  is well defined and given by the following formula*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}) \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(M, N_0; V_{\rho}); \mathbf{d}''_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}) \\ \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}),$$

where  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet})$  is the torsion of the long exact sequence  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}} :$

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N); V_{\rho})) \longrightarrow H_q((I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho}) \longrightarrow H_q(K, N; V_{\rho}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

with graded bases  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}$ ,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}$ , and  $\mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 5.11. □

**Remark 7.20.** *Here is an equivalent formula for torsion*

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N_0); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{c}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}) \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(M, N_0); \mathbf{d}''_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}) \\ \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}),$$

where  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet})$  is the following part

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{h}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{h}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}) = |\det(p_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1}(h_{\mathfrak{a}-1}^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}})y''_{\mathfrak{a}-1}/h''_{\mathfrak{a}-1})|^{(-1)^{\mathfrak{a}-1}} \\ \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} \left| \frac{\det(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q) \det(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q)}{\det(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}})} \right|^{(-1)^q},$$

of the torsion of the long exact sequence

$$\mathcal{H} : \quad \dots \longrightarrow H_q(N_0; V_{\rho}) \xrightarrow{i_{*,q}} H_q(M; V_{\rho}) \xrightarrow{p_{*,q}} H_q(M, N_0; V_{\rho}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Using the calculations in Section 3.3.1, we identify the term coming from the sequence  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}$  with the corresponding one in the sequence  $\mathcal{H}$ . Next, we use Theorem 7.7 to complete the proof.

**Corollary 7.21.** *Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold without boundary and with an isolated singularity  $x_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Then, the torsion of the intersection complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho})$  of  $K$  with twisted coefficients  $V_{\rho}$  is invariant under subdivisions.*

We conclude this section with some results on duality.

**Proposition 7.22.** *Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional pseudomanifold without boundary and with an isolated singularity, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a perversity. Then, the map*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{Q}_q = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_q & q \leq \mathfrak{a} - 1, \\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q & q \geq \mathfrak{a}, \end{cases} : K \rightarrow K^*,$$

from  $K$  to its dual  $K^*$ , induces isomorphism in homology

$$I^p \mathcal{Q}_{*,q} : H_q(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho)) \rightarrow H_{n-q}^\dagger(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $K = C(N) \sqcup_N M$  the canonical decomposition of  $K$ . Using the maps  $\mathcal{Q}_\bullet$  introduced in Section 6.29, and the definition of the intersection complex, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}+1} & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}} & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}+1}(M; V_\rho) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M; V_\rho) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M, N; V_\rho) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{a}+1} & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{a}} & & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathfrak{a}-1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{m+1-\mathfrak{a}-1}^\dagger(K^*; V_\rho) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{m+1-\mathfrak{a}}^\dagger(K^*; V_\rho) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{m+1-\mathfrak{a}+1}^\dagger(\check{M}; V_\rho) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}^c-3}^\dagger & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}^c-2}^\dagger & \longrightarrow & (I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho))_{\mathfrak{a}^c-1}^\dagger \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

The maps  $\mathcal{Q}_\bullet$  induces isomorphisms in homology, this gives the result in all degree different from  $\mathfrak{a} - 1$ . In that degree, composing the homology sequences of the two pairs, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{p_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1}} & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}(M, N) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1} & & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1} \\ \dots & \longleftarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}^\dagger(M, N) & \xleftarrow{p_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1}^\dagger} & H_{\mathfrak{a}-1}^\dagger(M, N) \longleftarrow \dots \end{array}$$

that shows that the map  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,\mathfrak{a}-1}$  is an isomorphism also in degree  $\mathfrak{a} - 1$ .  $\square$

Since homology is invariant under subdivisions, we have the following result.

**Corollary 7.23.** *There is an isomorphism in homology*

$$I^p \mathcal{Q}_{*,q} : H_q(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho)) \rightarrow H_{n-q}^\dagger(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho)).$$

**Proposition 7.24.**

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho); I^p \check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, I^p \check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) = \left( \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K^*; V_\rho); I^p \check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet^*, I^p \check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet^*) \right)^{(-1)^m}.$$

*Proof.* By standard duality for torsion (use sum notation)

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(M, N_0; V_\rho); \mathbf{d}''_\bullet, \mathbf{h}''_\bullet) = (-1)^m \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\bullet(\check{M}), V_\rho); \check{\mathbf{d}}_\bullet, \check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet^{\mathbb{D}}),$$

where the bases are defined in Section 3.3.1. By duality of intersection torsion of the cone, Proposition 7.13,

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_\rho); I^p \check{\mathbf{c}}_\bullet, I^p \check{\mathbf{h}}_\bullet) = (-1)^m \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(\check{N}), \check{N}; V_\rho); I^p \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}^c, I^p \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\text{rel},\bullet}^c).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) &= \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(N_0); V_{\rho_0}); I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(M, N_0); \mathbf{d}''_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}) \\
&= (-1)^m \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{M}), V_{\rho}; \check{\mathbf{d}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}) + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}), \check{N}; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\text{rel}, \bullet}) \\
= \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}); V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) - \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{N}; V_{\rho}); \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}),
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 5.12,

$$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{M}), V_{\rho}; \check{\mathbf{d}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{M}, \check{N}_0); \check{\mathbf{d}}''_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}''_{\bullet}) + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{N}; V_{\rho}); \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) + \tau(\mathcal{H}; \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}''_{\bullet}).$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(K; V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) &= (-1)^m \left( \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C(\check{N}); V_{\rho}); I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}^c}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}) + \tau(\mathcal{H}; \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}''_{\bullet}) \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(\check{M}, \check{N}_0); \check{\mathbf{d}}''_{\bullet}, \check{\mathbf{h}}''_{\bullet}) + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathbf{h}}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{h}''_{\bullet}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & y'_q, \mathbf{h}_q & & y_q, \mathbf{h}_q^{\mathbb{D}\bullet} & & y''_q, \mathbf{h}''_q & & y'_{q-1}, \mathbf{h}_{q-1} & & \\
& & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_q & & \downarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q & & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_{q-1} & & \\
\cdots \longrightarrow & H_q(L; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{i_{*,q}} & H_q(M; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{p_{*,q}} & H_q(M, L; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_q} & H_{q-1}(N; V_{\rho}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \\
& \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_q & & \downarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q & & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_{q-1} & & & \\
\cdots \longrightarrow & H_{m-q}^{\dagger}(\check{L}; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}} & H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{G}, \check{N}; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}^{\dagger}} & H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{M}; V_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^{\dagger}} & H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{N}; V_{\rho}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
& & x'_{m-q}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{m-q}^{\dagger} & & x''_{m+1-q}, (\check{\mathbf{h}}''_{m+1-q})^{\dagger} & & x_{m+1-q}, (\check{\mathbf{h}}_{m+1-q}^{\mathbb{D}\bullet})^{\dagger} & & x'_{m+1-q}, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{m+1-q}^{\dagger} & & 
\end{array}$$

where in the first and in the last lines are indicated elements whose images are a basis for the image of the following map, and an homology basis. In the last lines, the homology bases have been chosen as the dual of the corresponding homology bases.

By commutativity of the middle square of the diagram  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q p_{*,q}(y_q) = \check{p}_{*,m+1-q}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q(y_q)$ . This allow us to fix the following set of elements in  $H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{M})$ :  $\check{y}_{m+1-q}^{\dagger} = \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q p_{*,q}(y_q)$ . The notation means that we can choose the elements  $\check{y}_{m+1-q}$  in  $H_{m+1-q}(\check{M})$  in such a way that their duals satisfy the stated equation. Then,  $1 = \check{p}_{*,m+1-q}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q(y_q)(\check{y}_{m+1-q})$ , the  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q(y_q)$  are the duals of the  $\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}_{m+1-q})$ , i.e.  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q(y_q) = (\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}_{m+1-q}))^{\dagger}$ . In a similar way, commutativity of the left square gives  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q i_{*,q}(y'_q) = \delta_{m+1-q}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q}_q(y'_q)$ , so fixing  $(\check{y}''_{m+1-q})^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_q i_{*,q}(y'_q)$ , in  $H_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{G}, \check{N})$ , since  $1 = \delta_{m+1-q}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q}_q(y'_q)(\check{y}''_{m+1-q})$ , we have that  $\mathcal{Q}_q(y'_q) = (\delta_{m+1-q}^{\dagger}(\check{y}''_{m+1-q}))^{\dagger}$ . And eventually,  $\mathcal{Q}_{q-1} \delta_q(y''_q) = \check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^{\dagger} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_q(y''_q)$ ,

so fixing  $(\check{y}'_{m+1-q})^\dagger = Q_{q-1}\delta_q(y''_q)$ , in  $H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{N})$ , since  $1 = \check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger \bar{Q}_q(y''_q)(\check{y}'_{m+1-q})$ , it follows that  $\bar{Q}_q(y''_q) = (\check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{y}'_{m+1-q}))^\dagger$ .

We may now proceed to deal with torsion. We may choose the  $x$  as follows:

$$x_{m+1-q} = \bar{Q}(y''_q), \quad x'_{m-1} = Q_q(y'_q), \quad x''_{m+1-q} = \hat{Q}_q(y_q).$$

Thus, for the matrix of the change of basis in  $H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{N}; V_\rho)$ , from one side

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(x_{m+1-q})x'_{m+1-q}/\check{h}_{m+1-q}^\dagger \right) &= \left( \check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(\bar{Q}_q(y''_q))Q_{q-1}(y'_{q-1})/Q_{q-1}(h_{q-1}) \right) \\ &= \left( \bar{Q}_{q-1}\delta_q(y''_q)Q_{q-1}(y'_{q-1})/Q_{q-1}(h_{q-1}) \right) \\ &= \left( \delta_q(y''_q)y'_{q-1}/h_{q-1} \right); \end{aligned}$$

from the other

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \check{i}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(x_{m+1-q})x'_{m+1-q}/\check{h}_{m+1-q}^\dagger \right) &= \left( (\check{y}'_{m+1-q})^\dagger(\check{\delta}_{m+2-q}(\check{y}''_{m+2-q}))^\dagger/\check{h}_{m+q-1}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \left( (\check{y}'_{m+1-q}\check{\delta}_{m+2-q}(\check{y}''_{m+2-q})/\check{h}_{m+1-q})^T \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the matrix of the change of basis in  $H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{M}; V_\rho)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \check{p}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(x''_{m+1-q})x_{m+1-q}/(\check{h}_{m+1-q}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})^\dagger \right) &= \left( \check{p}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(\hat{Q}_q(y_q))\bar{Q}_q(y''_q)/\bar{Q}_q(h''_q) \right) \\ &= \left( \bar{Q}_q p_{*,q}(y_q)\bar{Q}_q(y''_q)/\bar{Q}_q(h''_q) \right) \\ &= \left( p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q \right) \\ &= \left( \check{y}'_{m+1-q}(\check{i}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}'_{m+1-q}))^\dagger/(\check{h}_{m+1-q}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})^\dagger \right) \\ &= \left( (\check{y}'_{m+1-q}\check{i}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}'_{m+1-q})/\check{h}_{m+1-q}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})^T \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the matrix of the change of basis in  $H_{m+1-q}^\dagger(\check{G}, \check{N}; V_\rho)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \check{\delta}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(x'_{m+1-q})x''_{m+1-q}/(\check{h}''_{m+1-q})^\dagger \right) &= \left( \check{\delta}_{*,m+1-q}^\dagger(Q_q(y'_q))\hat{Q}_q(y_q)/\hat{Q}_q(h_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}) \right) \\ &= \left( \hat{Q}_q i_{*,q}(y'_q)\hat{Q}_q(y_q)/\hat{Q}_q(h_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}) \right) \\ &= \left( i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet} \right) \\ &= \left( (\check{y}''_{m+1-q})^\dagger(\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}''_{m+1-q}))^\dagger/(\check{h}''_{m+1-q})^\dagger \right) \\ &= \left( (\check{y}''_{m+1-q}\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}''_{m+1-q})/\check{h}''_{m+1-q})^T \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We may compute the torsion of the dual homology complex.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau(\mathcal{H}; \check{h}_\bullet, \check{h}_\bullet^{\mathbf{D}\bullet}, \check{h}''_\bullet) \\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}''_{q+1})\check{y}'_q/\check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q)\check{y}_q/\check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q)\check{y}''_q/\check{h}''_q)] \right) \\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{q+1} \left( [(\delta_{m+1-q}(y''_{m+1-q})y'_{m-q}/h_{m-q})] - [(p_{*,m+1-q}(y_{m+1-q})y''_{m+1-q}/h''_{m+1-q})] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [(i_{*,m+1-q}(y_{m+1-q})y_{m+1-q}/h_{m+1-q}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \right) \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_q(y''_q)y'_{q-1}/h_{q-1})] - [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q)] + [(i_{*,q}(y_q)y_q/h_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{h}_\bullet, I^{\mathbb{P}}\check{h}_\bullet, h''_\bullet) \\
&= (-1)^{a-1} [(p_{*,a-1}(h_{a-1}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})y''_{a-1}/h''_{a-1})] \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^q \left( [(\delta_{q+1}(y''_{q+1})y'_q/h_q)] - [(i_{*,q}(y'_q)y_q/h_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] + [(p_{*,q}(y_q)y''_q/h''_q)] \right) \\
&= (-1)^a [(\check{y}_{m+2-a}\check{i}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}'_{m+2-a})/\check{h}_{m+2-a}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{a-2} (-1)^{q+1} \left( [(\check{y}'_{m-q}\check{\delta}_{m+1-q}(\check{y}''_{m+1-q})/\check{h}_{m-q})] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [(\check{y}''_{m+1-q}\check{p}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}_{m+1-q})/\check{h}''_{m+1-q})] + [(\check{y}_{m+1-q}\check{i}_{*,m+1-q}(\check{y}'_{m+1-q})/\check{h}_{m+1-q}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \right) \\
&= (-1)^a [(\check{y}_{m+2-a}\check{i}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}'_{m+2-a})/\check{h}_{m+2-a}^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{q=m+3-a}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{y}'_{q-1}\check{\delta}_q(\check{y}''_q)/\check{h}_{q-1})] - [(\check{y}''_q\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q)/\check{h}''_q)] + [(\check{y}_q\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q)/\check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}\bullet})] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^m \tau(\mathcal{H}; \check{h}_\bullet, \check{h}_\bullet^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}, \check{h}_\bullet'') + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{h}_\bullet, I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{h}_\bullet, h_\bullet'') \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}_{q+1}'') \check{y}'_q / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) \check{y}_q / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) \check{y}''_q / \check{h}_q'')] \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^a [(\check{y}_{m+2-a} \check{i}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}'_{m+2-a}) / \check{h}_{m+2-a}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{q=m+3-a}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{y}'_{q-1} \check{\delta}_q(\check{y}''_q) / \check{h}_{q-1})] - [(\check{y}''_q \check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) / \check{h}_q'')] + [(\check{y}_q \check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] \right) \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}_{q+1}'') \check{y}'_q / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) \check{y}_q / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) \check{y}''_q / \check{h}_q'')] \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^a [(\check{y}_{m+2-a} \check{i}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}'_{m+2-a}) / \check{h}_{m+2-a}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] \\
&\quad + (-1)^{1-a} [(\check{y}'_{m+2-a} \check{\delta}_{m+3-a}(\check{y}''_{m+3-a}) / \check{h}_{m+2-a})] \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{q=m+3-a}^{m+1} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{y}'_q \check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}''_{q+1}) / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{y}''_q \check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) / \check{h}_q'')] + [(\check{y}_q \check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] \right),
\end{aligned}$$

continuing

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^m \tau(\mathcal{H}; \check{h}_\bullet, \check{h}_\bullet^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet}, \check{h}_\bullet'') + \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{h}_\bullet, I^{\mathbb{P}} \check{h}_\bullet, h_\bullet'') \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{q=0}^{m+2-a} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}_{q+1}'') \check{y}'_q / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) \check{y}_q / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) \check{y}''_q / \check{h}_q'')] \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^a [(\check{y}_{m+2-a} \check{i}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}'_{m+2-a}) / \check{h}_{m+2-a}^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] \\
&\quad + (-1)^{1-a} [(\check{y}'_{m+2-a} \check{\delta}_{m+3-a}(\check{y}''_{m+3-a}) / \check{h}_{m+2-a})] \\
&= (-1)^m \sum_{q=0}^{m+1-a} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}_{q+1}'') \check{y}'_q / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) \check{y}_q / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) \check{y}''_q / \check{h}_q'')] \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{2+a} [(\check{p}_{*,m+2-a}(\check{y}_{m+2-a}) \check{y}''_{m+2-a} / \check{h}_{m+2-a}'')] \\
&= (-1)^m \left( \sum_{q=0}^{a^c-2} (-1)^q \left( [(\check{\delta}_{q+1}(\check{y}_{q+1}'') \check{y}'_q / \check{h}_q)] - [(\check{i}_{*,q}(\check{y}'_q) \check{y}_q / \check{h}_q^{\mathbf{D}_\bullet})] + [(\check{p}_{*,q}(\check{y}_q) \check{y}''_q / \check{h}_q'')] \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{a^c-1} [(\check{p}_{*,a^c-1}(\check{y}_{a^c-1}) \check{y}''_{a^c-1} / \check{h}_{a^c-1}'')] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 8. INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY OF CONES AND SPACES WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES

Let  $(W, g)$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let  $C(W)$  be the cone over  $W$ . Since  $W$  is a smooth manifold, it admits a smooth triangulation, and hence it is a regular CW complex. Moreover, any two such triangulations admit a common subdivision. It follows that we may select any one triangulation and

all the result of the previous sections hold. In particular, using the results of Section 7.1, we may introduce the following definitions and prove the following results.

**Definition 8.1.** *Let  $(W, g)$  be a compact connected manifold of dimension  $m$  without boundary. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow O(V)$ . Let  $N$  be any regular cellular decomposition of  $W$ . Let  $C(W)$  the cone over  $W$ . We call intersection homology groups of  $C(W)$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  and coefficients in  $V_\rho$ , the groups  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^q(C(W); V_\rho) = H^q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_\rho)$ . The relative groups are defined similarly.*

It is clear that these groups do not depend on the cellular decomposition  $N$ . Formulas for these groups are given in Lemma 4.7.

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $(W, g)$  be a compact connected manifold of dimension  $m$  without boundary, of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $C(W)$  the cone over  $W$ . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}Q'_{*,q} : I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^q(C(W); V_{\rho_0}) \rightarrow (I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}H_{m-q})^\dagger(C(W), W; V_{\rho_0}).$$

Let  $X$  be a space with a conical singularity, and dimension  $n$ , as defined in Section 17. Then,  $X$  is the smooth glueing of a manifold with boundary  $(Y, W)$ , with the cone over  $W$ . So, there exists a cellular decomposition  $K$  of  $X$ , such that  $K$  is an  $n$  pseudomanifold with one isolated singularity (the tip of the cone). We have the standard decomposition  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$ .

**Definition 8.3.** *Let  $X = Y \sqcup_W C(W)$  be a space with a conical singularity. A cellular decomposition  $K$  of  $X$  is said to be coherent if in the standard decomposition  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$ ,  $M$  is a regular cellular decomposition of  $Y$ , and  $N_0$  a regular cellular decomposition of  $W$ .*

It is clear that a coherent decomposition exists. So, we may use the results of Section 7.16, to introduce the following definitions and prove the following theorems.

**Definition 8.4.** *Let  $X = Y \sqcup_W C(W)$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m+1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be any coherent cellular decomposition of  $X$ . We call intersection homology groups of  $X$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  and coefficients in  $V_\rho$ , the groups  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^q(X; V_\rho) = H^q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho)$ . The relative groups are defined similarly.*

Since the cellular decompositions  $K$  and  $K^*$  of  $X$  are constructed using the triangulations of the corresponding decompositions of the composing manifolds, they admit a common subdivision, see Proposition 6.28. This shows that the intersection homology groups of  $X$  are independent on the cellular decomposition. Formulas for these groups are given in Propositions 6.26.

**Theorem 8.5.** *Let  $X = Y \sqcup_W C(W)$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m+1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a perversity. Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}Q_{*,q} : I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(X; V_\rho) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}H_{n-q}^\dagger(X; V_\rho).$$

## PART II

## 9. SOME RESULTS ON STURM-LIOUVILLE OPERATORS

In this section we collect some technical results on Sturm Liouville operator necessary in the following analysis. Several results are either classic and well known, or may be deduced applying classic results, some are new, and in that cases complete proofs are presented. We follow a classical approach and work with real of smooth functions, and we refer to Dunford Schwartz [DS88a] [DS88b] and Bocher [Bôc00], since this seems to be more convenient for a direct application to the context of the analysis on differentiable manifolds that is the main purpose of this work, however see also Weidmann [Wei80], and Zettl [Zet05].

**9.1. Formal operator, differential equation and fundamental system of solutions.** We consider the formal linear differential Sturm Liouville operator

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{\nu,\alpha}(x), \quad (9.1)$$

on the space of square integrable functions  $L^2(0, l)$  (that is a (complete) separable Hilbert space), where

$$q_{\nu,\alpha}(x) = -\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} + \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{(h'(x))^2}{h^2(x)} + \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h^2(x)},$$

where  $\nu = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda} + \alpha^2}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  are a real numbers, with  $\tilde{\lambda} \geq 0$ , and

$$h(x) = xH(x),$$

where  $H$  is a non vanishing smooth function on  $[0, l]$ , with  $H(0) = 1$ .

**Remark 9.2.** *Note that these requirements guarantee that*

$$|1 - H^2(x)| = O(x^\epsilon),$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , with  $\epsilon = 1$ . For Since  $H$  is smooth, so is  $H^2$ , and therefore

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|H^2(x) - 1|}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \frac{d}{dx} H^2(x) \right| = \left| \frac{d}{dx} H^2(x) \right| (x = 0).$$

This assumptions guarantee that the coefficients of the relevant differential equation satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem B.2. Observe that the results outlined in this section certainly hold under much weaker requirements, we introduced the stated hypothesis since they are sufficient for our application and avoid several technical details. For example, we could take ore generally  $q_{\nu,\alpha} \in L_{loc}(0, l)$  and proceed following [Zet05]. It is clear that our choice of  $q_{\nu,\alpha}$  is in that class, so that we can use the results of the last work.

Before to proceed, we outline the behaviour of the potential near  $x = 0$ . By the assumptions on  $h$ :

$$q_{\nu,\alpha}(x) = \left(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{1}{x^2} + (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \frac{1 - H^2(x)}{x^2 H^2(x)} - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{H''(x)}{H(x)} \\ + \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{H'^2(x)}{H^2(x)} + 2 \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} x.$$

For further use, we set

$$p_{\nu,\alpha} = q_{\nu,\alpha} - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2}.$$

Beside the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$ , we also introduce the following "constant coefficients case" operator

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu}^0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2},$$

which we also will refer to as the "flat case". It is clear that this is a particular case of  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$ , and precisely the one in which  $h(x) = x$ . Therefore all the results obtained for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  hold for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu}^0$ . However, for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu}^0$  we have some more explicit results that will be useful to obtain properties of  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$ . For this reason, we will occasionally state the explicit expression of the quantities relative to  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu}^0$ , that will be denoted by a superscript 0. We will use the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} = \mathfrak{l}_{\nu}^0 + r, \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$r(x) = q_{\nu,\alpha} - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2}.$$

Next, summarise some information about the solutions of the differential equation associated to  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  that will be useful in the following. The differential equation associated to  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is the second order regular singular equation (a regular one if  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ )

$$u'' - q_{\nu,\alpha}u = u'' + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{h''}{h}u - \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{h'^2}{h^2}u - \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h^2}u = \lambda u, \quad (9.3)$$

whose indicial equation is

$$\mu(\mu - 1) + \frac{1}{4} - \nu^2 = 0,$$

with solutions  $\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \nu$ . In the following, it will be convenient to have at our disposal equation (9.3) reformulated in the new variable  $f = h^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}u$ :

$$f'' + (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'}{h} f' - \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h^2} f = \lambda f, \quad (9.4)$$

whose indicial equation is

$$s(s - 1) + (1 - 2\alpha)s + \alpha^2 - \nu^2 = 0,$$

with solutions  $s_{\pm} = \alpha \pm \nu$  (we fix the order  $s_+ \geq s_-$ ). The corresponding formal Sturm Liouville operator is

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\nu,\alpha} = T\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}T^{-1} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h^2(x)},$$

where  $T$  is the isometry

$$\begin{aligned} T : L^2((a, b), dx) &\rightarrow L^2((a, b), h^{1-2\alpha} dx), \\ T : u &\mapsto h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} u. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$s_{\nu, \alpha}^0 = T \mathfrak{L}_\nu^0 T^{-1} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1-2\alpha) \frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{x^2}.$$

A complete system of two linearly independent solutions  $u_\pm$  of equation (9.4), and consequently of equation (9.3) is described in Theorem B.2. For present use, we normalise these solutions according to the following definition.

**Definition 9.3.** *A fundamental system of normalised solutions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  of equation (9.3) is:*

(1) if  $\nu \neq 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{u}_\pm(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \nu} \varphi_\pm(x), \quad \mathbf{u}'_\pm(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \nu} \Phi_\pm(x),$$

where the  $\varphi_\pm$  and the  $\Phi_\pm$  are continuous in some interval  $[0, l]$ ,  $\varphi_\pm(0) = 1$ , and  $\Phi_\pm(0) = s_\pm$ ;

(2) if  $\nu = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_+(x) &= x^{\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \varphi_+(x), & \mathbf{u}'_+(x) &= x^{-\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \Phi_+(x), \\ \mathbf{u}_-(x) &= x^{\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \varphi_-(x) \log x, & \mathbf{u}'_-(x) &= x^{-\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \Phi_-(x) \log x \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\psi_\pm$ , and the  $\Psi_\pm$  are continuous in some interval  $[0, l]$ ,  $\psi_\pm(0) = 1$ , and  $\Psi_\pm(0) = s_\pm$ ;

The corresponding system of solutions of equation (9.4) is  $\mathfrak{f}_\pm = h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}_\pm$ .

The solutions above depend smoothly on the parameters, if necessary we will write  $\mathbf{u}_\pm = \mathbf{u}_\pm(x, \lambda, \nu)$ , and  $\mathfrak{f}_\pm = \mathfrak{f}_\pm(x, \lambda, \nu)$ .

**Remark 9.4.** *Since  $h$  is smooth in  $(0, l]$ , it follows that the solutions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  and  $\mathfrak{f}_\pm$  are smooth on  $(0, l]$ , see Remark B.4.*

**Remark 9.5.** *Definition 9.3 covers the particular case  $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , as limit case with  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ . In such a case, the problem reduces to a regular Sturm Liouville problem and the solutions of the fundamental system are smooth at  $x = 0$ .*

**Remark 9.6.** *We observe the following particular solutions of the harmonic equation when  $\nu = \pm\alpha$ . In such a case, the harmonic equation is*

$$u'' + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{h''}{h} u - \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{(h')^2}{h^2} u = 0.$$

Setting

$$p = (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'}{h},$$

this equation reduces to the one studied in Appendix C.4, and therefore has the two linearly independent solutions:

$$u_1 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}, \quad u_2 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \int h^{2\alpha - 1},$$

and therefore, according to the normalisation introduced in Definition 9.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_+ = u_2 &= 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \mathbf{u}_- = u_1 &= h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, & \alpha > 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_+ &= h^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \mathbf{u}_- &= h^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{1}{h}, & \alpha = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = u_1 &= h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, & \mathbf{u}_- = u_2 &= 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \alpha < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_+ &= 2\alpha \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \mathfrak{f}_- &= 1, & \alpha > 0, \\ \mathfrak{f}_+ &= 1, & \mathfrak{f}_- &= \int \frac{1}{h}, & \alpha = 0, \\ \mathfrak{f}_+ &= 1, & \mathfrak{f}_- &= 2\alpha \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 9.7.** If  $h$  is analytic in  $[0, l]$  and  $\nu > 0$ , the solutions  $\mathbf{u}_-$  and  $\mathbf{u}_+$  are the unique solutions of equation (9.3), with characteristic exponent  $\pm\nu$  respectively, and satisfying the initial value conditions:

$$IC_0(\mathbf{u}_-) = 1, \quad IC'_0(\mathbf{u}_+) = 1,$$

where

$$IC_0(u) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} u(x), \quad IC'_0(u) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} u(x).$$

In the regular case  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , these conditions reduces to the classical ones:

$$IC_0(u) = u(0), \quad IC'_0(u) = u'(0).$$

**Remark 9.8.** If  $h$  is analytic in  $[0, l]$  and  $\nu > 0$ , the requirement of having characteristic exponent  $\pm\mu$  corresponds either to the requirement of having the following form

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu) &= x^{\frac{1}{2}\pm\nu} h_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu), \\ h_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j,\pm}(\lambda, \nu) x^j, \\ a_0(\lambda, \nu) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the power series converges in any bounded interval  $(0, l]$ , or to the following initial conditions

$$IC'_0(\mathbf{u}_-) = 0, \quad IC_0(\mathbf{u}_+) = 0.$$

Note also that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) = +\infty.$$

**Remark 9.9.** In the flat case, namely when  $h(x) = x$ , the coefficients of the Sturm Liouville differential equation are analytic in all the interval, so we have a fundamental system of analytic solutions, compare with Theorem B.6. This is the classical well known case, and the normalised solutions may be written in terms of classical functions as

follows ( $z = i\sqrt{-\lambda}$ ). See Lemmas B.9 and B.11 (in particular note that by Remark B.12, if  $\nu \in \frac{1}{2}(2\mathbb{Z}+1)$ , then we may use the expansions in Lemma B.9, since the critical index appear only for even indices) of Appendix for details.

If  $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^0(x, \lambda, \nu) = \frac{2^{\pm\nu}\Gamma(\pm\nu+1)}{\lambda^{\frac{\pm\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} J_{\pm\nu}(\sqrt{\lambda}x) = \frac{2^{\pm\nu}\Gamma(\pm\nu+1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\pm\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_{\pm\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x).$$

If  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{+}^0(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2^{\nu}\Gamma(1+\nu)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_{\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \mathbf{u}_{-}^0(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \log(-\lambda)}{2^{\nu}\Gamma(\nu)(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_{\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) - \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \log 2}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_{\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} K_{\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{+}^0(x, \lambda, 0) &= \sqrt{x} I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \mathbf{u}_{-}^0(x, \lambda, 0) &= -\sqrt{x} K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x} \log(-\lambda) I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + (\log 2 - \gamma) \sqrt{x} I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $\mu_{-} = 0$  and  $\mu_{+} = 1$ , this is a particular instance of the first case),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{-}^0\left(x, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) = \text{ch}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \mathbf{u}_{+}^0\left(x, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \text{sh}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 9.10.** As observed in Remark B.7, the solution  $\mathbf{f}_{-}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{-}$  are not determined univocally when  $\nu > 0$ . This is not in general a problem, but produce technical difficulties in particular when the asymptotic expansions are discussed. For this reason, it is convenient to fix univocally also the minus solution. We proceed as follows. As observed in Remark B.7, if  $\mathbf{u}_{\pm}$  are solutions satisfying the normalisation in Definition 9.3, then

$$\mathbf{u}_{-} = \mathbf{u}_{-} + c\mathbf{u}_{+},$$

is again a solution of type  $-$  according to the same definition. Now let  $h_{\epsilon}(x)$  be a family of function converging smoothly to  $h_0(x) = 0$  (see the proof of Lemma 9.41). Then, the family of solutions

$$\mathbf{u}_{-}^{\epsilon} = \mathbf{u}_{-}^{\epsilon} + c\mathbf{u}_{+}^{\epsilon},$$

converge to an analytic solution

$$\mathbf{u}_{-}^0 = \mathbf{u}_{-}^0 + c\mathbf{u}_{+}^0,$$

that may be determined univocally fixing the constant  $c$  by requiring that  $\mathbf{u}_{-}^0 = \mathbf{u}_{-}^0$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_{-}^0$  is given in Remark 9.9.

Observe that, if  $\nu = 0$ , also the  $-$  solution is determined univocally in the smooth case by Remark B.3, see also Appendix B.15.

**Remark 9.11.** Occasionally, it will be more convenient to use the alternative fundamental system of solutions  $\mathbf{u}_+$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , where the last is defined as follows.

If  $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, \nu) &= 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1) \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) - \frac{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{-\nu}} \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) \\ &= \frac{\pi \nu}{\sin \pi \nu} \left( \frac{1}{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)} \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) - \frac{(-\lambda)^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) \right), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the IC

$$IC_0(\mathbf{v}) = 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1), \quad IC'_0(\mathbf{v}) = -\frac{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{-\nu}};$$

and with limit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^0(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{\pi \nu}{\sin \pi \nu} (-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sqrt{x} \left( e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \nu i} J_{-\nu}(\sqrt{\lambda x}) - e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \nu i} J_\nu(\sqrt{\lambda x}) \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi \nu}{\sin \pi \nu} (-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sqrt{x} \left( I_{-\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda x}) - I_\nu(\sqrt{-\lambda x}) \right) \\ &= \frac{2\nu}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} K_\nu(\sqrt{-\lambda x}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, \nu) = 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1) \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) - \frac{2(-1)^\nu \nu}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log(-\lambda) - \log 2 \right) \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu),$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}^0(x, \lambda, \nu) = \frac{2\nu}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} K_\nu(\sqrt{-\lambda x}).$$

If  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, 0) = -\mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, 0) - \left( \log 2 - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log(-\lambda) \right) \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, 0),$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}^0(x, \lambda, 0) = \sqrt{x} K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}).$$

**9.12. Minimal and maximal operators, boundary values and self-adjoint extensions.** The operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$  is a regular formal operator of order 2 on the interval  $(0, l]$ , according to [DS88b, XIII.1.1, pg. 1280], with  $a_2(x) = -1$ ,  $a_0(x) = q_{\nu, \alpha}(x)$ ,  $a_k(x) = 0$ ,  $k \neq 0, 2$ .

According to [DS88b, XIII.2.1, pg. 1287], the boundary matrix of  $\mathfrak{l}_\nu$  is

$$F_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the formal adjoint is

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}^\dagger = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{\nu, \alpha}(x),$$

and therefore  $\mathfrak{l}_\nu$  is formally self-adjoint or formally symmetric.

For an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let [DS88b, XIII.1.2, pg. 1280]

$$A^2(I) = \{u \in C^1(I) \mid u' \in AC_0(I)\},$$

where  $AC_0(I)$  is the set of the absolutely continuous functions over compact subsets (see also [Wei80, pg. 157]). Observe that if  $f \in A^2(I)$ , then  $u''$  exists almost everywhere and is integrable on compact subsets. Let [DS88b, XIII.2.3, pg. 1287]

$$H^2(I) = \{u \in A^2(I) \mid u, u'' \in L^2(I)\},$$

and for a given formal differential operator  $t$ :

$$H_t^2(I) = \{u \in A^2(I) \mid u, tu \in L^2(I)\}.$$

For any set of functions  $X$ , let  $X_0$  denote the subset of  $X$  of functions with compact support [DS88b, XIII.2.7, pg. 1291].

We have the following inclusions of sets:  $C_0^\infty(I) \subseteq C^\infty(I) \subseteq A^2(I)$ ,  $H^2(\bar{I}) \subseteq H_t^2(\bar{I})$  [DS88b, pg. 1288].

We have the Green formula: for any  $u \in H^2((0, l])$ ,  $v \in A^2((0, l])$ , if either  $u$  or  $v$  has compact support, then

$$\int_0^l (\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha} u)(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \int_0^l u(x) \overline{(\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}^\dagger v)(x)} dx + F_l(u, v) - F_0(u, v)$$

[DS88b, XIII.2.5, pg. 1288], where

$$F_x(u, v) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2-1} F_x^{j,k} u^j(x) v^k(x) = -u(x)v'(x) + u'(x)v(x) = -W(u, v)(x),$$

where  $W(f, g)(x)$  is called the Wronskian of the pair  $(f, g)$  at  $x$  [Wei80, pg. 262].

According to [DS88b, XIII.2.8, pg. 1291], we define the minimal and the maximal operators associated to  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_{\nu, \alpha, \min}) &= H^2((0, l]) \cap H_0^2((0, l]) = H_0^2((0, l]), \\ D(L_{\nu, \alpha, \max}) &= H_{\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}}^2((0, l]). \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

Note that we could equivalently chose  $D(L_{\nu, \alpha, \min}) = C_0^\infty((0, l])$ , as in [Wei80, pg. 160].

**Remark 9.13.** *We may find an explicit description of  $D(L_{\nu, \alpha, \max})$ . By definition if  $u$  is any function in  $A^2((0, l])$  then  $u$  and  $\mathfrak{l}u$  are square integrable on compact subsets. Thus the domain is given by those of the functions  $u$  in  $A^2((0, l])$  such that  $u$  and  $\mathfrak{l}u$  are square integrable near  $x = 0$ . Assuming that  $u(x) \sim x^a$ , then square integrability requires that  $a > \frac{3}{2}$ . However, there are other solutions, and precisely those that satisfy the equation  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha} u = \lambda u$ , with  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $u$  square integrable near  $x = 0$ . These are the  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  described in Definition 9.3. Thus,*

$$D(L_{\nu, \alpha, \max}) = \left\{ u \in A^2((0, l]) \mid u(x) \sim x^a, a > \frac{3}{2} \right\} \cup \langle \mathbf{u}_\pm \rangle.$$

Observe that  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  and  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  are unbounded densely defined operator on  $L^2(0, l)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\min})} &= \overline{H_0^2((0, l])} = L^2(0, l), \\ \overline{D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})} &= \overline{H_{\nu,\alpha}^2((0, l])} = L^2(0, l).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is regular and formally self-adjoint, it follows [DS88b, XIII.2.11, pg. 1295] that  $L_{\nu,\min} \subseteq L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$ , i.e. that  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  is symmetric [DS88b, XII.1.7, pg. 1190]. Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is formally self-adjoint, it follows that [DS88b, XIII.2.10, pg. 1294]

$$L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}^\dagger = L_{\nu,\alpha,\max},$$

i.e.  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  is the adjoint of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$ .

By [DS88b, XII.1.6(a), pg. 1189], it follows that  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  is closed. The formula for the domain in Remark 9.13 shows that  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  is not symmetric, for if it were, then it should be self-adjoint, while it is not as we may verify using equation (9.6), that shows that the boundary value does not vanishes on all the combinations of the functions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$ . Indeed, the formula in Remark 9.13 is the first von Neumann for the adjoint of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$ , that is indeed  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$ .

Moreover, all the self-adjoint extensions of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  are restrictions of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$ . We want to characterise these extensions, for we need to introduce deficiency indices and boundary values.

The deficiency indices  $d_\pm$  are two positive integer numbers or infinity, as defined in [DS88b, XII.4.9]. By [DS88b, XIII.2.14, pg. 1295], the deficiency indices of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  are  $d_+ = d_- \leq 2$ , and by [DS88b, XIII.2.24, pg. 1301] (Weyl-Kodaira)  $d_+ + d_- \geq 2$ . Hence,  $1 \leq d_\pm \leq 2$ , i.e.  $(d_+, d_-) = (1, 1)$  or  $(d_+, d_-) = (2, 2)$ .

This means that we can apply Theorem XII.4.30, pg. 1238 to affirm that all self-adjoint extensions  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  of the operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  are restrictions of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  to the subspace determined by a symmetric family of 2 linearly independent boundary conditions for  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$ .

Therefore, we determine such a set of boundary conditions, and for this a set of boundary values for  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$ . Boundary conditions and boundary values for an abstract operator are defined in XII.4.20, pg. 1234 and XII.4.25, pg. 1235 of [DS88b]. However, in the actual concrete case, a more effective definition is that in XIII.4.17, pg. 1297 of [DS88b], where boundary values and boundary conditions are defined for a formal differential operator, like  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$ . The two definition coincide in the actual case by [DS88b, XIII.2.18, pg. 1298]. Accordingly, a boundary value for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is a continuous linear functional  $BV$  on  $D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$  that vanishes on  $D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\min})$ . If  $BV(f) = 0$  for each function  $f \in D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$  which vanishes in a neighbourhood of either 0 or  $l$ , then  $BV$  is called a boundary value for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0, respectively at  $l$  (in other words, the support of  $f$  does not contains 0,  $l$ ), and we use the notation  $BV(0)$ ,  $BV(l)$ , respectively.

By [DS88b, XIII.2.19, pg. 1298], each boundary value for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is the sum of a boundary value for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0 and a boundary value for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at  $l$ , and the maximum number of independent boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at either 0 or  $l$  is 2, by [DS88b, XIII.2.22, pg. 1300].

By [DS88b, XIII.2.23, pg. 1301], a complete set of boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at  $l$  (that is a fixed end of the interval) is the set  $\{BV(l), BV'(l)\}$  of the functionals

$$\begin{aligned} BV(l)(u) &= u(l), \\ BV'(l)(u) &= u'(l), \end{aligned}$$

$u \in D(\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$ ,  $\text{supp}(u) \cap \{l\} = \emptyset$ . This shows also that  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  has two linearly independent boundary values at  $l$ .

It remains to understand the boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0. By [DS88b, XII.4.21, pg. 1234], the number of boundary values (sum of the number of boundary values at 0 and at  $l$ ) is  $n_0 + n_l = d_+ + d_-$ , and is therefore either 2 or 4. Since  $n_l = 2$ , it follows that  $n_0$  is either 0 or 2.

Since

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 q(x) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 q(x) = \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} = \begin{cases} > \frac{3}{4} & \text{for } \nu > 1, \\ < \frac{3}{4} & \text{for } \nu < 1, \end{cases}$$

we can use [DS88b, XIII.6.23, pg. 1414], to state that

$$n_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \nu > 1, \\ 2 & \text{for } \nu < 1. \end{cases}$$

For a complete answer, we need the H. Weyl criterium, that affirms that the number of boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0 is  $n_0 = 2$  if there are two linearly independent solutions of the equation  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} u = \lambda u$ , square integrable at 0, with  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , while it is  $n_0 = 0$  if there is only one of such solutions [DS88b, end pg. 1305]. Using the expansions of the solution  $u_{\pm}$  of the equation  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} u = \lambda u$  given in Theorem B.2, we see that  $u_+$  is square integrable (on  $(0, l]$  and consequently) at 0 for all  $\nu$ , while  $u_-$  is square integrable at 0 if and only if  $\nu < 1$ . More precisely, if  $\nu \neq 0$ , we are in the first case  $\mu_+ \neq \mu_-$ , so the solutions are

$$u_+(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} \varphi_+(x), \quad u_-(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} \varphi_-(x),$$

$u_+$  is square integrable for all  $\nu$ , while  $u_-$  is square integrable if and only if  $\nu < 1$ ; if  $\nu = 0$ , then  $\mu_+ = \mu_- = \frac{1}{2}$ , and then the two solutions are

$$u_+(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_+(x), \quad u_-(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_-(x) \log x,$$

that are both square integrable. In summary, we have the following table:

	$(d_+, d_-)$	$L^2$ sol. at 0	0	$L^2$ sol. at $l$	$l$	$n = n_0 + n_l$	$n_0$	$n_l$
$\nu < 1$	(2, 2)	2	LCC	2	LCC	4	2	2
$\nu \geq 1$	(1, 1)	1	LPC	2	LCC	2	0	2

where *LCC* and *LPC* means limit circle case and limit point case, respectively. Whence there are 2 boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0 when  $\nu < 1$ , and none when  $\nu \geq 1$ .

We find out the boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0 when  $\nu < 1$ .

Let  $\nu < 1$  and  $u_{\pm}$  be the two solutions of the associated differential equation (9.3) with  $\lambda = 0$ , according to the description outlined above. Fix a point  $x_0$ , with  $0 < x_0 < l$ , and let  $v_{\pm}$  be two smooth functions on  $(0, l]$  vanishing for  $x > x_0$  and equal to  $u_{\pm}$  near 0. Then,

$$(\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} v_{\pm})(x) = 0,$$

near 0, so that  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}v_{\pm}$  is square integrable on  $(0, l]$  and therefore  $v_{\pm} \in D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$ . Thus, by [DS88b, XII.4.20, pg. 1234], for all  $u \in D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$ ,

$$BV_{\nu,\pm}(0)(u) = (\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}u, v_{\pm}) - (u, \mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}v_{\pm}), \quad (9.6)$$

are boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0 (see also [DS88b, XIII.2.27, pg. 1302]). In fact, these are continuous functionals on  $D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$  that vanish on  $D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\min})$ . We may compute

$$\begin{aligned} BV_{\nu,\pm}(0)(u) &= \int_0^l \left( (\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}u)(x)\overline{v_{\pm}(x)} - u(x)\overline{(\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}v_{\pm})(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^l \left( -u''(x)v_{\pm}(x) - u(x)v_{\pm}''(x) \right) dx \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u'(x)v_{\pm}(x) - u(x)v_{\pm}'(x) \right) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} W(v_{\pm}, u)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u \in D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max})$ , and therefore we have the following two boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0:

$$\begin{aligned} BV_{\nu,+}(0)(u) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} W(v_+, u)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left( v_+(x)u'(x) - v_+'(x)u(x) \right), \\ BV_{\nu,-}(0)(u) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} W(v_-, u)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left( v_-(x)u'(x) - v_-'(x)u(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 9.14.** *The intrinsic meaning of this construction is the following: equation (9.6) is the obstruction for  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$  to be self-adjoint, i.e. the restriction of the its domain to the subspace of the functions that satisfy the boundary condition makes the boundary value to vanish and therefore defines the domain of the adjoint  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}^\dagger$ , that consequently is a restriction of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}$ .*

We compute the boundary values on the solutions:

(1) if  $\nu \neq 0$  ( $\nu < 1$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} BV_{\nu,+}(0)(v_+) &= 0, & BV_{\nu,+}(0)(v_-) &= -2\nu\varphi_+(0)\varphi_-(0) = -2\nu, \\ BV_{\nu,-}(0)(v_+) &= 2\nu\varphi_+(0)\varphi_-(0) = 2\nu, & BV_{\nu,-}(0)(v_-) &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

(2) if  $\nu = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} BV_{\nu,+}(0)(v_+) &= 0, & BV_{\nu,+}(0)(v_-) &= \varphi_+^2(0) = 1, \\ BV_{\nu,-}(0)(v_+) &= -\varphi_+^2(0) = -1, & BV_{\nu,-}(0)(v_-) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that these boundary values are independent and therefore determine a complete system of boundary values for  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  at 0.

We have proved the following theorem, where boundary conditions are defined according to [DS88b, XIII.2.29, pg. 1305] [Wei80, 8.29].

**Theorem 9.15.** *The self-adjoint extensions  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  of the minimal operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  associated to the formal differential operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(0, l)$  are:*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\nu,\alpha}u &= \mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}u, \\ D(L_{\nu,\alpha}) &= \{u \in D(L_{\nu,\alpha,\max}) \mid BC_\nu(0)(u) = BC(l)(u) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} BC_\nu(0)(u) : & \quad \begin{cases} \beta_+ BV_{\nu,+}(0)(u) + \beta_- BV_{\nu,-}(0)(u) = 0, & \text{if } \nu < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ BC(l)(u) : & \quad \beta BV(l)(u) + \beta' BV'(l)(u) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for real  $\beta_\pm, \beta, \beta'$ , with  $\beta_+^2 + \beta_-^2 = \beta^2 + \beta'^2 = 1$ . We denote these operators by  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_\pm}$ . We denote by  $S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_\pm} = TL_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_\pm}T^{-1}$  the corresponding extensions of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha} = T\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}T^{-1}$ .

Proceeding exactly in the same way, we may consider the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  acting on the space  $L^2(a,b)$ , with  $a > 0$ . Due to our hypothesis on  $q_{\nu,\alpha}$  in this case we have a regular operator, so we immediately have the following characterisation if its self-adjoint extensions.

**Theorem 9.16.** *The self-adjoint extensions  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  of the minimal operator  $R_{\nu,\alpha,\min}$  associated to the formal differential operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(a,b)$ ,  $a > 0$ , are:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nu,\alpha}u &= \mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}u, \\ D(R_{\nu,\alpha}) &= \{u \in D(R_{\nu,\alpha,\max}) \mid BC(a)(u) = BC(b)(u) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} BC(a)(u) : & \quad \alpha BV(a)(u) + \alpha' BV'(a)(u) = 0, \\ BC(b)(u) : & \quad \beta BV(b)(u) + \beta' BV'(b)(u) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for real  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'$ , with  $\alpha^2 + \alpha'^2 = \beta^2 + \beta'^2 = 1$ .

**Definition 9.17.** *We consider now some particular self-adjoint extensions of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  on  $L^2(0,l)$  determined by the possible different combinations of the following boundary conditions at  $x = 0$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} BC_{\nu,+}(0)(u) : & \quad BV_{\nu,+}(0)(u) = 0, \\ BC_{\nu,-}(0)(u) : & \quad BV_{\nu,-}(0)(u) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and at  $x = l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} BC_{\text{abs}}(l)(u) : & \quad \left(h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}u\right)'(l) = 0, \\ BC_{\text{rel}}(l)(u) : & \quad u(l) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu < 1$ , we denote by  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},\pm}$  the operator defined by the boundary condition  $BC_{\text{abs}}(l)$  and  $BC_\pm(0)$ . If  $\nu \geq 1$ , we denote by  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}}$  the operator defined by the boundary condition  $BC_{\text{abs}}(l)$ . Similarly in the relative case.

Moreover, we consider the self-adjoint extension  $R_{\nu,\alpha} = R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu}$  on  $L^2(a,b)$ ,  $0 < a < b$ , determined by following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} BC_{\text{rel}}(a)(u) : & \quad u(a) = 0, \\ BC_{\text{abs}}(b)(u) : & \quad \left(h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}u\right)'(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

We will write  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  meaning any one of the operator defined above on  $L^2(0,l)$ .

**Remark 9.18.** *Observe that the fundamental solution  $u_{\pm}$  belongs to the domain of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},\pm}$  but not to that of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},\mp}$ . Both the fundamental solutions belong to the domain of  $R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ , while only  $u_+$  belongs to the domain of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ . This follows immediately by the definition of the domain and the calculations of the boundary values on the solutions given above.*

**9.19. Spectrum, kernel and spectral functions.** Our next aim is to describe the spectrum of the operators introduced in Definition 9.17. More precisely, we will tackle only the operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+}$ ,  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  and  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$  if  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Analogous results for the operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$  would require more sophisticated tools and are not necessary for the applications we have in mind in the present work.

Before giving the explicit results, we recall some information on the solutions of the main differential equation in the case  $\nu = |\alpha|$ . In such a case, the main equation reads

$$f'' + (1 - 2\alpha)\frac{h'}{h}f' = \lambda f,$$

with exponents  $s_{\pm} = 0, 2\alpha$ , and  $f_{\pm}(x) = x^{\alpha \pm |\alpha|}\psi_{\pm}(x)$ , so if  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$f_+(x) = x^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x), \quad f_-(x) = \psi_-(x);$$

if  $\alpha < 0$ :

$$f_+(x) = \psi_+(x), \quad f_-(x) = x^{2\alpha}\psi_-(x);$$

if  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$f_+(x) = \psi_+(x), \quad f_-(x) = \psi_-(x) \log x.$$

**Lemma 9.20.** *The operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+}$ ,  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  and  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$  if  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , are bounded below by zero.*

*Proof.* Note that

$$-h^{2\alpha-1} (h^{1-2\alpha} f')' = -f'' - (1 - 2\alpha)\frac{h'}{h}f'.$$

Let  $S$  denote the operator corresponding to one of the operators in the statement under the transformation  $T$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, f \rangle &= \int_a^b (h^{1-2\alpha} \mathfrak{s}_{\nu,\alpha}(f)\bar{f})(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \left( h^{1-2\alpha} \left( -f'' - (1 - 2\alpha)\frac{h'}{h}f' - \frac{\alpha^2 - \nu^2}{h^2}f \right) \bar{f} \right) (x) dx \\ &= - \int_a^b \left( (h^{1-2\alpha} f')' \bar{f} \right) (x) dx + (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \int_a^b \left( h^{1-2\alpha} \frac{|f|^2}{h^2} \right) (x) dx \\ &= - [h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f}]_a^b + \int_a^b (h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f}') (x) dx + (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \int_a^b \left( h^{1-2\alpha} \frac{|f|^2}{h^2} \right) (x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where either  $a = 0$  and  $b = l$  for the operators  $L$  or  $a > 0$  for the operator  $R$ .

Next, assume that  $f$  is an eigenfunction of  $S$ . Then,

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(b) = 0,$$

since  $f'(b) = 0$  with absolute bc, while  $f(b) = 0$  with relative bc.

The analysis for the point  $a$  requires more work. We distinguish the different cases. The easiest case of course is if  $S$  corresponds to  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$ , and  $a > 0$ , since then

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(a) = 0,$$

because  $f'(a) = 0$  with absolute bc, while  $f(a) = 0$  with relative bc.

Next, consider the case where operator  $S$  corresponds to  $L = L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$  or  $L = L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+}$ , and  $a = 0$ . In both cases,  $f$  is a multiple of  $f_+$ . In the first, since  $f_+$  is the unique square integrable solution (recall  $\nu \geq 1$ ), and in the second by Remark 9.18. Whence, near  $x = 0$ ,

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(x) \sim x^{1-2\alpha} x^{\alpha+\nu-1} x^{\alpha+\nu} = x^{2\nu},$$

and therefore

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(0) = 0.$$

This works also for the last case, namely when  $S$  corresponds to  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $a = 0$ . For in this case  $f$  is a multiple of  $f_-$  by Remark 9.18. Whence, if  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(x) = x^{1-2\alpha} H^{1-2\alpha} \psi'_-(x) \psi_-(x),$$

since  $\nu = \alpha$ , and therefore

$$(h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f})(0) = 0.$$

If  $\nu = \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , we reduces to the regular case, and therefore either  $f$  or  $f'$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  by the boundary condition there.

Thus, in all cases

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \lambda |f|^2 = \int_a^b (h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f}') (x) dx + (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \int_a^b \left( h^{1-2\alpha} \frac{|f|^2}{h^2} \right) (x) dx,$$

is the sum of two non negative quantities, and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.21.** *The operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+}$ ,  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  and  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$  if  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  are non negative.*

**Lemma 9.22.** *If  $\nu > 0$ , the operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},\pm}$  are bounded below and their essential spectrum is void.*

*Proof.* This follows by [DS88b] XIII.10.C25, since

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |x^2 q_\nu(x)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |x^2 q(x)| = \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 9.23.** *The operator  $L_\nu = L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},\pm}$  (excluding the case  $L_{0,0,\text{bc},-}$ ) has a pure point non negative real spectrum, with unique accumulation point at infinity and all the eigenvalues are simple.*

*Proof.* We have just seen that  $L_\nu$  is bounded below zero, either by Lemma 9.18 of Lemma 9.22. Since  $L_\nu$  is self-adjoint, the spectrum is real. Since it is closed, it is easy to see that the continuum spectrum is contained in the essential spectrum. The last is void by [DS88b, XIII.7.17, pg. 1449]. More precisely, by point (a) when  $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ , since then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} q_{\nu,\alpha}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2} = +\infty;$$

by point (b) when  $\nu < 1$ , since then  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |x^2 q_{\nu, \alpha}(x)| < \frac{3}{4}$ . The residual spectrum is void by [Yos95] XI.8.1. It follows that the spectrum is real pure point. Since  $L_\nu$  is bounded below, by [DS88b] XIII.7.50, the eigenvalues have unique accumulation point at  $+\infty$ , and are all simple (see also [Wei80] 8.29).  $\square$

**Lemma 9.24.** *The operator  $R_{\nu, \alpha, bc}$  has a pure point bounded below real spectrum, with unique accumulation point at infinity, and all the eigenvalues are simple.*

*Proof.* The spectrum is real pure point with unique accumulation point at infinity by the spectral theorem for compact resolvent [DS88b, XIII.4.2, pg. 1331], since the resolvent is compact for non real  $\lambda$  by [DS88b, XIII.4.1(1), pg. 1330], because the interval is compact.  $\square$

Whence, spectrum of  $L$  and  $R$ , denoted by  $\text{Sp}(L)$ , and  $\text{Sp}(R)$ , respectively, is an infinite set of non negative different real numbers and with unique accumulation point at infinite.

**Remark 9.25.** *The formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu, \alpha}$  reduces to the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_\nu^0$  when  $h(x) = x$ . We may reduce accordingly the domains of the operators  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc}$ ,  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc, \pm}$  and  $R_{\nu, \alpha, bc}$  in the case  $h(x) = x$ , and in this way we obtain the flat version of these concrete operators, denoted by  $L_{\nu, bc}^0$ ,  $L_{\nu, bc, \pm}^0$  and  $R_{\nu, bc}^0$ . It is clear enough that the results proved for the general case hold true for the flat case.*

**Lemma 9.26.** *Let  $\lambda_n$  be in the spectrum of either of  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc}$ ,  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc, +}$ ,  $R_{\nu, \alpha}$  or  $L_{\alpha, \alpha, bc, -}$ , and  $\lambda_n^0$  denote the corresponding eigenvalue of the corresponding flat operator, then*

$$|\lambda_{\nu, n} - \lambda_{\nu, n}^0| \leq M,$$

for all  $n$  and some positive constant  $M$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity denote by  $L$  and  $L^0$  the general and the flat operators. Let  $u_n$  be the eigenvector of  $L$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ , and  $u_n^0$  be the eigenvector of  $L^0$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n^0$ .

Then,

$$\lambda_n \langle u_n^0, u_n \rangle = \langle u_n^0, Lu_n \rangle = \langle L^0 u_n^0, u_n \rangle + \langle u_n^0, ru_n \rangle,$$

where (see equation (9.2))

$$\begin{aligned} r(x) &= q_{\nu, \alpha}(x) - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2} \\ &= (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \frac{1 - H^2(x)}{x^2 H^2(x)} - \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{H''(x)}{H(x)} \\ &\quad + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{H'^2(x)}{H^2(x)} + 2 \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} x. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the operator  $R$ ,  $\langle u_n^0, Pu_n \rangle$  is finite, and the result follows immediately. For the operator  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc}$ ,  $L_{\nu, \alpha, bc, +}$ , if  $\nu \neq |\alpha|$ , by our assumption, near  $x = 0$

$$r(x) \sim x^{\epsilon-2},$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . On the other side,

$$u_n(x) \sim u_n^0(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \log x,$$

and hence

$$(ru_n^0, u_n) = \int_0^l r(x)u_n^0(x)u_n(x)dx,$$

is finite, and the result follows. For the operator  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$ , near  $x = 0$

$$r(x) = O(1),$$

and

$$u_n(x) \sim u_n^0(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \log x,$$

so that

$$r(x)u_n^0(x)u_n(x) \sim x^{1-2\alpha} \log^2 x.$$

Since  $\alpha = \nu < 1$  in this case, again the integral is finite, and this completes the proof.  $\square$

Since the sequences  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}^0)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},\pm}^0)$  and  $\text{Sp}(R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}^0)$  have order  $\frac{1}{2}$  [Spr06], we have the following fact.

**Corollary 9.27.** *The sequences  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}})$ ,  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+})$ ,  $\text{Sp}(R_{\nu,\alpha})$  and  $\text{Sp}(L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-})$ , have order  $\frac{1}{2}$  and genus 0.*

Next, we want to characterise the kernels of the relevant operators.

**Lemma 9.28.** *The kernel of the operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc}}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{bc},+}$ , and  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{bc},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}} &= \begin{cases} \langle 0 \rangle, & \alpha \neq -\nu, \\ \langle h^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} \rangle, & \alpha = -\nu \end{cases} \\ \ker L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel}} &= \langle 0 \rangle, \\ \ker L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+} &= \begin{cases} \langle 0 \rangle, & \alpha \neq -\nu, \\ \langle h^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} \rangle, & \alpha = -\nu \end{cases} \\ \ker L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel},+} &= \langle 0 \rangle, \\ \ker L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{abs},-} &= \langle h^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} \rangle, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \ker L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{rel},-} &= \langle 0 \rangle, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Starting with the last formula in the proof of Lemma 9.18, if  $f$  is an harmonic

$$0 = \langle Sf, f \rangle = \int_a^b (h^{1-2\alpha} f' \bar{f}') (x) dx + (\nu^2 - \alpha^2) \int_a^b \left( h^{1-2\alpha} \frac{|f|^2}{h^2} \right) (x) dx,$$

and therefore  $\nu = |\alpha|$  and  $f' = 0$ . This means that the kernel of all the operators is trivial if  $\nu \neq |\alpha|$ , and is generated by the possible constant solutions of the harmonic equation. Since this solution must belong to  $L^2(h^{1-2\alpha} dx)$ , it follows that the kernel is trivial if  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

Assume now that  $\nu = |\alpha|$ . The solutions of the harmonic equation when  $\nu = |\alpha|$  have been explicitly described in Remark 9.6. We need to identify what among these solutions belong to the domain of the relevant operator.

For  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}}$  or  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}$ , if  $\alpha = \nu > 0$ , the constant function corresponds to the minus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_-$ , and therefore is not in the domain, because it is not in  $L^2(h^{1-2\alpha}dx)$  in the first case, and does not satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  in the second case. It follows that the kernel is trivial. If  $-\alpha = \nu > 0$ , the constant function is the plus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_+$ , that is in the domain since it is in  $L^2(h^{1-2\alpha}dx)$ , it satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , and the boundary condition at  $x = l$ ; therefore, the kernel is generated by  $\mathfrak{u}_+$ .

For  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel}}$  and  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel},+}$ , if  $\alpha = \nu > 0$ , the constant function correspond to the minus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_-$ , and therefore is not in the domain, because it is not in  $L^2(h^{1-2\alpha}dx)$  in the first case, and does not satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  in the second case. It follows that the kernel is trivial. If  $-\alpha = \nu > 0$ , the constant function is the plus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_+$ , that is in  $L^2(h^{1-2\alpha}dx)$ , satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , but does not satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = l$ , and therefore the kernel is trivial.

For  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{abs},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , necessarily  $\alpha = \nu > 0$ , so that the constant function corresponds to the minus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_-$ , and satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , and that at  $x = l$ ; moreover it is square integrable since  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and therefore the kernel is generated by  $\mathfrak{u}_-$ .

For  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{rel},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , necessarily  $\alpha = \nu > 0$ , so that the constant function corresponds to the minus solution  $\mathfrak{f}_-$ , and satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , but does not satisfy that at  $x = l$ , so the kernel is trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.29.** *The kernel of the operators  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 9.29, the constant function satisfy the absolute boundary condition at  $x = b$  but not the relative boundary condition at  $x = a$ .  $\square$

**Remark 9.30.** *Note that in the singular case with  $\nu < 1$ , the deficiency indices of  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  are equal to the rank of the operator, therefore the resolvent is compact by [DS88b, XIII.4.1, pg. 1330]. It follows that in that case we may apply the spectral theorem for compact resolvent to prove the result on the spectrum as in the case of the operator  $R$  in Proposition 9.24 [DS88b, XIII.4.2, pg. 1330].*

### 9.31. Resolvent.

**Proposition 9.32.** *Let  $S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  be any of the self-adjoint extensions of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{s}_{\nu,\alpha}$  described in Theorem 9.15. Then, the resolvent  $(\lambda I - S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}})^{-1}$  is an integral operator with kernel*

$$k(x, y) = \frac{1}{h(x)^{1-2\alpha}W(\mathfrak{f}_+, \mathfrak{f}_-)} h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(x)h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(y) \begin{cases} \mathfrak{f}_{\beta,\beta'}(x)\mathfrak{f}_{\beta_{\pm}}(y), & x \geq y, \\ \mathfrak{f}_{\beta_{\pm}}(x)\mathfrak{f}_{\beta,\beta'}(y), & x < y, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathfrak{f}_{\beta,\beta'}$  is the either (unique) solution of the equation  $s_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}f = \lambda f$  satisfying the boundary condition for  $S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  at  $x = l$  if we have the LCC at  $x = l$ , or the (unique) square integrable solution  $\mathfrak{f}_{\beta,\beta'}$  of of the equation  $s_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}f = \lambda f$  if we have the LPC at  $x = l$ , and  $\mathfrak{f}_{\beta_{\pm}}$  is the either (unique) solution of the equation  $s_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}f = \lambda f$  satisfying the boundary condition for  $S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  at  $x = 0$  if we have the LCC at  $x = 0$ , or the (unique) square integrable solution  $\mathfrak{f}_{\beta_{\pm}}$  of of the equation  $s_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}f = \lambda f$  if we have the LPC at  $x = 0$ . The kernel of the operator  $S_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  may be obtained replacing the solutions  $\mathfrak{f}$  by the solutions  $\mathfrak{u}$ .

*Proof.* The construction of the kernel may be found in [DS88b, XIII.3]. Alternatively, using [Wei80, 8.29], with  $p = r = h^{1-2\alpha}$ ,  $q(x) = \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h^2(x)}$ , then

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\nu,\alpha}f = -\frac{1}{r}(pf')' + qf.$$

□

**Corollary 9.33.** *Let  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  be any of the self-adjoint extensions of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  described in Theorem 9.15. Then,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  has compact resolvent.*

*Proof.* The resolvent is an integral operator by the Theorem. So for it to be compact it is sufficient to verify that it is square integrable on  $(0, l) \times (0, l)$  (see for example [DS88b, pg. 1331]). Observe that  $h(x)^{1-2\alpha}W(\mathfrak{f}_+, \mathfrak{f}_-)$  is constant and we denoted this number by  $c$ . Then we calculate

$$c^2 \int_0^l \int_0^l |k(x, y)|^2 dx dy = \int_0^l |\mathbf{u}_{\beta,\beta'}(x)|^2 \int_0^x |\mathbf{u}_{\beta_{\pm}}(y)|^2 dy dx + \int_0^l |\mathbf{u}_{\beta_{\pm}}(x)|^2 \int_x^l |\mathbf{u}_{\beta,\beta'}(y)|^2 dy.$$

These functions are smooth, so problems appear only near  $x = 0$ , and there the solutions  $\mathbf{u}_{\beta,\beta'}$  are multiple of  $\mathbf{u}_{\beta_{\pm}}$ . Near  $x = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{\beta_{\pm}}(x) = \beta_+ x^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} + \beta_- x^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu}$ . So near zero

$$\int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon |k(x, y)|^2 dx dy = \text{const} \int_0^\epsilon x^2 dx < \infty.$$

□

**Corollary 9.34.** *Let  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  be any of the self-adjoint extensions of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  described in Theorem 9.15. Then,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  has compact resolvent.*

**Corollary 9.35.** *Let  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  be any of the self-adjoint extensions of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$  described in Theorem 9.15. Then, there exists a spectral resolution of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$ , i.e. the spectrum  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}})$  of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  is real and pure point, i.e. coincides with the set of the eigenvalues, and discrete, i.e. the unique point of accumulation is infinite, and all eigenspaces have finite dimension. We write  $\text{Sp}(L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}) = \{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . All the eigenvalues are simple. All eigenfunctions are smooth, and the set of the corresponding eigenfunction  $\varphi_{\lambda_n}$  is a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(0, l)$ .*

*Proof.* See [DS88b, XIII.4.2, XIII.4.3]. See [Wei80, 8.29] for simplicity of eigenvalues. See also [Zet05, 10.6.1] for the case where one point is LCC. □

**Remark 9.36.** *Note that the kernel of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}}$  is continuous, and therefore we may use [RS79, Lemma pg. 65] to compute the trace of the resolvent, namely*

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda I - L_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,\beta',\beta_{\pm}})^{-1} = \int_0^l k(x, x) dx.$$

**9.37. Other spectral functions.** Our last point in this section is to introduce the main spectral functions associated to the operators  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}}$ ,  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}$ , and  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  any sector contained in  $\mathbb{C} - \text{Sp}_+(L)$  (where  $L$  is any of the above operators), and  $\Lambda$  the boundary of  $\Sigma$ . We assume the variable  $\lambda$  always restricted in  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $-\lambda$  the complex variable in  $\Sigma$  with  $\arg(-\lambda) = 0$  on the negative part of the real axes contained in  $\Sigma$ . With this convention, if  $z = \sqrt{\lambda}$ , then  $iz = -\sqrt{-\lambda}$ .

The eigenvalues of  $L = L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}}$  and  $L = L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}$  may be characterised as follows. If  $Lu = \lambda u$ , then  $u$  is a solution of equation  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}u = \lambda u$  that belongs to  $D(L)$ . If  $\nu \geq 1$ , the unique solution that is square integrable is  $\mathbf{u}_+$ , that satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , thus for this solution to belong to the domain of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs}}$  it is necessary and sufficient that it satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = l$ . If  $\nu < 1$ , there are two square integrable solutions,  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$ , but only  $\mathbf{u}_+$  satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , whence again for this solution to belong to the domain of  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}$  it is necessary and sufficient that it satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = l$ . Whence, the eigenvalues of the operator  $L$  are the zeros of the function

$$B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, \lambda) = \left( h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) \right)'(l),$$

as a function of  $\lambda$ . Since the solutions are analytic in  $\lambda$ ,  $B$  is an entire function. By Lemma 9.27,  $B$  has order  $\frac{1}{2}$ , thus, we have the factorisation

$$B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, \lambda) = B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, 0) \lambda^{\dim \ker L} \prod_{\lambda_n \in \text{Sp}_0(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+})} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right),$$

where (recall that  $\dim \ker L$  is either 0 or 1)

$$B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, 0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\dim \ker L}}.$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(-\lambda, L) &= \log \Gamma(-\lambda, \text{Sp}_0(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+})) \\ &= -\log \prod_{\lambda_n \in \text{Sp}_0(L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+})} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right) \\ &= \log B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, 0) + \dim \ker L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+} \ln \lambda \\ &\quad - \log B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}(l, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

The Gamma function for the operators  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel}}$  and  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel},+}$  is the same but with the function

$$B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel},+}(l, \lambda) = \mathbf{u}_+(l, \lambda, \nu)(l).$$

Next, consider the operator  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{abs},-}$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . The analysis is the same as for  $L_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{abs},+}$ , up to the fact that the solution satisfying the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  is now  $\mathbf{u}_-$  instead that  $\mathbf{u}_+$ . This gives the following function  $B$ :

$$B_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{abs},-}(l, \lambda) = \left( h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \alpha) \right)'(l).$$

Similarly, for the operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{rel},-}$ , we have

$$B_{\alpha,\alpha,\text{rel},-}(l, \lambda) = \mathbf{u}_-(l, \lambda, \alpha).$$

We conclude with the operator  $R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}$ . A similar analysis shows that the eigenvalues of  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  are the zeros of the function

$$A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, \lambda) = \mathbf{u}_+(a, \lambda, \nu) \left( h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) \right)' \Big|_{x=b} \\ - \mathbf{u}_-(a, \lambda, \nu) \left( h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) \right)' \Big|_{x=b},$$

as a function of  $\lambda$ . Since the solutions are analytic in  $\lambda$ ,  $A_\nu$  is an entire function. By Corollary 9.27,  $A_\nu$  has order  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and by Lemma 9.29,  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  has trivial kernel, thus, we have the factorisation

$$A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, \lambda) = A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, 0) \prod_{\lambda_n \in \text{Sp}(R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}})} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(-\lambda, R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}) &= \log \Gamma(-\lambda, \text{Sp}_0(R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}})) \\ &= -\log \prod_{\lambda_n \in \text{Sp}_0(R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}})} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right) \\ &= \log A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, 0) - \log A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

### 9.38. Asymptotic expansions of the solutions of the fundamental system.

In this section we provide the asymptotic expansions of the solution in the fundamental system of solution of equation (9.3), first for large values of  $\lambda$  and second for large values of  $\nu$ . Note that these solutions are normalised as in Definition 9.3. The proof are given using classical method of asymptotic analysis, and a new approach consisting in introducing a perturbation of the flat case, main reference is Olver [Olv97] (see also [Mur84] and [Mar11]).

**Lemma 9.39.** *The equation*

$$v''(x) + \left( z^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2(x)} - p(x) \right) v(x) = 0,$$

has two linearly independent solutions  $v_\pm(x, z)$ , that for large  $z$  (in the suitable sector) have the following asymptotic expansions

$$v_\mp(x, z, \nu) = C_\mp e^{\pm izx} \left( 1 + \left( \mp \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} - \nu^2 \right) \int \frac{1}{h^2(x)} \pm \frac{i}{2} \int p(x) dx \right) \frac{1}{z} + \dots \right).$$

uniform in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ . The coefficients are functions of  $\nu^2$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $z$  large in module in some suitable sector of the complex plane, and write

$$v(x, z, \nu) = e^{zw_0(x,\nu)+w_1(x,\nu)+z^{-1}w_2(x,\nu)+\dots} = e^{F(x,z,\nu)},$$

asymptotically, where the coefficients  $w_j$  are smooth in  $x$  for  $x \in (0, l]$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x, z, \nu) &= F'(x, z, \nu)e^{F(x, z, \nu)}, \\ v''(x, z, \nu) &= (F'(x, z, \nu))^2 e^{F(x, z, \nu)} + F''(x, z, \nu)e^{F(x, z, \nu)}, \end{aligned}$$

Substitution gives

$$F'' + F'^2 + z^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2} - p = 0,$$

i.e.

$$zw_0'' + w_1'' + z^{-1}w_2'' + \dots + (zw_0' + w_1' + z^{-1}w_2' + \dots)^2 + z^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2} - p = 0.$$

We proceed considering the coefficients of the powers of  $z$ . For  $z^2$ , we have

$$w_0''^2 + 1 = 0,$$

that gives:

$$w_{0\pm}(x, \nu) = \pm ix,$$

up to an additive constant. Observe that this constant will give a multiplicative constant in the final expansion. Next, the term in  $z$  is:

$$w_0'' + 2w_0'w_1' = 0,$$

that gives

$$w_1'(x, \nu) = 0, \quad w_1(x, \nu) = 0,$$

up to an additive constant, that again will give a multiplicative constant in the final expansion. For this reason, we will not consider the single additive constants, but we collect them all in a unique multiplicative one in the final formula. The constant term is:

$$w_1'' + w_1'^2 + 2w_0'w_2' = \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2} + p,$$

that gives

$$\begin{aligned} w_{2\pm}'(x, \nu) &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) \\ w_{2\pm}(x, \nu) &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since we are collecting the constants. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mp}(x, z, \nu) &\sim e^{\pm i x z \mp \frac{i}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{z} + \dots} \\ &\sim e^{\pm i x z} \left( 1 \mp \frac{i}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{z} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

It remains to fix the values of the constants. This is easy enough in the regular case  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , but requires a little more work for general  $\nu$ . We present a separate proof for the case  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , to be superseded by the following one given for any  $\nu$  (in the allowed range).

**Lemma 9.40.** *The solutions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  in the fundamental system of solutions of equation (9.3), normalised as in Definition 9.3, and with  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , have the following expansions for large  $\lambda$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $x$  for  $x \in [0, l]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , the expansion for large  $\lambda$  is uniform in  $x$  for small  $x$ , so we may impose the initial conditions described in Remark 9.7 in order to deduce the missing multiplicative constants. Let

$$v(x) = c_+ v_+(x) + c_- v_-(x),$$

be the general solution for large  $\lambda$ . Then, imposing  $IC_0$ , we obtain the equations

$$c_+ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) + c_- \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_+ \left( \sqrt{-\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) + \left( 0 - \frac{1}{2} p(0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right) \\ + c_- \left( -\sqrt{-\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) + \left( 0 + \frac{1}{2} p(0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

equating the terms of order 0 in the first equation, and those of order  $\sqrt{-\lambda}$  in the second gives  $c_- = c_+ = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{u}_- = \frac{1}{2}(v_+ + v_-)$ , and this gives the expansion of  $u_-$ . Next, for  $u_+$ , we impose  $IC'_0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.41.** *The solutions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  in the fundamental system of solutions of equation (9.3), and their combination  $\mathbf{v}$ , normalised as in Definition 9.3, have the following expansions for large  $\lambda$ , uniformly in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ . If  $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, \nu) \\
&= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\
& \mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) \\
&= \frac{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu + \frac{1}{2})}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\
& \mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, \nu) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\nu}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu + \frac{1}{2})}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2(-1)^\nu \nu \left( \frac{1}{2} \log(-\lambda) - \log 2 \right)}{\sqrt{2\pi} 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1) (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1) (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu + \frac{1}{2})}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, 0) &= \frac{e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right), \\
\mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, 0) &= \frac{\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right), \\
\mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, 0) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To compute the constants for any  $\nu$  we consider a perturbation of the equation. More precisely, consider the equation

$$v''(x) + \left( \lambda - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h_\epsilon^2(x)} - p_\epsilon(x) \right) v(x) = 0, \tag{9.9}$$

where  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $h_\epsilon$  is a smooth family of smooth functions on  $[0, l]$ , satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h_\epsilon(x)}{x} = 1,$$

uniformly in  $\epsilon$ , and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} h_\epsilon(x) = x,$$

uniformly in  $x$ , and  $p_\epsilon$  is smooth family of smooth functions on  $(0, l]$ , satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 p_\epsilon(x) = 0,$$

uniformly in  $\epsilon$ . Thus the flat case corresponds to  $\epsilon = 0$ . This may be obtained for example taking  $h_\epsilon(x) = xH(1 + \epsilon x^\epsilon)$ . In this setting, and reviewing the proof of Lemma 9.39, we observe two things. First, that the coefficient of the leading term namely the one appearing in the determination of the function  $\omega_0$  does not depend on  $\epsilon$ . Only the coefficients appearing in the following terms do depend on  $\epsilon$ , and second, that these other coefficients are smooth in  $\epsilon$ . This means that we may consider one multiplicative constant that does not depend on  $\epsilon$ , and additive constant in each term in the expansion that has a (negative) power of  $\lambda$ . Therefore, we may determine the multiplicative constant taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  in the expansion of the solution and requiring that it coincides with the expansion of the explicit solution given for  $\epsilon = 0$ , i.e. for the flat case, in Remark 9.9. The last can be found using the known expansion for the Bessel functions. We give details for the case where  $\nu$  is not an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\pm^0(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2^{\pm\nu}\Gamma(\pm\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\pm\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_{\pm\nu}(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) \\ &= \frac{2^{\pm\nu}\Gamma(\pm\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\pm\nu}{2}}} \left( \frac{e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{-\lambda}}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}x}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{-\lambda}}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_\epsilon(x) &= c_+ v_+(x, \lambda, \epsilon) + c_- v_-(x, \lambda, \epsilon) \\ &= c_+ e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) + c_- e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

be the expansion of the general solution of equation (9.9), then imposing

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} v_\epsilon(x) = u_{\epsilon,+}(x, \lambda, \nu),$$

gives

$$c_+ = c_- = \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi} (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}},$$

while imposing

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} v_\epsilon(x) = u_{\epsilon,-}(x, \lambda, \nu),$$

gives

$$c_+ = c_- = \frac{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi} (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu + \frac{1}{2})}}.$$

If  $\nu = 0$ , consider

$$\mathbf{v}(x, \lambda, 0) = -\mathbf{u}_-(x, \lambda, 0) + (\gamma - \log 2 + \log \sqrt{-\lambda})\mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda, 0);$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_+^0(x, \lambda, 0) &= \sqrt{x}I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \mathbf{u}_-^0(x, \lambda, 0) &= -\sqrt{x}K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + \left(\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}\right) \sqrt{x}I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \mathbf{v}^0(x, \lambda, 0) &= \sqrt{x}K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \end{aligned}$$

and we use the same strategy as above to obtain the expansions.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.42.** *The derivative of the solutions  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  in the fundamental system of solutions of equation (9.3) and that of the function  $\mathbf{v}$ , normalised as in Definition 9.3, have the following expansions for large  $\lambda$ , uniformly in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ .*

If  $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}'_+(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{u}'_-(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{v}'(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\nu}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}'_-(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{2(-1)^\nu \nu \left( \frac{1}{2} \log(-\lambda) - \log 2 \right)}{\sqrt{2\pi} 2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu) (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\nu}{2^{|\alpha|} \Gamma(\nu + 1) (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{v}'(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\nu}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(-\nu - \frac{1}{2})}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}'_+(x, \lambda, 0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \left( e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left( -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2(x)} + p(x) \right) dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} + \dots \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{u}'_-(x, \lambda, 0) &= \frac{\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right), \\ \mathbf{v}'(x, \lambda, 0) &= -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-x\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* These expansion are obtained by taking the derivative of the ones given in Lemma 9.41.  $\square$

Next, we study the asymptotic expansions for large  $\nu$ .

**Lemma 9.43.** *The equation*

$$w''(x) + \left( z^2 \nu^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h(x)^2} - p(x) \right) w(x) = 0, \quad (9.10)$$

has two linearly independent solutions  $w_{\pm}(x, z, \nu)$ , that for large  $\nu$  have the following asymptotic expansions

$$w_{\pm}(x, z, \nu) = \frac{C_{\pm}}{\left( \frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\pm \nu \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2} dx} \left( \sum_{j=0}^m U_j(x, z) (\pm \nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^m}\right) \right).$$

uniformly in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ , analytic and uniform in  $z$ , for  $z \in \Sigma_{\theta, c} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - c)| > \frac{\theta}{2}\}$ ,  $c, \theta > 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed as in Chapter 10 of [Olv97]. Also, as in the proof of Lemma 9.39, we collect the constants in one undetermined multiplicative constant. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, z) &= \frac{1}{h(x)^2} - z^2, \\ g(x) &= p(x) - \frac{1}{4h(x)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and with the change of variable

$$t = t(x) = \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{h(x)^2} - z^2} dx,$$

the equation

$$w''(x) + \left( z^2 \nu^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h(x)^2} - p(x) \right) w(x) = w''(x) - (\nu^2 f(x, z) + g(x)) w(x) = 0,$$

becomes

$$W'' + (\nu^2 + \psi)W = 0,$$

where

$$w(x, z, \nu) = f^{-\frac{1}{4}}(x, z)W(t(x), z, \nu),$$

and

$$\psi(t, z) = \frac{f(x(t), z, \epsilon)}{g(x(t))} - \frac{1}{f^{\frac{3}{4}}(x(t), z)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f^{-\frac{1}{4}}(x, z) \Big|_{x=x(t)}.$$

Considering series solutions

$$W(t, z, \pm\nu) = e^{\pm\nu t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j(t, z)(\pm\nu)^{-j},$$

we obtain  $U_0 = 1$ , and the relation

$$U_{j+1}(x, z) = -\frac{1}{2} f^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x, z, \epsilon) U_j'(x, z, \epsilon) + \int U(x, z) U_j(x, z),$$

where

$$U(x, z) = \frac{16f^2(x, z)g(x) + 4f(x, z)f''(x, z) - 5(f'(x, z))^2}{32f^{\frac{5}{2}}(x, z)}.$$

This prove the first part of the lemma. Explicit evaluation of the coefficients shows that

$$U_j(t, z) = O(z^{-k}),$$

with  $k \geq 1$ , for  $j > 1$ , and concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.44.** *The solution  $\mathbf{u}_+$  of the fundamental system of solutions of equation (9.3), and the combination  $\mathbf{v}$  of such solutions, normalised as in Definition 9.3, and with  $\lambda$  replaced by  $\lambda\nu^2$ , have the following expansions for large  $\nu$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_+(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \frac{\sqrt{h(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\nu \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right), \\ \mathbf{v}(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= \sqrt{2\pi\nu} \frac{\sqrt{h(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\nu \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) (-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ , analytic and uniform in  $\lambda$ , for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta, c} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - c)| < \frac{\theta}{2}\}$ ,  $c, \theta > 0$ , where  $U_0 = 1$ , and

$$U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) = O\left(\frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right),$$

for all  $j > 1$ , with some  $k > 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $u(x, \lambda, \nu)$  ( $z = i\sqrt{-\lambda}$ ) is a solution of the equation

$$u''(x) + \left( z^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h(x)^2} - p(x) \right) u(x) = 0,$$

it is clear that  $u(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu)$  is a solution of equation (9.10), and hence

$$u_{\pm}(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) = c_+ w_+(x, \lambda, \nu) + c_- w_-(x, \lambda, \nu).$$

Therefore, for large  $\nu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pm}(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= \frac{C_+}{\left(\frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\nu \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2} dx} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_-}{\left(\frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\nu \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{h^2(x)} - z^2} dx} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Next, observe that the integration constants appear exactly as in the proof of Lemma 9.39, and therefore we may tackle them by the same mean as in the proof of Lemma 9.41, namely considering a the operator as a perturbation of the flat one.

In the flat case,  $\epsilon = 0$ , writing  $u = -izx = \sqrt{-\lambda}x$ ,

$$\int \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2} - z^2} dx = \sqrt{1 - z^2 x^2} + \log \frac{-izx}{\sqrt{1 - z^2 x^2} + 1}.$$

Whence the solutions have the expansions

$$w_{\pm}^0(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}, \nu) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1 - \lambda x^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\pm \nu \sqrt{1 - \lambda x^2} \pm \nu \log \frac{\sqrt{-\lambda}x}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda x^2} + 1}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^2}\right)\right).$$

We compare these solutions with the two normalised solutions given in Remark 9.9. For the dominant one we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_+^0(x, \lambda\nu^2) &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{x} I_\nu(\sqrt{-\lambda} \nu x) \\ &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu} (1 - \lambda x^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\nu \sqrt{1 + \lambda x^2} + \nu \log \frac{\sqrt{-\lambda}x}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda x^2} + 1}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\mathbf{u}_{0,+}$  is a multiple of  $w_{0,+}$ , and therefore for large  $\nu$

$$\mathbf{u}_+^0(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu, \epsilon) = \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu} (-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \frac{\sqrt{h_\epsilon(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h_\epsilon^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\nu \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h_\epsilon^2(x)}}{h_\epsilon(x)} dx} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)\right).$$

Next, for the recessive solution, observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^0(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= 2\nu \sqrt{x} K_\nu(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi\nu} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1 - \lambda x^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\nu \sqrt{1 + \lambda x^2} - \nu \log \frac{\sqrt{-\lambda}x}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda x^2} + 1}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{-\nu}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

we see that that  $\mathbf{v}^0$  is a multiple of  $w_-^0$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.45.** *The derivative of the solution  $\mathbf{u}_+$  in the fundamental system of solutions of equation (9.3), and the combination  $\mathbf{v}$  of such solutions, normalised as in Definition 9.3, and with  $\lambda$  replaced by  $\lambda\nu^2$ , have the following expansions for large  $\nu$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}'_+(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2\pi}} \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(x)}} \nu \int^{\frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)}} e^{-\nu \int^x \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{j=0}^m V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{j+1}}\right) \right), \\ \mathbf{v}'(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= -\sqrt{2\pi\nu^3} \frac{\sqrt{h(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\nu \int^x \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) (-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $x$  for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(0, l]$ , and analytic in  $\lambda$ , for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta, c} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - c)| > \frac{\theta}{2}\}$ ,  $c, \theta > 0$ , where  $V_0 = 1$ , and

$$V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) = O\left(\frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right),$$

for all  $j > 1$ , with some  $k > 1$ .

*Proof.* Since the expansion of the last lemma are uniform in  $x$  we can differentiate in  $x$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}'_+(x, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) &= \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2\pi}} \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(x)}} \\ &\quad e^{\nu \int^x \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx} \left( \sum_{j=0}^m V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{j+1}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) &= U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) + \left( \frac{\sqrt{h(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right)' \frac{\sqrt{h(x)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}} U_{j-1}(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \\ &\quad + \frac{h(x)}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}} U'_{j-1}(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \\ &= U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(x)}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}} U_{j-1}(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \\ &\quad + \frac{h(x)}{(1 - \lambda h^2(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}} U'_{j-1}(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

□

We conclude this section and this part with a technical lemma that solves a key point in the determination of the boundary contribution to analytic torsion, see the proof of Theorem ?.

**9.46. A technical lemma.** For further use we want to compare the uniform expansions for large  $\nu$  of the functions  $\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}})$  and  $\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},\pm})$ , with  $a = l_1$ ,  $b = l_2 = l$ ,  $a < b$ , see equations (9.7) and (9.8). This means to compare the expansions of the functions  $\log A_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs,rel}}(a, b, \nu\lambda)$  and  $\ln B_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},\pm}(l, \nu\lambda)$ . Note that, since we are interested here in large  $\nu$ , it is equivalent to work with the  $+$  or the  $-$  extension. We will just write  $A_\nu$  and  $B_\nu$  for these two functions.

By definition

$$A_\nu(a, b, \lambda\nu^2) = (\alpha u_+(a, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) + \alpha' u'_+(a, \lambda\nu^2, \nu)) (\beta u_-(b, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) + \beta' u'_-(b, \lambda\nu^2, \nu)) \\ - (\alpha u_-(a, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) + \alpha' u'_-(a, \lambda\nu^2, \nu)) (\beta u_+(b, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) + \beta' u'_+(b, \lambda\nu^2, \nu)).$$

and

$$B_\nu(l, \lambda\nu^2) = \gamma u_+(l, \lambda\nu^2, \nu) + \gamma' u'_+(l, \lambda\nu^2, \nu),$$

with suitable  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma, \gamma'$ .

Using the expansions in Lemmas 9.44 and 9.45, and assuming that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=b} > \operatorname{Re} \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=a},$$

we obtain

$$A_\nu(a, b, \lambda\nu^2) = - \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sin \pi \nu} (-\lambda)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} e^{\nu \left( \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=b} - \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=a} \right)} \\ \left( \left( \beta \frac{\sqrt{h(b)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \nu \beta' \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(b)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \left( \alpha \frac{\sqrt{h(a)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(a))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(a, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) (-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right. \\ \left. - \nu \alpha' \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(a))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(a)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(a, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) (-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right) \\ \left. + O \left( e^{-2\nu \left( \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=b} - \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=a} \right)} \right) \right).$$

We are interested in the coefficients of the terms in negative powers of  $\nu$ , that all come from the following terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \log A_\nu(a, b, \lambda\nu^2) &= \dots + \log \Gamma(\nu + 1) \\ &+ \log \left( \nu\alpha' \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(a))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(a)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(a, i\sqrt{-\lambda})(-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \frac{\sqrt{h(a)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(a))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(a, i\sqrt{-\lambda})(-\nu)^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right) \\ &+ \log \left( \beta \frac{\sqrt{h(b)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda})\nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \nu\beta' \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(b)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda})\nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

The same substitution gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log B_\nu(l, \lambda\nu^2) &= \dots + \log \Gamma(\nu + 1) \\ &+ \log \left( \gamma \frac{\sqrt{h(b)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J U_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda})\nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \nu\gamma' \frac{(1 - \lambda h^2(b))^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{h(b)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^J V_j(b, i\sqrt{-\lambda})\nu^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{J+1}}\right) \right) \right) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation gives the following result. There, the sign comes from the same analysis performed with  $a$  and  $b$  switched.

**Proposition 9.47.** *The functions  $\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+})$  and  $\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, R_{\nu,\alpha})$  have asymptotic expansions for large  $\nu$ , uniform in  $\lambda$ , for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{c,\theta}$ . Let*

$$\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, L_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},+}) = \dots + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_j(l_2, \lambda) + C_j(l_2)) \nu^{-j} + \dots,$$

and

$$\ln \Gamma(-\lambda\nu^2, R_{\nu,\alpha,\text{abs},\text{rel}}) = \dots + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\psi_j(l_1, l_2, \lambda) + D_j(l_1, l_2)) \nu^{-j} + \dots$$

then

$$\psi_j(l_1, l_2, \lambda) = \text{sgn}(l_2 - l_1)^j \phi_j(l_2, \lambda)|_{\gamma=\beta, \gamma'=\beta'} + \text{sgn}(l_1 - l_2)^j \phi_j(l_1, \lambda)|_{\gamma=\alpha, \gamma'=\alpha'},$$

where the notation means that the boundary conditions of the operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  are chosen identifying the values of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  as indicated.

## 10. ANALYSIS ON THE DEFORMED CONE

**10.1. The underlying geometry.** Let  $(W, g)$  be an orientable compact connected Riemannian manifold of finite dimension  $m$  without boundary and with Riemannian structure  $g$ . Let  $a < b$  be two non negative real numbers. We denote by  $I_{a,b}$  either the interval  $[a, b]$ , if  $a > 0$ , or the interval  $(0, l]$ . Consider the manifold  $C_{a,b}(W) = I_{a,b} \times W$ . This is connected manifold of dimension  $n = m + 1$  with boundary, either compact when  $a > 0$  or open when  $a = 0$ . When  $a > 0$ , the boundary of  $C_{a,b}(W)$  is

$$\partial C_{a,b}(W) = \partial_a C_{a,b}(W) \cup \partial_b C_{a,b}(W) = \{a, b\} \times W,$$

when  $a = 0$ , the boundary of  $C_{0,b}(W)$  is

$$\partial C_{0,b}(W) = \partial C_{a,b}(W) = \{b\} \times W.$$

Let  $x$  the natural global coordinate on  $I_{a,b}$ , and  $h(x) = xH(x)$ , with  $H$  a smooth non vanishing function on  $[0, l]$ , with  $H(0) = 1$ . Then

$$\mathbf{g}_h = dx \otimes dx + h^2(x)g, \tag{10.1}$$

is a Riemannian metric on  $C_{a,b}(W)$ . Each connected component of the boundary is of course diffeomorphic to  $W$ , and isometric to  $(W, h(l)^2g)$ , where either  $l = a$  or  $b$ . For the global coordinate  $x$  corresponds to the local coordinate  $x' = l - x$ , where  $x'$  is the geodesic distance from the boundary. Therefore,  $g_{\partial}(x') = h(l - x)^2g$ , and if  $i : W \rightarrow C_{a,b}(W)$  denotes the inclusion,  $i^*(dx \otimes dx + h(x)^2g) = g_{\partial}(0) = h(l)^2g$ . If  $y$  is a local coordinate system on  $W$ , then  $(x, y)$  is a local coordinate system on  $C_{a,b}(W)$ . Following common notation, we call  $(W, g)$  the *section* of  $C_{a,b}(W)$ . Also following usual notation, a tilde will denote operations on the section (of course  $\tilde{g} = g$ ), and not on the boundary.

In particular we call the space  $C_{a>0,b}(W)$  the *finite metric frustum* over  $W$ , the space  $C_{0,b}(W)$  the *open finite metric cone* over  $W$ , and the space  $\overline{C_{0,b}(W)} = C_{0,b}(W) \cup \{a\}$  the *completed finite metric cone* over  $W$ .

**10.2. The formal Hodge-Laplace operator.** For a differentiable manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $\Omega^q(M)$  the space of smooth sections of forms on  $M$ ,  $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^{(q)}T^*M)$ . Let  $\star$ ,  $d$ ,  $d^\dagger$  and  $\Delta$  denote the Hodge star, the exterior derivative, its dual and Hodge-Laplace operator on  $C_{a,b}(W)$  induced by the metric  $\mathbf{g}_h$ . In this section we give the explicit form of these formal operators. For  $\omega \in \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W))$ , set

$$\omega(x, y) = f_1(x)\tilde{\omega}_1(y) + f_2(x)dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2(y),$$

with smooth functions  $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(I_{a,b})$ , and forms,  $\tilde{\omega}_1 \in \Omega^q(W)$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_2 \in \Omega^{q-1}(W)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \star\omega(x, y) &= h(x)^{m-2(q-1)} f_2(x) \tilde{\star}\tilde{\omega}_2(y) + (-1)^q (h(x))^{m-2q} f_1(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\star}\tilde{\omega}_1(y), \\ d\omega(x, y) &= f_1(x) d\tilde{\omega}_1(y) + dx \wedge \left( f_1'(x) \tilde{\omega}_1(y) - f_2(x) d\tilde{\omega}_2(y) \right), \\ d^\dagger\omega(x, y) &= (-1)^{(m+1)q+(m+1)+1} \star d \star \omega(x, y) \\ &= -h(x)^{-m+2(q-1)} \left( h(x)^{m-2(q-1)} f_2(x) \right)' \tilde{\omega}_2(y) + h(x)^{-2} f_1(x) d^\dagger\tilde{\omega}_1(y) \\ &\quad - h(x)^{-2} f_2(x) dx \wedge d^\dagger\tilde{\omega}_2(y), \end{aligned}$$

and, after some simplifications,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{(q)}\omega(x, y) &= - \left( (m-2q) h(x)^{-1} h'(x) f_1'(x) + f_1''(x) \right) \tilde{\omega}_1(y) + h(x)^{-2} f_1(x) \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)} \tilde{\omega}_1(y) \\ &\quad - 2h(x)^{-1} h'(x) f_2(x) d\tilde{\omega}_2(y) \\ &\quad - \left( (m-2(q-1)) (h(x)^{-1} h'(x) f_2(x))' + f_2''(x) \right) dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2(y) \\ &\quad + dx \wedge d^\dagger\tilde{\omega}_1(y) \left( -2h(x)^{-3} h'(x) f_1(x) \right) + h(x)^{-2} f_2(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\Delta}^{(q-1)} \tilde{\omega}_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that all structure are product, thus we may apply the exponential law and consider the adjoint functions. In fact, we have the bilinear bijection  $\text{ad}_q$  of the space  $\Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W))$  onto  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) = C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Gamma(W, \Lambda^{(q)} T^*W \times \Lambda^{(q-1)} T^*W))$ :

$$\text{ad}_q : \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W)) \rightarrow C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)),$$

$$\text{ad}_q : f_1(x) \tilde{\omega}_1(y) + f_2(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2(y) \mapsto (h(x)^{-\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2}} f_1(x) \tilde{\omega}_1(y), h(x)^{-\alpha_q - 1 + \frac{1}{2}} f_2(x) \tilde{\omega}_2(y)).$$

with inverse

$$\text{ad}_q^{-1} : C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) \rightarrow \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W)),$$

$$\text{ad}_q^{-1} : (\omega^{(q)}(x), \omega^{(q-1)}(x)) \mapsto h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} p^* \omega^{(q)}(x) + h(x)^{\alpha_q - 1 - \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge p^* \omega^{(q-1)}(x),$$

where

$$p : C_{a,b}(M) \rightarrow W,$$

$$p : (x, y) \mapsto y,$$

is the projection, and

$$\alpha_q = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2q - m) = q + \frac{1}{2}(1 - m),$$

(note that  $\alpha_{q\pm 1} = \alpha_q \pm 1$ ).

We will identify  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$  with  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^q(W) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^{q-1}(W)$ , and we will write the vector in the last space corresponding to the form  $\omega(x, y) = f_1(x) \tilde{\omega}_1(y) + f_2(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2(y)$  as

$$\text{ad}(\omega)(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x)),$$

Therefore, the “change of basis” on the components of the vectors is:

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x)\tilde{\omega}_1 = h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}u_1(x), \\ f_2(x)\tilde{\omega}_2 = h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}}u_2(x). \end{cases}$$

The Laplace operator on  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^q(W) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^{q-1}(W)$  reads

$$\mathfrak{A}^q(x) = \text{ad}_q \Delta^{(q)} \text{ad}_q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} B^{(q)} + \frac{A^{(q)}(x)}{h(x)^2}, \quad (10.2)$$

where

$$B^{(q)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{q-2} + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A^{(q)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)} + (\alpha_q^2 - \frac{1}{4})(h'(x))^2 & -2h'(x)\tilde{d} \\ -2h'(x)\tilde{d}^\dagger & \tilde{\Delta}^{(q-1)} + (\alpha_{q-2}^2 - \frac{1}{4})(h'(x))^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operators  $d$  and  $d^\dagger$  in  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^q(W) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^{q-1}(W)$  are:

$$\mathfrak{d} = \text{ad}_{q+1} d \text{ad}_q^{-1} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d} & 0 \\ (\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2})h'(x) + h(x)\frac{d}{dx} & -\tilde{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}^\dagger = \text{ad}_{q-1} d^\dagger \text{ad}_q^{-1} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}^\dagger & (\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2})h'(x) - h(x)\frac{d}{dx} \\ 0 & -\tilde{d}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

The following commutative diagram illustrates the setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W)) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W)) \\ \text{ad}_q \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ad}_{q+1} \\ C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) & \xrightarrow[\text{ad}_q d \text{ad}_{q+1}^{-1}]{} & C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^{q+1}(W) \times \Omega^q(W)) \end{array}$$

We denote by  $L^2(C_{a,b}(W))$  the complete separable Hilbert space of the square integrable forms  $\omega$  on  $C_{a,b}(W)$ , where the inner product is

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle = \int_0^l \int_W \omega \wedge \star \omega'. \quad (10.3)$$

The inner product on  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ :

$$\langle (\omega_1, \omega_2), (\eta_1, \eta_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} = \int_0^l (\langle \omega_1(x), \eta_1(x) \rangle_W + \langle \omega_2(x), \eta_2(x) \rangle_W) dx,$$

where

$$\langle \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\eta} \rangle_W = \int_W \tilde{\omega} \wedge \tilde{\star} \tilde{\eta},$$

Then we have a complete separable Hilbert space, denoted by  $L^2(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ , and it makes  $\text{ad}_q$  an isometry.

**Remark 10.3.** Note that freezing the section, we have smooth functions on the interval  $C^\infty(I_{a,b})$  in both cases, however the measure on the corresponding spaces of square integrable functions are different. For  $L^2(C_{a,b}(W))$  gives the space  $L^2(I_{a,b}, h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x)dx)$ , while the adjoint space gives  $L^2(I_{a,b}, dx)$ . This two spaces are isometric under the exponential law.

**10.4. Geometric boundary conditions.** We describe in this section the classical boundary conditions [RS71, 3.2]. For  $\omega \in \Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W))$  with

$$\omega(x, y) = f_1(x)\tilde{\omega}_1(y) + f_2(x)dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2(y),$$

with smooth functions  $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(I_{a,b})$ , and forms,  $\tilde{\omega}_1 \in \Omega^q(W)$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_2 \in \Omega^{q-1}(W)$ , we call  $f_1(x)\tilde{\omega}_1(y)$  the *tangent component* of  $\omega$ , denoted by  $\omega_{\text{tg}}$ , and  $f_2(x)\tilde{\omega}_2(y)$  the *normal component* of  $\omega$ , denoted by  $\omega_{\text{norm}}$ . Then the absolute BC on the boundary of  $C_{a,b}(W)$  are:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{abs}}(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{\text{norm}}|_{\partial W} = 0, \\ (d\omega)_{\text{norm}}|_{\partial W} = 0, \end{cases}$$

the relative BC are

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{\text{tg}}|_{\partial W} = 0, \\ (d^\dagger\omega)_{\text{tg}}|_{\partial W} = 0. \end{cases}$$

A simple calculation, recalling that  $h$  may not vanish on  $(0, l]$ , gives

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{abs}}(\omega) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} f_2(l)\tilde{\omega}_2(y) = 0, \\ f_1'(l)\tilde{\omega}_1(y) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10.4)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} f_1(l)\tilde{\omega}_1(y) = 0, \\ ((h(x)^{m-2(q-1)}f_2(x))'_{x=l})\tilde{\omega}_2(y) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10.5)$$

On the vector  $(u_1, u_2)$  in the adjoint space  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^q(W) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \Omega^{q-1}(W)$ , the BC read

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{abs}}(u_1, u_2) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} u_2(l) = 0, \\ (h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}u_1(x))'_{x=l} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10.6)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{rel}}(u_1, u_2) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} u_1(l) = 0, \\ ((h(x)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}}u_2(x))'_{x=l}) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10.7)$$

**10.5. Decomposition of the Hodge-Laplace formal operator.** The adjoint space  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$  is the space of the smooth functions on the interval  $I_{a,b}$  with values in  $\Gamma(W, \Lambda^{(q)}T^*W \times \Lambda^{(q-1)}T^*W)$ . The corresponding formal operator  $\mathfrak{A}^q$  (see equation 10.2) has the following particular form (compare with [BS87])

$$\mathfrak{A}^q = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^q(x),$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^q(x)$  is a function on  $I_{a,b}$  with values in space of the operators on the section. However, in the present case, we may go a little further and write

$$\mathfrak{A}^q = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + a(x)\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^q,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  are some fixed operators on the section, and  $a$  some smooth functions. More precisely, the operators appearing in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  are the Hodge-Laplace operator, the exterior derivative and its adjoint. Thus, we may consider the corresponding concrete operators on  $L^2(W)$ , and we have an orthonormal basis of the last space given by eigenfunctions of  $\tilde{\Delta}$ . We now develop this argument in details.

Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} \neq 0$  be a non zero eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  on  $W$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}}^{(q)}$  the associated eigenspace. Then, the Hodge decomposition induces the decomposition (see [RS71] pg. 154) (observe that any of these two spaces may be trivial)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}}^{(q)} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex}}^{(q)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex}}^{(q)} &= \{\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^q(W, E_\rho) \mid \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{\alpha}\}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)} &= \{\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^q(W, E_\rho) \mid \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{t}\tilde{\alpha}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex},j}^{(q)}\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex},k}^{(q)}\}$  be orthonormal bases of  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex}}^{(q)}$ , respectively, and let  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har},j_0}\}$  be a basis of the space of the harmonic forms

$$\mathcal{H}^q(W) = \{\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^q(W) \mid \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\omega} = 0\}.$$

Then we have a complete basis for  $\Omega^q(W)$  (we will omit the indices  $j, k$  where not necessary in the following)

$$\bigcup_{q=0}^m \left( \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har},l}^{(q)} \right\}_l \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex},j}^{(q)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex},k}^{(q)} \right\}_{j,k} \right), \quad (10.8)$$

that corresponds to the decomposition of the space of the forms as

$$\Omega^q(W) = \mathcal{H}^q(W) \oplus \Omega_{\text{cex}}^q(W) \oplus \Omega_{\text{ex}}^q(W) = \mathcal{H}^q(W) \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{ex}}^{(q)} \right).$$

The above decomposition induces the direct sum decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) &= C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \mathcal{H}^q(W)) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega_{\text{cex}}^q(W)) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega_{\text{ex}}^q(W)) \\ &\quad \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(W)) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega_{\text{cex}}^{q-1}(W)) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega_{\text{ex}}^{q-1}(W)) \\ &= C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \mathcal{H}^q(W)) \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1} \left( C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1},\text{cex}}^{(q)}) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1},\text{ex}}^{(q)}) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(W)) \oplus \bigoplus_{n_2} \left( C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}) \oplus C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2},\text{ex}}^{(q-1)}) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}} V_{n_1, w_{n_1}} \times V_{n_2, w_{n_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $w_0 = \text{har}$ ,  $w_{n \geq 1} = \text{cex}, \text{ex}$ , and

$$V_{n, w_n} = C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, w_n}^{(q)}),$$

with the convention that

$$C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda_{q,0}, \text{har}}^{(q)}) = C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(W)).$$

Using these facts in equation (10.2), we have obtain the announced decomposition of the Hodge-Laplace operator:

$$\mathfrak{A}^q = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} & -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \\ -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

acts on the space

$$C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)}),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2}. \quad (10.9)$$

We may go a little bit further, using the basis of forms described in equation (10.8). In fact, we have the decomposition

$$V_{n, w_n} = C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda_{q, n}, w_n}^{(q)}) = \bigoplus_{j_{w_n}} C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q, n}, w_n, j_{w_n}} \rangle),$$

and writing

$$C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q, n}, w_n, j_{w_n}} \rangle) = C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \otimes \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q, n}, w_n, j_{w_n}} \rangle,$$

we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q = \bigoplus_{w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}}^q = \bigoplus_{w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}} \bigoplus_{j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \\ -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

acts on the space  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b})$ .

**10.6. Concrete operators.** In this section we discuss a concrete realisation of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  introduced in Section 10.2, and consequently of the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta$  on  $C_{a,b}(W)$ . We will use the decomposition given in Section 10.5. Accordingly, we saw at the end of Section 10.2 that a given form  $\omega$  in  $\Omega^q(C_{a,b}(W))$  belongs to  $L^2(C_{a,b}(W))$  if and only if the adjoint form  $(u_1, u_2)$  in  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$  belongs to  $L^2(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ . Using the decomposition on the eigenspaces of the Laplace operator on the section,  $(u_1, u_2)$  belongs to  $L^2(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$  if and only if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  belongs to  $L^2(I_{a,b}, dx)$ . We reduced the problem of describing the concrete operator induced by the formal operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  on the cone in that of describing the concrete operator on the line segment induced by the formal operator  $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu, \alpha}$  given in

equation (10.9). The last problem has been studied in details in Section 10.5, so we will use now the results of that section. We obtained the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{A}^q = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \bigoplus_{w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \\ -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2 + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2}, \quad (10.10)$$

and  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2})$  is a pair of eigenvalues of the Laplace operators  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q-1)}$  on the smooth forms on the section,  $\alpha_q = q + \frac{1}{2}(1-m)$ , and  $(\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}})$  two eigenfunctions in the corresponding eigenspaces. Thus, to study the operator  $\mathfrak{A}^q$  is equivalent to study each of the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q$ , acting on  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b})$ , and in particular we have the identification

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = \mathfrak{l}_{\sqrt{\lambda + \alpha^2}, \alpha},$$

where the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$  is the one studied in Section 9, so we may use the results described in that section. In the following, when possible, we will write  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q$ , omitting the other indices.

The minimal and the maximal operators associated to  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$  are given in equation (9.5), and therefore those associated to  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q$  are

$$\begin{aligned} D(A_{n_1, n_2, \min}^q) &= C_0^\infty(\mathring{I}_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)}), \\ D(A_{n_1, n_2, \max}^q) &= \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in A^2(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. | (u_1, u_2), \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2) \in L^2(I_{a,b}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and by the considerations above may be identified with the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} D(A_{n_1, n_2, \min}^q) &= C_0^\infty(\mathring{I}_{a,b}) \times C_0^\infty(\mathring{I}_{a,b}), \\ D(A_{n_1, n_2, \max}^q) &= \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in A^2(I_{a,b}) \times A^2(I_{a,b}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. | (u_1, u_2), \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2) \in L^2(I_{a,b}) \times L^2(I_{a,b}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $A_{n_1, n_2, \min}^q$  and  $A_{n_1, n_2, \max}^q$  are unbounded densely defined formally self-adjoint operators on  $L^2(I_{a,b})$ .

Moreover, again proceeding as in Section 9.12, we have the following result.

**Theorem 10.7.** *The self-adjoint extensions of the formal Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta^{(q)}$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(C_{a,b}(W))$  are completely determined by the self-adjoint extensions*

$A_{n_1, n_2}^q$  of the minimal operator  $A_{n_1, n_2, \min}^q$  associated to the formal differential operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(I_{a,b}, dx) \times L^2(I_{a,b}, dx)$ , defined as follows:

$$A_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2) = \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2),$$

$$D(A_{n_1, n_2}^q) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in D(A_{n_1, n_2, \max}^q) \mid BC_{n_1, n_2}^q(0)(u_1, u_2) = BC_{n_1, n_2}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) = 0\},$$

where, setting  $\nu_{1,q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2}$ , and  $\nu_{2,q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_{q-1}^2}$ , the boundary conditions are

$$BC_{n_1, n_2}^q(0)(u_1, u_2) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{q, n_1, +} BV_{\nu_{1,q, n_1}, +}(0)(u_1) + \gamma_{q, n_1, -} BV_{\nu_{1,q, n_1}, -}(0)(u_1) = 0, \\ \text{none,} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \nu_{1,q, n_1} < 1, \\ \text{if } \nu_{1,q, n_1} \geq 1, \end{array} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{q, n_1, +} BV_{\nu_{2,q-1, n_2}, +}(0)(u_2) + \gamma_{q, n_2, -} BV_{\nu_{2,q-1, n_2}, -}(0)(u_2) = 0, \\ \text{none,} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \nu_{2,q-1, n_2} < 1, \\ \text{if } \nu_{2,q-1, n_2} \geq 1, \end{array} \end{array} \right.$$

$$BC_{n_1, n_2}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{q, n_1} BV(l)(u_1) + \gamma'_{q, n_1} BV'(l)(u_1) = 0, \\ \gamma_{q-1, n_2} BV(l)(u_2) + \gamma'_{q-1, n_2} BV'(l)(u_2) = 0; \end{array} \right.$$

for real  $\gamma_{q, n_j, \pm}, \gamma'_{q, n_j}$ , with  $\gamma_{q, n_j, +}^2 + \gamma_{q, n_j, -}^2 = \gamma_{q, n_j}^2 + \gamma'_{q, n_j}{}^2 = 1$ .

**Remark 10.8.** Observe that the boundary conditions are given on the two components separately. This is not overlooking the possibility of having  $\nu_{q, n_1}$  and  $\nu_{q-1, n_2}$  both less than one. For in such a case there appears indeed a term mixing the two components when we apply the formal operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q$  to the vector  $(u_1, u_2)$ , however the mixed terms in each component do not involve differential operators, but just multiplication by a function, and therefore do not appear in the inner product defining the boundary values as described in Section 9.12.

For the reader sake, we recall here the explicit form of the boundary values. The boundary values at  $x = l$  are

$$BV(l)(u) = u(l), \quad BV'(l)(u) = u'(l),$$

the boundary values at  $x = 0$  are

$$BV_{\nu, +}(0)(u) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (v_{\nu, +}(x)u'(x) - v'_{\nu, +}(x)u(x)),$$

$$BV_{\nu, -}(0)(u) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (v_{\nu, -}(x)u'(x) - v'_{\nu, -}(x)u(x)),$$

where the  $v_{\nu, \pm}$  are a smooth functions on  $(0, l]$  vanishing near  $l$  and equal to the functions  $\mathbf{u}_{\nu, \pm}$  near 0, and the  $\mathbf{u}_{\nu, \pm}$  are the following functions (normalised as in Definition 9.3):

$$\mathbf{u}_{\nu, +}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \varphi_+(x), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\nu, -}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2} - \nu} \varphi_-(x), \quad \text{if } \nu \neq 0,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\nu, +}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2} + \nu} \varphi_+(x), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\nu, -}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_+(x) \log x + x^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_-(x), \quad \text{if } \nu = 0,$$

where the functions  $\varphi_{\pm}$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi}_-$  are continuous and non vanishing, with continuous non vanishing derivative in  $[0, l]$ , and  $\varphi_{\pm}(0) = 1$ .

Using the classification of the self-adjoint extensions of the Laplace Hodge operator, we may now proceed to define the suitable one for our geometric setting. First, we

determine the constant  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ . These are given by equation (10.6) for the absolute case, and we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{q,n_1} &= \left(\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}\right) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{3}{2}}(l)h'(l), & \gamma'_{q,n_1} &= h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(l), \\ \gamma_{q-1,n_2} &= 1, & \gamma'_{q-1,n_2} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

and by equation (10.7) in the relative case:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{q,n_1} &= 1, & \gamma'_{q,n_j} &= 0, \\ \gamma_{q-1,n_2} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}\right) h^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}}(l)h'(l), & \gamma'_{q-1,n_2} &= h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}}(l).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may rewrite the absolute boundary conditions at  $x = l$  as

$$BC_{n_1,n_2}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) : \quad \begin{cases} \left(\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}\right) h'(l)u_1(l) + h(l)u_1'(l) = \left(h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}u_1\right)'(l) = 0, \\ u_2(l) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and the relative boundary conditions at  $x = l$  as

$$BC_{n_1,n_2}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) : \quad \begin{cases} u_1(l) = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}\right) h'(l)u_2(l) + h(l)u_2'(l) = \left(h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}}u_2\right)'(l) = 0, \end{cases}$$

This leads to the definition of the following operators.

**Definition 10.9.** Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  an eigenvalue of the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  in  $W$ , with  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,0} = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, w_n, j_n}$  an eigenform of  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  in a given complete orthonormal basis of eigenforms of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$ , where  $w_0 = \text{har}$  denotes an harmonic forms,  $w_{n>0} = \text{ex, cex}$  identifies exact and coexact forms. Let  $\alpha_q = \frac{1}{2}(1+2q-m)$  ( $m = \dim W$ ). We define the operators

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\text{abs}}^q &= \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q, \\ A_{\text{abs}, \pm}^q &= \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, \pm}^q,\end{aligned}$$

in the Hilbert space  $L^2(I_{a,b}, \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ , where the operators  $A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q$  and  $A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, \pm}^q$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(I_{a,b}, dx) \times L^2(I_{a,b}, dx)$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q(u_1, u_2) &= \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2), \\ D(A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q) &= \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in D(A_{n_1, n_2, \text{max}}^q) \mid BC_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) = 0 \right\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, \pm}^q(u_1, u_2) &= \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2), \\ D(A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, \pm}^q) &= \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in D(A_{n_1, n_2, \text{max}}^q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid BC_{n_1, n_2, \pm}^q(0)(u_1, u_2) = BC_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) = 0 \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D(A_{n_1, n_2, \max}^q) = \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in A^2(I_{a,b}) \times A^2(I_{a,b}) \right. \\ \left. \mid (u_1, u_2), \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q(u_1, u_2) \in L^2(I_{a,b}, dx) \times L^2(I_{a,b}, dx) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} & -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \\ -2 \frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2},$$

and the boundary condition are:

$$BC_{n_1, n_2, \pm}^q(0)(u_1, u_2) : \begin{cases} \begin{cases} BV_{\nu_{1, q, n_1}, \pm}(0)(u_1) = 0, & \text{if } \nu_{1, q, n_1} < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu_{1, q, n_1} \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} BV_{\nu_{2, q-1, n_2}, \pm}(0)(u_2) = 0, & \text{if } \nu_{2, q-1, n_2} < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu_{2, q-1, n_2} \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ BC_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) : \begin{cases} \left( h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} u_1 \right)'(l) = 0, \\ u_2(l) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{with } \nu_{1, q, n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n} + \alpha_q^2}, \nu_{2, q, n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n} + \alpha_{q-1}^2}.$$

We will denote by  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^{(q)}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \pm}^{(q)}$  the corresponding operators under the isometry  $\text{ad}_q$ .

Note that  $\nu_{j, q, n} \geq 1$  up to a finite number of cases, so we have a finite number of boundary conditions.

**Definition 10.10.** The operators  $A_{\text{rel}}^q$  and  $A_{\text{rel}, \pm}^q$ , are defined as the operators  $A_{\text{abs}}^q$  and  $A_{\text{abs}, \pm}^q$  replacing the boundary condition at  $x = l$  with the following one:

$$BC_{n_1, n_2, \text{rel}}^q(l)(u_1, u_2) : \begin{cases} u_1(l) = 0, \\ \left( h^{-\alpha_{q-2} - \frac{1}{2}} u_2 \right)'(l) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We will denote by  $\Delta_{\text{rel}}^{(q)}$ , and  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \pm}^{(q)}$  the corresponding operators under the isometry  $\text{ad}_q$ .

**Definition 10.11.** We define the graded operators on the Hilbert space  $L^2(I_{a,b}, \Omega^\bullet(W) \times \Omega^{\bullet-1}(W))$  (recall  $m = \dim W$ ).

If  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$A_{\text{abs}, m^c} = A_{\text{abs}, m} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{abs}}^q \oplus A_{\text{abs}, +}^{p-1} \oplus A_{\text{abs}}^p \oplus A_{\text{abs}, +}^{p+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+2}^{2p} A_{\text{abs}}^q; \\ A_{\text{rel}, m^c} = A_{\text{rel}, m} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{rel}}^q \oplus A_{\text{rel}, +}^{p-1} \oplus A_{\text{rel}}^p \oplus A_{\text{abs}, +}^{p+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+2}^{2p} A_{\text{rel}}^q.$$

If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\text{abs},m^c} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{abs}}^q \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^{p-1} \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^p \oplus A_{0,0,\text{abs},-}^{p+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1>0, n_2>0} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, +}^{p+1} \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^{p+2} \\
 &\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} A_{\text{abs}}^q, \\
 A_{\text{abs},m} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{abs}}^q \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^{p-1} \oplus A_{0,0,\text{abs},-}^p \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1>0, n_2>0} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{abs}, +}^p \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^{p+1} \oplus A_{\text{abs},+}^{p+2} \\
 &\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} A_{\text{abs}}^q; \\
 A_{\text{rel},m^c} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{rel}}^q \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^{p-1} \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^p \oplus A_{0,0,\text{rel},-}^{p+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1>0, n_2>0} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{rel}, +}^{p+1} \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^{p+2} \\
 &\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} A_{\text{rel}}^q, \\
 A_{\text{rel},m} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} A_{\text{rel}}^q \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^{p-1} \oplus A_{0,0,\text{rel},-}^p \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1>0, n_2>0} A_{n_1, n_2, \text{rel}, +}^p \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^{p+1} \oplus A_{\text{rel},+}^{p+2} \\
 &\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} A_{\text{rel}}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(q)}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(q)}$  the corresponding operators under the isometry  $\text{ad}_q$ .

**Remark 10.12.** Following Cheeger, we also define the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}$  (and the correspondent relative version)

$$D(\Delta_{\text{abs}}) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(C_{a,b}(W)) \mid \omega, d\omega, d^\dagger\omega, \Delta\omega \in L^2(C_{a,b}(W)) \wedge \mathcal{B}_{\text{abs}}(l)(f) = 0 \right\},$$

**Definition 10.13.** We introduce some notation. Setting  $\nu = \sqrt{\lambda + \alpha^2}$ , the operator

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{h(x)^2} + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2},$$

reads

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} = \mathfrak{t}_{\nu^2 - \alpha^2, \alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\nu^2 - \alpha^2}{h(x)^2} + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(x, \lambda) = \mathbf{u}_\pm(x)$  the two normalised solutions of the differential equation

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha} u = \lambda u,$$

i.e.

$$u'' + \frac{h''}{h} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) u - \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{h'^2}{h^2} u + \left( \lambda + \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{h^2} \right) u = 0,$$

as described in Definition 9.3. We also introduce the notation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(x, \lambda) = \mathfrak{f}_{\pm}$  for the two normalised independent solutions of the corresponding equation

$$f'' + \frac{(1-2\alpha)h'}{h} f' + \left( \lambda + \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{h^2} \right) f = 0,$$

It is clear that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm} = h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}. \quad (10.11)$$

**10.14. Solutions of the eigenvalues equation.** In this section we give an explicit description of the solutions of the eigenvalues equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  and consequently for the formal Laplace operator  $\Delta$ . Now we proceed to identify the solutions of the equation  $\mathfrak{A}u = \lambda u$ , with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . According to the decomposition introduced in Section 10.5, we decompose a  $q$  form  $\text{ad}(\omega^{(q)}) = (u_1, u_2)$  in the basis in equation (10.8). However, for further use, it is more convenient to reduce all the forms on the section to coexact forms. This may be done as follows. Recall that the differential defines a bijection (an isometry if normalised by  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)} &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{ex}}^{(q+1)}, \\ \tilde{d} : \tilde{\varphi} &\mapsto \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

whose inverse is  $\tilde{d}^\dagger$ . This means that all the eigenvalues of the  $q$  exact forms appear as eigenvalues of a  $q-1$  coexact form.

As a consequence, it is more convenient to index the eigenvalues by the dimension of the coexact form they belong to, namely to denote by  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  one of the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  such that

$$\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)} \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}, j} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}, j},$$

for some coexact  $q$ -form  $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}, j}$ . This means that there may or may not exist an exact  $q$  form with eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$ , but certainly it does exist an exact  $q+1$ -form  $\tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}, j}$  with eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$ . Using this convention,  $m_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}$  denotes the multiplicity of the eigenspace of coexact forms with eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$ , i.e.  $m_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}} = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)} = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{ex}}^{(q+1)}$ .

Thus we may decide to take as basis for the whole eigenspace of a given eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  only coexact forms and differential of coexact forms, i.e. we identify

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{ex}}^{(q)} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{ex}, k}^{(q)} \rangle \equiv \langle \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}, j}^{(q-1)} \rangle = \tilde{d}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)},$$

(where  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}$ ) and hence we can take the basis (we will omit the indices  $j_k$  where not necessary in the following)

$$\bigcup_{q=0}^m \left( \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, l}^{(q)} \right\}_l \cup \bigcup_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}, j}^{(q)}, \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}, k}^{(q-1)} \right\}_{j,k} \right), \quad (10.12)$$

for  $\Omega^q(W)$ , that corresponds to the decomposition of the space of the forms as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^q(W) &= \mathcal{H}^q(W) \oplus \Omega_{\text{cex}}^q(W) \oplus \left( \Omega_{\text{ex}}^q(W) = \tilde{d}\Omega_{\text{cex}}^{q-1}(W) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^q(W) \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1} \left( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)} \oplus \tilde{d}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Whence, we arrive at the following decomposition of a  $q$  form  $\text{ad}(\omega^{(q)}) = (u_1, u_2)$  in the basis in equation (10.12):

$$\begin{aligned}u_1(x) &= \sum_{l_1} u_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_1, j_1} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)} + \sum_{n_1, k_1} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)}, \\ u_2(x) &= \sum_{l_2} u_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_2, j_2} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)} + \sum_{n_2, k_2} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}, \text{cex}, k_2}^{(q-2)},\end{aligned}$$

where now the  $u$  are functions with complex values. As a consequence,  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{ad}(\omega^{(q)}))$  reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}(u_1, u_2)(x) &= \left( \sum_{l_1} (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{0}, \alpha_q} u_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)})(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)}, \sum_{l_2} (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{0}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)})(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{n_1, j_1} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)})(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_1, k_1} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)})(x) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)^2} \sum_{n_2, j_2} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}, 0 \right) \\ &\quad + \left( 0, -2 \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)^2} \sum_{n_1, k_1} \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_2, j_2} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)})(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n, k_2} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)})(x) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}, \text{cex}, k_2}^{(q-2)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

After some simplifications, the equation  $\mathfrak{A}(u_1, u_2) = \lambda(u_1, u_2)$ , gives the following set of equations

$$\mathfrak{t}_{0, \alpha_q} u_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)} = \lambda u_{\text{har}, l_1}^{(q)}, \quad (10.13)$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{0, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)} = \lambda u_{\text{har}, l_2}^{(q-1)}, \quad (10.14)$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)} = \lambda u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{cex}, j_1}^{(q)}, \quad (10.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1, k_1} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)})(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)} \\ - 2 \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)^2} \sum_{n_2, j_2} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)} \\ = \lambda \sum_{n_1, k_1} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_2, j_2} (\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)})(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)} \\ - 2 \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)^2} \sum_{n_1, k_1} \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \text{ex}, k_1}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}, \text{cex}, k_1}^{(q-1)} \\ = \lambda \sum_{n_2, j_2} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{cex}, j_2}^{(q-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.17)$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)} = \lambda u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)}. \quad (10.18)$$

The first three equations and the last one are each other independent, and are independent from the other two. Moreover, applying the convention introduced before of indexing the eigenvalues with respect to the coexact forms, in the last equation we identify  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}$  with  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}$ , and therefore the equation becomes

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)} = \lambda u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n_2}, \text{ex}, k_2}^{(q-1)},$$

and in each instance the operator  $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha}$  may be identified with the operator  $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu=\sqrt{\lambda^2+\alpha^2}, \alpha}$ , so that the solutions of the differential equation are precisely the functions introduced in Definition 10.13, and as a consequence, in each dimension  $q$ , we have the following four types of solutions of the eigenvalue equations (where we omit the index of the eigenvector)(10.13), (10.14), (10.15), and (10.18), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{E, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \left( \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, 0 \right), \\ \psi_{O, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \left( 0, \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)} \right), \\ \psi_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q, n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_q, n, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)}, 0 \right), \\ \psi_{IV, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \left( 0, \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-2}, n, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2, n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Readably, the equations (10.16) and (10.17) need  $k_1 = j_2$ ,  $n_1 = n_2$ ; moreover, recalling the identification of the eigenvalues we have that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1} = \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_1}$ . Thus, we may put

these two equations in the following system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\alpha_q} & -2\frac{h'}{h^2}\tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \\ -2\frac{h'}{h^2}\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},-\alpha_{q-2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1},\text{ex},k_1}^{(q)} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \\ u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1},\text{ex},k_1}^{(q)} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \\ u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex},k_1}^{(q-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first matrix in the last equation is  $\mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_1,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{d}^{q-1}\Delta^{(q-1)} = \Delta^{(q)}\mathfrak{d}^{(q-1)}$ , we may construct a first solution of the system as follows: let  $\psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q-1)}$  be a solution of type I of degree  $q-1$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}\psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q-1)}(x,\lambda) &= \left( h(x)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n_1},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda) \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}} (h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n_1},\alpha_{q-1}}(x,\lambda))' \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_1},\text{cex}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_1,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q \mathfrak{d}\psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q-1)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_1,\text{cex},\text{ex}}^{q-1} \psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q-1)}(x,\lambda) \\ &= \lambda \mathfrak{d}\psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q-1)}(x,\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. In a similar way we produce a second independent solution using the fact that  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^{(q+1)}\Delta^{(q+1)} = \Delta^{(q)}(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^{(q+1)}$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_1,\text{cex},\text{ex}}^q = \mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_1,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^{q+1} \mathfrak{d}^\dagger$ .

We followed the notation of Cheeger, introducing six types of eigenforms (the type is indicated by the first index). The forms of type E are two linearly independent solutions of the equation (10.13). The forms of type O are two linearly independent solutions of the equation (10.14). The forms of type I are two linearly independent solutions of the equation (10.15). The forms of type IV are two linearly independent solutions of the equation (10.18).

We have proved the following result.

**Lemma 10.15.** *Let  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex},n}^{(q)}, \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex},n}^{(q-1)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\Omega^q(W)$  consisting of harmonic, coexact and exact eigenforms of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$ , as described above in details. Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  denote the eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex},n}^{(q)}$  and  $m_{\text{cex},q,n} = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{cex},n}^{(q)}$ . Then, the solutions of the equation  $\Delta^{(q)}\omega = \lambda\omega$ , with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , are of the following six types:*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \\ \psi_{O,\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}, \\ \psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)}, & n > 0, \\ \psi_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}})' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}, & n > 0, \\ \psi_{III,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-1}+1} (h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda))' \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{3}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} & n > 0, \\ \psi_{IV,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-2}+\frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-2)} & n > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_{q,n}^2 = \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2$ , the  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(x, \lambda)$  are two linearly independent solutions of the equation (see Definition 10.13)

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mu,\alpha} u = \lambda u.$$

**Remark 10.16.** The solutions of type I, III and E are coexact, and those of types II, IV and O are exacts. The operator  $d$  sends forms of types I, III and E in forms of types II, IV and O, while  $d^\dagger$  sends forms of types II, IV and O in forms of types I, III and E, respectively. The Hodge operator sends forms of type I in forms of type IV, II in III, and E in O.

We verify the last statement. For observe that as  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  are eigenvalues of co-exact eigenforms they satisfy the relation  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m-1-q,n}$ . Now recalling the definition of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  and that  $\alpha_q = -\alpha_{(m+1-q)-2}$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{(m+1-q)-2},\alpha_{(m+1-q)-2}}, \quad (10.19)$$

therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-q-1,n},-\alpha_{m-q-1},\pm}(x, \lambda).$$

Or explicitly we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \star \psi_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \star \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^q h(x)^{m-2q} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\star} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)} \\ &= (-1)^q h(x)^{-2\alpha_q + 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\star} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)} \\ &= (-1)^q \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{-\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{d} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{m-1-q,n},\text{cex}}^{(m-1-q)} \\ &= (-1)^q \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-1-q,n},\alpha_{m-q-1},\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{-\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{d} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{m-1-q,n},\text{cex}}^{(m-1-q)} \\ &= (-1)^q \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{(m+1-q)-2,n},-\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{-\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{d} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{(m+1-q)-2,n},\text{cex}}^{((m+1-q)-2)} \\ &= (-1)^q \psi_{IV,\tilde{\lambda}_{(m+1-q)-2,n},\pm}^{(m+1-q)}(x, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 10.17.** The solutions of the eigenvalues equation may be obtained also working directly with the forms as written in the equation at the beginning of Section 10.2. For example, direct substitution of a form  $f\tilde{\omega}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}$  shows that this satisfies the eigenvalues equation, while a form  $f\tilde{\omega}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}$  does not. This gives solutions of type I. Similarly,  $fdx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}$  does not satisfy the eigenvalues equation, while  $fdx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}$  does. This gives solutions of type IV.

**10.18. Solutions of the harmonic equation.** Proceeding as in the previous Section 10.14, and with the same notation, we determine the solutions of the harmonic equation.

**Lemma 10.19.** *The solutions of the harmonic equation  $\Delta^{(q)}u = 0$  are of the following types:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \\
\theta_{O,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}, \\
\theta_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)}, & n > 0, \\
\theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\
&\quad + \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}\right)' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}, & n > 0, \\
\theta_{III,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-1}+1} \left(h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x, 0)\right)' \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\
&\quad + h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{3}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x, 0)dx \wedge \tilde{d}^\dagger\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} & n > 0 \\
\theta_{IV,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}dx \wedge \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q-2,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-2)} & n > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 10.20.** *Observe that the forms of type II are of type III, and viceversa. For let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  be a co exact and an exact form on the section of degrees  $q-1$  and  $q$ , respectively. Set  $\varphi = f\tilde{\varphi}$ , and  $\beta = gdx \wedge \tilde{\beta}$ . Then,*

$$d\varphi = f\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} + f'dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi},$$

and

$$d^\dagger\beta = -h^{-m+2q}(h^{m-2q}g)'\tilde{\beta} - \frac{1}{h^2}gdx \wedge \tilde{d}^\dagger\tilde{\beta}.$$

The equation  $d^\dagger\beta = d\varphi$  requires that

$$\begin{cases} h^{m-2q}(h^{m-2q}g)'\tilde{\beta} = -f\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}, \\ \frac{1}{h^2}g\tilde{d}^\dagger\tilde{\beta} = -f'\tilde{\varphi}. \end{cases}$$

Solving the second in  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and substituting in the first we have

$$h^{-m+2q}(h^{m-2q}g)'f'\tilde{\beta} = \frac{fg}{h^2}\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\beta},$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\beta}$ , that gives

$$h^{-m+2q}(h^{m-2q}g)'f' = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{h^2}fg,$$

Let  $g = h^2f'$ , then we have

$$h^{-m+2q}(h^{m-2q+2}f')' = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{h^2}h^2f,$$

i.e.

$$-f'' - (m-2q+2)\frac{h'}{h}f' + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{h^2}f = 0.$$

For  $f$  to be a solution of this equation, it is necessary that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  has the same eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\tilde{\beta}$ , and then the solutions is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}, & \tilde{\varphi} &= -\tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\beta} \\ f &: & f'' + (m - 2q + 2) \frac{h'}{h} f' - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{h^2} f &= 0, \\ g &= h^2 f'. \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to verify that  $\Delta g = 0$ .

**10.21. Square integrable solutions.** We discuss square integrability of the solutions given in Lemmas 6.21 and 10.19.

**Lemma 10.22.** Let  $\psi^{(q)}$  be one of the forms given in Lemma 10.15. Then,  $\psi^{(q)}$ ,  $d\psi^{(q)}$  and  $d^\dagger\psi^{(q)}$  are square integrable according to the following tables. If  $m = \dim W = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

forms type	signal	$\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d^\dagger\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$
<i>E</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>E</i>	-	$q = p - 1$	$q = p$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	-	$q = p + 1$	$\forall q$	$q = p$
<i>I</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>I</i>	-	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\tilde{\beta}q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	-	$\tilde{\beta}q$	$\forall q$	$q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$
<i>III</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>III</i>	-	$\tilde{\beta}q$	$q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	-	$q = p + 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\forall q$	$\tilde{\beta}q$

If  $m = \dim W = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

forms type	signal	$\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d^\dagger\psi^{(q)} \in L^2$
$E$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$E$	-	$q = p - 1$ or $q = p$	$q = p$ or $q = p + 1$	$\forall q$
$O$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$O$	-	$q = p + 1$ or $q = p + 2$	$\forall q$	$q = p$ or $q = p + 1$
$I$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$I$	-	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\exists q$	$\forall q$
$II$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$II$	-	$\exists q$	$\forall q$	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$
$III$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$III$	-	$\exists q$	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\forall q$
$IV$	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
$IV$	-	$q = p + 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p + 2, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\forall q$	$\exists q$

*Proof.* Consider the forms of type  $I$ , these forms are

$$\psi_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)}, \quad n > 0.$$

From Definition 9.3 the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, \lambda)$  have the following behaviour near  $x = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, \lambda) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \mu_{q,n}}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\|\psi_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)\|^2}{\|\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)}\|^2} = \int_0^l \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}^2(x, \lambda) dx < \infty \Leftrightarrow \pm \mu_{q,n} + 1 > 0.$$

Therefore all solutions  $+$  are in  $L^2$  as  $\mu_{q,n} \geq 0$ . For the solutions  $-$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}^2 + \alpha_q^2} < 1 &\Rightarrow |\alpha_q| < 1 \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} < 1 \Rightarrow -2 < 2q - m + 1 < 2 \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} < 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{m-3}{2} < q < \frac{m+1}{2} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have two cases. If  $m = 2p - 1$ , then  $p - 2 < q < p$ , i.e.,  $q = p - 1$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} < 1$ . For the even case, consider  $m = 2p$  then  $p - \frac{3}{2} < q < p + \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow q = p - 1$  or  $q = p$ . Therefore, since  $\alpha_{p-1} = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{2}$  we have that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} < 1 - \alpha_q^2 = \frac{3}{4}$ , for  $q = p - 1$  or  $q = p$ .

Next we deal with the forms of type  $II$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1}, \pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &+ (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1}, \pm}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}})' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)}, \quad n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Near  $x = 0$ ,

$$\|\psi_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)\|^2 \sim \int_0^\epsilon x^{\pm 2\mu_{q,n}-1} dx < \infty \Leftrightarrow \pm \mu_{q,n} > 0,$$

and then,  $\psi_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, +}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) \in L^2$  and  $\psi_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, -}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) \notin L^2(0, l), \forall q$ .

For the other types of forms we just need to use the Remark 10.16 to obtain the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.23.** *Let  $\theta_\pm$  be one of the forms given in Lemma 10.19. Then,  $\theta_\pm$ ,  $d\theta_\pm$  and  $d^\dagger\theta_\pm$  are square integrable according to the following tables. If  $m = \dim W = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :*

forms type	signal	$\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d^\dagger\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$
<i>E</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>E</i>	-	$q = p - 1$	$q = p$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	-	$q = p + 1$	$\forall q$	$q = p$
<i>I</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>I</i>	-	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\exists q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	-	$\exists q$	$\forall q$	$q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$
<i>III</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>III</i>	-	$\exists q$	$q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	-	$q = p + 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < 1$	$\forall q$	$\exists q$

If  $m = \dim W = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

forms type	signal	$\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$	$d^\dagger\theta^{(q)} \in L^2$
<i>E</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>E</i>	-	$q = p - 1$ or $q = p$	$q = p$ or $q = p + 1$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>O</i>	-	$q = p + 1$ or $q = p + 2$	$\forall q$	$q = p$ or $q = p + 1$
<i>I</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>I</i>	-	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\exists q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>II</i>	-	$\exists q$	$\forall q$	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$
<i>III</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>III</i>	-	$\exists q$	$q = p - 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	+	$\forall q$	$\forall q$	$\forall q$
<i>IV</i>	-	$q = p + 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{p-1,k} < \frac{3}{4}$ $q = p + 2, \tilde{\lambda}_{p,k} < \frac{3}{4}$	$\forall q$	$\exists q$

**10.24. Spectral properties.** We give the Green formula for the cone. Next we state the more relevant spectral properties of the Hodge-Laplace operator.

**Lemma 10.25.** *Let  $\omega$  be a square integrable  $q$  form on the cone, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_C^{(q)} \omega, \omega \rangle &= - [h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1'(x) f_1(x)]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 - \left[ (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_2)'(x) f_2(x) \right]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q} (f_1'(x))^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \int_0^l h^{2\alpha_{q-1}-1}(x) \left( (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} f_2(x))' \right)^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1} \int_0^l h^{-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_1^2(x) dx \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2} \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} \frac{f_2^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &- 4 \int_0^l h'(x) h^{-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1(x) f_2(x) dx \langle \tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  a square integrable form on the cone. Then, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \Delta_C^{(q)} \theta \wedge \star_C \theta &= \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) \left( \mathcal{F}_1^{(q)} f_1 \right) (x) f_1(x) dx \int_W \omega_1 \wedge \tilde{\star} \omega_1 \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) \left( \mathcal{F}_2^{(q)} f_2 \right) (x) f_2(x) dx \int_W \omega_2 \wedge \tilde{\star} \omega_2 \\ &- 2 \int_0^l h'(x) h^{1-\alpha_q-\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_1(x) f_2(x) dx \left( \int_W \tilde{d}\omega_2 \wedge \tilde{\star} \omega_1 + \int_W \tilde{d}^\dagger \omega_1 \wedge \tilde{\star} \omega_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1^{(q)} &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (1-2\alpha_q) \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1}}{h^2(x)} \\ &= -h^{2\alpha_q-1} \frac{d}{dx} \left( h^{1-2\alpha_q} \frac{d}{dx} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1}}{h^2(x)}, \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{(q)} &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2\alpha_{q-1}-1) \left( \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} - \frac{(h'(x))^2}{h^2(x)} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2}}{h^2(x)} \\ &= -\frac{d}{dx} \left( h^{2\alpha_{q-1}-1} \frac{d}{dx} h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2}}{h^2(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

We may apply integration by parts in the integrals on the line obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) \left( \mathcal{F}_1^{(q)} f_1 \right) (x) f_1(x) dx &= - [h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1'(x) f_1(x)]_\epsilon^l + \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) (f_1'(x))^2 dx \\ &+ \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1} \int_0^l h^{-2\alpha_q-1}(x) f_1^2(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) \left( \mathcal{F}_2^{(q)} f_2 \right) (x) f_2(x) dx &= - \left[ (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} f_2)' (x) f_2(x) \right]_0^l \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{2\alpha_{q-1}-1} \left( (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} f_2)' (x) \right)^2 dx \\ &+ \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2} \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) \frac{f_2^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_C^{(q)} \omega, \omega \rangle &= - \left[ h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1'(x) f_1(x) \right]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 - \left[ (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_2)' (x) f_2(x) \right]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q} (f_1'(x))^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \int_0^l h^{2\alpha_{q-1}-1} (x) \left( (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} f_2(x))' \right)^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ \tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1} \int_0^l h^{-2\alpha_q-1}(x) f_1^2(x) dx \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2} \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} \frac{f_2^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &- 2 \int_0^l h'(x) h^{-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1(x) f_2(x) dx \left( \langle \tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_1 \rangle + \langle \tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note applying this formula for the solutions of the eigenvalues equation, since all the forms on the section are co exact, last term in the above equation vanishes.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.26.** *Let  $\omega$  be a square integrable  $q$  form on the cone, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_C^{(q)} \omega, \omega \rangle &= - \left[ h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1'(x) f_1(x) \right]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 - \left[ (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_2)' (x) f_2(x) \right]_0^l \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ 2 \left[ h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1(x) f_2(x) \right]_0^l \langle \tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_1 \rangle \\ &+ \|d\omega\|^2 + \|d^\dagger \omega\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d\omega, d\omega \rangle &= \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q} (f_1'(x))^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) \frac{f_1^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \|\tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) \frac{f_2^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \|\tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &- 2 \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) f_1'(x) f_2(x) dx \langle \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d^\dagger \omega, d^\dagger \omega \rangle &= \int_0^l h^{2\alpha_{q-1}-1} (x) \left( (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_2(x))' \right)^2 dx \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &+ \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_q}(x) \frac{f_1(x)^2}{h(x)^2} dx \|\tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 + \int_0^l h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) \frac{f_2^2(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \|\tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &- 2 \int_0^l (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}(x) f_2(x))' \frac{f_1(x)}{h^2(x)} dx \langle \tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if  $\omega$  is co exact  $\|\tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}\|^2 = \tilde{\lambda}\|\tilde{\omega}\|^2$ , if it is exact  $\|\tilde{d}^\dagger\tilde{\omega}\|^2 = \tilde{\lambda}\|\tilde{\omega}\|^2$ , and that

$$h^{-2}f_1(h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}}f_2)' = h^{-2}f_1(h^2h^{1-2\alpha_q}f_2)' = 2h'h^{-2\alpha_q}f_1f_2 + (h^{1-2\alpha_q}f_1f_2)',$$

we have the thesis.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.27.** *The operators  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(q)}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(q)}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{rel},m}^{(q)}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{rel},m^c}^{(q)}$  are self-adjoint, non negative and have compact resolvent. The spectrum is discrete. The eigenfunctions determine a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(I_{a,b}; \Omega^{(q)}(W))$ .*

*Proof.* Non negativity follows by Proposition 10.26. By definition, the operator  $\Delta_{\text{bc},p}^{(q)}$  is the direct sum of the operators

$$\Delta_{\text{bc},p}^{(q)} = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \Delta_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2}^{(q)} = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \bigoplus_{w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \Delta_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)},$$

corresponding to the decomposition introduced in Section 10.6. So

$$\Delta_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)} = \text{ad}A_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q \text{ad}^{-1},$$

where (formally)

$$A_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & -2\frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{d} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \\ -2\frac{h'}{h^2} \tilde{d}^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}} & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2 + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2},$$

and  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2})$  is a pair of eigenvalues of the Laplace operators  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q-1)}$  on the smooth forms on the section,  $\alpha_q = q + \frac{1}{2}(1-m)$ , and  $(\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{w_{n_1}}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_2}}})$  two eigenfunctions in the corresponding eigenspaces. Thus, to study the operator  $A^q$  is equivalent to study each of the operators  $A_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^q$ , acting on  $C^\infty(I_{a,b}) \times C^\infty(I_{a,b})$ , and in particular we have the identification

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda, \alpha} = \mathfrak{l}_{\sqrt{\lambda + \alpha^2}, \alpha},$$

where the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$  is the one studied in Section 9.1.

It is fundamental to observe that in the operator  $\Delta_{\text{bc},p, n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)}$  not only the indices  $n$  are fixed, but also the particular forms  $\tilde{\varphi}$  as appearing in the matrix above. Therefore, when we consider the solutions of the associated differential equations, we always fall in one particular type of solutions, among those described in Lemmas 6.21, 10.15.

Let  $\psi$  be any square integrable form on the cone. Then, using the decomposition on the section in terms of coexact forms, we have the following possibilities:

- a.  $\psi_a = f_a \tilde{\sigma}_a,$
- b.  $\psi_b = f_b \tilde{d} \tilde{\sigma}_b,$
- c.  $\psi_c = f_c dx \wedge \tilde{\sigma}_c,$
- d.  $\psi_d = f_d dx \wedge \tilde{d} \tilde{\sigma}_d,$

where  $\sigma_j$  are coexact forms on the section. Consider these cases independently. Take a square integrable form of type a,  $\psi_a$ , then the equation

$$(\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})\omega = \psi_a,$$

reduces to the equation

$$(\lambda - \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1,\alpha_q}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n_1,w_{n_1},j_{w_{n_1}}}})u = u_a,$$

where  $u$  and  $u_a$  are the first components after application of the isomorphism  $\text{ad}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\alpha} = \mathfrak{t}_{\sqrt{\lambda+\alpha^2},\alpha}$ , the last equation has a solution and this solution is in the domain of the corresponding operator  $\lambda - L_{\nu,\alpha}$  is given by the integral operator  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}$ , i.e. the resolvent of  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$ , described in Proposition 9.32, i.e.

$$u_1 = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}v_{a,1}.$$

By that proposition and its corollary the result follows for forms of type a.

Take a square integrable form of type d,  $\psi_d$ , then the equation

$$(\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})\omega = \psi_d,$$

reduces to the equation

$$(\lambda - \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2,-\alpha_{q-2}}})u_2 = v_{a,2},$$

where  $u_2$  and  $v_{a,2}$  are the second components after application of the isomorphism  $\text{ad}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\alpha} = \mathfrak{t}_{\sqrt{\lambda+\alpha^2},\alpha}$ , the last equation has a solution and this solution is in the domain of the corresponding operator  $\lambda - L_{\nu,\alpha}$  is given by the integral operator  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}$ , i.e. the resolvent of  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$ , described in Proposition 9.32, i.e.

$$u_2 = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}v_{a,2}.$$

By the that proposition and its corollary the result follows for forms of type b.

It remains to deal with the forms of type b and c. As in the determination of the solutions of the eigenvalues equation, we observe that the subspace generated by forms of types b and c coincides with the space generated by the forms  $d\psi_a$  and  $d^\dagger\psi_d$ . Therefore, we can work with the last space. So let  $d\psi_a$  be square integrable, since

$$d(\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)}) = (\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})d,$$

if  $\omega$  is a solution of

$$(\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})\omega = \psi_a,$$

then  $d\omega$  is a solution of

$$(\lambda - \Delta_{bc,p,n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})d\omega = d\psi_a.$$

Such a  $\omega$  is given by

$$((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}v_{a,1}, 0),$$

so  $d\omega$  is

$$\mathfrak{d}((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\nu,\alpha})^{-1}v_{a,1}, 0).$$

This shows that also in this case we have an integral kernel resolvent constructed by means of the resolvent of the operator  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$ , and therefore the result follows also in this case by Proposition 9.32 and its corollaries.

Thus, each of the operators  $(\lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})^{-1}$  is a bounded compact operator. By the second resolvent identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})^{-1} - (\lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)})^{-1} \right\| \\ &= \left\| (\lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)})^{-1} \right\| \left\| \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)} - \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)} \right\| \\ & \left\| (\lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)})^{-1} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition  $\Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)} \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)}$  (it is a direct sum decomposition, projection on a complete orthonormal system), it follows that

$$\left( \lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)} \right)^{-1} \rightarrow \left( \lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Since each  $\left( \lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p},n_1,n_2,w_{n_1},w_{n_2},j_{w_{n_1}},j_{w_{n_2}}}^{(q)} \right)^{-1}$  is (bounded) compact,  $\left( \lambda - \Delta_{\text{bc,p}}^{(q)} \right)^{-1}$  is compact [Wei80, 6.4].  $\square$

**10.28. The harmonic forms.** In this section we describe the space of the harmonic forms of the operator  $A_{\nu,\alpha}$  and consequently of the operator  $\Delta$ . Even if the calculations are made in the adjoint space, i.e., for the operator  $A_{\nu,\alpha}$ , the statements are given for the geometric operator  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition 10.29.** *There are the following natural isomorphisms between the spaces of the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs,m}^c}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W))$  of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs,m}^c}$  and those of the operator  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs,m}^c}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^q(W), & 0 \leq q \leq p-1, \\ \{0\}, & p \leq q \leq m. \end{cases}$$

where  $m = 2p - 1$  or  $m = 2p$ , with  $p \geq 1$ .

The precise isomorphism is as follows: let  $\mathcal{H}^q(W) = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har},j}^{(q)} \rangle$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs,m}^c}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \langle \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,0)h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har},j}^{(q)} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har},j}^{(q)} \rangle,$$

for  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ .

*Proof.* We look for the harmonics of  $\Delta_{\text{abs,m}^c}$  among the solutions of the harmonic equation described in Lemma 10.19. By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs,m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{T,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}^\pm}^{(q)}$  ( $T = E, O, I, II, III, IV$ ) to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of one of the following operators:  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^p$  or  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^{p-1}$ , where the last two appears only if the dimension  $m = 2p$  is even. Thus it is easier to proceed distinguishing the dimensions.

Assume  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$  is odd.

I: According to Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type I are

$$\theta_{I,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}^\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x)\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{E,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}\pm}^{(q)}$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ . This means that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{abs},+}$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  should be an harmonic of  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{abs},+}$ . By Lemma 9.28, this means that either  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm} = 0$  or  $\mu_{q,n} = \alpha_q$ , so the analysis reduces to that of solutions of type E.

II: By Lemma 10.19 the solution of the harmonic equation of type II are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) &= h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,0) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &\quad + \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \right)' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu,\alpha}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}\pm}^{(q)}$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ .

By Lemma 10.23, the minus solutions are not in  $L^2$ , so we just need to consider the plus solutions. Write the solutions in the adjoint form and apply the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{n,n,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q$ ; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_{n,n,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q \theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},+}^{(q)}(x,0) &= \left( \begin{array}{c} h(x)^{-1} \mathfrak{l}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathfrak{l}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \right)' \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\text{abs},+}$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0)$  should be an harmonic of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\text{abs},+}$ . By Lemma 9.28, this means that either  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0) = 0$  or  $\mu_{q-1,n} = \alpha_{q-1}$ . In the last case,  $\mu_{q-1,n} = \alpha_{q-1}$  implies that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n} = 0$ , i.e. that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}$  is an harmonic of the section, whence the analysis reduces to that of solutions of type O.

III: Proceeding as for the solutions of type II, we obtain that  $\mu_{q-1} = -\alpha_{q-1}$  and then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n} = 0$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}$  is an harmonic of the section and the solutions of type III are trivial.

IV: Proceeding as for the solutions of type I, we obtain that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-2)}$  is an harmonic of the section, therefore the solutions of type IV are trivial.

E: According Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type E are

$$\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) h^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0)$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ .

This means that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{abs}, +}$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0)$  should be an harmonic of  $L_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{abs}, +}$ . By Lemma 9.28, this means that either  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{abs}, +} = 0$  or  $|\alpha_q| = -\alpha_q$ .

Note that the condition  $|\alpha_q| = -\alpha_q$  gives  $q \leq p - 1$ , independently on the parity of the dimension  $m$ . It follows that if  $q \geq p$ , the kernel of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{mc}}$  is trivial. If  $q < p - 1$ , the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, -}(x, 0)$  is not square integrable by Lemma 10.22, thus may not be in the kernel. If  $q = p - 1$  then  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, \alpha_{p-1}}(x, 0)$  does not satisfy the plus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , thus may not be in the kernel too. Thus it remains only  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, +}(x, 0) = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_q}(x)$ , that according to Lemma 9.28 generates the kernel of  $L_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{abs}, +}$ .

O: According Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type E are

$$\theta_{O, \pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{mc}}$ , for  $\theta_{O, \pm}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, +}^q$ . This means that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  must belong to the domain of  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{rel}, +}$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  should be an harmonic of  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{rel}, +}$ . Since the kernel of this operator is trivial by Lemma 9.28, there are not harmonics of type O.

Assume  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , is even.

I: According to Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type I are

$$\theta_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{mc}}$ , for  $\theta_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \pm}^{(q)}$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, +}^q$ , when  $q \neq p + 1$ , or to the domain of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, -}^{p+1}$ . The analysis for the  $+$  operators is the same as for the case of odd dimension, and shows that the solutions reduces to solutions of type E to be in the kernel. It remains to consider the case of degree  $p + 1$ . But observe that in the definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{mc}}$ , the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, -}^{p+1}$  actually appears only if  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = 0$ . Otherwise the plus operator appears. This means that also in degree  $p + 1$  the solutions that may belong to the kernel reduce to solutions of type E.

II: By Lemma 10.19 the solution of the harmonic equation of type II are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) = & h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1}, \pm}(x, 0) d\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ & + \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1}, \pm}(x, 0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \right)' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{l}_{\nu, \alpha}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}^\pm}^{(q)}$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ .

By Lemma 10.23, the minus solutions are not in  $L^2$ , so we just need to consider the plus solutions. Write the solutions in the adjoint form and apply the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{n,n,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q$ ; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_{n,n,\text{ex},\text{cex}}^q \theta_{II,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,+}^{(q)}}(x,0) &= \left( \begin{array}{c} h(x)^{-1} \iota_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}} \\ h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}} \left( \iota_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \right)' \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\text{abs},+}$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,0)$  should be an harmonic of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},\text{abs},+}$ . By Lemma 9.28, this means that either  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},+} = 0$  or  $\mu_{q-1,n} = \alpha_{q-1}$ . In the last case,  $\mu_{q-1,n} = \alpha_{q-1}$  implies that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n} = 0$ , i.e. that  $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}}$  is an harmonic of the section, whence the analysis reduces to that of solutions of type O.

III: Proceeding as for the solutions of type II, we obtain that  $\mu_{q-1} = -\alpha_{q-1}$  and then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,\text{cex}}^{(q-1)}}$  is an harmonic of the section and the solutions of type III are trivial.

IV: Proceeding as for the solutions of type I, we obtain that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n,\text{cex}}^{(q-2)}}$  is an harmonic of the section, therefore the solutions of type IV are trivial.

E: According Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type E are

$$\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) h^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\iota_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0)$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ , when  $q \neq p+1$ , or to the domain of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^{p+1}$ . The analysis for the + operators, i.e.  $q \neq p+1$ , is the same as for the case of odd dimension, whence it follows that there are not harmonics of type E in the kernel of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$  is trivial if  $q \geq p$ ,  $q \neq p+1$ , and while  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,0) = h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_q}(x)$ , is in the kernel of of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$  if  $q \leq p-1$ .

It remains to consider the case of degree  $p+1$ . Since  $\alpha_{p+1} = \frac{3}{2}$ , recalling the definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^{p+1}$ , in this case the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\pm}(x,0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\text{abs}}$ , since  $\nu = \frac{3}{2} > 1$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\pm}(x,0)$  should be an harmonic of  $L_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\text{abs}}$ . By Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\text{abs}}$  is trivial, so we do not have harmonics of type E in the kernel of of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}$  in degree  $p+1$ .

O: According Lemma 10.19 the solutions of the harmonic equation of type E are

$$\theta_{O,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x,0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, \alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, \alpha_{q-2}}$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{O,0,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}^q$  or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},+}^q$ , when  $q \neq p+1$ , or to the domain of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^{p+1}$ . The analysis for the + operators, i.e.  $q \neq p+1$ , is the same as for the case of odd dimension, whence it follows that there are not harmonics of type O in the kernel of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{m}^c}$  if  $q \leq p+1$ .

It remains to consider the case of degree  $p+1$ . Since  $\alpha_{p+1} = \frac{3}{2}$ , recalling the definition of  $\Delta_{\text{abs},-}^{p+1}$ , in this case the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  must belong to the domain of the operator  $L_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \text{rel}}$ , since  $\nu = \frac{3}{2} > 1$ . So  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  should be an harmonic of  $L_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \text{rel}}$ . By Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \text{rel}}$  is trivial, so we do not have harmonics in the kernel of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{m}^c}$  in degree  $p+1$ .  $\square$

Note that if  $m = 2p-1$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, m} = \Delta_{\text{abs}, \text{m}^c}$ , whence  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, m}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, \text{m}^c}^q(C_{0,l}(W))$ .

**Proposition 10.30.** *If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , there is the following natural isomorphism between the spaces of the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, m}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W))$  of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, m}$  and that of the operator  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, m}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^q(W) & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ \{0\} & p+1 \leq q \leq 2p+1. \end{cases}$$

The precise isomorphisms are as follows: let  $\mathcal{H}^q(W) = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle$ , then:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, m}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \begin{cases} \langle \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, +}(x, 0) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle, & 0 \leq q \leq p-1, \\ \langle \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, -}(x, 0) h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(p)} \rangle, & q = p. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is very much the same as the last proposition, so we just outline here the key part of it, namely we verify that the forms of type  $E-$  indeed verify the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  in degree  $q = p$ . For, if  $q = p$ ,  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\nu_{p,0} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -}(x, 0) = 1$ , generates the kernel of  $L_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{abs}, -}$  by Lemma 9.28. So we have verified that  $\theta_{E,-}^{(p)}$  is in the domain of  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, m}^{(p)}$  and it is an harmonic.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.31.** *There are the following natural isomorphisms between the spaces of the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W))$  of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}$  and those of the operator  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(W), & p+1 \leq q \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where  $m = 2p-1$  or  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . The precise isomorphism is as follows: let  $\mathcal{H}^q(W) = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}^q(C_{0,l}(W)) = \langle h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-2}+1} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q-1)} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the one of the Proposition ??, the forms of type *I*, *II* reduce to forms of type *E* and *O*, and those of type *III* and *IV* are trivial. We discuss only the forms of types *E* and *O*.

*E* $\pm$ : According Lemma 10.19

$$\theta_{E,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}.$$

If  $m = 2p - 1$ , the forms  $\theta_{E,-}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  are in  $L^2$  only if  $q = p - 1$ . But these forms do not satisfy the plus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , and therefore they are not in the domain of  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}$ . The forms  $\theta_{E,+}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  are in  $L^2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, +}(x, 0)$  should be in the kernel of  $L_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{rel}, +}$  or  $L_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, \text{rel}}$  depending on  $q$ . But by Lemma 9.28 both kernels are trivial. If  $m = 2p$  the only change is that the forms  $\theta_{E,-}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  are in  $L^2$  only if  $q = p - 1$  or  $q = p$ , but these forms do not satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  and therefore are not in the domain  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}$ . For the forms  $\theta_{E,+}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  the argument is the same as before, and then they are not harmonic.

*O* $\pm$ : By Lemma 10.19

$$\theta_{O,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-2} + \frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}.$$

According to Definition 10.13, the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  is a solution of the harmonic equation for the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}}$ .

Consider first the odd case,  $m = 2p - 1$ . By definition of  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}$ , for  $\theta_{O,\pm}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  to be an harmonic, it is necessary that it belongs to the domain of either the operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}}^q$ , with  $q \neq p - 1, p + 1$ , or the operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, +}^q$ , when  $q = p - 1, p + 1$ . Thus we need to consider only forms of type  $+$ . Then, the requirement of satisfying the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  traduces in the requirement that the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0)$  belongs to the domain of either the operators  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{abs}}$ , with  $q \neq p - 1, p + 1$  or  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{abs}, +}$ , with  $q = p - 1, p + 1$ .

If  $q \geq p + 1$  then  $\alpha_{q-2} \geq 0$  and by Lemma 9.28 the kernel of both operators is

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0) = h^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_{q-2}}(x).$$

Then the forms  $\theta_{O,+}^{(q)}(x)$  are harmonics.

If  $q \leq p$  then  $\alpha_{q-2} < 0$  and by Lemma 9.28, the kernel both the operators is trivial. Then any  $\theta_{O,+}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  is not harmonic.

Next, assume  $m = 2p$  is even. By the definition of  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, \text{m}^c}$  the forms of type  $+$  satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  for all  $q \neq p + 1$  while if  $q = p + 1$  we have the form  $\theta_{O,-}^{(q)}(x)$ .

If  $q \geq p + 2$  then  $\alpha_{q-2} > 0$  and by Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{abs}, +}$  is generated by

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0) = h^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_{q-2}}(x).$$

Therefore, the forms  $\theta_{O,+}^{(q)}(x, 0) = h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-2} + 1}dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{q-1}$  are harmonics.

If  $q < p + 1$  then  $\alpha_{q-2} < 0$  and by Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \text{abs}, +}$  is trivial. This implies that the forms  $\theta_{O,+}^{(q)}(x, 0)$  are not harmonics.

If  $q = p + 1$  then  $\alpha_{q-2} = \alpha_{p-1} = -\frac{1}{2}$ . By Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{abs}, -}$  is generated by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -}(x, 0) = 1.$$

Therefore, the form  $\theta_{O, -}^{(p+1)}(x, 0) = dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(p)}$  is harmonic.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.32.** *If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , there are the following natural isomorphisms between the spaces of the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, m}^{\bullet}(C_{0, l}(W))$  of the operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, m}$  and those of the operator  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, m}^q(C_{0, l}(W)) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & 0 \leq q \leq p + 1, \\ \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(W) & p + 2 \leq q \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where  $m = 2p - 1$  or  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . The precise isomorphisms are as follows: let  $\mathcal{H}^q(W) = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q)} \rangle$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, m}^q(C_{0, l}(W)) = \langle h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-2}+1} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}, j}^{(q-1)} \rangle,$$

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the proof of the last proposition. We will discuss only the cases of forms of type  $E$  and  $O$ , that are the cases where differences occur. The only changes appear in dimension  $q = p$  and  $q = p + 1$ . If  $q = p$ , then we have the  $-$  boundary condition at  $x = 0$ . The only  $L^2$  form of type  $-$  in this dimension is  $\theta_{E, -}^{(p)}$ . Note that  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{2}$ , whence, by Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{rel}, -}$  is trivial, and then  $\theta_{E, -}^{(p)}$  is not a harmonic form. If  $q = p + 1$ , then we have the  $+$  boundary condition at 0. This implies that the relevant form is  $\theta_{O, +}^{(p+1)}$ . Note that  $\alpha_{q-2} = \alpha_{p-1} = -\frac{1}{2}$  and, by Lemma 9.28, the kernel of  $L_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{abs}, +}$  is trivial. Therefore, the form  $\theta_{O, +}^{(p+1)}$  is not a harmonic form.  $\square$

**Remark 10.33.** *It follows by the proof of the previous propositions that harmonic fields and harmonic forms coincide on the cone. For this reason we will use the standard notation of harmonic forms.*

**Proposition 10.34.** *The Hodge star  $\star : \Omega^q(C_{0, l}(W)) \rightarrow \Omega^{m+1-q}(C_{0, l}(W))$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\star : \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}, m}^q(C_{0, l}(W)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}, m^c}^{m+1-q}(C_{0, l}(W)).$$

*Proof.* Note that  $m - 2q = 2\alpha_{m-(q-1)-2} + 1$ , then the proof is a direct consequence of the propositions of Section 10.28 and the definition of the Hodge star in Section 10.2.  $\square$

**10.35. The spectrum and the eigenfunctions.** In this section we exhibit a useful description of the spectrum of the operators  $A^q$  with the different boundary conditions, and consequently of the Laplace operators. As in the previous section, we prefer to write down the result for the geometric operators.

As above, we fix a complete orthonormal basis  $\left\{ \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex}, n}^{(q)}, \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex}, n}^{(q-1)} \right\}$  of  $\Omega^q(W)$  consisting of harmonic, coexact and exact eigenforms of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$ , and we set  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n}$  denote the eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{cex}, n}^{(q)}$ , and  $m_{\text{cex}, q, n} = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{cex}, n}^{(q)}$ .

**Proposition 10.36.** *The positive part of the spectrum of the lower Hodge-Laplace operators  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}$  on  $C_{0,l}(W)$ , with absolute boundary conditions on  $\partial C_{0,l}(W)$  is as follows. If  $m = \dim W = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(q)} &= \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(q)} \\ &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = \dim W = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(q \neq p, p+1)} &= \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(q \neq p, p+1)} \\ &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(p)} &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p,n,\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1,n},\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1,n},-\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-2,n},-\alpha_{p-2},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p-2}|,-\alpha_{p-2},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}^{(p+1)} &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p+1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p+1,n},\alpha_{p+1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p,n,\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \ell_{\mu_p,n,-\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1,n},-\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p+1} : \ell_{|\alpha_p|,-\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(p)} &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p,n,\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1,n},\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1,n},-\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-2,n},-\alpha_{p-2},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},+,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p-2}|,-\alpha_{p-2},k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs},m}^{(p+1)} &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p+1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p+1,n},\alpha_{p+1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p,n,\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p,n} : \ell_{\mu_p,n,-\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1,n},-\alpha_{p-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p+1} : \ell_{|\alpha_p|,-\alpha_p,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},+,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\ell_{\mu,\alpha,k}$  are the non vanishing zeros of the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(l, \lambda)$ , and  $\hat{\ell}_{\mu,\alpha,k}$  are the non vanishing zeros of the function

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) h'(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(l, \lambda) + h(l) \mathcal{L}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(l, \lambda).$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_q$  and  $\mu_{q,n}$  are defined in Lemma 6.21. The particular case,  $\hat{\ell}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \pm, k}$  are zeros of  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \pm}(l, \lambda)$  discussed in Remark 10.37. The enumeration of the eigenvalues in this lemma is as follows: both the indices start denoting by their minimum allowed value, i.e. 1, the smaller of the positive eigenvalues; respectively: the index  $n$  for the eigenvalues of the section, the index  $k$  for the eigenvalues of the operator on the segment.

*Proof.* The result follows by considering all those solutions of the eigenvalues equation as described in Lemma 10.15 that are square integrable, according to the table in Lemma 10.22, and that satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  according to the Definition 10.11. Then we only need to consider the positive solutions if  $m = 2p - 1$  and if  $m = 2p$ , we need to consider negative solutions of type  $E$  and  $O$  in dimensions  $q = p$  and  $q = p + 1$ .

We consider in some details the odd case,  $m = 2p - 1$ , for the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}, m^c}$  and afterwards the we outline the main points in the even case  $m = 2p$ .

I+: A solution of type I+ is

$$\psi_{I, \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n,+}}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_{q,+}}(x, \lambda) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q)},$$

This solution is square integrable and satisfies the plus boundary condition at  $x = 0$  for all  $q$ . We may apply the the boundary condition at  $x = l$ , that reads

$$\left( h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_{q,+}}(x, \lambda) \right)' \Big|_{x=l} = 0,$$

and this gives the first set of eigenvalues  $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_{q,k}}$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

II+ A solution of type II is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{II, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,+}}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1}, \alpha_{q-1,n,+}}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\text{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &+ (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1}, \alpha_{q-1,n,+}}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}})' dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the boundary condition at  $x = l$  (10.4) is

$$\left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1,+}}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \right)' \Big|_{x=l} = 0,$$

and gives the second set of eigenvalues  $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, \alpha_{q-1,k}}$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

III+ For the solution of type III we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{III, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n,+}}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) &= h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-1} + 1} \partial_x (h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, -\alpha_{q-1,+}}(x, \lambda)) \tilde{\text{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)} \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, -\alpha_{q-1,+}}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{3}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\text{d}}^\dagger \tilde{\text{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1,n}, \text{cex}}^{(q-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the boundary condition reads

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, -\alpha_{q-1,+}}(l, \lambda) h(l)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{3}{2}} = 0, \\ \partial_x (h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-1} + 1} \partial_x (h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1,n}, -\alpha_{q-1,+}}(x, \lambda))) \Big|_{x=l} = 0, \end{cases}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x(h(x)^{2\alpha_{q-1}+1}\partial_x(h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1},n,-\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,\lambda))) \\ &= -h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}}{h(x)^2}\right)\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-1},n,-\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

this gives the third set of eigenvalues  $\ell_{\mu_{q-1},n,-\alpha_{q-1},k}$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

IV+ A solution of type IV+ is

$$\psi_{IV,\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n,+}}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q-2},n,-\alpha_{q-2},+}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-2}+\frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-2,n},\text{cex}}^{(q-2)}.$$

If we apply the boundary condition in equation (10.4), we obtain the fourth set of eigenvalues  $\{m_{\text{cex},q-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-2},n,-\alpha_{q-2},k}\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ .

E+ A solution of type E+ is

$$\psi_{E,+}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)},$$

and the boundary condition at  $x = l$  gives

$$\left(h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,l)\right)'|_{x=l} = 0,$$

since by Lemma C.3

$$\partial_x\left(h(x)^{\alpha_q-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,\lambda)\right) = A_+h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,\lambda)$$

the boundary condition at  $x = l$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},+}(l,\lambda) = 0,$$

and this gives the set  $\ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},k}$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

O+ A solution of type O+ is

$$\psi_{O,+}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},+}(x,\lambda)h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)},$$

the boundary condition is

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},+}(l,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)} = 0,$$

and this gives the set  $\ell_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},k}$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$

We now consider the case  $\dim W = 2p$ . In such a case, we need some care with the forms  $E$  and  $O$  in dimensions  $q = p$  and  $q = p + 1$ .

If we are in the case  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}^{(p)}$ , then all the forms of type  $E\pm$  are in  $L^2$ , but only the  $+$  one satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ ; applying the boundary condition at  $x = l$  and using Lemma C.3, we obtain the set  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . In the case  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}^c}^{(p+1)}$ , all the forms of type  $O\pm$  are in  $L^2$ , but only the  $-$  one satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ ; if we apply the boundary condition at  $x = l$  we obtain the set  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

In the case  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}}^{(p)}$ , only the form  $E-$  satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , applying the boundary condition at  $x = l$  and Lemma C.3, we obtain the set  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},+,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The last case is  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\text{m}}^{(p+1)}$ , then only the form  $O-$  satisfies the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , and if we apply the boundary condition at  $x = l$ , the set of the eigenvalues is  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$   $\square$

**Remark 10.37.** *In this remark we discuss the particular case of the eigenvalues  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \pm, k}$  appearing in the even case  $m = 2p$  in dimensions  $p$  or  $p+1$ . By definition, these numbers are the zeros of the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{|\frac{1}{2}|, \frac{1}{2}, \pm}$ , that are two independent solutions of the equation (see Section 10.14)*

$$u'' + \lambda u = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\frac{1}{2}|, \frac{1}{2}, +}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x), \quad \mathcal{L}_{|\frac{1}{2}|, \frac{1}{2}, -}(x, \lambda) = \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x),$$

adopting the normalisation introduced in Remark 9.9. It follows that,

$$\ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, +, k} = \left(\frac{\pi}{l}k\right)^2, \quad \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2l}(2k-1)\right)^2.$$

The associated zeta functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{-}(s) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2l}\right)^{-2s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1)^{-2s} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2l}\right)^{-2s} (\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(2s) - 2^{-2s}\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(2s)), \\ \zeta_{+}(s) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{l}\right)^{-2s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k)^{-2s} = \left(\frac{\pi}{l}\right)^{-2s} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(2s). \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will need their derivative at  $s = 0$ , that may be easily computed using that of the Riemann zeta function.

**Proposition 10.38.** *The positive part of the spectrum of the Hodge-Laplace operators  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, m}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{rel}, m^c}$  on  $C_{0, l}(W)$ , with relative boundary conditions on  $\partial C_{0, l}(W)$  is as follows. If  $m = \dim W = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_{+} \Delta_{\text{rel}, m}^{(q)} &= \text{Sp}_{+} \Delta_{\text{rel}, m^c}^{(q)} \\ &= \{m_{\text{cex}, q, n} : \ell_{\mu_{q, n}, \alpha_q, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-1, n}, \alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1, n}, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-2, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-2, n}, -\alpha_{q-2}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \{m_{\text{har}, q} : \ell_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{har}, q-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, \alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = \dim W = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_{+} \Delta_{\text{rel}, m}^{(q \neq p, p+1)} &= \text{Sp}_{+} \Delta_{\text{rel}, m^c}^{(q \neq p, p+1)} \\ &= \{m_{\text{cex}, q, n} : \ell_{\mu_{q, n}, \alpha_q, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-1, n}, \alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1, n}, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{cex}, q-2, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-2, n}, -\alpha_{q-2}, k}\}_{n, k=1}^{\infty} \\ &\quad \cup \{m_{\text{har}, q} : \ell_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup \{m_{\text{har}, q-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, \alpha_{q-1}, k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathbf{m}^c}^{(p)} &= \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \ell_{\mu_p, n, \alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1}, n, \alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1}, n, -\alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-2, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-2}, n, -\alpha_{p-2}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, +, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, \alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathbf{m}}^{(p+1)} &= \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p+1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{p+1}, n, \alpha_{p+1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \ell_{\mu_p, n, \alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p, n, -\alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1}, n, -\alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p+1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, +, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathbf{m}}^{(p)} &= \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \ell_{\mu_p, n, \alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{p-1}, n, \alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1}, n, -\alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-2, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-2}, n, -\alpha_{p-2}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p-1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, \alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathbf{m}}^{(p+1)} &= \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p+1, n} : \ell_{\mu_{p+1}, n, \alpha_{p+1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \ell_{\mu_p, n, \alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_p, n, -\alpha_p, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{cex}, p-1, n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1}, n, -\alpha_{p-1}, k} \right\}_{n, k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p+1} : \ell_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\mathrm{har}, p} : \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the proof of Proposition 10.36: we consider the solutions of the eigenvalue equation described in Lemma 6.21 that are square integrable and satisfy the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  described in Definition 10.11 according to the domain of the operator with  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{m}^c$ , and then we apply the relative boundary condition at  $x = l$ , Equation (10.7). We just observe that in the case  $m = 2p$  and dimensions  $q = p$  and  $q = p + 1$  we also used Lemma C.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.39.** *A power of the resolvent of the operators  $\Delta_{\mathrm{bc}, p}^{(q)}$  is of trace class.*

**Theorem 10.40.** *(Hodge decomposition) The operators  $\Delta_{\mathrm{bc}, p}$  have a spectral resolution. The family of the harmonic forms described in Propositions 10.29 and 10.30 plus the family of the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues described in Proposition 10.36 determines a complete basis for the space  $L^2(C_{0, l}(W))$  consisting in eigenfunctions of  $\Delta_{\mathrm{abs}, p}$ . Those in Propositions 10.31, 10.32, and 10.38 gives the corresponding basis for the operators  $\Delta_{\mathrm{rel}, p}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by spectral decomposition on a spectral resolution of the section, and Corollary 9.35.  $\square$

**Remark 10.41.** *With the results presented in propositions 10.34, 10.36 and 10.38 we have the following isomorphisms*

$$\star : D(\Delta_{\text{abs},m}) \rightarrow D(\Delta_{\text{rel},m^c}), \quad \text{and} \quad \star : D(\Delta_{\text{abs},m^c}) \rightarrow D(\Delta_{\text{rel},m}).$$

We conclude comparing the operator  $\Delta_{\text{bc},p}$  with the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_{\text{bc}}$  in the definition of Cheeger, see Remark 10.12. We recall that  $\Delta_{\text{bc}}$  is defined in all cases, up to when  $m = 2p$  and  $H_p(W) \neq 0$ , just by restricting the maximal domain requiring that  $d\omega$  and  $d^\dagger\omega$  are square integrable. When  $m = 2p$  and  $H_p(W) \neq 0$ , some ideal boundary conditions are introduced (we refer to our work [HS17] for definition of ideal boundary condition and the corresponding results for the analytic torsion, in the case of a flat cone).

**Theorem 10.42.** *Assume that if  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , is even, then  $H_p(W) = 0$ . Then, the operators  $\Delta_{\text{abs},p}$  coincide with the operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs}}$ ; the operators  $\Delta_{\text{rel},p}$  coincide with the operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}}$ .*

*Proof.* A long but straightforward verification shows that the Cheeger conditions on square integrability coincide with our conditions in the definitions of the operators  $\Delta_{\text{bc},p}$  on the solutions of the harmonic and eigenvalues equations, respectively. Therefore, these operators have the same spectral resolutions, and the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.43.** *The positive part of the spectrum of the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_{\text{rel}} \partial_{1,\text{abs}} \partial_2$  on  $C_{a,b}(W)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with relative boundary condition on  $\partial_1 = \{a\} \times W$  and absolute boundary conditions on  $\partial_2 = \{b\} \times W$  is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{rel}}^{(q)} \partial_{1,\text{abs}} \partial_2 &= \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}(a,b) \right\}_{n,k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \hat{f}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},k}(a,b) \right\}_{n,k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \hat{f}_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},k}(b,a) \right\}_{n,k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-2,n} : \hat{f}_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},k}(b,a) \right\}_{n,k=1}^\infty \\ &\cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q} : \hat{f}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,k}(a,b) \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \left\{ m_{\text{har},q-1} : \hat{f}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},k}(a,b) \right\}_{k=1}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}_{\mu,c,k}(a,b)$  are non vanishing zeros of

$$\hat{F}_{\mu,c}(a,b,\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu,c,+}(a,\lambda) \partial_x \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu,c,-}(b,\lambda) h(b)^{c-\frac{1}{2}} \right)' - \mathcal{L}_{\mu,c,-}(a,\lambda) \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu,c,+}(b,\lambda) h(b)^{c-\frac{1}{2}} \right)'.$$

*Proof.* All solutions are square integrable, so we just need to apply the boundary conditions. We give some details for solution of Type I, from Lemma 6.21, these have the following form

$$\psi_{I,\lambda_{q,n}}^{(q)}(x,\lambda) = (A \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,+}(x,\lambda) + B \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,-}(x,\lambda)) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{q,n},\text{cex}}^{(q)},$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are constants. The boundary conditions on  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  read as follows:

$$\begin{cases} A \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,+}(a,\lambda) + B \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,-}(a,\lambda) = 0 \\ A \frac{d}{dx} (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,+}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} + B (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,-}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $AB \neq 0$ , we have that  $\lambda$  is the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,+}(a,\lambda) \frac{d}{dx} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,-}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \Big|_{x=b} \\ - \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,-}(a,\lambda) \frac{d}{dx} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,+}(x,\lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \Big|_{x=b} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The others eigenvalues come from the forms of Type II, III, IV, and E and the proofs are similar. The forms of type O require more care. Using Lemma C.3, we have that

$$\psi_O^{(q)}(x,\lambda) = (0, A_{\pm} h(x)^{-\alpha_{q-2}-\frac{1}{2}} (h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda))' \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}).$$

Now we consider the boundary conditions at  $x = a$  and  $x = b$ . In the boundary condition at  $x = a$ , we use the relation

$$(h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}+1} (h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda))')' = -\lambda h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},\pm}(x,\lambda),$$

and combining this with the boundary condition at  $x = b$ , we have the following system

$$\begin{cases} A \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},+}(a,\lambda) + B \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},-}(a,\lambda) = 0 \\ A (h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},+}(x,\lambda))' \Big|_{x=b} + B (h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},-}(x,\lambda))' \Big|_{x=b} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving the system in  $\lambda$  gives the  $\hat{f}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,\alpha_{q-1},k}(a,b)$ .  $\square$

## 11. THE TORSION ZETA FUNCTION

In this section we introduce the main objects of our work, the torsion zeta function and the analytic torsion. In the first subsection we decompose the torsion zeta function of the cone and of the frustum in some simpler zeta functions that may be tackle directly by the zeta regularisation techniques introduced in Section D. In the second subsection, we give a decomposition of the analytic torsion that clarifies its geometric structure, and we compare the two decompositions.

The *torsion zeta function* is defined by

$$t_{C_{a,b}^m, \text{BC}}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^q q \zeta(s, \Delta_{\text{BC}}^{(q)}),$$

and the *analytic torsion* is

$$T_{\text{bc}}(C_{a,b}^m) = \exp(t'_{C_{a,b}^m, \text{BC}}(0))$$

**Theorem 11.1** (Duality). *Let  $(W, g)$  be an oriented closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  then*

$$\log T_{\text{abs}, \mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}W) = (-1)^m \log T_{\text{rel}, \mathfrak{p}^c}(C_{0,l}W),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ .

*Proof.* Using the fact that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = \tilde{\lambda}_{(m+1-q)-2,n}$  and  $\alpha_q = -\alpha_{(m+1-q)-2}$  we obtain that  $\mu_{q,n} = \mu_{(m+1-q)-2,n}$ , for all  $n$ . Then, it is easy to see by Propositions 10.36 and 10.38 that

$$\text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{abs}, \mathfrak{p}}^q = \text{Sp}_+ \Delta_{\text{rel}, \mathfrak{p}^c}^{m+1-q}.$$

The eigenvalues are associated to the eigenforms described in the Lemma 10.15. By Remark 10.16, the forms of type I, III, and E are coexact and the forms of type II,

$IV$  and  $O$  are exact. The operator  $d$  sends forms of type  $I$ ,  $III$  and  $E$  in forms of type  $II$ ,  $IV$  and  $O$ , respectively, while the operator  $d^\dagger$  acting as inverse. Consider  $F_{\text{ccl,rel,p}}^{(q)}$  and  $F_{\text{cl,rel,p}}^{(q)}$  being the subsets of  $\text{Sp}_+\Delta_{\text{rel,p}}^{(q)}$  consisting of the eigenvalues associated to co-closed and closed eigenforms, respectively, satisfying the relative and the  $\mathfrak{p}$  boundary conditions. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{C_{0,l}W,\text{abs,p}}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q q \zeta(s, \Delta_{\text{abs,p}}^{(q)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q q \zeta(s, \Delta_{\text{rel,p}^c}^{(m+1-q)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{m+1-q} (m+1-q) \zeta(s, \Delta_{\text{rel,p}^c}^{(q)}) \\
&= (-1)^m t_{C_{0,l}W,\text{rel,p}^c}(s) + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{m+1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \zeta(s, \Delta_{\text{rel,p}^c}^{(q)}) \\
&= (-1)^m t_{C_{0,l}W,\text{rel,p}^c}(s) + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{m+1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q (\zeta(s, F_{\text{ccl,rel,p}^c}^{(q+1)}) + \zeta(s, F_{\text{cl,rel,p}^c}^{(q)})) \\
&= (-1)^m t_{C_{0,l}W,\text{rel,p}^c}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $F_{\text{ccl,rel,p}^c}^{(q)} = F_{\text{cl,rel,p}^c}^{(q+1)}$ . □

## 11.2. Decomposition of the torsion zeta function.

**Proposition 11.3.** *The torsion zeta function of the deformed cone with absolute boundary condition at  $l$  is:*

$$t_{C_{0,l}^m,\text{abs,p}}(s) = t_0^{(m)}(s) + t_1^{(m)}(s) + t_2^{(m)}(s) + t_{3,\mathfrak{p}}^{(m)}(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
t_0^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}_{q,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,+}(s)) + (-1)^{m-1} (\mathcal{Z}_{q,+}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,-}(s)) \right) \\
t_1^{(2p-1)}(s) &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{Z}_{p-1,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p-1,+}(s) \right), \quad t_1^{(2p)}(s) = 0; \\
t_2^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{q+1} (z_{q-1,-}(s) + (-1)^m z_{q,+}(s)), \\
t_3^{(2p-1)}(s) &= 0, \quad t_{3,\text{m}^c}^{(2p)}(s) = \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2} \zeta_-(s), \\
t_{3,\text{m}}^{(2p)}(s) &= \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2} \zeta_+(s),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-s}, & \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-s}, \\ z_{q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},q} \ell_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-s}, & \zeta_{\pm}(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},p} \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\pm,k}^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 10.36 at level  $q$  we have the following eigenvalues that come from the co-exact eigenforms

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q-1,n},\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \\ & \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-1,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-1,n},-\alpha_{q-1},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \cup \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q-2,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q-2,n},-\alpha_{q-2},k} \right\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore in  $t_{C_{a,b}^m}(s)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m_{\text{cex},q,n} \left( q(-1)^q \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} + (q+1)(-1)^{q+1} \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right) \\ & + m_{\text{cex},q,n} \left( (q+1)(-1)^{q+1} \ell_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} + (q+2)(-1)^{q+2} \ell_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right) \\ & = m_{\text{cex},q,n} (-1)^q \left( \ell_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} - \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Hodge duality on the coexact forms on the section, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{cex},q,n} &= m_{\text{cex},m-1-q,n}, & \alpha_q &= -\alpha_{m-1-q} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} &= \tilde{\lambda}_{m-1-q,n}, & \mu_{q,n} &= \mu_{m-1-q,n} \\ \ell_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,n} &= \ell_{\mu_{m-1-q},-\alpha_{m-1-q},n}, & \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q} &= \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{m-1-q},-\alpha_{m-1-q},n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this information we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \left( \ell_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} - \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \left( \left( \ell_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} - \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \ell_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,k}^{-s} - \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q,k}^{-s} \right) \right) \\ & + \left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m-1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last term appear only if  $\frac{m-1}{2}$  is an integer, i.e.,  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd. As  $q = p - 1$  and  $m = 2p - 1$  we obtain  $\alpha_q = 0$ , then

$$\left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m-1}{2} \right) = (-1)^{p-1} \frac{m_{\text{cex},p-1,n}}{2} \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \left( \ell_{\mu_{p-1,n},0,k}^{-2s} - \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{p-1,n},0,k}^{-2s} \right).$$

Next we pass to consider the terms coming from harmonic forms of the section. Collecting the eigenvalues coming from harmonic forms of the section as given in the spectrum (Lemma 10.36), and proceeding as above, we find for  $t_2^{(m)}(s)$  and  $t_3^{(m)}(s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{q=0 \\ q \neq \frac{m}{2}}}^m (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} - (q+1) \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) + \left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m}{2} \right) = \\ = \sum_{\substack{q=0 \\ q \neq \frac{m}{2}}}^m (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} + \left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last term appear only if  $q = \frac{m}{2}$  is an integer, i.e.,  $m = 2p$  is even. In this case,  $q = p$ ,  $\alpha_q = \frac{1}{2}$ . In the  $\mathbf{m}^c$  case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m}{2} \right) &= (-1)^p m_{\text{har},p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( p \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k}^{-s} - (p+1) \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k}^{-s} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} m_{\text{har},p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k}^{-2s} = 2t_{3, \mathbf{m}^c}^{(2p)}(s), \end{aligned}$$

and in the  $\mathbf{m}$  case we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{term with } q = \frac{m}{2} \right) &= (-1)^p m_{\text{har},p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( p \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, +, k}^{-s} - (p+1) \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -, k}^{-s} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} m_{\text{har},p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, +, k}^{-2s} = 2t_{3, \mathbf{m}}^{(2p)}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Back to the other term, it is convenient to distinguish the odd and the even case. Note that in both cases  $\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil = p-1$ . If  $m = 2p-1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{2p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} = \\ = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) + \sum_{q=p}^{2p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) \\ = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) - \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har}, 2p-1-q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{2p-1-q-1}|, -\alpha_{2p-1-q-1}, k}^{-2s} \right) \\ = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) - \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, k}^{-s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2p$ , we already separated  $t_3$ , so we just need to consider the remaining term. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{q=0 \\ q \neq p}}^{2p} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, k}^{-s} \\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) + \sum_{q=p+1}^{2p} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) \\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},2p-q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{2p-q-1}|, -\alpha_{2p-q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) \\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}, k}^{-s} \right) + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_q|, \alpha_q, k}^{-s} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Concluding the proof we make a remark, that may be useful in the following. Observe that in the previous formulas the index  $q$  has the range  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ , where  $m$  is either  $2p-1$  or  $2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then,  $\alpha_q$  varies in the range  $1-p \leq \alpha_q \leq 0$ , if  $m = 2p-1$ , and in the range  $\frac{1}{2}-p \leq \alpha_q \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ , if  $m = 2p$ , and hence  $\alpha_q$  is always non positive. On the other side,  $-\alpha_{q-1} = 1 - \alpha_q$  varies in the range  $1 \leq 1 - \alpha_q \leq p$ , if  $m = 2p-1$ , and in the range  $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \alpha_q \leq \frac{1}{2} + p$ , if  $m = 2p$ , and hence  $-\alpha_{q-1}$  is always greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$  beside the singular instance of  $-\alpha_{p-1} = \frac{1}{2}$  that appears in  $t_3$ , and having been already treated will be disconsidered here.  $\square$

**Proposition 11.4.** *The torsion zeta function of the deformed frustum with relative boundary condition at  $\partial_1$  and absolute boundary condition at  $\partial_2$  is ( $l_2 > l_1 > 0$ ):*

$$t_{C_{l_1, l_2}^m(W), \text{mix}}(s) = w_0^{(m)}(s) + w_1^{(m)}(s) + w_2^{(m)}(s) + w_3^{(m)}(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,-}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,+}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,-}(s; a, b) \right) \right) \\
w_1^{(2p-1)}(s) &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p-1,-}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p-1,+}(s; a, b) \right), \quad w_1^{(2p)}(s) = 0, \\
w_2^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{q+1} \left( \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^m \hat{d}_{q-1,-}(s; a, b) \right), \\
w_3^{(2p-1)}(s) &= 0, \quad w_3^{(2p)}(s) = \frac{(-1)^p}{2} \hat{d}_{p,+}(s; a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,\pm}(s; a, b) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q, k}^{-s}(a, b), \quad \hat{d}_{q,\pm}(s; a, b) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},q} \hat{f}_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q, k}^{-s}(a, b)$$

*Proof.* Recalling the definition of the the torsion zeta function, first we collect the eigenvalues associated to the coexact forms as given in Lemma 10.36, and we find that

$$w_0^{(m)}(s) + w_1^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,-}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \right).$$

Then we use the duality as follows. Since  $\alpha_{m-q-1} = -\alpha_q$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m-q-1,n}$  we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, n, \alpha_{m-1-q}} &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( -\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\mu_{q,n}^2 - \frac{1}{4} + \left( \alpha_q^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) (h'(x)^2 - 1)}{h(x)^2} \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_{\mu_q, n, \alpha_q}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, \pm \alpha_{m-1-q}}(a, b; \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, \pm \alpha_{m-1-q}, -}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, \pm \alpha_{m-1-q}, +}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{m-1-q} - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, \pm \alpha_{m-1-q}, +}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{m-1-q}, \pm \alpha_{m-1-q}, -}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha_{m-1-q} - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_q, \mp \alpha_q, -}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_q, \mp \alpha_q, +}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{-\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{\mu_q, \mp \alpha_q, +}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu_q, \mp \alpha_q, -}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{-\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &= \hat{F}_{\mu_q, \mp \alpha_q}(a, b; \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we collect the eigenvalues with multiplicity given by the harmonic forms:

$$w_2^{(m)}(s) + w_3^{(m)}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b).$$

Remember that the  $\hat{f}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, k}(a, b)$  are the zeros of

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{|\alpha|, \alpha}(a, b, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, -}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, -}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b}. \end{aligned}$$

Using duality on the harmonic forms,  $\alpha_{m-q} = -\alpha_{q-1}$ , and hence

$$\hat{F}_{|\alpha_{m-q}|, \alpha_{m-q}}(a, b, \lambda) = \hat{F}_{|\alpha_{q-1}|, -\alpha_{q-1}}(a, b, \lambda).$$

Then, if  $m = 2p - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^{(2p-1)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{2p-1} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=p}^{2p-1} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{2p-1-q+1} \hat{d}_{2p-1-q,+}(s; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} (\hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^{2p-1} \hat{d}_{q-1,-}(s; a, b)), \end{aligned}$$

if  $m = 2p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
w_2^{(2p)}(s) + w_3^{(2p)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{2p} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=p+1}^{2p} (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{q+1} \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{2p-q+1} \hat{d}_{2p-q,+}(s; a, b) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} (\hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^{2p} \hat{d}_{q-1,-}(s; a, b)) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{p+1} \hat{d}_{p,+}(s; a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

In the decompositions given in the two propositions above, the first two terms (those with indices 0 and 1) are zeta functions associated to double sequences (spectrally decomposable), the last two (those with indices 2 and 3) are zeta functions associated to simple sequences (of spectral type). We consider the double sequences here. According to the Spectral Decomposition Lemma (Theorem D.5), the derivative at zero of these zeta functions decomposes into a regular and a singular contribution, that give the following decompositions of the analytic torsion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log T_{\text{abs},m/m^c}(C_{0,l}^m) &= t'_{C_{0,l}^m, \text{abs},m/m^c}(0) \\
&= t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_2^{(m)'}(0) + t_{3,\text{low/up}}^{(m)'}(0), \\
\log T_{\text{rel,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^m) &= t'_{C_{l_1,l_2}^m, \text{rel,abs}}(0) \\
&= w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_2^{(m)'}(0) + w_3^{(m)'}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

We study  $\log T_{\text{abs},m/m^c}(C_{0,l}^m)$  in Section 12 and  $\log T_{\text{rel,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^m)$  in Sections 13 and 14.

**11.5. Decomposition of the analytic torsion.** For a manifold  $M$  with boundary  $\partial M$ , we have the decomposition

$$\log T_{\text{BC}}(M) = \log T_{\text{BC,global}}(M) + \log T_{\text{BC,bound}}(M),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\log T_{\text{BC,global}}(M) &= \begin{cases} \log \tau(M, \partial M), & \text{BC} = \text{rel}, \\ \log \tau(M), & \text{BC} = \text{abs}, \end{cases} \\
\log T_{\text{BC,bound}}(M) &= \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial M) \log 2 + A_{\text{BM,BC}}(\partial M),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau(M, \partial M)$  is the Reidemeister torsion of the pair  $(M, \partial M)$  with the Ray and Singer homology basis,  $\chi(M)$  denotes the Euler characteristic of  $M$ , and  $A_{\text{BM,BC}}(\partial M)$  the anomaly boundary term.

**Proposition 11.6.** *In the notation introduced above, we have that ( $l_2 > l_1 > 0$ ):*

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,rel,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^m) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{l_1,l_2}^m) &= w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_2^{(m)'}(0) + w_3^{(m)'}(0), \\ \log T_{\text{bound,rel,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^m) &= w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* See Section 13. □

The zeta functions  $w_0$  and  $w_2$  involve double series and, tackled by the Spectral Decomposition Lemma, originate two types of contribution, the regular and the singular ones. The zeta functions  $w_3$  and  $w_4$  involve simple series and originate just one type of contribution. It happens that the global part (or interior part) of the torsion is given precisely by the regular contributions, while the boundary part is given by the singular contribution.

This suggests to introduce a similar decomposition for the cone, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{BC}}(C_{0,l}^m) &= \log T_{\text{global,BC}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \log T_{\text{bound,BC}}(C_{0,l}^m) \\ &= \log T_{\text{global,BC}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) \log 2 + A_{\text{BM,BC}}(\partial C_{0,l}^m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) \log 2 &= t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_2^{(m)'}(0) + t_{3,\text{p}}^{(m)'}(0), \\ \log T_{\text{bound,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) &= t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed next to understand the different contributions in the previous formulas, thus obtaining a characterization of the analytic torsion with respect to the contribution coming from Spectral Decomposition Lemma. of the cone. We consider the cone in Section 12, and the frustum in Section 13.

## 12. THE ANALYTIC TORSION OF A DEFORMED CONE

In this section we consider the analytic torsion of the cone according to the decomposition given in the previous section (see Proposition 11.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) &= t'_{C_{0,l}^m, \text{abs,p}}(0) \\ &= t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_2^{(m)'}(0) + t_{3,\text{p}}^{(m)'}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and we proceed to compute the different contributions. We split the calculations into two main parts: in the first in Section 12.1, we consider the terms  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , in the second in Section 12.2, we consider the terms  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ .

**12.1. The contributions involving double series.** In this section we study the zeta functions (see Proposition 11.3)

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}_{q,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,+}(s)) + (-1)^{m-1} (\mathcal{Z}_{q,+}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,-}(s)) \right), \\ t_1^{(2p-1)}(s) &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{Z}_{p-1,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p-1,+}(s) \right), \quad t_1^{(2p)}(s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As observed, these zeta functions involve double series and are dealt with the methods introduced in Section D. We proceed in several steps, as here described. In Section 12.1.1, we describe in some details the double sequences and the relevant zeta functions. In Section 12.1.2, we verify the hypothesis of Theorem D.5, and we give the values of the different parameters. We then decompose the relevant zeta functions into regular and singular part according Theorem D.5. In Section 12.1.3 we compute the regular part, and in Section 12.1.4 the singular part.

12.1.1. *The relevant zeta functions.* We study the zeta functions

$$\mathcal{Z}_{q,\pm}(s) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-2s}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,\pm}(s) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-2s}.$$

associated respectively to the double sequence

$$S_{q,\pm} = \{m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}\}, \quad \hat{S}_{q,\pm} = \{m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}\}.$$

In order to apply the tools described in Section 9, we need better characterisation of the numbers in the two sequences. By Lemma 10.36, the numbers  $\ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}$  are the zeros of the function

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}^{(q)}(l, \lambda),$$

and the numbers  $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}$  are the zeros of the functions

$$\left(\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}\right) h'(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}^{(q)}(l, \lambda) + h(l) \partial_x \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}^{(q)}(l, \lambda) \Big|_{x=l}.$$

The function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)$  is the square integrable solution of the equation

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}^{(q)} - \lambda)u(x, \lambda) = 0,$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}$  is the formal operator

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(x),$$

with

$$q_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(x) = \frac{\mu_{q,n}^2 - \frac{1}{4} + (\alpha_q^2 - \frac{1}{4})((h'(x))^2 - 1)}{h(x)^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left(\pm\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2}.$$

The formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mu,\alpha}$  is of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  considered in Section 9.1, with  $\nu = \mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2}$ . Whence, we may consider the concrete linear operators  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{rel}}$ , and  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{rel},+}$  on  $L^2[0, l]$  defined by the boundary condition at  $x = l$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_l : \quad u(l) = 0,$$

and the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ :

$$BC_+(0)(u) : \quad \begin{cases} BV_{\nu,+}(0)(u) = 0, & \text{if } \nu < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and the operators  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{abs}}$ , and  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{abs},+}$  with the boundary condition at  $x = l$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_l^\pm : \quad \left( \pm \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) h'(l)u(l) + h(l)u'(l) = 0,$$

and the same boundary conditions at  $x = 0$ . The numbers  $\ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}$  are the positive eigenvalues of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{rel},+}$ , and the numbers  $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}$  are the positive eigenvalues of the operator  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{abs},+}$ . For simplicity we will write  $L_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\text{bc},+}$  implying that if  $\nu \geq 1$  the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  is irrelevant.

These operators are particular instances of the operators defined at the end of Section 9.12. Note that in the following analysis  $\nu \geq 1$  in most of the cases. Also note that only the  $+$  solution  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}$  of the relevant Sturm Liouville equation appears, and therefore in the whole of Section 12 it is not necessary to distinguish the case when the roots of the indicial equation coincide.

Recall that the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q,\pm}(x, \lambda)$  are the two linearly independent solutions of the eigenvalue equation, see Definition 10.13,

$$\left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\mu_{q,n}^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h(x)^2} + \frac{(\alpha_q^2 - \frac{1}{4})((h'(x))^2 - 1)}{h(x)^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \lambda \right) u(x, \lambda) = 0.$$

12.1.2. *Spectral decomposition.* We start by verifying the conditions necessary to apply the spectral decomposition theorem. First, recall that  $\tilde{S}_q = \left\{ m_{q,n} : \mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2} \right\}$  is a totally regular simple sequence of spectral type with infinite order, exponent of convergence and genus  $\mathbf{e}(\tilde{S}_q) = \mathbf{g}(\tilde{S}_q) = m = \dim W$ , by [Spr12, Proposition 3.1]. The associated zeta function

$$\zeta(s, \tilde{S}_q) = \zeta_{\text{cex}} \left( \frac{s}{2}, \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)} \right),$$

has possible simple poles at  $s = m - h$ ,  $h = 0, 2, 4, \dots, m - 1$ , [Spr12, Proposition 3.2].

Thus, by Lemma 9.26 and its corollary, both the sequence  $S_q$  and  $\hat{S}_{q,\pm}$  are double sequences of relative order  $(\frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and relative genus  $([\frac{m+1}{2}], [\frac{m}{2}], 0)$  see [Spr12, Section 3]. We show that the sequences  $S$  are spectrally decomposable (with power  $\kappa = 2$ ) over the sequence  $\tilde{S}_q$ . We need to consider the associated logarithmic spectral Gamma functions of the quotients, namely the functions:

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2}{\ell_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}} \right),$$

and

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2}{\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}} \right).$$

We need the uniform (in  $\lambda$ ) asymptotic expansion of these functions for large  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$ . Proceeding as at the end of Section 9.19, we have

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) = \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l, 0) + \dim \ker L_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+} - \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2),$$

and

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}) = \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l, 0) + \dim \ker \hat{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+} - \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,\lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}(l,\lambda), \\ \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,\lambda) &= \left(\pm\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}\right) h'(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}(l,\lambda) + h(l) \mathcal{L}'_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,+}(l,\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,0) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,\lambda)}{\lambda^{\dim \ker L_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,\text{rel},+}}}, \\ \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,0) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,\lambda)}{\lambda^{\dim \ker \hat{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,\text{abs},+}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since in the present case  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\mu_{q,n} \neq -\alpha_q$ , and therefore by Lemma 9.28, the kernel of the operators  $L$  and  $\hat{L}$  are trivial.

Using the expansions of the solutions for large  $\nu = \mu_{q,n}$  and fixed  $x = l$ , given in Lemmas 9.44 and 9.45, we obtain the required expansion of the logarithmic Gamma functions. In details, consider first the sequence  $S_{q,\pm}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) &= \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(l,0) - \log \frac{2^{\mu_{q,n}} \Gamma(\mu_{q,n} + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi \mu_{q,n}} (-\lambda)^{\frac{\mu_{q,n}}{2}}} \\ &\quad - \log \frac{\sqrt{h(l)}}{(1 - \lambda h^2(l))^{\frac{1}{4}}} - \mu_{q,n} \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda h^2(x)}}{h(x)} dx \Big|_{x=l} \\ &\quad - \log \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J U_{q,j,\pm}(l, i\sqrt{-\lambda}) \mu_{q,n}^{-j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{q,n}^{J+1}}\right) \right),\end{aligned}$$

By Remark D.6, we realise that there are not relevant logarithmic terms, and therefore  $L$  can take any value, while the relevant terms in powers of  $\mu_{q,n}$  are all negative,  $\sigma_h = m - h$ ,  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , and the functions  $\phi_{\sigma_h}$  are given by the following equation

$$\sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \phi_{q,m-h,\pm}(l,\lambda) \mu_{q,n}^{-h} = -\log \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} U_{q,j,\pm}(l,z) \mu_{q,n}^{-j} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{q,n}^m}\right).$$

This shows that indeed the sequence  $S_{q,\pm}$  is spectral decomposable on the sequence  $\tilde{S}_q$ , according to Definition D.4. We give here the values of the parameters appearing in the definition.

$$\begin{aligned}(s_0, s_1, s_2) &= \left( \frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right), & (p_0, p_1, p_2) &= \left( \left[ \frac{m+1}{2} \right], \left[ \frac{m}{2} \right], 0 \right), \\ r_0 &= m, & q &= m, \\ \kappa &= 2, & \ell &= m.\end{aligned}$$

Second, for the sequence  $\hat{S}_{q,\pm}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}) &= \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(l, 0) \\
&\quad - \log \left( \left( \pm \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) h'(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q, +}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2) + h(l) \mathcal{L}'_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q, +}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2) \right) \\
&= \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(0) \\
&\quad - \log \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{(-\lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2\pi}} \sqrt{h(l)} (1 - \lambda h^2(l))^{\frac{1}{4}} e^\nu \int^{\sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda h^2(x)}{h(x)}}} dx \Big|_{x=l} \\
&\quad - \log \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \left( V_{q,j,\pm}(l, z) + \frac{(\pm \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}) h'(l)}{(1 - \lambda h^2(l))^{\frac{1}{4}}} U_{q,j-1,\pm}(l, z) \right) \mu_{q,n}^{-j} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{q,n}^m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark D.6, we realise that there are not relevant logarithmic terms, and therefore  $L$  can take any value, while the relevant terms in powers of  $\mu_{q,n}$  are all negative,  $\sigma_h = m - h$ ,  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , and the functions  $\phi_{\sigma_h}$  are given by the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \hat{\phi}_{q,m-h,\pm}(l, \lambda) \mu_{q,n}^{-h} &= - \log \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left( V_{q,j,\pm}(l, z) + \frac{(\pm \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}) h'(l)}{(1 - \lambda h^2(l))^{\frac{1}{4}}} U_{q,j-1,\pm}(l, z) \right) \mu_{q,n}^{-j} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{q,n}^m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that indeed the sequences  $\hat{S}_{q,\pm}$  is spectral decomposable on the sequence  $\tilde{S}_q$ , according to Definition D.4. We give here the values of the parameters appearing in the definition.

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_0, s_1, s_2) &= \left( \frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right), & (p_0, p_1, p_2) &= \left( \left[ \frac{m+1}{2} \right], \left[ \frac{m}{2} \right], 0 \right), \\
r_0 &= m, & q &= m, \\
\kappa &= 2, & \ell &= m.
\end{aligned}$$

12.1.3. *The regular part.* Applying the formulas in the Theorem D.5, we need to identify the quantities  $A_{0,0}(0)$ , and  $A'_{0,1}(0)$ . Before starting calculations, observe that all the coefficients  $b_{\sigma_h, j, 0/1}$  vanish. For observe that the expansions of the functions  $\phi_{q,m-h,\pm}(l, \lambda)$  and  $\hat{\phi}_{q,m-h,\pm}(l, \lambda)$  for large  $\lambda$  have terms only with negative powers of  $-\lambda$  and negative powers of  $-\lambda$  times  $\log(-\lambda)$ , as follows by the asymptotic characterisation of the functions  $U_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda})$  and  $V_j(x, i\sqrt{-\lambda})$  given in Lemmas 9.44 and 9.45. Whence, we have the following formulas

$$\mathcal{Z}'_{\text{reg}, q, \pm}(0) = -A_{0,0,q,\pm}(0) - A'_{0,1,q,\pm}(0), \quad \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\text{reg}, q, +}(0) = -\hat{A}_{0,0,q,\pm}(0) - \hat{A}'_{0,1,q,\pm}(0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{0,0,q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} a_{0,0,q,\pm} \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & a_{0,0,q,\pm} &= \text{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}), \\
A_{0,1,q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} a_{0,0,q,\pm} \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & a_{0,1,q,\pm} &= \text{Res}_0 \frac{\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm})}{\log(-\lambda)}, \\
\hat{A}_{0,0,q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} a_{0,0,q,\pm} \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & \hat{a}_{0,0,q,\pm} &= \text{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}), \\
\hat{A}_{0,1,q,\pm}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} a_{0,1,q,\pm} \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & \hat{a}_{0,1,q,\pm} &= \text{Res}_0 \frac{\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm})}{\log(-\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the definition in equation (D.4), we need the asymptotic expansion of the associate logarithmic Gamma functions for large  $\lambda$  (see equation (D.3)). The logarithmic Gamma function associated to the zeta functions  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$  are respectively

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2}{\ell_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q, k}} \right),$$

and

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2}{\hat{\ell}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q, k}} \right).$$

We need the expansions for large  $\lambda$ . Recall that

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) = \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(l, 0) - \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2),$$

and

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}) = \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(l, 0) - \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q}(l, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, +}(l, \lambda), \\
\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q}(l, \lambda) &= \left( \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) h'(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, +}(l, \lambda) + h(l) \mathcal{L}'_{\mu_{q,n}, \alpha_q, +}(l, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

First, we need the expansion for large  $\lambda$  of the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu, \alpha, +}(l, \lambda)$  and its derivative. Such expansions are given in Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu, \alpha}(l, \mu^2 \lambda) &= l \mu \sqrt{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(-\lambda) - \left( \mu + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \mu + \log 2^\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O(1/\sqrt{-\lambda}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu, \alpha}(l, \mu^2 \lambda) &= l \mu \sqrt{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(-\lambda) - \left( \mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \mu + \log 2^\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \log h(l) + O(1/\sqrt{-\lambda}).
\end{aligned}$$

Second, in order to proceed, it is convenient to deal directly with the sum

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,\pm}) - \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm}), \quad (12.1)$$

that appears in the definition of  $t_0^{(m)}$  (see Proposition 11.3).

In fact, it is clear that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu,-\alpha}(l, \lambda)}{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu,\alpha}(l, \lambda)} = \frac{h^{2\alpha-1}(l) h^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(l) \mathcal{L}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(l, \lambda)}{\left( h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda) \right)' \Big|_{x=l}}.$$

Setting  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm} = h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}$ , then

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu,-\alpha}(x, \lambda)}{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu,\alpha}(x, \lambda)} = \frac{h^{2\alpha-1}(x) \mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(x, \lambda)}{\mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda)},$$

we compute

$$-\log \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu,\alpha}(l, 0)}{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu,-\alpha}(l, 0)} = -\log \frac{\mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(l, 0)}{\mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(l, 0)} + (2\alpha - 1) \log h(l).$$

Since  $b = \alpha^2 - \mu^2$ , by the Lemma C.2 in the Appendix,

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, 0)}{\mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(x, 0)} = (\mu + \alpha) h^{2\alpha-1}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$-\log \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu,\alpha}(l, 0)}{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu,-\alpha}(l, 0)} = (2\alpha - 1) \log h(l) - \log(\mu + \alpha) - \log h^{2\alpha-1}(l) = -\log(\mu + \alpha).$$

Collecting,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,0,q,-} - \hat{a}_{0,0,q,+} &= \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,-}) - \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,+}) \\ &= \log \frac{\mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q}(l, 0)}{\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q}(l, 0)} \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \mathfrak{B}_{\mu_{q,n},-\alpha_q}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2) + \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mu_{q,n},\alpha_q}(l, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2) \\ &= -\log(\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q) + \log \mu_{q,n} + \log h(l) \\ &= -\log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n}} \right) + \log h(l), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,1,q,-} - \hat{a}_{0,1,q,+} &= \operatorname{Res}_0 \frac{\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, S_{q,-})}{\log(-\lambda)} - \operatorname{Res}_0 \frac{\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,+})}{\log(-\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{Z}'_{\operatorname{reg},q,-}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\operatorname{reg},q,+}(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\operatorname{cex},q,n} (\log(\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q) - \log h(l)). \quad (12.2)$$

*Calculation of the regular part of  $t_0$ :*

Remember that

$$t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}_{\text{reg},q,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\text{reg},q,+}(s)) + (-1)^{m-1} (\mathcal{Z}_{\text{reg},q,+}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\text{reg},q,-}(s)) \right).$$

It is convenient to analyse the odd and the even case separately. First, suppose that  $m = 2p - 1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(2p-1)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}'_{\text{reg},q,-}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\text{reg},q,+}(0)) + \mathcal{Z}'_{q,+}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{q,-}(0) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} (\log(\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q) - \log h(l) + \log(\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q) - \log h(l)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} - \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log h(l) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \left( -\frac{1}{2} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) - \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) \log h(l) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Second, suppose that  $m = 2p$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(2p)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}'_{\text{reg},q,-}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\text{reg},q,+}(0)) - (\mathcal{Z}'_{\text{reg},q,+}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\text{reg},q,-}(0)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} (\log(\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q) - \log h(l) - \log(\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q) + \log h(l)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q}. \end{aligned}$$

*Calculation of the regular part of  $t_1$ :*

This is a particular case of the previous one, i.e. of  $t_0$ . We use equation (12.2) with  $q = p$ , and we observe that  $\alpha_{p-1} = 0$ , since  $m = 2p - 1$ . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{1,\text{reg}}^{(2p-1)})'(0) &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( (\mathcal{Z}'_{\text{reg},p-1,-}(0) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}'_{\text{reg},p-1,+}(0)) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},p-1,n} (-\log h(l) + \log \mu_{p-1,n}) \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}) \log h(l) \right) \end{aligned}$$

12.1.4. *The singular part.* See Section 14 below.

**12.2. The contributions involving simple series.** In this section we study the zeta functions (see Proposition 11.3)

$$t_2^{(m)}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{q+1} \left( z_{q-1,-}^{-2s}(s) + (-1)^m z_{q,+}^{-2s}(s) \right), \quad (12.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_3^{(2p-1)}(s) &= 0, & t_{3,m}^{(2p)}(s) &= \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2} \zeta_-(s), \\ t_{3,m^c}^{(2p)}(s) &= \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2} \zeta_+(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_{q,\pm}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},q} \ell_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-2s}, \quad \zeta_{\pm}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},p} \ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\pm,k}^{-2s}.$$

Here  $\zeta_{\pm}$  was defined in Remark 10.37, and may be tackled explicitly without problem. On the other side,  $z_{q,\pm}$  is the zeta function associated to the simple sequence

$$Y_{q,\pm} = \{m_{\text{har},q} : \ell_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}\},$$

where by Lemma 10.36, and Proposition 11.3, the numbers  $\ell_{|\alpha|,\alpha,k}$  are the zeros of the function  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}(l, \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda)$  is the normalised + solution, as defined in Definition 10.13, of the eigenvalue equation

$$\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha} u = \lambda u,$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  is the formal operator

$$\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{h'(x)^2}{h(x)^2}.$$

Observe that  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor = p-1$ , whence  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ .

The formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is of the formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  considered in Section 9.1, with  $\nu = |\alpha|$ . Whence, we may consider the concrete linear operators  $L_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\text{rel},+}$  on  $L^2[0, l]$  defined by the boundary condition at  $x = l$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_l : \quad u(l) = 0,$$

and the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ :

$$BC_+(0)(u) : \quad \begin{cases} BV_{\nu,+}(0)(u) = 0, & \text{if } \nu < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and the  $\ell_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,k}$  are the eigenvalues of  $L_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\text{rel},+}$  (recall  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ ). In all cases only the + solution  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\pm\alpha,+}$  of the relevant Sturm Liouville equation appears, and therefore it is not necessary to distinguish the case where the roots of the indicial equation coincide.

As observed, the case of the  $\ell_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\pm,k}$ , that are the eigenvalues of  $L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\text{rel},\pm}$ , has been treated explicitly in Remark 10.37.

Note that in all case the kernel is trivial, by Lemma 9.28.

By Lemma 9.26 and its corollary, the sequence  $Y_{q,\pm}(a, b)$  has genus 0. We show that is a sequence of spectral type. For consider the associated logarithmic spectral Gamma function:

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, Y_{q,\pm}) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\ell_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q, k}} \right).$$

Since

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, Y_{q,\pm}) = \ln B_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q}(l, 0) - \log B_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q}(l, \lambda),$$

with

$$B_{|\alpha|, \alpha}(l, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(l, \lambda),$$

and the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)$  have asymptotic expansions for large  $\lambda$  by the results in Section 9.38, it follows that the sequence  $\hat{Y}_{q,\pm}$  is of spectral type. Moreover, a simple calculation show that it is indeed a regular sequence of spectral type.

We want to compute the constant term in the expansion of  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda, Y_{q,\pm})$  for large  $\lambda$ , since by Theorem D.2,

$$\begin{aligned} z'_{q,\pm}(0) &= -\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda, Y_{q,\pm}) \\ &= -\ln B_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q}(l, 0) + \operatorname{Res}_0 \log B_{|\alpha_q|, \pm \alpha_q}(l, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic expansion can be find in Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42; we compute for large  $\lambda$ :

$$\log \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(x, \lambda) = \log \frac{2^{|\alpha| - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1 + |\alpha|)}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{1}{2} \left( |\alpha| + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(-\lambda) + l\sqrt{-\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right),$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(l, \lambda) = \log \frac{2^{|\alpha| - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1 + |\alpha|)}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (12.4)$$

Next, we compute the value of  $B_{|\alpha|, \alpha}(l, 0)$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 9.28, the solution of the harmonic equation above are

$$u_1 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}, \quad u_2 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \int h^{2\alpha - 1},$$

and therefore, according to the normalisation introduced in Definition 9.3,

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +} = \mathbf{u}_+ = u_2 = 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \int h^{2\alpha - 1}, \quad \mathbf{u}_- = u_1 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +} = \mathbf{u}_+ = h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathbf{u}_- = u_2 = h^{\frac{1}{2}} \int h^{-1}, \quad \alpha = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +} = \mathbf{u}_+ = u_1 = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}, \quad \mathbf{u}_- = u_2 = 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \int h^{2\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha < 0,$$

and since  $B_{|\alpha|, \alpha}(l, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(l, 0)$ , this gives that, if  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$B_{|\alpha|, \alpha}(l, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|, \alpha, +}(l, 0) = u_2(l) = 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}(l) \int_0^l h(x)^{2\alpha - 1} dx, \quad (12.5)$$

if  $\alpha \leq 0$ , then

$$B_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(l, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}(l, 0) = u_1(l) = h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(l). \quad (12.6)$$

We may complete the calculation of  $t_2$ . For observe that in its definition the index  $q$  has the range  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ , where  $m$  is either  $2p-1$  or  $2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . We need to compute the derivative at  $s=0$  of  $z_{q-1,-}$  and  $z_{q,+}$ . First, since

$$z_{q-1,-}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1},k}^{-2s},$$

and  $-\alpha_{q-1} = 1 - \alpha_q$  varies in the range  $1 \leq 1 - \alpha_q \leq p$ , if  $m = 2p-1$ , and in the range  $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \alpha_q \leq \frac{1}{2} + p$ , if  $m = 2p$ , we may always apply the formula in equation (12.5) for  $B_{|\alpha_{q-1}|,-\alpha_{q-1}}(l, 0)$ , and this together with the formula in equation (12.4) (that holds for all  $\alpha$ ) gives

$$z'_{q-1,-}(0) = \log \frac{2^{|1-\alpha_q|-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1 + |1 - \alpha_q|) h^{-\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(l)}{2(1 - \alpha_q) \sqrt{\pi}} - \log \int_0^l h(x)^{1-2\alpha_q} dx,$$

for  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ . Second, since

$$z_{q,+}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,k}^{-2s},$$

and  $\alpha_q$  varies in the range  $1-p \leq \alpha_q \leq 0$ , if  $m = 2p-1$ , and in the range  $\frac{1}{2}-p \leq \alpha_q \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ , if  $m = 2p$ , we may always apply the formula in equation (12.6) for  $B_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q}(l, 0)$ , and this together with the formula in equation (12.4) (that holds for all  $\alpha$ ) gives

$$z'_{q,+}(0) = \log \frac{2^{|\alpha_q|-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1 + |\alpha_q|) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(l)}{\sqrt{\pi}},$$

for  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ .

We substitute these quantities in the formula in equation (12.3), distinguishing the even and odd cases. First, let  $m = 2p-1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (t_2^{(2p-1)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log \frac{2^{\alpha_q - \alpha_{q-1}} \Gamma(1 - \alpha_{q-1})}{(-2\alpha_{q-1}) \Gamma(1 - \alpha_q)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log h(l)^{\alpha_q + \alpha_{q-1}} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log h(l)^{2\alpha_q - 1} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Second, let  $m = 2p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (t_2^{(2p)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log \frac{2^{-\alpha_q - \alpha_{q-1} - 1} \Gamma(1 - \alpha_{q-1}) \Gamma(1 - \alpha_q)}{(-2\alpha_{q-1}) \pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log h(l)^{\alpha_q - \alpha_{q-1} - 1} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1} - 1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log \frac{((2p - 2q - 1)!!)^2}{2^2} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1} - 1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by computing  $t_3$ . In this case, we need the derivative of the zeta functions (recall that  $\alpha_p = 1/2$ )

$$\zeta_{\pm}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{|\frac{1}{2}|, \frac{1}{2}, \pm, k}^{-2s}.$$

that have been described in Remark 10.37, we obtain:

$$\zeta'_+(0) = -\log 2l, \quad \zeta'_-(0) = -\log 2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{3,\text{mc}}^{(2p)})'(0) &= \frac{(-1)^p}{2} m_{\text{har},p} \log 2, \\ (t_{3,\text{m}}^{(2p)})'(0) &= \frac{(-1)^p}{2} m_{\text{har},p} \log 2l. \end{aligned}$$

**12.3. The global part of the torsion.** We may now collect the regular part coming from the double series computed in Section 12.1.3, i.e. that of  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , and the part coming from the simple series computed in Section 12.2, i.e. that of  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ . This gives the total regular or global part of the torsion, as defined in Section 11.5

$$\log T_{\text{global,abs}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) = t_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + t_2^{(m)'}(0) + t_3^{(m)'}(0).$$

It is convenient to distinguish the odd and even cases.

12.3.1. *Odd case.* If  $m = 2p - 1$ , summing up the different contributions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) &= t_{0,\text{reg}}^{2p-1'}(0) + t_{1,\text{reg}}^{2p-1'}(0) + t_2^{2p-1'}(0) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^{q+1} \frac{1}{2} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{4} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}) \\ &\quad + \log h(l) \left( \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^{q+1} \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{2} \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log h(l)^{2\alpha_q - 1} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1} - 1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By [HS17, equation (A.4)],

$$\sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^{q+1} \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{2} \zeta(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} (1 - 2\alpha_q),$$

and by [HS17, equation (A.1)],

$$\log T(W, g) = \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^{q+1} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(q)}) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{2} \zeta'(0, \tilde{\Delta}_{\text{cex}}^{(p-1)}).$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) = \frac{1}{2} \log T(W, g) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx.$$

12.3.2. *Even Case.* If  $m = 2p$ , we have

$$\log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) = t_{0,\text{reg}}^{2p}{}'(0) + t_2^{2p}{}'(0) + t_{3,p}^{2p}{}'(0)$$

therefore it is convenient to separate the last term. We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} t_{0,\text{reg}}^{2p}{}'(0) + t_2^{2p}{}'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log \frac{((2p - 2q - 1)!!)^2}{2^2} \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log(2p - 2q - 1)!! + \frac{1}{2} \chi(W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx - (-1)^p \frac{m_{\text{har},p}}{2} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs,m}^c}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log(2p - 2q - 1)!! + \frac{1}{2} \chi(W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs,m}}(C_{0,l}^m) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{0,l}^m) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \log(2p - 2q - 1)!! + \frac{1}{2}\chi(W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} \log \int_0^l h(x)^{-2\alpha_{q-1}-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

### 13. THE ANALYTIC TORSION OF A FRUSTUM

In this section we consider the analytic torsion of the frustum according to the decomposition given in the previous section (see Proposition 11.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{rel,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^m(W)) &= t'_{C_{l_1,l_2}^m(W),\text{rel,abs}}(s) \\ &= w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_2^{(m)'}(0) + w_3^{(m)'}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and we proceed to compute the different contributions. We split the calculations into two main parts: in the first in Section 13.1, we consider the terms  $w_0$  and  $w_1$ , in the second in Section 13.2, we consider the terms  $w_2$  and  $w_3$ .

**13.1. The contributions involving double series.** In this section we study the zeta functions appearing in the contributions (see Proposition 11.4)

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,-}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,+}(s; a, b) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,+}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,-}(s; a, b) \right) \right), \\ w_1^{(2p-1)}(s) &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} m_{\text{cex},q,n} (\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p-1,-}^{-2s}(s; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p-1,+}^{-2s}(s; a, b)), \quad w_1^{(2p)}(s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As observed, these zeta functions involve double series and are dealt with the methods introduced in Section D. We proceed in several steps, as here described. In Section 13.1.1, we describe in some details the double sequences and the relevant zeta functions. In Section 13.1.2, we verify the hypothesis of Theorem D.5, and we give the values of the different parameters. We then decompose the relevant zeta functions into regular and singular part according Theorem D.5. In Section 13.1.3 we compute the regular part, and in Section 14 the singular part.

13.1.1. *The relevant zeta functions.* The zeta function we want to study is

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{q,\pm}(s; a, b) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-2s}(a, b),$$

associated to the double sequence

$$\hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b) = \left\{ m_{\text{cex},q,n} : \hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}(a, b) \right\}.$$

In order to apply the tools described in Section 9, we need better characterisation of the numbers in the sequences: the  $\hat{f}_{\mu,\alpha,k}(a,b)$  are zeros of

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}^{(q)}(a,\lambda)\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}^{(q)}(x,\lambda)h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} - \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}^{(q)}(a,\lambda)\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}^{(q)}(x,\lambda)h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b}.$$

where the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}^{(q)}(x,\lambda)$  are the two linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}^{(q)} - \lambda)u(x,\lambda) = 0,$$

and  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}$  is the formal operator

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(x),$$

with

$$q_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}(x) = \frac{\mu_{q,n}^2 - \frac{1}{4} + (\alpha_q^2 - \frac{1}{4})((h'(x))^2 - 1)}{h(x)^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \pm\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

and

$$\mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2}.$$

The operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is the operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{\nu,\alpha}$  considered in Section 9.1, with  $\nu = \mu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} + \alpha_q^2}$ . Proceeding as for the cone, we define a concrete operator  $\hat{R}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}$  on  $L^2[a,b]$  by the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_a : & & u(a) &= 0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}}_b^\pm : & & \left( \pm\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) h'(b)u(b) + h(b)u'(b) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that these BC satisfy the condition in Section 9. This means that we can apply the results of Section 9 to the operator  $R_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q} = \hat{R}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}$ .

Observe that, differently from the case of the cone, in the present case of the frustum both the + and the - solutions  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q}^{(q)}$  of the relevant Sturm Liouville equation appear, whence for the - solution the case when the roots of the indicial equation coincide need independent treatment. As described in Appendix B, this happens only if  $\mu_{q,n} = 0$ , and this is possible only if  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n} = 0$ , and  $\mu_{q,n} = |\alpha_q|$ . Whence, in the following two sections this problem will not occur, while it will occur in Section 13.2.

13.1.2. *Spectral decomposition.* Proceeding as in Section 12.1.2, by Lemma 9.26, the sequence  $\hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a,b)$  is a double sequence of relative order  $(\frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and relative genus  $([\frac{m+1}{2}], [\frac{m}{2}], 0)$  see [Spr12] Section 3. We show that it is also spectrally decomposable (with power  $\kappa = 2$ ) over the sequence  $\tilde{S}_q$ . We need to consider the associated logarithmic spectral Gamma function of the quotient, namely the function:

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a,b)) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2}{\hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n},\pm\alpha_q,k}(a,b)} \right).$$

We need the uniform (in  $\lambda$ ) asymptotic expansion of these functions for large  $\mu_{q,n}$ . Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b, \lambda) &= \hat{F}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b}, \end{aligned}$$

and proceeding as at the end of Section 9.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) &= \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(a, b, 0) + \dim \ker \hat{R}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q} \\ &\quad - \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(a, b, \lambda \mu_{q,n}^2). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\log \mathcal{A}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(a, b, 0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mathcal{A}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}(a, b, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\dim \ker \hat{R}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm \alpha_q}}}.$$

However, by Lemma 9.29, the kernel of these operators is trivial, and therefore the previous expression simplify accordingly.

Proceeding as in Section 12.1.2, using the expansions of the solutions for large  $\nu = \mu_{q,n}$  and fixed  $x = a, b$ , given in Lemmas 9.44 and 9.45, we obtain the required expansion of the logarithmic Gamma functions. By Remark D.6, we realise that there are not relevant logarithmic terms, and therefore  $L$  can take any value, while the relevant terms in powers of  $\mu_{q,n}$  are all negative, with  $\sigma_h = m - h$ ,  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , namely

$$\sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \hat{\psi}_{q, m-h, \pm}(a, b, \lambda) \mu_{q,n}^{-h}.$$

This shows that indeed the sequences  $S_q$  is spectral decomposable on the sequence  $\tilde{S}_q$ , according to Definition D.4. We give here the values of the parameters appearing in the definition.

$$\begin{aligned} (s_0, s_1, s_2) &= \left( \frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right), & (p_0, p_1, p_2) &= \left( \left[ \frac{m+1}{2} \right], \left[ \frac{m}{2} \right], 0 \right), \\ r_0 &= m, & q &= m, \\ \kappa &= 2, & \ell &= m. \end{aligned}$$

13.1.3. *The regular part.* Applying the formulas in the Theorem D.5, we need to identify the quantities  $A_{0,0}(0)$ , and  $A'_{0,1}(0)$ . By the same argument as detailed at the beginning of Section 12.1.3, all the  $b$  coefficients vanish. So, we have that

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\text{reg}, q, \pm}(0; a, b) = -\hat{A}_{0,0, q, \pm}(0; a, b) - \hat{A}'_{0,1, q, \pm}(0; a, b),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{0,0, q, \pm}(s; a, b) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex}, q, n} a_{0,0, q, \pm}(a, b) \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & a_{0,0, q, \pm} &= \text{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q, \pm}(a, b)), \\ \hat{A}_{0,1, q, \pm}(s; a, b) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex}, q, n} a_{0,1, q, \pm}(a, b) \mu_{q,n}^{-2s}, & a_{0,1, q, \pm} &= \text{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \frac{\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q, \pm}(a, b))}{\log(-\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition in equation (D.4), we need the asymptotic expansion of the associated logarithmic Gamma functions for large  $\lambda$  (see equation (D.3)). The logarithmic Gamma function associated to the zeta function  $\hat{D}$  is

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2}{\hat{f}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm\alpha_q, k}(a, b)} \right).$$

We need the expansions for large  $\lambda$ . Recall that

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) = \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm\alpha_q}(a, b, 0) - \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_{q,n}, \pm\alpha_q}(a, b, \lambda\mu_{q,n}^2).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we need the expansion for large  $\lambda$  of the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(a, \lambda)$  and its derivative. Recalling that these functions are particular instances of the functions  $\mathbf{u}_{\pm}$  introduced in Section 9, such expansions are given in Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42. In this case, however, it is more convenient to use the function  $\mathbf{v}$  instead of the function  $\mathbf{u}_{-}$ . Since,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2^{\mu}\Gamma(1+\mu)} \mathbf{v}_{\mu,\alpha} + 2^{-2\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\mu)}{\Gamma(1+\mu)} \frac{1}{(-\lambda)^{-\mu}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda),$$

we rewrite  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2^{\mu}\Gamma(1+\mu)} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_{\mu,\alpha}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbf{v}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b} = h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(b) \left( \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{h'(b)}{h(b)} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\lambda,+}(b, \lambda) - \mathcal{L}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(b, \lambda) \right),$$

using Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42, we obtain the following expansion for large  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha}(x, \lambda))|_{x=b} &= h(b)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1+\mu)}{\sqrt{\pi} (-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu-\frac{1}{2})}} \\ &\quad \left( e^{b\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) + e^{-b\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Following the same idea we have

$$\partial_x (h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_{\mu,\alpha}(x, \lambda))|_{x=a} = h(a)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(-\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}} e^{-a\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right).$$

Collecting, the expansion of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda)$  for large  $\lambda$  is

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda) = -h(b)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mu e^{(b-a)\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}\right) \right), \quad (13.1)$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda) = \log \mu + \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log h(b) + \log(-1).$$

Second, we need to understand the term  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q}(a, b, 0)$ . It is convenient to deal directly with the sum

$$\log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,-\alpha_q}(b, a, 0) - \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q}(a, b, 0).$$

With  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm} = h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}$ ,

$$h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(a) \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b, 0) = \mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,-}(b, 0) - \mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,+}(b, 0).$$

By the Lemma C.2 in the Appendix,

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(x, 0)}{\mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,\pm}(x, 0)} = Ah^{2\alpha-1}(x).$$

Since for small  $x$

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'_{\mu,\alpha,\pm}(x, 0)}{\mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,\pm}(x, 0)} \sim (\pm\mu + \alpha)x^{2\alpha-1},$$

and  $h(x) \sim x$ , it follows that  $A = \alpha \pm \mu$ . Therefore,

$$h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(a) \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, x, 0) = h^{2\alpha-1}(x) (\alpha (\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,-}(x, 0) - \mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(x, 0)) \\ - \mu (\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,+}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,-}(x, 0) + \mathcal{F}_{\mu,\alpha,-}(a, 0) \mathcal{F}_{\mu,-\alpha,+}(x, 0))),$$

and a simple calculation gives

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, x, 0) = h^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}(a) h^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}}(x) \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,-\alpha}(x, a, 0),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,\alpha}(a, b, 0)}{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu,-\alpha}(b, a, 0)} = h^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}(a) h^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}}(b).$$

Collecting,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{0,0,q,-}(b, a) - \hat{a}_{0,0,q,+}(a, b) &= \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,-}(b, a)) - \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,+}(a, b)) \\ &= \log \frac{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,-\alpha_q}(b, a, 0)}{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q}(a, b, 0)} \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,-\alpha_q}(b, a, \lambda\mu_{q,n}^2) + \operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu_q,n,\alpha_q}(a, b, \lambda\mu_{q,n}^2) \\ &= -\log \frac{h(a)}{h(b)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{0,1,q,-}(b, a) = \hat{a}_{0,1,q,+}(a, b) = 0;$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\text{reg},q,-}(0; b, a) - \hat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\text{reg},q,+}(0; a, b) &= -\hat{A}_{0,0,q,-}(0; b, a) + \hat{A}_{0,0,q,+}(0; a, b) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} = \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can then compute the regular part of the terms  $(w_0^{(m)})'(0)$  and  $(w_1^{(m)})'(0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)})'(0) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \left( \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}) + (-1)^{m-1} \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}) \right), \\ (w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(2p-1)})'(0) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} (-1)^{p-1} \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(p-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

13.1.4. *The singular part.* See Section 14 below.

13.2. **The contribution involving simple series.** In this section we compute the contribution coming from

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{q+1} \left( \hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^m \hat{d}_{q,-}(s; a, b) \right), \\ w_3^{(2p-1)}(s) &= 0, \quad w_3^{(2p)}(s) = \frac{(-1)^p}{2} \hat{d}_{p,+}(s; a, b), \end{aligned}$$

where the relevant zeta function is

$$\hat{d}_{q,\pm}(s; a, b) = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{har},q} \hat{f}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}^{-2s}(a, b)$$

introduced in Proposition 11.4. This is the zeta function associated to the simple sequence

$$\hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b) = \left\{ m_{\text{har},q} : \hat{f}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}(a, b) \right\},$$

where the  $\hat{f}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,k}(a, b)$  are zeros of

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}^{(q)}(a, b, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}^{(q)}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-}^{(q)}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}^{(q)}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b}. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,\pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)$  are two l.i. solutions of the equation  $\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\pm\alpha} u = \lambda u$ . where

$$\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(x),$$

with

$$q_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(x) = \frac{(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4})(h'(x))^2}{h(x)^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

In the present case, the difference of the roots of the indicial equation of the relevant Sturm Liouville equation may vanish, and indeed is zero when  $\alpha = 0$ . So we may need to distinguish the cases  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . We will proceed our analysis as follows: we write nothing when ever the result follows independently of the value of  $\alpha$ , while we separate the case  $\alpha = 0$  whenever the result is different in that case.

The formal operator  $\mathfrak{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  is the formal operator  $l_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  studied in Section 9.1, and as in that section we may define a concrete linear operator  $\hat{R}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  on  $L^2[a, b]$  by the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_a : & & u(a) &= 0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}}_b^\pm : & & \left( \pm\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \right) h'(b)u(b) + h(b)u'(b) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this is precisely the operator  $R_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  defined at the end of Section 9.12. Whence the numbers  $\hat{f}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,k}(a, b)$  are the positive eigenvalues of  $\hat{R}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$ , and by Lemma 9.29, the kernel of  $\hat{R}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}$  is trivial.

By Lemma 9.26 and its corollary, the sequence  $\hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)$  has genus 0. We show that is a sequence of spectral type. For consider the associated logarithmic spectral Gamma function:

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, \hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) = -\log \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{\hat{f}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q,k}(a, b)} \right).$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-}(a, \lambda) \partial_x (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+}(x, \lambda) h(x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}})|_{x=b}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, \hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) = \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q}(a, b, 0) - \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q}(a, b, \lambda).$$

Since the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,\pm}^{(q)}(x, \lambda)$  have asymptotic expansions for large  $\lambda$  by the results in Section 9.38, it follows that the sequence  $\hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)$  is of spectral type. Moreover, a simple calculation show that it is indeed a regular sequence of spectral type.

We want to compute the constant term in the expansion of  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda, \hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b))$  for large  $\lambda$ , since

$$\hat{d}'_{q,\pm}(0; a, b) = -\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda, \hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)).$$

In order to proceed, we need to distinguish the case  $\alpha = 0$ . So first assume  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then, using the expansions in Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42, we compute

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b, \lambda) = \log |\alpha| + \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log h(b) + \log(-1).$$

Next, we compute  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q}(a, b, 0)$ . The harmonic equation is

$$\hat{R}_{|\alpha_q|,\pm\alpha_q} u = 0,$$

and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 9.28, we have the following solutions

$$u_1 = h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, \quad u_2 = h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int h^{2\alpha-1},$$

that according to the normalisation introduced in Definition 9.3, give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+} = \mathbf{u}_+ = u_2 &= 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-} = \mathbf{u}_- = u_1 &= h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, & \alpha > 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+} = \mathbf{u}_+ &= h^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-} = \mathbf{u}_- = u_2 &= h^{\frac{1}{2}} \int h^{-1}, & \alpha = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,+} = \mathbf{u}_+ = u_1 &= h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, & \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha|,\alpha,-} = \mathbf{u}_- = u_2 &= 2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int h^{2\alpha-1}, & \alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, direct substitution gives for  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$\hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b, 0) = -2\alpha h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(a) h^{2\alpha-1}(b),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda) - \log(\hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b; 0)) = -\log 2 + \left(-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)},$$

for  $\alpha < 0$ :

$$\hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b; 0) = -h(a)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(-2\alpha)h(b)^{2\alpha-1},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b; \lambda) - \log(-\hat{A}_{|\alpha|,\alpha}(a, b; 0)) = -\log 2 + \left(-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)},$$

also when  $\alpha < 0$ .

Therefore, for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$\hat{d}'_{q,\pm}(0; a, b) = -\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \Gamma(-\lambda, \hat{P}_{q,\pm}(a, b)) = -\log 2 + \left(-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)}.$$

It remains to tackle the case  $\alpha = 0$ . Using the expansions in Lemmas 9.41 and 9.42 with  $\nu = 0$ , we compute

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{A}_{0,0}(a, b, \lambda) = -\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log h(b) + \log(-1).$$

Using the solutions described above we compute directly

$$\hat{A}_{0,0}(a, b; 0) = h(a)^{\frac{1}{2}} h(b)^{-1}.$$

Altogether,

$$\operatorname{Res}_0 \log \hat{A}_{0,0}(a, b; \lambda) - \log(\hat{A}_{0,0}(a, b; 0)) = -\log 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)}.$$

We can now complete the calculations of  $w_2$ , using the previous formulas for the different ranges of  $\alpha$  to compute  $\hat{d}'_{q,\pm}(0; a, b)$ . It is convenient to distinguish the odd and

the even cases. First, if  $m = 2p - 1$ , then  $\alpha_q = 0$ , if  $q = p - 1$ , while  $\alpha_{q-1} \neq 0$  for all  $q$ , therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} (w_2^{(2p-1)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} (\hat{d}'_{q,+}(0; a, b) + (-1)^{2p-1} \hat{d}'_{q-1,-}(0; a, b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( (-\alpha_q - \alpha_{q-1}) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \right) + (-1)^p m_{\text{har},p-1} \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} (-2\alpha_q + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Second, if  $m = 2p$ ,  $\alpha_q$  and  $\alpha_{q-1}$  never vanish, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (w_2^{(2p)})'(0) + (w_3^{(2p)})'(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} (\hat{d}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^{2p} \hat{d}_{q-1,-}(s; a, b)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p+1} m_{\text{har},p} \hat{d}_{p,+}(s; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} \left( -2 \log 2 + (-\alpha_q + \alpha_{q-1} + 1) \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^p m_{\text{har},q} \frac{1}{2} \log 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q m_{\text{har},q} 2 \log 2 + (-1)^p m_{\text{har},q} \log 2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \chi(W) \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

**13.3. The global part of the torsion.** We may now collect the regular part coming from the double series computed in Section 13.1, i.e. that of  $w_0$  and  $w_1$ , and the part coming from the simple series computed in Section 13.2. This gives the global part of the torsion, as defined in Section 11.5

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs}}(C_{l_1, l_2}^m(W)) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(\partial C_{l_1, l_2}^m(W)) \log 2 &= w_{0, \text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1, \text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) \\ &\quad + w_2^{(m)'}(0) + w_3^{(m)'}(0). \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to distinguish the odd and even cases.

13.3.1. *Odd case.* If  $m = 2p - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log T_{\text{global,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^{2p-1}(W)) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{l_1,l_2}^{2p-1}(W)) \log 2 = w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(2p-1)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(2p-1)'}(0) + w_2^{(2p-1)'}(0) \\
& = -\log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \left( \sum_{q=0}^{p-2} (-1)^q \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}) + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p-1} \zeta_{\text{cex}}(0, \tilde{\Delta}^{(p-1)}) \right) + w_2^{(2p-1)'}(0) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} (2\alpha_q - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h(b)}{h(a)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} m_{\text{har},q} (-2\alpha_q + 1) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

13.3.2. *Even case.* If  $m = 2p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log T_{\text{global,abs}}(C_{l_1,l_2}^{2p}(W)) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{l_1,l_2}^{2p}(W)) \log 2 & = w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(2p)'}(0) + w_2^{(2p)'}(0) + w_3^{(2p)'}(0) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\chi(W) \log 2.
\end{aligned}$$

13.4. **Proof of Proposition 11.6.** The results obtained in the previous sections allow us to complete the proof of Proposition 11.6. For being the frustum a smooth manifold with boundary, the classical decomposition given in Section 11.5 for the torsion holds. Therefore,

$$\log T_{\text{rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) = \log T_{\text{global,rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) + \log T_{\text{boundary,rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)),$$

where

$$\log T_{\text{global,rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) = \log \tau(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W), \{l_1\} \times W) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) \log 2.$$

Since an explicit calculation of the Reidemeister torsion (with mixed BC) gives immediately the same quantities as computed in Section 13.3 for the global part of the analytic torsion, this proves the first formula in the statement, namely that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log T_{\text{global,rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) & = \log \tau(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W), \{l_1\} \times W) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(\partial C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) \log 2 \\
& = w_{0,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_2^{(m)'}(0) + w_3^{(m)'}(0),
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\log T_{\text{boundary,rel,abs}}(C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) = A_{\text{BM,mixed}}(\partial C_{[l_1,l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) = w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) + w_{1,\text{reg}}^{(m)'}(0)$$

and the second formula is proved.

#### 14. THE SINGULAR PART AND THE BOUNDARY CONTRIBUTION IN THE ANALYTIC TORSION

In this section we deal with the singular part of the torsion of the cone and of the frustum. More precisely, in the first subsection, we prove a relationship between these two part, and in the second subsection, and we show that this singular part coincides with the boundary term for the cone (for the frustum this was already proved in Proposition 11.6).

**14.1. A relation between the singular part of the torsion of the cone and of the frustum.** Recalling the definition in Section 11.5, the singular part for the frustum appears applying the SDL D.5 to the zeta functions  $w_0^{(m)}(s)$  and  $w_1^{(m)}(s)$ , the singular part for the cone applying the SDL to the zeta functions  $t_0^{(m)}(s)$  and  $t_1^{(m)}(s)$ . We give all details for  $w_0$  and  $t_0$ , the calculations for  $w_1$  and  $t_1$  are exactly the same and will be omitted. For the frustum, using the values of the parameters computed in Section 13.1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{Res}_1 \Psi_j(s) \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{Res}_0 \Psi_j(s) \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{Res}_1 \Psi_j(s) \text{Res}_0 \zeta(s, U), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_j(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \psi_j(\lambda) d\lambda dt,$$

and the  $\psi_j$  are the coefficients of the powers of  $\mu_{q,n}$  in the expansion for large  $\mu_{q,n}$  of the function  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda \mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b))$  discussed in Section 13.1.2.

Since, by Proposition 11.4,

$$w_0^{(m)}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( \hat{D}_{q,-}(s; b, a) - \hat{D}_{q,+}(s; a, b) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{D}_{q,+}(s; b, a) - \hat{D}_{q,-}(s; a, b) \right) \right),$$

each  $\psi_j$  is given by a combination of the corresponding coefficients  $\hat{\psi}_{q,j,\pm}$  appearing in the singular part of the derivative of the zeta functions  $\hat{D}_{q,\pm}$ , namely define

$$\Psi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) := \left( \hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) \right) \right),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, S) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_0 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_0 \zeta(s, U) \end{aligned}$$

The same analysis applied to the zeta functions for the cone (see Proposition 11.3)

$$t_0^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \left( (\mathcal{Z}_{q,-}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,+}(s)) + (-1)^{m-1} (\mathcal{Z}_{q,+}(s) - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{q,-}(s)) \right),$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_{s=0}^1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Phi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_{s=j}^1 \zeta(s, U) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_{s=0} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Phi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_{s=j}^1 \zeta(s, U) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_{s=0}^1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Phi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_{s=j}^0 \zeta(s, U),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_{q,j}^{(m)}(\lambda) := \left( -\phi_{q,j,+}(l, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \phi_{q,j,+}(l, \lambda) - \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l, \lambda) \right) \right),$$

and we inserted  $l$  in the argument meaning that the cone of length  $l$  is to be considered, and the  $\phi_j$  come from the coefficients of the powers of  $\mu_{q,n}$  in the expansion for large  $\mu_{q,n}$  of the functions  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, S_q)$  and  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm})$  discussed in Section 12.1.2.

Now, the functions  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{Q}_{q,\pm}(a, b))$ ,  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, \hat{S}_{q,\pm})$ , and  $\log \Gamma(-\lambda\mu_{q,n}^2, S_q)$ , are the logarithmic Gamma functions associated to the operators introduced in Sections 13.1.1 and 12.1.1, respectively, that are particular instances of the abstract operators  $R_{\nu,\alpha}$  and  $L_{\nu,\alpha}$  introduced in Section 9.12. Therefore, we may compare the coefficients of the expansions of these two operators by means of the formula proved in Proposition 9.47 of Section 9.46. Adapting the notation, we have

$$\hat{\psi}_{q,j,\pm}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) = \text{sgn}(l_1 - l_2)^j \phi_{q,j,\pm}(l_1, \lambda) + \text{sgn}(l_2 - l_1)^j \hat{\phi}_{q,j,\pm}(l_2, \lambda),$$

that gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) \right) \\
 &= \phi_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^j \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) - \left( (-1)^j \phi_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) \right) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \phi_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^j \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) - \left( (-1)^j \phi_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) \right) \right) \\
 &= (-1)^j \left( -\phi_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( -\phi_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad \phi_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) - \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \phi_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) - \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to distinguish odd and even cases. First, assume  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) + \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) \\
 &= (-1)^j \left( -\phi_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) - \phi_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) \right) \\
 &\quad - \phi_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) - \phi_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda);
 \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since the possible poles of the zeta function  $\zeta(s, U)$  are for  $s = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2p-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)'}(0, S) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,2k+1}^{2p-1}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \text{Res}_0 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,2k+1}^{2p-1}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \text{Res}_1 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta,c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \Psi_{q,2k+1}^{2p-1}(\lambda) d\lambda dt \text{Res}_0 \zeta(s, U), \end{aligned}$$

since  $j = 2k + 1$  is always odd, substitution of the previous formula gives

$$w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)}(s) = t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)}(s, l_1) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)}(s, l_2).$$

Second, assume  $m = 2p$  even, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) + (-1)^{m-1} \left( \hat{\psi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, l_1, \lambda) - \hat{\psi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, l_2, \lambda) \right) \\ &= (-1)^j \left( -\phi_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) - \phi_{q,j,-}(l_1, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_1, \lambda) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda) - \hat{\phi}_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) - \phi_{q,j,+}(l_2, \lambda) + \hat{\phi}_{q,j,-}(l_2, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now the possible poles of the zeta function  $\zeta(s, U)$  are for  $s = 2, 4, 6, \dots, 2p-2$ , and hence  $j = 2k$  is always even. Proceeding as above we obtain that

$$w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p)}(s) = t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)}(s, l_1) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(2p-1)}(s, l_2),$$

In conclusion, we have proved that, for all  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s) &= t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s, l_1) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s, l_2), \\ w_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s) &= t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s, l_1) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)}(s, l_2) \end{aligned}$$

**14.2. The boundary part of the torsion of the cone.** The Brüning-Ma anomaly boundary term for the frustum is

$$A_{\text{BM,mixed}}(\partial C_{[l_1, l_2]}^{(m)}(W)) = \int_{\{l_1\} \times W} B + \int_{\{l_2\} \times W} B,$$

where  $B$  is a form construct starting from the metric of the frustum (see for example [HS12] for details). By Proposition 11.6 and the relation proved in the previous section, we have proved that

$$t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l_1) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l_1) + t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l_2) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l_2) = \int_{\{l_1\} \times W} B + \int_{\{l_2\} \times W} B.$$

Since both the expressions

$$t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l),$$

and

$$\int_{\{l\} \times W} B,$$

are continuous functions of  $l$  (for positive  $l$ ), it follows (for example taking the limit  $l_1 \rightarrow l_2$ ) that

$$t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) = \int_{\{l\} \times W} B.$$

Now, the quantity

$$\int_{\{l\} \times W} B,$$

is precisely the quantity that result computing the anomaly boundary term using the formulas of [BM06] if the cone were a smooth manifold, therefore we have proved that

$$t_{0,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) + t_{1,\text{sing}}^{(m)'}(0, l) = A_{\text{BM,abs}}(\partial C_{0,l}^{(m)}(W)).$$

## 15. DE RHAM MAPS AND RAY-SINGER INTERSECTION TORSION OF A CONE

**15.1. Hodge Theorem and De Rham maps.** Using the isomorphisms between the intersection homology groups and the harmonic forms of the cone and of the section, we may prove an extension of the classical Hodge Theorem for the cone and define the De Rham maps from the spaces of harmonic forms onto the intersection homology groups for a cone.

**Theorem 15.2.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$ , with metric  $g$  and without boundary. Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Let  $C_{0,l}(W)$  the geometric cone over  $W$ . Let  $\rho_0 : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow O(k, V)$  a real orthogonal representation of the fundamental group of  $W$ . Then, there are chain maps that induce isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q} &: \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}^{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}} H_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}), \\ I^{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{A}_{\text{rel},q} &: \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{p}}^{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}} H_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W), W; V_{\rho_0}). \end{aligned}$$

These maps are called De Rham maps, and are described in the course of the proof.

*Proof.* Let  $i : W \rightarrow C_{0,l}(W)$  be the natural inclusion. Let  $N$  be a CW decomposition of  $W$ , and denote by the same letter  $i : N \rightarrow C(N)$  the inclusion induced by  $i$ .

We may construct the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms, for all  $0 \leq q \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{m}}^q(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{*}} & \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{m}^c}^{m-q+1}(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) & \xrightarrow{I^{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q+1}} & H^{m-q+1}(I^{\mathfrak{m}^c} \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(\check{N}), \check{N}); V_{\rho}) & \xleftarrow{I^{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{Q}'_{*,q}} & H_q(I^{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbf{C}_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(N); V_{\rho})) \\ \uparrow k_q^* & & \uparrow (-1)^q h^{m-2q}(x) dx \wedge k_q^* & & \uparrow (-1)^q \gamma_q & & \uparrow (-1)^q \gamma_q \\ \mathcal{H}^q(W, V_{\rho_0}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{*}} & \mathcal{H}^{m-q}(W, V_{\rho_0}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-q}} & H^{m-q}(\check{N}; V_{\rho_0}) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}_{*,q}} & H_q(N; V_{\rho_0}) \end{array}$$

where the maps are defined as follows. The map  $k_q^*$  is the isomorphism described in the propositions of Section 10.28, the inverse of restriction  $i_q^*$ . The map  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^q$  is the De Rham map on  $W$  defined in Section 2.17.  $\mathcal{Q}'_{*,\bullet}$  is the Poincaré map described in Section 6.29.1.  $I^{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{Q}'_{*,q}$  is the Poincaré map for the intersection chain complex introduced in Proposition 7.13. The vertical maps on the right, sends cells of the base into cells of the cone, in the dual case as in the construction of the dual space in Section 6.29. Commutativity of the

left square is proved in Proposition 10.34. Commutativity of the right square is clear by definition. The map  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q+1}$  is defined by closing the central square.

In order to better understand the diagram, take  $\tilde{\omega}_q \in \mathcal{H}^q(W, V_{\rho_0})$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
k_q^*(\tilde{\omega}_q) & \longrightarrow & (-1)^q h^{m-q}(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\star} k_q^*(\tilde{\omega}_q) & \xrightarrow{I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q+1}} & (-1)^q \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx (\int_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q) \check{c}^\dagger & \longleftarrow & I^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{Q}'_{*,q} \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx (\int_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q) \\
\uparrow k_q^* & & \uparrow (-1)^q h^{m-2q}(x) dx \wedge k_q^* & & \uparrow (-1)^q \gamma_q & & \uparrow (-1)^q \gamma_q \\
\tilde{\omega}_q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\star}} & \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-q}} & (\int_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q) \check{c}^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}_{*,q}} & (\int_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q) c
\end{array}$$

What happens on the left is clear. On the right, the image  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-q}(\tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q)$  is an homomorphism on the chains of  $\tilde{N}$ , and precisely, the a multiple of the dual of the cycle  $\check{c}$  that generates the corresponding homology class, i.e.:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-q}(\tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q) = \left( \int \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega} \right) = x \check{c}^\dagger.$$

Since,  $\check{c}^\dagger(\check{c}) = 1$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-q}(\tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega}_q)(\check{c}) = \left( \int_{\check{c}} \tilde{\star} \tilde{\omega} \right) = x.$$

The same argument on the top line, gives the coefficients

$$\gamma_q = \frac{\|k_q^*(\tilde{\omega})\|_{C_{0,l}(W)}^2}{\|\tilde{\omega}\|_W^2} = \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx.$$

Since the homology does not depend on the cell decomposition, the result follows, with

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q} = (I^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{Q}'_{*,q})^{-1} I^{\mathfrak{m}^c}\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q+1} \star.$$

□

**Corollary 15.3.** (*Hodge Theorem*) *There exist natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs}}^q &: \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}^q(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^q(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}), \\
I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^q &: \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{p}}^q(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) \rightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^q(C_{0,l}(W), W; V_{\rho_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

**15.4. RS intersection torsion of the cone of a manifold.** Let  $(W, g)$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let  $C(W)$  be the cone over  $W$ . Since  $W$  is a smooth manifold, it admits a smooth triangulation, and hence it is a regular CW complex. Moreover, any two such triangulations admit a common subdivision. It follows that we may select any one triangulation and all the result of the previous sections hold. The intersection cellular chain complex  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0})$  is a complex of based vector spaces, with graded bases induced by the cells, by Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.6. It follows that for any given graded homology basis, its R torsion is well defined and independent on the cellular decomposition, see Theorem 7.7

We proceed by assuming either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ , and that  $W$  has a Riemannian structure  $g$  and  $C(W)$  has the induced Riemannian structure, i.e. the Cheeger metric, as defined in Section 10.1, and so we denote it by  $C_{0,l}(W)$ , and call it geometric cone. Then, using the  $L^2$  theory of the Laplace operator on spaces with conical singularities developed in the second part of the work, we may define square integrable harmonic forms on  $C_{0,l}(W)$  with coefficients in  $V_{\rho_0}$ , and boundary conditions bc in the boundary:

$\mathcal{H}_{bc,p}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0}) = V \otimes \mathcal{H}_{bc,p}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W))$  (see Section 2.16). In particular, we have the De Rham maps  $I^p \mathcal{A}_{abs,q}$  and  $I^p \mathcal{A}_{rel,q}$  that are isomorphism, see Theorem 15.2.

**Definition 15.5.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Let  $N$  be any regular cellular decomposition of  $W$ . Let  $C(W)$  the cone over  $W$ . We call intersection RS torsion of  $C(W)$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the representation  $\rho_0$ , the positive real number*

$$I^p \tau_{RS}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}) = \tau_R(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0}); I^p \mathcal{A}_{abs,\bullet}(I^p \alpha_\bullet)),$$

where  $I^p \alpha_\bullet$  is a graded orthonormal basis for the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{abs,p}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0})$ , and  $I^p \mathcal{A}_{abs,\bullet}$  the De Rham map. We call relative intersection RS torsion of  $C(W)$ , the number

$$I^p \tau_{RS}(C_{0,l}(W), W; V_{\rho_0}) = \tau_R(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N), N; V_{\rho_0}); I^p \mathcal{A}_{rel,\bullet}(I^p \beta_\bullet)),$$

where  $I^p \beta_\bullet$  is a graded orthonormal basis for the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{rel,p}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0})$ , and  $I^p \mathcal{A}_{rel,\bullet}$  the De Rham map.

**Theorem 15.6.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold without boundary, of dimension  $m$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}_\bullet$  a graded orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^q(W, V_{\rho_0})$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}_\nu$  the standard graded basis of  $H_\bullet(W; V_{\rho_0})$ . Then,*

$$I^p \tau_{RS}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}) = \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} \gamma_q \frac{(-1)^q}{2^{r_q}} \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right|^{(-1)^q} (\#TH_q(W; \mathbf{Z}))^{(-1)^q},$$

$$I^p \tau_{RS}(C_{0,l}(W), W; V_{\rho_0}) = \prod_{q=\mathfrak{a}-1}^m \gamma_q \frac{(-1)^q}{2^{r_q}} \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right|^{(-1)^q} (\#TH_q(W; \mathbf{Z}))^{(-1)^{q+1}},$$

where

$$\gamma_q = \|k_q^*(\tilde{\alpha}_q)\|_{C_{0,l}(W)}^2 = \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx,$$

$k^*$  the constant extension on the cone, and  $r_q = \text{rk} H_q(W; \mathbf{Z})$ .

*Proof.* Consider an orthonormal basis  $\tilde{\alpha}_q$  of  $\mathcal{H}^q(W, V_{\rho_0})$ , then we obtain a basis  $k_q^*(\tilde{\alpha}_q)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{abs,p}^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0})$ , that however is not normalised. For if  $\tilde{\omega}$  is a  $q$  form on  $W$ , and  $k_q^*(\tilde{\omega})$  its extension on the cone, then,  $k_q^*(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{\omega}_1$ , in the notation of Section 10.2. Thus,

$$\star k_q^*(\tilde{\omega}) = (-1)^q h^{m-2q}(x) dx \wedge \tilde{\omega}_1,$$

and

$$\|k_q^*(\tilde{\omega})\|_{C_{0,l}(W)}^2 = \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx \int_W \tilde{\omega} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_1 = \gamma_q \|\tilde{\omega}\|_W^2,$$

where (recall  $q < \mathfrak{a} - 2$ )

$$\gamma_q = \frac{\|k_q^*(\tilde{\omega})\|_{C_{0,l}(W)}^2}{\|\tilde{\omega}\|_W^2} = \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx.$$

Then, the basis  $I^p \tilde{\alpha}_q = \gamma_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} k_q^*(\tilde{\alpha}_q)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{abs,p}^q(C_{0,l}(W), V_{\rho_0})$ . The construction is similar for  $\mathfrak{m}^c$  and for the relative case.

Now, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 7.7,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}) = \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} |\det(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_q(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\alpha}_q)/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_q)|^{(-1)^q} \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} (\#TH_q(W; \mathbb{Z}))^{(-1)^q}.$$

Since by commutativity of the diagram in the proof of Theorem 15.2, up to sign,

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\alpha}_q) = \gamma_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q}k_q^*(\tilde{\alpha}_q) = \gamma_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q),$$

we have that

$$\prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} |\det(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\alpha}_q)/I^{\mathfrak{p}}\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_q)|^{(-1)^q} = \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} \gamma_q^{\frac{(-1)^q}{2}r_q} \prod_{q=0}^{\mathfrak{a}-2} |\det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q)|^{(-1)^q},$$

where  $r_q = \text{rk}H_q(W; \mathbb{Z})$ . □

**Corollary 15.7.** *If  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathfrak{m}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0}) &= I^{\mathfrak{m}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W), W; V_{\rho_0}) \\ &= \sqrt{\|\text{Det } k_{\bullet}^*(\tilde{\alpha}_{\bullet})\|_{\text{Det } I^{\mathfrak{m}}H_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0})\tau_{\text{RS}}(W; V_{\rho_0})}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 15.8.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold without boundary, of dimension  $m$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C(W); V_{\rho_0}) = (I^{\mathfrak{p}^c}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C(W), W; V_{\rho_0}))^{(-1)^m}.$$

*Proof.* This follows by Proposition 7.15, since any two cell decompositions of  $W$  have a common subdivision, and since the graded homology basis induced applying the De Rham gives the absolute and the relative graded homology bases of the cone. □

## 16. THE ANALYTIC TORSION AND THE CHEEGER-MÜLLER THEOREM FOR A CONE

Let  $W$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  without boundary. Let  $C_{0,l}(W)$  be the deformed finite metric cone over  $W$  as defined in Section 10.1. Given a (trivial) representation  $\rho : \pi_1(C_{0,l}(W)) \rightarrow O(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , let  $E_{\rho}$  be the associated vector bundle, as defined at the beginning of Section 2.16. Then, all the theory developed in this part of the work may be remade assuming forms with coefficients in  $E_{\bullet}$ , i.e.,  $\Omega^{(q)}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho})$ , simply by changing the notation. In particular, since the representation is trivial, so will be the bundle. We will use this notation in all the relevant objects.

**Theorem 16.1.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  without boundary. Let  $C_{0,l}(W)$  be the deformed finite metric cone over  $W$  as defined in Section 10.1. Let  $T_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0})$  be the analytic torsion associated to the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}$  on  $C_{0,l}(W)$  defined in Section 10.6, with coefficients in the real vector bundle  $E_{\rho_0}$  induced by the trivial representation  $\rho_0 : \pi_1(C_{0,l}(W)) \rightarrow O(\mathbb{R}^k)$  ( $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}^c$ ). Then,*

$$\log T_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0}) = \log T_{\text{global,abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0}) + \log T_{\text{bound,abc},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0}),$$

where

$$\log T_{\text{bound,abc,p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0}) = \frac{1}{4}\chi(W; E_{\rho_0}) \log 2 + A_{\text{BM,abc}}(W; E_{\rho_0}|W),$$

is the boundary anomaly term, that only depends on the boundary, coincides with the anomaly boundary term described in [BM06, BM13] for smooth manifolds, and vanishes if the boundary is totally geodesic.

The global term is different depending on the parity of the dimension  $m$  of  $W$ . If  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$\log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) = \frac{1}{2} \log T(W, E_{\rho_0}|W) + \frac{1}{2} \log \|\text{Det } k_{\bullet}^*(\tilde{\alpha}_{\bullet})\|_{\text{Det } I^p H_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0})}.$$

If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , either  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}$  or  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}^c$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{global,abs,p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho_0}) &= \frac{1}{4}\chi(W; E_{\rho_0}) \log 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \|\text{Det } k_{\bullet}^*(\tilde{\alpha}_{\bullet})\|_{\text{Det } I^p H_{\bullet}(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0})} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} r_q \log(2p - 2q - 1)!! \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_q$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^q(W)$  and  $k^* : \mathcal{H}^q(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs,p}}^q(C_{0,l}(W))$  the map induced by inclusion (see Section 10.28),  $r_q = \text{rk} H_q(W; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Note that

$$\|\text{det } k_q^*(\tilde{\alpha}_q)\|_{\text{det } I^p H_q(C_{0,l}(W); V_{\rho_0})} = \gamma_q^{r_q} = \left( \int_0^l h(x)^{m-2q} dx \right)^{r_q}.$$

**Theorem 16.2.** *Let  $W$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  without boundary. Let  $C_{0,l}(W)$  be the deformed finite metric cone over  $W$  as defined in Section 10.1. Let  $T_{\text{bc,p}}(C_{0,l}(W))$  be the Analytic Torsion associated to the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_{\text{bc,p}}$  on  $C_{0,l}(W)$  defined in Section 12 (bc = abs, rel,  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}^c$ ). If  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then  $(\chi(W))$  is trivial in this case)*

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{abs,p}}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) &= \log I^p \tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(W; E_{\rho_0}|W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + A_{\text{BM,abs}}(W), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{rel,p}}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) &= \log I^p \tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W), W; E_{\rho_0}) + \frac{1}{4}\chi(W; E_{\rho_0}|W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + A_{\text{BM,rel}}(W). \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{abs},p}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) &= \log I^p \tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(W; E_{\rho_0}|W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + A_{\text{BM,abs}}(W) + A_{\text{comb},p}(W) + A_{\text{analy}}(W), \\ \log T_{\text{rel},p}(C_{0,l}(W); E_{\rho_0}) &= \log I^p \tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W), W; E_{\rho_0}) + \frac{1}{4} \chi(W; E_{\rho_0}|W) \log 2 \\ &\quad + A_{\text{BM,rel}}(W) + A_{\text{comb},p}(W) + A_{\text{analy}}(W), \end{aligned}$$

where the combinatoric anomaly term are:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{comb},m}(W) &= - \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^q \log \left( \#TH_q(W; \mathbb{Z}) \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right| \right), \\ A_{\text{comb},m^c}(W) &= - \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \log \left( \#TH_q(W; \mathbb{Z}) \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

the analytic anomaly terms is:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{analy}}(W) &= \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q+1} r_q \log(2p - 2q - 1)!! + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} (-1)^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\text{cex},q,n} \log \frac{\mu_{q,n} + \alpha_q}{\mu_{q,n} - \alpha_q} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \chi(W; E_{\rho_0}|W) \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For the absolute torsion, the odd case follows by Theorem 16.1 and the Corollary of Theorem 15.6. The even case by Theorem 16.1 and Theorem 15.6. For the relative torsion, by Proposition 7.24 and Theorem 11.1.  $\square$

Note that, using either Hodge duality on the section or Proposition 15.8, the combinatorial anomaly term may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{comb},m}(W) &= - \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^q \log \left( \#TH_q(W; \mathbb{Z}) \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right| \right) \\ &= - \sum_{q=p+1}^m (-1)^{q+1} \log \left( \#TH_q(W; \mathbb{Z}) \left| \det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q(\tilde{\alpha}_q)/\mathfrak{n}_q) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

## PART III

### 17. ANALYSIS ON A SPACE WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES

A topological space  $X$  is called a *space with an isolated metric conical singularity* if

$$X = C_{0,l}(W) \cup_{\partial Y} Y,$$

where  $Y$  is a compact connected smooth Riemannian (we denote its metric by  $g_Y$  when necessary) manifold of dimension  $n$  with boundary  $\partial Y$  isometric with  $(W, h(l)^2 g)$ , for some  $l > 0$ , the union is smooth along the boundary, and the metric is glued smoothly along the boundary [Che83, pg. 575]. We say that  $X$  has dimension  $n = m + 1$ .

In this setting, we may identify a neighborhood of the boundary with the collar

$$\mathcal{C} = [0, \iota) \times \partial Y,$$

where  $\iota = \iota(Y)$  is the injectivity radius of  $Y$  [Gil95, 2.7]. Whence, using the local system of coordinates  $(x, y)$ , where  $x$  is the global coordinate on the interval  $(0, l]$ , as described in Section 10.1,  $[0, \iota)$  reads  $[l, l + \iota)$ , and the metric of  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  coincides with

$$\mathbf{g}_h = dx \otimes dx + h^2(x)\tilde{g}.$$

Note that we used the same function  $h$  as introduced in Section 10.1 for the restriction of the metric of  $Y$  onto  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is possible without loss of generality. For assume we have any smooth metric  $g_Y$  on  $Y$ , its restriction onto  $\mathcal{C}$  will be as above but with some different smooth function, say  $f$ , instead of  $h$ . However, we set no hypothesis on  $h$  near  $x = l$ , beside requiring that it is smooth, and therefore we may as well deform  $h$  smoothly near  $x = l$  in such a way that it glues smoothly with  $f$  on the other side.

This is a key point, because then we can affirm that all the formal differential operators defined on  $Y$ , and in particular the exterior derivative  $d_Y$  and the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_Y$ , when restricted onto  $\mathcal{C}$  coincide with the ones described in Section 10.

Let

$$i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Y,$$

denote the identification of the collar with a neighbourhood of the boundary of  $Y$ . This map is a smooth injective isometry, and induces an injective isometry

$$i^* : L^2(Y) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{C}),$$

that sends a  $q$  form  $\varphi_Y$  in  $\Omega^q(Y)$  into a form  $i^*(\varphi_Y)$  in  $\Omega^q(\mathcal{C})$ . We may then compose it with the adjoint map to get a form  $\text{ad } i^*(\varphi_Y)$  in  $C^\infty([l, l + \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ , as in Section 10.2. Observe that  $\partial Y$  is diffeomorphic to  $W$ , and  $(\partial Y, g_\partial)$  is isometric to  $(W, h^2(l)g)$ , where  $g_\partial$  is the restriction of  $g_Y$  on the boundary.

If  $\varphi$  is a form defined on  $X$ , it is clear that the same process may be executed producing a smooth inclusion

$$i : (0, l + \iota) \times W,$$

where  $i$  is the identity map on  $(0, l]$ , and therefore an inclusion

$$\text{ad}_q i^* : \Omega^q(X) \rightarrow C^\infty((0, \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)),$$

and an injective isometry

$$\text{ad}_q i^* : L^2(\Omega^q(X)) \rightarrow L^2((0, \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)),$$

where the inner product on the left side was defined in Section 10.2.

We may then consider the restriction  $\mathfrak{P}^q$  of the formal Hodge Laplace operator on  $X$  making the following square of injective isometries to commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_q i^*} & C^\infty((0, \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) \\ \Delta_X^q \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{P}^q \\ \Omega^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_q i^*} & C^\infty((0, \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) \end{array}$$

As observed the restriction of  $\mathfrak{P}^q$  on forms on  $C = C_{(0,l]}(W) = (0, l) \times W$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{A}^q$ , whence we have the identification for any given form  $\varphi$  in  $\Omega^q(X)$ :

$$\Delta_X^{(q)}\varphi = \mathfrak{A}^q \text{ad}_q \varphi|_C + \Delta_Y^{(q)}\varphi|_Y.$$

In particular, this means that

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(X, \Omega^q(X))} = \langle \text{ad}_q \varphi|_C, \text{ad}_q \psi|_C \rangle_{L^2((0,l], \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))} + \langle \varphi|_Y, \psi|_Y \rangle_{L^2(Y, \Omega^q(Y))}.$$

In order to economise notation, we will just write

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_X = \langle \text{ad}_q \varphi|_C, \text{ad}_q \psi|_C \rangle_C + \langle \varphi|_Y, \psi|_Y \rangle_Y. \quad (17.1)$$

We associate to the formally self-adjoint formal Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_X^{(q)}$  on  $X$  (associated to the metric  $g_X$ ), the following minimal and maximal operators:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\Delta_{X,\min}^{(q)}) &= H_0^2(X), \\ D(\Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}) &= H_{\Delta_X}^2(X), \end{aligned}$$

that by definition are unbounded and densely defined in  $L^2(X)$  (we could take  $C_0^\infty(X)$  instead of  $H^2(X)$  in the definition of the minimal operator). Since  $\Delta_{X,\min}^{(q)} \subseteq \Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}$ ,  $\Delta_{X,\min}^{(q)}$  is symmetric, and since  $\Delta_X^{(q)}$  is formally self-adjoint,  $(\Delta_{X,\min}^{(q)})^\dagger = \Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}$ , and the last is closed. Moreover, the self-adjoint extensions of  $\Delta_{X,\min}^{(q)}$  are restrictions of  $\Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}$ . We look for boundary values that characterise these extensions.

For we recall the Hodge decomposition of the space of forms on  $W$  introduced in Section 10.5 in order to obtain a direct sum decomposition of the space  $C^\infty((0, l + \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ , and consequently a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{P}^q$ .

Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  an eigenvalue of the Hodge-Laplace operator  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$  in  $W$ , with  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,0} = 0$ . Let  $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}, w_n, j_n}$  an eigenform of  $\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}$  in a given complete orthonormal basis of eigenforms of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(q)}$ , where  $w_0 = \text{har}$  denotes an harmonic forms,  $w_{n>0} = \text{ex, cex}$  identifies exact and coexact forms. Let  $\nu_{q,n} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{q,n}^2 + \alpha_q^2}$ , where  $\alpha_q = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2q - m)$  ( $m = \dim W$ ). Then, we have the direct sum decomposition

$$C^\infty((0, l + \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} C^\infty \left( (0, l + \iota), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)} \right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{P}^q = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \mathfrak{P}_{n_1, n_2}^q,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{P}_{n_1, n_2}^q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \alpha_q} & -2\frac{h'}{h^2}\tilde{\mathfrak{d}} \\ -2\frac{h'}{h^2}\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger & \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, -\alpha_{q-2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

acts on the space

$$C^\infty \left( (0, l + \iota), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)} \right),$$

and  $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\alpha}$  is the formal operator

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2 + \left( \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) (h'(x))^2}{h(x)^2}.$$

Take  $\varphi$  in  $C^\infty(X, \Omega^q(X))$ , then the decomposition of  $\text{ad}_q i^*(\varphi)$  in

$$C^\infty((0, l + \iota), \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W)) = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} C^\infty \left( (0, l + \iota), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)} \right),$$

is a linear combination

$$\text{ad}_q i^*(\varphi) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, w_{n_1}, w_{n_2}, j_{w_{n_1}}, j_{w_{n_2}}} \left( u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{n_1}}, u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{n_2}} \right),$$

where  $u_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are two smooth functions on  $(0, l + \iota)$  with values in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}}^{(q)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}^{(q-1)}$ , respectively. We denote by  $P_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}}$  the projection

$$P_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}} \text{ad}_q i^*(\varphi) = \left( u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{n_1}}, u_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{n_2}} \right).$$

This decomposition induces a direct sum decomposition

$$\Delta_X^{(q)} = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2} \Delta_{X, n_1, n_2}^{(q)},$$

where

$$\Delta_{X, n_1, n_2}^{(q)} \varphi = \text{ad}_q \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2} \varphi|_C + \Delta_{Y, n_1, n_2}^{(q)} \varphi|_Y.$$

**Remark 17.1.** *Observe that the formula above is not a definition, but a matter of fact: namely the operator  $\Delta_{Y, n_1, n_2}^{(q)}$  acts as the operator  $\text{ad}_q \mathfrak{A}_{n_1, n_2}$  in the collar  $\mathcal{C}$ , therefore, extending on left the collar, we may as well consider the operator  $\Delta_{Y, n_1, n_2}^{(q)}$  acting in the same way on it, and this is what the above formula is saying.*

Let consider the operator  $\Delta_{X, n_1, n_2}^{(q)}$ , for fixed  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Applying the analysis described in Section 10.6, there are two linearly independent square integrable solutions of the formal eigenvalues equation for  $\Delta_{X, n_1, n_2}^{(q)}$  near  $x = 0$  if  $\nu_{1, q, n_1} \geq 1$  and  $\nu_{2, q, n_2} \geq 1$ , while there is only one such solutions otherwise. Note that the last situation may happen only for a finite number of values of  $n_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Whence if either  $\nu_{1, q, n_1}$  or  $\nu_{2, q, n_2}$  is smaller than 1, we have deficiency indices  $(1, 1)$  and we need a boundary condition at  $x = 0$ . We may construct a boundary value at  $x = 0$  as in Section 9.12. Let  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  be the two normalised solutions of the differential equation (9.4) introduced in Definition 9.3. Fix a point  $x_0$ , with  $0 < x_0 < l$ , and let  $v_\pm$  be two smooth functions on  $(0, l]$  vanishing for  $x > x_0$  and equal to  $\mathbf{u}_\pm$  near 0. Use these functions to construct (by extending them with the zero function) two smooth forms  $\varphi_\pm$  in  $C^\infty(X, \Omega^q(X))$ , i.e.

$$\text{ad}_q i^*(\varphi_\pm) = \left( v_\pm \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q, n_1}, w_{n_1}, j_{n_1}}, v_\pm \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{q-1, n_2}, w_{n_2}, j_{n_2}} \right),$$

and is the zero form other wise.

Then, near  $x = 0$

$$(\Delta_{X, n_1, n_2}^{(q)} \varphi_\pm)(x) = (\mathfrak{P}_{n_1, n_2}^q \text{ad}_q i^*(\varphi_\pm))(x) = 0,$$

so that  $\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}\varphi_{\pm}$  is square integrable on  $X$  and therefore  $\varphi_{\pm} \in D(\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\max}^{(q)})$ . Thus, by [DS88b, XII.4.20]

$$BV_{n_1,n_2,\pm}(0)(\varphi) = (\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}\varphi, \varphi_{\pm}) - (\varphi, \Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}\varphi_{\pm}),$$

are boundary values for  $\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}$  at 0. In fact, these are continuous functionals on  $D(\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\max}^{(q)})$  that vanish on  $D(\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\min}^{(q)})$ . Using the formula in equation (17.1), we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} BV_{n_1,n_2,\pm}(0)(\varphi) &= (\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}\varphi, \varphi_{\pm})_{L^2(X,\Omega^q(X))} - (\varphi, \Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}\varphi_{\pm})_{L^2(X,\Omega^q(X))} \\ &= (\mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_2}^q \operatorname{ad}_q \varphi|_C, v_{\pm}|_C)_C - (\operatorname{ad}_q \varphi|_C, \mathfrak{A}_{n_1,n_2}^q v_{\pm}|_C)_C, \end{aligned}$$

since the restriction of  $\Delta_X^q$  on  $M$  is self-adjoint. Whence, proceeding as in Section 9.12, and recalling Remark 10.8, we have the following two boundary values for  $\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2}^{(q)}$  at  $x = 0$ : for  $\varphi \in D(\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\max}^{(q)})$ , write  $\operatorname{ad}_q \varphi|_C = (u_1, u_2)$ , then

$$BV_{1,n_1,n_2,\pm}(0)(u_1, u_2) : \begin{cases} \begin{cases} BV_{\nu_{q,n_1},\pm}(0)(u_1), & \text{if } \nu_{1,q,n_1} < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu_{1,q,n_1} \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} BV_{\nu_{2,q-1,n_2},\pm}(0)(u_2), & \text{if } \nu_{2,q-1,n_2} < 1, \\ \text{none}, & \text{if } \nu_{2,q-1,n_2} \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where

$$BV_{\nu,\pm}(0)(u) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (u'(x)v_{\pm}(x) - u(x)v'_{\pm}(x)),$$

and recall that  $v_{\pm}$  depends on the value of  $\nu$ .

We are ready to introduce our extensions of the formal Hodge-Laplace operator on  $X$ .

**Definition 17.2.** Let  $\Delta_X^{(q)}$  denote the formal Hodge-Laplace operator on  $X$ . We define the operators  $\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\pm}^q$  acting on  $L^2(X)$  as follows:

$$D(\Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\pm}^{(q)}) = \left\{ \varphi \in D(\Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}) \mid BC_{n_1,n_2,+}^q(0)(P_{\lambda_{q,n_1},\bar{\lambda}_{q-1,n_2}} \operatorname{ad}_q i^*(\varphi)) = 0 \right\}.$$

$$\Delta_{X,\pm}^{(q)} = \bigoplus_{n_1,n_2} \Delta_{X,n_1,n_2,\pm}^{(q)}.$$

If  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\Delta_{X,m}^{(\bullet)} = \Delta_{X,m^c}^{(\bullet)} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} \Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)} \oplus \Delta_{X,+}^{(p-1)} \oplus \Delta_{X,\max}^{(p)} \oplus \Delta_{X,+}^{(p+1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+2}^{2p} \Delta_{X,\max}^{(q)}.$$

If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{X, \mathfrak{m}^c}^{(\bullet)} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} \Delta_{X, \max}^{(q)} \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p-1)} \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p)} \oplus \Delta_{X, 0, 0, -}^{(p+1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1 > 0, n_2 > 0} \Delta_{X, n_1, n_2, +}^{(p+1)} \\ &\quad \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p+2)} \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} \Delta_{X, \max}^{(p-1)}, \\ \Delta_{X, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\bullet)} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2} \Delta_{X, \max}^{(q)} \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p-1)} \oplus \Delta_{X, 0, 0, -}^{(p)} \oplus \bigoplus_{n_1 > 0, n_2 > 0} \Delta_{X, n_1, n_2, +}^{(p)} \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p+1)} \\ &\quad \oplus \Delta_{X, +}^{(p+2)} \oplus \bigoplus_{q=p+3}^{2p+1} \Delta_{X, \max}^{(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

All these operators act by means of the formal Hodge-Laplace operator  $\Delta_X^{(q)}$ .

**Proposition 17.3.** *Let  $\theta$  be a square integrable form on  $Y$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_Y \theta, \theta \rangle &= \|d\theta\|^2 + \|d_Y^\dagger \theta\|^2 \\ &\quad - h^{1-2\alpha_q}(0) f_1(0) f_1'(0) \|\tilde{\omega}_1\|^2 - (h^{1-2\alpha_{q-1}} f_2)'(0) f_2(0) \|\tilde{\omega}_2\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2h^{1-2\alpha_q}(0) f_1(0) f_2(0) \langle \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be a square integrable form on  $Y$ . Since

$$dd_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y \theta = d(d_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y \theta) + d_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y d_Y^\dagger \theta,$$

so

$$\int_Y dd_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y \theta = \int_W i^*(d_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y \theta) + \int_Y d_Y^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_Y d_Y^\dagger \theta.$$

Similarly,

$$d_Y^\dagger d\theta \wedge \star_Y \theta = -d(\theta \wedge \star_Y d\theta) + d\theta \wedge \star_Y d\theta,$$

so

$$\int_M d_M^\dagger d_M \theta \wedge \star_M \theta = - \int_W i^*(\theta \wedge \star_Y d\theta) + \int_Y d\theta \wedge \star_Y d\theta,$$

then

$$\langle \Delta_Y \theta, \theta \rangle = \|d\theta\|^2 + \|d_Y^\dagger \theta\|^2 + \int_W i^*(d_M^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_M \theta) - \int_W i^*(\theta \wedge \star_M d_M \theta).$$

Decomposing  $\theta = \theta_{\text{tan}} + \theta_{\text{norm}}$ , we obtain (compare with [Sch95, 2.1.4])

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_W i^*(d_M^\dagger \theta \wedge \star_M \theta) - \int_W i^*(\theta \wedge \star_M d_M \theta) \\ &= \int_W (d_M^\dagger \theta)_{\text{tan}} \wedge \star_M \theta_{\text{norm}} \Big|_W - \int_W \theta_{\text{tan}} \wedge \star_M (d_M \theta)_{\text{norm}} \Big|_W. \end{aligned}$$

In the local system, setting

$$\theta|_C = \omega_1 + dx \wedge \omega_2,$$

we find that (see also [Sch95, 2.27])

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta|_C)_{\text{tan}} &= \omega_1, \\
d_Y \theta|_C &= \tilde{d}\omega_1 + dx \wedge (\omega'_1 - \tilde{d}\omega_2), \\
(d_Y \theta|_C)_{\text{norm}} &= dx \wedge (\omega'_1 - \tilde{d}\omega_2), \\
\star_Y (d_Y \theta|_C)_{\text{norm}} \star_Y dx \wedge \omega'_1 - \tilde{d}\omega_2 &= h^{1-2\alpha_q} (\tilde{\star}\omega'_1 - \tilde{\star}\tilde{d}\omega_2), \\
\int_W \theta_{\text{tan}} \wedge \star_Y (d_Y \theta)_{\text{norm}}|_{x=l} &= h^{1-2\alpha_q}|_W \int_W \omega_1 \wedge (\tilde{\star}\omega'_1 - \tilde{\star}\tilde{d}\omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Separating the variables, with  $x \in [0, \iota)$ ,

$$\theta|_C(x, y) = f_1(x)\omega_1(y) + f_2(x)dx \wedge \omega_2(y),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_W \theta_{\text{tan}} \wedge \star_Y (d_Y \theta)_{\text{norm}}|_{x=0} &= h^{1-2\alpha_q}(0) f_1(0) f'_1(0) \int_W \omega_1 \wedge \tilde{\star}\omega_1 \\
&\quad - h^{1-2\alpha_q}(0) f_1(0) f_2(0) \int_W \omega_1 \wedge \tilde{\star}\tilde{d}\omega_2, \\
\int_W (d_Y^\dagger \theta)_{\text{tan}} \wedge \star_Y \theta_{\text{norm}}|_{x=0} &= - (h^{1-2\alpha_q-1} f_2)'(0) f_2(0) \int_W \omega_2 \wedge \tilde{\star}\omega_2 \\
&\quad + h^{-1-2\alpha_q-1}(0) f_1(0) f_2(0) \int_W \tilde{d}^\dagger \omega_1 \wedge \tilde{\star}\omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 17.4.** *The operators  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  are non negative self-adjoint operators.*

*Proof.*  $\Delta_X$  is formally self-adjoint,  $\Delta_{X,\min}$  is densely defined and therefore symmetric, with adjoint  $\Delta_{X,\max}$ , so  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  that is a restriction of  $\Delta_{X,\max}$  is self-adjoint.

We show that it is non negative. For take  $\theta \in D(\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)})$  and consider the inner product

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta_{X,p}^{(q)} \theta, \theta \rangle &= \int_X \Delta_X^{(q)} \theta \wedge \star_X \theta = \int_C \Delta_C^{(q)} \theta|_C \wedge \star_C \theta|_C + \int_Y \Delta_Y^{(q)} \theta|_Y \wedge \star_Y \theta|_Y \\
&= \langle \Delta_C^{(q)} \theta|_C, \theta|_C \rangle + \langle \Delta_Y^{(q)} \theta|_Y, \theta|_Y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Propositions 10.26 and 17.3, we see that the boundary terms cancel each other and the remaining part is a sum of positive quantities. □

**Proposition 17.5.** *The operators  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  have compact resolvent.*

*Proof.* Let  $r(z_1, z_2)$  be the standard kernel for the resolvent of the Hodge-Laplace operator on the compact manifold  $Y$  (see for example [Gil95]). On the collar, we may use local coordinate and separate the variables, moreover we can use the decomposition on the complete system of eigenforms on the section, so we reduce exactly to the situation described for the cone in the proof of Theorem 10.27, so  $k$  takes the explicit form described in Theorem 10.27. Therefore the minimal operators (on forms with compact support) coincide. Since both the maximal extensions are continuous (and densely defined) they coincide. Since the resolvent on the cone is defined by the extension of the resolvent

of the collar, this defines the resolvent of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$ , and shows that it has a continuous square integrable kernel, since it is square integrable on  $Y$  by construction, and it is square integrable on the cone by Theorem 10.27.  $\square$

These propositions imply the following fact.

**Corollary 17.6.** *The operators  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  have discrete non negative spectrum with simple eigenvalues. The eigenfunctions determine a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(X)$ .*

**Remark 17.7.** *As observed in Corollary 10.39, there exists a power of the resolvent of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  that is of trace class.*

**Corollary 17.8.** *The heat operator  $e^{-t\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}}$  associated to  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  is of trace class. Its trace has an asymptotic expansion for small  $t$  of the following form*

$$\text{Tre}^{-t\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}) t^{\frac{m+1-k}{2}}.$$

The coefficients  $a_k(\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)})$  are local, i.e. there exist smooth integrable functions such that

$$a_k(\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}) = \int_X e_k(x, \Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}) d\text{vol}_X$$

*Proof.* By the theorem, the resolvent has a continuous square integrable kernel whose restriction on  $M$  is the kernel of the Hodge-Laplace on  $Y$ , and therefore is of trace class and has got the stated local asymptotic expansion, whose restriction on the cone is the resolvent of the Hodge-Laplace operator on the cone discussed in Theorem 10.27. This kernel is of trace class since its trace is given by Laplace transform of the associated logarithmic Gamma function [Spr12, 2.3], and the last is the one studied in Section 12.1.2. Moreover, the asymptotic expansion exists and is given by the explicit twisted product of the one on the section and the one on the line. The coefficients of the expansion on  $X$  are thus given by the sum of the integrals of the local coefficients on the two parts.  $\square$

In order to describe the spaces of the harmonic forms on  $X$  we recall a few facts about the De Rham cohomology groups of a manifold with boundary. So let  $Y$  be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m + 1$  with smooth connected boundary  $W$ . Let  $i : W = \partial Y \rightarrow Y$  denote the inclusion of the boundary. The map

$$i^q : \Omega^q(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^q(W),$$

induced by  $i$  is surjective. Set

$$\Omega^q(Y, W) = \ker i^q,$$

we verify that

$$\Omega^q(Y, W) = \Omega_{\text{rel}}^q(Y) = \{\omega \in \Omega^q(Y) \mid \omega_{\text{tg}}|_W = 0\}.$$

and, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^q(Y, W) \xrightarrow{p^q} \Omega^q(Y) \xrightarrow{i^q} \Omega^q(W) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $H_{\text{DR}}^q$  denote the De Rham cohomology, since the maps  $j^q$  and  $i^q$  commute with the differentials, the sequence above induces a long exact cohomology sequence in the De Rham cohomology.

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y, W) \xrightarrow{p_*^q} H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*^q} H_{\text{DR}}^q(W) \xrightarrow{\delta^q} H_{\text{DR}}^{q+1}(Y, W) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Moreover, the map

$$\mathcal{A}^q : \omega \rightarrow \int \omega$$

defined on the spaces of smooth forms induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^q(W) &\rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^q(W) \rightarrow H^q(W), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y) &\rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y) \rightarrow H^q(Y), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y) &\rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y, W) \rightarrow H^q(Y, W), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{bc}}(Y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{bc}}^q(Y)$  are the subspaces of the harmonic fields (closed and co closed harmonic forms) [Sch95, Definition 2.2.1].

We may now characterise the image of  $j_*^q$ . By exactness this is the kernel of  $i_*^q$ , i.e.

$$\text{Im } j_*^q = \ker i_*^q = \{[\omega] \in H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y) \mid i_*^q([\omega]) = [0] \in H_{\text{DR}}^q(W)\}.$$

Since by the Hodge decomposition [Sch95, 2.6.1], we may write univocally

$$\omega = \theta + d\alpha,$$

with  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(W) = \{\theta \in \Omega^q(Y) \mid d\theta = d^\dagger\theta = 0, \theta_{\text{norm}}|_W = 0\}$ , the module of the harmonic fields with absolute bc, and

$$i^q(\omega) = \omega_{\text{tg}}|_W = \theta_{\text{tg}}|_W + d\alpha_{\text{tg}}|_W,$$

the equation above has the solution

$$i^q(\theta) = \theta_{\text{tg}}|_W = \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi},$$

where  $\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}$  is not a harmonic on  $W$ , i.e.  $\tilde{d}^\dagger\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} \neq 0$ .

We now proceed to a local characterisations of the harmonic forms representing the cohomology classes.

Repeating the analysis of the formal Hodge-Laplace operator made on the cone, but with  $h = 1$ , we have the following description of the solutions  $\theta_Y$  of the harmonic equation for the formal Hodge-Laplace operator on  $Y$  in the collar  $\mathcal{C}$ , decomposed on the spectral family of eigenfunctions of the Hodge-Laplace operator on the section:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = \left( a_1 \text{sh}(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_1} t) + b_1 \text{ch}(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_1} t) \right) \tilde{\varphi}_1 + \left( a_2 \text{sh}(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_2} t) + b_2 \text{ch}(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_2} t) \right) \tilde{\varphi}_2.$$

where  $t \in [0, \iota)$  is the standard local coordinate near the boundary on  $Y$  (out going geodesic distance from the boundary).

By [Sch95, 2.4.8],

$$\mathcal{F}^q(Y) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{ex}}(Y) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{cex}}(Y),$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y) = \{0\}$ . Therefore, if  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y)$ , then  $\theta_Y \notin \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y)$ , so  $\theta_Y = d^\dagger \beta$ . Viceversa, if  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y)$ , then  $\theta_Y \notin \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y)$ , so  $\theta_Y = d\varphi$ . So there are three possibilities

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_Y &= d^\dagger \beta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q \cap \mathcal{F}_{\text{cex}}(Y), \\ \theta_Y &= d\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q \cap \mathcal{F}_{\text{ex}}(Y), \\ \theta_Y &= d\varphi = d^\dagger \beta \in (\mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q \cup \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q)^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

where the orthogonal complement is meant inside the space of harmonic fields.

Imposing these requests, for  $\theta_Y$  to be an harmonic field we have that on the collar  $\theta_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a linear combination of the following four types:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\text{E}}(t) &= \tilde{\theta}_{\text{harm}}, \\ \theta_{\text{II}}(t) &= \text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} + \sqrt{\lambda} \text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) dt \wedge \tilde{\varphi}, \\ \theta_{\text{O}}(t) &= dt \wedge \tilde{\theta}_{\text{harm}}, \\ \theta_{\text{III}}(t) &= \text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} + \sqrt{\lambda} \text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) dt \wedge \tilde{\varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\theta_{\text{E}}, \theta_{\text{II}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^\bullet(Y)$ , while  $\theta_{\text{O}}, \theta_{\text{III}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(Y)$ .

However, on the collar  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\theta_{\text{E}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\theta_{\text{O}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$  but  $\theta_{\text{II}}, \theta_{\text{III}}$  do not satisfy any boundary condition (recall there are two boundary on the collar). Indeed, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\text{II}}(t) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d^\dagger (\text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) dt \wedge \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}), \\ \theta_{\text{III}}(t) &= d(\text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \tilde{\varphi}), \\ \theta_{\text{II}}(t) &= d(\text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \tilde{\varphi}), \\ \theta_{\text{III}}(t) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d^\dagger (\text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t) dt \wedge \tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi})\end{aligned}$$

and this shows that  $\theta_{\text{II}}, \theta_{\text{III}} \in (\mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q \cup \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q)^\perp$ . Moreover

$$\theta_{\text{O}}(t) = d(t\tilde{\theta}_{\text{harm}}), \quad (17.2)$$

These equations tell us that the forms of types *O*, *II* and *III* represents trivial cohomology classes on the collar, whose cohomology is indeed that of  $W$ , whose classes are all represented by harmonics of type *E* in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^\bullet(Y)$ .

However, this is no longer true on  $Y$ , where there may exist non trivial classes in the relative cohomology. This is due to the fact that the equation (17.2) may be not satisfied on the whole  $Y$ , in other words the local form  $t\tilde{\theta}_{\text{harm}}$  may be not extendable on  $Y$ . In particular, forms of types *II* and *III* either coincides, and in such a case they represent a trivial homology class, or one is exact and the other coexact, and in such a case one represents a trivial cohomology class and the other a non trivial class.

Next, observe that if  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y)$  represents a cohomology class  $[\theta_Y] \in H_{\text{DR}}^q(Y)$ , then either  $[i_*^q(\theta_Y)] = [0] \in H_{\text{DR}}^q(W)$  or not, and in the second case, this means that  $[\theta_Y]$  is not in the image of the map  $p_*^q$ , i.e. it does not come from a relative cohomology class. We have the following local description.

**Lemma 17.9.** *With the notation introduced above, given  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y)$ , then  $[\theta_Y] \in \ker i_*^q$  if and only if on the collar*

$$\theta_C(t) = d^\dagger(\text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi}) = -\sqrt{\lambda}\text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\lambda}\text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)dt \wedge \tilde{\varphi}.$$

*On the other side, given  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y)$ , then  $[\theta_Y] \in \ker j_*^q$  if and only if on the collar*

$$\theta_C(t) = d(\text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)\tilde{\varphi}) = \text{sh}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)\tilde{d}\tilde{\varphi} + \sqrt{\lambda}\text{ch}(\sqrt{\lambda}t)dt \wedge \tilde{\varphi}.$$

**Proposition 17.10.** *There are the following natural isomorphisms between the spaces of the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^q(X)$  of the operator  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}$  (here  $\mathfrak{p}$  stands for either  $\mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{m}^c$ ) and those of the harmonic forms of operators  $\Delta_{Y,\text{abs}}^{(q)}$  and  $\Delta_{Y,\text{rel}}^{(q)}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^q(X) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^{(q)}(Y), & 0 \leq q \leq p-1, \\ \ker(i_*^p : H_{\text{DR}}(Y) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}(W)), & q = p, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^{(q)}(Y), & p+1 \leq q \leq 2p, \end{cases} & \dim W = 2p-1, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{m}^c}^q(X) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^{(q)}(Y), & 0 \leq q \leq p-1, \\ \ker(i_*^p : H_{\text{DR}}(Y) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}(W)), & q = p, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^{(q)}(Y), & p+1 \leq q \leq 2p+1, \end{cases} & \dim W = 2p, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^q(X) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^{(q)}(Y), & 0 \leq q \leq p, \\ \ker(i_*^p : H_{\text{DR}}(Y) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}(W)), & q = p+1, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^{(q)}(Y), & p+2 \leq q \leq 2p+1, \end{cases} & \dim W = 2p, \end{aligned}$$

where the map  $i : W \rightarrow Y$  is the inclusion, and the isomorphism is given by restriction plus an isometry (as described in the course of the proof)

$$\begin{aligned} j^q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^q(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{bc}}^q(Y), \\ j^q : \theta &\mapsto \theta|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

induced by the inclusion  $j : Y \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* In the course of this proof, we will consider without saying that explicitly only square integrable forms. Let  $\theta$  be an harmonic of  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}$ . Then,  $\theta_Y = \theta|_Y$  is a solution of the harmonic equation on  $Y$ , while  $\theta_C = \theta|_C$  is a solution of the harmonic equation on the cone, and

$$0 = \langle \Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)} \theta, \theta \rangle = \langle \Delta_C^{(q)} \theta_C, \theta_C \rangle_C + \langle \Delta_Y^{(q)} \theta_Y, \theta_Y \rangle_Y.$$

Consider the space  $\mathcal{S} = C_{0,l+\iota}(W) = C_{0,l} \cup_W \mathcal{C}$ , and the restriction  $\theta_{\mathcal{S}} = \theta|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . On  $\mathcal{S}$  we have the local system used in Part II for the cone, and we may consider the tangential and the normal component of  $\theta$ , and decompose on the spectral resolution of the Hodge-Laplace operator on the section. Thus,  $\theta_C$  has the form of one of the solutions described in Lemma 10.19: of type O, E, I, or IV.

Observe that there is an isometry  $\Psi : C_{l,l+\iota}(W) = \mathcal{S} - C_{0,l}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , that sends the inward collar of the boundary of the cone over  $W$  of length  $l + \iota$  onto the the collar  $\mathcal{C}$  of the boundary of  $Y$  in  $Y$ , and preserves the type of the solutions of the harmonic equation. This isometry essentially consists in a change from generalised spherical coordinates to cartesian coordinate composed with a translation by  $l$ , and extend to an isometry of

$X - C_{0,l}(W) \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $x$  denotes the radial coordinate on the cone as in Part II, and  $t \in [0, \iota)$  the local coordinate on  $\mathcal{C}$  as described above. Then, on

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &: C_{l,l+\iota}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \\ \Psi &: \theta_T(x) \mapsto \theta_T(t),\end{aligned}$$

where  $T = E, O, I, II, III, IV$ ,  $\theta_T(x)$  is as described in Proposition 10.19 and  $\theta_T(t)$  as described above the statement of this proposition.

We consider now the different types of solutions. It is clear that those of types I and IV are not harmonic fields on the cone and therefore may not be harmonic fields on  $X$ . So we consider the other types.

First, suppose that  $\theta_S$  is either of type II or III. Then,  $\Psi(\theta|Y)$  is either of type II or III on  $Y$ , and therefore, by what seen above, it is either exact or it represents a non trivial class of  $H^q(Y)$  in the kernel of  $i_*^q$ . This gives an injection of the solutions  $\theta$  of the harmonic equation on  $X$  onto  $\ker i_*^q$ , explicitly determined by the restriction on  $Y$  and the isometry  $\Psi$ . Since this forms satisfy the boundary condition at the tip of the cone defining the Hodge-Laplace operator on  $X$ , they are harmonic of  $X$ .

Next, suppose  $\theta_S$  is of type E, O, I or IV. Using the Green formula on the cone and on  $Y$ , Propositions 10.25, and 17.3,

$$0 = \langle \Delta_{X,p}^{(q)} \theta, \theta \rangle = \|d\theta_C\|^2 + \|d_C^\dagger \theta_C\|^2 + \|d\theta_Y\|^2 + \|d_Y^\dagger \theta_Y\|^2,$$

because the mixed terms vanished since the forms on the section are co exact, the boundary terms cancel each other, and the terms at  $x = 0$  vanish since the harmonics belong to the domain of the operator. This means that solutions of type I and IV can not be harmonics. So there remains solutions of type E and O with the further that the coefficients are constant functions, namely that  $f_1 = 1$ , and  $f_2 = h^{2\alpha_q - 1}$ . These solutions are on the cone:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{E,0,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \\ \left( \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \right)' = 0, \\ \theta_{O,0,\pm}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x,0) h(x)^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)}, \\ \left( \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|,-\alpha_{q-2},\pm}(x,0) h(x)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{q-1}} \right)' = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is now convenient to distinguish odd and even dimensions.

Assume  $m = 2p - 1$ . In the first case, the condition

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_q},$$

since  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0)$  must be square integrable, requires  $q \leq p - 1$ . So there are no harmonics of this type when  $q \geq p$ . Next, recalling Remark 9.6, the condition above gives that  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,0)$  if  $q \leq p - 1$ , while  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,-}(x,0)$  if  $q \geq p$ . So, when  $q \leq p - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,\pm}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,0)$  is square integrable and satisfies the + boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , so it belongs to the domain of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(q)}$ , and is an harmonic of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(q)}$ . Observe that this gives the form

$$\theta_C = \theta_{E,+}^{(q)}(x,0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_q|,\alpha_q,+}(x,0) h^{\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)} = \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)},$$

that is also the restriction on  $\theta_C$  of the form  $\theta_Y$  that satisfies the absolute boundary condition for  $\Delta_Y^{(q)}$ , and this shows that the form  $\theta_Y$  itself satisfies this condition, namely that  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y)$ . Moreover, the restriction of the tangential component is an harmonic form on  $W$ . Since any closed form on  $W$  is either harmonic or exact, combining this injection with the one described above, we have established, for  $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ , a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} j^q &: \mathcal{H}_p^q(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y), \\ j^q &: \theta \mapsto \theta|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, the condition

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0) = h^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}} = h^{\frac{1}{2} - (-\alpha_{q-2})},$$

since  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  must be square integrable, requires  $q \geq p+1$ . So there are no harmonics of this type when  $q \leq p$ . Next, recalling Remark 9.6, the condition above gives that  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0)$  if  $q \geq p+1$ , while  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, \pm}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, -}(x, 0)$  if  $q \leq p$ . So, when  $q \geq p+1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0)$  is square integrable and satisfies the  $+$  boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , so it belongs to the domain of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(q)}$ , and is an harmonic of  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(q)}$ . Observe that this gives the form

$$\theta_C = \theta_{O,+}^{(q)}(x, 0) = h^{\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{q-2}|, -\alpha_{q-2}, +}(x, 0) dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)} = h^{2\alpha_{q-2} + 1} dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q-1)},$$

that is also the restriction on  $\theta_C$  of the form  $\theta_Y$  that satisfies the relative boundary condition for  $\Delta_Y^{(q)}$ , and this shows that the form  $\theta_Y$  itself satisfies this condition, namely  $\theta_Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y)$ . Moreover, the restriction of the normal component is an harmonic form on  $W$ . Since any closed form on  $W$  is either harmonic or exact, combining this injection with the one described above, we have established, for  $p+1 \leq q \leq 2p-1$ , a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} j^q &: \mathcal{H}_p^q(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y), \\ j^q &: \theta \mapsto \theta|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider  $m = 2p$ . We may proceed as in the odd case  $m = 2p-1$  for all  $q$  except that when  $q = p$  and  $q = p+1$ , so we discuss only these two cases. The forms of type  $E$  satisfying these conditions are

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{E,0,\pm}^{(p)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_p|, \alpha_p, \pm}(x, 0) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \\ (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_p|, \alpha_p, \pm}(x, 0))' = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \theta_{E,0,\pm}^{(p+1)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, \pm}(x, 0) h(x) \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(q)}, \\ (\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, \pm}(x, 0) h(x))' = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha_{p+1} = \frac{3}{2}$ . The conditions tell us that

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_p|, \alpha_p, \pm}(x, 0) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, \pm}(x, 0) = h(x)^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_p|, \alpha_p, \pm}(x, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p+1}|, \alpha_{p+1}, \pm}(x, 0)$  must be square integrable, we do not have forms of this type in dimension  $q = p+1$  and we have only the form of this type in dimension  $q = p$ . Using the remark 9.6 we have only the minus solution. Therefore, this form is in the domains of  $\Delta_{X,m}^{(p)}$  (these forms satisfy the minus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ ) and if we are considering the plus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , i.e., forms in the

domain of  $\Delta_{X, m^c}^{(p)}$  we do not have harmonic of this type in dimension  $q = p$ . Note that,  $\theta_{E, 0, -}^{(p)}(x, 0)$  satisfy the absolute boundary condition at  $x = l$ .

The forms of type  $O$  satisfying these conditions are

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{O, 0, \pm}^{(p)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-2}|, -\alpha_{p-2}, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{p-2} + \frac{1}{2}}dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(p-1)}, \\ \left( \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-2}|, -\alpha_{p-2}, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{p-2}} \right)' = 0. \\ \theta_{O, 0, \pm}^{(p+1)}(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, -\alpha_{p-1}, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{\alpha_{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}}dx \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{har}}^{(p)}, \\ \left( \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, -\alpha_{p-1}, \pm}(x, 0)h(x)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{p-1}} \right)' = 0. \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_{p-1} = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha_{p-2} = -\frac{3}{2}$ . The conditions tell us that

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-2}|, -\alpha_{p-2}, \pm}(x, 0) = h(x)^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, -\alpha_{p-1}, \pm}(x, 0) = 1.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-2}|, -\alpha_{p-2}, \pm}(x, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha_{p-1}|, -\alpha_{p-1}, \pm}(x, 0)$  must be square integrable, we do not have forms of this type in dimension  $q = p$  and we have only the form of this type in dimension  $q = p + 1$ . Using the remark 9.6 we have only the minus solution. Therefore this form is in the domains of  $\Delta_{X, m^c}^{(p+1)}$  (these forms satisfy the minus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ ) and if we are considering the plus boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , i.e., forms in the domain of  $\Delta_{X, m}^{(p+1)}$ , we do not have harmonic of this type in dimension  $q = p + 1$ . Note that,  $\theta_{O, 0, -}^{(p+1)}(x, 0)$  satisfy the relative boundary condition at  $x = l$ . So we have in the case  $m = 2p$  bijections similar to those of the case  $m = 2p - 1$ .  $\square$

## 18. THE DE RHAM COMPLEX ON THE CONE

Consider the de Rham complex  $(\Omega^\bullet(C_{0,l}(W)), d)$  described in Section 10.2. Recall, we have the decomposition of  $d$  and  $d^\dagger$  in  $C^\infty((0, l], \Omega^q(W) \times \Omega^{q-1}(W))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}^q &= \text{ad}_{q+1} d^q \text{ad}_q^{-1} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}^q & 0 \\ (\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2})h'(x) + h(x)\frac{d}{dx} & -\tilde{d}^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h(x)}\tilde{d}^q & 0 \\ (\alpha_q - \frac{1}{2})\frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} & -\frac{1}{h(x)}\tilde{d}^{q-1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^q &= \text{ad}_{q-1} (d^\dagger)^q \text{ad}_q^{-1} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{d}^\dagger)^q & (\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2})h'(x) - h(x)\frac{d}{dx} \\ 0 & -(\tilde{d}^\dagger)^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h(x)}(\tilde{d}^\dagger)^q & (\alpha_{q-1} - \frac{1}{2})\frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{h(x)}(\tilde{d}^\dagger)^{q-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

These operators are each other formal adjoints, according to the [DS88b, XIII.2.1, pg. 1287], noting that the last matrix in the definition of the  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger$  is the transposed of the one in the definition of  $\mathfrak{d}$ . They are formal adjoints as well according to [Wei80, pg. 67],

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathfrak{d}(\omega_1, \omega_2), (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} - \langle (\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathfrak{d}^\dagger(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} \\ &= \int_0^l \langle (\omega_1 \varphi_1)'(x) \rangle_W dx + \int_0^l \langle (\omega_2 \varphi_2)'(x) \rangle_W dx, \end{aligned} \quad (18.1)$$

vanishes on the suitable domains. Separating the variables,  $\omega_j = u_j \alpha_j$ ,  $\varphi_j = v_j \beta_j$ , the equation above reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathfrak{d}(u_1 \alpha_1, u_2 \alpha_2), (v_1 \beta_1, v_2 \beta_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} - \langle (u_1 \alpha_1, u_2 \alpha_2), \mathfrak{d}^\dagger(v_1 \beta_1, v_2 \beta_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} \\ &= \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle_W \int_0^l (u_1 v_1)'(x) dx + \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle_W \int_0^l (u_2 v_2)'(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle_W [u_1(x) v_1(x)]_\epsilon^l + \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle_W [u_2(x) v_2(x)]_\epsilon^l \right). \end{aligned}$$

We need a concrete definition of the operator  $\mathfrak{d}$  and of its dual. Define the minimal and the maximal operators associated to  $\mathfrak{d}$  (and  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger$ ) as follows. Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger$  denote the usual closed extensions of the exterior derivative operator and of its dual on a compact manifold. So it remains to define the domain of the operators acting on the line segment. We set:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathfrak{D}_{\min}^q) &= D((\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}^q) = C_0^\infty((0, l), D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^q) \times D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{q-1})), \\ D(\mathfrak{D}_{\max}^q) &= \left\{ u \in A^2((0, l], D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^q) \times D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{q-1}) \mid u, \mathfrak{d}u \in L^2((0, l], D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^q) \times D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{q-1})) \right\}, \\ D((\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^q) &= \left\{ u \in A^2((0, l], D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{\dagger q}) \times D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{\dagger q-1}) \mid u, \mathfrak{d}^{\dagger q}u \in L^2((0, l], D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{\dagger q}) \times D(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^{\dagger q-1})) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{d}_{\min}^q$ ,  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)_{\min}^q$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_{\max}^q$ , and  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)_{\max}^q$  the corresponding minimal and maximal operators associated to  $\mathfrak{d}^q$  and  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^q$ . All these operators are densely defined.

It is clear by equation (18.1), that  $\mathfrak{d}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger$  are each other formal adjoints, more precisely

$$(\mathfrak{d}^q)^\dagger = (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^{q+1}.$$

The same is true for  $\mathfrak{d}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger$ :

$$(\mathfrak{d}^q)^\dagger = (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^{q+1}.$$

**Lemma 18.1.** *We have that  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^{q+1} = (\mathfrak{D}_{\min}^q)^\dagger$ , i.e.  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^{q+1}$  is the adjoint of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\min}^q$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\max}^{q+1} = ((\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}^q)^\dagger$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{D}_{\max}^{q+1}$  is the adjoint of  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}^q$ . Similarly:  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)_{\max}^{q+1} = (\mathfrak{d}_{\min}^q)^\dagger$ , and  $(\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)_{\max}^{q+1} = ((\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)_{\min}^q)^\dagger$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, since the operators  $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^\dagger$  are each other adjoint and are closed, it just remains to prove that the result holds for the operators on the line. This follows for example by [DS88b, XIII.2.10, pg. 1294]. More precisely, using equation (18.1), if  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in D((\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min})$ , then

$$\langle \mathfrak{d}^\dagger(\omega_1, \omega_2), (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} - \langle (\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathfrak{d}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} = 0,$$

for all  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in D(\mathfrak{D}_{\max})$ . So  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\max}$  are formal adjoints. Whence,  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\max}^\dagger$ . Mutans mutandis, we could have showed that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\min}$  and  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}$  are formal adjoints. Whence,  $\mathfrak{D}_{\min} \subseteq (\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^\dagger$ . Taking the adjoint  $\mathfrak{D}_{\max} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\max}^{\dagger\dagger} \subseteq (\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}^\dagger$ ,

and  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max} \subseteq (\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^{\dagger\dagger} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\min}^\dagger$ , by [Wei80, 4.13 and pg. 72], since all operators are densely defined.

It remains to prove the converse implication, namely that  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}^\dagger \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\max}$  (and that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\min}^\dagger \subseteq (\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}$ ). Essentially, this is equivalent to prove that the maximal operators are indeed maximal. The proof is technical and we omit it here. It consists in showing that given any square integrable pair  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  there exists a square integrable pair  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  such that for all  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in (\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\min}$

$$\langle (\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathfrak{d}^\dagger(\psi_1, \psi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} - \langle (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), (\psi_1, \psi_2) \rangle_{I_{a,b}} = 0.$$

Then we may follow the same steps as in [DS88b, XIII.2.10, pg. 1294] or [Wei80, 6.29].  $\square$

**Corollary 18.2.** *The operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\max}^\bullet$ ,  $(\mathfrak{D}^\dagger)_{\max}^\bullet$ , and  $d_{\max}^\bullet$ ,  $(d^\dagger)_{\max}^\bullet$  are closed.*

We want to define a closed extension of the minimal operators. Let  $\bar{D}^q$  and  $(\bar{D}^\dagger)^q$  denote any two closed extensions of the operators  $d^q$  and  $d^{\dagger q}$ , respectively. Such extensions exist by Lemma 18.1 [Wei80, 5.3, 5.4], and all lie between the closure of the minimal and the maximal extensions.

**Lemma 18.3.** *For all  $q$ ,  $R(\bar{D}^q) \subseteq D(\bar{D}^{q+1})$ ,  $R((\bar{D}^\dagger)^{q+1}) \subseteq D((\bar{D}^\dagger)^q)$ , where  $R$  denotes the range, and*

$$\bar{D}^{q+1}\bar{D}^q = (\bar{D}^\dagger)^q(\bar{D}^\dagger)^{q+1} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Take  $u \in D(\bar{D}^q)$ . Since  $\bar{D}^q$  is a densely defined closed extension of  $d_{\min}^q$ , there exists a sequence  $u_n$  in  $C_0^\infty(0, l)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in the norm of  $L^2(0, l)$ , and  $\bar{D}^q(u) = \lim_n d^q u_n$ . But  $d^q u_n = D^q u_n$  belongs to the range of  $D^q$  and to the domain of  $\bar{D}^{q+1}$ . Since the last is closed, it follows that the limit too belong to the domain namely that  $D^q u \in D(\bar{D}^{q+1})$ . The fact that  $\bar{D}^{q+1}\bar{D}^q = 0$ , follows immediately by the definition. The proof for the other operator is the same.  $\square$

This shows that the complexes  $(D^\bullet, D(D^\bullet))$  and  $((D^\dagger)^\bullet, D((D^\dagger)^\bullet))$  are Hilbert complexes in the sense of [BL92]. We may now associate a Laplace operator to these complexes following [BL92]. Decomposing this complex in its even and odd part,  $H_{\text{ev}} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{m+1} L^2(\Omega^{2q}(C_{a,b}(W)))$ ,  $H_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{m+1} L^2(\Omega^{2q+1}(C_{a,b}(W)))$ , we have the closed operator

$$\bar{D} : D(\bar{D}) \subseteq H_{\text{ev}} \rightarrow H_{\text{odd}},$$

$$\bar{D} : (u_0, u_2, \dots, u_{m+1}) \mapsto (\bar{D}^0 u_0 + (\bar{D}^1)^\dagger u_1, \bar{D}^0 u_0 + (\bar{D}^1)^\dagger u_1, \dots),$$

with domain

$$D(\bar{D}) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{m+1} D(\bar{D}^{2q}) \cap D((\bar{D}^{2q-1})^\dagger).$$

Let  $\bar{D}^\dagger$  the adjoint of  $\bar{D}$ . Then, we have the following self-adjoint operator

$$\bar{\Delta} : D(\bar{\Delta}) \subseteq H_{\text{ev}} \oplus H_{\text{odd}},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} : (u_0, u_1, \dots) \mapsto (\bar{D}^\dagger \bar{D} \oplus \bar{D} \bar{D}^\dagger)(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m+1}).$$

with domain

$$D(\bar{\Delta}) = (D(\bar{D}) \cap D(\bar{D}^\dagger)) \oplus (D(\bar{D}^\dagger) \cap D(\bar{D})).$$

**Proposition 18.4.** *The operator  $\bar{\Delta}$  is a self-adjoint extension of the minimal operator  $\Delta_{\min} = \Delta_{\min}^{(\bullet)}$  define in Section 4.6.*

*Proof.* A direct verification shows that, even if the operator  $\bar{\Delta}$  is not graded, the associated formal operator is graded, and it is the operator

$$(d^\dagger)^{q+1}d^q + d^{q-1}(d^\dagger)^q = \Delta^{(q)}.$$

□

The self-adjoint extensions of the operator  $\Delta_{\min}^{(\bullet)}$  have been described in Proposition 10.7. By Proposition 18.4, there exist closed extensions of the operator  $d_{\min}^{(\bullet)}$  whose Laplace operator  $\bar{\Delta}$  coincides with the operators  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m},\text{bc}}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}^c,\text{bc}}$  introduced in Definition 10.11.

**Definition 18.5.** *We denote by  $d_{\max,\mathfrak{m}}^{(\bullet)}$ ,  $d_{\max,\mathfrak{m}^c}^{(\bullet)}$ ,  $d_{\min,\mathfrak{m}}^{(\bullet)}$ , and  $d_{\min,\mathfrak{m}^c}^{(\bullet)}$  the closed extensions of the operator  $d_{\min}^{(\bullet)}$  whose induced Laplacian are the operators  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{m}}^{(\bullet)}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{m}^c}^{(\bullet)}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{m}}^{(\bullet)}$ , and  $\Delta_{\text{rel},\mathfrak{m}^c}^{(\bullet)}$ .*

**Remark 18.6.** *In the following we write  $\mathfrak{p}$  for either  $\mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{m}^c$ , and  $\text{mm}$  for either  $\max$  or  $\min$ .*

By the result of Section 10.24, the heat operator of  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p},\text{mm}}^{(\bullet)}$  is of trace class and has a full asymptotic expansion of the form described in [Les13], whence we have the following result (the definition of operator with discrete dimension spectrum is as well in [Les13]).

**Proposition 18.7.** *The complex  $(d_{\text{mm},\mathfrak{p}}^{(\bullet)}, D(d_{\text{mm},\mathfrak{p}}^{(\bullet)}))$  is an Hilbert complex of discrete dimension spectrum.*

**Remark 18.8.** *Observe that the results of this section were performed on the space  $C_{0,l}(W) = (0, l] \times W$ . However, it is clear that everything works exactly in the same way on the space  $C_{0,l}(W) = (0, l] \times W$ .*

According to the definition in [Les13], the above result may be also stated saying that  $\Delta_{\text{bc},\mathfrak{p}}^{(\bullet)}$  is an ideal boundary condition with discrete dimension spectrum for the De Rham complex  $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathring{C}_{0,l}(W)))$ .

## 19. ANALYTIC TORSION AND THE GLUEING FORMULA

By the results of Section 17,  $\text{Sp}_0(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)})$  is a sequence of real positive numbers with unique accumulation point at infinity, and since some power of the resolvent is of trace class, the logarithmic Gamma function  $\log \Gamma(\lambda, \text{Sp}_0(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}))$  is well defined [Spr12]. Since the heat operator has an asymptotic expansion for small  $t$ , it follows that the logarithmic Gamma function has an asymptotic expansion for large Gamma. In particular, the associated zeta function is well defined [Spr12],

$$\zeta(s, \Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Sp}_0(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)})} \lambda^{-s},$$

and has an analytic continuation regular at  $s = 0$ . We define the torsion zeta function

$$t_{X,p}(s) = \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q q \zeta(s, \Delta_{X,p}^{(q)}),$$

and the analytic torsion by

$$\log T_p(X) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} t_{X,p}(s) \right|_{s=0}.$$

In the rest of this section we assume that the function  $h$  is constant with value 1 in an open neighbourhood of  $l$  (as in Section 17, we consider  $h$  extended on  $(0, l + \iota)$ ). Recall that

$$X = C_{0,l}(W) \cup_W Y.$$

Denote for simplicity  $X_+ = Y$ ,  $X_- = C_{0,l}(W)$ . We introduce some complexes on these spaces.

On  $X_+$ , let  $(\Omega^\bullet(X_+), d_{X_+}^\bullet)$  be the classical De Rham complex. Let  $d_{X_+,rel}^\bullet$  and  $d_{X_+,abs}^\bullet$  denote the classical extensions of the minimal operator induced by  $d_{X_+}^\bullet$ , and  $\Delta_{X_+,abs}^{(\bullet)}$  and  $\Delta_{X_+,rel}^{(\bullet)}$  the classical Laplacians with absolute and relative boundary conditions, respectively, as defined for example in [Gil95, 2.7.1]. Observe that all this construction is the same if developed on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{X}_+$  of  $X_+$  (compare [CY81] and [Gaf54a]). On the other side, let  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,min}^\bullet$  and  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,max}^\bullet$  denote (closure of the) minimal and maximal operators associated to the formal operator  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+}^\bullet$ , as defined in [BL92, 3.1]. Note that these operators coincide with the ones defined in equation (3-25) of [Les13], with  $\varphi = 0$ . Let  $\Delta_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,min}^{(\bullet)}$  and  $\Delta_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,max}^{(\bullet)}$  denote the corresponding Laplacians, as defined in Section 18 [BL92, (2.14a)]. Then, by [BL92, 4.1], since the Laplacian is the square of the Gauss Bonnet operator, and since  $\overset{\circ}{X}_+$  is the interior of a smooth manifold, the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,min}^{(\bullet)}$  and  $\Delta_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,max}^{(\bullet)}$  coincide with the classical Laplacian with absolute and relative boundary conditions  $\Delta_{X_+,abs}^{(\bullet)}$  and  $\Delta_{X_+,rel}^{(\bullet)}$ , respectively, as defined above. Note that the exterior differential them selves do not coincide, in particular the domain of the maximal extension is defined without imposing any boundary condition on the boundary.

By the identification of the Laplacian, it follows that the complexes  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,min}^\bullet$  and  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,max}^\bullet$  are Hilbert complexes with discrete dimension spectrum [Les13, pg. 239], and therefore with discrete dimension spectrum outside  $U_+ = [l, l + \iota) \times W \subseteq \overset{\circ}{X}_+$ .

On  $X_-$ , let  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_-,mm,p}^\bullet$  denote the Hilbert complex with finite dimension spectrum defined in Section 18. By the result in Section 10, this complex has finite dimension spectrum on  $X_-$  and therefore as well outside  $U_- = (l - \iota, l] \times W \subseteq \overset{\circ}{X}_-$ . As for  $X_+$ , the boundary condition for  $d_{\overset{\circ}{X}_+,mm,p}^\bullet$  at  $W$  coincides with the boundary condition introduced in [Les13, 3-25], but in this case with a function  $\varphi \in C^\infty((0, l)) \times W$ , which is equal to 1 near  $x = 0$  and equal to 0 near  $x = l$ .

We conclude by defining a complex on  $X$ . Denote by  $d_{1,1}^\bullet$  the subcomplex of the direct sum of the two complexes  $d_{X_-,bc,p}^\bullet$  and  $d_{X_+,bc}^\bullet$  defined by

$$D(d_{X,p,1,1}^q) = \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in D(d_{X_-,mm,p}^q) \oplus D(d_{X_+,mm}^q) \mid i_-^* u_1 = i_+^* u_2 \right\},$$

where the  $i_\pm : X_\pm \rightarrow X_+ \sqcup X_-$  are the inclusions into the disjoint union. This is an Hilbert complex with finite dimension spectrum by construction [Les13, (4-5)]. Let  $\Delta_{X,1,1}^{(\bullet)}$  denote the Laplace operator associated to the complex  $d_{X,1,1}^\bullet$ , as defined in [BL92].

The next result is an adaptation of Proposition 1.1 of [Vis95] to the actual setting (compare also with [Les13], end of page 240).

**Proposition 19.1.** *The Laplace operators  $\Delta_{X,p,1,1}^{(\bullet)}$  and the Laplace operator  $\Delta_{X,p}^{(\bullet)}$  have the same spectral resolution.*

**Theorem 19.2.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Assume the metric  $\mathbf{g}_0$  on  $X$  is a product in collar neighbourhood of  $W$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathbf{g}_0) = \log T_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), \mathbf{g}_0|_C) + \log T_{\text{rel}}(Y, \mathbf{g}_0|_Y) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(W) \log 2 + \log \tau(\mathcal{H}_0),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is the long homology exact sequence induced by the inclusion  $C_{0,l}(W) \rightarrow X$ , with some orthonormal graded basis.

*Proof.* All the hypothesis necessary in order to apply Theorem 6.1 of [Les13] have been verified. By definition, the analytic torsion  $T_{bc}(M)$  coincide with the torsion of the Hilbert complexes  $d_{X,\min}^\bullet$ , and  $d_{X,\max}^\bullet$ , respectively, and by Proposition 19.1,  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$  coincides with the analytic torsion of the Hilbert complex  $d_{X,p,1,1}^q$ , so the we have that

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \log T_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W)) + \log T_{\text{rel}}(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(W) \log 2 + \log \tau(\mathcal{H}).$$

The graded basis in the long homology sequence must be coherent with the graded bases used for analytic torsion, that are any orthonormal ones.  $\square$

Observe that this theorem holds true (with the necessary notational changes) for forms with coefficients in a flat bundle, and it will be used in the following in this more general setting, see the remarks at the beginning of Section 21.

## 20. VARIATION OF THE ANALYTIC TORSION

In this section we study the variation of the analytic torsion of a space with conical singularity under a variation of the metric far from the singular point. Let  $\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}$  be a smooth family of metrics on  $X$  such that the restriction on  $Y$  is a smooth family of metrics on  $Y$ , and the restriction on  $C_{0,l}(W)$  is

$$\mathbf{g}_{W,\mu}|_C = \mathbf{g}_{h_\mu} = dx \otimes dx + h_\mu^2(x) \tilde{g}_\mu,$$

where  $h_\mu$  is a smooth family of functions on  $[0, l]$  that coincide on  $[0, l - \epsilon]$ , for some positive  $\epsilon < l$ , and satisfy in  $[0, l]$  all the hypothesis introduced in Section 10.1. Denote the analytic torsion of the induced Hodge-Laplace operators by  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X,\mu})$ , respectively. Then, we have the following result, to be compared with [RS71].

**Theorem 20.1.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with boundary  $W$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \|\text{Det } I^{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}, \bullet}\|_{\text{Det } \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\bullet}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}; V_{\rho})}^2$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}, \bullet}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}; V_{\rho})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is essentially contained in [RS71]. Let  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(\bullet)}$  denote the operator for the metric  $\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}$ . Consider the variation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}}).$$

By Corollary 17.8, the heat operator has an asymptotic expansion, for small  $t$

$$\text{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) t^{\frac{m+1-k}{2}},$$

where

$$a_k(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) = \int_X e_k(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) d\text{vol}_X.$$

Now observe that for all  $\mu$ ,  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)} = \Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},0}^{(q)}$  in  $\mathcal{U} = (0, l - \epsilon] \times W$ . So, for small  $t$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_k(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) t^{\frac{m+1-k}{2}},$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_k(\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{e}_k(x, \Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) d\text{vol}_X.$$

Now  $X - \mathcal{U}$  may be considered inside  $Y$ , and therefore the local invariants are just those of the restriction of the kernel on  $Y$ , namely

$$\tilde{e}_k(x, \Delta_{X,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}) = e_k(x, \Delta_{Y,\mu}^{(q)}).$$

For these invariants, we have that all the ones with odd index vanish, and duality, i.e. [Gil95, Section 2.5.2]

$$a_k(\Delta_{Y,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(m+1-q)}) = a_k(\Delta_{Y,\mathfrak{p},\mu}^{(q)}).$$

Note that this is the interior contribution to the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for the trace of the heat kernel. There exists also a contribution from the boundary, that does not satisfy these properties, but it is not relevant here.

Note also that looking at  $\mathcal{U}$  as a subspace of the cone, we may proceed to a similar analysis, and arrive to an explicit description of the heat kernel coefficients. Since duality follows from commutativity between Hodge star and Laplacian, on the cone this would give duality between the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of the heat operator associated to  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{m},\mu}^{(\bullet)}$  and those associated to  $\Delta_{X,\mathfrak{m}^c,\mu}^{(\bullet)}$ . However, this would not compromise the result in the present case, since this contribution would depend on the integration of the local coefficients on the line near  $x = 0$ , and in the present case this contribution vanishes.

This proves the theorem, by the same argument as in [RS71]. In detail, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}}) = -t \operatorname{Tr} \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)} \right) e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right).$$

proceeding formally as in [RS71, pg. 152-153],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{q+1} q \operatorname{Tr} \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)} \right) e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right) &= \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{q+1} \operatorname{Tr}(\beta_{\mu}^{(q)} \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)} e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr}(\beta_{\mu}^{(q)} e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_{\mu}^{(q)} = (\star_{\mu}^{(q)})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \star_{\mu}^{(q)}.$$

By definition [Spr12, 2.3], the torsion zeta function of the family of operators  $\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}$  is

$$t_{X,p}(s, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q q \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left( e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} - P_{\ker \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right) dt.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} t_{X,p}(s, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \int_0^{\infty} t^s \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \beta_{\mu}^{(q)} \left( e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} - P_{\ker \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{s}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \beta_{\mu}^{(q)} \left( e^{-t\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} - P_{\ker \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right) \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of analytic torsion, using the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log T_p(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} t'_{X,p}(0, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{q+1} \left( a_{\frac{m+1}{2}}(\Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}) - \operatorname{Tr} \beta_{\mu}^{(q)} P_{\ker \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}} \right).$$

By the relation on the coefficients  $a_k$ , the first terms sum to zero, and we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log T_p(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} t'_{X,p}(0, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m+1} (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} \beta_{\mu}^{(q)} P_{\ker \Delta_{X,p,\mu}^{(q)}}.$$

□

## 21. HODGE THEOREM, DE RHAM MAPS AND RAY-SINGER INTERSECTION TORSION FOR A SPACE WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES

Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Given a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , let  $E_{\rho}$  be the associated vector bundle, as defined at the beginning of Section 2.16. Then, as observed at the beginning of Section 16, all the theory developed so far may be remade

assuming forms with coefficients in  $E_\rho$ , i.e.  $\Omega^{(q)}(X, E_\rho)$ , simply by changing the notation. In particular, the construction is functorial under restriction. We will apply this notation in all the relevant objects. Observe that the restrictions of the representation  $\rho$  onto the cone  $C_{0,l}(W)$  and onto  $Y$  coincide actually with the trivial representation and a representation of the space  $Y/W$ , respectively, by Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10.

**21.1. Hodge Theorem and De Rham maps.** Using the isomorphisms between the intersection homology groups and the harmonic forms of the cone and of the section, we may prove an extension of the classical Hodge Theorem and define the De Rham maps from the spaces of harmonic forms onto the intersection homology groups.

**Theorem 21.2.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , with metric  $\mathbf{g}_X$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then, there are chain maps that induce isomorphisms:*

$$I^p \mathcal{A}_q : \mathcal{H}_p^\bullet(X, V_\rho) \rightarrow I^p H_\bullet(X; V_\rho).$$

*These maps are called De Rham maps, and are described in the course of the proof.*

*Proof.* Let  $K = C_{0,l}(N) \sqcup_N M$  a coherent cell decomposition of  $X$ . The proof depends on the parity of the dimension, and on the perversity, we describe in details the even case  $m = 2p$  with  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}$ , the other cases are similar. Let  $0 \leq q \leq p - 1$ , then we can construct the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{H}_m^q(X, V_\rho) & \xrightarrow{\star} & \mathcal{H}_{m^c}^{m-q+1}(X, V_\rho) & \xrightarrow{I^{m^c} \mathcal{A}^{m-q+1}} & I^{m^c} H^{m-q+1}(X; V_\rho) & \xleftarrow{I^m \mathcal{Q}_{*,q}^m} & I^m H_q(X; V_\rho) \\ \uparrow k^* & & \uparrow k^* & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^q(Y, V_\rho) & \xrightarrow{\star_Y} & \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q}(Y, V_\rho) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q}} & H^{m-q}(Y, W; V_\rho) & \xleftarrow{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q}} & H_q(Y; V_\rho) \end{array}$$

The map  $k^*$  is induced by the inclusion and is an isomorphism by Proposition 17.10. The map  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{*,q}$  is standard Poincaré map, see Section 6.29.2, and the map  $I^m \mathcal{Q}_{*,q}$  the corresponding extension for the singular case, see Proposition 7.13. The vertical right arrows are the isomorphisms described in Proposition 6.26, up to some coefficient. The coefficient depends on the cells as follows. On the cells that do not meet the boundary, the coefficient is just 1. On the cells that meet the boundary, a renormalisation constant appears, in the proof of Theorem 15.2. This constant appears since the dual of the cells that are or meet the boundary of  $Y$  are defined in the definition of the dual complex  $K^*$  gluing with the cone on the boundary part. Thus, when evaluating the harmonic forms on these cells, the integral is the integral on the part of the cell in  $Y$  plus the integral on the cone. The map  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^q$  is the classical De Rham map, see Section 2.17.

Commutativity of the left and the right squares follows by definition. So closing the diagram defines the desired map:

$$I^m \mathcal{A}_q = (I^m \mathcal{Q}_{*,q})^{-1} I^{m^c} \mathcal{A}_{\text{rel}}^{m-q+1} \star.$$

□

**Remark 21.3.** Let  $\omega_Y = \omega|_Y$  be the restriction of an harmonic form in  $\mathcal{H}_m^q(X, V_\rho)$  onto  $Y$ . Then,  $i^*(\omega_Y) = \omega$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|_X^2 &= \int_X \omega \star \omega = \int_{C_{0,l}(W)} \omega|_C \star_C \omega|_C + \int_Y \omega|_Y \star_Y \omega|_Y = \|\omega|_C\|_C^2 + \|\omega|_Y\|_Y^2 \\ &= \gamma_q \|i_q^*(\omega|_C)\|_W^2 + \|\omega|_Y\|_Y^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_q = \frac{\|k_q^*(\tilde{\omega})\|_{C_{0,l}(W)}^2}{\|\tilde{\omega}\|_W^2} = \int_0^l h^{m-2q}(x) dx.$$

**Corollary 21.4.** (Hodge theorem) There exists a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_p^q(X, E_\rho) = I^p H^q(X; E_\rho).$$

**21.5. RS intersection torsion of a space with conical singularities.** Let  $X$  be a space with a conical singularity, and dimension  $n$ , as defined in Section 17. Then,  $X$  is the smooth glueing of a manifold with boundary  $(Y, W)$ , with the cone over  $W$ . So, there exists a cellular decomposition  $K$  of  $X$ , such that  $K$  is an  $n$  pseudomanifold with one isolated singularity (the tip of the cone). We have the standard decomposition  $K = C(N_0) \sqcup_{N_0} M$ .

The intersection cellular chain complex  $I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(C(N); V_{\rho_0})$  is a complex of based vector spaces, with graded bases induced by the cells, by Lemma 7.18. It follows that for any given graded homology basis, its R torsion is well defined and is independent on the cellular decomposition, see Theorem 7.19 and its corollary.

We proceed by assuming either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ , that  $Y$  has a Riemannian structure  $g_Y$ , and  $X$  the induced Riemannian structure as defined in Section 17, so we write  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \cup_W Y$ .

Then, using the  $L^2$  theory of the Laplace operator on spaces with conical singularities developed in the second part of the work, we may define square integrable harmonic forms on  $X$  with coefficients in  $V_\rho$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_p^\bullet(X, V_\rho) = V \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_{bc,p}^\bullet(X)$  (see Section 2.16). In particular, we have the De Rham map

$$I^p \mathcal{A}_q : \mathcal{H}_{abs,p}^\bullet(X, V_\rho) \rightarrow I^p H_q(X; V_\rho),$$

that is an isomorphism, see Theorem 21.2. So given an orthonormal basis  $I^p \alpha_q$  for  $\mathcal{H}_p^\bullet(X, V_\rho)$ , we have an homology basis, and the RS torsion is well defined.

**Definition 21.6.** Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , with metric  $\mathbf{g}_X$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Let  $K = M \sqcup_{N_0} C(N_0)$  be any coherent cellular decomposition of  $X$ . We call intersection RS torsion of  $X$  with perversity  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the representation  $\rho$ , the positive real number

$$I^p \tau_{RS}(X; V_\rho) = \tau_R(I^p \mathbf{C}_\bullet(K; V_\rho); I^p \mathcal{A}_\bullet(I^p \alpha_\bullet)),$$

where  $I^p \alpha_\bullet$  is a graded orthonormal basis for the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_p^\bullet(X, V_\rho)$ , and  $I^p \mathcal{A}_\bullet$  the De Rham map, see Theorem 21.2.

Therefore we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 21.7.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , with metric  $\mathbf{g}_X$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_\rho) = I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W); V_\rho)\tau_{\text{RS}}(Y, W; V_\rho) \\ \tau_{\text{R}}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}}; I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},\bullet}(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{C,\bullet}), I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_\bullet(I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,\bullet}), \mathcal{A}_{Y,\text{rel},\bullet}(\alpha_{Y,\text{rel},\bullet})),$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{C,q}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{H}^q(C(W); V_{\rho_0})$ ,  $\alpha_{Y,\text{rel},q}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^q(Y; V_{\rho'})$ , and  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,q}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^q(X; V_\rho)$ ,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{abs},q}$  is the absolute De Rham map on  $C_{0,l}(W)$  as defined in Theorem 15.2,  $\mathcal{A}_{Y,\text{rel},q}$  the classical relative De Rham map on  $Y$ ,  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{A}_q$  the De Rham map on  $X$  defined in Theorem 21.2, and

$$I^{\mathfrak{p}}\ddot{\mathcal{H}} : \quad \dots \longrightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(C_{0,l}(W); V_\rho) \longrightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}H_q(X; V_\rho) \longrightarrow H_q(Y, W; V_\rho) \longrightarrow \dots$$

*Proof.* Theorem 7.19. □

As consequence of Proposition 7.24 we have.

**Theorem 21.8.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , with metric  $\mathbf{g}_X$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then,*

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_\rho) = (I^{\mathfrak{m}^c}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_\rho))^{(-1)^m}.$$

A direct implication of the last theorem is:

**Corollary 21.9.** *If the dimension of  $X$  is even, then*

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_\rho) = 1.$$

**21.10. Variation of Ray-Singer intersection torsion.** We investigate the variation of the Ray and Singer intersection torsion under a variation of the metric.

**Theorem 21.11.** *Let  $X = Y \sqcup_W C_{0,l}(W)$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , and with a smooth family of metrics  $\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}$  (see Section 20), where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Assume that the restriction of  $\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}$  onto  $C_{0,l}(W)$  is  $dx \otimes dx + h_\mu^2(x)\tilde{g}_\mu$ , where  $h_\mu$  is a family of functions on  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the assumptions in Section 9.1, and  $\tilde{g}_\mu$  the smooth family of metrics on  $W$  induced by the restriction of  $\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$ , be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X, g_{X,\mu}; V_\rho) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \|\text{Det } I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu},\bullet}\|_{\text{Det } \mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}}(X,\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}; V_\rho)}^2$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,\mathbf{g}_{X,\mu},q}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}(q)}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X,\mu}; V_\rho)$ .

*Proof.* We can split  $X$  into the cone and  $Y$ , with induced metrics. On  $Y$  we may follow exactly the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 7.6 of [RS71]. On the cone, we have the explicit formula for the torsion, and we see that the unique dependence on  $\mu$  is in the homology basis, and precisely in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log I^{\mathfrak{p}} \tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_{\rho}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \text{Tr} \star_{\mu}^{-1} (\partial_{\mu} \star_{\mu}) P_{I^{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbf{g}_{X, \mu}, \mathfrak{p}, \mu}^{(q)}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \sum_{j=0}^{r_q} \int_X \|I^{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{X, \mathbf{g}_{X, \mu}, q, j}\|_X^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{X, \mathbf{g}_{X, \mu}, q} = \{I^{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{X, \mathbf{g}_{X, \mu}, q, j}\}$  □

## 22. THE ANALYTIC TORSION AND THE CHEEGER-MÜLLER THEOREM FOR A SPACE WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES

**Theorem 22.1.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , with metric  $\mathbf{g}_X$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ , and  $E_{\rho}$  the associated vector bundle. Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . If  $m = 2p - 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then*

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, E_{\rho}) = \log I^{\mathfrak{p}} \tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_{\rho}).$$

If  $m = 2p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, E_{\rho}) = \log I^{\mathfrak{p}} \tau_{\text{RS}}(X; V_{\rho}) + A_{\text{comb}, \mathfrak{p}}(W) + A_{\text{analy}}(W),$$

where the anomalies are given in Theorem 16.2.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{g}_{X, \mu}$  be a smooth family of metrics on  $X$  as in Section 20. Assume that  $\mathbf{g}_{X, 0}$  is a product near  $W$ . Then, by Theorem 19.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}, E_{\rho}) &= \log T_{\text{abs}, \mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}|_C, E_{\rho}|_C) + \log T_{\text{rel}}(Y, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}|_Y, E_{\rho}|_Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \chi(W) \log 2 + \log \tau(\mathcal{H}_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is the long homology exact sequence induced by the inclusion  $C_{0,l}(W) \rightarrow X$ , with  $E_{\rho}$  coefficients, and with some orthonormal graded bases, in the metric  $\mathbf{g}_{X, 0}$ . By Theorem 16.2, and the Cheeger-Müller theorem for  $Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}, E_{\rho}) &= \log I^{\mathfrak{p}} \tau_{\text{RS}}(C_{0,l}(W), \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}|_C, V_{\rho}|_C) + \log \tau_{\text{RS}}(Y, W, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}|_Y; V_{\rho}|_Y) \\ &\quad + \log \tau(\mathcal{H}_0) + A_{\text{comb}, \mathfrak{p}} + A_{\text{analy}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the anomaly terms vanish if  $m = 2p - 1$  is odd. By Theorem 21.7,

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}, E_{\rho}) = \log I^{\mathfrak{p}} \tau_{\text{RS}}(X, \mathbf{g}_{X, 0}|_C, V_{\rho}|_C) + A_{\text{comb}, \mathfrak{p}} + A_{\text{analy}}.$$

By Theorem 21.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,0}, E_{\rho}) &= \log I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,1}|_C, V_{\rho}|_C) + A_{\text{comb},\mathfrak{p}} + A_{\text{analy}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log \|\text{Det } I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu},\bullet}\|_{\text{Det } \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\bullet}(X,\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu};V_{\rho})}^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I^{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{X,\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu},q}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(q)}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,\mu}; V_{\rho})$ .

By Theorem 20.1

$$\log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,1}, E_{\rho}) = \log I^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\text{RS}}(X, \mathfrak{g}_{X,1}|_C, V_{\rho}|_C) + A_{\text{comb},\mathfrak{p}} + A_{\text{analy}}.$$

□

As a corollary of the previous theorem, we have the glueing formula for analytic torsion.

**Theorem 22.2.** *Let  $X = C_{0,l}(W) \sqcup_W Y$  be a space with a conical singularity of dimension  $n = m + 1$ , where  $(Y, W)$  is a compact connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , with boundary  $W$ . Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow O(V)$  be an orthogonal representation on a  $k$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Let either  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^c$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, E_{\rho}) &= \log T_{\text{abs},\mathfrak{p}}(C_{0,l}(W), E_{\rho}|_C) + \log T_{\text{rel}}(Y, E_{\rho}|_Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \chi(W; E_{\rho}|_W) \log 2 + \log \tau(\mathcal{H}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the long homology exact sequence induced by the inclusion  $C_{0,l}(W) \rightarrow X$ , with  $E_{\rho}$  coefficients, and with some orthonormal graded bases.

Moreover, we have the following generalisations of the glueing formula and of the anomaly boundary term.

**Theorem 22.3.** *The Lesh Vishick glueing formula for the analytic torsion given in [Vis95, Les13] holds for a space with conical singularities for any metric structure near the glueing, provided the glueing is not close to the singularities.*

**Theorem 22.4.** *The formula for the anomaly boundary term in the analytic torsion given in [BM06] holds for a space with conical singularities, provided that the boundary is not close to the singularities.*

## APPENDIX A. STANDARD BASES

**A.1. Basis for module and submodules.** Let  $A$  be an integral domain ( $A$  modules have the invariant dimension property [Hun80, IV.2.12]). Let  $M$  be a free  $A$ -modules of finite rank  $m$ , and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$ . Then,  $N$  is free of rank  $r$ , with  $r \leq m$ , and we have the following result.

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $A$ -modules of finite rank  $m$ , and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$  of rank  $r$ . Then,  $N$  is free of rank  $r$ , with  $r \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* [Hun80, IV.6.1] [Mun84, 11.1] Let

$$N_k = N \cap \langle z_1, \dots, z_k \rangle.$$

Let  $p_k : M \rightarrow A$  denote the projection on the  $k$ -th coordinate. Then,  $N_k$  consists of all  $x \in n$  such that  $p_j(x) = 0$  for all  $j > k$ . In particular,  $N_m = N$ .

The homomorphism

$$p_k : N_k \rightarrow A,$$

carries  $N_k$  onto a principal ideal of  $A$ . If this ideal is the trivial ideal, set  $u_k = 0$ , and  $j_k = k$ ; otherwise, choose  $u_k \in N_k$  such that  $p(u_k)$  generates  $p_k(N_k)$ . We assert that the non trivial elements of the set

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_m\},$$

form a basis for  $N$ . Then,  $r = |\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}|$ , and we can complete this set of linearly independent elements of  $M$  to a basis of  $M$ , taking the elements  $z_{j_k}$  (whose indices do not appear in the indices of the  $u_k$ ). This gives the basis stated in the lemma.

We prove the assertion. First, we prove that the elements  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  generate  $N_k$ , for each  $k$ .  $u_1$  generates  $N_1$  by construction. Assume  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$  generate  $N_{k-1}$ . Let  $x \in N_k$ . Then,  $p_k(x) = ap_k(u_k)$ , for some  $a \in A$ . Thus,

$$p_k(x - au_k) = 0,$$

and hence  $x - au_k \in N_{k-1}$ , and

$$x - au_k = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}u_{k-1},$$

by the induction hypothesis. Next, we show that the non zero elements in the set  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  are linearly independent. This is trivial if  $k = 1$ . Assume this is true for  $k - 1$ . Assume that

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ku_k = 0, \tag{A.1}$$

and apply  $p_k$ . We obtain

$$a_k p_k(u_k) = 0,$$

and hence either  $a_k = 0$  or  $u_k = 0$ . Thus, equation (A.1) became

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}u_{k-1} = 0,$$

that by the induction hypothesis implies that  $a_j = 0$  for all  $x_j \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Let

$$i : N \rightarrow M,$$

be an injective homomorphism of free finitely generated left  $A$  modules. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} M/N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $h + n = \dim(M)$ ,  $n = \dim(N)$ . Let  $h = \text{rk}(M/N)$  (the dimension of the free submodule),  $t$  the number of the cyclic submodules [Hun80, VI.6.12].

**Proposition A.3.** *There exists a basis  $\mathbf{c} = \{c_1, \dots, c_{h+n}\}$  of  $M$  such that the set*

$$\mathbf{z} = \{u_1c_{h+1}, \dots, u_{h+n-t}c_{h+n-t}, a_1c_{h+n-t+1}, \dots, a_t c_{h+n}\},$$

*is a basis for the submodule  $i(N)$  of  $M$ , the  $u_j$  are unit of  $A$  and the  $a_j$  are non unit elements of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be any basis of  $M$ . Then,  $i(N)$  is generated by  $n$  vectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , and, for each  $k$ ,

$$v_k = r_{k,1}\zeta_1 + \dots + r_{k,m}\zeta_m,$$

where  $m = h + n$ . Let  $y_k$  be the mcd of the  $r_{k,j}$ , then

$$v_k = y_k(p_{k,1}\zeta_1 + \dots + p_{k,m}\zeta_m),$$

where the  $p_{k,j}$  have not common divisors. Consider the matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{h,1} & \dots & x_{h,m} \\ p_{1,1} & \dots & p_{1,m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p_{n,1} & \dots & p_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the set  $c = \{c_k\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= x_{k,1}\zeta_1 + \dots + x_{k,m}\zeta_m, & 1 \leq k \leq h, \\ c_k &= p_{k,1}\zeta_1 + \dots + p_{k,m}\zeta_m, & h + 1 \leq k \leq h + n, \end{aligned}$$

is a basis of  $M$  and clearly satisfies the requirement in the thesis, ordering first the possible  $y_k$  that are units.  $\square$

Using the basis given in the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M}{N} &= \frac{R[c_1, \dots, c_h, c_{h+1}, \dots, c_{h+l}, c_{h+l+1}, \dots, c_{h+n}]}{R[u_1c_{h+1}, \dots, u_{h+n-t}c_{h+n-t}, a_1c_{h+n-t+1}, \dots, a_t c_{h+n}]} \\ &= R[c_1, \dots, c_h] \oplus \frac{R}{a_1R}[c_{h+n-t+1}] \oplus \frac{R}{a_tR}[c_{h+n-t+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Note, if there is at least one  $a_j$ , then the set

$$\iota = \{c_1, \dots, c_h\}z = \{c_1, \dots, c_h, u_1c_{h+1}, \dots, u_{h+n-t}c_{h+n-t}, a_1c_{h+n-t+1}, \dots, a_t c_{h+n}\},$$

is a set of  $n + h$  linearly independent elements of  $M$ , that again is not a basis if there exists at least one  $a_j$ , with matrix (since  $A$  is an integral domain the Whitehead class is the module of the image of the determinant)

$$(\{c_1, \dots, c_h\}z/c) = |\det(\iota/c)| = \prod_{j=1}^{n-t} u_j \prod_{j=1}^t a_j.$$

The class in the Whitehead group  $\tilde{K}_{A \times}(A)$  is

$$[(\iota/c)] = \prod_{j=1}^t a_j.$$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  a field extension of  $A$ . Taking the tensor product, we obtain a short exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \otimes N \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{F} \otimes M \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{F} \otimes M/N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, the set

$$\iota = \{c_1, \dots, c_h, u_1 c_{h+1}, \dots, u_{h+n-t} c_{h+n-t}, a_1 c_{h+n-t+1}, \dots, a_t c_{h+n}\},$$

is a basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{F} \otimes M$ . We call the equivalence classes of basis

$$[\iota] = [\{c_1, \dots, c_h, c_{h+1}, \dots, c_{h+n-t}, a_1 c_{h+n-t+1}, \dots, a_t c_{h+n}\}],$$

the integral basis of  $M$ . Note that the definition does not depend on the choice of the initial basis  $\mathbf{c}$ . For starting with any other basis  $\mathbf{c}'$  of  $M$

$$[(\iota'/\iota)] = [(\iota'/\mathbf{c})][(\mathbf{c}/\mathbf{c}')][(\mathbf{c}'/\iota)] = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^t a_j}{\prod_{j=1}^t a_j} = 1.$$

Next, let  $M = \mathbf{C}_q$  be the  $q$ -chain module of the  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  chain complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$ . Then,  $\ker \partial_q$  is a free submodule of  $\mathbf{C}_q$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}_q$  be the chain basis of  $\mathbf{C}_q$ , and  $\iota_q$  the set constructed above. Since the change of basis matrix of the change of basis  $(\mathbf{c}/\mathbf{c}_q)$  has determinant  $\pm 1$ , we have that

$$[(\iota_q/\mathbf{c}_q)] = \prod_{j=1}^t a_j = \mathcal{O}_q,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_q$  denotes the order of the torsion subgroup of  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  [Che79a].

#### A.4. The standard basis in an integral chain complexes.

Let  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  a free chain complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules. Then, we have the following splitting [Mun84, 11.4]

$$\mathbf{C}_q = U_q \oplus V_q \oplus W_q,$$

where  $U_q = \hat{H}_{\text{free},q}$  is a lift of the free part of the homology,  $W_q = \hat{H}_{\text{tr},q}$  is a lift of the torsion part of the homology,  $\partial_q(U_q) = W_{q-1}$ , and  $Z_q = V_q \oplus W_q$ , and is uniquely determined. Let  $u_q = \dim(U_q) = \dim(W_{q-1})$ , and  $m_q = \dim(\mathbf{C}_q)$ . Then, there exists a basis  $\mathbf{e}_q$  of  $\mathbf{C}_q$  such that  $\{e_{q,1}, \dots, e_{q,u_q}\}$  is a basis of  $U_q$ ,  $\{e_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q}, \dots, e_{q-1,m_{q-1}}\}$  is a basis of  $W_{q-1}$ ,  $\{e_{q,u_q+1}, \dots, e_{q,m_q}\}$  is a basis for  $Z_q$ , and the boundary operator acts as follows:

$$\partial_q(e_{q,j}) = k_{q-1,j} e_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q+j},$$

for  $1 \leq q \leq u_q$ , with  $k_{q-1,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k_{q-1,j} \neq 0$ ,  $k_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q+1} | k_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q+2} | \dots | k_{q,m_{q-1}}$ . The homology  $H_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  of the complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  has a free part  $FH_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  generate by the set

$$\{e_{q,u_q+1}, \dots, e_{q,m_q-u_q+1}\},$$

and a torsion part  $TH_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  generated by the set

$$\{e_{q,m_q-u_q+1+t_q-1}, \dots, e_{q,m_q}\},$$

where the elements in the second set are those whose indices correspond to the  $k_{q,j} \neq \pm 1$ , and  $t_q = \#TH_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet)$ .

However the torsion of the complex  $\mathbf{C}_\bullet$  is not well defined, since the homology is not free, unless all the  $k_{q,j}$  are  $\pm 1$ , and in this case the torsion is trivial (as expected since this is a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules).

On the other side, if we change the coefficients to the reals, namely if we consider the complex  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbf{C}_\bullet$ , then the homology  $H_q(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbf{C}_\bullet)$  of the twisted complex is free and is generate by the set

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_q = \{e_{q,u_q+1}, \dots, e_{q,m_q-u_q+1}\},$$

We may then choose the set

$$\mathbf{b}_q = \{e_{q,1}, \dots, e_{q,u_q}\},$$

as a lift of the set of the generators of  $\text{Im} \partial_q$ , that is generated by the set

$$\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q) = \{k_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q} e_{q-1,m_{q-1}-u_q}, \dots, k_{q-1,m_{q-1}} e_{q-1,m_{q-1}}\}.$$

Then the set

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_q \mathbf{b}_q &= \{k_{q,m_q-u_q+1} e_{q,m_q-u_q+1}, \dots, k_{q,m_q} e_{q,m_q}\} \cup \{e_{q,u_q+1}, \dots, e_{q,m_q-u_q+1}\} \\ &\cup \{e_{q,1}, \dots, e_{q,u_q}\}, \end{aligned}$$

is a basis of  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbf{C}_q$ , and it is clear that

$$\det(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_q \mathbf{b}_q / \mathbf{e}_q) = \prod_{j=1}^{t_q} k_{q,j} = \#TH_q(\mathbf{C}_\bullet).$$

## APPENDIX B. SOME RESULTS ON STURM-LIOUVILLE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

**B.1. Smooth case.** Consider the following second order regular singular equation

$$f''(x) + \frac{p(x)}{x} f' + \frac{q(x)}{x^2} f = 0, \tag{B.1}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are real continuous functions in  $[0, r]$ , for some  $r > 0$ . We set

$$p_0 = p(0), \quad q_0 = q(0).$$

The indicial equation for equation (B.1) is

$$s^2 + (p_0 - 1)s + q_0 = 0,$$

with solutions:

$$s_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - p_0 \pm \sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 - 4q_0} \right).$$

We adopt the convention that  $s_+ \geq s_-$ .

The change of variable

$$f(x) = P(x)u(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{p(x)}{x}} u(x),$$

transforms equation (B.1) into the following equation

$$u'' + \frac{P''(x)}{P(x)} u + \frac{p(x)}{x} \frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} u + \frac{q(x)}{x^2} u = 0. \tag{B.2}$$

For small  $x$ ,  $P(x) \sim x^{-\frac{1}{2}p_0}$ , and direct substitution gives

$$\frac{P''(x)}{P(x)} + \frac{p(x)}{x} \frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} \sim \frac{1}{2} p_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} p_0 \right) \frac{1}{x^2},$$

Whence, the indicial equation for equation (B.2) is

$$\mu(\mu - 1) + \frac{1}{2}p_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}p_0\right) + q_0 = 0,$$

with solutions

$$\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 - 4q_0}\right).$$

If we adopt the same convention as above, in this case we always have  $\mu_1 = \mu_+$  and  $\mu_2 = \mu_-$ . Also note the following relation between the solutions of the two indicial equations:

$$s_{\pm} = \mu_{\pm} - \frac{p_0}{2}.$$

**Theorem B.2.** [Bôc00] *Consider the regular singular second order differential equation*

$$f''(x) + \frac{p(x)}{x}f' + \frac{q(x)}{x^2}f = 0, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are real continuous functions in the interval  $[0, r]$ , for some  $r > 0$ , with finite limits at  $x = 0$

$$p_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} p(x), \quad q_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} q(x),$$

and satisfying the assumption

$$\int_0^r |p(x) - p_0| \log^2 x dx < \infty, \quad \int_0^r \frac{|q(x) - q_0|}{x} \log^2 x dx < \infty,$$

Let  $s_+ \geq s_-$  be the solutions of the indicial equation

$$s^2 + (p_0 - 1)s + q_0 = 0.$$

Then, the equation (B.3) has two linearly independent solutions  $f_{\pm}$  according to the following description:

(1) if  $s_+ \neq s_-$ , then

$$f_{\pm}(x) = x^{s_{\pm}} \psi_{\pm}(x), \quad f'_{\pm}(x) = x^{s_{\pm}-1} \Psi_{\pm}(x),$$

where the  $\psi_{\pm}$  and the  $\Psi_{\pm}$  are continuous in some interval  $[0, r_0]$ ,  $r_0 \leq r$ ,  $\psi_{\pm}(0) = 1$ , and  $\Psi_{\pm}(0) = s_{\pm}$ ;

(2) if  $s_+ = s_-$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(x) &= x^{s_+} \psi_+(x), & f'_+(x) &= x^{s_+-1} \Psi_+(x), \\ f_-(x) &= x^{s_+} \psi_-(x) \log x, & f'_-(x) &= x^{s_+-1} \Psi_-(x) \log x \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\psi_{\pm}$ , and the  $\Psi_{\pm}$  are continuous in some interval  $[0, r_0]$ ,  $r_0 \leq r$ ,  $\psi_{\pm}(0) = 1$ , and  $\Psi_{\pm}(0) = s_+$ ;

The corresponding solutions of equation (B.2) are

$$u_{\pm}(x) = P^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) f_{\pm}(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{p(x)}{x}} f_{\pm}(x).$$

**Remark B.3.** *In the case of equal exponent, there is the following alternative description of the second solution:*

$$f_-(x) = f_+(x) \log x + x^{s_+} F(x),$$

where  $F$  is continuous in some interval  $[0, r_0]$ ,  $r_0 \leq r$ ,  $F(0) = 0$ .

**Remark B.4.** *If the function  $p$  and  $q$  are of class  $C^k((0, l])$ , then the solutions  $f_{\pm}$  are of class  $C^{k+2}((0, l])$  [DS88b, XIII.1.3, pg. 1281; XIII.1.4, pg. 1283]. In particular, if  $k = 1$ , then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|p(x) - p(0)|}{x} = |p'(0)| < \infty,$$

and therefore the hypothesis of Theorem B.2 are satisfied.

**Remark B.5.** *Note that the hypothesis of Theorem B.2 are satisfied if we assume*

$$p(x) = P(x^\epsilon), \quad q(x) = Q(x^\epsilon),$$

with smooth  $P$  and  $Q$  in  $[0, r]$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

If the coefficients of the differential equation are analytic, then the solutions are analytic, but a larger number of logarithmic contributions must be take in account, according to the following theorem due to Fuchs [Tes12, 4.5].

**Theorem B.6.** *Assume that the coefficients  $p$  and  $q$  in equation B.3 have a power series expansion in  $[0, r]$ , for some  $r > 0$ . Then, there exists a fundamental system of solutions with following series expansions in  $[0, r]$ :*

(1) *if  $s_+ - s_- \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then*

$$f_{\pm}(x) = x^{s_{\pm}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,\pm} x^k,$$

with  $a_{0,\pm} = 1$ ;

(2) *if  $s_+ - s_- \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then*

$$f_+(x) = x^{s_+} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,+} x^k,$$

$$f_-(x) = x^{s_-} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,-} x^k + b f_+(x) \log x,$$

with  $a_{0,\pm} = 1$ , and where  $b$  may be zero unless  $s_+ - s_- = 0$ .

**Remark B.7.** *Observe that if  $s_+ - s_-$  is not an integer, then the solutions  $\pm$  of Theorem B.2 coincide with those of Theorem B.6. If  $s_+ - s_-$  is an integer different from zero, this is true only for the plus solutions, for logarithmic terms appear in the power series expansion that are hidden in the function  $\psi_-$  in the smooth description. If  $s_+ = s_-$ , then also the minus solutions coincide if we use the alternative formula for it, given in Remark B.3.*

**B.8. Analytic case.** In this section we give details on the power series expansions of the solutions available if the coefficients of the differential equation are analytic.

**Lemma B.9.** *Consider the equation*

$$u''(x) + \left( \lambda - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{h^2(x)} - p(x) \right) u(x) = 0,$$

Assume either  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\nu \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $h$  and  $p$  are analytic in  $[0, l]$ . Then the above equation reads

$$u''(x) + \left( \lambda - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2} - P(x) \right) u(x) = 0,$$

with

$$P(x) = x^{-1} \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k + x^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k,$$

and has two linearly independent solutions  $u_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu)$  for  $x \in (0, l]$ , that are analytic in  $\lambda$ , corresponding to the two solutions  $\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \nu$  of the indicial equation

$$\mu(\mu - 1) + \frac{1}{4} - \nu^2 = 0.$$

These solutions may be fixed univocally by the following series expansions. In the regular case,  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu_- = 0$ ,  $\mu_+ = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u_-(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\lambda, \nu) x^k, \\ a_0(\lambda, \nu) &= 1, & a_1(\lambda, \nu) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{k+2}(\lambda, \nu) = -\frac{a_k(\lambda, \nu)}{(k+1)(k+2)} \lambda + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^k a_k(\lambda, \nu) b_{k-j}}{(k+1)(k+2)};$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_+(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\lambda, \nu) x^k, \\ a_0(\lambda, \nu) &= 0, & a_1(\lambda, \nu) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{k+2}(\lambda, \nu) = -\frac{a_k(\lambda, \nu)}{(k+2)(k+3)} \lambda + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^k a_k(\lambda, \nu) b_{k-j}}{(k+2)(k+3)}.$$

In the singular case,  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$u_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu) = x^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k, \pm}(\lambda, \nu) x^k,$$

with the following coefficients ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ):

$$a_{0, \pm}(\lambda, \nu) = 1,$$

$$a_{1, \pm}(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}) b_0 - c_0}{2\nu},$$

$$a_{k+2, \pm}(\lambda, \nu) = -\frac{a_{k, \pm}(\lambda, \nu)}{(k+2)(\pm 2\nu + k + 2)} \lambda + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} ((\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}) b_{k+1-j} + c_{k+1-j}) a_{j, \pm}(\lambda, \nu)}{(k+2)(\pm 2\nu + k + 2)}.$$

**Remark B.10.** Observe that all the coefficients  $b_k$ , and  $c_k$  vanish if  $p = 0$  and  $h(x) = x$ .

**Lemma B.11.** *Assume that  $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}$ , and that the function  $h$  has the following series expansion*

$$h(x) = x \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j x^j \right).$$

Then, the equation

$$f'' + (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'}{h} f' + \lambda f = 0, \tag{B.4}$$

has two linearly independent solutions  $f_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu)$  for  $x \in (0, l]$ , that are analytic in  $\lambda$ , corresponding to the two solutions  $\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \nu$  of the indicial equation

$$\mu(\mu - 1) + (1 - 2\alpha)\mu = 0,$$

and that may be fixed univocally by the following series expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(x) &= x^{\alpha+|\alpha|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,+} x^n \\ f_-(x) &= x^{\alpha-|\alpha|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,-} x^n + b f_+(x) \log x. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,+} &= 1, \\ a_{1,+} &= -\frac{(\alpha \pm |\alpha|)(1 - 2\alpha)h_1 a_{0,+}}{(1 + \alpha + |\alpha|)(1 + \alpha + |\alpha| - 2\alpha)}, \\ a_{n,+} &= \frac{-\lambda a_{n-2} - (1 - 2\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k}(n - k + \alpha + |\alpha|)}{(n + \alpha \pm |\alpha|)(n + \alpha + |\alpha| - 2\alpha)}, \\ a_{0,-} &= 1, \\ a_{n,-} &= \frac{-\lambda a_{n-2,-} - (1 - 2\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k,-}(n - k + \alpha - |\alpha|)}{(n + \alpha - |\alpha|)(n + \alpha - |\alpha| - 2\alpha)}, & 0 < n < 2|\alpha|, \\ a_{2|\alpha|,-} &= \begin{cases} -\frac{b}{2} H_{|\alpha|}, & \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, \\ 0, & \alpha \in \frac{1}{2}(2\mathbb{Z} + 1) - \{1/2\}, \end{cases} \\ a_{n,-} &= \frac{-\lambda a_{n-2,-} - (1 - 2\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k,-}(n - k + \alpha - |\alpha|)}{(n + \alpha - |\alpha|)(n + \alpha - |\alpha| - 2\alpha)} \\ &\quad + \frac{b \left( 2a_{n-2|\alpha|,+}(n - |\alpha|) + (1 - 2\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{n-2|\alpha|} \tilde{h}_k a_{n-2|\alpha|-k,+} \right)}{(n + \alpha - |\alpha|)(n + \alpha - |\alpha| - 2\alpha)}, & n > 2|\alpha|, \\ b &= -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|} \left( \lambda a_{2|\alpha|-2,-} + (1 - 2\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{2|\alpha|} \tilde{h}_k a_{2|\alpha|-k,-}(\alpha + |\alpha| - k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} = \frac{1}{x} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_j x^j \right), \quad H_{|\alpha|} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{j}.$$

**Remark B.12.** Observe that in the flat case  $h(x) = x$ , all the coefficients  $\tilde{h}_j$  vanish, and therefore all add coefficients  $a_{2k+1,\pm}$  vanish as well.

**Corollary B.13.** In the hypothesis of the previous lemma, the equation

$$u'' + \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{h''}{h} u + \left( \left( \frac{1}{4} - \alpha^2 \right) \frac{(h')^2}{h^2} + \lambda \right) u = 0,$$

has two linearly independent solutions  $\mathbf{u}_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \nu)$  for  $x \in (0, l]$ , analytic in  $\lambda$ , and univocally determined by the following series expansions:

$$\mathbf{u}_{\pm} = h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \mathbf{f}_{\pm}.$$

We conclude with the case  $\nu = \alpha = 0$ .

**Lemma B.14.** Assume that the function  $h$  has the following series expansion

$$h(x) = x \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j x^j \right).$$

Then, the equation

$$f'' + \frac{h'}{h} f' + \lambda f = 0, \tag{B.6}$$

has two linearly independent solutions  $\mathbf{f}_{\pm}(x, \lambda, 0)$  for  $x \in (0, l]$ , that are analytic in  $\lambda$ , and that may be fixed univocally by the following series expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_+(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,+} x^n \\ \mathbf{f}_-(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,-} x^n + \mathbf{f}_+(x) \log x, \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients ( $n > 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,+} &= 1, \\ a_{n,+} &= \frac{-\lambda a_{n-2,+} - \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k,+} (n-k)}{n^2}, \\ a_{n,-} &= \frac{-\lambda a_{n-2,-} - \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k,-} (n-k) + \left( 2a_{n,+} n + \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{h}_k a_{n-k,+} \right)}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that formula for the  $-$  solution coincides with the one given in the smooth case.

**B.15. Determinacy of the solutions.** Consider first the case  $\nu > 0$ . It is easy to see that the solution  $\mathfrak{f}_+$  described in Theorem B.2 is univocally determined. For any solution may be written as

$$f = c_+ \mathfrak{f}_+ + c_- \mathfrak{f}_-,$$

with some constants  $c_{\pm}$ . For  $f$  to be of type  $\mathfrak{f}_+(x) = x^{s_+} \psi_+(x)$ , it is necessary that  $c_- = 0$ . On the other side,  $\mathfrak{f}_-$  is not univocally determined if described as in Theorem B.2, since

$$\mathfrak{f}_-(x) + c \mathfrak{f}_+(x) = x^{s_-} (\psi_-(x) + c x^{s_+ - s_-} \psi_+(x)) = x^{s_-} F_-(x),$$

with  $F_-$  continuous and  $F_-(0) = 1$ . Observe that in the case  $s_+ = s_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{f}_-$  is univocally determined if we use the alternative description given in Remark B.3, this is discussed in the next section.

On the other side, if the solutions may be expanded in power series, then they are both determined by the series expansions stated in Theorem B.6 and described in details in Section B.8. It is not difficult to prove that the power series expansions are univocally determined by the following initial value conditions (recall  $\nu > 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} IC_0(\mathfrak{f}_+) &= 0, & IC_0(\mathfrak{f}_-) &= 1, \\ IC'_0(\mathfrak{f}_+) &= 1, & IC'_0(\mathfrak{f}_-) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$IC_0(f) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{-\alpha+\nu} f(x), \quad IC'_0(f) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{-\alpha-\nu} f(x),$$

plus the condition

$$a_{2|\alpha|,-} = H_{|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, \quad a_{2|\alpha|,-} = 0, \quad \alpha \in \frac{1}{2}(2\mathbb{Z} + 1) - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

if  $\nu = |\alpha|$  is an half integer different from 0. These conditions give for the functions  $\mathbf{u}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} IC_0(\mathbf{u}_+) &= 0, & IC'_0(\mathbf{u}_+) &= 1, \\ IC_0(\mathbf{u}_-) &= 1, & IC'_0(\mathbf{u}_-) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$IC_0(u) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} u(x), \quad IC'_0(u) = \operatorname{Res}_0 x^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} u(x).$$

Second, consider  $\nu = 0$ . In this case the description of the minus solution given in Remark B.3 determine it univocally. For adding a multiple of the + solution to the - solution clearly modify the value of the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-s_+} (\mathfrak{f}_-(x) - \mathfrak{f}_+(x) \log x).$$

We now investigate the the limit case  $h(x) = x$ . A direct calculation gives

$$\mathbf{u}_+^0 = u_+(x) = \sqrt{x} J_0(\sqrt{\lambda x}) = \sqrt{x} I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}).$$

The second solution requires a bit more work, since in the classical functions the coefficients in the power series are fixed in a different way. Taking the power series expansion

$$u_-(x) = b \log x u_+(x) + \sqrt{x} \sum b_k (\sqrt{\lambda x})^k,$$

and proceeding as for example in [Tes12, Section 4.1], we chose  $b = \frac{2}{\pi}$ . Then, the following relation holds if  $\lambda = 1$ :

$$\sqrt{x} Y_0(x) = u_-(x) + (-1 + (\gamma - \log 2)) u_+(x),$$

whence in such a case, using [GR07, 8.444], we find that

$$u_-(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{x} \log x J_0(x) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{x} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k!)^2 2^{2k}} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{k} x^{2k} + \sqrt{x} J_0(x).$$

Comparing with the previous expression for  $u_-$  and restoring the general value for  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\frac{\pi}{2} u_-(x) = -\sqrt{x} K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x} \log(-\lambda) I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}) + \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \log 2 - \gamma \right) \sqrt{x} I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}).$$

Comparing with the normalisation given in B.3, we find that

$$\mathbf{u}_-^0 = \frac{\pi}{2} u_-(x) - \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{u}_+ = -\sqrt{x} K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}) + (\log 2 - \gamma - \log \sqrt{-\lambda}) I_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}),$$

and also the  $-$  solutions is expressed in terms of Bessel functions.

We conclude with the function  $\mathbf{v}$ . We define it by

$$\mathbf{v}^0 = -\mathbf{u}_-^0 - \left( \log 2 - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log(-\lambda) \right) \mathbf{u}_+^0,$$

so that

$$\mathbf{v}^0 = \sqrt{x} K_0(\sqrt{-\lambda x}).$$

### APPENDIX C. SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS

Consider the equation

$$f'' + \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)h'}{h} f' + \left( \lambda + \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{h^2} \right) f = 0.$$

With the substitution  $f = h^\beta u$ , we have

$$f = h^\beta u,$$

$$f' = \beta h^{\beta-1} h' u + h^\beta u',$$

$$f'' = \beta(\beta - 1) h^{\beta-2} h' u + \beta h^{\beta-1} h'' u + 2\beta h^{\beta-1} h' u' + h^\beta u'',$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(\beta - 1) h^{\beta-2} (h')^2 u + \beta h^{\beta-1} h'' u + 2\beta h^{\beta-1} h' u' + h^\beta u'' \\ & + \frac{(1 - 2\alpha_q)h'}{h} \beta h^{\beta-1} h' u + \frac{(1 - 2\alpha_q)h'}{h} h^\beta u' + \left( \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{h^2} + \lambda \right) h^\beta u = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\beta = \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$u'' + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{h''}{h} u + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2 - \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) (h')^2}{h^2} + \lambda\right) u = 0.$$

**Lemma C.1.** Let  $u_{\pm\mu}$  (assume  $\mu \geq 0$ ) be the two linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$u'' + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{h''}{h} u + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \alpha^2\right) (h')^2}{h^2} + \lambda\right) u = 0,$$

satisfying the conditions

$$u_{\pm\mu}(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \mu},$$

for  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ . Then, the equation

$$f'' + \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)h'}{h} f' + \left(\lambda + \frac{\alpha^2 - \mu^2}{h^2}\right) f = 0,$$

has the following two linearly independent solutions

$$f_{\alpha, \pm\mu}(x) = h(x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} u_{\pm\mu}(x).$$

**Lemma C.2.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $b$  be two real numbers with  $b \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha, b, \pm}$  a complete system of solutions of the equation

$$f'' + (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'}{h} f' + \frac{b^2}{h^2} f = 0, \tag{C.1}$$

normalised according to Definition 9.3. Then,

$$\mathfrak{f}'_{\alpha, b, \pm} = \left(\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - b^2}\right) \mathfrak{f}_{-\alpha, b, \pm} h^{2\alpha - 1}. \tag{C.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_{\alpha, b}$  be a solution of equation (C.1). Define the function

$$g = h^{1 - 2\alpha} f'_{\alpha, b}.$$

Then,

$$f_{\alpha, b} = \int g h^{2\alpha - 1}, \quad f'_{\alpha, b} = h^{2\alpha - 1} g, \quad f''_{\alpha, b} = g' h^{2\alpha - 1} + (2\alpha - 1) h^{2\alpha - 2} h' g.$$

Substitution into equation (C.1) gives

$$h^{2\alpha + 1} g' + b^2 \int g h^{2\alpha - 1} = 0.$$

Derivation of this equation gives the equation satisfied by the  $\mathfrak{f}_{-\alpha, b, \pm}$ , proving that  $g$  is a linear combination of its solutions, i.e.

$$f'_{\alpha, b} = h^{2\alpha - 1} (A_+ \mathfrak{f}_{-\alpha, b, +} + A_- \mathfrak{f}_{-\alpha, b, -}),$$

for some constants  $A_{\pm}$ . The indicial equation associated to the equation (C.1) is

$$s(s - 1) + (1 - 2\alpha)s + b^2 = 0,$$

with roots  $s_{\pm} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - b^2}$ . Since the roots are different and non zero, by Definition 9.3,

$$\mathfrak{f}_{-\alpha, b, \pm}(x) = x^{-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + b^2}} F_{\pm}(x),$$

with  $F_{\pm}(0) = 1$ , and hence

$$f'_{\alpha,b}(x) = A_+ x^{\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^2+b^2}-1} F_+(x) H^{2\alpha-1}(x) + A_- x^{\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha^2+b^2}-1} F_-(x) H^{2\alpha-1}(x).$$

On the other side, by Theorem B.2,

$$f'_{\alpha,b,\pm}(x) = s_{\pm} x^{s_{\pm}-1} \Psi_{\pm}(x),$$

Comparing the two formulae we have the result. Observe that the indetermination of the  $f_{-\alpha,b,-}$  does not compromise the result. For if  $f = f_{-\alpha,b,-} + c f_{-\alpha,b,+}$ , for some constant  $c$ , then

$$f'_{\alpha,b}(x) = (A_+ + cA_-) x^{\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^2+b^2}-1} F_+(x) H^{2\alpha-1}(x) + A_- x^{\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha^2+b^2}-1} F_-(x) H^{2\alpha-1}(x).$$

Comparing with  $f'_{\alpha,b,-}$  gives  $A_- = s_-$ , comparing with  $f'_{\alpha,b,+}$  gives  $A_- = 0$ , and  $A_+ = s_+$ .  $\square$

**Lemma C.3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a real number different from 0. Let  $f_{\alpha,\pm}$  denote a fundamental system of solutions of the equation*

$$f'' + (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{h'}{h} f' + \lambda f = 0. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{\alpha,\pm} &= A_- h^{2\alpha-1} f_{-\alpha+1,\mp}, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ f'_{\alpha,\pm} &= A_+ h^{2\alpha-1} f_{-\alpha+1,\pm}, & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0, \alpha \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $A_{\pm}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_{\alpha}$  be a solution of the equation (C.3). Define the function

$$g = h^{1-2\alpha} f'_{\alpha}.$$

Then,

$$f_{\alpha} = \int g h^{2\alpha-1}, \quad f'_{\alpha} = h^{2\alpha-1} g, \quad f''_{\alpha} = g' h^{2\alpha-1} + (2\alpha - 1) h^{2\alpha-2} h' g.$$

Substitution into equation (C.3) gives

$$h^{2\alpha-1} g' + \lambda \int g h^{2\alpha-1} = 0.$$

Derivation of this equation gives the equation

$$f'' + (2\alpha - 1) \frac{h'}{h} f' + \lambda f = 0,$$

proving that  $g$  is a linear combination of its fundamental solutions  $f_{-\alpha+1,\pm}$ . The associated indicial equation is

$$s(s - 1) + (2\alpha - 1)s = 0,$$

with solutions  $s_0 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 2 - 2\alpha$ . Whence, if  $\alpha \neq 1$ , by Definition 9.3

$$f_{-\alpha+1,0} = \psi_0(x), \quad f_{-\alpha+1,1} = x^{2-2\alpha} \psi_1(x)$$

with  $\psi_{0/1}(0) = 1$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h^{2\alpha-1}f_{-\alpha+1,0}(x) &= x^{2\alpha-1}F_0(x), \\ h^{2\alpha-1}f_{-\alpha+1,1}(x) &= xF_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other side, the indicial equation of of equation (C.3) is

$$x(x-1) + (1-2\alpha)x = 0,$$

with solutions  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 2\alpha$ . Then, if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , by Theorem B.2

$$f'_{\alpha,0}(x) = \Psi_0(x), \quad f'_{\alpha,1}(x) = x^{2\alpha-1}\Psi_1(x),$$

with  $\Psi_{0/1}(0) = 0/1$ . Comparing the expression, we prove the lemma when  $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ . Observe that, as in the proof of the previous lemma, the indetermination of the solution with smaller exponent does not compromise the result.

If  $\alpha = 0$  then the associated indicial equation to  $f'' - \frac{h'}{h}f' + \lambda f = 0$  is

$$s(s-1) - s = 0,$$

with solutions  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_1 = 2$ . Whence, by Definition 9.3

$$f_{1,0}(x) = \psi_0(x), \quad f_{1,1} = x^2\psi_1(x),$$

with  $\psi_{0/1}(0) = 1$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(x)f_{1,0}(x) &= x^{-1}F_0(x), \\ h^{-1}(x)f_{1,1}(x) &= xF_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other side, the indicial equation of (C.3) is

$$x(x-1) + x = 0,$$

with solutions  $x_0 = x_1 = 0$ . Then, by Theorem B.2

$$\begin{aligned} f_{0,0}(x) &= \psi_0(x), & f_{0,1}(x) &= \log x\psi_1(x), \\ f'_{0,0}(x) &= \psi'_0(x), & f'_{0,1}(x) &= x^{-1}\psi_1(x) \left(1 + \log x \frac{\psi'_1(x)}{\psi_1(x)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\psi_{0/1}(0) = 1$  e  $\psi'_{0/1} = 0$ . Comparing the expressions we obtain the result.

If  $\alpha = 1$  then the associated indicial equation to  $f'' + \frac{h'}{h}f' + \lambda f = 0$  is

$$s(s-1) + (2\alpha-1)s = 0 \Rightarrow s^2 = 0.$$

with solutions  $x_0 = x_1 = 0$ . Whence, by Definition 9.3

$$f_{0,0}(x) = \psi_0(x), \quad f_{0,1} = \log x\psi_1(x),$$

with  $\psi_{0/1} = 1$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h(x)f_{0,0}(x) &= xF_0(x), \\ h(x)f_{0,1}(x) &= x \log xF_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other side, the indicial equation (C.3) is

$$x(x-1) + (1-2\alpha)x = 0,$$

with indicial solutions  $x = 0$  and  $x = 2$ . Then, by Theorem B.2

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,0}(x) &= \psi_0(x), & f_{1,1}(x) &= x^2\psi_1(x), \\ f'_{1,0}(x) &= \psi'_0(x), & f'_{1,1}(x) &= x\Psi_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\psi_{0/1}(0) = 1$ ,  $\psi'(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi_1(0) = 2$ . Comparing the expressions we obtain the result. □

**Lemma C.4.** *Let  $p$  be a smooth function on  $(0, 1)$ . The equation*

$$u'' + Qu = 0,$$

where

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}p^2 + p' \right),$$

on the space of smooth functions on the interval  $(0, 1)$  has the following two l.i. solutions

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx}, \\ u_2(x) &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \int p(x) dx} \int e^{-\int p(x) dx}, \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (suggested by G. Metafuné) Setting  $f = ue^{-\frac{1}{2} \int p}$ , we have the equation  $f'' + pf' = 0$ , that has the solutions  $f_1 = 1$  and  $f'_2(x) = e^{-\int p(x) dx}$ . □

APPENDIX D. ELEMENTS OF ZETA REGULARISATION TECHNIQUE

**D.1. Simple sequences and zeta determinant.** Let  $S = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of non negative real numbers with unique accumulation point at infinity. We denote by  $S_0$  the positive part of  $S$ , i.e  $S_0 = S - \{0\}$ . We assume that  $S$  has finite exponent of convergence  $e(S)$ , so that the associated Weierstrass canonical product converges uniformly and absolutely in any bounded closed region and is an integral function of order the genus  $g(S)$  of the sequence  $S$ . In this setting, we define the function

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\lambda, S)} = \prod_{a_n \in S_0} \left( 1 + \frac{-\lambda}{a_n} \right) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{g(S)} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} \frac{(-\lambda)^j}{a_n^j}},$$

for  $\lambda \in \rho(S) = \mathbb{C} - S$ , that we call Gamma function associated to  $S$ . Here  $-\lambda$  denotes the complex variable defined on  $\mathbb{C} - [0, +\infty)$ , with  $\arg -\lambda = 0$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$ . We also introduce the zeta function, defined by the uniformly convergent series

$$\zeta(s, S) = \sum_{a_n \in S_0} a_n^{-s},$$

for  $\text{Re}(s) > e(S)$ , and by analytic continuation elsewhere. We assume that the sequence  $S$  is a regular sequence of spectral type of non positive order, as defined in [Spr12, 2.1, 2.6]. In this situation, the analytic extension of the zeta function associated to  $S$  is regular at  $s = 0$ , and we may define the zeta regularised determinant of  $S$  by

$$\det_\zeta S = e^{-\zeta'(0, S)}.$$

Note that if  $S_0 \neq S$ , the determinant is defined as the determinant of the positive part of  $S$ , since the determinant of  $S$  in this case would obviously vanish.

Moreover, we have the following result [Spr12, 2.11].

**Theorem D.2.** *If  $S$  is a regular sequence of spectral type of non positive order, the logarithmic Gamma function has an asymptotic expansion for large  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C} - \Sigma_{c,\theta}$ , where  $\Sigma_{c,\theta}$  is some sector  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - c)| \leq \frac{\theta}{2}\}$ , with  $c > 0$  and  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , that contains  $S$ , and we have*

$$\log \det_{\zeta} S = -\zeta'(0, S) = \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=+\infty} \log \Gamma(-\lambda, S).$$

**D.3. Double sequences and the spectral decomposition lemma.** We give some formulas to deal with zeta invariants of double series that follow as particular instances of the general results given in [Spr12] (see also [HS12]).

Given a double sequence  $S = \{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$  of non vanishing complex numbers with unique accumulation point at the infinity, finite exponent  $s_0 = e(S)$  and genus  $p = g(S)$ , we use the notation  $S_n$  ( $S_k$ ) to denote the simple sequence with fixed  $n$  ( $k$ ), we call the exponents of  $S_n$  and  $S_k$  the *relative exponents* of  $S$ , and we use the notation ( $s_0 = e(S)$ ,  $s_1 = e(S_k)$ ,  $s_2 = e(S_n)$ ); we define *relative genus* accordingly.

**Definition D.4.** *Let  $S = \{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$  be a double sequence with finite exponents  $(s_0, s_1, s_2)$ , genus  $(p_0, p_1, p_2)$ , and positive spectral sector  $\Sigma_{\theta_0, c_0}$ . Let  $U = \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a totally regular sequence of spectral type of infinite order with exponent  $r_0$ , genus  $q$ , domain  $D_{\phi, d}$ . We say that  $S$  is spectrally decomposable over  $U$  with power  $\kappa$ , length  $\ell$  and asymptotic domain  $D_{\theta, c}$ , with  $c = \min(c_0, d, c')$ ,  $\theta = \max(\theta_0, \phi, \theta')$ , if there exist positive real numbers  $\kappa$ ,  $\ell$  (integer),  $c'$ , and  $\theta'$ , with  $0 < \theta' < \pi$ , such that:*

- (1) *the sequence  $u_n^{-\kappa} S_n = \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{n,k}}{u_n^k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  has spectral sector  $\Sigma_{\theta', c'}$ , and is a totally regular sequence of spectral type of infinite order for each  $n$ ;*
- (2) *the logarithmic  $\Gamma$ -function associated to  $S_n/u_n^{\kappa}$  has an asymptotic expansion for large  $n$  uniformly in  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda$  in  $D_{\theta, c}$ , of the following form*

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, u_n^{-\kappa} S_n) = \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \phi_{\sigma_h}(\lambda) u_n^{-\sigma_h} + \sum_{l=0}^L P_{\rho_l}(\lambda) u_n^{-\rho_l} \log u_n + o(u_n^{-r_0}), \quad (D.1)$$

where  $\sigma_h$  and  $\rho_l$  are real numbers with  $\sigma_0 < \dots < \sigma_{\ell}$ ,  $\rho_0 < \dots < \rho_L$ , the  $P_{\rho_l}(\lambda)$  are polynomials in  $\lambda$  satisfying the condition  $P_{\rho_l}(0) = 0$ ,  $\ell$  and  $L$  are the larger integers such that  $\sigma_{\ell} \leq r_0$  and  $\rho_L \leq r_0$ .

Define the following functions, ( $\Lambda_{\theta, c} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z - c)| = \frac{\theta}{2}\}$ , oriented counter clockwise):

$$\Phi_{\sigma_h}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda_{\theta, c}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{-\lambda} \phi_{\sigma_h}(\lambda) d\lambda dt. \quad (D.2)$$

By Lemma 3.3 of [Spr12], for all  $n$ , we have the expansions:

$$\log \Gamma(-\lambda, S_n/u_n^{\kappa}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha_j, 0, n} (-\lambda)^{\alpha_j} + \sum_{k=0}^{p_2} a_{k, 1, n} (-\lambda)^k \log(-\lambda), \quad (D.3)$$

for large  $\lambda$  in  $D_{\theta,c}$ . We set (see Lemma 3.5 of [Spr12])

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,0}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_{0,0,n} - \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} b_{\sigma_h,0,0} u_n^{-\sigma_h} \right) u_n^{-\kappa s}, \\ A_{0,1}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_{0,1,n} - \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} b_{\sigma_h,j,1} u_n^{-\sigma_h} \right) u_n^{-\kappa s}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p_2. \end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

**Theorem D.5.** *Let  $S$  be spectrally decomposable over  $U$  as in Definition D.4. Assume that the functions  $\Phi_{\sigma_h}(s)$  have at most simple poles for  $s = 0$ . Then,  $\zeta(s, S)$  is regular at  $s = 0$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(0, S) &= \zeta_{\text{reg}}(0, S) + \zeta_{\text{sing}}(0, S), \\ \zeta'(0, S) &= \zeta'_{\text{reg}}(0, S) + \zeta'_{\text{sing}}(0, S), \end{aligned}$$

where the regular and singular part are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\text{reg}}(0, S) &= -A_{0,1}(0), \\ \zeta_{\text{sing}}(0, S) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \text{Res}_1 \Phi_{\sigma_h}(s) \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U), \\ \zeta'_{\text{reg}}(0, S) &= -A_{0,0}(0) - A'_{0,1}(0), \\ \zeta'_{\text{sing}}(0, S) &= \frac{\gamma}{\kappa} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \text{Res}_1 \Phi_{\sigma_h}(s) \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \text{Res}_0 \Phi_{\sigma_h}(s) \text{Res}_1 \zeta(s, U) + \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \text{Res}_1 \Phi_{\sigma_h}(s) \text{Res}_0 \zeta(s, U), \end{aligned}$$

and the notation  $\sum'$  means that only the terms such that  $\zeta(s, U)$  has a pole at  $s = \sigma_h$  appear in the sum, and

**Remark D.6.** *Observe that in the expansion at point (2) of Definition D.4, the terms where the functions  $\phi_{\sigma_h}(\lambda)$  and the polynomial  $P_{\rho_l}(\lambda)$  are constant (in  $\lambda$ ) do not enter in the subsequent results. For this reason it is sufficient in the enumeration of this terms (namely in the indices  $\sigma_h$  and  $\rho_l$ ) to consider only the other terms.*

**Corollary D.7.** *Let  $S_{(j)} = \{\lambda_{(j),n,k}\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , be a finite set of double sequences that satisfy all the requirements of Definition D.4 of spectral decomposability over a common sequence  $U$ , with the same parameters  $\kappa$ ,  $\ell$ , etc., except that the polynomials  $P_{(j),\rho}(\lambda)$  appearing in condition (2) do not vanish for  $\lambda = 0$ . Assume that some linear combination  $\sum_{j=1}^J c_j P_{(j),\rho}(\lambda)$ , with complex coefficients, of such polynomials does satisfy this condition, namely that  $\sum_{j=1}^J c_j P_{(j),\rho}(\lambda) = 0$ . Then, the linear combination of the zeta function  $\sum_{j=1}^J c_j \zeta(s, S_{(j)})$  is regular at  $s = 0$  and satisfies the linear combination of the formulas given in Theorem D.5.*

## REFERENCES

- [AGR16] Pierre Albin and Jesse Gell-Redman, *The index of Dirac operators on incomplete edge spaces*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), Paper No. 089, 45. MR 3544861
- [ALMP12] Pierre Albin, Éric Leichtnam, Rafe Mazzeo, and Paolo Piazza, *The signature package on Witt spaces*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **45** (2012), no. 2, 241–310. MR 2977620
- [ARS18a] Pierre Albin, Frédéric Rochon, and David Sher, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Witt representations on manifolds with cusps*, Duke Math. J. **167** (2018), no. 10, 1883–1950. MR 3827813
- [ARS18b] ———, *A Cheeger-Müller theorem for manifolds with wedge singularities*, arXiv:1807.02178, 2018.
- [BL92] J. Brüning and M. Lesch, *Hilbert complexes*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), no. 1, 88–132. MR 1174159
- [BM06] J. Brüning and X. Ma, *An anomaly formula for Ray-Singer metrics on manifolds with boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 4, 767–837. MR 2255381
- [BM13] ———, *On the gluing formula for the analytic torsion*, Math. Z. **273** (2013), no. 3-4, 1085–1117. MR 3030691
- [Bôc00] M. Bôcher, *On regular singular points of linear differential equations of the second order whose coefficients are not necessarily analytic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1900), no. 1, 40–52. MR 1500523
- [BS85] J. Brüning and R. Seeley, *Regular singular asymptotics*, Adv. in Math. **58** (1985), no. 2, 133–148. MR 814748
- [BS87] ———, *The resolvent expansion for second order regular singular operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (1987), no. 2, 369–429. MR 899656
- [BZ92] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller*, Astérisque (1992), no. 205, 235, With an appendix by François Laudenbach. MR 1185803
- [Che79a] J. Cheeger, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 2, 259–322. MR 528965
- [Che79b] ———, *On the spectral geometry of spaces with cone-like singularities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1979), no. 5, 2103–2106. MR 530173
- [Che80] ———, *On the Hodge theory of Riemannian pseudomanifolds*, Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 91–146. MR 573430
- [Che83] ———, *Spectral geometry of singular Riemannian spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 575–657 (1984). MR 730920
- [CY81] J. Cheeger and S. T. Yau, *A lower bound for the heat kernel*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 4, 465–480. MR 615626
- [Dar87] A. Dar, *Intersection R-torsion and analytic torsion for pseudomanifolds*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 2, 193–216. MR 876230
- [Dar88] ———, *Intersection Whitehead torsion and the s-cobordism theorem for pseudomanifolds*, Math. Z. **199** (1988), no. 2, 171–179. MR 958646
- [dMHS12] T. de Melo, L. Hartmann, and M. Spreafico, *The analytic torsion of a disc*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **42** (2012), no. 1, 29–59. MR 2912667
- [DS88a] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988, General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1009162
- [DS88b] ———, *Linear operators. Part II*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988, Spectral theory. Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1009163
- [Gaf54a] M. P. Gaffney, *The heat equation method of Milgram and Rosenbloom for open Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 458–466. MR 64933

- [Gaf54b] ———, *A special Stokes's theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 140–145. MR 62490
- [Gil95] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, second ed., Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. MR 1396308
- [GM80] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 2, 135–162. MR 572580
- [GM83a] ———, *Intersection homology. II*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 77–129. MR 696691
- [GM83b] ———, *Morse theory and intersection homology theory*, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, vol. 101, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983, pp. 135–192. MR 737930
- [GR07] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, seventh ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007, Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger, With one CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX). MR 2360010
- [Har14] L. Hartmann, *The boundary term from the analytic torsion of a cone over a  $m$ -dimensional sphere*, Mat. Contemp. **43** (2014), 133–169. MR 3426260
- [HLV18a] Luiz Hartmann, Matthias Lesch, and Boris Vertman, *On the domain of Dirac and Laplace type operators on stratified spaces*, J. Spectr. Theory **8** (2018), no. 4, 1295–1348. MR 3870069
- [HLV18b] ———, *Resolvent trace asymptotics stratified spaces*, arXiv:1810.04204, 2018.
- [HS10] L. Hartmann and M. Spreafico, *The analytic torsion of a cone over a sphere*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **93** (2010), no. 4, 408–435. MR 2609036
- [HS11] ———, *The analytic torsion of a cone over an odd dimensional manifold*, J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011), no. 3, 624–657. MR 2763625
- [HS12] ———,  *$R$  torsion and analytic torsion of a conical frustum*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT **6** (2012), 28–57. MR 3011644
- [HS15] ———, *On the Cheeger-Müller theorem for an even-dimensional cone*, Algebra i Analiz **27** (2015), no. 1, 194–217. MR 3443271
- [HS17] ———, *The analytic torsion of the finite metric cone over a compact manifold*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **69** (2017), no. 1, 311–371. MR 3597557
- [Hun80] Thomas W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 73, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980, Reprint of the 1974 original. MR 600654
- [KW06] F. Kirwan and J. Woolf, *An introduction to intersection homology theory*, second ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006. MR 2207421
- [Les13] M. Lesch, *A gluing formula for the analytic torsion on singular spaces*, Anal. PDE **6** (2013), no. 1, 221–256. MR 3068545
- [LR91] J. Lott and M. Rothenberg, *Analytic torsion for group actions*, J. Differential Geom. **34** (1991), no. 2, 431–481. MR 1131439
- [Lüc93] W. Lück, *Analytic and topological torsion for manifolds with boundary and symmetry*, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 2, 263–322. MR 1205447
- [Lud18] Ursula Ludwig, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller to spaces with isolated conical singularities*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **356** (2018), no. 3, 327–332. MR 3767605
- [Mar11] Vladimir A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications*, revised ed., AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2011. MR 2798059
- [Mas91] W. S. Massey, *A basic course in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR 1095046
- [Mil62] J. Milnor, *A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 137–147. MR 141115
- [Mil66] ———, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426. MR 196736
- [Mit90] W. J. R. Mitchell, *Defining the boundary of a homology manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 2, 509–513. MR 1019276
- [Mun84] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of algebraic topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menlo Park, CA, 1984. MR 755006

- [Mur84] J. D. Murray, *Asymptotic analysis*, second ed., Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR 740864
- [MV12] Rafe Mazzeo and Boris Vertman, *Analytic torsion on manifolds with edges*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1000–1040. MR 2955200
- [MV14] W. Müller and B. Vertman, *The metric anomaly of analytic torsion on manifolds with conical singularities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), no. 1, 146–191. MR 3169782
- [Nag82] M. Nagase, *de Rham-Hodge theory on a manifold with cone-like singularities*, Kodai Math. J. **5** (1982), no. 1, 38–64. MR 659367
- [Olv97] Frank W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, AKP Classics, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1997, Reprint of the 1974 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0435697 (55 #8655)]. MR 1429619
- [Pfa17] Jonathan Pfaff, *A gluing formula for the analytic torsion on hyperbolic manifolds with cusps*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **16** (2017), no. 4, 673–743. MR 3680342
- [Pic92] R. A. Piccinini, *Lectures on homotopy theory*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 171, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992. MR 1150242
- [Rot88] Joseph J. Rotman, *An introduction to algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 119, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988. MR 957919
- [RS71] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 145–210. MR 295381
- [RS79] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1979, Scattering theory. MR 529429
- [Sch95] G. Schwarz, *Hodge decomposition—a method for solving boundary value problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1607, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR 1367287
- [Sie83] P. H. Siegel, *Witt spaces: a geometric cycle theory for KO-homology at odd primes*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), no. 5, 1067–1105. MR 714770
- [Spa95] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, [1995?], Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. MR 1325242
- [Spr05] M. Spreafico, *Zeta function and regularized determinant on a disc and on a cone*, J. Geom. Phys. **54** (2005), no. 3, 355–371. MR 2139088
- [Spr06] ———, *Zeta invariants for sequences of spectral type, special functions and the Lerch formula*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **136** (2006), no. 4, 863–887. MR 2250451
- [Spr12] ———, *Zeta determinant for double sequences of spectral type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), no. 6, 1881–1896. MR 2888176
- [Spr18] Mauro Spreafico, *Euler isomorphism, Euler basis, and Reidemeister torsion*, Mosc. Math. J. **18** (2018), no. 3, 517–555. MR 3860849
- [Spr19] M. Spreafico, *Zeta determinant for Sturm-Liouville operators*, preprint, 2019.
- [Tes12] G. Teschl, *Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 140, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. MR 2961944
- [Ver09] B. Vertman, *Analytic torsion of a bounded generalized cone*, Comm. Math. Phys. **290** (2009), no. 3, 813–860. MR 2525641
- [Ver12] ———, *The metric anomaly of analytic torsion at the boundary of an even dimensional cone*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **41** (2012), no. 1, 61–90. MR 2860397
- [Ver19] Boris Vertman, *Cheeger-Müller theorem on manifolds with cusps*, Math. Z. **291** (2019), no. 3-4, 761–819. MR 3936089
- [Vis95] S. M. Vishik, *Generalized Ray-Singer conjecture. I. A manifold with a smooth boundary*, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), no. 1, 1–102. MR 1316501
- [Wei80] J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980, Translated from the German by Joseph Szücs. MR 566954
- [Whi78] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. MR 516508

- [Yos95] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, Reprint of the sixth (1980) edition. MR 1336382
- [You94] B. Youssin,  $L^p$  cohomology of cones and horns, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994), no. 3, 559–603. MR 1274131
- [Zet05] A. Zettl, *Sturm-Liouville theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 121, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR 2170950

UFSCar, Universidade Federal de So Carlos, São Carlos, Brazil.  
*E-mail address:* hartmann@dm.ufscar.br

(Mauro Spreafico) Department of mathematics and physics, Università del Salento, and INFN Lecce, Italy  
*E-mail address:* mauro.spreafico@unisalento.it