

Two types of alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains and their field-induced transitions in ϵ -LiVOPO₄

Prashanta K. Mukharjee,¹ K. M. Ranjith,² M. Baenitz,² Y. Skourski,³ A. A. Tsirlin,^{4,*} and R. Nath^{1,†}

¹*School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram-695551, India*

²*Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Str. 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany*

³*Dresden High Magnetic Field Laboratory (HLD-EMFL),*

Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01314 Dresden, Germany

⁴*Experimental Physics VI, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism,*

Institute of Physics, University of Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

(Dated: April 27, 2022)

Thermodynamic properties, ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements, and density-functional band-structure calculations for ϵ -LiVOPO₄ are reported. This quantum magnet features a singlet ground state and comprises two types of alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains that manifest themselves by the double maxima in the susceptibility and magnetic specific heat, and by the two-step magnetization process with an intermediate $\frac{1}{2}$ -plateau. From thermodynamic data and band-structure calculations, we estimate the leading couplings of $J_1 \simeq 20$ K and $J_2 \simeq 60$ K and the alternation ratios of $\alpha_1 = J'_1/J_1 \simeq 0.6$ and $\alpha_2 = J'_2/J_2 \simeq 0.3$ within the two chains, respectively. The zero-field spin gap $\Delta_0/k_B \simeq 7.3$ K probed by thermodynamic and NMR measurements is caused by the J_1 - J'_1 spin chains and can be closed in the applied field of $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T, giving rise to a field-induced long-range order. The NMR data reveal predominant three-dimensional spin-spin correlations at low temperatures. Field-induced magnetic ordering transition observed above H_{c1} is attributed to the Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons in the sublattice formed by the J_1 - J'_1 chains with weaker exchange couplings.

I. INTRODUCTION

Field-induced quantum phase transitions in magnets set a link between fermionic spin systems and lattice boson gas [1–3]. In this context, spin-dimer compounds possessing a gap in the excitation spectrum are extensively studied [2, 3]. Their triplet excitations (triplons) are equivalent to lattice bosons and can be tuned by applying magnetic field. Gap closing in the applied field will usually lead to magnetic ordering that can be understood as Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of triplons [2, 4–6]. If interactions between the dimers are one-dimensional (1D) in nature, one further expects a non-Fermi-liquid-type Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquid (TLL) state realized at intermediate temperatures before the BEC state is reached [7–10].

The ground-state spin configuration in the applied field may also depend on the delicate balance between the kinetic energy and repulsive interaction of the triplons or $S_z = +1$ bosons [11]. The dominance of repulsive interaction would lead to the formation of superlattices, which result in magnetization plateaus [12, 13]. This has been experimentally verified in the celebrated Shastry-Sutherland compound SrCu₂(BO₃)₂ [14, 15]. On the other hand, when the kinetic energy dominates over repulsive interactions, the triplons become delocalized, and the ground state is a superposition of singlet-triplet states, which can be approximated as a BEC of triplons.

The phenomenon of BEC has been studied in detail for spin-dimer compounds TICuCl₃ [16], BaCuSi₂O₆ [17], (Ba,Sr)₃Cr₂O₈ [18, 19], etc. The transition from TLL as a 1D quantum critical state to the three-dimensional (3D) BEC state has often been observed in quasi-1D spin systems e.g. spin-1/2 ladders (C₇H₁₀N)₂CuBr₄ [20–22] and (C₅H₁₂N)₂CuBr₄ [7, 10, 23] and spin-1/2 alternating spin chains Cu(NO₃)₂·2.5D₂O and F₅PNN [8, 9]. Thus, quasi-1D spin gap materials provide ample opportunities to tune the spin gap and study the field-induced quantum phase transitions.

The V⁴⁺-based compounds offer an excellent playground to study gapped quantum magnets and related phenomena. Several of these compounds were already reported in the past in the context of spin gap physics [24–27]. Recently, we studied magnetic properties of the AVOXO₄ series ($A = \text{Na, Ag; } X = \text{P, As}$), where all compounds were found to host alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains not matching the structural chains of the VO₆ octahedra [28–31]. In these systems, long-range superexchange couplings via two oxygen atoms play a central role. They are highly tunable and allow the variation of the zero-field spin gap Δ_0/k_B from 21 K in NaVOAsO₄ to ~ 2 K in NaVOPO₄. External magnetic field closes the gap and leads to a field-induced magnetic transition, which is explained in terms of the triplon BEC [28, 32].

Herein, we report ground-state properties of the chemically similar, but structurally different LiVOPO₄. Unlike the AVOXO₄ compounds, which are all monoclinic ($P2_1/c$), LiVOPO₄ crystallizes in several polymorphs with different symmetries and atomic arrangements [33, 34]. We shall focus on the triclinic ϵ -LiVOPO₄ ($P\bar{1}$) that can be seen as a distorted version of monoclinic

* altsirlin@gmail.com

† rnath@iisertvm.ac.in

TABLE I. Atomic distances and bond angles along the superexchange paths involving P(1) and P(2) in the two alternating spin chains of ε -LiVOPO₄ at 300 K to highlight the coupling of P atoms with V atoms.

Site	Bond Length (Å)	Angle (deg.)
P(1)	V(1)-O(1) = 1.96	
	O(1)-P(1) = 1.53	
	P(1)-O(2) = 1.54	$\angle V(1)-O(1)-O(2) = 152.16$
	O(2)-V(1) = 1.97	$\angle V(1)-O(2)-O(1) = 109.37$
	V(2)-O(7) = 1.98	$\angle V(2)-O(8)-O(7) = 140.38$
	O(7)-P(1) = 1.53	$\angle V(2)-O(7)-O(8) = 124.64$
	P(1)-O(8) = 1.53	Average value $\simeq 131.63$
	O(8)-V(2) = 2.01	
P(2)	V(1)-O(3) = 1.96	
	O(3)-P(2) = 1.53	
	P(2)-O(4) = 1.55	$\angle V(1)-O(4)-O(3) = 118.59$
	O(4)-V(1) = 2.02	$\angle V(1)-O(3)-O(4) = 149.06$
	V(2)-O(9) = 1.98	$\angle V(2)-O(9)-O(10) = 134.87$
	O(9)-P(2) = 1.53	$\angle V(2)-O(10)-O(9) = 132.66$
	P(2)-O(10) = 1.52	Average value $\simeq 133.79$
	O(10)-V(2) = 1.94	

AVOXO₄ [35] and has been actively studied in the context of battery research [36–40], but not as a quantum magnet. In its crystal structure, each of the Li, V, and P reside at two nonequivalent sites, whereas the O atoms have ten nonequivalent sites. The magnetic V⁴⁺ ions form chains of the VO₆ octahedra with the alternation of V1 and V2, leading to two alternating V–V distances of 3.599 and 3.629 Å along these structural chains (Fig. 1).

Assuming that strongest magnetic interactions run along the structural chains, one expects the magnetic behavior of alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains that was indeed proposed by Onoda and Ikeda [41] who reported, among others [36, 40], magnetic susceptibility of ε -LiVOPO₄. On the other hand, our recent results for the monoclinic AVOXO₄ compounds suggest that spin chains may not coincide with the structural chains, because leading interactions occur through the double bridges of the XO₄ tetrahedra. In this case, ε -LiVOPO₄ should feature two types of alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains, one formed by V(1) and the other one formed by V(2), each with different interactions and different spin gaps (Fig. 1). Below, we report experimental fingerprints of these two nonequivalent spin chains and thus directly confirm the proposed microscopic scenario. Moreover, we detect a field-induced phase transition, which is reminiscent of triplon BEC.

II. METHODS

Polycrystalline sample of ε -LiVOPO₄ was prepared by the conventional solid-state reaction method from stoichiometric mixtures of LiPO₃ and VO₂ (Aldrich,

99.995%). LiPO₃ was obtained by heating LiH₂PO₄·H₂O (Aldrich, 99.995%) for 4 h at 400 °C in air. The reactants were ground thoroughly, pelletized, and fired at 740 °C for two days in flowing argon atmosphere with two intermediate grindings. Phase purity of the sample was confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) recorded at room temperature using a PANalytical powder diffractometer (CuK_α radiation, $\lambda_{\text{avg}} \simeq 1.5418$ Å). Rietveld refinement of the acquired data was performed using FULLPROF software package [42] taking the initial cell parameters from Ref. [43]. The low-temperature XRD data down to 15 K were recorded using a low-temperature attachment (Oxford Phenix) to the x-ray diffractometer.

Magnetization (M) was measured as a function of temperature ($2 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 380 \text{ K}$) using the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) attachment to the Physical Property Measurement System [PPMS, Quantum Design]. A ³He attachment to the SQUID [MPMS-7T, Quantum Design] magnetometer was used for magnetization measurements in the low-temperature range ($0.5 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 2 \text{ K}$). Specific heat (C_p) as a function of temperature was measured down to 0.35 K using the thermal relaxation technique in PPMS under magnetic fields up to 14 T. For $T \leq 2 \text{ K}$, measurements were performed using an additional ³He attachment to PPMS. High-field magnetization was measured in pulsed magnetic field up to 60 T at the Dresden High Magnetic Field Laboratory [44, 45].

The NMR experiments on the ³¹P nucleus (nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ and gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma/2\pi = 17.235 \text{ MHz/T}$) were carried out using pulsed NMR technique in the temperature range $1.6 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 230 \text{ K}$. The ³¹P NMR spectra as a function of temperature were obtained either by sweeping the field at a fixed frequency or by taking the Fourier transform (FT) of the echo signal, keeping the magnetic field fixed. The NMR shift $K(T) = [H_{\text{ref}} - H(T)]/H(T)$ was determined by measuring the resonant field $H(T)$ of the sample with respect to the standard H₃PO₄ sample (resonance frequency H_{ref}). The ³¹P nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate ($1/T_1$) was measured using the inversion recovery technique at different temperatures. Details of the positions of both P sites with respect to the magnetic V⁴⁺ centers are given in Table I.

Density-functional (DFT) band-structure calculations were performed in the FPLO code [46] using experimental crystal structure from Ref. [47] and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) flavor of the exchange-correlation potential [48]. Exchange couplings were obtained within superexchange theory or by a mapping procedure [49] using total energies of collinear spin configurations calculated within DFT+ U , where the on-site Coulomb repulsion $U_d = 5 \text{ eV}$ and Hund’s coupling $J_d = 1 \text{ eV}$ [50, 51] were used to account for strong correlations in the V $3d$ shell. All calculations are performed for the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ k -mesh. To resolve all pertinent exchange couplings, the $(\mathbf{a}_t + \mathbf{c}_t) \times \mathbf{b}_t \times (\mathbf{a}_t - \mathbf{c}_t)$, $\mathbf{a}_t \times 2\mathbf{b}_t \times \mathbf{c}_t$, and $(\mathbf{a}_t + \mathbf{b}_t) \times 2\mathbf{b}_t \times \mathbf{c}_t$ supercells were used, where \mathbf{a}_t , \mathbf{b}_t , and \mathbf{c}_t are lattice vectors of the triclinic unit cell given in Ref. [47].




FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of ϵ -LiVOPO₄ projected onto the ac -plane. The deep blue and light blue solid circles represent the V(1) and V(2) sites, respectively. Chain 1 with the couplings J_1 and J_1' is formed by V(1) and chain 2 is formed by V(2) atoms with the couplings J_2 and J_2' via the extended V-O...O-V paths. These chains are nearly orthogonal to each other, whereas the structural chains are parallel comprising both V1 and V2 atoms at the same time. These chains run perpendicular to the ac -plane. (b) A segment of the chain 1 formed by the V(1)O₆ octahedra with the intrachain couplings J_1 and J_1' . The distances d_1 and d_1' are the lateral displacements of the VO₆ octahedra in chain 1. (c) A section of the structural chain with the V(1)-O-V(2) paths along the b -axis. (d) An empirical/qualitative sketch of the spin model with all possible exchange interactions involving chain 1 (J_1 , J_1') and chain 2 (J_2 , J_2').

III. RESULTS

A. X-ray Diffraction

In order to confirm the phase purity and to study the temperature variation of the crystal structure, the powder XRD patterns are analyzed for $15 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 300 \text{ K}$. The XRD patterns at two end temperatures, $T = 300 \text{ K}$ and 15 K , along with the refinement are shown in Fig. 2. At room temperature, all the peaks could be indexed based on the triclinic (space group: $P\bar{1}$) structure, implying phase purity of the sample. The refined lattice parameters [$a = 6.729(1) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 7.194(1) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 7.920(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 89.82(2)^\circ$, $\beta = 91.2288(2)^\circ$, and $\gamma = 116.8799(2)^\circ$] at room temperature are in good agreement with the previous report [43]. Identical XRD patterns with no extra peaks are observed in the whole measured temperature range, which excludes any structural phase transition or lattice deformation in ϵ -LiVOPO₄, unlike other spin gap compounds NaTiSi₂O₆ [52, 53], CuGeO₃ [54], NaV₂O₅ [55], and K-TCNQ [56].

The variation of both lattice parameters and unit cell volume (V_{cell}) as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. 3. The cell parameters b and c decrease systematically, while the rest of the parameters (a , α , β , and γ) rise with decreasing temperature. However, the overall unit cell volume shrinks upon cooling. The temperature

variation of V_{cell} was fitted by the equation [57, 58]

$$V_{\text{cell}}(T) = \gamma U(T)/K_0 + V_0, \quad (1)$$

where V_0 is the unit cell volume at $T = 0 \text{ K}$, K_0 is the bulk modulus, and γ is the Grüneisen parameter. The internal energy $U(T)$ can be expressed in terms of the Debye approximation as

$$U(T) = 9pk_{\text{B}}T \left(\frac{T}{\theta_{\text{D}}} \right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_{\text{D}}/T} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx. \quad (2)$$

In the above, p stands for the total number of atoms in the unit cell and k_{B} is the Boltzmann constant. The best fit of the data down to 15 K [see Fig. 3(c)] was obtained with the Debye temperature $\theta_{\text{D}} \simeq 530 \text{ K}$, $\frac{\gamma}{K_0} \simeq 5.78 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, and $V_0 \simeq 340.5 \text{ \AA}^3$.

B. Magnetization

The temperature-dependent bulk magnetic susceptibility χ ($\equiv M/H$) of ϵ -LiVOPO₄ measured in an applied field of $\mu_0 H = 0.5 \text{ T}$ is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4. It is consistent with earlier reports [36, 40, 41] and exhibits a very broad maximum with two shoulders at around $T_{\chi}^{\text{max}1} \simeq 11 \text{ K}$ and $T_{\chi}^{\text{max}2} \simeq 27 \text{ K}$. Such a




FIG. 2. X-ray powder diffraction patterns of ε -LiVOPO₄ at two different temperatures ($T = 300$ K and 15 K). The solid lines denote the Rietveld refinement fit of the data. The Bragg-peak positions are indicated by green vertical bars and bottom blue line indicates the difference between the experimental and calculated intensities.

broad maximum should not be mistaken with a magnetic transition [40] and mimics the short-range ordering of a low-dimensional quantum magnet. However, already the fact that two shoulders are observed in the susceptibility indicates the presence of two nonequivalent spin chains in ε -LiVOPO₄. Since peak position is related to the intrachain exchange coupling [59], we can estimate relative strengths of the interactions in the two chains, $\bar{J}_2/\bar{J}_1 \simeq 2.45$, where $\bar{J}_1 = (J_1 + J'_1)/2$ and $\bar{J}_2 = (J_2 + J'_2)/2$ are average couplings in the chain 1 and chain 2, respectively. The susceptibility alone does not give information on which of the chains features stronger couplings, but our DFT calculations (Sec. III E) suggest that stronger interactions occur within chain 2.

Below $T_\chi^{\max 1}$, χ decreases rapidly suggesting the opening of a spin gap. However, below 2 K, a large upturn is observed, which can be attributed to the effect of extrinsic paramagnetic contributions. As shown in the inset of the upper panel of Fig. 4, with the application of magnetic field this low-temperature Curie tail gets sup-




FIG. 3. Lattice parameters (a) a , (b) b , (c) c , (d) α , (e) β , and (f) γ vs temperature. The unit cell volume (V_{cell}) is plotted as a function of temperature in right y -axis of (c) and the solid line represents the fit using Eq. (1).

pressed. Moreover, our powder XRD suggests high purity of the sample. Therefore, this low-temperature upturn in $\chi(T)$ may be due to the uncorrelated V^{4+} free spins or chain-end effects that largely affect $\chi(T)$ at low temperatures [60].

To extract the magnetic parameters, we first fitted the $1/\chi(T)$ data (see the lower panel of Fig. 4) above 150 K by the modified Curie-Weiss (CW) law,

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T - \theta_{\text{CW}}}, \quad (3)$$

where χ_0 represents the temperature-independent susceptibility, which includes the Van Vleck paramagnetic and core diamagnetic contributions, C is the Curie constant, and θ_{CW} is the CW temperature. The resulting fitting parameters are $\chi_0 \simeq 7.76 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol-V}^{4+}$, $C \simeq 0.383 \text{ cm}^3\text{K}/\text{mol-V}^{4+}$, and $\theta_{\text{CW}} \simeq -13.4$ K. Negative value of θ_{CW} indicates that the dominant interactions between the V^{4+} spins are antiferromagnetic (AFM) in nature. The effective moment was calculated by using the experimental value of C in the relation $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{3k_B C/N_A}$, where N_A is the Avogadro's number. The calculated value of $\mu_{\text{eff}} \simeq 1.72\mu_B/V^{4+}$ is very close to the theoretical spin-only value [$\mu_{\text{eff}} = g\sqrt{S(S+1)} \simeq 1.73\mu_B$] for $S = 1/2$, assuming the Landé g factor $g = 2$. The experimental value of μ_{eff} corresponds to a slightly reduced g value of $g \simeq 1.98$ which is identical to the case of other V^{4+} -based compounds [28, 31, 61]. The core diamagnetic susceptibility (χ_{core}) of ε -LiVOPO₄ was estimated to be $-6.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ by adding the χ_{core} of the individual ions Li^{1+} , V^{4+} , P^{5+} , and O^{2-} [62]. The Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility (χ_{VV}) of $\sim 14.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ is obtained by subtracting χ_{core} from χ_0 .




FIG. 4. Upper panel: $\chi(T)$ measured in $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T. The two shoulders of the broad maximum are indicated by the vertical arrows. Inset: low- T $\chi(T)$ measured in different applied fields. Lower panel: $1/\chi$ vs T and the solid line is the CW fit using Eq. (3).

Two alternating spin chains with different exchange parameters should also manifest themselves in the magnetization process. Indeed, experimental magnetization curve measured at the base temperature of $T = 1.5$ K (Fig. 5) shows a kink due to the gap closing at $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T that corresponds to the spin gap of $\Delta_0^M/k_B = g\mu_0\mu_B H_{c1}/k_B \simeq 7.3$ K. At $\mu_0 H_{c2} \simeq 25$ T, half of the spins are saturated, with the $\frac{1}{2}$ -plateau observed up to $\mu_0 H_{c3} \simeq 35$ T. At even higher fields, the remaining spins are gradually polarized with nearly 80% of the saturation magnetization reached at 58 T, the highest field of our experiment.

The two-step increase of the magnetization with the spin gap and intermediate $\frac{1}{2}$ -plateau is common for dimers built by two spin-1 ions [63]. However, ε -LiVOPO₄ contains spins- $\frac{1}{2}$, so this behavior should have a different origin. We infer that at $\mu_0 H_{c1}$ the spin gap corresponding to chain 1 is closed. This chain is fully polarized at $\mu_0 H_{c2}$, whereas at $\mu_0 H_{c3}$ the gap corresponding to chain 2 is closed, and the magnetization increases further. Such a scenario is confirmed by our quantitative analysis in Sec. III E.




FIG. 5. Magnetization vs field measured at $T = 1.5$ K using pulsed magnetic field. Inset: dM/dH vs H to highlight the critical fields H_{c1} , H_{c2} , and H_{c3} .

C. Specific heat

The specific-heat (C_p) data measured under zero field are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 6. No sharp anomaly/peak was noticed down to $T = 0.35$ K, thus ruling out the possibility of any magnetic or structural transition. A broad hump associated with low-dimensional short-range order is observed around $T_C^{\max} \simeq 6$ K which moves weakly toward low temperatures with increasing magnetic field (see the inset of the lower panel of Fig. 6), reflecting the closing of the spin gap. The gap was estimated by fitting the data below 4 K with the activated behavior, $C_{\text{mag}} \propto \exp(-\Delta_0^C/k_B T)$. The fit, as illustrated in the inset of the upper panel of Fig. 6, returns the zero-field spin gap of $\Delta_0^C/k_B \simeq 7.3$ K that matches nicely the value obtained from the high-field magnetization data.

Typically, in magnetic insulators, the high-temperature part of C_p is dominated by the phonon contribution, whereas the magnetic contribution becomes prominent at low temperatures. To estimate the phonon contribution (C_{ph}), the experimental data at high temperatures ($60 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 110 \text{ K}$) were fitted by a linear combination of three Debye functions [64]

$$C_{\text{ph}}(T) = 9R \sum_{n=1}^3 c_n \left(\frac{T}{\theta_{Dn}} \right)^3 \int_0^{\frac{\theta_{Dn}}{T}} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx. \quad (4)$$

In the above, R is the universal gas constant, the coefficients c_n stand for the groups of different atoms present in the crystal, and θ_{Dn} are the corresponding Debye temperatures. The C_{ph} was extrapolated down to low temperatures and subtracted from the total specific heat to obtain the magnetic contribution to the specific heat (C_{mag}). The obtained $C_{\text{mag}}(T)$ is presented in the upper




FIG. 6. Upper panel: specific heat C_p vs T of ε -LiVOPO₄ in zero applied field. The dashed line stands for the phonon contribution to the specific heat (C_{ph}) using Debye fit [Eq. (4)]. The solid line is the magnetic contribution to the specific heat (C_{mag}). Inset: C_{mag} vs T in the low-temperature region. The solid line is an exponential fit as described in the text. Lower panel: C_{mag}/T and S_{mag} vs T in the left and right y -axes, respectively. Inset: C_p/T vs T in different magnetic fields in the low-temperature regime.

panel of Fig. 6. The accuracy of the above fitting procedure was further verified by calculating the magnetic entropy S_{mag} obtained by integrating C_{mag}/T (see the lower panel of Fig. 6). The value of S_{mag} is calculated to be ~ 5.9 J/mol K at $T \simeq 100$ K, which is close to $S_{mag} = R \ln 2 = 5.76$ J/mol K expected for spin $\frac{1}{2}$.

As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 6, C_{mag} develops two broad maxima at $T_C^{max1} \simeq 7$ K and $T_C^{max2} \simeq 27$ K, similar to the two shoulders in the $\chi(T)$ data. The clear separation of these maxima indicates the different interaction strength in chains 1 and 2.

With the spin gap closed around $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T, the system may enter a long-range order (LRO) state. This state is indeed observed in the specific-heat data measured above $\mu_0 H_{c1}$. As shown in Fig. 7, no anomaly in C_p is found down to $T = 0.35$ K and up to $\mu_0 H = 5$ T. However, for $\mu_0 H > 7$ T a clear anomaly appears indicating the onset of a field-induced magnetic LRO (T_N). This peak shifts toward higher temperature with increasing magnetic field.




FIG. 7. C_p/T vs T measured in different applied magnetic fields up to 14 T.

D. ³¹P NMR

As mentioned previously, $\chi(T)$ does not show an exponential decrease at low temperatures anticipated for a gapped spin system, and may be influenced by extrinsic contributions. To access the intrinsic susceptibility of ε -LiVOPO₄, we performed NMR measurements on the ³¹P nuclei.

1. NMR Shift

Figure 8 presents the field-sweep ³¹P NMR spectra measured over a wide temperature range. At high temperatures, a narrow and symmetric spectral line typical for a $I = 1/2$ nucleus is observed. As the temperature is lowered, the line shape becomes asymmetric, followed by a complete splitting of the two spectral lines below about 120 K. This suggests the existence of two nonequivalent P sites, P(1) and P(2), with a different crystallographic environment, which is consistent with the structural data (Table I). Both lines shift with temperature and merge below about 4 K. The absence of drastic line broadening and/or line splitting down to 1.6 K, except for the one caused by the two nonequivalent P sites, rules out the occurrence of any structural and magnetic transitions. The line with a lower intensity shifts more strongly than the one with the higher intensity. The former can be assigned to P(2) and the latter to P(1), because the P(2)O₄ tetrahedra mediate stronger exchange interactions, J_1 in chain 1 and J_2 in chain 2, whereas the P(1)O₄ tetrahedra mediate weaker interactions J'_1 and J'_2 , respectively (see Sec. III E). At $T = 1.6$ K, the position of the peak is very close to the zero shift value suggesting that the ground state of ε -LiVOPO₄ is nonmagnetic.

The temperature-dependent NMR shift $K(T)$ for both ³¹P sites was extracted by fitting each spectrum to a sum




FIG. 8. Typical ^{31}P field-sweep NMR spectra of $\varepsilon\text{-LiVOPO}_4$ measured at 24.96 MHz at different temperatures. The dashed lines track the shifting of NMR line positions. The vertical line corresponds to the ^{31}P reference line (H_{ref}) measured for H_3PO_4 . The solid line is the fit of the spectra at $T = 50$ K using a double Gaussian function.

of two Gaussian functions. The results are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 9. One advantage of the NMR shift over the bulk $\chi(T)$ is that the Curie-Weiss term due to foreign phases and/or defects does not appear. The randomly distributed defects/impurities only broaden the NMR line but do not contribute to the NMR shift [65]. Therefore, $K(T)$ is more favorable than bulk $\chi(T)$ data for a reliable determination of magnetic parameters.

The $K(T)$'s corresponding to the P(1) and P(2) sites pass through a very broad maximum, similar to the $\chi(T)$ data. The overall temperature dependence is similar for P(1) and P(2), but the absolute values differ due to the different hyperfine couplings. The presence of several distinct P sites in the structure is reminiscent of the ambient-pressure polymorph of $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ with its two non-equivalent alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains. In that case, different phosphorous sites probe the behavior of different spin chains in the structure [25, 66]. In contrast, each of the P sites in $\varepsilon\text{-LiVOPO}_4$ is coupled to both spin chains, so it is not possible to probe $K(T)$ separately. Two distinct shoulders are observed in $K(T)$ at $T_K^{\text{max}1} \simeq 10$ K and $T_K^{\text{max}2} \simeq 26$ K and closely resemble bulk magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 4).

At low temperatures, both shifts decrease rapidly to-




FIG. 9. Upper panel: temperature-dependent ^{31}P NMR shift $K(T)$ measured at 24.96 MHz as a function of temperature for both P(1) and P(2) sites. Lower panel: K vs χ (measured at 1.5 T) with temperature as an implicit parameter. The solid lines are the linear fits.

ward zero, suggesting the opening of a spin gap between the singlet ($S = 0$) ground state and triplet ($S = 1$) excited states. As NMR shift is insensitive to the impurities and defects, one can use it to accurately measure the intrinsic spin susceptibility. In the upper panel of Fig. 9, we show that for both P sites, $K(T)$ decreases toward zero, which is in contrast to the upturn observed in the low-temperature $\chi(T)$ data. This confirms the extrinsic nature of the low-temperature upturn observed in $\chi(T)$. In powder samples, the defects often break spin chains, with the unpaired spins at the ends of finite chains giving rise to the staggered magnetization, which also appears as the low-temperature Curie tail in $\chi(T)$.

The direct relation between $K(T)$ and spin susceptibility χ_{spin} can be written as

$$K(T) = K_0 + \frac{A_{\text{hf}}}{N_A \mu_B} \chi_{\text{spin}}, \quad (5)$$

where K_0 is the temperature-independent NMR shift and A_{hf} is the total hyperfine coupling constant between the ^{31}P nuclei and V^{4+} spins. The A_{hf} consists of the contributions due to transferred hyperfine coupling and nuclear dipolar coupling constants. Since both of the aforementioned couplings are temperature-independent, $K(T)$ is a direct measure of χ_{spin} . Using Eq. (5), A_{hf} can be cal-




FIG. 10. Upper panel: K vs T for both P(1) and P(2) sites. The solid lines are the fits below 5 K by $K = K_0 + mT^{(d/2-1)}e^{-\Delta/k_B T}$, fixing $\Delta/k_B \simeq 5.5$ K. Lower panel: $(K - K_0)e^{5.5/T}$ vs T is shown in the low-temperature regime. The solid lines are the fits with $d \simeq 2.83$ and 2.73 for the P(1) and P(2) sites, respectively.

culated from the slope of the linear K vs χ plot with temperature as an implicit parameter. The lower panel of Fig. 9 presents the K vs χ plots for both P sites showing linear behavior at high temperatures. From the linear fit, the hyperfine coupling constants $A_{\text{hf}}^{\text{P}(1)} \simeq 3290$ Oe/ μ_B and $A_{\text{hf}}^{\text{P}(2)} \simeq 7068$ Oe/ μ_B are estimated for the P(1) and P(2) sites, respectively. Thus, the P(2) site is coupled with the V^{4+} ions twice stronger than the P(1) site. These values are comparable to the A_{hf} values reported for other spin chains with similar interaction geometry [28, 67, 68].

It is also possible to estimate the value of spin gap and the effective lattice dimensionality (d) by analyzing the low-temperature $K(T)$ data. Typically, the non-negligible interchain couplings are inevitably present in real materials and significantly influence the $K(T)$ data at low temperatures. For a d -dimensional system, the susceptibility at $k_B T \ll \Delta$ can be approximated as [69]

$$\chi_d \propto T^{(d/2)-1} \times e^{-\Delta/k_B T}. \quad (6)$$




FIG. 11. Upper panel: recovery of the longitudinal magnetization as a function of waiting time t at three different temperatures for the P(1) site. Solid lines are the fits using Eq. (7). Lower panel: temperature variation of $1/T_1$ measured in different magnetic fields. For $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T, measurements are done on both P(1) and P(2) sites while for other fields, only P(1) site is probed. The solid line represents the fit using $1/T_1 \propto T^\alpha$ to the 10 T data at low temperatures with $\alpha \simeq -0.6$.

Our NMR experiments were carried out in the magnetic field of $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T. Assuming a linear variation of Δ/k_B with H , the zero-field spin gap $\Delta_0/k_B \simeq 7.3$ K determined from the specific heat and magnetization is expected to be reduced to $\Delta_{1.4 \text{ T}}/k_B \simeq 5.5$ K at $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T. In the upper panel of Fig. 10, the $K(T)$ data below 5 K are fitted by $K = K_0 + mT^{(d/2-1)}e^{-\Delta/k_B T}$, fixing $\Delta/k_B \simeq 5.5$ K where m is a proportionality constant. In order to highlight the low-temperature linear regime and the fit, in the lower panel of Fig. 10, we have plotted $(K - K_0)e^{5.5/T}$ vs T in the log-log plot. The fit in this region returns $K_0 \simeq 0.04694\%$, $m \simeq 0.4654\%/K^{1/2}$, and $d \simeq 2.83$ for the P(1) site and $K_0 \simeq 0.0462\%$, $m \simeq 1.1663\%/K^{1/2}$, and $d \simeq 2.73$ for the P(2) site. The average value of $d \simeq 2.78$, which is very close to 3, suggests the dominant role of 3D spin-spin correlations at low temperatures. Indeed, we find rather strong interchain couplings from DFT (Sec. III E).

2. Spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$

The spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ measures the dynamic susceptibility, which provides direct access to the low-energy spin excitations or spin-spin correlation function [70]. $1/T_1$ was measured at the central peak position of the spectra at each temperature using an inversion pulse sequence down to $T = 1.6$ K. Since ^{31}P has the nuclear spin $I = 1/2$, the value of T_1 at each temperature was estimated by fitting the recovery curve of the longitudinal magnetization to a single exponential function

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{M(0) - M(t)}{M(0)} \right] = A e^{-(t/T_1)}. \quad (7)$$

Here, $M(t)$ is the nuclear magnetization at a time t after the inversion pulse and $M(0)$ is the equilibrium magnetization. The upper panel of Fig. 11 shows the recovery curves at three different temperatures probed for the P(1) site at $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T. The recovery curves show linearity over one and half decades when the y axis is plotted in log scale. $1/T_1$ was estimated by fitting Eq. (7) in this linear regime.

$1/T_1$ estimated from the above fit is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 11. Our measurements are done at different field values ranging from 1.4 T to 10 T, above and below H_{c1} . For $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T, the measurements are done at both P(1) and P(2) sites and over the whole temperature range, while for other fields only the P(1) site is probed and the measurements are restricted to low temperatures ($T < 30$ K). Since there is a large difference in the magnitude of A_{hf} for the P(1) and P(2) sites, they experience different local magnetic fields induced by the V^{4+} spins. Therefore, it is expected that the resulting temperature-dependent $1/T_1$ will have different values accordingly. Indeed, for $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T, $1/T_1$ of the P(2) site has larger magnitude than that of the P(1) site, as A_{hf} of P(2) is larger than that of P(1). For both the P-sites, $1/T_1$ follows a temperature-independent behavior due to the random fluctuation of the paramagnetic moments at high temperatures [70]. At lower temperatures, $1/T_1$ starts to decrease and below about 10 K it drops rapidly towards zero. The 1.6 K value is almost two orders of magnitude lower than the room-temperature one, indicating the opening of a spin gap. In higher fields, the low-temperature values of $1/T_1$ increase and show an upward curvature.

The spin gap should be closed at H_{c1} and thereafter an AFM LRO sets in. Therefore, we measured $1/T_1$ at different fields above H_{c1} . The increase in the low-temperature values of $1/T_1$ confirms the closing of the spin gap and the growth of 3D AFM correlations due to the field-induced LRO [7]. Since our measurements are limited down to 1.6 K, we are unable to detect the field-induced LRO from the $1/T_1$ data. Nevertheless, the systematic increase of $1/T_1$ with field implies that T_N shifts toward higher temperatures with increasing H , in good agreement with our $C_p(T)$ data, where field-induced LRO is detected above H_{c1} .




FIG. 12. $1/T_1 e^{5.5/T}$ vs T in the log-log plot. The solid lines are the fits, as described in the text with $d \simeq 2.74$ and 3.2 , for the P(1) and P(2) sites, respectively.

The data sets for the P(1) site at various field values exhibit a fanlike pattern in the low-temperature regime. Similar behavior has been reported for spin-1/2 ladder compound $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_{12}\text{N})_2\text{CuBr}_4$ (BPCB) and spin-1 chain compound $\text{NiCl}_2\text{-4SC}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ (DTN) [4]. It is expected that $1/T_1(T)$ data for different fields, around the quantum critical point (QCP) (i.e., around H_{c1}) should follow a power-law behavior, $1/T_1 \propto T^\alpha$. The exponent α should vary across H_{c1} , resulting in a fanlike pattern of the $1/T_1$ data. For instance, in the gapless TLL region ($H > H_{c1}$), the power law has the form $1/T_1 \propto T^{1/(2K)-1}$, with K being the TLL exponent [7, 71]. The value of K increases gradually as one approaches QCP from the TLL regime and reaches the maximum value 1 that corresponds to $\alpha [= 1/(2K) - 1] = -0.5$. In order to test this formalism, we fitted the $1/T_1$ data below 5 K measured at 10 T by the power law. As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 11, our fit yields $\alpha \simeq -0.6$, which is very close to the predicted value ($\alpha = -0.5$) in the TLL regime. This indicates the pronounced 1D character of the compound.

On the other hand, from analyzing the $K(T)$ data in magnetic fields below H_{c1} we already inferred that 3D spin-spin correlations caused by the interchain coupling may be relevant. According to Mukhopadhyay *et al.* [4], in the gapped region ($H \leq H_{c1}$) with 3D correlations $1/T_1$ follows an activated behavior of the form,

$$1/T_1 \propto T^{\alpha_0} \exp \left[\frac{g\mu_B(H - H_{c1})}{k_B T} \right]. \quad (8)$$

The exponent α_0 in the above equation depends on the effective dimension of the magnon dispersion relation as set by the thermal fluctuations $k_B T$. With increasing temperature, α_0 slowly decreases from 2 for $k_B T < J_{3D}$ (3D regime) to 0 for $J_{3D} < k_B T < J_{1D}$ (1D regime). In order to detect the effective dimensionality of the

TABLE II. Exchange couplings in ε -LiVOPO₄. The t_i values are the V–V hoppings extracted from the uncorrelated band structure, and show relative strengths of the AFM contributions to the exchange couplings $J_i^{\text{AFM}} \sim t_i^2$. The J_i are exchange interactions obtained by the mapping procedure within DFT+ U .

	d_{V-V} (Å)		t_i (meV)	J_i (K)
J_1	5.250	V1–V1	–72	33
J'_1	5.101	V1–V1	–55	23
J_2	5.275	V2–V2	–117	63
J'_2	5.303	V2–V2	–78	22
J_{c1}	3.599	V1–V2	0	–15
J_{c2}	3.629	V1–V2	0	–15
J_{a1}	6.018	V1–V2	–21	12
J_{a2}	6.070	V1–V2	–32	7

spin-spin correlations, Eq. (8) was further simplified as $1/T_1 \propto T^{d-1} e^{-\Delta_{1.4\text{T}}/k_B T}$ by putting $\alpha_0 = d - 1$ and $\Delta_{1.4\text{T}}/k_B = g\mu_B(H_{c1} - H)/k_B$ and fitted to the low temperature $1/T_1$ data. The fit for $T \leq 5$ K, taking $\Delta_{1.4\text{T}}/k_B \simeq 5.5$ K results in $d \simeq 2.74$ and 3.2 for the P(1) and P(2) sites, respectively. The average value of $d \simeq 2.97$ is consistent with the value obtained from the $K(T)$ analysis. This further confirms the importance of interchain couplings at low temperatures, where activated behavior is observed. Figure 12 presents the $1/T_1 e^{5.5/T}$ vs T plot for the data measured at $\mu_0 H = 1.4$ T along with the fit using Eq. (8). Both the x and y axes are shown in log scale to highlight the power-law prefactor to the activated behavior, at low temperatures.

E. Microscopic magnetic model

Similar to Refs. [28, 29, 31], we use two complementary computational methods to derive exchange couplings in ε -LiVOPO₄. For a single magnetic orbital of V⁴⁺, superexchange theory yields antiferromagnetic exchange couplings $J_i^{\text{AFM}} = 4t_i^2/U_{\text{eff}}$, where t_i are V–V hoppings extracted from the uncorrelated (PBE) band structure, and U_{eff} is an effective Coulomb repulsion in the V $3d$ bands. On the other hand, exchange couplings J_i can be obtained from DFT+ U by a mapping procedure, where both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic contributions are taken into account.

In Table II, we list the t_i values for the uncorrelated band structure and the exchange couplings J_i obtained from DFT+ U . The two methods are in excellent agreement and consistently show the stronger couplings within chain 2. Moreover, we find that within each spin chain the stronger couplings involve the P(2) bridges and the weaker couplings involve the P(1) bridges. On the structural level, this difference should be traced back to the lateral displacements d_i of the VO₆ octahedra within

TABLE III. Exchange couplings (in K) extracted from the $\chi(T)$ and $M(H)$ data using the fits shown in Fig. 13. The susceptibility fit using Eq. (9) returns $\chi_0 = 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} = 0.013$ cm³K/mol (3.5% of paramagnetic impurities), $\theta_{\text{imp}} = 0.9$ K, and $g = 2.03$. This g value is slightly higher than 1.98 obtained from the Curie-Weiss fit, probably because the interchain couplings were not taken into account. For magnetization data, $g = 1.98$ has been used as a fixed parameter.

	J_1	J'_1	J_2	J'_2
$\chi(T)$	20	12	70	20
$M(H)$	19	12	63	22

the spin chain [Fig. 1(b)], where smaller displacement leads to a stronger coupling [28, 72]. Indeed, we find $d_1 = 0.71$ Å for J_1 vs $d'_1 = 0.97$ Å for J'_1 and $d_2 = 0.08$ Å for J_2 vs $d'_2 = 0.23$ Å for J'_2 . The smaller lateral displacements d_2 and d'_2 could also explain the overall stronger couplings in chain 2, although in this case other geometrical parameters [72] are relevant as well, because J_1 is about as strong as J'_2 , despite the fact that $d_1 > d'_2$.

Regarding the interchain couplings, the microscopic scenario is very similar to that of monoclinic AVOXO₄ with $A = \text{Ag, Na}$ and $X = \text{P, As}$ [28, 29, 31]. Shorter V–O–V bridges render J_{c1} and J_{c2} ferromagnetic, whereas the long-range couplings J_{a1} and J_{a2} are weakly antiferromagnetic. These ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions compete and make the spin lattice of ε -LiVOPO₄ frustrated.

Our DFT results suggest that chain 1 shows only a moderate degree of alternation ($\alpha_1 = J'_1/J_1 \simeq 0.6$) that, together with the lower energy scale of the couplings, leads to a relatively small spin gap closed at H_{c1} . In contrast, the alternation ratio of $\alpha_2 = J'_2/J_2 \simeq 0.3$ renders chain 2 strongly dimerized with a larger spin gap that is closed at the much higher field H_{c3} . The model of two alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains was further used to calculate temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility and field-dependent magnetization of ε -LiVOPO₄ (Fig. 13). For the susceptibility, we used the analytical expression from Ref. [59] augmented by additional terms that account for the temperature-independent (χ_0) and Curie-type impurity contributions,

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C_{\text{imp}}}{T + \theta_{\text{imp}}} + \chi_{\text{ch1}} + \chi_{\text{ch2}}, \quad (9)$$

where χ_{ch1} and χ_{ch2} are susceptibilities of two nonequivalent alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains with the interaction parameters J_1, J'_1 and J_2, J'_2 , respectively (same g factor is used for both chains). Magnetization curve of ε -LiVOPO₄ was modeled by the sum of simulated magnetization curves for the V(1) and V(2) sublattices obtained by the method described in Ref. [31].

The fitting results listed in Table III show good agreement between the fits to the susceptibility and magnetization. They are also consistent with the exchange pa-




FIG. 13. Temperature-dependent susceptibility (top) and field-dependent magnetization (bottom) of ε -LiVOPO₄ fitted using the model of two non-equivalent alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains, as explained in the text. See Table III for the fitting parameters.

rameters calculated by DFT (Table II). We chose not to include interchain couplings into the susceptibility fit, which became ambiguous when more than four exchange parameters were involved. The effect of the interchain couplings can be seen from the fact that for an isolated chain 1 one finds, using J_1 and J'_1 from the susceptibility fit, the zero-field spin gap of 11.3 K, which is somewhat larger than 7.3 K obtained experimentally [73].

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

ε -LiVOPO₄ features two types of alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains that manifest themselves in the double maxima of the susceptibility and magnetic specific heat and in the two-step magnetization process. This unusual microscopic scenario is reminiscent of the ambient-pressure polymorph of (VO)₂P₂O₇ [74], where two spin gaps corresponding to two types of spin chains were directly observed by NMR [25] and inelastic neutron scattering [75]. On the other hand, large size of these gaps (35 K and 68 K, respectively) and high critical fields associated with them preclude experimental access to field-induced transitions, where triplon excitations of the spin chains consecutively condense leading to a long-range magnetic order.

With its lower critical fields, ε -LiVOPO₄ offers a much




FIG. 14. $H - T$ phase diagram of ε -LiVOPO₄ obtained using the data points from $C_p(T)$ measurements. The solid line corresponds to Eq. (10). Inset: T_N vs $(H - H_{c1})^{1/1.5}$ to highlight the low-temperature linear regime and the agreement of the simulated curve with the experimental data points.

better platform for studying these transitions experimentally. Indeed, we observed field-induced magnetic order already above $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T. Transition temperature systematically increases with field and tracks the $H - T$ phase boundary shown in Fig. 14.

The field-induced transition in gapped quantum magnets is often understood as triplon BEC. In this case, the phase boundary close to H_{c1} should follow the universal power law [3, 71, 76],

$$T_N \propto (H - H_{c1})^{\frac{1}{\phi}}, \quad (10)$$

where $\phi = d/2$ is the critical exponent reflecting the universality class of the quantum phase transition at H_{c1} , and d is the lattice dimensionality. In the absence of the low-temperature data immediately above H_{c1} , we simulate the anticipated critical behavior by choosing $d = 3$, $\phi = \frac{3}{2}$, and $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T in Eq. (10). The resulting curves match our data up to 1.2 K (Fig. 14), although this agreement may be partly accidental, because the temperature of 1.2 K is rather high compared to the temperature scale of the BEC transition given by T_N^{\max} at the tip of the BEC dome. Since 14 T is roughly the midpoint between H_{c1} and H_{c2} , we expect $T_N^{\max} \simeq 1.7$ K, and 1.2 K is more than half of this temperature. Further measurements of the phase boundary around H_{c1} and below 0.7 K would be clearly interesting to confirm the tentative BEC critical behavior in ε -LiVOPO₄. Impurity effects witnessed by the low-temperature upturn in the magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 4) may also be important.

The fate of the ordered phase in fields above 14 T is of significant interest too. The two-step increase in the magnetization suggests that the transition at H_{c1} corresponds to chain 1 and will lead to a BEC dome between H_{c1} and

H_{c2} , while chain 2 remains in the singlet state up to H_{c3} , where another BEC dome should appear. Phenomenologically, this behavior would be similar to the two-dome H - T phase diagrams of spin-1 dimer magnets [63], although in ε -LiVOPO₄ with local spin $\frac{1}{2}$ it should have a very different microscopic origin. It does in fact arise from the coexistence of the nonequivalent magnetic sublattices. We also point out that the $\frac{1}{2}$ -magnetization plateau in this compound is not caused by Wigner crystallization and is thus dissimilar to the magnetization plateaus in SrCu₂(BO₃)₂. Because H_{c3} lies above H_{c2} , magnetic orders related to chain 1 and chain 2 should be mostly decoupled. This is different from other systems with multiple spin gaps, where intertwined BEC transitions lead to an unusual critical behavior, as in the dimer magnet BaCuSi₂O₆ [77, 78].

In summary, we have shown that ε -LiVOPO₄ is a gapped quantum magnet that features singlet ground state in zero field. With two non-equivalent alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains, it shows double maxima in the susceptibility and magnetic specific heat and a two-step increase in the magnetization. Chain 1 features weaker couplings and a weaker alternation ($J_1 \simeq 20$ K, $\alpha_1 \simeq 0.6$), whereas

chain 2 reveals stronger couplings and lies closer to the dimer limit ($J_2 \simeq 60$ K, $\alpha_2 \simeq 0.3$). The zero-field spin gap of $\Delta_0/k_B \simeq 7.3$ K is closed at $\mu_0 H_{c1} \simeq 5.6$ T. The magnetization increases up to $\mu_0 H_{c2} \simeq 25$ T, flattens out within the $\frac{1}{2}$ plateau, and increases again above $\mu_0 H_{c3} \simeq 35$ T. The gap closing above H_{c1} leads to a field-induced LRO that can be understood as Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.K.M. and R.N. acknowledge BRNS, India for financial support bearing sanction No.37(3)/14/26/2017-BRNS. We also thank C. Klausnitzer (MPI-CPfS) for the technical support. A.A.T was funded by the Federal Ministry for Education and Research through the Sofja Kovalevskaya Award of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We also acknowledge the support of the HLD at HZDR, member of European Magnetic Field Laboratory (EMFL).

-
- [1] T. Matsubara and H. Matsuda, “A Lattice Model of Liquid Helium, I,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16**, 569 (1956).
- [2] T. Giamarchi, Ch. Rüegg, and O. Tchernyshyov, “Bose-Einstein condensation in magnetic insulators,” *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 198 (2008).
- [3] V. Zapf, M. Jaime, and C. D. Batista, “Bose-Einstein condensation in quantum magnets,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 563 (2014).
- [4] S. Mukhopadhyay, M. Klanjšek, M. S. Grbić, R. Binder, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, M. A. Continentino, A. Paduan-Filho, B. Chiari, and O. Piovesana, “Quantum-critical spin dynamics in quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 177206 (2012).
- [5] S. E. Sebastian, N. Harrison, C. D. Batista, L. Balicas, M. Jaime, P. A. Sharma, N. Kawashima, and I. R. Fisher, “Dimensional reduction at a quantum critical point,” *Nature* **441**, 617 (2006).
- [6] T. Nikuni, M. Oshikawa, A. Oosawa, and H. Tanaka, “Bose-Einstein condensation of dilute magnons in TiCuCl₃,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5868 (2000).
- [7] M. Klanjšek, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, B. Chiari, O. Piovesana, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, E. Orignac, R. Citro, and T. Giamarchi, “Controlling luttinger liquid physics in spin ladders under a magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 137207 (2008).
- [8] T. Matsushita, N. Hori, S. Takata, N. Wada, N. Amaya, and Y. Hosokoshi, “Direct three-dimensional ordering of quasi-one-dimensional quantum dimer system near critical fields,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 020408(R) (2017).
- [9] B. Willenberg, H. Ryll, K. Kiefer, D. A. Tennant, F. Groitl, K. Rolfs, P. Manuel, D. Khalyavin, K. C. Rule, A. U. B. Wolter, and S. Süllow, “Luttinger liquid behavior in the alternating spin-chain system copper nitrate,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 060407(R) (2015).
- [10] B. Thielemann, Ch. Rüegg, K. Kiefer, H. M. Rønnow, B. Normand, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, E. Orignac, R. Citro, T. Giamarchi, A. M. Läuchli, D. Biner, K. W. Krämer, F. Wolff-Fabris, V. S. Zapf, M. Jaime, J. Stahn, N. B. Christensen, B. Grenier, D. F. McMorrow, and J. Mesot, “Field-controlled magnetic order in the quantum spin-ladder system (Hpip)₂CuBr₄,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 020408(R) (2009).
- [11] T. M. Rice, “To condense or not to condense,” *Science* **298**, 760 (2002).
- [12] Y. Narumi, M. Hagiwara, R. Sato, K. Kindo, H. Nakano, and M. Takahashi, “High field magnetization in a $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic chain with bond alternation,” *Physica B: Cond. Mat.* **246**, 509 (1998).
- [13] B. Shiramura, K. Takatsu, B. Kurniawan, H. Tanaka, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi, K. Takizawa, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, “Magnetization plateaus in NH₄CuCl₃,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **67**, 1548 (1998).
- [14] K. Kodama, M. Takigawa, M. Horvatić, C. Berthier, H. Kageyama, Y. Ueda, S. Miyahara, F. Becca, and F. Mila, “Magnetic superstructure in the two-dimensional quantum antiferromagnet SrCu₂(BO₃)₂,” *Science* **298**, 395 (2002).
- [15] H. Kageyama, K. Yoshimura, R. Stern, N. V. Mushnikov, K. Onizuka, M. Kato, K. Kosuge, C. P. Slichter, T. Goto, and Y. Ueda, “Exact dimer ground state and quantized magnetization plateaus in the two-dimensional spin system SrCu₂(BO₃)₂,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3168 (1999).
- [16] C. Rüegg, N. Cavadini, A. Furrer, H. U. Güdel, K. Krämer, H. Mutka, A. Wildes, K. Habicht, and P. Vorderwisch, “Bose-Einstein condensation of the triplet states in the magnetic insulator TiCuCl₃,” *Nature* **423**, 62 (2003).

- [17] M. Jaime, V. F. Correa, N. Harrison, C. D. Batista, N. Kawashima, Y. Kazuma, G. A. Jorge, R. Stern, I. Heinmaa, S. A. Zvyagin, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, "Magnetic-field-induced condensation of triplons in han purple pigment $\text{BaCuSi}_2\text{O}_6$," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 087203 (2004).
- [18] A. A. Aczel, Y. Kohama, C. Marcenat, F. Weickert, M. Jaime, O. E. Ayala-Valenzuela, R. D. McDonald, S. D. Selesnic, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, "Field-induced Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons up to 8 K in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 207203 (2009).
- [19] A. A. Aczel, Y. Kohama, M. Jaime, K. Ninios, H. B. Chan, L. Balicas, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, "Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons in $\text{Ba}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$," *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 100409(R) (2009).
- [20] M. Jeong, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, D. Schmidiger, A. Zheludev, and M. Horvatić, "Attractive Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid in a quantum spin ladder," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 106404 (2013).
- [21] M. Jeong, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, D. Schmidiger, A. Zheludev, and M. Horvatić, "Magnetic-order crossover in coupled spin ladders," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 167206 (2017).
- [22] J. S. Möller, T. Lancaster, S. J. Blundell, F. L. Pratt, P. J. Baker, F. Xiao, R. C. Williams, W. Hayes, M. M. Turnbull, and C. P. Landee, "Quantum-critical spin dynamics in a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid studied with muon-spin relaxation," *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 020402(R) (2017).
- [23] Ch. Rüegg, K. Kiefer, B. Thielemann, D. F. McMorrow, V. Zapf, B. Normand, M. B. Zvonarev, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, T. Giamarchi, S. Capponi, D. Poilblanc, D. Biner, and K. W. Krämer, "Thermodynamics of the spin Luttinger liquid in a model ladder material," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 247202 (2008).
- [24] Y. Ueda, "Vanadate family as spin-gap systems," *Chem. Mater.* **10**, 2653 (1998).
- [25] T. Yamauchi, Y. Narumi, J. Kikuchi, Y. Ueda, K. Tatani, T. C. Kobayashi, K. Kindo, and K. Motoya, "Two gaps in $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$: Observation using high-field magnetization and NMR," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3729 (1999).
- [26] D. C. Johnston, J. W. Johnson, D. P. Goshorn, and A. J. Jacobson, "Magnetic susceptibility of $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$: A one-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet with a ladder spin configuration and a singlet ground state," *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 219 (1987).
- [27] K. Ghoshray, B. Pahari, B. Bandyopadhyay, R. Sarkar, and A. Ghoshray, " ^{51}V NMR study of the quasi-one-dimensional alternating chain compound $\text{BaCu}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_8$," *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 214401 (2005).
- [28] P. K. Mukharjee, K. M. Ranjith, B. Koo, J. Sichelschmidt, M. Baenitz, Y. Skourski, Y. Inagaki, Y. Furukawa, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, "Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons close to the quantum critical point in the quasi-one-dimensional spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnet NaVOPO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 144433 (2019).
- [29] U. Arjun, K. M. Ranjith, B. Koo, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. Skourski, M. Baenitz, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, "Singlet ground state in the alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain compound NaVOAsO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 014421 (2019).
- [30] N. Ahmed, P. Khuntia, K. M. Ranjith, H. Rosner, M. Baenitz, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, "Alternating spin chain compound AgVOAsO_4 probed by ^{75}As NMR," *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 224423 (2017).
- [31] A. A. Tsirlin, R. Nath, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. Skourski, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner, "Frustrated couplings between alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains in AgVOAsO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 144412 (2011).
- [32] F. Weickert, A. A. Aczel, M. B. Stone, V. O. Garlea, C. Dong, Y. Kohama, R. Movshovich, A. Demuer, N. Harrison, M. B. Gamza, A. Steppke, M. Brando, H. Rosner, and A. A. Tsirlin, "Field-induced double dome and Bose-Einstein condensation in the crossing quantum spin chain system AgVOAsO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 104422 (2019).
- [33] K.L. Harrison and A. Manthiram, "Microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis and characterization of various polymorphs of LiVOPO_4 ," *Chem. Mater.* **25**, 1751 (2013).
- [34] M.F.V. Hidalgo, Y.-C. Lin, A. Grenier, D. Xiao, J. Rana, R. Tran, H. Xin, M. Zuba, J. Donohue, F.O. Omenya, I.-H. Chu, Z. Wang, X.G. Li, N.A. Chernova, K.W. Chapman, G. Zhou, L. Piper, S.P. Ong, and M.S. Whittingham, "Rational synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiVOPO_4 polymorphs," *J. Mater. Chem. A* **7**, 8423 (2019).
- [35] Triclinic crystal structure is derived from ϵ - VOPO_4 . Alternatively, triclinic LiVOPO_4 is sometimes referred to as the α -phase, because it was the earliest discovered LiVOPO_4 polymorph.
- [36] Y. Yang, H. Fang, J. Zheng, L. Li, G. Li, and G. Yan, "Towards the understanding of poor electrochemical activity of triclinic LiVOPO_4 : Experimental characterization and theoretical investigations," *Solid State Sci.* **10**, 1292 (2008).
- [37] N. F. Quackenbush, L. Wangoh, D. O. Scanlon, R. Zhang, Y. Chung, Z. Chen, B. Wen, Y. Lin, J. C. Woicik, N. A. Chernova, S. P. Ong, M.S. Whittingham, and L. F. J. Piper, "Interfacial effects in ϵ - Li_xVOPO_4 and evolution of the electronic structure," *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 8211 (2015).
- [38] Y.-C. Lin, B. Wen, K. M. Wiaderek, S. Sallis, H. Liu, S. H. Lapidus, O. J. Borkiewicz, N. F. Quackenbush, N. A. Chernova, K. Karki, F. Omenya, P. J. Chupas, L. F. J. Piper, M. S. Whittingham, K. W. Chapman, and S. P. Ong, "Thermodynamics, kinetics and structural evolution of ϵ - LiVOPO_4 over multiple lithium intercalation," *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 1794 (2016).
- [39] Y. Shi, H. Zhou, I.D. Seymour, S. Britto, J. Rana, L.W. Wangoh, Y. Huang, Q. Yin, P.J. Reeves, M. Zuba, Y. Chung, F. Omenya, N.A. Chernova, G. Zhou, L.F.J. Piper, C.P. Grey, and M.S. Whittingham, "Electrochemical performance of nanosized disordered LiVOPO_4 ," *ACS Omega* **3**, 7310 (2018).
- [40] Y. Chung, E. Cassidy, K. Lee, C. Siu, Y. Huang, F. Omenya, J. Rana, K.M. Wiaderek, N.A. Chernova, K.W. Chapman, L.F.J. Piper, and M.S. Whittingham, "Nonstoichiometry and defects in hydrothermally synthesized ϵ - LiVOPO_4 ," *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2**, 4792 (2019).
- [41] M. Onoda and S. Ikeda, "Crystal structure and spin-singlet state of the Li_xVOPO_4 insertion electrode system with alternating-bond chain," *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 053801 (2013).
- [42] J. R. Carvajal, "Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction," *Physica B: Cond. Mat.* **192**, 55 (1993).

- [43] J. A. Mba, C. Masquelier, E. Suard, and L. Croguenec, "Synthesis and crystallographic study of homeotypic LiVPO_4F and LiVOPO_4 ," *Chem. Mater.* **24**, 1223 (2012).
- [44] A. A. Tsirlin, B. Schmidt, Y. Skourski, R. Nath, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner, "Exploring the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ frustrated square lattice model with high-field magnetization studies," *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 132407 (2009).
- [45] Y. Skourski, M. D. Kuz'min, K. P. Skokov, A. V. Andreev, and J. Wosnitza, "High-field magnetization of $\text{Ho}_2\text{Fe}_{17}$," *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 214420 (2011).
- [46] K. Koepf and H. Eschrig, "Full-potential nonorthogonal local-orbital minimum-basis band-structure scheme," *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1743 (1999).
- [47] A.V. Lavrov, V.P. Nikolaev, G.G. Sadikov, and M.A. Poray-Koshits, "Synthesis and crystal structure of mixed vanadyl and lithium orthophosphate LiVOPO_4 ," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **266**, 343 (1982).
- [48] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, "Generalized gradient approximation made simple," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [49] A.A. Tsirlin, "Spin-chain magnetism and uniform Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya anisotropy in BaV_3O_8 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 014405 (2014).
- [50] R. Nath, A.A. Tsirlin, E.E. Kaul, M. Baenitz, N. Büttgen, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner, "Strong frustration due to competing ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions: Magnetic properties of $\text{M}(\text{VO})_2(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ($\text{M} = \text{Ca}$ and Sr)," *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 024418 (2008).
- [51] A.A. Tsirlin, R. Nath, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner, "Magnetic properties of $\text{Ag}_2\text{VOP}_2\text{O}_7$: An unexpected spin dimer system," *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 104436 (2008).
- [52] M. Isobe, E. Nimomiya, A. N. Vasil'ev, and U. Yutaka, "Novel phase transition in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ linear chain systems: $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$ and $\text{LiTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$," *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 1423 (2002).
- [53] Z. S. Popović, Z. V. Šljivančanin, and F. R. Vukajlović, "Sodium pyroxene $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_6$: Possible Haldane spin-1 chain system," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 036401 (2004).
- [54] K. Hirota, D. E. Cox, J. E. Lorenzo, G. Shirane, J. M. Tranquada, M. Hase, K. Uchinokura, H. Kojima, Y. Shibuya, and I. Tanaka, "Dimerization of CuGeO_3 in the spin-Peierls state," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 736 (1994).
- [55] Y. Fujii, H. Nakao, T. Yosihama, M. Nishi, K. Nakajima, K. Kakurai, M. Isobe, Y. Ueda, and H. Sawa, "New inorganic spin-Peierls compound NaV_2O_5 evidenced by x-ray and neutron scattering," *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66**, 326 (1997).
- [56] Y. Lépine, A. Caillé, and V. Laroche, "Potassium-tetracyanoquinodimethane (K-TCNQ): A spin-Peierls system," *Phys. Rev. B* **18**, 3585 (1978).
- [57] Charles Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 6th ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986).
- [58] P. Bag, P. R. Baral, and R. Nath, "Cluster spin-glass behavior and memory effect in $\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ga}$," *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 144436 (2018).
- [59] D.C. Johnston, R. K. Kremer, M. Troyer, X. Wang, A. Klümper, S. L. Budko, A. F. Panchula, and P. C. Canfield, "Thermodynamics of spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic uniform and alternating-exchange Heisenberg chains," *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 9558 (2000).
- [60] S. Eggert and I. Affleck, "Impurities in $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains: Consequences for neutron scattering and knight shift," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 934 (1995).
- [61] A. Yogi, N. Ahmed, R. Nath, A. A. Tsirlin, S. Kundu, A. V. Mahajan, J. Sichelschmidt, B. Roy, and Y. Furukawa, "Antiferromagnetism of $\text{Zn}_2\text{VO}(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and the dilution with Ti^{4+} ," *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 024413 (2015).
- [62] P. W. Selwood, *Magnetochemistry* (Read Books Ltd, 2013).
- [63] E.C. Samulon, Y. Kohama, R.D. McDonald, M.C. Shapiro, K.A. Al-Hassanieh, C.D. Batista, M. Jaime, and I.R. Fisher, "Asymmetric quintuplet condensation in the frustrated $S = 1$ spin-dimer compound $\text{Ba}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_8$," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 047202 (2009).
- [64] R. Nath, A. A. Tsirlin, H. Rosner, and C. Geibel, "Magnetic properties of $\text{BaCdVO}(\text{PO}_4)_2$: A strongly frustrated spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ square lattice close to the quantum critical regime," *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 064422 (2008).
- [65] R. E. Walstedt and L. R. Walker, "Nuclear-resonance line shapes due to magnetic impurities in metals," *Phys. Rev. B* **9**, 4857 (1974).
- [66] J. Kikuchi, K. Motoya, T. Yamauchi, and Y. Ueda, "Coexistence of double alternating antiferromagnetic chains in $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$: NMR study," *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 6731 (1999).
- [67] R. Nath, D. Kasinathan, H. Rosner, M. Baenitz, and C. Geibel, "Electronic and magnetic properties of $\text{K}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$: A model $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain system," *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 134451 (2008).
- [68] R. Nath, A. V. Mahajan, N. Büttgen, C. Kegler, A. Loidl, and J. Bobroff, "Study of one-dimensional nature of $S = \frac{1}{2}$ of $(\text{Sr},\text{Ba})_2\text{Cu}(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and BaCuP_2O_7 via ^{31}P NMR," *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 174436 (2005).
- [69] S. Taniguchi, T. Nishikawa, Y. Yasui, Y. Kobayashi, M. Sato, T. Nishioka, M. Kontani, and K. Sano, "Spin gap behavior of $S = \frac{1}{2}$ quasi-two-dimensional system CaV_4O_9 ," *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **64**, 2758 (1995).
- [70] T. Moriya, "Nuclear magnetic relaxation in antiferromagnetics," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16**, 23 (1956).
- [71] T. Giamarchi and A. M. Tsvelik, "Coupled ladders in a magnetic field," *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 11398 (1999).
- [72] M. Roca, P. Amorós, J. Cano, M. Dolores Marcos, J. Alamo, A. Beltrán-Porter, and D. Beltrán-Porter, "Prediction of magnetic properties in oxovanadium(IV) phosphates: The role of the bridging PO_4 anions," *Inorg. Chem.* **37**, 3167 (1998).
- [73] Similar to Ref. [31], magnetization curve was simulated by including a weak interchain coupling of $J_{\perp}/J_1 = -0.05$ in order to reproduce the experimental data around H_{c1} . This interchain coupling is much lower than estimated by DFT (Table II), possibly because J_{\perp} reflects a cumulative effect of the frustrated couplings J_{a1} , J_{a2} and J_{c1} , J_{c2} .
- [74] D.C. Johnston, T. Saito, M. Azuma, M. Takano, T. Yamauchi, and Y. Ueda, "Modeling of the magnetic susceptibilities of the ambient- and high-pressure phases of $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$," *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 134403 (2001).
- [75] A. W. Garrett, S. E. Nagler, D. A. Tennant, B. C. Sales, and T. Barnes, "Magnetic excitations in the $S = 1/2$ alternating chain compound $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 745 (1997).
- [76] O. Nohadani, S. Wessel, B. Normand, and S. Haas, "Universal scaling at field-induced magnetic phase transitions," *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 220402(R) (2004).
- [77] V.V. Mazurenko, M.V. Valentyuk, R. Stern, and A.A. Tsirlin, "Nonfrustrated interlayer order and its rele-

- vance to the Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons in $\text{BaCuSi}_2\text{O}_6$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 107202 (2014).
- [78] S. Allenspach, A. Biffin, U. Stuhr, G. S. Tucker, S. Ohira-Kawamura, M. Kofu, D. J. Voneshen, M. Boehm, B. Normand, N. Laflorencie, F. Mila, and Ch. Rüegg, “Multiple magnetic bilayers and unconventional criticality without frustration in $\text{BaCuSi}_2\text{O}_6$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 177205 (2020).