

A simple bijective proof of a familiar derangement recurrence

Sergi Elizalde*

Abstract

It is well known that the derangement numbers d_n , which count permutations of length n with no fixed points, satisfy the recurrence $d_n = nd_{n-1} + (-1)^n$ for $n \geq 1$. Combinatorial proofs of this formula have been given by Remmel, Wilf, Désarménien and Benjamin–Ornstein. Here we present yet another, arguably simpler, bijective proof.

Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A fixed point of $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is an element i such that $\pi(i) = i$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$ denote the set of permutations with no fixed points, often called *derangements*, and let $d_n = |\mathcal{D}_n|$. Let $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$ denote the set of permutations with exactly one fixed point. Clearly, $|\mathcal{F}_n| = nd_{n-1}$, since permutations in \mathcal{F}_n are determined by choosing the fixed point among n possibilities, and then taking a derangement of the remaining $n - 1$ elements.

It is well known [5, Eq. (2.13)] that the derangement numbers d_n satisfy the recurrence

$$d_n = nd_{n-1} + (-1)^n \quad (1)$$

for $n \geq 1$. This equation states that the number of $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with no fixed points and the number of $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with one fixed point differ by one. Stanley [5] acknowledges that proving recurrence (1) combinatorially requires considerably more work than proving the other well-known recurrence for derangement numbers, $d_n = (n-1)(d_{n-1} + d_{n-2})$. Bijective proofs of Equation (1) have been given by Remmel, Wilf, Désarménien and, more recently, Benjamin and Ornstein¹. Remmel’s bijection [4] is quite complicated, and it proves a q -analogue of Equation (1). Désarménien’s bijection [2] first maps derangements to another set of permutations, namely those whose first valley is in an even position. Wilf’s bijection [6] is easy to program, but it is recursive. Benjamin and Ornstein’s bijection [1] is perhaps the simplest, but even then, its description requires four different cases.

In this note we present a new, arguably simpler bijective proof of Equation (1). We describe a bijection $\psi : \mathcal{D}_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n^*$, where $\mathcal{D}_n^* = \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \{(1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (n-1, n)\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^* = \mathcal{F}_n$ when n is even, and $\mathcal{D}_n^* = \mathcal{D}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^* = \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \{(1)(2, 3) \dots (n-1, n)\}$ when n is odd.

As in [1], we write derangements in cycle notation so that each cycle begins with its smallest element, and cycles are ordered by increasing first element. On the other hand, we write permutations in \mathcal{F}_n with their fixed point at the beginning.

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n^*$, and let k be the largest non-negative integer such that the cycle notation of π starts with $(1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2k-1, 2k)$. Note that $0 \leq k < n/2$, since $\pi \neq (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (n-1, n)$. To define $\psi(\pi) \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$, consider two cases:

*Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755. sergi.elizalde@dartmouth.edu

¹Another bijection is described by Rakotondrajao [3, Sec. 3], but it appears to be flawed: for $n = 5$, both $(5, (13)(24))$ and $(5, (1324))$ seem to be mapped to $(124)(35)$.

- (i) If the cycle containing $2k + 1$ has at least 3 elements, change the first $k + 1$ cycles of π as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi &= (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2k - 1, 2k)(2k + 1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots \\ \psi(\pi) &= (1)(2, 3)(4, 5) \dots (2k, a_1)(2k + 1, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots\end{aligned}$$

Note that, if $k = 0$, then $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\} = \emptyset$ and the fixed point in $\psi(\pi)$ is a_1 .

- (ii) Otherwise, change the first $k + 2$ cycles of π as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi &= (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2k - 1, 2k)(2k + 1, a_1)(2k + 2, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots \\ \psi(\pi) &= (1)(2, 3)(4, 5) \dots (2k, 2k + 1)(2k + 2, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots\end{aligned}$$

The inverse map ψ^{-1} has a similar description. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$, let ℓ be the fixed point of σ , and consider two cases. If $\ell \neq 1$, merge the cycles containing ℓ and 1 as follows:

$$\sigma = (\ell)(1, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots \mapsto \psi^{-1}(\sigma) = (1, \ell, a_2, \dots, a_j) \dots$$

Otherwise, let σ' be the derangement of $\{2, \dots, n\}$ obtained by removing the fixed point 1 from σ , apply ψ to σ' (using the above description, but identifying $\{2, \dots, n\}$ with $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ in an order-preserving fashion), and replace its fixed point (ℓ) with the 2-cycle $(1, \ell)$ to get $\psi^{-1}(\sigma)$.

As an example, below are the images by ψ of all derangements in \mathcal{D}_4 and some in \mathcal{D}_5 , with the entry a_1 colored in red in case (i) and in blue in case (ii):

π	$(12)(34)$	$(13)(24)$	$(14)(23)$	(1234)	(1243)	(1324)	(1342)	(1423)	(1432)
$\psi(\pi)$	—	$(1)(234)$	$(1)(243)$	$(2)(134)$	$(2)(143)$	$(3)(124)$	$(3)(142)$	$(4)(123)$	$(4)(132)$
π	—	$(12)(345)$	$(12)(354)$	$(123)(45)$	$(13)(245)$	$(14)(235)$	$(154)(23)$
$\psi(\pi)$	$(1)(23)(45)$	$(1)(24)(35)$	$(1)(25)(34)$	$(2)(13)(45)$	$(1)(2345)$	$(1)(2435)$	$(5)(14)(23)$

References

- [1] Arthur T. Benjamin and Joel Ornstein. A bijective proof of a derangement recurrence. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 55(5):28–29, 2017.
- [2] Jacques Désarménien. Une autre interprétation du nombre de dérangements. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, B08b, 1982.
- [3] Fanja Rakotondrajao. k -fixed-points-permutations. *Pure Math. Appl. (P.U.M.A.)*, 17(1-2):165–173, 2006.
- [4] J. B. Remmel. A note on a recursion for the number of derangements. *European J. Combin.*, 4(4):371–374, 1983.
- [5] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1*. Cambridge University Press, Second edition, 2011.
- [6] Herbert S. Wilf. A bijection in the theory of derangements. *Mathematics Magazine*, 57(1):37–40, 1984.