

Hidden symmetry algebra and construction of quadratic algebras of superintegrable systems

Rutwig Campoamor-Stursberg^{1*}; Ian Marquette^{2 †}

¹ Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar and Dpto. Geometría y Topología, UCM, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

² School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

August 17, 2020

Abstract

The notion of hidden symmetry algebra used in the context of exactly solvable systems (typically a non semisimple Lie algebra) is re-examined from the purely algebraic way, analyzing subspaces of commuting polynomials that generate finite-dimensional quadratic algebras. By construction, these algebras do not depend on the choice of realizations by vector fields of the underlying Lie algebra, allowing to propose a new approach to analyze polynomial algebras as those subspaces in an enveloping algebra that commute with a given algebraic Hamiltonian. These polynomial algebras play an important role in context of superintegrability, but are still poorly understood from an algebraic point of view. Among the main results, we present polynomial quadratic algebras of dimensions 4, 5, 6 and 8, as well as cubic algebras of dimensions 3 and 5, and various Abelian algebras, all of dimension 3. Basing on the observation how superintegrability is associated with exact solvability, we propose a procedure that connects the underlying Lie algebra with algebraic integrals of motion. As the integrals constructed in such way are now independent on the realization, alternative choices of realizations can provide new explicit models with the same symmetry algebra. In this paper, we consider examples of such equivalent Hamiltonians in terms of differential operators for the three cases and connected to the underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus T_1$ as well as to the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$. We also point out differences between the enveloping algebra of Lie algebras and the enveloping algebra of the related differential operators realization.

1 Introduction

The idea of hidden symmetry Lie algebra, introduced in regard of exactly and quasi-exactly solvable (quantum) models is based on the existence of an infinite flag of functional linear spaces that is preserved that the Hamiltonian, such as e.g. the finite-dimensional representation spaces of (semi-simple) Lie algebras of first order differential operators [1–3]. This allows to describe the Hamiltonian of a system in terms of a parabolic subalgebra of a Lie algebra. One the most relevant features that such an approach offers is the possibility to obtain the spectrum of quantum models using tools from representation theory [4, 5]. However, this scheme is somewhat limited, as it depends heavily on explicit realizations of Lie algebras by means of differential operators. Other classes of quantum models and superintegrable systems have been studied over the years using different techniques, such as systems characterized by symmetry algebras related to non Abelian polynomial algebras (see e.g. [6–11]). The underlying polynomial algebras are constructed via integrals based on explicit differential operator realizations [11], a fact that again poses a more or less severe restriction for their classification and detailed study, as the relations among elements must be understood via a differential operator algebra.

The purpose of this paper is to describe, through the analysis of some representative quasi-exactly solvable systems, how purely algebraic polynomial algebras can be constructed in such manner that the integrals deduced also have an algebraic origin. The scheme is based on the connection between hidden symmetries and symmetry algebras [5, 12]. In these earlier works, the symmetry algebra is a consequence of the explicit choice of realization. In this work we reexamine these examples and construct polynomials in terms of the generators of a parabolic subalgebra that commute with the Hamiltonian, which is a second-order polynomial in the generators. Only the

*rutwig@ucm.es

†i.marquette@uq.edu.au

commutation relations of the underlying non semisimple Lie algebra are used. It turns out that these polynomials span the space of polynomials which commute with the Hamiltonian and provide a finitely-generated polynomial algebra of higher order. As the ansatz is purely algebraic, this points out the freedom in choosing the explicit realization of the Lie algebra underlying the exact solvability of a system. Using the realization related to the coadjoint representation, we present three examples exhibiting differential operators that can be defined as the Hamiltonian of the system, and allow the existence of a quadratic algebra equivalent to the starting one, hence leading to an algebraic equivalence. To our authors's knowledge, such an approach has not been considered previously in the literature.

1.1 Finite-dimensional quadratic and hidden symmetry algebras

One-dimensional and spherically-symmetric quasi-exact solvable problems have been extensively studied, and shown to be related to the representation theory of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K})$ with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ (see [1] and references therein). More specifically, realizing $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by the vector fields

$$J_n^+ = x^2 \partial_x - nx \quad , \quad J_n^0 = x \partial_x - \frac{n}{2} \quad , \quad J_n^- = \partial_x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

the space $\mathcal{P}_n = \langle x^k | 0 \leq k \leq n \rangle$ of polynomials of degree at most n is easily seen to be invariant by the action of the operators in (1). Considering n as a parameter, we obtain the flag space

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \subset \dots, \quad (2)$$

that turns out to be preserved for any value of n by a generic element of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ of the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the operators J_n^0, J_n^- . *Exactly solvable* systems are obtained considering the Hamiltonian of the system as an element of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$, while quasi-exactly solvable systems are defined requiring that the Hamiltonian leaves the subspace \mathcal{P}_n invariant. The latter algebraic condition actually characterizes quasi-exactly solvable one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, whenever the generators are taken in realization (1), as was shown in [3]. The natural generalization of the one-dimensional case is obtained considering the N -dimensional analogue of the flag space (2) identified with finite-dimensional representations of semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} realized as first-order differential operators, and imposing that the Hamiltonian of the system is expressed in terms of the enveloping algebra associated to a distinguished subalgebra that preserves the flag, such as a parabolic subalgebra (see e.g. [5]). The algebraic formalism underlying the method of the hidden algebra can be briefly summarized in the following steps:

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (semisimple) Lie algebra and let $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a realization of the Lie algebra by first-order differential operators such that for any n , the linear space of polynomials of degree $p \leq n$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_n^2 = \{t^k u^m, \quad 0 \leq k + m \leq n\} \quad (3)$$

is a finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . Considering n as a parameter, it follows that the flag space

$$\mathcal{P}_0^2 \subset \mathcal{P}_1^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{P}_n^2 \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^2 \subset \dots \quad (4)$$

is invariant by the action of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g})$. Now suppose that \mathfrak{m} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that for each $n \geq 0$ the relation

$$X(\mathcal{P}_n^2) \subset \mathcal{P}_n^2, \quad \forall X \in \Phi(\mathfrak{m}) \quad (5)$$

holds, i.e., that the flag is preserved by the (realized) subalgebra,¹ and such that the Hamiltonian h of the system can be expressed in terms of the differential operators associated to the generators in \mathfrak{m} :

$$h = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{m}} \alpha_{ij} \Phi(X_i) \Phi(X_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{m}} \beta_k \Phi(X_k), \quad (6)$$

where α_{ij}, β_k are constants and $\{X_1, \dots, X_{\dim \mathfrak{m}}\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{m} . In this context, the Hamiltonian h can be interpreted as the image, via the realization Φ , of a quadratic element H in the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$. Similarly, the constants of the motion φ_1, φ_2 can also be rewritten as elements of the enveloping algebra. As differential operators (i.e., evaluated in the realization Φ), they satisfy the commutators

$$[h, \varphi_1] = [h, \varphi_2] = 0. \quad (7)$$

The commutator $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$, as well as successive commutators provide additional (dependent) higher-order constants of the motion. It follows that, in general, the operators h, φ_1, φ_2 generate an infinite-dimensional algebra.

¹The case where $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{m}$ is not excluded.

The use of hidden symmetry algebras in the context of (quasi-)exact solvable systems may suggest a purely algebraic procedure to construct finite-dimensional quadratic polynomial algebras. In the preceding conditions, we can formally consider the polynomial

$$H = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{m}} \alpha_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{m}} \beta_k X_k.$$

This element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ corresponds to the Hamiltonian h once the generators of \mathfrak{m} are realized by vector fields. Now let J_1, J_2 be two polynomials such that they correspond, via the realization Φ , to the constants of the motion φ_1, φ_2 . From the purely algebraic point of view, there is no necessity that the elements J_s commute with H as polynomials in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$, as we merely know that the identity

$$[h, \varphi_s] = [H, J_s] = 0 \pmod{\Phi} \quad (8)$$

holds. Hence, the operators φ_s are first integrals of the Hamiltonian h as a consequence of the specific realization Φ , and not because they commute in the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$.

The question that arises naturally in this context is whether we can find polynomials in the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ that commute with H and generate a finite-dimensional quadratic Lie algebra, independently of any particular realization. Starting from $H \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ and $d = 2$, let \mathfrak{M}_2 denote the set of quadratic elements in the enveloping algebra such that they commute with H :

$$\mathfrak{M}_2 = \left\{ P \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) \mid [H, P] = 0, \frac{\partial^3 P}{\partial X_{i_1} \partial X_{i_2} \partial X_{i_3}} = 0, 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dim \mathfrak{m} \right\}.$$

The set \mathfrak{M}_2 in particular contains the Hamiltonian H . If $\mathfrak{J}_2 = \{I_1^{(2)}, \dots, I_q^{(2)}\}$ are linearly independent elements of \mathfrak{M}_2 , there certainly exist $n_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$H + \sum_{j=1}^q n_j I_j^{(2)} = 0 \quad .$$

holds. Along the same lines, for any order $d > 2$, let \mathfrak{M}_d be the set of elements in the enveloping algebra of degree not exceeding d such that they commute with H :

$$\mathfrak{M}_d = \left\{ P \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) \mid [H, P] = 0, \frac{\partial^{d+1} P}{\partial X_{i_1} \dots \partial X_{i_{d+1}}} = 0, 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{d+1} \leq \dim \mathfrak{m} \right\}.$$

For each such index, we denote by \mathfrak{J}_d the set of linearly independent elements. By construction, we have the filtration $\mathfrak{M}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_3 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{M}_d \subset \dots$ within the centralizer $C_H \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ of H in the enveloping algebra [13]. In particular, the inclusions $\mathfrak{J}_2 \subset \mathfrak{J}_3 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{J}_d \subset \dots$ hold. For the commutators of elements we have the inclusion

$$[\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{M}_q] \subset \mathfrak{M}_{p+q-1}, \quad p, q, \geq 2 \quad (9)$$

is satisfied.

Fixing a value $d_0 \geq 2$, a subset $\mathfrak{N}_{d_0} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{d_0}$ generates a finite-dimensional quadratic polynomial algebra $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{N}_{d_0})$ if for any $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ there exist constants $\mu_{ij}^{k\ell}, \nu_{ij}^q$ such that

$$[P_i, P_j] = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^r \mu_{ij}^{k\ell} P_k P_\ell + \sum_{q=1}^r \nu_{ij}^q P_q. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, given a (quasi-)exactly solvable system with Hamiltonian h , we can proceed recursively analyzing the commutators in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ of the operators in \mathfrak{J}_d for any $d \geq 2$.

2 Polynomial algebras related to the Smorodinsky–Winternitz system

In the paper [12], the authors analysed the infinite-dimensional finitely-generated Lie algebra parameterized by an index k (denoted with s in [12])

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} \supset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \oplus T_k$$

using the following realization (see equations (19) and (20) there):

$$J_N^1 = \partial_t, \quad J_N^2 = t\partial_t - \frac{N}{3}, \quad J_N^3 = ku\partial_u - \frac{N}{3}, \quad J_N^4 = t^2\partial_t + ktu\partial_u - Nt, \quad R_i = t^i\partial_u, \quad T_k = u\partial_t^k, \quad (11)$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ and k takes integer values. For the special values $k \leq 1$, we get, among others, the following commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [J^1, J^2] &= J^1, & [J^1, J^3] &= 0, & [J^1, J^4] &= 2J^2 + J^3, & [J^1, R_0] &= 0, \\ [J^1, R_1] &= R_0, & [J^1, T_1] &= 0, & [J^2, J^3] &= 0, & [J^2, J^4] &= J^4, \\ [J^2, R_0] &= 0, & [J^2, R_1] &= R_1, & [J^2, T_1] &= -T_1, & [J^3, J^4] &= 0, \\ [J^3, R_0] &= -R_0, & [J^3, R_1] &= -R_1, & [J^3, T_1] &= T_1, & [J^4, R_0] &= -R_1, \\ [J^4, R_1] &= 0, & [R_0, R_1] &= 0, & [R_0, T_1] &= J^1, & [R_1, T_1] &= J^2 - J^3. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We observe that the commutation relation $[J^4, T_1]$ involves terms depending on the generators T_k (see [12]). However, if we restrict to the value $k = 1$ (and $N = 0$), the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ and we obtain that the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ spanned by $\{J^1, J^2, J^3, T_1, R_0, R_1\}$, is finite-dimensional. The system thus coincides with the Smorodinsky–Winternitz system [14].

The exactly solvable integrable system given by the Hamiltonian and constants of the motion

$$h_1 = -4t\partial_t^2 - 8u\partial_{tu}^2 - 4u\partial_u^2 + 4(\omega t - 1 - a - b)\partial_t + (4\omega u - 2(2b + 1))\partial_u, \quad (13)$$

$$x_1 = -4u(t - u)\partial_u^2 - 4\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\right)t - (a + b + 1)u\partial_u, \quad (14)$$

$$y_1 = 4((t - u)\partial_t^2 + (\omega(u - t) + a + \frac{1}{2})\partial_t). \quad (15)$$

and satisfying the following operator algebra relations

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, y_1] &= z_1, \\ [x_1, z_1] &= 16x_1y_1 + 2h_1x_1 - 8z_1 - 4\omega(a - b)x_1 + 16(-1 + a^2 + 2ab + b^2)y_1 + 2(-1 - a + 2a^2 + b + 2ab) \quad (16) \\ [y_1, z_1] &= -8y_1^2 - 2h_1y_1 - \omega^2x_1 + 4\omega(a - b)y_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a)h_1 \end{aligned}$$

can be expressed algebraically in terms of the generators of the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ as follows:

$$H_1 = -4J^2J^1 - 8J^3J^1 - 4R_0J^3 + 4\omega J^2 - 4((a + b) - 1)J^1 + 4\omega J^3 - 2(2b + 1)R_0, \quad (17)$$

$$P_1 = -4J^3R_1 + 4J^3J^3 - 4\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\right)R_1 + 4(a + b)J^3, \quad (18)$$

$$Q_1 = 4(J^2J^1 - T_1J^1 + \omega T_1 - \omega J^2 + (a + \frac{1}{2})J^1). \quad (19)$$

In the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ we have the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [H_1, P_1] &= 8R_0J^2 + 16bR_0J^2 + 8R_1J^1 - 16bR_1J^1 + 16R_0J^3J^3 - 16R_1J^3J^1, \\ [H_1, Q_1] &= 4\omega J^3J^1 - 4\omega T_1R_0 - 4J^3J^1J^1 + 4T_1R_0J^1. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the polynomials P_1 and Q_1 do not commute with H_1 at the level of the enveloping algebra, but only as the result of considering the realization (11). Thus the (infinite-dimensional) quadratic algebra determined by (16) is only valid for the given realization, and is not an algebraic consequence of the underlying hidden symmetry algebra.

In this situation, we inspect whether there exist higher-order operators (elements) in the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ such that they commute with the Hamiltonian as given in (17), but only using the commutation relations (12), i.e., without invoking the explicit realization (11). Using symbolic computation packages,² the following second- and third-order operators that commute with the Hamiltonian (17) were found:

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2b)J^1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2b)R_0 - J^3\omega - \omega T_1 + J^1J^3 + J^1T_1 + J^3R_0 + T_1R_0, \quad (20)$$

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 2a)J_1 - J_2\omega + T_1\omega + J_1J_2 + J_1J_3 - J_1T_1 - T_1R_0, \quad (21)$$

²Specifically, the NCAAlgebra package for MATHEMATICA[©].

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 = & \frac{1}{4}(-1 - 2a - 2b - 4ab)J^1 + (1 + a + b)J^3\omega - \frac{1}{2}T_1(\omega - 2a\omega) - \frac{1}{2}R_1(\omega + 2b\omega) \\
& -(1 + a + b)J^1J^3 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2a)J^1T_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2b)J^2R_0 + \omega J^3J^3 - \omega J^3R_1 + \omega J^3T_1 \\
& + T_1R_0 - \omega T_1R_1 - J^1J^3J^3 - J^1J^3T_1 + J^2J^3R_0 + J^2T_1R_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

These are genuine non vanishing differential operators. For the particular realization (11), we recover the commutation with the Hamiltonian (13). As can somehow be expected, the operators A_1 , B_1 and h_1 are related algebraically, by means of

$$A_1 + B_1 + \frac{1}{4}h_1 = 0,$$

implying that, in addition to the Hamiltonian, only one of the found operators can be considered as an (algebraically independent and in fact linearly independent) quadratic integral.

The crucial step in this approach is to show that the operators A_1 and C_1 generate a finite-dimensional polynomial algebra, taking only into account the commutation relations of the generators $\{J^1, J^2, J^3, T_1, R_0, R_1\}$, and that the result is completely independent on the particular realization of these generators. Starting from A_1 and C_1 , the following commutators are found:

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_1, B_1] &= 0, \quad [A_1, C_1] = D_1, \quad [A_1, D_1] = \omega D_1, \\
[C_1, D_1] &= \frac{1}{2}\{B_1, D_1\} - \frac{1}{2}\{A_1, D_1\} + \frac{1}{2}(a - b)\{A_1, B_1\} \\
&+ -\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a)(a - b)\omega^2 A_1 - \frac{1}{2}(a - b)(1 + 2b)\omega^2 B_1 + \omega^2(b - a)\omega^2 C_1 \\
[B_1, C_1] &= -D_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\circ, \circ\}$ denotes here the anticommutator.

Following this argumentation, we can analyse the finitely-generated sets of elements having at most p^{th} -order in the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ that commute with the Hamiltonian. At the fourth order, three such polynomials L_1, L_2 and L_3 can be found, the explicit expressions of which are given in Appendix A. In terms of A_1, B_1 and D_1 , the operators L_i satisfy the following algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 + \frac{1}{2}A_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}B_1^2 + \omega(2a + b - 1)A_1 + \omega(a - 1)B_1 &= 0, \\
L_2 - \frac{1}{2}A_1^2 - \omega(1 + b)A_1 &= 0, \\
L_1 - \frac{1}{2}A_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}B_1^2 - 2A_1B_1 + \frac{1}{2}(2a - 2b - 1)\omega A_1 + \omega(1 - a)B_1 - D_1 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that at the fifth order there is another operator K_1 that commutes with the Hamiltonian, the explicit expression of which is omitted because of its length. As a polynomial in the operators A_1, B_1 and D_1 it can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 + \frac{1}{\omega}C_1A_1 - \frac{1 - a}{\omega}A_1^2 - \frac{3 + 2b}{\omega}A_1B_1 - \frac{1 + b}{\omega}B_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2b)C_1 - \frac{5 + 13b}{2} + b^2 \\
- \frac{1}{2}a(3 + 2b)A_1 - \frac{1}{2}(-3 + 4a + 4ab + 4b^2)B_1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The reexamination of the exactly solvable systems in [12] shows how the existence of a finite-dimensional polynomial algebra can be derived using only the enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$.

2.1 Formally equivalent Hamiltonian

Let us now consider another realization of the same underlying $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ -Lie algebra derived from the coadjoint representation

$$X_i = \sum_{a,b=1}^n = x_b c_{ia}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{23}$$

The generators are realized by the (linear) operators

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= x_1 \partial_{x_2} + x_4 \partial_{x_5}, \\
J_2 &= -x_1 \partial_{x_1} + x_5 \partial_{x_5} - x_6 \partial_{x_6} \\
J_3 &= -x_4 \partial_{x_4} - x_5 \partial_{x_5} + x_6 \partial_{x_6} \\
R_0 &= x_4 \partial_{x_3} + x_1 \partial_{x_6} \\
R_1 &= -x_4 \partial_{x_1} - x_5 \partial_{x_2} + x_5 \partial_{x_3} + (x_2 - x_3) \partial_{x_6} \\
T_1 &= x_6 \partial_{x_2} - x_6 \partial_{x_3} - x_1 \partial_{x_4} - (x_2 - x_3) \partial_{x_5}
\end{aligned}$$

The differential operator corresponding to the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= -2(1+2b)x_1 \partial_6 - 4x_1 x_6 \partial_6^2 - 4(-1+a+b)x_4 \partial_5 + 4x_1 x_5 \partial_5 \partial_6 - 4x_4 x_6 \partial_5 \partial_6 + 4x_4 x_5 \partial_5^2 - 4\omega x_4 \partial_4 \\
&\quad + 4x_1 x_4 \partial_4 \partial_6 + 8x_4^2 \partial_4 \partial_5 + 8x_4^2 \partial_4 \partial_5 + 2x_4 \partial_3 - 4bx_4 \partial_3 - 4x_4 x_6 \partial_3 \partial_6 + 4x_4 x_5 \partial_3 \partial_5 + 4x_4^2 \partial_3 \partial_4 - 4ax_1 \partial_2 \\
&\quad - 4bx_1 \partial_2 - 4x_1 x_6 \partial_2 \partial_6 + 4x_1 x_5 \partial_2 \partial_5 + 8x_1 x_4 \partial_2 \partial_4 - 4\omega x_1 \partial_1 + 4x_1 x_4 \partial_1 \partial_5 + 4x_1^2 \partial_1 \partial_2.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that the algebraic polynomials A_1 , B_1 , C_1 and D_1 of degrees 2,2,3 and 4, respectively, take the form of genuine differential operators of the same order. Therefore, the quadratic algebra is also satisfied, showing that this Hamiltonian (defined on a six-dimensional space) is characterized by the same underlying hidden Lie algebra, integrals of motion and quadratic symmetry algebra.

2.2 The case $k = 2$

For this value of the parameter k , the Hamiltonian is given by

$$h_2 = -4J^2 J^1 - 8J^3 J^1 - 8R_1 J^3 + 4J^2 - 4(2(a+b) - 1)J^1 + 4\omega J^3 - 8(2b+1)R_1. \quad (24)$$

Up to degree five, there are four polynomials A_i of degrees 2,4,4 and 5, respectively, that commute with the Hamiltonian (24), satisfying the algebraic relations (see the Appendix for their explicit expression)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}h_2, \\
A_2 - \frac{1}{4}A_1^2 - \frac{\omega}{4}(3+4a+4b)A_1 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

We can close an algebra with A_3 , A_4 and their commutator $A_5 = [A_3, A_4]$. Redefining the generators as $A'_3 = A_3 + 2A_2 + 2a\omega A_1$ and $A'_4 = A_4 - (\frac{4}{3}(-2+a+3b))A_3 - (\frac{4}{3}(-41+16a+48b))A_2 - (\frac{4}{3}(-7+5a+9b)\omega)A_1$, in limit ($\omega = a = b = 0$) the following cubic relations are obtained:

$$[A'_3, A'_5] = 0, \quad [A'_4, A'_5] = -\{A'_3, A'_5\} + 2A_1^2 A'_3 - 4A_3'^3. \quad (25)$$

3 Other Calogero cases

The last case is in fact related to the BC_2 Calogero models [15]. There are other cases that have been studied from the point of view of exact solvability and related to certain realizations of $\mathfrak{d}_{\leq 3}$. Realizations with matrix differential operators have been described in [16]. We consider the basis $\{E_{ij}, T_k^\pm\}$ with commutators

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk} E_{il} - \delta_{il} E_{kj}, & [E_{ij}, T_k^-] &= -\delta_{ik} T_j^-, & [E_{ij}, T_k^+] &= \delta_{jk} T_i^+, \\
[T_i^+, T_j^-] &= E_{ii} - \delta_{ij} E_0, & [E_0, T_i^+] &= -T_i^+, & [E_0, T_i^-] &= T_i^-.
\end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The tree-body A_2 Calogero Hamiltonian is then expressed as

$$h_{cA_2} = -2E_{11}T_1^- - 6E_{22}T_1^- + \frac{2}{3}E_{12}E_{12} - 4\omega E_{11} - 2(1+3\nu)T_1^- - 6\omega E_{22}.$$

In contrast to the description in [16], that used an explicit differential operator realization in terms of matrix differential operators, we derive a unified set of integrals algebraically, i.e., independently on the particular realization chosen. Up to the cubic order, there are three polynomials that commute with the Hamiltonian (3), and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= 2bE_{11} - T_1^- - \frac{1}{3}E_{12}E_{12} + T_1^- E_{11} + 3T_1^- E_{22}, \\
A_2 &= E_{11} + 2E_{22} + E_{11}E_{11} + E_{11}E_{22} + E_{12}E_{21} + E_{22}E_{22} + T_1^- T_1^+ + T_2^- T_2^+, \\
A_3 &= -2E_{11} - 2E_{22} - 2E_{11}E_{11} - 3E_{11}E_{22} - E_{22}E_{22} - 2T_1^- T_1^+ - 2T_2^- T_2^+ - E_{11}E_{11}E_{22} \\
&\quad + E_{11}E_{12}E_{21} - E_{11}E_{22}E_{22} + E_{12}E_{21}E_{22} + T_1^- E_{12}T_2^+ - T_1^- E_{22}T_1^+ - T_2^- E_{11}T_2^+ + T_2^- E_{21}T_1^+
\end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that there are no additional independent operators up to order five. The commutators the Abelian algebra

$$[A_i, A_j] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3.$$

Similar findings are observed for the case of the three-body Sutherland model with A_2 Weyl group, having the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} h_{sA_2} = & -2E_{11}T_1^- - 6E_{22}T_1^- + \frac{2}{3}E_{12}E_{12} - 2(1+3\nu)T_1^- + \frac{\alpha^4}{24}E_{21}E_{21} \\ & - \frac{\alpha^2}{6}(3E_{11}E_{11} + 8E_{11}E_{22} + 3E_{22}E_{22} + (1+12\nu)(E_{11} + E_{22})) \end{aligned}$$

and commuting polynomials up to order three

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = & \frac{1}{12}(a_2^2 + 12a_1a_2^2)E_{11} + \frac{1}{12}(a_2^2 + 12a_1a_2^2)E_{22} + 3a_1T_1^- + \frac{1}{4}a_2^2E_{11}E_{11} + \frac{2}{3}a_2^2E_{11}E_{22} \\ & - \frac{1}{3}E_{12}E_{12} - \frac{1}{48}a_2^4E_{21}E_{21} + \frac{1}{4}a_2^2E_{22}E_{22} + T_1^-E_{11} + 3T_1^-E_{22}, \\ A_2 = & E_{11} + 2E_{22} + E_{11}E_{11} + E_{11}E_{22} + E_{12}E_{21} + E_{22}E_{22} + T_1^-T_1^+ + T_2^-T_2^+, \\ A_3 = & 2E_{22} - E_{11}E_{22} + 2E_{12}E_{21} + E_{22}E_{22} - E_{11}E_{11}E_{22} + E_{11}E_{12}E_{21} - E_{11}E_{22}E_{22} \\ & + E_{12}E_{21}E_{22} + T_1^-E_{12}T_2^+ - T_1^-E_{22}T_1^+ - T_2^-E_{11}T_2^+ + T_2^-E_{21}T_1^+. \end{aligned}$$

As before, up to order 5 there is no other independent polynomial, as all fourth- and fifth-order polynomial is algebraically dependent. This again leads to the Abelian algebra

$$[A_i, A_j] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3.$$

4 Higher-order polynomial algebras deduced from $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$

In a slightly different manner, the exact solvability of superintegrable systems was discussed in the paper [5] using the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ as hidden symmetry algebra. The authors considered the following realization:

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 = \partial_t, \quad J_2 = \partial_u, \quad J_3 = t\partial_t, \quad J_4 = u\partial_u, \quad J_5 = u\partial_t, \quad J_6 = t\partial_u \\ J_7 = t^2\partial_t + tu\partial_u - nt, \quad J_8 = tu\partial_t + u^2\partial_u - nu, \quad X = n. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In terms of these generators, the commutation relations are given by

$$\begin{array}{llll} [J_1, J_2] = 0, & [J_1, J_3] = J_1, & [J_1, J_4] = 0, & [J_1, J_5] = 0, \\ [J_1, J_6] = J_2, & [J_1, J_7] = 2J_3 + J_4 - n, & [J_1, J_8] = J_5, & [J_2, J_3] = 0, \\ [J_2, J_4] = J_2, & [J_2, J_5] = J_1, & [J_2, J_6] = 0, & [J_2, J_7] = J_6, \\ [J_2, J_8] = J_3 + 2J_4 - n, & [J_3, J_4] = 0, & [J_3, J_5] = -J_5, & [J_3, J_6] = J_6, \\ [J_3, J_7] = J_7, & [J_3, J_8] = 0, & [J_4, J_5] = J_5, & [J_4, J_6] = -J_6, \\ [J_4, J_7] = 0, & [J_4, J_8] = J_8, & [J_5, J_6] = -J_3 + J_4, & [J_5, J_7] = J_8 \\ [J_5, J_8] = 0, & [J_6, J_7] = 0, & [J_6, J_8] = J_7, & [J_7, J_8] = 0. \end{array}$$

The maximal parabolic subalgebra is generated by $\{J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5, J_6\}$. The main feature of the preceding realization lies in the fact that the four classical (and quantum) superintegrable systems on the real plane admitting two independent first integrals quadratic in the momenta, in addition to the Hamiltonian, can be rewritten in terms of the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ (see [5] for details). From these four superintegrable systems, we consider the two first, as the analysis of the remaining cases essentially reduces to that of Case I.

4.1 Case I

We consider the following differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} h^I = & -2t\partial_t^2 - 2u\partial_u^2 + 2t\partial_t + 2u\partial_u - (2p_1 + 1)\partial_t - (2p_2 + 1)\partial_u + 1 + p_1 + p_2, \\ x_c^I = & 2t\partial_t^2 - 2u\partial_u^2 - 2t\partial_t + 2u\partial_u + (2p_1 + 1)\partial_t - (2p_2 + 1)\partial_u - p_1 + p_2, \\ x_r^I = & 4tu(\partial_t - \partial_u)^2 + 2((2p_1 + 1)u - (2p_2 + 1)t)(\partial_t - \partial_u) - (p_1 + p_2)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the first operator one represents the Hamiltonian of the system and the remaining ones the quadratic first integrals:

$$[h^I, x_c^I] = [h^I, x_r^I] = 0.$$

As differential operators, the generators x_c^I, x_r^I span the following algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_c^I, x_r^I] &= x_{cr}^I, \\ [x_r^I, x_c^I] &= 16x_c^I x_r^I - 8x_{cr}^I - 16(-1 + p_1^2 + p_1 p_2 + p_2)x_c^I - 16(p_1 - p_2)x_r^I - 16(-p_1 + p_1^2 + p_2 - p_2^2)h^I, \\ [x_c^I, x_{cr}^I] &= -8(x_c^I)^2 + 8(h^I)^2 + 16(p_1 - p_2)x_c^I + 16x_r^I + 16(1 + p_1 + p_2)h^I. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In terms of the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$, the differential operators (28) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H^I &= -2J_3J_1 - 2J_4J_2 + 2J_3 + 2J_4 - (2p_1 + 1)J_1 - (2p_2 + 1)J_2, \\ P_c^I &= 2J_3J_1 - 2J_4J_2 - 2J_3 + 2J_4 + (2p_1 + 1)J_1 - (2p_2 + 1)J_2, \\ P_r^I &= 4J_3J_5 + 4J_4J_6 - 8J_3J_4 + 2(2p_1 + 1)J_5 - 2(2p_2 + 1)J_3 - 2(2p_1 + 1)J_4 + 2(2p_2 + 1)J_6, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

from which we obtain the relations $[H^I, P_c^I] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [H^I, P_r^I] &= 4J_3J_2 + 8p_2J_3J_2 + 4J_4J_1 + 8p_1J_4J_1 + 4J_5J_2 - 8p_1J_5J_2 + 4J_6J_1 - 8p_2J_6J_1 \\ &\quad + 8J_4J_3J_1 + 8J_4J_3J_2 - 8J_5J_3J_2 - 8J_6J_4J_1. \end{aligned}$$

The interesting fact is that the operator P_r^I , seen as a polynomial in the generators of the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$, does not commute with the Hamiltonian as given in (30). The third order polynomial on right-hand side of $[H^I, P_r^I]$ only vanishes when the differential operator realization given by (28) is used. This points out that the preceding quadratic algebra is only satisfied at the operator level, and not as a polynomial in the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$.

Like before, we search systematically for all second- and third-order polynomials in the generator of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ that commute with the Hamiltonian as given in (30). In this case, the following eight polynomials can be found:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -\frac{2-n+(4-2n)p_1}{2}J_5 - \frac{2-n-(4-2n)p_1}{2}J_4 - \frac{2-n+(4-2n)p_2}{2}J_6 - \frac{2-n-(4-2n)p_2}{2}J_3 \\ &\quad - J_3^2 + (3-2n)J_3J_4 + (n-2)J_3J_5 + (n-2)J_4J_6 - J_1J_4J_7 + J_1J_6J_8 - J_2J_3J_8 + J_2J_5J_7 + J_3^2J_4 \\ &\quad + J_3J_4^2 - J_3J_5J_6 - J_4J_5J_6, \\ A_2 &= -\frac{2p_1+1}{2}J_5 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2}J_3 + \frac{1-2p_2}{2}J_2J_3 + \frac{2p_1+1}{2}J_2J_5 + J_3J_4 - J_3J_5 - J_2J_3J_4 + J_2J_3J_5, \\ A_3 &= \frac{2p_1-1}{2}J_4 - \frac{1+2p_2}{2}J_6 + \frac{1-2p_1}{2}J_1J_4 + \frac{1+2p_2}{2}J_1J_6 + J_3J_4 - J_4J_6 - J_1J_3J_4 + J_1J_4J_6, \\ A_4 &= \frac{2p_1-1}{2}J_4 - \frac{1+2p_1}{2}J_5 + \frac{1+2p_2}{2}J_3 - \frac{1+2p_2}{2}J_6 + J_3J_4 - J_3J_5 - J_4J_6 + J_5J_6, \\ A_5 &= (n-1)J_4 - \frac{1+2p_1}{2}J_5 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2}J_3 + \frac{2p_1-1}{2}J_1J_4 + \frac{1-2p_2}{2}J_2J_3 + J_2J_8 - J_3J_5 - J_4^2 + J_1J_3J_4 - J_2J_3J_4, \\ A_6 &= -J_4 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2}J_2 + J_2J_4, \\ A_7 &= (n-1)J_3 + \frac{2p_1-1}{2}J_4 - \frac{1+2p_2}{2}J_6 + \frac{1-2p_1}{2}J_1J_4 + J_1J_7 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2}J_2J_3 - J_3^2 - J_4J_6 - J_1J_3J_4 + J_2J_3J_4, \\ A_8 &= -J_3 + \frac{2p_1-1}{2}J_1 + J_1J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Some of these operators can be related with the original generators P_c^I , as the following identities hold:

$$A_6 - \frac{1}{4}H^I + \frac{1}{4}P_c^I = 0, \quad A_8 - \frac{1}{4}H^I - \frac{1}{4}P_c^I = 0.$$

We observe that the polynomials $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, A_8\}$ all belong to the enveloping algebra of the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$.

It should be observed that for the realization (27) as differential operators, both A_1, A_5 and A_7 are expressible as linear combinations of $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, A_8\}$, hence they are described in terms of the maximal parabolic subalgebra :

$$A_1 - (2-n)A_4 + 2n = 0, \quad A_5 - A_2 + A_8 + n = 0, \quad A_7 + A_2 - A_4 - A_8 + n = 0.$$

This illustrates again the differences between the enveloping algebra of Lie algebra and that of their realization as differential operators, and that in the purely algebraic setting, other polynomials involving all generators play a role in closing a quadratic algebra.

Prior to determine other algebraic relations between the generators, we find the minimal polynomial algebra \mathfrak{A} that $\{A_1, \dots, A_8\}$ generate, and analyze whether the complete set of polynomials that commute with the Hamiltonian is finitely generated and coincides with \mathfrak{A} . Considering the commutators of the polynomials $\{A_1, \dots, A_8\}$, we further consider the change of basis given by

$$A_2 \rightarrow A_3 + A_2, \quad A_6 \rightarrow A_6 + A_8$$

which ensures that we obtain a quadratic algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1, A_3] &= A_4 A_6 (-2 + n) - 2A_4 A_8 (-2 + n) + A_6 (2 - n - 4p_1 + 2np_1) + A_3 (-6 + 3n + 2p_1 - np_1 + 2p_2 - np_2) + \\ &\quad A_4 (-2p_1 + np_1 + 2p_2 - np_2) - 2A_8 (2 - n - 2p_1 + np_1 - 2p_2 + np_2) + \frac{1}{2} A_2 (6 - 3n - 4p_2 + 2np_2), \\ [A_1, A_8] &= A_2 (-2 + n) - 2A_3 (-2 + n) + \frac{1}{2} A_6 (-2 + n + 4p_1 - 2np_1) + A_8 (2 - n - 2p_1 + np_1 - 2p_2 + np_2), \\ [A_2, A_3] &= -A_4 A_6 + 2A_4 A_8 + A_6 (1 - 2p_1) + \frac{1}{2} A_2 (3 - 2p_2) + A_4 (-p_1 + p_2) + A_3 (-3 + p_1 + p_2) + 2A_8 (-1 + p_1 + p_2), \\ [A_2, A_8] &= -A_2 + 2A_3 + \frac{1}{2} A_6 (-1 + 2p_1) + A_8 (1 - p_1 - p_2), \\ [A_3, A_4] &= A_4 A_6 - 2A_4 A_8 + A_6 (-1 + 2p_1) + A_3 (3 - p_1 - p_2) + A_4 (p_1 - p_2) - 2A_8 (-1 + p_1 + p_2) + \frac{1}{2} A_2 (-3 + 2p_2), \\ [A_3, A_8] &= A_3 - A_6 A_8 + A_8^2 + A_8 \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_1\right) + \frac{1}{2} A_6 (-1 + 2p_1), \\ [A_5, A_8] &= -A_2 + A_3 + A_6 A_8 - A_8^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_8 (1 - 2p_2), \\ [A_7, A_8] &= A_3 - A_6 A_8 + A_8^2 + A_8 \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_1\right) + \frac{1}{2} A_6 (-1 + 2p_1) \end{aligned}$$

The generators $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, A_8\}$ are related through a cubic constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} &A_2 A_3 - A_2 A_6 - A_3 A_8 + \frac{2p_1 + 1}{2} A_4 A_6 + \frac{2p_1 - 1}{2} A_6^2 - \frac{2p_1 - 1}{2} A_3 A_6 + \frac{2p_2 - 1}{2} A_4 A_8 \\ &\quad - \frac{2p_1 - 2p_2 - 4p_1 p_2 + 1}{4} A_2 - \frac{2p_1 + 2p_2 - 4p_1 p_2 - 5}{4} A_3 - \frac{3 - 6p_1 - 2p_2 + 4p_1 p_2}{4} A_6 \\ &\quad - \frac{2p_2 - 1}{2} A_2 A_8 + \frac{2p_2 - 1}{2} A_8^2 + \frac{2p_1 - 2p_2 - 4p_1 p_2 + 1}{4} A_4 + \frac{2p_1 - 2p_2 - 4p_1 p_2 + 1}{4} A_8 - A_4 A_6 A_8 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a quadratic algebra of dimension 8. We observe that those polynomials belonging to the enveloping algebra of maximal parabolic subalgebra $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, A_8\}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ give rise to a five-dimensional quadratic subalgebra.

4.2 Case II

The second type given in [5] possesses the following Hamiltonian and first integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{II} &= -\partial_t^2 - 2u\partial_u^2 + 2t\partial_t + (2u - 1 - 2p_2)\partial_u + \frac{3}{2} + p_2, \\ x_c^{II} &= 2\partial_t^2 - 4u\partial_u^2 - 4t\partial_t + 2(2u - 1 - 2p_2)\partial_u - 1 + 2p_2, \\ x_p^{II} &= -4tu\partial_u^2 + 4u\partial_{tu}^2 - (2u - 1 - 2p_2)\partial_t - 2t(1 + 2p_2)\partial_u. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Besides the relations $[h^{II}, x_c^{II}] = 0$, $[h^{II}, x_p^{II}] = 0$, these differential operators satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_c^{II}, x_p] &= x_{cp}^{II}, \quad [x_c^{II}, x_{cp}^{II}] = 64x_p^{II}, \\ [x_p^{II}, x_{cp}^{II}] &= -6x_c^{II} - 8h^{II}x_c^{II} + 8(h^{II})^2 + 8(-3 - 4p_2 + 4p_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_{cp}^{II} = -32u\partial_{tuu}^3 - 16(1 + 2p_2 - 2u)\partial_{tu}^2 + 32tu\partial_{uu}^2 + 8(1 + 2p_2 - 2u)\partial_t + 16(1 + 2p_2)t\partial_u.$$

Expressed in terms of the generators of the subalgebra \mathfrak{A} , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{II} &= -J_1 J_1 - 2J_4 J_2 + 2J_3 + 2J_4 - (1 + 2p_2)J_2 + \frac{3}{2} + p_2, \\ P_c^{II} &= 2J_1 J_1 - 4J_4 J_2 - 4J_3 + 4J_4 - (1 + 2p_2)J_2 - 1 + 2p_2, \\ P_p^{II} &= -4J_4 J_6 + 4J_1 J_4 - 2J_5 + (1 + 2p_2)J_1 - (2 + 4p_2)J_6. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

While the operator P_c^{II} still commutes with the Hamiltonian as a polynomial in the J_i 's, P_p^{II} leads to a quadratic element:

$$[H^{II}, P_c^{II}] = 0, \quad [P^{II}, P_p^{II}] = 4(J_5 J_2 - J_4 J_1).$$

The latter commutator only vanishes when evaluating the generators J_i at the realization (31). We conclude that the quadratic algebra is only valid as operator algebra and is not a consequence of the underlying Lie algebra but of the explicit choice of realization as differential operator.

Analyzing the enveloping algebra in search of second- and third-order polynomials that commute with the Hamiltonian in (32) at the purely algebraic level, we again find eight such polynomials, given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= (n-2)J_3 - J_3^2 + (1-n)J_3 J_4 + (n-2)J_5 J_6 - J_1 J_4 J_7 + J_1 J_6 J_8 - J_2 J_3 J_8 \\ &\quad + J_2 J_5 J_7 + J_3^2 J_4 + J_3 J_4^2 - J_3 J_5 J_6 - J_4 J_5 J_6, \\ A_2 &= -J_3 + \frac{1+2p_2}{2} J_1 J_6 + \frac{1-2p_2}{2} J_2 J_3 + J_3 J_4 - J_5 J_6 - \frac{1}{2} J_1^2 J_4 + \frac{1}{2} J_1 J_2 J_5 \\ &\quad + J_1 J_4 J_6 - J_2 J_3 J_4, \\ A_3 &= -(1+2p_2)J_6 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2} J_1 J_2 - 2J_4 J_6 + J_1 J_2 J_4, \\ A_4 &= (n-1)J_4 + J_2 J_8 - J_3 J_4 - J_4^2 + \frac{1}{2} J_1^2 J_4 - \frac{1}{2} J_1 J_2 J_5, \\ A_5 &= -J_5 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2} J_1 - (1+2p_2)J_6 + J_1 J_4 + J_2 J_5 - 2J_4 J_6, \\ A_6 &= -J_4 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2} J_2 + J_2 J_4, \\ A_7 &= (n-2)J_3 + J_1 J_7 - J_3^2 - J_5 J_6 - \frac{1}{2} J_1^2 J_4 + \frac{1}{2} J_1 J_2 J_5, \\ A_8 &= -2J_3 + J_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_8\}$ all belong to the enveloping algebra of the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$. We observe that the generators A_1, A_4 and A_7 reduce to linear combinations of $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_5, A_6, A_8\}$ when evaluated at the realization (31)

$$A_1 - 2n = 0, \quad A_8 - 2A_7 - 2n = 0, \quad A_8 + 2A_4 + 2n = 0.$$

This clearly illustrates how the problem of finding integrals in the realization and as abstract polynomials are different and need to be looked at separately. These polynomials span a 8-dimensional quadratic algebra, the commutators of which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [A_3, A_5] &= -2A_2 - 2A_6^2 + 2A_6 A_8 + \frac{1-2p_2}{2} A_8 + (1+2p_2)A_6, \\ [A_3, A_6] &= -A_3, \\ [A_3, A_8] &= 2A_3, \\ [A_5, A_6] &= -2A_3 + A_5, \\ [A_5, A_8] &= 4A_3 - 2A_5, \end{aligned}$$

All the other commutators vanish. The generators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_5, A_6, A_8\}$ are moreover related by means of a cubic polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2} A_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} A_3 A_5 + A_2 A_6 - A_6^2 - \frac{1}{2} A_6^2 A_8 + \frac{2p_2-1}{2} A_6 + \frac{2p_2+1}{4} A_6 A_8 - \frac{2p_2+1}{2} A_2 = 0.$$

Again, the commutators involving only the polynomials related to the maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ determine a 6-dimensional quadratic subalgebra.

4.3 Formally equivalent Hamiltonian

Using the coadjoint representation, we obtain another realization in eight variables

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= x_1\partial_3 + x_2\partial_6 + (2x_3 + x_4)\partial_7 + x_5\partial_8, \\
J_2 &= x_2\partial_4 + x_1\partial_5 + x_6\partial_7 + (x_3 + 2x_4)\partial_8, \\
J_3 &= -x_1\partial_1 - x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6 + x_7\partial_7, \\
J_4 &= -x_2\partial_2 + x_5\partial_5 - x_6\partial_6 + x_8\partial_8, \\
J_5 &= x_1\partial_2 + x_5\partial_3 - x_5\partial_4 + (-x_3 + x_4)\partial_6 + x_8\partial_7, \\
J_6 &= -x_2\partial_1 - x_6\partial_3 + x_6\partial_4 - (-x_3 + x_4)\partial_5 + x_7\partial_8, \\
J_7 &= -(2x_3 + x_4)\partial_1 - x_6\partial_2 - x_7\partial_3 - x_8\partial_5, \\
J_8 &= -x_5\partial_1 - (x_3 + 2x_4)\partial_2 - x_8\partial_4 - x_7\partial_6.
\end{aligned}$$

In this realization, we obtain the two following Hamiltonians, both of them possessing first integrals compatible with the quadratic algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_I &= -(x_3 + 2p_2x_3 + 2x_4 + 4p_2x_4 - x_5 + 2p_1x_5 - 2x_8)\partial_8 - 2(x_3 + 2x_4)x_8\partial_8^2 - 2x_3\partial_7 - 4p_1x_3\partial_7 \\
&\quad - x_4\partial_7 - 2p_1x_4\partial_7 + x_6\partial_7 + 2p_2x_6\partial_7 + 2x_7\partial_7 - 2x_5x_7\partial_5\partial_7 - 2x_6x_8\partial_7\partial_8 - 4x_3x_7\partial_7^2 - 2x_4x_7\partial_7^2 \\
&\quad + x_2\partial_6 - 2p_1x_2\partial_6 + 2x_3x_6\partial_6\partial_8 + 4x_4x_6\partial_6\partial_8 - 2x_5x_6\partial_6\partial_8 - 4x_3x_6\partial_6\partial_7 - 2x_4x_6\partial_6\partial_7 + 2x_6^2\partial_6\partial_7 \\
&\quad - 2x_2x_7\partial_6\partial_7 - 2x_2x_6\partial_6^2 - x_1\partial_5 - 2p_2x_1\partial_5 - 2x_3x_5\partial_5\partial_8 - 4x_4x_5\partial_5\partial_8 + 2x_5^2\partial_5\partial_8 - 2x_1x_8\partial_5\partial_8 \\
&\quad + 4x_3x_5\partial_5\partial_7 + 2x_4x_5\partial_5\partial_7 - 2x_5x_6\partial_5\partial_7 + 2x_2x_5\partial_5\partial_6 + 2x_1x_6\partial_5\partial_6 - 2x_1x_5\partial_5^2 + x_2\partial_4 - 2x_2\partial_2 \\
&\quad - 2p_2x_2\partial_4\partial_8 - 2x_2x_8\partial_4\partial_8 + 2x_2x_6\partial_4\partial_6 - 2x_2x_5\partial_4\partial_5 + x_1\partial_3 - 2p_1x_1\partial_3 - 2x_1x_7\partial_3\partial_7 - 2x_1x_6\partial_3\partial_6 \\
&\quad + 2x_1x_5\partial_3\partial_5 + 2x_2x_3\partial_2\partial_8 + 4x_2x_4\partial_2\partial_8 + 2x_2x_6\partial_2\partial_7 + 2x_1x_2\partial_2\partial_5 + 2x_2^2\partial_2\partial_4 - 2x_1\partial_1 + 2x_1x_5\partial_1\partial_8 \\
&\quad + 4x_1x_3\partial_1\partial_7 + 2x_1x_4\partial_1\partial_7 + 2x_1x_2\partial_1\partial_6 + 2x_1^2\partial_1\partial_3
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{II} &= \left(\frac{3}{2} + p_2\right) - ((1 + 2p_2)(x_3 + 2x_4) - 2x_8)\partial_8 - x_5^2\partial_8^2 - 4x_4x_8\partial_8^2 + (-2x_1 + x_6 - 2p_2x_6 + 2x_7)\partial_7 \\
&\quad - 4x_3x_5\partial_7\partial_8 - 2x_3x_8\partial_8^2 - 2x_4x_5\partial_7\partial_8 - 4x_3^2 - 4x_3x_4\partial_7^2 - x_4^2\partial_7^2 - 2x_2x_5\partial_6\partial_8 + 2x_3x_6\partial_6\partial_8 \\
&\quad + 4x_4x_6\partial_6\partial_8 - 4x_2x_3\partial_6\partial_7 - 2x_2x_4\partial_6\partial_7 + 2x_6^2\partial_6\partial_7 - x_2^2\partial_6^2 - (1 + 2p_2)x_1\partial_5 - 2x_3x_5\partial_5\partial_8 \\
&\quad - 4x_4x_5\partial_5\partial_8 - 2x_1x_8\partial_5\partial_8 - 2x_5x_6\partial_5\partial_7 + 2x_1x_6\partial_5\partial_6 - 2x_1x_5\partial_5^2 + x_2\partial_4 - 2p_2x_2\partial_4 - 2x_1\partial_1 \\
&\quad - 2x_2x_8\partial_4\partial_8 + 2x_2x_6\partial_4\partial_6 - 2x_2x_5\partial_4\partial_5 - 2x_1x_5\partial_3\partial_8 - 4x_1x_3\partial_3\partial_7 - 2x_1x_4\partial_3\partial_7 - 2x_1x_2\partial_3\partial_6 \\
&\quad - x_1^2\partial_3^2 - 2x_2\partial_2 + 2x_2x_3\partial_2\partial_8 + 4x_2x_4\partial_2\partial_8 + 2x_2x_6\partial_2\partial_7 + 2x_1x_2\partial_2\partial_5 + 2x_2^2\partial_2\partial_4 - 2x_6x_8\partial_7\partial_8.
\end{aligned}$$

A classification of formally-equivalent models is certainly an open problem, as it depends heavily on the classification of realizations of Lie algebras by vector fields, a problem that has currently only been solved for low-dimensional Lie algebras [18].

5 Generalized algebraic Hamiltonians

The preceding examples illustrate that the space \mathfrak{M}_d of polynomials commuting with an algebraic Hamiltonian H can differ considerably from one case to another. In this context, it is reasonable to inspect to which extent the existence of commuting polynomials depends on the relation among the coefficients of the Hamiltonian H , and whether by an appropriate variations of these constants a given exactly solvable system can be generalized to a parameterized family with similar properties.

As first example, we consider a generalized version of the (algebraic) Hamiltonian (17)

$$H_1 = a_1J^2J^1 + a_2J^3J^1 + a_3R_0J^3 + a_4J^2 + a_5J^1 + a_6J^3 + a_7R_0, \quad (33)$$

where the parameters a_i are supposed to be free. Besides this quadratic polynomial in $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{g}^1)$, which is the Hamiltonian itself, there are no other polynomials of the second, third or fourth order that commute with the Hamiltonian H_1 , unless certain algebraic relations among the parameters are also imposed. This shows that the generic analysis does not necessarily lead to the construction of quadratic algebras, as additional constraints on the coefficients are required to ensure the existence of algebraic higher-order first integrals. Ultimately, such relations among the coefficients are heavily dependent on the underlying hidden symmetry algebra considered.

Let us now consider the following parameterized generalization of the Hamiltonian in (30):

$$H^I = a_1 J_3 J_1 + a_2 J_4 J_2 + a_3 J_3 + a_4 J_4 + a_5 J_1 + a_6 J_2. \quad (34)$$

As before, no relation between the parameters is assumed. In contrast to the preceding case, here there exist two (independent) polynomials of order 2, 4 of order 3 and 7 of order four that commute with H^I . Among these polynomials, those of order two commute with each other and with any of the polynomials of orders three and four. Considering the commutators of the non-quadratic polynomials, additional higher-order operators are obtained, so that higher-order non-Abelian polynomial algebras may exist for this generic case.

Finally, the Hamiltonian

$$H^I = -2J_3 J_1 + -22J_4 J_2 + 2J_3 + 2J_4 - (2p_1 + 1)J_1 - (2p_2 + 1)J_2 + p_3 J_1 J_2 + p_4 J_1^2 + p_5 J_2^2 \quad (35)$$

can be considered as a deformation of the Hamiltonian in (30) by a quadratic term parameterized by p_3, p_4, p_5 . In this case, two second-order polynomials and one third-order polynomials which commute with the Hamiltonian and with each other can be found, thus leading to an Abelian algebra. The analysis of fourth-order polynomials shows that the three solutions are obtained as commutators of the lower order operators.

5.1 A generic system with fixed cubic integral

We illustrate by an example how the hidden symmetry algebra of a known exactly solvable system can be used to derive new or alternative systems depending on some parameters, starting with a given first integral. To this extent, let us consider again the subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ spanned by $\{J^1, J^2, J^3, T_1, R_0, R_1\}$. Instead of using the Hamiltonian (17), we consider the fixed cubic polynomial given by

$$Q_2 = -J^2 + T_1 - J^2 J^3 + J^2 R_1 - T_1 R_1 + J^2 J^3 R_1.$$

A routine computation shows that the most general quadratic element in $\mathcal{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ that commutes with Q_2 has the form $a_1 P_1 + \dots + a_4 P_4$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$P_1 = J^2 - J^2 J^3 + T_1 R_1, \quad P_2 = J^1 + R_0 + J^3 R_0, \quad P_3 = J^2 + J^3, \quad P_4 = P_3^2.$$

As algebraic Hamiltonian, we consider a generic nonvanishing linear combination $H = a_1 P_1 + a_2 P_2 + a_3 P_3 + a_4 P_4$. Leaving the coefficients free, the only quadratic polynomials that commute with H are the Hamiltonian itself, as well as the polynomial $Q_1 = J^3 R_1 + R_1 - J^3$. By construction, it follows that Q_1 and Q_2 do not commute, and indeed we obtain the fourth-order operator

$$[Q_1, Q_2] = -J^2 + J^3 + T_1 - J^3 J^2 + (J^3)^2 + R_1 J^3 - T_1 R_1 + R_1 J^3 J^2 - 2R_1 (J^3)^2 - (R_1)^2 J^3 + (R_1)^2 (J^3)^2.$$

These commutation relations are valid in the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^1)$ for any values of the parameters a_i , and are moreover independent on any realization of the generators by vector fields. If we now take into account the particular realization (11), we obtain the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (a_2 + (a_1 + a_4)t)\partial_t + (a_2 + (a_1 + a_4)u)\partial_u + a_4 t^2 \partial_t^2 + 2a_4 t u \partial_{ut}^2 + (a_2 + a_4 u)u \partial_u^2 + a_3 (t\partial_t + u\partial_u), \\ x_1 &= (t - u)\partial_u + (u - t)\partial_t + (t^2 - 2tu)\partial_{tu}^2 + tu\partial_u^2 + t^2 u \partial_{ut}^3, \\ y_1 &= (t - u)\partial_u + tu\partial_u^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We obviously have that x_1, y_1 are constants of the motion of the Hamiltonian h , and that the commutator of x_1 and y_1 leads to the fourth-order differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, y_1] &= (t - u)\partial_t + (t - u)\partial_u + (2tu - t^2)\partial_{tu}^2 + (5tu - u^2 - 2t^2)\partial_u^2 - t^2 u \partial_{ut}^3 \\ &\quad + (2tu^2 - 4t^2 u)\partial_u^3 - t^2 u^2 \partial_u^4. \end{aligned}$$

We further observe that, while $[x_1, [x_1, y_1]]$ provides another operator de order six, as expected, the identity $[y_1, [x_1, y_1]] - [x_1, y_1] = 0$ is satisfied. Indeed, the commutator between Q_1 and Q_2 can be expressed as

$$[Q_1, Q_2] = -Q_1^2 - Q_2 + Q_1.$$

With the redefinition $Q_3 = -Q_1^2 - Q_2 + Q_1$, the commutation relations can be formulated as

$$[Q_1, Q_2] = Q_3, \quad [Q_1, Q_3] = Q_1^2 + Q_2 - Q_1, \quad [Q_2, Q_3] = -2Q_1^3 - \{Q_1, Q_2\} + 3Q_1^2 + Q_2 - Q_1,$$

leading to a cubic algebra [17] and such cubic algebra.

6 Conclusion

Many approaches have been introduced over the years to generate superintegrable systems and their symmetry algebras. These approaches are based on PDE's, ladder operators, orthogonal polynomials or recurrence relations, thus they rely on explicit realizations. The hidden algebra approach combines both a purely algebraic construction and explicit realizations of Lie algebras by differential operators. In this paper we have focused on the algebraic formalism underlying the hidden symmetry method, and more specifically, on the construction of finite-dimensional quadratic polynomial algebras. These algebras are obtained analyzing the subspaces that commute with a Hamiltonian, whenever the latter is written as an element belonging to the enveloping algebra of an appropriate subalgebra of the hidden symmetry algebra. For three known models studied in [5, 12], we obtained a 4-dimensional, a 5-dimensional, a 6-dimensional and two 8-dimensional quadratic algebra, respectively. The symmetry algebra of the Smorodinsky-Winternitz and the BC_2 -Calogero systems, which correspond to a special cases of the hierarchy studied in [5], can thus be formulated in a purely algebraic way, without referring to an explicit realization by differential operators. This suggests to reexamine other models along the same lines, such as the singular Wolfes model, which is also contained in the family described in [5], and even to see whether the algebraic approach can provide additional information on the higher-order integrals for the parameter values for which the direct analytic approach has turned out to be intractable.

In principle, there are two possibilities to pursue the analysis. On the one hand, we can analyze deformations or generalizations of a given Hamiltonian and hidden symmetry algebra, in order to find further new or alternative (superintegrable) systems, by suitably characterization of the subspaces \mathfrak{M}_d of polynomials that commute with the Hamiltonian H . We presented three examples of such new equivalence in this paper. As such polynomials commute with H in the corresponding enveloping algebra, they will give rise to constants of the motion for any nontrivial realization of the Lie algebra by vector fields. This means that the same operators would lead to essentially different systems for nonequivalent realizations. Another possibility concerns the classification of classes of polynomial algebras, by means of re-examination of the enveloping algebra of Lie algebras. The first step in this direction would be to establish criteria that allow to decide if for any given (quasi-) exactly solvable system there exist finite-dimensional quadratic polynomial algebras associated to it, and whether the resulting algebras are related to each other, either by extension or some other procedure. Work in these directions is currently in progress.

Acknowledgements

IM was supported by the Australian Research Council Future Fellowship FT180100099. RCS was supported by the research projects MTM2016-79422-P of the AEI/FEDER (EU) and PID2019-106802GB-I00 /AEI / 10.13039/501100011033 of the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (Spain).

7 Appendix A

7.1 $k = 1$

The polynomials L_1 , L_2 and L_3 commuting with the Hamiltonian (17) are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 = & a\omega^2 J_3 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(1 - 2a - 2b)J_2 + \frac{\omega}{4}(1 + 4b + 4b^2)R_0 - 2\omega^2(1 - a)T_1 - \frac{\omega^2}{2}(1 + 2b)R_1 \\
& - \frac{\omega}{2}(-1 + 2a - 2a^2 - 2b - 2b^2)J_1 + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - a - b + 2ab + b^2)J_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(1 - 2a)J_1 J_3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(1 + a + 3b + 2ab + 2b^2)J_1 R_0 + 2\omega(1 - a)J_1 T_1 + \frac{\omega^2}{2} J_2^2 + \omega^2 J_2 J_3 + \frac{3\omega^2}{2} J_3^2 \\
& - \omega^2 J_3 R_1 + \omega^2 J_3 T_1 + \frac{1}{8}(3 + 8b + 4b^2)R_0^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(5 - 2a)T_1 R_0 - \omega^2 T_1 R_1 - \omega J_1 J_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(2a + 2b - 1)J_1^2 J_2 + (2a + 2b - 1)J_1^2 J_3 - 3\omega J_1 J_2 J_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2b)J_1 J_2 R_0 - 3\omega J_1 J_3^2 \\
& + (2 + a + 3b)J_1 J_3 R_0 - \omega J_1 J_3 T_1 + \omega J_2 T_1 R_0 - \omega J_3^2 R_0 + (1 + b)J_3 R_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}J_1^2 J_2^2 + 2J_1^2 J_3^2 \\
& + 2J_1^2 J_2 J_3 + J_1 J_2 J_3 R_0 + 2J_1 J_3^2 R_0 + \frac{1}{2}J_3^2 R_0^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 = & \frac{\omega}{4}(1+4b+4b^2)J_1 + \frac{\omega}{4}(1+4b+4b^2)R_0 - \omega^2(1+b)J_3 - \frac{a\omega^2}{2}(3+2b)T_1 + \frac{1}{8}(3+8b+4b^2)J_1^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(3+8b+4b^2)J_1R_0 + \omega J_1T_1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2J_3^2 + \omega^2J_3T_1 + \frac{1}{8}(3+8b+4b^2)R_0^2 + \omega T_1R_0 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2T_1^2 \\
& + (1+b)J_1^2J_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1+2b)J_1^2T_1 - \omega J_1J_3^2 + 2(1+b)J_1J_3R_0 - 2\omega J_1J_3T_1 + (1+2b)J_1T_1R_0 \\
& - \omega J_1T_1^2 - \omega J_3^2R_0 + (1+b)J_3R_0^2 - 2\omega J_3T_1R_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1+2b)T_1R_0^2 - \omega T_1^2R_0 + \frac{1}{2}J_1^2J_3^2 + J_1^2J_3T_1 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}J_1^2T_1^2 + J_1J_3^2R_0 + 2J_1J_3T_1R_0 + J_1T_1^2R_0 + \frac{1}{2}J_3^2R_0^2 + J_3T_1R_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}T_1^2R_0^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 = & -\frac{\omega}{2}(1-2a+2a^2+2b+2b^2)J_1 - \frac{\omega}{4}R_0(1+4b+4b^2)R_0 - \omega^2(1-a)J_2 + \omega^2(1+b)J_3 \\
& - \omega^2(a-b-3)T_1 + \frac{1}{4}(4a-2a^2-4b-2b^2-3)J_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(1-2a)J_1J_3 - \omega J_1T_1 + \omega^2J_2T_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{4}(3+8b+4b^2)J_1R_0 - \frac{\omega^2}{2}(J_2^2+J_3^2) - \omega^2J_3T_1 - \frac{1}{8}(3+8b+4b^2)R_0^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(2a-5)T_1R_0 \\
& - \omega^2T_1^2 + (1-a)J_1^2J_2 - (a+b)J_1^2J_3 + (a-b-2)J_1^2T_1\omega J_1J_2^2 + \omega J_1J_2J_3 - 2\omega J_1J_2T_1 \\
& + \omega J_1J_3^2 - 2(1+b)J_1J_3R_0 + \omega J_1J_3T_1 + \frac{1}{2}(2a-4b-3)J_1T_1R_0 + 2\omega J_1T_1^2 - \omega J_2T_1R_0 \\
& + \omega J_3^2R_0 - (1+b)J_3R_0^2 + 2\omega J_3T_1R_0 - \frac{1}{2}(1+2b)T_1R_0^2 + 2\omega T_1^2R_0 - \frac{1}{2}J_1^2J_2^2 - J_1^2J_2J_3 \\
& + J_1^2J_2T_1 - J_1^2J_3^2 - J_1^2T_1^2 + J_1J_2T_1R_0 - J_1J_3^2R_0 - J_1J_3T_1R_0 - 2J_1T_1^2R_0 - \frac{1}{2}J_3^2R_0^2 \\
& - J_3T_1R_0^2 - T_1^2R_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 Commuting polynomials for $k = 2$

The polynomials A_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) up to degree five commuting with the Hamiltonian (24) are given by

$$A_1 = (1+2a+2b)J_1 + 2(-1+2b)R_1 - J_2a\omega - J_3a\omega + J_2J_1 + 2J_3J_1 + 2R_1J_3.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 = & \frac{1}{2}(3-2a-8b+4ab+4b^2)R_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1+4a+4a^2+4b+8ab+4b^2)\omega J_1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4}(3+4a+4b)J_2 \\
& + 2(2ab+2b^2-1-a+b)\omega R_1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4}(3+4a+4b)J_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1+3a+2a^2+3b+4ab+2b^2)J_1^2 \\
& + \frac{\omega^2}{4}J_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(1+2a+2b)J_3J_1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2J_3J_2 + \frac{\omega^2}{4}J_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2b-1)R_0J_2 + \frac{1}{2}(2a+6b-5)R_0J_3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(1-4a-6b+8ab+8b^2)R_1J_1 + \omega(1-2b)R_1J_2 + (3+2a)\omega R_1J_3 + (3-8b+4b^2)R_1^2 + R_0J_3J_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(3+4a+4b)J_2J_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega J_2^2J_1 + \frac{1}{2}(3+4a+4b)J_3J_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}\omega J_3J_2J_1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega J_3^2J_1 + (2b-1)R_1J_2J_1 \\
& + R_0J_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}(4a+12b-5)R_1J_3J_1 - \omega R_1J_3J_2 - \omega R_1J_3^2 + 4(b-1)R_1^2J_3 + \frac{1}{4}J_2^2J_1^2 + J_3J_2J_1^2 + J_3^2J_1^2 \\
& + R_1J_3J_2J_1 + 2R_1J_3^2J_1 + R_1^2J_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 = & (4a - 4a^2 + 8b - 12ab + 8a^2b - 4b^2 + 8ab^2 - 3)R_0 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}T_1 - 2(1 + 3a + 2a^2 + 2b + 2ab)\omega J_1 \\
& - 2(8ab - 4a + 6b - 3)\omega R_1 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(5 + 6a)J_3 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(5 + 4a)J_2 - \frac{1}{2}(5 + 8a + 4a^2 + 2b + 4ab)J_1^2 \\
& - \frac{\omega^2}{2}J_2^2 - (5 + 4a - 2b)\omega J_3J_1 + 2(1 - 3a - 3b + 6ab + 2b^2)R_0J_2 - 2(1 - a - 3b + 2ab + 2b^2)R_1J_1 \\
& + 2(3 - 4a + 2a^2 - 5b + 6ab + 2b^2)R_0J_3 + 2aR_0T_1 + 2(2b - 1)\omega R_1J_2 - 2(3 + 4a)\omega R_1J_3 - 2\omega R_1T_1 \\
& - 2(3 - 8b + 4b^2)R_1^2 - \omega T_1J_1 - \frac{1}{2}(5 + 4a)J_2J_1^2 + \omega J_2^2J_1 - (2 + 3a + 2b)J_3J_1^2 + \omega J_3J_2J_1 + \omega J_3J_3J_1 \\
& + 2(2b - 1)R_0J_2^2 + (6a + 8b - 5)R_0J_3J_2 + 4(a + b - 1)R_0J_3^2 + R_0T_1J_2 + R_0T_1J_3 - 2\omega R_1J_3J_2 \\
& + (5 - 2a - 8b)R_1J_3J_1 + 8(1 - b)R_1^2J_3 + R_1T_1J_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_1J_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}J_2^2J_1^2 - J_3J_2J_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}J_3^2J_1^2 + 2R_0J_3J_2^2 \\
& 3R_0J_3^2J_2 + R_0J_3^3 - 3R_1J_3^2J_1 - 2R_1^2J_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 = & -2(9 - 10a + 6a^2 - 4a^3 - 33b + 30ab - 16a^2b + 8a^3b + 36b^2 - 20ab^2 + 8a^2b^2 - 12b^3)R_0 \\
& - (9 + 24a + 4a^2 - 16a^3 + 18b - 4ab - 48a^2b - 12b^2 - 56ab^2 - 24b^3)\omega J_1 - (2a + b)\omega^2T_1 \\
& + \omega^2(1 - 2a - 4b + 4ab + 4b^2)R_2 + 2(15 + 6a - 12a^2 - 36b - 28ab + 24a^2b + 32ab^2 + 24b^3)\omega R_1 \\
& + (12 + 7a - 12a^2 - 2b - 20ab - 14b^2)\omega^2J_3 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(25 + 8a - 16a^2 - 8b - 32ab - 24b^2)\omega^2J_2 \\
& + (2a^2 + 8a^3 - 6b + 6ab + 24a^2b + 10b^2 + 28ab^2 + 12b^3 - 10 - 13a)J_1^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(4a + 8b - 7)\omega^2J_2^2 \\
& + 2(8a^2 + 5b + 8ab + 6b^2 - 8)\omega J_3J_1 + (1 - 4a + 8a^2 - 6b + 8ab - 16a^2b + 8b^2)R_0J_2 + \omega^2R_2T_1 \\
& - 2(13a - 8a^2 + 4a^3 + 31b - 16ab + 8a^2b - 18b^2 - 14)R_0J_3 + (1 - 4a^2 - 2b)R_0T_1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2T_1J_3 \\
& + 2(13a - 4a^2 + 27b - 42ab + 8a^2b - 42b^2 + 32ab^2 + 24b^3 - 6)R_1J_1 - \frac{\omega^2}{2}(3 + 2a - 2b)J_3^2 \\
& - 4\omega(1 - a - 5b + 2ab + 6b^2)R_1J_2 + 2\omega(12a^2 - 4a + 20b + 12ab - 19)R_1J_3 + 4\omega^2(b - 1)J_3J_2 \\
& + 4(3a + 33b - 8ab - 36b^2 + 4ab^2 + 12b^3 - 9)R_1^2 + 2(2a + 2b - 1)\omega R_1T_1 + 2\omega^2(a + 2b - 1)R_2J_3 \\
& + 2(2b - 1)\omega^2R_2J_2 + 2(2a + b)\omega T_1J_1 + \frac{1}{2}(16a^2 + 8b + 32ab + 24b^2 - 25 - 8a)J_2J_1^2 - R_0T_1J_3 \\
& + (7 - 4a - 8b)\omega J_2^2J_1 + \frac{1}{2}(24a^2 - 8b + 72ab + 52b^2 - 35 - 34a)J_3J_1^2 + (15 - 4a - 16b)\omega J_3J_2J_1 \\
& + (1 - 2a - 4b + 4ab + 4b^2)R_0J_2^2 + (3 - 8a^2 - 8b + 8ab + 8b^2)R_0J_3J_2 - 2(a + 5b - 6)\omega J_3^2J_1 \\
& - 2(4 - 2a + 2a^2 - 3b - 2ab - 2b^2)R_0J_3^2 + 4(1 - 4b + 4b^2)R_1J_2J_1 - 4(2b - 1)\omega R_1J_2^2 - \omega^2J_3^2J_2 \\
& + (37 - 34a + 8a^2 - 104b + 48ab + 72b^2)R_1J_3J_1 - 2(2a + 8b - 3)\omega R_1J_3J_2 - 4(a + 3b - 2)\omega R_1J_3^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2J_3^3 - 4(3 - 8b + 4b^2)R_1^2J_2 + 2(21 - 8a - 40b + 8ab + 20b^2)R_1^2J_3 - 2(2b - 1)R_1^2T_1 + \omega T_1J_3J_1 \\
& + (1 - 4a - 4b)R_1T_1J_1 - 2\omega R_1T_1J_2 + 2\omega^2R_2J_3J_2 + \omega^2R_2J_3^2 + (-2a - b)T_1J_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(4a + 8b - 7)J_2^2J_1^2 \\
& + (4a + 12b - 11)J_3J_2J_1^2 + (5a + 13b - 14)J_3^2J_1^2 + 2\omega J_3^2J_2J_1 + \omega J_3^3J_1 + 2(2b - 1)R_0J_2^2 - 4\omega R_1J_3J_2^2 \\
& + 2(a + 6b - 3)R_0J_3J_2^2 + 4(a + 3b - 2)R_0J_3^2J_2 + (2a + 4b - 3)R_0J_3^2 + R_0T_1J_2^2 + 2R_0T_1J_3J_2 + R_0T_1J_3^2 \\
& + (8a + 20b - 23)R_1J_3^2J_1 - 2\omega R_1J_3^2J_2 - 16(b - 1)R_1^2J_3J_2 + 4(a + b - 1)R_1^2J_3^2 - 2R_1^2T_1J_3 - 2R_1T_1J_3J_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{2}T_1J_3J_1^2 - J_3J_3J_2J_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}J_3^3J_1^2 + 2R_0J_3J_2^3 + 5R_0J_3^2J_2^2 + 4R_0J_3^3J_2 + R_0J_3^4 - 4R_1J_3^2J_2J_1 - 2R_1J_3^3J_1 \\
& - 4R_1^2J_3^2J_2 - 2R_1^2J_3^3.
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] A.V. Turbiner, Comm. Math. Phys. **118**, 467 (1988)
- [2] M.A. Shifman and A.V. Turbiner, Comm. Math. Phys. **126**, 347 (1989)
- [3] A.V. Turbiner, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. **25**, L1087 (1992)

- [4] A. V. Turbiner, Lie Algebras and Linear Operators with Invariant Subspace, in *Lie algebras, cohomologies and new findings in quantum mechanics* (N. Kamran and P. J. Olver, eds.), AMS, vol. 160, pp. 263–310, 1994; Lie-algebras and Quasi-exactly-solvable Differential Equations, in *CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, Vol.3: New Trends in Theoretical Developments and Computational Methods*, Chapter 12, CRC Press (N. Ibragimov, ed.), pp. 331-366, 1995, hep-th/9409068
- [5] P. Tempesta, A. V. Turbiner and P. Winternitz, *J. Math.Phys.* **42**, 4248 (2001)
- [6] C. Daskaloyannis and Y. Tanoudis, *Phys. Atomic Nucl.* **73**, 214 (2010)
- [7] W. Miller Jr, S. Post and P. Winternitz, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46**, 423001 (2013)
- [8] W. Miller Jr., *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **512**, 012012 (2014)
- [9] F. M. Hoque, I. Marquette, and Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 445207 (2015)
- [10] Y. Liao, I. Marquette and Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. A : Math. Theor.* **51**, 255201 (2018)
- [11] Z. Chen, I.Marquette and Y.Z Zhang, *Annals Phys.* **411**, 167970 (2019)
- [12] F. Tremblay, A. V. Turbiner and P. Winternitz, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 242001 (2009)
- [13] J. Dixmier 1974 Algèbres enveloppantes (Paris: Hermann)
- [14] J. Friš, V. Mandrosov, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhler and P. Winternitz, *Phys. Lett.* **16**, 354 (1965)
- [15] A. Turbiner, Hidden Algebra of Three-Body Integrable Systems, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* A13, 1473-1483 (1998)
- [16] Yu F Smirnov and A.V. Turbiner, gl_{n+1} algebra of Matrix Differential Operators and Matrix Quasi-exactly-solvable Problems, arxiv 1306.1377
- [17] I Marquette, Superintegrability with third order integrals of motion, cubic algebras, and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. I. Rational function potentials, *J. Math. Phys* **50**, 012101 (2009)
- [18] R.O. Popovych, V.M. Boyko, M.O. Nesterenko and M.W. Lutfullin, Realizations of Real Low-Dimensional Lie Algebras, *J.Phys.A36:7337-7360* (2003)