

Optical spectroscopic observations of low-energy counterparts of *Fermi*-LAT γ -ray sources

H. A. Peña-Herazo^{1,2,3,4}, R. A. Amaya-Almazán², F. Massaro^{1,3,4,5}, R. de Menezes^{1,6}, E. J. Marchesini^{1,4,7,8,9}, V. Chavushyan², A. Paggi^{4,5}, M. Landoni^{10,11}, N. Masetti^{9,12}, F. Ricci¹³, R. D’Abrusco¹⁴, C.C. Cheung¹⁵, F. La Franca¹⁶, H. A. Smith¹⁴, D. Milisavljevic¹⁷, E. Jiménez-Bailón¹⁸, V. M. Patiño-Álvarez^{2,19}, and G. Tosti²⁰

¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy.

² Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Apartado Postal 51-216, 72000 Puebla, México.

³ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy.

⁴ INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy.

⁵ Consorzio Interuniversitario per la Fisica Spaziale (CIFS), via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125, Torino, Italy.

⁶ Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Astronomia, São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil.

⁷ Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

⁸ Instituto de Astrofísica de La Plata, CONICET-UNLP, CCT La Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

⁹ INAF-Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, via Gobetti 93/3, I-40129, Bologna, Italy.

¹⁰ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Cagliari, via della Scienza, 5, Selargius CA

¹¹ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy.

¹² Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Fernández Concha 700, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile.

¹³ Instituto de Astrofísica and Centro de Astroingeniería, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile.

¹⁴ Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

¹⁵ Naval Research Laboratory, Space Science Division, Code 7650, Washington, DC 20375, USA.

¹⁶ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146, Roma, Italy.

¹⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, 525 Northwestern Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

¹⁸ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 877, Ensenada, 22800 Baja California, México.

¹⁹ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany.

²⁰ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Perugia, 06123 Perugia, Italy.

Received ... ; accepted ...

ABSTRACT

Context. A significant fraction of all γ -ray sources detected by the Large Area Telescope aboard the *Fermi* satellite is still lacking a low-energy counterpart. In addition, there is still a large population of γ -ray sources with associated low-energy counterparts that lack firm classifications. In the last 10 years we have undertaken an optical spectroscopic campaign to address the problem of unassociated/unidentified γ -ray sources (UGSs), mainly devoted to observing blazars and blazar candidates because they are the largest population of γ -ray sources associated to date.

Aims. Here we describe the overall impact of our optical spectroscopic campaign on sources associated in *Fermi*-LAT catalogs, coupled with objects found in the literature. In the literature search, we kept track of efforts by different teams that presented optical spectra of counterparts or potential counterparts of *Fermi*-LAT catalog sources. Our summary includes an analysis of an additional 30 newly-collected optical spectra of counterparts or potential counterparts of *Fermi*-LAT sources of previously unknown nature.

Methods. New spectra were acquired at the Blanco 4-m and OAN-SPM 2.1-m telescopes, and those available in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (data release 15) archive.

Results. All new sources with optical spectra analyzed here are classified as blazars. Thanks to our campaign, we altogether discovered and classified 394 targets with an additional 123 objects collected from a literature search. We began our optical spectroscopic campaign between the release of the second and third *Fermi*-LAT source catalogs (2FGL and 3FGL, respectively), and classified about 25% of the sources with uncertain nature and discovered a blazar-like potential counterpart for ~10% of UGSs listed therein. In the 4FGL catalog, about 350 *Fermi*-LAT sources are classified to date thanks to our campaign.

Conclusions. The most elusive class of blazars are found to be BL Lacs since the largest fraction of *Fermi*-LAT sources targeted in our observations showed a featureless optical spectrum. The same conclusion applied to the literature spectra. Finally, we confirm the high reliability of mid-IR color-based methods to select blazar-like candidate counterparts of unassociated/unidentified γ -ray sources.

Key words. Galaxies: Active – BL Lacertae objects: general – quasars: emission lines

1. Introduction

With the launch in 2008 of the *Fermi* Large Area telescope (*Fermi*-LAT), a new era in γ -ray astronomy began (Atwood et al. 2009). One of the most challenging key scientific objectives of

the *Fermi*-LAT mission, highlighted prior to launch, is to determine “the type of object(s) and the mechanisms for gamma-ray emission from the unidentified gamma-ray sources”¹.

¹ <https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/science/resources/aosrd/>

Amongst all associated γ -ray sources listed in the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs (1FGL, 2FGL, 3FGL and 4FGL, [Abdo et al. 2010](#); [Nolan et al. 2012](#); [Acero et al. 2015](#); [Abdollahi et al. 2020](#), respectively), blazars constitute the largest known population (see also [Mirabal & Halpern 2012](#); [Hartman et al. 1999](#)). In the most recent *Fermi*-LAT catalog (i.e., the 4FGL), based on eight years of *Fermi*-LAT survey data, they form the vast majority of all associated sources ($\sim 93\%$, 3135 out of 3370 total). This statistic considered sources known as Blazars of Uncertain type (BCUs), which are blazars lacking a spectroscopic confirmation, and constituted more than 25% of the entire 4FGL.

Blazars are a subclass of radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs), whose emission is interpreted as due to relativistic particles accelerated in a collimated jet aligned within a few degrees to our line of sight ([Blandford & Rees 1978](#)). There are two main classes of blazars: BL Lac objects and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). The former is characterized by an almost featureless optical spectrum, or present only weak emission/absorption lines (with equivalent width, EW, less than 5 \AA) mainly due to their host galaxy ([Stickel et al. 1991](#); [Stoche et al. 1991](#)), making redshift (z) estimates challenging (see e.g., [Landoni et al. 2014, 2015b](#)). On the other hand, the optical spectra of FSRQs appear as typical quasars ([Stickel et al. 1991](#)), but additionally exhibit flat radio spectra and highly polarized emission from radio to optical frequencies (see. e.g., [Healey et al. 2007](#); [Hovatta et al. 2012](#)).

Recent optical spectroscopic campaigns have found that the largest fraction of both potential low-energy counterparts of unidentified/unassociated γ -ray sources (UGSs) and classified BCUs are identified as BL Lacs (see e.g., [Landoni et al. 2015a](#); [Massaro et al. 2016](#); [Klindt et al. 2017](#); [Marchesi et al. 2018](#); [Desai et al. 2019](#); [Paiano et al. 2019](#)). These results strongly indicate that BL Lacs are the most elusive counterparts of γ -ray sources with respect to other extragalactic classes.

Discovering more BL Lacs among UGSs has immediate scientific return both in building their γ -ray luminosity function (see e.g., [Ajello et al. 2014](#)), necessary to achieve a better understanding of the extragalactic γ -ray background ([Ajello et al. 2015](#)), and searching for signatures of attenuation in their γ -ray spectra by extragalactic background light ([Domínguez et al. 2011](#); [Ackermann et al. 2012b](#); [Sandrinelli et al. 2013](#)). The identification of UGSs is also useful to select potential targets for future observations with the Cherenkov Telescope Array ([Massaro et al. 2013b](#); [Arsioli et al. 2015](#)); to obtain more stringent limits on dark matter annihilation in sub-halos ([Zechlin & Horns 2012](#); [Berlin & Hooper 2014](#)); to test new γ -ray detection algorithms ([Abdollahi et al. 2020](#); [Kerr 2019](#)), and to search for new γ -ray source classes ([Massaro et al. 2017](#); [Bruni et al. 2018](#)).

Over the past decade many approaches have been used to search for UGS counterparts. These methods are mainly based on radio observations at low- (i.e., at or below $\sim 1 \text{ GHz}$; [Massaro et al. 2013a](#); [Nori et al. 2014](#); [Giroletti et al. 2016](#); [Mooney et al. 2019](#)) and high-frequency (i.e., above 1 GHz ; [Hovatta et al. 2012](#); [Petrov et al. 2013](#); [Schinzel et al. 2015](#)), and/or WISE ([Wright et al. 2010](#)) infrared (IR) data ([Massaro et al. 2011](#); [D’Abrusco et al. 2012](#)), and/or X-ray follow-up campaigns ([Masetti et al. 2010](#); [Paggi et al. 2013](#); [Stroh & Falcone 2013](#); [Acero et al. 2013](#); [Landi et al. 2015](#); [Paiano et al. 2017a](#)), as well as statistical algorithms ([Ackermann et al. 2012a](#); [Doert et al. 2014](#); [Salvetti et al. 2017](#)), or optical polarimetry ([Mandarakas et al. 2019](#); [Lioudakis & Blinov 2019](#)). Amongst these, the most powerful tools are radio follow-up observations ([Petrov et al. 2013](#); [Nori et al. 2014](#); [Schinzel et al. 2015](#); [Giroletti et al. 2016](#); [Schinzel et al. 2017](#)) and statistical analysis of mid-IR col-

ors ([D’Abrusco et al. 2019](#)). However, to ultimately confirm the blazar nature of the low energy counterparts proposed in these studies, optical spectroscopic observations are strictly necessary (see e.g., [Massaro et al. 2016](#), for a recent review).

Thus since 2014, we started a spectroscopic follow-up campaign, mainly based on observations carried out at 4-m class ground-based telescopes, to (i) confirm the blazar-like nature of candidate UGS counterparts selected on the basis of their mid-IR colors ([Massaro et al. 2011](#)); (ii) verify if BCUs were blazars and classify them according to the possible presence (or lack) of features in their optical spectra, and (iii) observe any BL Lac whose redshift was still uncertain, aiming to observe them in a low-flux (i.e. quiescent) state (see e.g., [Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016a](#)).

In the current paper, we describe the overall impact of our optical campaign, carried out since 2014, highlighting the fraction of new associations and identifications obtained in each of the *Fermi*-LAT catalog releases. In our summary, we include the results of 30 newly-acquired optical spectra of BCUs and potential low-energy counterparts of UGSs. We obtained the majority of the new spectra (22/30) in 2018 and 2019 using the Blanco and OAN-SPM telescopes, while we collected the remaining from an archival search of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 15 ([Aguado et al. 2019](#)).

The manuscript is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the blazar classifications adopted during our campaign in comparison with those used in the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs. Then in Section 3, we present the results achieved for the 30 new optical spectra, with all the details on the data reduction and analysis, along with the images given in Appendix A. We discuss the overall impact of our optical spectroscopic campaigns on sources classified and associated in the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs in Section 4 (summary tables provided in Appendix B), including all the newly-derived results from the spectra presented here. In Section 5, we compare the mid-IR based classifications, mostly used to select targets during our spectroscopic campaign, with those determined by our optical spectroscopic observations. Finally, we present our summary, conclusions, and future perspectives in Section 6.

Given our usage of a large number of acronyms and abbreviations, mostly due to different classifications and telescopes involved, we provide a summary in Table 1.

2. Blazar classifications

Since we are comparing *Fermi*-LAT catalogs with sources that could be included in future releases of the Roma-BZCAT ([Massaro et al. 2009, 2015b](#)), it is necessary to describe how sources are classified in these catalogs and the correspondences between the two.

For the results of our spectroscopic campaign we adopted the Roma-BZCAT nomenclature, where sources are mainly distinguished between three types:

1. BL Lac objects, labelled as BZBs, are those sources with a featureless optical spectrum, or show a blue continuum with absorption lines due to the host galaxy, or weak and narrow emission lines with equivalent width less than 5 \AA ;
2. Flat spectrum radio quasars, labelled as BZQs, are characterized by optical spectra showing broad emission lines and non-thermal properties at other wavelengths (flat radio spectra indicating an optically-thick jet and highly polarized emission from radio to optical);

Table 1. Acronyms and Abbreviations used in the text.

Acronym or Abbreviation	Description
AGN	Active Galactic Nuclei
AGU	AGN of Uncertain type
BCU	Blazar of Uncertain type
BLL	BL Lac object
FSRQ	Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar
UGS	Unidentified/Unassociated γ -ray Source
BZB	Roma-BZCAT label for BL Lacs
BZQ	Roma-BZCAT label for Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars
BZG	Roma-BZCAT label for blazars with optical spectra dominated by their host galaxy
1FGL	<i>Fermi</i> -LAT First Source Catalog
2FGL	<i>Fermi</i> -LAT Second Source Catalog
3FGL	<i>Fermi</i> -LAT Third Source Catalog
4FGL	<i>Fermi</i> -LAT Fourth Source Catalog
FL8Y	Preliminary <i>Fermi</i> -LAT 8-year Point Source List
Roma-BZCAT	Roma-BZCAT multifrequency catalogue of Blazars
KDEBLACS	Catalog of KDE-selected candidate BL Lacs; Kernel Density Estimation (KDE)
WIBRaLS	WISE Blazar-like Radio-Loud Sources
Blanco	Victor Blanco 4-m Telescope
Copernico	Copernico 182 cm Telescope
DSS	Digital Sky Survey
Fermi-LAT	Fermi Large Area Telescope
HET	Hobby-Eberly Telescope
Keck	W. M. Keck Observatory
KPNO	Kitt Peak National Observatory
GemN	Gemini North Observatory
Magellan	Magellan Telescope
MMT	MMT Observatory at Mount Hopkins
NOT	Nordic Optical Telescope
NTT	New Technology Telescope
OAGH	Guillermo Haro Astrophysical Observatory
OAN-SPM	Observatorio Astronomico Nacional San Pedro Mártir
Palomar	Hale 200 inch Telescope at Palomar
SALT	Southern African Large Telescope
SDSS	Sloan Digital Sky Survey
SOAR	Southern Astrophysical Research Telescope
TNG	Telescopio Nazionale Galileo
WISE	Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer
6dF	The Six-degree Field Galaxy Survey

3. BL Lac galaxy-dominated sources (BZGs), are sources usually reported as BL Lac objects in the literature, but their spectral energy distributions are dominated by the host galaxy emission overwhelming the nuclear one (Massaro et al. 2012). All BZGs have a radio counterpart that, coupled with the blue excess in their optical spectra, indicate the likely presence of a jet in their nuclei, thus potentially being blazar-like sources. BZGs are not all expected to be genuine BZBs observed while their nuclei are in a quiescent state. Instead, some BZGs could be moderately bright AGNs, such as radio galaxies, with non-thermal emission that is not highly relativistically beamed.

In addition some objects observed during our spectroscopic campaign were simply indicated as “quasars”, labeled as QSO, because the lack of additional multifrequency observations (e.g., presence of a flat radio spectrum), prevent us from firmly establishing their blazar nature. All sources classified as BZQs, BZGs, or labelled as QSOs have a firm redshift measurement, while this is case-dependent in BZBs given their weak spectral features.

The *Fermi*-LAT catalogs have used a related set of labels that have evolved with their different releases, and the similarities and differences with our adopted Roma-BZCAT nomenclature are described here.

In the latest 4FGL release, BL Lac objects are indicated as BLLs, and flat spectrum radio quasar as FSRQ. Then the

Fermi-LAT catalogs have a class named Blazar of Uncertain type (BCU) for sources that appear to share some blazar properties but lack an optical classification that confirms their nature. Additional sources are then indicated simply as AGN in cases where their blazar-like nature are not formally established due to insufficient multifrequency observations. The 4FGL nomenclature was also adopted in the previous 3FGL.

However, it is worth noting that in the earlier 1FGL and 2FGL catalogs, γ -ray sources associated with a known BL Lac or a known FSRQ were indicated as BZB and BZQ, respectively, even if they were not part of Roma-BZCAT. These classifications were removed in the subsequent versions of the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs because of the confusion generated. Importantly, this implies that not all BZBs listed in both 1FGL and 2FGL respect the same classification criteria adopted in the Roma-BZCAT that we used during our spectroscopic campaign. This explains why the first two lines in Table 4 report the BZB/BLL label for the *Fermi*-LAT class of each catalog.

Thus all Roma-BZCAT sources classified as BZBs and BZQs are indicated, respectively, as BLLs and FSRQs in the *Fermi*-LAT catalog releases from the 3FGL onward. Additionally, the BCU classification was adopted starting with the 3FGL in place of AGNs of uncertain type (AGUs) used in both 1FGL and 2FGL; the class of AGN remained unchanged through all the data releases. All details about the nomenclature adopted in the

different *Fermi*-LAT catalogs can be found therein. A summary of the various classes used in the four *Fermi*-LAT data releases can be found in Table 6.

3. New optical spectra: sample selection and classification results

The strategy adopted during our optical spectroscopic campaign consists of observing small samples of targets in each run to minimize the impact on telescope schedules and mainly driven by visibility constraints.

The new spectra presented here were collected for targets selected from the following lists:

1. BCUs already assigned as counterparts to 4FGL catalog sources, but whose blazar nature were still uncertain;
2. radio and X-ray sources located within the γ -ray positional uncertainty of UGSs (Marchesini et al. 2020);
3. BL Lacs whose optical spectrum are not available in the literature, or do not have a redshift estimate;
4. UGSs having a WISE source with blazar-like mid-IR colors lying within their positional uncertainty region, most of them being part of the WISE Blazar-like Radio-Loud Sources (WIBRaLS) and KDEBLACS catalogs (D’Abrusco et al. 2019).

In particular, the WIBRaLS catalog sources have radio counterparts and were selected to all have mid-IR colors similar to those of *Fermi*-LAT detected blazars, while the KDEBLACS catalog includes only BL Lac candidates selected using the Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) technique in the WISE W2-W3 vs W1-W2 color-color diagram.

The current sample of 30 new targets includes:

- Nineteen sources classified as BCUs in the 4FGL;
- Three targets classified as UGSs in the preliminary version of the 4FGL (i.e., the FL8Y), all having a WISE blazar-like source lying within their γ -ray positional uncertainty region.
- Seven BL Lacs and one FSRQ, with their classifications provided by us to the *Fermi*-LAT team during the preparation of the 4FGL catalog, thus appearing as such in the published 4FGL. Our sample also includes 4FGL J1704.5-0527 and 4FGL J2115.2+1218, two known BL Lacs in the literature for which other groups published their spectra while our data analysis was in progress.

All our newly-observed BCUs are now classified as BZBs, four of them resulting in redshift measurements, with the only exceptions of 4FGL J1640.9+1143 and 4FGL J1858.3+4321 that now appear to be BZGs. The three UGSs analyzed here all have a BZB lying within their γ -ray positional uncertainty region, with the one potentially associated with 4FGL J1637.5+3005 at $z = 0.0786$. Then all BL Lacs were confirmed as BZBs, two with redshift estimates (4FGL J1035.6+4409 at $z = 0.4438$ and 4FGL J1814.0+3828 at $z = 0.2754$), while the one (FSRQ 4FGL J1459.5+1527) is a BZQ at $z = 0.3711$.

Table 2 reports all parameters and observational details about our selected sources. Then in Table 3 we show all results achieved for our source sample, including emission/absorption lines detected, and resultant classifications; the figures with the new spectra are presented in Appendix A. Note all targets classified as BZBs with undetermined redshifts are listed in Table 3 for completeness, but without entries for their spectral parameters.

4. Impact of optical spectroscopic observations on the *Fermi*-LAT source catalogs

Here we summarize all results achieved to date, distinguishing those obtained thanks to our optical spectroscopic campaign from those found in the literature. Our summary is presented separating each *Fermi*-LAT catalog release available to date to highlight the evolution of the impact of our campaign on *Fermi*-LAT associations. However, this also implies that sources listed in more than one *Fermi*-LAT catalog are counted in each of them.

In Appendix B we also report both summary lists including all details obtained thanks to our observations as well as those found in the literature search. We remark that sources spectroscopically identified both during our follow-up campaign and found in the literature reported in different versions of the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs are not independent.

4.1. Optical Spectroscopic Campaigns

During our optical spectroscopic campaigns we analyzed 441 observations and we found 394 optical spectra that allowed us to clearly classify the targets; this is our “clean” sample reported in Appendix A. These spectra include also those found in the SDSS and 6dF databases and analyzed as part of our campaign.

For the 47 optical spectra not used, 27 observations revealed an “incorrect” target (e.g., a star lying within the positional uncertainty region of a UGS), while an additional seven spectra achieved only low signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) thus were not reported in our summary table presented here. The remaining 13 cases were targets observed with more than one telescope.

In our “clean” sample, 237 sources out of 394 were previously unclassified and had no optical spectrum present in the literature. We observed 306 targets with ground based telescopes while 88 spectra were collected from archival SDSS and 6dF observations. In the clean sample, 121 of them lie in the northern hemisphere while the remaining 116 in the southern one. Our most widely-used facilities were OAN-SPM in the northern hemisphere and SOAR telescope at southern declinations.

During our campaign we also observed a total of 128 Roma-BZCAT sources, mostly BL Lac candidates or sources with uncertain classification or lacking a z estimate, in order to provide updated information in the next release of the blazar catalog. Then there are also 59 targets that, while we carried out our campaign, were also analyzed in other papers.

Results on the impact of our optical spectroscopic observations, carried out since the first release of the *Fermi*-LAT source catalog, on the association of γ -ray sources listed therein are all summarized as follows.

In Table 4 we report the number of *Fermi*-LAT sources observed in each catalog as classified therein. For example in the 2FGL we analyzed 76 spectra of sources listed therein as AGN or AGU.

Table 5 summarizes the fraction of uncertain sources, e.g., BCUs and UGSs in the 3FGL or similar in the other catalogs, for which we provide a firm optical classification with respect to the total number reported in the original *Fermi*-LAT catalog. Since we started our campaign after the 2FGL release and during the 3FGL preparation, the impact of our observations was larger on these two catalogs. In particular, we were able to classify $\sim 25\%$ of the uncertain AGNs and found potential blazar-like low-energy counterparts for 9% and 6% of UGSs listed therein.

In Table 6 we show for each sample of sources listed in all *Fermi*-LAT catalogs the classifications we obtained. For example in the 3FGL we observed 103 sources listed therein as AGN

Table 2. Summary of the new optical spectra analyzed and presented here.

4FGL name (1)	4FGL class (2)	4FGL counterpart (3)	WISE name (4)	R.A. (J2000) (5)	Dec. (J2000) (6)	Facility (7)	Obs. Date dd/mm/yyyy (8)	Exposure (sec) (9)
J0836.9+5833	bcu	NVSS J083705+583151	J083706.00+583152.9	08:37:06.01	+58:31:52.9	SDSS DR15
J0914.8+5846	bll	SDSS J091451.54+584438.1	J091451.57+584438.1	09:14:51.58	+58:44:38.1	SDSS DR15
J1019.3+5625	ugs	...	J101919.15+562428.8	10:19:19.16	+56:24:28.8	SDSS DR15
J1035.6+4409	bll	7C 1032+4424	J103532.12+440931.4	10:35:32.13	+44:09:31.5	SDSS DR15
J1226.0+5622	bll	SDSS J122602.81+562254.6	J122602.82+562254.9	12:26:02.83	+56:22:54.9	SDSS DR15
J1238.1-4541	bcu	PMN J1238-4541	J123806.03-454129.6	12:38:06.04	-45:41:29.6	Blanco	12/06/2019	2x600
J1403.4+4319	bll	NVSS J140319+432018	J140319.46+432020.1	14:03:19.46	+43:20:20.1	SDSS DR15
J1454.7+5237	bll	87GB 145311.3+524904	J145445.32+523655.4	14:54:45.32	+52:36:55.5	SDSS DR15
J1459.5+1527	fsrq	MG1 J145921+1526	J145922.16+152654.9	14:59:22.17	+15:26:54.9	OAN-SPM	03/07/2019	3x600
J1545.0-6642	ugs	...	J154458.88-664146.9	15:44:58.89	-66:41:46.9	Blanco	12/06/2019	3x600
J1545.8-2336	bcu	J1545-2339	J154546.58-233928.4	15:45:46.59	-23:39:28.4	Blanco	12/06/2019	2x600
J1600.3-5811	bcu	MRC 1556-580	J160012.36-581102.8	16:00:12.37	-58:11:02.8	Blanco	12/06/2019	3x400
J1637.5+3005	ugs	...	J163738.24+300506.4	16:37:38.24	+30:05:06.5	OAN-SPM	04/07/2019	3x900
J1640.9+1143	bcu	TXS 1638+118	J164058.89+114404.2	16:40:58.90	+11:44:04.2	OAN-SPM	05/07/2019	2x1200
J1647.1+6149	bcu	RX J1647.3+6153	J164723.42+615347.5	16:47:23.42	+61:53:47.6	OAN-SPM	03/07/2019	3x900
J1704.5-0527	bll	NVSS J170433-052839	J170433.83-052840.7	17:04:33.84	-05:28:40.8	Blanco	12/06/2019	2x1200
J1705.4+5436	bcu	NVSS J170520+543700	J170520.54+543659.8	17:05:20.55	+54:36:59.8	OAN-SPM	06/07/2019	3x900
J1706.8+3004	bcu	87GB 170454.3+300758	J170650.43+300412.5	17:06:50.44	+30:04:12.6	SDSS DR15
J1744.4+1851	bcu	1RXS J174420.1+185215	J174419.81+185217.9	17:44:19.81	+18:52:18.0	OAN-SPM	07/07/2019	3x900
J1810.7+5335	bcu	2MASS J18103800+5335016	J181037.98+533501.5	18:10:37.99	+53:35:01.5	OAN-SPM	07/07/2019	3x900
J1814.0+3828	bcu	2MASS J18140339+3828107	J181403.43+382810.1	18:14:03.44	+38:28:10.2	OAN-SPM	06/07/2019	3x900
J1838.4-6023	bcu	2MASS J18382063-6025224	J183820.63-602522.6	18:38:20.64	-60:25:22.6	Blanco	12/06/2019	3x300
J1858.3+4321	bcu	NVSS J185813+432452	J185813.43+432451.9	18:58:13.43	+43:24:51.9	OAN-SPM	04/08/2018	3x1200
J1929.4+6146	bcu	TXS 1928+616	J192935.09+614629.4	19:29:35.10	+61:46:29.4	OAN-SPM	07/07/2019	3x1200
J2043.7+0000	bcu	2MASS J20435020+0001280	J204350.15+000127.8	20:43:50.16	+00:01:27.9	OAN-SPM	02/07/2019	3x1200
J2046.8-4258	bcu	MRSS 285-029065	J204644.01-425713.2	20:46:44.01	-42:57:13.2	Blanco	12/06/2019	2x400
J2115.2+1218	bcu	NVSS J211522+121802	J211522.00+121802.6	21:15:22.00	+12:18:02.7	OAN-SPM	04/07/2019	3x900
J2141.4+2947	bcu	87GB 213913.0+293303	J214123.89+294706.2	21:41:23.90	+29:47:06.2	OAN-SPM	05/07/2019	3x900
J2208.2+0350	bll	SDSS J220812.70+035304.6	J220812.70+035304.5	22:08:12.70	+03:53:04.5	OAN-SPM	06/07/2019	3x900
J2235.3+1818	bcu	2MASS J22352860+1816356	J223528.60+181635.5	22:35:28.61	+18:16:35.6	OAN-SPM	07/07/2019	3x900

Note: columns (1) 4FGL name; (2) *Fermi*-LAT class as listed in 4FGL; (3) assigned counterpart in the 4FGL (none assigned for UGSs); (4) targeted WISE counterpart; (5) right ascension and (6) declination of the WISE target; (7) telescope used to carry out the spectroscopic observation or queried survey; (8) observing dates and (9) exposure times in seconds.

or BCU for which their optical spectra clearly indicate them to be BL Lacs. Moreover, as reported in parenthesis in the BZB column, 20 out of these 103 targets have also a firm redshift measurement. We also classified an additional 14 AGNs or BCUs from the 3FGL as BZQ, plus seven more simply as “quasars” since they lack radio spectral information, thus the entry is reported as 14[+7] in Table 6. Finally, 15 more AGNs or BCUs from the 3FGL were classified as BZGs, all with a firm z measurement, contributing to the total of 139 sources indicated in Table 4.

Finally, Figure 1 shows the cumulative distributions of all confirmed blazars observed during our spectroscopic campaign in each published paper. Sources classified as BZBs and BZQs are indicated separately, and we further distinguished between those observed by us and those discovered thanks to archival searches in major surveys (i.e., SDSS and 6dF).

4.2. Literature search

During our optical spectroscopic campaign, other teams worldwide were presenting optical spectra of sources listed in the *Fermi*-LAT catalogs as UGSs or BCUs. Thus, we continuously kept track of these works to avoid duplicating targets and provided our summaries of the literature results to our *Fermi*-LAT collaboration colleagues while they were preparing each new release of the γ -ray source catalogs. Thus here we report all information retrieved from the literature from that timespan that met

the same criteria adopted to classify sources in our campaign and those of the Roma-BZCAT.

In the literature we found a total of 123 sources, with 67 northern hemisphere objects and 56 in the southern hemisphere. These observations include (i) 23 known blazars listed in the latest release of the Roma-BZCAT and (ii) 19 targets collected from data available in the SDSS or 6dF surveys.

Following Table 4 from the previous section, here, Table 7 provides all information from the literature on the total number of sources analyzed for each *Fermi*-LAT catalog since the 1FGL release. It is evident that most of efforts reported in the literature were focused on 3FGL sources. This was because most observational campaigns carried out in parallel with our work started later, with the main exception being the observations performed by Shaw et al. (2013).

Then in Table 8 we show for each sample of sources listed in all *Fermi*-LAT catalogs the classification reported in the literature.

For the literature results we did not report the comparison with the FL8Y, the intermediate catalog between the 3FGL and the 4FGL because most of our efforts were focused on 3FGL sources, quite close to the time of the FL8Y release. Nevertheless, during our optical spectroscopic campaign we observed some sources listed in the FL8Y catalog only, and all sources found in the literature belong to one of the major releases (3FGL or 4FGL) and thus the comparison with the FL8Y it is not relevant.

Table 3. Summary of the new optical spectra analyzed and presented here.

4FGL name (1)	WISE name (2)	Class (3)	z (4)	Line ID (5)	EW (Å) (6)	λ_{obs} (7)	Type (Å) (8)	Ca II break (9)
J0836.9+5833	J083706.00+583152.9	bzb
J0914.8+5846	J091451.57+584438.1	bzb
J1019.3+5625	J101919.15+562428.8	bzb
J1035.6+4409	J103532.12+440931.4	bzg	0.4438	[O II]	10	5380	E	0.25
...	H	6	5730	A	...
...	K	7	5680	A	...
J1226.0+5622	J122602.82+562254.9	bzb
J1238.1-4541	J123806.03-454129.6	bzb
J1403.4+4319	J140319.46+432020.1	bzb
J1454.7+5237	J145445.32+523655.4	bzb
J1459.5+1527	J145922.16+152654.9	bzb	0.3711	[O II]	5	5110	E	0.06
...	H	3	5441	A	...
...	K	3	5391	A	...
J1545.0-6642	J154458.88-664146.9	bzb
J1545.8-2336	J154546.58-233928.4	bzb	0.1204	Mg I	6	5800	A	...
...	Na I	4	6602	A	...
J1600.3-5811	J160012.36-581102.8	bzb
J1637.5+3005	J163738.24+300506.4	bzg	0.0786	[O II]	10	4019	E	0.25
...	K	5	4243	A	...
...	H	8	4280	A	...
...	G	6	4644	A	...
...	[O III]	3	5401	E	...
...	Mg I	3	5581	A	...
J1640.9+1143	J164058.89+114404.2	bzg	0.0799	K	8	4248	A	0.35
...	H	6	4285	A	...
...	G	5	4649	A	...
...	H β	3	5250	A	...
...	Mg I	13	5586	A	...
...	Na I	10	6365	A	...
J1647.1+6149	J164723.42+615347.5	bzb	0.347	K	3	5298	A	0.11
...	H	4	5349	A	...
...	G	5	5798	A	...
J1704.5-0527	J170433.83-052840.7	bzb
J1705.4+5436	J170520.54+543659.8	bzb
J1706.8+3004	J170650.43+300412.5	bzb
J1744.4+1851	J174419.81+185217.9	bzb
J1810.7+5335	J181037.98+533501.5	bzb
J1814.0+3828	J181403.43+382810.1	bzb	0.2754	K	6	5017	A	0.23
...	H	5	5059	A	...
...	G	5	5492	A	...
J1838.4-6023	J183820.63-602522.6	bzb	0.120	H β	3	5447	A	...
...	Mg I	4	5795	A	...
...	Na I	3	6602	A	...
J1858.3+4321	J185813.43+432451.9	bzg	0.1356	[O II]	5	4233	E	0.26
...	K	3	4468	A	...
...	H	3	4506	A	...
...	G	6	4888	A	...
...	Na I	4	6692	A	...
J1929.4+6146	J192935.09+614629.4	bzb	0.2117	[O II]	1	4516	E	0.17
...	K	5	4767	A	...
...	H	4	4808	A	...
...	G	5	5215	A	...
...	Mg I	3	6271	A	...
J2043.7+0000	J204350.15+000127.8	bzb
J2046.8-4258	J204644.01-425713.2	bzb
J2115.2+1218	J211522.00+121802.6	bzb	> 0.498	Mg II	2	4188	A	...
...	Mg II	4	4199	A	...
J2141.4+2947	J214123.89+294706.2	bzb
J2208.2+0350	J220812.70+035304.5	bzb
J2235.3+1818	J223528.60+181635.5	bzb

Note: columns (1) 4FGL name; (2) targeted WISE counterpart; (3) classification based on our analysis of the collected spectra; (4) redshift measurement when multiple emission/absorption lines are present, with a lower limit derived in one case from the Mg II doublet; (5) emission/absorption line identified; (6) corresponding equivalent width (EW); (7) observed wavelength of the emission/absorption line listed in col. (5); (8) type of spectral feature/line: E = emission, A = absorption; (9) Ca II break intensity.

5. Comparing mid-IR color predictions with optical campaign and literature results

In this section we present the comparison between the predicted classifications based on mid-IR colors with those ultimately pro-




Fig. 1. Cumulative distributions of all sources observed during our spectroscopic campaign (non-hatched bars) and classified as BZBs (*left panel* in blue) or BZQs (*right panel* in red) in addition to those found in our archival searches of other spectroscopic surveys (hatched bars).

Table 4. γ -ray classification of targets observed during our spectroscopic campaign as reported in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

<i>Fermi</i> -LAT class (1)	1FGL (2)	2FGL (3)	3FGL (4)	4FGL (5)	FL8Y (6)
BZB/BLL	32	55	80	212	1
BZQ/FSRQ	5	10	10	36	0
AGN/AGU/BCU	10	76	139	86	10
UGS /UNK	58	52	57	13	15
Total	105	193	286	350*	26

Note: col. (1) lists the *Fermi*-LAT class, in particular BZB and BZQ were mainly used in the 1FGL and 2FGL together with the AGU classification, then they were removed in the later 3FGL and 4FGL catalogs. The UNK class of sources with unknown nature were all counted together with UGSs in our summary; cols. (2,3,4,5,6) list the number of sources classified according to col. (1) for each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

(*) The total number of 4FGL sources is 350 instead of 347 since we observed three targets lying within the positional uncertain regions of three sources associated to one pulsar and two radio galaxies. Results of our campaign confirmed the 4FGL classification and in particular for the pulsar we only found a quasar within its γ -ray positional uncertainty ellipse.

vided by optical spectroscopic observations, distinguishing between sources collected during our campaign and those found in the literature.

We classified sources based on the Ca II break feature in the optical spectra. The break is defined as $C = (F_+ - F_-)/F_+$, with F_+ and F_- being the fluxes measured respectively at rest-frame wavelengths of 3750-3950 Å and 4050-4250 Å (Landt et al. 2002). The division line for BZGs is $C \geq 0.25$, otherwise they are classified as BZB.

A large fraction of targets selected for our optical spectroscopic observations was based on the statistical analysis of mid-IR colors as presented in the WIBRaLS catalog (D’Abrusco et al. 2014), for which the second version, WIBRaLS2, has been recently released together with the KDEBLACS (D’Abrusco et al. 2019). The main description of these two catalogs is summarized as follows.

Table 5. Fractions of *Fermi*-LAT sources with uncertain nature (i.e., AGUs, BCUs and UGSs) analyzed during our campaign and computed with respect to the total number in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

<i>Fermi</i> -LAT class (1)	1FGL (2)	2FGL (3)	3FGL (4)	4FGL (5)
AGN/AGU/BCU	0.08	0.27	0.24	0.06
UGS/UNK	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.01

Note: col. (1) lists the *Fermi*-LAT class, in particular the AGU classification was used only in the 1FGL and 2FGL, then replaced by BCU in the later 3FGL and 4FGL catalogs. The UNK class of sources with unknown nature were all counted together with UGSs in our summary; cols. (2,3,4,5) report the fraction of sources in each class computed over the whole number of sources belonging to that class listed in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

- The WIBRaLS2 catalog contains 9541 candidate blazars, selected among WISE sources detected in all four W1, W2, W3, and W4 filters (3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 μ m, respectively), and whose colors are similar to those of confirmed γ -ray emitting blazars. As shown in our previous analyses (D’Abrusco et al. 2014; Massaro et al. 2016; D’Abrusco et al. 2019), Galactic extinction affects only the W1-band for sources lying at low Galactic latitudes ($|b| < 20^\circ$) at levels of only 2 – 5% of the magnitude, thus the WISE magnitudes were not corrected for extinction. The selection is performed in the three-dimensional Principal Component (PC) space generated by the distribution of W1-W2, W2-W3 and W3-W4 colors for a sample of bona fide γ -ray blazars (the locus). Differently from the direct color space, in the PC space the region occupied by the locus can be modeled with coaxial cylinders. This method also distinguishes among BZB-like, BZQ-like, or MIXED candidates based on the WISE colors, hereafter labelled as WBZB, WBZQ, and MIXED types, respectively. The MIXED-type sources have colors consistent with both blazar classes. WISE-selected sources are further required to have a radio counterpart that is determined to be radio-loud (according to the q_{22} parameter; see below).
- The KDEBLACS catalog includes 5579 BL Lac candidates selected among WISE sources that are not detected in the W4

Table 6. Classification results achieved thanks to our optical spectroscopic campaign split with respect to sources listed in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

<i>Fermi</i> -LAT class (1)	BZB (2)	BZQ (3)	BZG (4)
in 1FGL			
BZB	32(1)
BZQ	1	4	...
AGN/AGU	7(1)	1	2
UGS	48(2)	2[+5]	3
in 2FGL			
BZB	54(3)	...	1
BZQ	3	7	...
AGN/AGU	54(6)	13[+2]	7
UGS	36(4)	3[+12]	1
in 3FGL			
BLL	79(3)	...	1
FSRQ	...	9[+1]	...
AGN/BCU	103(20)	14[+7]	15
UGS	40(5)	4[+12]	1
in 4FGL			
BLL	193(18)	1	18
FSRQ	2(2)	30[+4]	...
AGN/BCU	66(23)	1[+10]	9
UGS/UNK	8(1)	-[+5]	...
in FL8Y			
BLL	1
FSRQ
AGN/BCU	6(5)	3	1
UGS	15(3)

Note: col. (1) lists the *Fermi*-LAT class according to labels reported in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog; cols. (2,3,4) indicate the number of BZBs, BZQs and BZGs classified thanks to our observations, respectively. Number in parenthesis for col. (2) corresponding to BZB classification indicates those BL Lacs having a firm z estimate, while that in col. (3) for BZQs indicate additional sources classified as quasars for which information about their radio spectral shape were not found.

band. The colors of these candidates lie within the region of the W1-W2 vs W2-W3 color-color diagram occupied by a set of confirmed BL Lacs with WISE counterparts not detected in W4. The region of the color-color plane used for the selection is defined as the area enclosed by the 5% contour of the 2D density distribution of the confirmed BLLacs, determined using the KDE method. As for the WIBRaLS, the final members of the KDEBLLACS catalog are selected to have a radio-loud counterpart (according to the q_{12} parameter; see below).

Both the q_{22} and q_{12} parameters are simply modified definitions of the so-called q parameter (Helou et al. 1985), defined as the logarithm of the ratio of far-IR to radio flux densities (S_{radio}). Applied to the WISE flux densities in the W4 ($S_{22\mu m}$) and W3 ($S_{12\mu m}$) bands, the definitions of radio-loudness were $q_{22} = \log(S_{22\mu m}/S_{radio})$ for WIBRaLS and $q_{12} = \log(S_{12\mu m}/S_{radio})$ for KDEBLLACS.

Table 7. γ -ray classification of sources analyzed in the literature as reported in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

<i>Fermi</i> -LAT class (1)	1FGL (2)	2FGL (3)	3FGL (4)	4FGL (5)
BZB/BLL	9	11	14	53
BZQ/FSRQ	1	5
AGN/AGU/BCU	6	23	58	53
UGS/UNK	22	25	51	7
Total	38	60*	123	118

Note: col. (1) lists the *Fermi*-LAT class, in particular BZBs and BZQs were mainly used in the 1FGL and 2FGL together with the AGU classification, then they were removed in the later 3FGL and 4FGL catalogs where the UNK class was introduced for sources with unknown nature that were all counted together with UGSs in our summary; cols. (2,3,4,5) lists the number of sources classified according to col. (1) for each *Fermi*-LAT catalog. (*) In the 2FGL, the total number of sources is 60 instead of 59 because we found in the literature that one 2FGL source classified as a pulsar was later classified as BCU in both the 3FGL and 4FGL.

Comparing our 394 sources with those listed in WIBRaLS we found 212 total matches, consisting of 178 WBZBs, 22 WBZQs, and 12 MIXED. In Table 9, we report how they were classified based on our spectroscopic observations. In this table we adopted the same nomenclature of Table 6 to indicate those targets classified as quasars but lacking radio spectral information and thus are not qualified to be BZQ according to our criteria. We also present in Figure 2 the projections of the three WISE color-color planes, for the sources in this work that have a WIBRaLS counterpart.

Overall we found that only 8 out of 212 WIBRaLS2 sources are classified as quasars. Then, we confirmed the nature of 161 out of 178 (i.e., 90%) of those targets expected to be BZBs, and $\sim 70\%$ of the WBZQs and the largest fraction of those indicated as MIXED in WIBRaLS2 are BZBs. It is worth noting that only 12 sources out of 212 are classified as BZGs and they were all expected to be BZBs according to their mid-IR colors in WIBRaLS2.

On the other hand, only 43 spectroscopic identifications were achieved out of 394 sources selected from the KDEBLLACS catalog. In all these cases, they were expected to be BZBs based on the mid-IR colors, and the spectroscopic observations confirmed 40 as BZBs, with only 3 better classified as BZGs.

This comparison strongly supports both the reliability of methods based on mid-IR colors to select candidate counterparts for UGSs and that there is a high chance to confirm the blazar-like nature of BCUs and that they are most likely classified as BZBs.

Similar conclusions could be drawn for the literature results where we compared WIBRaLS2 with sources analyzed by other groups and found 37 matches out of 123 targets (see Table 10). All WIBRaLS2 sources were confirmed as BZBs with only one exception, 3FGL J0644.3-6713 that is a BZQ. In the KDEBLLACS catalog, we found 35 out of 123 sources listed among those classified in the literature and again all of them are BZBs.




Fig. 2. Projections on the three WISE color-color planes of spectroscopically-identified sources discussed in this paper with counterparts in WIRaLS. Red, blue and yellow points indicate, respectively, candidates classified as BZQ, BZB and BZG sources based on their optical spectra. Circles and stars are associated with sources observed in this campaign and sources for which spectra are available in the literature, respectively. The black lines on the three planes are the isodensity contours of the 2D projections of the 3D color distribution of locus sources (not plotted for clarity) used to define the WIRaLS 3D model in the WISE colors space.

6. Summary and Conclusions

In this work we summarized all results achieved to date thanks to the optical spectroscopic campaign we carried out to unveil the nature of *Fermi*-LAT sources classified as BCUs and to potentially identify blazar-like sources lying within the positional uncertainty regions of UGSs.

Since the beginning of our campaign in 2014 we analyzed 394 unique targets confirming the blazar-like nature of 371 of them. These are classified as 300 BZBs (38 with a firm redshift measurement), 40 BZQs, and 31 BZGs. Additionally, there are 23 sources for which the lack of radio spectral information prevented us from labeling them as BZQs thus are simply indicated as quasars.

Altogether, we observed 122 targets in the northern hemisphere mostly thanks to the OAN-SPM facility and 116 targets in the southern one predominantly through observations with the SOAR telescope. We observed 306 targets out of 394 using ground-based telescopes while 88 spectra were collected from archival observations from large spectroscopic surveys. The selection of our targets was mainly based on mid-IR colors since 212 out of 394 also belong to the WIRaLS2 catalog and 43 to the KDEBLACS (D’Abrusco et al. 2019) and the expected blazar classification reported therein was also mainly confirmed by our followup observations. All these results also include 30 new spectra presented in this paper for which all details on the data reduction and analysis are available in Appendix A.

Here we also described results found in an extensive literature search carried out in parallel with our campaign to avoid

observing targets already classified by other groups. From these literature results we found a total of 123 sources, 67 lying in the northern hemisphere while 56 in the southern one. These observations resulted in one BZG, four BZQs, and one quasar, while all remaining sources were classified as BZBs (34 with firm redshift measurements). Of these total 123 sources, only in 19 cases were spectra analyzed from archival observations available thanks to spectroscopic surveys. A significant fraction of them (i.e., $\sim 59\%$) also have a mid-IR counterpart in one of the two main catalogs used to select targets for our campaign, namely 37 in WIRaLS2 and 35 in KDEBLACS. All these sources are classified as BZBs with only one exception, thus mainly confirming the expected classification reported therein based on WISE colors.

Finally, we conclude that $\sim 20\%$ of blazars currently listed in the 4FGL are classified thanks to our optical spectroscopic campaign and additional $\sim 7\%$ arise from literature results. Moreover the largest fraction of them are BL Lac objects thus confirming that this is the most elusive class of extragalactic γ -ray sources.

Our observational campaign is still ongoing, and while preparing this paper, an additional 62 spectra have been already collected. Considering new observing runs already awarded to our group and considering our efficiency in acquiring spectra to date, we expect to release results for an additional ~ 500 targets by around 2022.

Table 8. Classification results collected from the literature distinguishing sources belonging to each *Fermi*-LAT catalog.

<i>Fermi</i> -LAT class (1)	BZB (2)	BZQ (3)	BZG (4)
in 1FGL			
BZB	9(3)
BZQ	...	[+1]	...
AGN/AGU	6(2)
UGS	22(5)
in 2FGL			
BZB	11(4)
BZQ
AGN/AGU	19(3)	3	1
UGS	23(8)	1[+1]	...
in 3FGL			
BLL	14(4)
FSRQ
AGU/BCU	53(8)	4	1
UGS	50(22)	[+1]	...
in 4FGL			
BLL	53(15)
FSRQ	4(1)	1	...
AGU/BCU	49(15)	3	1
UGS/UNK	6(1)	[+1]	...

Note: col. (1) lists the *Fermi*-LAT class according to labels reported in each *Fermi*-LAT catalog; cols. (2,3,4) indicate the number of BZBs, BZQs and BZGs classified in the literature, respectively. Numbers in parenthesis in col. (2) correspond to BZB classifications of BL Lacs having a firm z measurement, while those in col. (3) for BZQs indicate additional sources classified as quasars but without sufficient information on their radio spectral shapes.

H.P.-H. and R.A.-A. acknowledge support from CONACyT program for Ph.D. studies. This work was partially supported from CONACyT research grant No. 280789.

Based upon observations acquired at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional San Pedro Mártir (OAN-SPM), Baja California, México. This work is based on data acquired at Blanco CTIO telescope. We thank the staff at the OAN-SPM and Blanco CTIO telescope for all their help during the observation runs. F.R. acknowledges support from FONDECYT Postdoctorado 3180506 and CONICYT project Basal AFB-170002. The work of R.M. is supported by FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo) under grants 2016/25484-9, 2018/24801-6. Work by C.C.C. at the Naval Research Laboratory is supported by the Office of Naval Research 6.1.

This work is supported by the “Departments of Excellence 2018 - 2022 Grant awarded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR) (L. 232/2016). This research has made use of resources provided by the Compagnia di San Paolo for the grant awarded on the BLENV project (S1618_L1_MASF_01) and by the Ministry of Education, Universities and Research for the grant MASF_FFABR_17_01. A.P. acknowledges financial support from the Consorzio Interuniversitario per la Fisica Spaziale (CIFS) under the agreement related to the grant MASF_CONTR_FIN_18_02.

Table 9. Comparison between the expected classification provided in WIBRaLS2 catalog with those obtained in our optical spectroscopic campaign.

WIBRaLS Type (1)	BZB (2)	BZQ (3)	BZG (4)	Total (5)
WBZB				
	5	5
	32	...	1	33
	78	3	4	85
	46	[+2]	7	55
WBZQ				
	...	[+1]	...	1
	1	5 [+1]	...	7
	1	6 [+1]	...	8
	...	5 [+1]	...	6
MIXED				
	-	...
	4	[+1]	...	5
	4	2 [+1]	...	7

Note: col. (1) indicates the class/type as listed in the WIBRaLS2 catalog; cols. (2,3,4) list the classification results provided by our optical spectroscopic observations; col. (5) indicates total number of sources observed for each type and subclass.

Table 10. Comparison between the expected classification provided in WIBRaLS2 catalog with those obtained in our literature search.

WIBRaLS Type (1)	BZB (2)	BZQ (3)	Total (4)
WBZB			
	0	...	1
	6	...	6
	10	...	10
	13	...	12
WBZQ			
	1	...	1
	3	...	3
	1	...	1
	1	...	1
MIXED			

	1	...	1
	1	1	2

Note: col. (1) indicates the class/type as listed in the WIBRaLS2 catalog; cols. (2,3) list the classification results found during our literature search; col. (4) indicates total number of sources observed for each type and subclass.

This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS-IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center

for High Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org. SDSS-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU)/University of Tokyo, the Korean Participation Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatories of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatório Nacional/MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University.

TOPCAT8 (Taylor 2005) was extensively used in this work for the preparation and manipulation of the tabular data.

References

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al., 2010 *ApJS*, 188, 405
- Abdollahi, S., Acero, F., Ackermann, M. et al. 2020 *ApJS*, 247, 33
- Acero, F., Donato, D., Ojha, R. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 133
- Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al., 2015, *ApJS*, 218, 23
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al., 2012a, *ApJ*, 753, 83
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A. et al., 2012b, *Sci*, 338, 1190
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W. B. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 14
- Aguado, D. S., Ahumada, R., Almeida, A., et al., 2019, *ApJS*, 240, 23
- Ajello, M., Romani, R. W., Gasparrini, D., et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 73
- Ajello, M., Gasparrini, D., Sánchez-Conde, M., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 800, L27
- Álvarez Crespo, N., Masetti, N., Landoni, M. et al., 2016a, *AJ*, 151, 32
- Álvarez Crespo, N., Massaro, F., Milisavljevic, D. et al., 2016b, *AJ*, 151, 95
- Álvarez-Crespo, N., Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R. et al., 2016c, *Ap&SS* 361, 316
- Arsioli, B., Fraga, B., Giommi, P. et al. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A34
- Atwood, W. B., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 697, 1071
- Bennett, C. L., Larson, D., Weiland, J. L., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 135
- Berlin, A., & Hooper, D., 2014, *Phys. Rev. D*, 89, 016014
- Blandford, R.D. & Rees, M.J. 1978, In: *Proc. Pittsburgh Conference on BL Lac Objects*, p. 328
- Blandford, R., Meier, D., & Readhead, A. 2019, *ARA&A*, 57, 467
- Britzen, S., Brinkmann, W., Campbell, R. M., et al., 2007, *A&A*, 476, 759
- Bruni, G., Panessa, F., Ghisellini, G., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, L23
- Caccianiga, A., Marchà, M. J., Antón, S., et al., 2002, *MNRAS*, 329, 877
- Cowperthwaite, Philip S. et al., 2013 *AJ*, 146, 110
- D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Ajello, M. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 748, 68
- D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2014, *ApJS*, 215, 14
- D'Abrusco, R., Álvarez Crespo, N., Massaro, F., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, 242, 4
- de Menezes, R., Peña-Herazo, H. A., Marchesini, E. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 630, A55
- de Menezes, R., Amaya-Almazán, R. A., Marchesini, E. J., et al. 2020, *Ap&SS*, 365, 12
- Desai, A., Marchesi, S., Rajagopal, M., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, 241, 5
- Doert, M., & Errando, M. 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 41
- Dominguez, A., Primack, J. R., Rosario, D. J., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 2556
- Falco, E. E., Kochanek, C. S., & Muñoz, J. A. 1998, *ApJ*, 494, 47
- Giroletti, M., Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2016 *A&A*, 588, A141
- Hartman, R. C., Bertsch, D. L., Bloom, S. D. et al. 1999 *ApJS*, 123, 79
- Healey, S. E., Romani, R. W., Taylor, G. B., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 171, 61
- Helou, G., Soifer, B. T., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1985, *ApJ*, 298, L7
- Hewitt, A., & Burbidge, G. 1980, *ApJS*, 43, 57
- Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F. et al. 2012 *AJ*, 144, 105
- Jones, D. H., Read, M. A. Saunders, W., et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 683
- Kerr, M., 2019, *ApJ*, 885, 92
- Klindt, L., van Soelen, B., Meintjes, P. J., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 2537
- La Mura, G., Busetto, G., Ciroi, S., et al., 2017, *European Physical Journal D*, 71, 95
- Landi, R., Bassani, L., Stephen, J. B., et al., 2015 *A&A*, 581, 57
- Landt, H., Padovani, P., & Giommi, P. 2002, *MNRAS*, 336, 945
- Landoni, M., Falomo, R., Treves, A., et al., 2014, *A&A*, 570, A126
- Landoni, M., Massaro, F., Paggi, A., et al., 2015a *AJ*, 149, 163
- Landoni, M., Falomo, R., Treves, A., et al., 2015b, *AJ*, 150, 181
- Landoni, M., Paiano, S., Falomo, R., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 861, 130
- Lioudakis, I., & Blinov, D., 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 3415
- Marchesi, S., Kaur, A., Ajello, M., 2018 *AJ*, 156, 212
- Marchesini, E. J., Masetti, N., Chavushyan, V., et al., 2016, *A&A*, 596, A10
- Marchesini, E. J., Peña-Herazo, H. A., Álvarez Crespo, N. et al., 2019, *Ap&SS*, 364, 5
- Marchesini, E. J., et al. 2020, submitted to *A&A*
- Mandarakas, N., Blinov, D., Lioudakis, I., et al., 2019, *A&A*, 623, A61
- Marlow, D. R., Rusin, D., Jackson, N., et al., 2000, *AJ*, 119, 2629
- Martí, J., Paredes, J. M., Bloom, J. S., et al., 2004, *A&A*, 413, 309
- Masetti, N., Parisi, P., Palazzi, E., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 519, A96
- Masetti, N., Parisi, P., Palazzi, E., et al., 2013, *A&A*, 556, A120
- Massaro, E., Giommi, P., Leto, C. et al., 2009 *A&A*, 495, 691
- Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Ajello, M. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 740, L48
- Massaro, E., Nesci, R., Piranomonte, S. 2012 *MNRAS* 422, 2322
- Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Giroletti, M. et al., 2013a *ApJS*, 207, 4
- Massaro, F., Paggi, A., Errando, M. et al., 2013b, *ApJS*, 207, 16
- Massaro, F., Masetti, N., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2014, *AJ*, 148, 66
- Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Landoni, M. et al. 2015a, *ApJS*, 217, 2
- Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C. et al. 2015b, *Ap&SS*, 357, 75
- Massaro, F., Landoni, M., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2015c, *A&A*, 575, 124
- Massaro, F., Álvarez Crespo, N., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2016, *Ap&SS* 361, 337
- Massaro, F., Marchesini, E. J., D'Abrusco, R., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 834, 113
- Mirabal, N., & Halpern, J. P. 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 129
- Mooney, S., Quinn, J., Callingham, J. R., et al., 2019, *A&A*, 714 622, A14
- Nolan, P. L., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M. et al. 2012 *ApJS*, 199, 31
- Nori, M., Giroletti, M., Massaro, F. et al. 2014, *ApJS* 212, 3
- Paggi, A., Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R. et al., 2013, *ApJS*, 209, 9
- Paggi, A., Milisavljevic, D., Masetti, N. et al. 2014, *AJ*, 147, 112
- Paiano, S., Falomo, R., Franceschini, A. et al. 2017a, *ApJ*, 851, 135
- Paiano, S., Landoni, M., Falomo, R., et al. 2017b, *ApJ*, 844, 120
- Paiano, S., Falomo, R., Treves, A., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 162
- Peña-Herazo, H. A., Marchesini, E. J., Álvarez Crespo, N. et al. 2017 *Ap&SS*, 362, 228
- Peña-Herazo, H. A., Massaro, F., Chavushyan, V., et al. 2019, *Ap&SS*, 364, 85
- Peterson, B. A., Jauncey, D. J., Wright, A. E., et al. 1976, *ApJ*, 207, L5
- Petrov, L., Mahony, E. K., Edwards, P. G. et al. 2013 *MNRAS*, 432, 1294
- Ricci F., Massaro F., Landoni M. et al. 2015 *AJ*, 149, 160
- Salveti, D., Chiaro, G., La Mura, G., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 1291
- Sandrinelli, A., Treves, A., Falomo, R., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 146, 163
- Schinzl, F. K., Petrov, L., Taylor, G. B. 2015, *ApJS*, 217, 4
- Schinzl, F. K., Petrov, L., Taylor, G. B. et al., 2017 *ApJ*, 838, 139
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., D., M. 1998 *ApJ* 500 525S
- Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W.; Cotter, G. et al. 2013 *ApJ*, 764, 135
- Stickel, M., Padovani, P., Urry, C. M. et al. 1991, *ApJ*, 374, 431
- Stoeckl, J. T., Morris, S. L., Gioia, I. M., et al. 1991, *ApJS*, 76, 813
- Stroh, M. C. & Falcone, A. D., 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 28
- Taylor, M. B. 2005, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 347, 29
- Titov, O., Stanford, L. M., Johnston, H. M., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 146, 10
- Tody, D. 1986, *SPIE*, 627, 733
- Tody, D. 1993, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 52, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II*, ed. R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V. Brissenden, & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 173
- Tsarevsky, G., de Freitas Pacheco, J. A., Kardashev, N., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 438, 949
- Vanden Berk, D. E., Richards, G. T., Bauer, A., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 549
- van Dokkum, P. G. 2001, *PASP*, 113, 1420
- Vermeulen, R. C., & Taylor, G. B. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 1983
- Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K. et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868
- Wisotzki, L., Christlieb, N., Bade, N., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 358, 77
- Zechlin, H. & Horns, D. 2012, *JCAP*, 11, 050

Appendix A: Data reduction and analysis of new spectroscopic observations

This Appendix describes in more detail, the results for the 30 sources analyzed in this paper (see Sect. 3). The results were derived from new observations with the Blanco and OAN-SPM telescopes, and archival data collected from the SDSS.

Our targets were selected from the KDEBLACS and WIBRALS2 catalogs (D’Abrusco et al. 2019), a sample of X-ray selected sources (Marchesini et al. 2020), and BL Lac objects with previously-undetermined redshifts that would benefit from new observations. In our sample, seven sources were observed at Blanco (2 UGSs, 5 BCUs), 15 at OAN-SPM (6 UGSs, 9 BCUs), while the remaining eight (i.e., all 5 BL Lacs, 1 UGS, and 2 BCU) were found in the SDSS DR15 archive (Aguado et al. 2019). We provided the spectroscopic classifications of these five BL Lacs to the *Fermi*-LAT team during the preparation of the 4FGL catalog release thus why they were already classified therein.

As in previous analyses from our spectroscopic campaign (e.g., Peña-Herazo et al. 2017; Marchesini et al. 2019), all spectroscopic data sets acquired were optimally extracted and reduced following standard procedures with IRAF (Tody 1986, 1993). Thus here we provide only a brief overview of the data acquisition and our extraction procedure of the optical spectra; see Marchesini et al. (2019) for details. Details of the Blanco telescope observations are provided below as this was the first time it was used in our campaign. Further details regarding the OAN-SPM observations are given in our past papers (Masetti et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2015c). We describe the selection of the SDSS spectra and our results from the measurements that utilized the DR15 pipeline-calibrated spectra.

All spectra are de-reddened for Galactic absorption assuming $E(B - V)$ values from the relation presented by Schlegel et al. (1998). Although the main scientific objective of our campaign does not require a precise flux calibration, we derived relative photometry by observing a spectrophotometric standard star during each night of the different observing runs. To detect faint spectral features, aimed at estimating redshifts, we also present normalized spectra.

All the following figures showing the new spectra are in the same format adopted in our previous analyses. We claim a line identification whenever there are at least two absorption and/or emission lines present that can be identified with a redshift value. As in our previous analyses (see e.g., Masetti et al. 2013; Marchesini et al. 2019), these spectral features must be detected in more than one exposure.

Appendix A.1: Victor Blanco Telescope

Southern declination sources (i.e., at Dec. $< 0^\circ$) were observed in visiting mode at the Victor Blanco 4-m telescope in Cerro Tololo, Chile on 2019 June 12. We made use of the COSMOS spectrograph red grism (r2k), center slit with 1.2'' width, and the OG530 filter. This setup gave a spectral range of 5515-9635 Å and a dispersion of 1 Å/pixel. We acquired Hg-Ne comparison lamp spectra on each target position for the wavelength calibration.

Appendix A.2: OAN-SPM

Sources in the northern hemisphere (i.e., at Dec. $> 0^\circ$) were observed with the 2.1 m telescope of the OAN-SPM in San Pedro

Mártir (Mexico) in two observing runs on 2018 August 4 and 2019 July 2-7.

The telescope carries a Boller and Chivens spectrograph with a 1024×1024 pixel E2V 4240 CCD, tuned to the 4000–8000 Å range with a resolution of 10 Å/pixel and a slit width of 2.5''. Wavelength calibration was done using CuHe-NeAr and He-Ar comparison lamps.

For each acquisition, we carried out bias subtraction, flat-field correction, and cosmic-ray removal. To remove cosmic rays, we collected 2-3 individual exposures per target and averaged them. The multiple exposures allowed us to identify dubious spectral features using the L.A. Cosmic IRAF algorithm (van Dokkum 2001).

Appendix A.3: SDSS Archival Search

To investigate those UGSs lying in the SDSS footprint and to search for new BL Lac objects, we adopted the same procedure as described in Massaro et al. (2016); Peña-Herazo et al. (2019). In summary, we first searched for all UGSs in the footprint of the SDSS DR15. We then selected UGSs having at least one source, with an available optical spectrum, lying within their γ -ray positional uncertainty region. Then, we visually inspected all these optical sources selecting those with a typical BL Lac spectrum (i.e., featureless or with emission/absorption lines with EW < 5 Å).

We remark that for all UGSs analyzed using the SDSS spectra, we carried out our investigation and provided the classifications directly to the *Fermi*-LAT collaboration. Thus they appeared originally as UGS only in the preliminary version of the 4FGL used to select our targets. For the following we will keep considering them as UGSs, however in Table 2 we report their new classifications in the final, published 4FGL in parenthesis. Basically they are listed as 2 BCUs, 5 BL Lacs and 1 UGS. These eight sources appear to all be BZBs with no redshift estimates due to their featureless spectra with the exception of 7C 1032+4424 (4FGL J1035.6+4409) at $z = 0.444$, that is classified as a blazar of galaxy type (BZG) according to the criteria outlined in the Roma-BZCAT (Massaro et al. 2009, 2015b).




Fig. A.1. (Left panels) Optical spectrum of WISE J083706.00+583152.9, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J0836.9+5833. The Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) of the spectrum is indicated along the top. (Right panel) The finding chart ($5' \times 5'$) retrieved from the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS) highlighting the location of the counterpart (red circle).




Fig. A.2. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J091451.57+584438.1, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J0914.8+5846.




Fig. A.3. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J101919.15+562428.8, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1019.3+5625.




Fig. A.4. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J103532.12+440931.4, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1035.6+4409.




Fig. A.5. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J122602.82+562254.9, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1226.0+5622.




Fig. A.6. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J123806.03-454129.6, the counterpart of 4FGL J1238.1-4541.




Fig. A.7. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J140319.46+432020.1, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1403.4+4319.





Fig. A.8. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J145445.32+523655.4, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1454.7+5237.





Fig. A.9. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J145922.16+152654.9, the counterpart of 4FGL J1459.5+1527.





Fig. A.10. As in Figure A.1 but for J154458.88-664146.9, the potential counterpart of 3FGL J1545.0-6641.





Fig. A.11. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J154546.58-233928.4, the counterpart of 4FGL J1545.8-2336.




Fig. A.12. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J160012.36-581102.8, the counterpart of 4FGL J1600.3-5811.




Fig. A.13. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J163738.24+300506.4, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1637.5+3005.





Fig. A.14. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J164058.89+114404.2, the counterpart of 4FGL J1640.9+1143.





Fig. A.15. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J164723.42+615347.5, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1647.1+6149.




Fig. A.16. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J170433.83-052840.7, the potential counterpart of 3FGL J1704.4-0528.




Fig. A.17. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J170520.54+543659.8, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1705.4+5436.




Fig. A.18. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J170650.43+300412.5, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J1706.8+3004.




Fig. A.19. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J174419.81+185217.9, the counterpart of 4FGL J1744.4+1851.




Fig. A.20. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J181037.98+533501.5, the counterpart of 4FGL J1810.7+5335.




Fig. A.21. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J181403.43+382810.1, the counterpart of 4FGL J1814.0+3828.





Fig. A.22. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J183820.63-602522.6, the counterpart of 4FGL J1838.4-6023.





Fig. A.23. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J185813.43+432451.9, the counterpart of 4FGL J1858.3+4321.




Fig. A.24. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J192935.09+614629.4, the counterpart of 4FGL J1929.4+6146.




Fig. A.25. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J204350.15+000127.8, the counterpart of 4FGL J2043.7+0000.





Fig. A.26. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J204644.01-425713.2, the counterpart of 4FGL J2046.8-4258.





Fig. A.27. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J211522.00+121802.6, the counterpart of 4FGL J2115.2+1218.





Fig. A.28. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J214123.89+294706.2, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J2141.4+2947.





Fig. A.29. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J220812.70+035304.5, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J2208.2+0350.




Fig. A.30. As in Figure A.1 but for WISE J223528.60+181635.5, the potential counterpart of 4FGL J2235.3+1818.

Appendix B: Summary tables

Here we report the first 12 lines of the two main tables summarizing the results (i) achieved thanks to our optical spectroscopic campaign including all 394 targets (Table [B.1](#)), and (ii) obtained from the literature consisting of a total of 123 sources (Table [B.2](#)).

Table B.1. Summary of results from our optical spectroscopic campaign.

Fermi-LAT name (1)	Fermi-LAT class (2)	Associated source (3)	WISE counterpart (4)	R.A. (J2000) hh:mm:ss (5)	Dec. (J2000) dd:mm:ss (6)	Class (7)	z (8)	Ref. (9)	Telescope (10)	Literature (11)
4FGL J0003.9-11149	bl	PMN J0004-1148	J000404.91-114858.3	00:04:04.91	-11:48:58.33	bzb	...	d	6dF	
4FGL J0009.7-3217	rdg	IC 1531	J000935.55-321636.8	00:09:35.56	-32:16:36.85	bzg	0.0254	d	6dF	
4FGL J0015.6+5551	bl	GB6 J0015+5551	J001540.13+555144.7	00:15:40.14	+55:51:44.77	bzb	...	b	KPNO	
4FGL J0015.9+2440	bcu	GB6 J0016+2440	J001603.62+244014.7	00:16:03.62	+24:40:14.77	bzb	...	l	OAN-SPM	
FL8Y J0024.1+2401	ugs	...	J002406.10+240438.6	00:24:06.10	+24:04:38.67	bzb	0.062?	o	SDSS	
4FGL J0023.7-6820	bcu	PKS 0021-686	J002406.72-682054.5	00:24:06.72	-68:20:54.50	qso	0.354	f	SOAR	
4FGL J0028.4+2001	fsrq	TXS 0025+197	J002829.81+200026.7	00:28:29.82	+20:00:26.77	bzq	1.5517	d	SDSS DR12	
4FGL J0037.9+2612	bl	MG3 J003720+2613	J003719.15+261312.6	00:37:19.15	+26:13:12.60	bzg	0.1477	k	SDSS	
4FGL J0038.7-0204	rdg	3C 17	J003820.53-020740.5	00:38:20.53	-02:07:40.50	bzq	0.2204	k	SDSS	
4FGL J0040.4-2340	bl	PMN J0040-2340	J004024.90-234000.7	00:40:24.90	-23:40:00.70	bzg	0.213	f	SOAR	
4FGL J0043.5-0442	bl	IRXS J004333.7-044257	J004334.12-044300.6	00:43:34.12	-04:43:00.67	bzb	...	d	6dF	
4FGL J0043.7-1116	bcu	IRXS J004349.3-111612	J004348.66-111607.2	00:43:48.66	-11:16:07.23	bzb	0.264	c	SOAR, NOT	h

Column descriptions: (1) Fermi-LAT name; (2) Fermi-LAT classification; (3) Fermi-LAT low-energy counterpart association name; (4) WISE counterpart name; (5) J2000 Right Ascension; (6) J2000 Declination; (7) Spectroscopic class; (8) Redshift; (9) References to our campaign papers: a, (Cowperthwaite et al. 2013); b, (Alvarez-Crespo et al. 2016a); c, (Alvarez-Crespo et al. 2016b); d, (Alvarez-Crespo et al. 2016c); e, (Landoni et al. 2015a); f, (Marchesini et al. 2019); g, (Massaro et al. 2014); h, (Massaro et al. 2015a); i, (Massaro et al. 2015b); j, (Massaro et al. 2016); k, (de Menezes et al. 2019); l, (de Menezes et al. 2020); m, (Paggi et al. 2014); n, (Peña-Herazo et al. 2017); o, (Peña-Herazo et al. 2019); p, This work; q, (Ricci et al. 2015). (10) Telescope; (11) References to spectra that were also reported in the literature: a (Desai et al. 2019); b, (Falco et al. 1998); c, (Jones et al. 2009); d, (Hewitt, & Burbidge 1980); e, (Klindt et al. 2017); f, (La Mura et al. 2017); g, (Marchesi et al. 2018); h, (Marchesini et al. 2016); i, (Marlow et al. 2000); j, (Martí et al. 2004); k, (Masetti et al. 2013); l, (Paiano et al. 2017a); m, (Paiano et al. 2017b); n, (Shaw et al. 2013); o, (Titov et al. 2013); p, (Tsarevsky et al. 2005); q, (Vermeulen, & Taylor 1995); r, (Paiano et al. 2019).

Table B.2. Summary of literature search.

3FGL name (1)	3FGL class (2)	4FGL name (3)	4FGL class (4)	Associated name (5)	WISE name (6)	R.A. (J2000) hh:mm:ss (7)	Dec. (J2000) dd:mm:ss (8)	Class (9)	z (10)	Telescope (11)	Literature (12)
J0004.2+0843	ugs	J0004.0+0840	bcu	SDSS J000359.23+084138.1	J000359.23+084138.1	00:03:59.23	+08:41:38.15	bzb	>1.503	GTC	p
J0006.2+0135	ugs	J0006.4+0135	bcu	NVSS J000626+013611	J000626.90+013610.6	00:06:26.90	+01:36:10.70	bzb	0.787	GTC	p
J0008.0+4713	bil	J0008.0+4711	bil	MG4 J000800+4712	J000759.97+471207.7	00:07:59.98	+47:12:07.75	bzb	>1.659	GTC	n
J0031.3+0724	bcu	J0031.3+0726	bil	NVSS J003119+072456	J003119.71+072453.4	00:31:19.71	+07:24:53.50	bzb	>0.836	KPNO	j
J0040.3+4049	bcu	J0040.3+4050	bil	B3 0037+405	J004013.81+405004.5	00:40:13.82	+40:50:04.54	bzb	...	KPNO	j
J0043.7-1117	bcu	J0043.7-1116	bil	IRXS J004349.3-111612	J004348.66-111607.2	00:43:48.66	-11:16:07.23	bzb	...	NOT, Copernico	k and h
J0045.2-3704	bcu	J0045.1-3706	bcu	PKS 0042-373	J004512.06-370548.5	00:45:12.06	-37:05:48.54	bzq	1.033	SALT	g
J0049.0+4224	ugs	J0049.1+4223	bcu	GALEXASC J004859.14+422351.4	J004859.15+422351.1	00:48:59.16	+42:23:51.12	bzb	0.302	SDSS	o
J0049.7+0237	bil	J0049.7+0237	bil	PKS 0047+023	J004943.23+023703.7	00:49:43.23	+02:37:03.80	bzb	>0.55	GTC	n
J0102.1+0943	ugs	J0102.4+0942	bcu	2MASS J01021713+0944098	J010216.63+094411.1	01:02:17.10	+09:44:09.50	bzb	0.42	SDSS	o
J0127.2+0325	bcu	J0127.2+0324	bil	NVSS J012713+032259	J012713.94+032300.6	01:27:13.95	+03:23:00.64	bzb	...	KPNO	j
J0134.5+2638	bcu	J0134.5+2637	fsrq	RX J0134.4+2638	J013428.19+263843.0	01:34:28.20	+26:38:43.01	bzb	...	OAN-SPM, HET, and KPNO	s and j

Column descriptions: (1) 3FGL name; (2) 3FGL classification; (3) 4FGL name; (4) 4FGL classification; (5) Fermi-LAT low-energy counterpart association name; (6) WISE counterpart name; (7) J2000 Right Ascension; (8) J2000 Declination; (9) Spectroscopic class; (10) Redshift; (11) Telescope; (12) References: a, (Britzen et al. 2007); b, (Caccianiga et al. 2002); c, (Alvarez-Crespo et al. 2016a); d, (Alvarez-Crespo et al. 2016b); e, (Desai et al. 2019); f, (Hewitt, & Burbidge 1980); g, (Kilndt et al. 2017); h, (La Mura et al. 2017); i, (Landoni et al. 2018); j, (Marchesi et al. 2018); k, (Marchesini et al. 2016); l, (Massaro et al. 2015a); m, (Paggi et al. 2014); n, (Paiano et al. 2017a); o, (Paiano et al. 2017b); p, (Paiano et al. 2019); q, (Peterson et al. 1976); r, (Ricci et al. 2015); s, (Shaw et al. 2013); t, (Wisotzki et al. 2000).