

On the Powers of Signed Graphs

Shijin T V ¹ Germina K A ² Shahul Hameed K ³

Abstract

A signed graph is an ordered pair $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$, where $G = (V, E)$ is the underlying graph of Σ with a signature function $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$. In this article, we define n^{th} power of a signed graph and discuss some properties of these powers of signed graphs. As we can define two types of signed graphs as the power of a signed graph, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for an n^{th} power of a signed graph to be unique. Also, we characterize balanced power signed graphs.

Key Words: Signed graph, Signed distance, Distance compatibility, Power of signed graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C12, 05C22, 05C50.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we will treat only simple, finite and connected signed graphs. A signature on a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$. A signed graph is a graph $G = (V, E)$ with a signature σ and is denoted as $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$, where G is called the underlying graph of Σ . The sign of a cycle in a signed graph is the

¹Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod - 671316, Kerala, India. Email: shijintv11@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod - 671316, Kerala, India. Email: srgerminaka@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, K M M Government Women's College, Kannur - 670004, Kerala, India. E-mail: shabrennen@gmail.com

product of the signs of its edges. A cycle in a signed graph is said to be balanced if it has positive sign. A signed graph Σ is said to be balanced if all of its cycles are positive and Σ is unbalanced, otherwise [2].

To begin with, we recall and adopt some definitions and notations from [3], in which the concept of signed distance in signed graphs and distance compatible signed graphs are introduced.

Let u and v be any two vertices in a connected graph G . As usual, $d(u, v)$ denotes the distance (the length of the shortest path) between u and v . Let $\mathcal{P}_{(u,v)}$ denote the collection of all shortest paths $P_{(u,v)}$ between them. Then, the distance between u and v in a signed graph is defined as:

$$d_{\max}(u, v) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)d(u, v) = \max\{\sigma(P_{(u,v)}) : P_{(u,v)} \in \mathcal{P}_{(u,v)}\}d(u, v) \text{ and}$$

$d_{\min}(u, v) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v)d(u, v) = \min\{\sigma(P_{(u,v)}) : P_{(u,v)} \in \mathcal{P}_{(u,v)}\}d(u, v)$, where the sign of a path P in Σ is defined as $\sigma(P) = \prod_{e \in E(P)} \sigma(e)$.

Two vertices u and v in Σ are said to be *distance-compatible* (briefly, *compatible*) if $d_{\min}(u, v) = d_{\max}(u, v)$. A signed graph Σ is said to be (distance)-compatible or simply compatible, if every pair of vertices is compatible and Σ is incompatible, otherwise.

Corresponding to the functions d_{\max} and d_{\min} , there are two types of distance matrices in a signed graph called signed distance matrices [3] as given below.

$$(D1) \ D^{\max}(\Sigma) = (d_{\max}(u, v))_{n \times n}.$$

$$(D2) \ D^{\min}(\Sigma) = (d_{\min}(u, v))_{n \times n}.$$

Also, the concept of associated signed complete graphs associated with $D^{\max}(\Sigma)$ and $D^{\min}(\Sigma)$ are introduced in [3], as follows.

Definition 1.1. The associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma)$ with respect to $D^{\max}(\Sigma)$ is obtained by joining the non-adjacent vertices of Σ with edges having signs

$$\sigma(uv) = \sigma_{\max}(uv)$$

The associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma)$ with respect to $D^{\min}(\Sigma)$ is obtained by joining the non-adjacent vertices of Σ with edges having signs

$$\sigma(uv) = \sigma_{\min}(uv)$$

Whenever, $D^{\max} = D^{\min} = D^{\pm}$, say, the associated signed complete graph of Σ is denoted by $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$.

The concept of n^{th} power of graph is discussed in [1]. The n^{th} power of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is denoted as G^n and is defined as, graph having the same vertex set as that of G and any two vertices u and v are adjacent in G^n if their distance $d(u, v)$ is less than or equal to n .

In this paper, we define n^{th} power of a signed graph by using the concept of signed distance in signed graphs and discuss some properties of n^{th} power of signed graphs. Also, we characterize the balanced power signed graphs.

2 Main Results

Corresponding to σ_{\max} and σ_{\min} , two types of n^{th} powers of signed graph for a given signed graph Σ , can be defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph.

(D1) The n^{th} power signed graph Σ_{\max}^n is a signed graph $\Sigma_{\max}^n = (G^n, \sigma')$, where G^n is the underlying graph of Σ_{\max}^n and for any edge $e = uv \in G^n$, $\sigma'(uv) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)$.

(D2) The n^{th} power signed graph Σ_{\min}^n is a signed graph $\Sigma_{\min}^n = (G^n, \sigma'')$, where G^n is the underlying graph of Σ_{\min}^n and for any edge $e = uv \in G^n$, $\sigma''(uv) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v)$.

Remark 2.2. The n^{th} power of a signed graph Σ is said to be unique whenever, $\Sigma_{\max}^n = \Sigma_{\min}^n$, and is denoted by Σ^n .

The following Theorem 2.3 gives a characterization for the uniqueness of n^{th} power of a signed graph.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph. Then, the n^{th} power of Σ is unique if and only if there exists no incompatible pair of vertices at a distance less than or equal to n .*

Proof. Suppose that the n^{th} power of Σ is unique. If possible, assume on the contrary that there exists an incompatible pair u and v in Σ at a distance, say, $k \leq n$. Then, there exists two uv paths P and Q of distance k in Σ with $\sigma(P)$ is positive and $\sigma(Q)$ is negative. While considering Σ^n , all the vertices with distance less than or equal to n will have to be joined by an edge with a unique signature. But here, the path P will induce a positive edge uv in Σ_{\max}^n and the path Q will induce a negative edge uv in Σ_{\min}^n , implies $\Sigma_{\max}^n \neq \Sigma_{\min}^n$, a contradiction.

Hence, there exists no incompatible pair of vertices in Σ at a distance less than or equal to n .

Conversely, suppose that there exists no incompatible pair of vertices in Σ at a distance less than or equal to n . Then, for any two vertices u and v with distance less than or equal to n in Σ , $\sigma_{\max}(u, v) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v) = \sigma(u, v)$. That is, $\Sigma_{\max}^n = \Sigma_{\min}^n = \Sigma^n$. Hence, the n^{th} power of Σ is unique. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph with diameter less than or equal to n . Then, the n^{th} power signed graph $\Sigma_{\max}^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ (or $\Sigma_{\min}^n = (G^n, \sigma'')$) is the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma)$ (or $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma)$). Moreover, if Σ is compatible then, $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ is the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph with diameter less than or equal to n . That is, the maximum distance between any two vertices in Σ is n . Therefore, while taking Σ_{\max}^n all the non-adjacent vertices u and v will form an edge uv with sign $\sigma'(uv) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)$. Hence, Σ_{\max}^n is the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma)$. Similarly, if we consider Σ_{\min}^n all the non-adjacent vertices u and v will form an edge uv with sign $\sigma''(uv) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v)$. Hence, Σ_{\min}^n is the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma)$.

If Σ is compatible, then $\Sigma_{\max}^n = \Sigma_{\min}^n = \Sigma^n$. Since, the diameter is less than or equal to n , all the non-adjacent vertices in Σ will form an edge in Σ^n and sign of these edges are $\sigma'(uv) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v)$. Hence, Σ^n is the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^\pm}(\Sigma)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph and u, v be two vertices in Σ . Then, for any u - v path P of length k there exists a u - v path P' of length $\lceil \frac{k}{n} \rceil$ in $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$, where $\sigma(P) = \sigma'(P')$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph and let P be a u - v path of length k in Σ . In Σ^n all the vertices with distance at most n in Σ will form an edge. By considering division algorithm on k and n , we get $k = nq + r$, where $0 \leq r < n$.

Case 1: $r = 0$.

Then, $k = nq$. Hence, there will be q edges between u and v in Σ^n .

Case 2: $r \neq 0$.

Then, $k = nq + r$. Since, $r < n$ the path of length r will form an edge in Σ^n . Then, there will be $q + 1$ edges between u and v in Σ^n .

From the above cases, it can be concluded that, corresponding to the path P of length k in Σ there is a path P' from u to v in Σ^n of length $\lceil \frac{k}{n} \rceil$.

To prove $\sigma(P) = \sigma'(P')$. By the definition of Σ^n any path P of length $k \leq n$ will form an edge e in Σ^n and here $\sigma(P) = \sigma'(e)$.

Let $k > n$ and $k = nq + r$ and let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{nq+r}\}$ be the edge set of P . Then, $\sigma(P) = \prod_{i=1}^{nq+r} \sigma(e_i)$.

Suppose that $r \neq 0$. Then, P can be written as the union of edge disjoint paths, $P'_i = e_{(i-1)n+1}, e_{(i-1)n+2}, \dots, e_{in}$, $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $P'_{q+1} = e_{nq+1}, e_{nq+2}, \dots, e_{nq+r}$ whose length is at most n . Since, every path of length at most n will form an edge in Σ^n , $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{q+1}\}$ be the edges in Σ^n corresponding to paths P'_1, \dots, P'_{q+1} . Let P' be the path from u to v with edges $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{q+1}$ in Σ^n .

Then, $\sigma'(P') = \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \sigma'(e'_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \sigma(P'_i) = \sigma(P)$. When $r = 0$, in a similar way we can see that $\sigma(P) = \sigma'(P')$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph and u, v be two vertices in Σ . Then, for any u - v path P of length k in $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$, there exists a u - v path P' of length k' , where $(k-1)n + 1 \leq k' \leq kn$ in Σ such that $\sigma'(P) = \sigma(P')$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ be the n^{th} power of $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ and P be a uv path of length k in Σ^n .

Case 1: If $k = 1$.

Then, uv is an edge in Σ^n . By the definition, each edge in Σ^n corresponds to a path P' of length $k' \leq n$ in Σ , where $\sigma'(uv) = \sigma(P')$.

Case 2: If $k > 1$.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ be the edge set of P in Σ^n , where each edge e_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ corresponds to a path P_i of length $l_i \leq n$ in Σ . Then, the concatenation $P' = \cup_i P_i$ will form a uv path of length $k' = \sum_{i=1}^k l_i \leq kn$ in Σ and the sign of P' is given by $\sigma(P') = \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma(P_i) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma'(e_i) = \sigma'(P)$.

Since, P is of length k by Lemma 2.5 k' should be greater than $(k-1)n$. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph with diameter greater than n and the n^{th} power of Σ is unique. Then, $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ is compatible implies Σ is compatible.*

Proof. Suppose that Σ is incompatible. Since, the n^{th} power of Σ is unique, by Theorem 2.3, there exist no incompatible pair of vertices at a distance less than or equal to n . Let u and v be an incompatible pair of vertices at a distance $k > n$. Then, there exist two shortest path P and Q from u to v of length k with $\sigma(P)$ is positive and $\sigma(Q)$ is negative. Then, by Lemma 2.5, there exists two paths P' and Q' from u to v in Σ^n of length $\lceil \frac{k}{n} \rceil$ with $\sigma(P) = \sigma'(P')$ and $\sigma(Q) = \sigma'(Q')$. Thus, u and v will form an incompatible pair of vertices in Σ^n , a contradiction. Hence, the signed graph Σ is compatible. \square

Remark 2.8. The converse of the above theorem is not generally true. For example, consider the cycle C_7^- and its square signed graph given in Figure 1. The cycle C_7^-

is compatible, where its square signed graph contains incompatible vertices u_1 and u_4 .




Figure 1: The signed graph C_7^- and its square signed graph.

2.1 Balance criterion in the power of a signed graph

In this section we give a characterization for balance in the n^{th} power of a signed graph. First we recall some results from [3, 4].

Theorem 2.9 ([3]). *For a signed graph Σ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) Σ is balanced
- (2) The associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma)$ is balanced.
- (3) The associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma)$ is balanced.
- (4) $D^{\max}(\Sigma) = D^{\min}(\Sigma)$ and the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$ is balanced.

Theorem 2.10 ([3]). *A signed graph Σ is balanced if and only if the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$ has the spectrum $\begin{pmatrix} n-1 & -1 \\ 1 & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Lemma 2.11 ([4]). *Let u and v be incompatible pair of vertices with least distance in a 2-connected non-geodetic signed graph. Then, there will be two internally disjoint shortest paths from u to v of opposite signs.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a 2-connected signed graph. Then, Σ is balanced implies $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ is compatible.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a 2-connected balanced signed graph. If possible, let u and v be an incompatible pair of vertices in Σ^n . Since, Σ^n is 2-connected by using Lemma 2.11, we get two internally disjoint shortest uv paths P and Q of opposite signs. Then, by Lemma 2.6, we can find two uv paths P' and Q' in Σ with $\sigma(P') = \sigma'(P)$ and $\sigma(Q') = \sigma'(Q)$. Therefore, P' and Q' can not be the same. If P' and Q' are internally disjoint, then the concatenation $(P') \cup (Q')^{-1}$ will be a negative cycle in Σ , a contradiction. Suppose that P' and Q' are not internally disjoint. Consider the cycles C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m formed by the common points of P' and Q' . Since, P' and Q' are not the same, there exists at least one such cycle. Also, since $\sigma(P') \neq \sigma(Q')$, we can find at least one cycle among C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m , say C_i with common points u_i and v_i of P' and Q' , where the sign of $u_i v_i$ path along P' and along Q' are distinct. Then, the cycle C_i will be a negative cycle in Σ , a contradiction. Hence, Σ^n should be compatible. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph. Then, the associated signed complete graphs $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma_{\max}^n)$ and $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma_{\min}^n)$. Moreover, if Σ is balanced, then $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma^n)$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph and $\Sigma_{\max}^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ be the n^{th} power of Σ . Then, by Lemma 2.5, corresponding to the shortest uv path P in Σ , there is a shortest uv path P' in Σ_{\max}^n where, $\sigma_{\max}(P_{(u,v)}) = \sigma'_{\max}(P'_{(u,v)})$. The associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma)$ is obtained by joining all the non-adjacent vertices in Σ , with edges having signs $\sigma(u, v) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)$. Similarly, the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma_{\max}^n)$ is obtained by joining all the non-adjacent vertices in

Σ_{\max}^n , with edges having signs $\sigma'(u, v) = \sigma'_{\max}(u, v) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)$.

Also, if u and v are adjacent in Σ , we have $\sigma'(u, v) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v)$. Hence, $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma_{\max}^n)$. Similarly, we get $K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma_{\min}^n)$.

If Σ is balanced, then $\Sigma_{\max}^n = \Sigma_{\min}^n = \Sigma^n$ and by Lemma 2.12 Σ^n is compatible. Therefore, $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma_{\max}^n) = K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma_{\min}^n) = K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma^n)$. Also, Σ is balanced implies $\sigma(u, v) = \sigma_{\max}(u, v) = \sigma_{\min}(u, v)$ and $K^{D^{\max}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\min}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$. Hence, $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma^n)$. \square

Theorem 2.14. *A 2-connected signed graph $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ is balanced if and only if $\Sigma^n = (G^n, \sigma')$ is balanced.*

Proof. Suppose that Σ is balanced. Then, by Theorem 2.9 we get $D^{\max}(\Sigma) = D^{\min}(\Sigma)$ and the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$ is balanced. Since, Σ is balanced by using Lemma 2.12 and Lemma 2.13 we get Σ^n is compatible and $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma) = K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma^n)$. Which implies, $D^{\max}(\Sigma^n) = D^{\min}(\Sigma^n)$ and $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma^n)$ is balanced. Again, by using Theorem 2.9, we get Σ^n is balanced.

Conversely, suppose that Σ^n is balanced. Being a subgraph of Σ^n , Σ should be balanced. \square

The following Corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.14.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a 2-connected compatible signed graph of order m . Then, the n^{th} power signed graph Σ^n is balanced if and only if the associated signed complete graph $K^{D^{\pm}}(\Sigma)$ has the spectrum $\begin{pmatrix} m-1 & -1 \\ 1 & m-1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Acknowledgement

The first author would like to acknowledge his gratitude to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, for the financial support under the CSIR

Junior Research Fellowship scheme, vide order nos.: 09/1108(0032)/2018-EMR-I.

References

1. J. Akiyama, H. Era and G. Exoo, Further results on graph equations for line graphs and n^{th} power graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 34 (1981), 209–218.
2. F. Harary, A characterization of balanced signed graphs, *Mich. Math. J.*, 2 (1953), 143–146.
3. Shahul Hameed K, Shijin T V, Soorya P, Germina K A and Thomas Zaslavsky, Signed Distance in Signed Graphs, *Linear Alg. and its Applications*, (Accepted).
4. Shijin T V, Soorya P, Shahul Hameed K, Germina K A, On Signed Distance in Product of Signed Graphs, (communicated).
5. T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 4 (1982) 47–74. Erratum, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 5 (1983), 248.