# Superconductivity enhanced by d-band filling in La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$ with Tr = Mo and W

Rumika Miyawaki, Naoki Nakamura, Ryuji Higashinaka, Tatsuma D. Matsuda, and Yuji Aoki\*

Department of Physics,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

(Dated: September 30, 2020)

# **Abstract**

Electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and specific heat measurements on single crystals of La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  with Tr = Mo and W revealed that these compounds exhibit superconductivity with transition temperatures  $T_c = 3.22$  and 1.81 K, respectively, achieving the highest values in the reported La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  compounds. There appears a positive correlation between  $T_c$  and the electronic specific heat coefficient, which increases with increasing the number of 4d- and 5d-electrons. This finding indicates that filling of the upper  $e_g$  orbitals in the 4d and 5d bands plays an essential role for the significant enhancement of the superconducting condensation energy. Possible roles played by the d electrons in the strongly correlated electron phenomena appearing in  $RTr_2$ Al $_{20}$  are discussed.

<sup>\*</sup> aoki@tmu.ac.jp

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Ternary intermetallic compounds containing rare-earth ions are the subject of continuous interest in the fields of strongly correlated electron physics. Among them, a family of  $RTr_2X_{20}$  (R: rare earths, Tr: transition metals, X: Al, Zn, and Cd), which crystallize in the cubic  $CeCr_2Al_{20}$ -type structure ( $Fd\bar{3}m$ , #227), have attracted considerable attention in recent years, because a wide variety of exotic electron states caused by strong hybridization of f-electrons with non-f-ligands have been observed. A heavy fermion (HF) behavior appears in YbCo<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>20</sub> with an electronic specific heat coefficient of 8 J/(mol  $K^2$ ), which is the largest among Yb compounds [1–3]. In a HF state of YbIr<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>20</sub>, a metamagnetic anomaly occurs at around 10 T [4]. Sm $Tr_2$ Al<sub>20</sub> (Tr = Ti, V, Nb, and Ta) exhibit rare Sm-based HF behaviors, which are anomalously field-insensitive [5–8]. Many of  $PrTr_2X_{20}$  compounds have a non-Kramers  $\Gamma_3$  doublet crystalline-electric-field ground state of Pr ions, and exhibit quadrupole Kondo lattice behaviors [9–14]. Therefore, the superconductivity (SC) appearing in the  $PrTr_2X_{20}$  compounds is presumed to be induced by quadrupolar fluctuations [15–19]. In Ce- and U-based compounds, strongly correlated electron behaviors have also been reported [20–22].

The SC appearing in  $RTr_2X_{20}$  with nonmagnetic R ions has been discussed in terms of the cage structure, which is one of the characteristic features of the  $CeCr_2Al_{20}$ -type crystal structure. The R ions at the 8a site with cubic  $T_d$  symmetry are located at the center of an  $X_{16}$  cage. In  $R_xV_2Al_{20}$  with R = Al and Ga (the SC transition temperatures  $T_c$  are 1.49 and 1.66 K, respectively), the cage-center R ions show anharmonic large-amplitude oscillations as observed in filled skutterudites [23], which are considered to enhance  $T_c$  through the electron-phonon coupling [24–27]. Superconductors of R = Sc, Y, and Lu seems to have similar features [28]. For recently-found superconductors of  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$  with Tr = Ti, V, Nb, and Ta ( $T_c$  ranging from 0.15 to 1.05 K) [29], however, the cage does not have enough space for such anharmonic large-amplitude oscillations and the reason for the largely distributed  $T_c$  remains to be clarified.

In this paper, we study  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$  with Tr = Mo and W using single crystals. The results reveal that these compounds are new superconductors with the highest  $T_c$  values among  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$  compounds. Comparison among all these compounds suggests that the d-band filling plays an essential role for determining the superconducting properties in  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$ .

TABLE I. Crystallographic parameters of La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  (Tr = Mo and W) at room temperature. R and wR are reliability factors.  $B_{eq}$  is the equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameter. Occ. is the site occupancy. Standard deviations in the positions of the least significant digits are given in parentheses.

LaMo		${\rm Mo_2Al_{20}}$	$o_2Al_{20}$ $R = 1.94\%, wR = 3.679$				
$Fd\bar{3}m$ (\$227) (origin choice 2) $a = 14.6631(13)$ Å, $V = 3152.7(5)$ Å <sup>3</sup>							
Position							
Atom	Site	х	у	z	$B_{\rm eq}({\rm \AA}^2)$	Occ.	
La	8 <i>a</i>	1/8	1/8	1/8	0.738(17)	1	
Mo	16 <i>d</i>	1/2	1/2	1/2	0.54(2)	0.928(4)	
Al(1)	96 <i>g</i>	0.05870(5)	0.05870(5)	0.32549(7)	0.82(3)	1	
Al(2)	48 <i>f</i>	0.48694(10)	1/8	1/8	0.82(3)	1	
Al(3)	16 <i>c</i>	0	0	0	1.79(5)	1	

 $LaW_2Al_{20}$  R = 1.04%, wR = 2.76%

 $Fd\bar{3}m$  (#227) (origin choice 2) a=14.6813(11) Å, V=3164.4(4) Å  $^3$ 

	_	]	_			
Atom	Site	x	у	z	$B_{\rm eq}({\rm \AA}^2)$	Occ.
La	8 <i>a</i>	1/8	1/8	1/8	0.667(15)	1
W	16 <i>d</i>	1/2	1/2	1/2	0.437(12)	0.848(2)
Al(1)	96 <i>g</i>	0.05872(4)	0.05872(4)	0.32575(6)	0.89(2)	1
Al(2)	48 <i>f</i>	0.48720(9)	1/8	1/8	0.91(2)	1
Al(3)	16 <i>c</i>	0	0	0	1.74(5)	1

# II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  (Tr = Mo and W) were grown by the Al self-flux method. The starting materials were La chips (99.9%), Al grains (99.99%) and powders of Mo (99.99%) and W (99.99%). With an atomic ratio of La:Mo:Al = 1:2:50 and La:W:Al = 1:2:90, the starting materials were put in an alumina crucible and sealed in a quartz tube. The quartz tube was heated up to 1050

°C and then slowly cooled. Single crystals were obtained by spinning the ampoule in a centrifuge in order to remove the excess Al flux.

The electrical resistivity  $\rho$  and specific heat C were measured using a Quantum Design (QD) Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) equipped with a Helium-3 cryostat. The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  was measured down to 2 K using a QD Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS).

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was performed using a Rigaku XtaLABmini with graphite monochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. The structural parameters refined using the program SHELX-97 [30] are shown in Table I. The lattice parameters a are close to those in the previous report [33]. The equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameter  $B_{eq}$  of Al(3) at the 16c site has relatively large values:  $B_{eq} = 1.74 - 1.79 \text{ Å}^2$ . This feature is characteristic to  $RTr_2X_{20}$  compounds; see Refs.[29, 34, 35] for X = Al and Refs. [15, 31, 32] for X = Zn. The cage-center La ions at the 8a site have normal values, in contrast to  $R_xV_2Al_{20}$  (R = Al and Ga), in which the cage-center R ions are suggested to have anharmonic rattling modes [24–27]. The occupancy of Mo and W sites was found to be less than one. Similar feature was also observed for CeMo<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> [33]. This could mean that these Tr sites are partially substituted by Al atoms because of the similarity in the metallic radii [36].

The temperature dependence of resistivity  $\rho(T)$  divided by  $\rho(300 \text{ K})$  is shown in Fig. 1. The residual resistivity ratio  $RRR \equiv \rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho_{res}$  ( $\rho_{res}$ : the residual resistivity) is 1.8 for W and 9.1 for Mo. Figures 2(a-d) show the low-temperature expansion of  $\rho(T, H)$  data. In zero field, both compounds show SC transitions with the onset at 3.4 K for Mo and 2.6 K for W. In the applied fields, the transition temperature shifts to lower temperatures. The details are discussed below.

The temperature dependence of specific heat C divided by temperature as a function of  $T^2$  is shown in Fig. 3. The normal-state C/T data can be well described by  $C/T = \gamma + \beta T^2$ , where  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are the electronic and phonon specific heat coefficients, respectively. The Debye temperature  $\Theta_D$  is obtained from  $\Theta_D = \sqrt[3]{(12/5)\pi^4 nR/\beta}$ , where n = 23 is the number of atoms per formula unit and R is the gas constant. The obtained parameters are summarized in Table II.

The temperature dependence of the electronic contribution to the specific heat  $C_{\rm el}/T \equiv C/T - \beta T^2$  is shown in Fig. 4. A clear specific heat jump appears at 3.22 K (Mo) and 1.81 K (W), which




FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of electrical resistivity  $\rho$  for La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  (Tr = Mo and W) with the current along the  $\langle 110 \rangle$  direction.




FIG. 2. Temperature and magnetic field dependences of electrical resistivity  $\rho$  for La $Tr_2$ Al<sub>20</sub> (Tr = Mo and W) measured at low temperatures with the current along the [110] direction in the fields along the [111] direction.




FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of specific heat C divided by temperature as a function of  $T^2$  for  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$  (Tr = Mo and W).

is referred to as the bulk SC transition temperature  $T_c$  hereinafter. The fitting of the  $C_{\rm el}(T)$  data by the  $\alpha$  model [37, 38] is shown by the solid curve. The obtained  $\alpha$  value is 1.74 and 1.75 for Mo and W, respectively, which is close to 1.764 expected from the BCS theory, suggesting that they are weak-coupling superconductors.

The bulk nature of the superconductivity in LaMo<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> has been confirmed by magnetic-susceptibility ( $\chi$ ) measurements. The temperature dependence of  $\chi$  measured in 10 Oe is shown in Fig. 5. The diamagnetic signal develops below  $T_c$ . The  $4\pi\chi$  values of the order of -1 far below  $T_c$  suggest that the SC volume fraction reaches approximately 100%.

The H-vs-T SC phase diagram constructed using the  $\rho$ ,  $C_{\rm el}$ , and  $\chi$  data is shown in Fig. 6.




FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the electronic contribution to the specific heat  $C_{\rm el}/T \equiv C/T - \beta T^2$  (the lower panel) for La $Tr_2$ Al<sub>20</sub> (Tr = Mo and W). The solid curves represent the fitting by the  $\alpha$  model [37, 38].

The values of  $H_{c2}(0)$  are much lower than the Pauli-limiting field  $H_P = (1.84 \times 10^4 \text{ Oe/K}) T_c$  [39], suggesting that  $H_{c2}(0)$  is determined by the orbital depairing effect. The temperature dependence of  $H_{c2}$  can be well described by the Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) clean-limit expression [40, 41], as shown by the solid curves in Fig. 6. In this model,  $H_{c2}(0)$  can be expressed as

$$H_{c2}(0) = -0.73 \times \frac{dH_{c2}}{dT}|_{T=T_c}T_c = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi\xi_{GI}^2},$$
 (1)

where  $\phi_0$  and  $\xi_{GL}$  are the quantum magnetic flux and the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) coherence length, respectively. The GL parameter  $\kappa_{GL}$ , which is equal to the Maki parameter [42]  $\kappa_2(T \to T_c)$ , is




FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  for LaMo<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub>. The zero-field-cooled (ZFC) warming data and the field-cooled (FC) data for the applied magnetic field of 10 Oe are shown.

determined using the thermodynamic relation [43]:

$$\frac{\Delta C_{\text{vol}}}{T}|_{T=T_{c}} = \left(\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT}|_{T=T_{c}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{4\pi(2\kappa_{2}^{2}-1)\beta_{A}},\tag{2}$$

where  $\Delta C_{\rm vol}$  is measured per unit volume [unit: erg/(K cm<sup>3</sup>)], and  $\beta_{\rm A}=1.16$  for a triangular vortex lattice. The thermodynamic critical field  $H_{\rm c}(0)=\alpha\,\sqrt{(6/\pi)\gamma_{\rm vol}}T_{\rm c}$  [38], the London penetration depth  $\lambda_{\rm L}=\kappa_{\rm GL}\xi_{\rm GL}$ , and the lower critical field  $H_{\rm cl}=H_{\rm c}(0)\ln\kappa_{\rm GL}/(\sqrt{2}\kappa_{\rm GL})$  are also calculated. The obtained characteristic parameters are summarized in Table II.

The electron-phonon coupling constant  $\lambda_{e-ph}$  is obtained using McMillan's formula

$$\lambda_{\text{e-ph}} = \frac{1.04 + \mu^* \ln(\frac{\theta_{\text{D}}}{1.45T_{\text{c}}})}{(1 - 0.62\mu^*) \ln(\frac{\theta_{\text{D}}}{1.45T_{\text{c}}}) - 1.04},\tag{3}$$

where the Coulomb coupling constant  $\mu^*$  is assumed to be 0.13 [44]. The fact that  $\lambda_{\text{e-ph}} = 0.48 - 0.50$  is consistent with the above-mentioned weak-coupling nature of the superconductivity.

In the crystal structure of  $RTr_2Al_{20}$ , the  $Al_{16}$  cage includes a guest R ion at the center (8a site) as shown in the inset of Fig. 7. In Ref. [29], we have introduced a parameter to quantify the "guest free space" as  $d_{GFS} \equiv d_{R-Al} - (r_R + r_{Al})$ , where  $d_{R-Al} \equiv (12d_{R-Al(96g)} + 4d_{R-Al(16c)})/16$  is the average distance between R and Al in the cage, and  $r_R$  and  $r_{Al}$  are the covalent radii for R and Al ions, respectively [45].  $d_{R-Al}$  is calculated using the results of the single-crystal X-ray diffraction




FIG. 6. H-T phase diagram of La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  with Tr = Mo and W in comparison with Tr = Nb and Ta [29]. Filled circles represent the bulk SC transition points obtained from the C(T, H) data, which can be well described by the Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) clean-limit model (solid curves) [40, 41]. The "cross" and "plus" symbols designate resistive transition points defined at 75% and 0% of the normal-state resistance, respectively. These points obtained from the  $\rho(T, H)$  data provide higher values of  $T_c$  and  $H_{c2}$  compared to those from the C(T, H) data, more significantly for Tr = W. This observation indicates that a minor part of the single crystal has higher  $T_c$ 's and  $H_{c2}$ 's, which are detected by the  $\rho$  measurements.

analysis shown in Table I. In Fig. 7, we show  $T_c$  vs.  $d_{GFS}$  for  $RTr_2Al_{20}$  with nonmagnetic R ions; this is a revised one of Fig. 5 in Ref. [29]. Nonmagnetic  $RTr_2Al_{20}$  superconductors are classified into two groups, i.e., (A)  $d_{GFS} \neq 0$  and  $T_c$  correlates with  $d_{GFS}$ , and (B)  $d_{GFS} \approx 0$  and  $T_c$  seems to be governed by other factors. For group (A), it is thought that  $T_c$  is enhanced by the "rattling" anharmonic vibration modes of Ga, Al, Sc, and Lu ions due to the coupling with conduction electrons [24–28]. In contrast, all the data points in group (B) fall almost into a vertical line with  $d_{GFS} \approx 0$ , indicating that these La $Tr_2Al_{20}$  compounds do not have guest free space and the large  $T_c$  distribution is not associated with the La ion oscillations.

The distribution of  $T_c$  among La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  is remarkably large;  $T_c(Tr = Mo)/T_c(Tr = V) = 3.22/0.15 \approx 22$ . Figure 8 shows  $T_c$  vs. the electronic specific heat coefficient  $\gamma$  for all La $Tr_2$ Al $_{20}$  superconductors. This figure clearly demonstrates that there is a positive correlation between  $T_c$  and  $\gamma$ . Tr ions are located at sites with trigonal point symmetry  $D_{3d}$ . Due to the crystalline-electric field effect, the fivefold degenerate d orbitals of a Tr ion split into a low-energy singlet ( $a_{1g}$ ) and




FIG. 7.  $T_c$  vs  $d_{GFS} \equiv d_{R-Al} - (r_R + r_{Al})$  quantifying the "guest free space" of nonmagnetic cage-center R ions for  $RTr_2Al_{20}$  (see text for details). The data except for LaMo<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> and LaW<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> are taken from Fig.5 in Ref. [29]. This figure demonstrates that nonmagnetic  $RTr_2Al_{20}$  superconductors are classified into two groups, i.e., (A)  $d_{GFS} \neq 0$  and  $T_c$  correlates with  $d_{GFS}$ , and (B)  $d_{GFS} \approx 0$  and  $T_c$  seems to be governed by other factors. Note that superconductors  $PrTi_2Al_{20}$  [16] and  $PrV_2Al_{20}$  [18], and field-insensitive HF compounds  $SmTr_2Al_{20}$  (Tr = Ti, V, Cr, and Ta) [5–7] also have  $d_{GFS} \approx 0$ . The inset picture shows the structure of a R(8a)-Al<sub>16</sub>(96g, 16c) cage; Al<sub>16</sub> forms a CN 16 Frank-Kasper polyhedron.




FIG. 8.  $T_c$  vs the electronic specific heat coefficient  $\gamma$  for La $Tr_2$ Al<sub>20</sub>.

TABLE II. Characteristic parameters of  $LaTr_2Al_{20}$  superconductors (see text for definitions). The errors in the last significant digit(s) are indicated in parentheses.

compounds	LaMo <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>20</sub>	LaW <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>20</sub>
$T_{\rm c}$ (K)	3.22	1.81
$\gamma \text{ (mJ/mol } \mathrm{K}^2\text{)}$	33.1	26.7
$\alpha$	1.74	1.75
$\Delta C/\gamma T_{ m c}$	1.32	1.37
$\Theta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{K}\right)$	511	383
$\lambda_{\mathrm{e-ph}}$	0.504	0.476
$H_{\rm c}(0)$ (Oe)	219	111
$\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT} _{T=T_c}$ (Oe/K)	-3240	-2860
$H_{c2}(0)$ (Oe)	7620	3770
$\xi_{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	207	295
$\kappa_{\rm GL} = \kappa_2(T \to T_{\rm c})$	14.0	13.5
$\lambda_{\rm L} = \kappa_{\rm GL} \xi_{\rm GL}  (\mathring{\rm A})$	2900	3980
$H_{c1}(0)$ (Oe)	29	15

two high-energy doublets  $(e_g)$  [46]. Electronic band structure calculations for Tr = Ti, V, and Cr [46] suggest that there is a ferromagnetic instability, which becomes more dominant with 3d-electron filling into the upper  $e_g$  orbitals approaching Cr; the calculated Stoner factor of LaCr<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> is relatively high although no ferromagnetic ordering has been observed experimentally. This instability may be one of the possible reasons for the suppressed  $T_c$  values for those 3d compounds. On the contrary, for the 4d and 5d compounds, Fig. 8 demonstrates that the 4d(5d) electron filling with Nb $\rightarrow$ Mo (Ta $\rightarrow$ W) boosts up the  $T_c$  value. The increased  $\gamma$  values with the electron filling indicate enhancements in the density of states at the Fermi energy and/or in the effective mass of conduction electrons for Tr = Mo and W. Actually, as shown in Fig. 6,  $dH_{c2}/dT|_{T=T_c}$  increases as Nb $\rightarrow$ Mo (Ta $\rightarrow$ W), providing evidence for the mass enhancement. With these features, we speculate that the filling of the upper  $e_g$  orbitals in the 4d(5d) bands significantly enhances the SC condensation energy.

#### IV. SUMMARY

We have studied the electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and specific heat of single crystalline LaMo<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub> and LaW<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>20</sub>. It has been revealed that these compounds exhibit superconductivity with transition temperatures  $T_c = 3.22$  and 1.81 K, respectively, achieving the highest values in the reported La $Tr_2$ Al<sub>20</sub> compounds. The values of  $T_c$  exhibit a positive correlation with the electronic specific heat coefficient  $\gamma$ , which increases with the 4d and 5d electron filling. This finding indicates that the upper  $e_g$  orbitals in the 4d and 5d bands play an essential role for the significant enhancement of the SC condensation energy.

In the realization of the several types of strongly correlated electron phenomena in  $RTr_2Al_{20}$ , the roles played by d electrons have not been clarified yet. According to the calculated band structures [46], the Fermi surface structures change drastically with the d band filling. Therefore, the strength of hybridization with the f electrons of R ions is expected to change depending on the Tr elements. Further studies on the features of d electron orbitals in  $RTr_2Al_{20}$  may help to understand the unsolved problems in Sm-based field-insensitive heavy-fermion behaviors and Pr-based quadrupole Kondo lattice behaviors accompanied by superconductivity induced by quadrupolar fluctuations.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15H03693, 15H05884, 15J07600, 15K05178, 19H01839 and Tokyo Metropolitan Government Advanced Research Grant Number (H31-1).

<sup>[1]</sup> M. S. Torikachvili, S. Jia, E. D. Mun, S. T. Hannahs, R. C. Black, W. K. Neils, D. Martien, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **104**, 9960 (2007).

<sup>[2]</sup> F. Honda, Y. Taga, Y. Hirose, S. Yoshiuchi, Y. Tomooka, M. Ohya, J. Sakaguchi, T. Takeuchi, R. Settai, Y. Shimura, T. Sakakibara, I. Sheikin, T. Tanaka, Y. Kubo, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 044703 (2014).

<sup>[3]</sup> T. Kong, V. Taufour, S.L. Bud'ko, and P. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 95, 155103 (2017).

<sup>[4]</sup> T. Takeuchi, S. Yasui, M. Toda, M. Matsushita, S. Yoshiuchi, M. Ohya, K. Katayama, Y. Hirose, N.

- Yoshitani, F. Honda, K. Sugiyama, M. Hagiwara, K. Kindo, E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, T. Tanaka, Y. Kubo, R. Settai, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 064609 (2010).
- [5] R. Higashinaka, T. Maruyama, A. Nakama, R. Miyazaki, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 093703 (2011).
- [6] A. Sakai and S. Nakatsuji, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201106(R) (2011).
- [7] A. Yamada, R. Higashinaka, R. Miyazaki, K. Fushiya, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Aoki, W. Fujita, H. Harima, and H. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 123710 (2013).
- [8] R. Higashinaka, A. Yamada, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Aoki, AIP Advances 8, 125017 (2018).
- [9] D. L. Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1240 (1987).
- [10] A. Tsuruta and K. Miyake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **84**, 114714 (2015).
- [11] A. Sakai and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **80**, 063701 (2011).
- [12] T. Onimaru and H. Kusunose, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **85**, 082002 (2016).
- [13] T. Yoshida, Y. Machida, K. Izawa, Y. Shimada, N. Nagasawa, T.Onimaru, T. Takabatake, A. Gourgout, A. Pourret, G. Knebel, and J.-P.Brison, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **86**, 044711 (2017).
- [14] R. Higashinaka, A. Nakama, R. Miyazaki, J. Yamaura, H. Sato, and Y. Aoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 86, 103703 (2017).
- [15] T. Onimaru, K. T. Matsumoto, Y. F. Inoue, K. Umeo, T. Sakakibara, Y. Karaki, M. Kubota, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 177001 (2011).
- [16] A. Sakai, K. Kuga, and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **81**, 083702 (2012).
- [17] K. Matsubayashi, T. Tanaka, A. Sakai, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Kubo, and Y. Uwatoko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 187004 (2012).
- [18] M. Tsujimoto, Y. Matsumoto, T. Tomita, A. Sakai, and S. Nakatsuji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 267001 (2014).
- [19] K. Wakiya, T. Onimaru, K. Matsumoto, Y. Yamane, N. Nagasawa, K. Umeo, S. Kittaka, T. Sakakibara, Y. Matsushita, and T. Takabatake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 86, 034707 (2017).
- [20] B.D. White, D. Yazici, P.C. Ho, N. Kanchanavatee, N. Pouse, Y. Fang, A.J. Breindel, A.J. Friedman, and M.B. Maple, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 315602 (2015).
- [21] Y. Hirose, T. Takeuchi, F. Honda, S. Yoshiuchi, M. Hagiwara, E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, R. Settai, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **84**, 074704 (2015).
- [22] E.D. Bauer, C. Wang, V.R. Fanelli1, J.M. Lawrence, E.A. Goremychkin, N.R. de Souza, F. Ronning, J.D. Thompson, A.V. Silhanek, V. Vildosola, A.M. Lobos, A.A. Aligia, S. Bobev, and J.L. Sarrao,

- Phys. Rev. B 78, 115120 (2008).
- [23] H. Sato, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, and H. Harima, Magnetic properties of filled skutterudites, in *Hand-book of Magnetic Materials*, Vol. 18, edited by K.H.J. Buschow (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2009) Chap. 1. pp. 1-110.
- [24] Z. Hiroi, A. Onosaka, Y. Okamoto, J. Yamaura, and H. Harima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 124707 (2012).
- [25] D. J. Safarik, T. Klimczuk, A. Llobet, D. D. Byler, J. C. Lashley, J. R. O'Brien, and N. R. Dilley, Phys. Rev. B 85, 014103 (2012).
- [26] A. Onosaka, Y. Okamoto, J. Yamaura, and Z. Hiroi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 023703 (2012).
- [27] M. M. Koza, A. Leithe-Jasper, E. Sischka, W. Schnelle, H. Borrmann, H. Mutka, and Y. Grin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **16**, 27119 (2014).
- [28] M. J. Winiarski, B. Wiendlocha, M. Sternik, P. Wiśniewski, J. R. O'Brien, D. Kaczorowski, and T. Klimczuk, Phys. Rev. B 93, 134507 (2016).
- [29] A. Yamada, R. Higashinaka, T. D. Matsuda, and Y. Aoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 033707 (2018).
- [30] G. M. Sheldrick: *SHELX-97: Program for the Solution for Crystal Structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [31] T. Hasegawa, N. Ogita, and M. Udagawa: J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 391 (2012) 012016.
- [32] K. Wakiya, T. Onimaru, S. Tsutsui, T. Hasegawa, K. T. Matsumoto, N. Nagasawa, A. Q. R. Baron, N. Ogita, M. Udagawa, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. **93**, 064105 (2016).
- [33] S. Niemann and W. Jeitschko, J. Solid State Chem. 114, 337 (1995).
- [34] T. Nasch, W. Jeitschko, and U. C. Rodewald, Z. Naturforsch. B 52, 1023 (1997).
- [35] M. J. Kangas, D. C. Schmitt, A. Sakai, S. Nakatsuji, J. Y. Chan, J. Solid State Chem. 196, 274 (2012).
- [36] A. Earnshaw and N. Greenwood, Chemistry of the Elements, Second ed., Butterworth-Heinemann (1997).
- [37] H. Padamsee, J. E Neighbor, and C. A. Shiffman, J. Low Temp. Phys. 12, 387 (1973).
- [38] D. C. Johnson, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 26, 115011 (2013).
- [39] A. M. Clogston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 266 (1962).
- [40] E. Helfand and N. R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 147, 288 (1966).
- [41] N. R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, and P. C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 147, 295 (1966).
- [42] K. Maki, Physics (Long Island City, N.Y.) 1, 21 (1964).
- [43] B. Serin: in Superconductivity, ed. R. D. Parks (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969) Vol. 2, Chap. 15.
- [44] W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968).

- [45] B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán, and S. Alvarez, Dalton Trans. **21**, 2832 (2008).
- [46] P. Swatek, M. Kleinert, P. Wiśniewski, and D. Kaczorowski, Comput. Mater. Sci. 153, 461 (2018).