

Large deviation results for triangular arrays of semiexponential random variables

Thierry Klein¹, Agnès Lagnoux², and Pierre Petit³

¹Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse; UMR5219. Université de Toulouse; ENAC - Ecole Nationale de l'Aviation Civile, Université de Toulouse, France

²Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse; UMR5219. Université de Toulouse; CNRS. UT2J, F-31058 Toulouse, France.

³Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse; UMR5219. Université de Toulouse; CNRS. UT3, F-31062 Toulouse, France.

October 20, 2020

Abstract

Asymptotics deviation probabilities of the sum $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ of independent and identically distributed real-valued random variables have been extensively investigated, in particular when X_1 is not exponentially integrable. For instance, A.V. Nagaev formulated exact asymptotics results for $\mathbb{P}(S_n > x_n)$ when X_1 has a semiexponential distribution (*see*, [16, 17]). In the same setting, the authors of [4] derived deviation results at logarithmic scale with shorter proofs relying on classical tools of large deviation theory and expliciting the rate function at the transition. In this paper, we exhibit the same asymptotic behaviour for triangular arrays of semiexponentially distributed random variables, no more supposed absolutely continuous.

Key words: large deviations, triangular arrays, semiexponential distribution, Weibull-like distribution, Gärtner-Ellis theorem, contraction principle, truncated random variable.

AMS subject classification: 60F10, 60G50.

1 Introduction

Moderate and large deviations of the sum of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) real-valued random variables have been investigated since the beginning of the 20th century. Kinchin [12] in 1929 was the first to give a result on large deviations of the sum of i.i.d. Bernoulli distributed random variables. In 1933, Smirnov [23] improved this result and in 1938 Cramér [5] gave a generalization to sums of i.i.d. random variables satisfying the eponymous Cramér's condition which requires the Laplace transform of the common distribution of the random variables to be finite in a neighborhood of zero. Cramér's result was extended by Feller [7] to sequences of non identically distributed bounded random variables. A strengthening of Feller's result was given by Petrov in [20, 21] for non identically distributed random variables. When Cramér's condition does not hold, an early result is due to Linnik [14] in 1961 and concerns polynomial-tailed random variables. The case where the tail decreases faster than all power functions (but not enough for Cramér's condition to be satisfied) has been considered by Petrov [20] and by S.V. Nagaev [18]. In [16, 17], A.V. Nagaev studied the case where the

common distribution of the i.i.d. random variables is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure with density $p(t) \sim e^{-|t|^{1-\epsilon}}$ as $|t|$ tends to infinity, with $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. He distinguished five exact-asymptotics results corresponding to five types of deviation speeds. In [2, 3], Borovkov investigated exact asymptotics of the deviations probability for random variables with semiexponential distribution, also called Weibull-like distribution, i.e. with a tail writing as $e^{-t^{1-\epsilon}L(t)}$, where $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and L is a suitably slowly varying function at infinity. In [4], the authors consider the following setting. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and let X be a real-valued random variable with a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure verifying:

$$p(x) \sim e^{-x^{1-\epsilon}}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1)$$

and

$$\exists \gamma \in (0, 1] \quad \rho := \mathbb{E}[|X|^{2+\gamma}] < \infty. \quad (2)$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. copies of X and set $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and $P_n(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq x)$. According to the asymptotics of x_n , three logarithmic asymptotic ranges then appear. In the sequel, the notation $x_n \gg y_n$ (resp. $x_n \ll y_n$, $x_n \asymp y_n$, and $x_n = \Theta(y_n)$) means that $y_n/x_n \rightarrow 0$ (resp. $x_n/y_n \rightarrow 0$, $\limsup |x_n/y_n| < \infty$, and $y_n \asymp x_n \asymp y_n$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Maximal jump range [4, Theorem 1] When $x_n \gg n^{1/(1+\epsilon)}$,

$$\log P_n(x_n) \sim \log \mathbb{P}(\max(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq x_n).$$

Gaussian range [4, Theorem 2] When $x_n \ll n^{1/(1+\epsilon)}$,

$$\log P_n(x_n) \sim \log(1 - \phi(n^{-1/2}x_n)),$$

ϕ being the cumulative distribution function of the standard Gaussian law.

Transition [4, Theorem 3] The case $x_n = \Theta(n^{1/(1+\epsilon)})$ appears to be an interpolation between the Gaussian range and the maximal jump one.

In the present paper, we exhibit the same asymptotic behaviour for triangular arrays of random variables $(Y_{n,i})_{1 \leq i \leq N_n}$ satisfying the following weaker assumption: there exists $q > 0$ such that, if $y_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -qy_n^{1-\epsilon}, \quad (3)$$

together with similar assumptions on the moments.

The first main contribution of this paper is the generalization of [4, 16, 17] to triangular arrays. Such a setting appears naturally in some combinatorial problems, such as those presented by [11], including hashing with linear probing. Since the eighty's, laws of large numbers have been established for triangular arrays (see, e.g., [8, 9, 10]). Lindeberg's condition is standard for the central limit theorem to hold for triangular arrays (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 27.2]). Dealing with triangular arrays of light-tailed random variables, Gärtner-Ellis theorem provides moderate and large deviation results. Deviations for sums of heavy-tailed i.i.d. random variables are studied by several authors (e.g., [2, 3, 4, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20]) and a good survey can be found in [15]. Here, we focus on the particular case of semiexponential tails (treated in [2, 3, 4, 16, 17] for sums of i.i.d. random variables) generalizing the results to triangular arrays. See [13] for an application to hashing with linear probing.

Another contribution is the fact that the random variables are not supposed absolutely continuous as in [4, 16, 17]. Assumption (3) is analogue to that of [2, 3], but there the transition at $x_n = \Theta(n^{1/(1+\epsilon)})$ is not considered. Hence, up to our knowledge, Theorem 3 is the first large deviation result at the transition which is explicit.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the main results, the proofs of which can be found in Section 3. In Section 4, a discussion on the assumptions is proposed. Section 5 is devoted to the study of the model of a truncated random variable which is a natural model of triangular array. This kind of model appears in many proofs of large deviations. Indeed, when one wants to deal with a random variable, the Laplace transform of which is not finite, a classical approach consists in truncating the random variable and in letting the truncation going to infinity. In this model, we exhibit various rate functions, especially nonconvex rate functions.

2 Main results

For all $n \geq 1$, let Y_n be a centered real-valued random variable, let N_n be a natural number, and let $(Y_{n,i})_{1 \leq i \leq N_n}$ be a family of i.i.d. random variables distributed as Y_n . Define, for all $k \in \llbracket 1, N_n \rrbracket$,

$$T_{n,k} := \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{n,i}.$$

To lighten notation, let $T_n := T_{n,N_n}$.

Theorem 1 (Maximal jump range). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $q > 0$, and $\alpha > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$. Assume that:*

(H1) *for all $N_n^{\alpha\varepsilon} \preccurlyeq y_n \preccurlyeq N_n^\alpha$, $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -qy_n^{1-\varepsilon}$;*

(H2) $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] = o(N_n^{\alpha(1+\varepsilon)-1})$.

Then, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qy^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

As in [16, 17, 4], the proof of Theorem 1 immediately adapts to show that, if $x_n \gg N_n^{(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}}$, if, for all $x_n^\varepsilon \leq y_n \leq x_n(1 + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -qy_n^{1-\varepsilon}$, and if $\text{Var}(Y_n) = o(x_n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/N_n)$, then

$$\log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq x_n) \sim -qx_n^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

In this paper (see also Theorems 2 and 3), we have chosen to explicit the deviations in terms of powers of N_n , as it is now standard in large deviation theory.

In addition, the proof of Theorem 1 immediately adapts to show that, if L is a slowly varying function such that, for all $N_n^{\alpha\varepsilon}/L(N_n^\alpha) \preccurlyeq y_n \preccurlyeq N_n^\alpha$, $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -L(y_n)y_n^{1-\varepsilon}$ and if assumption (H2) holds, then, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L(N_n^\alpha)N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -y^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

The same is true for Theorem 2 below whereas Theorem 3 below requires additional assumptions on L to take into account the regularly varying tail assumption.

Moreover, if an analogous assumption as (H1) for the left tail of Y_n is also satisfied, then T_n satisfies a large deviation principle at speed $N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}$ with rate function $-q|y|^{1-\varepsilon}$ (the same remark applies to Theorems 2 and 3).

Theorem 2 (Gaussian range). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $q > 0$, and $1/2 < \alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$. Suppose that (H1) holds together with:*

(H2') $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] \rightarrow \sigma^2$;

(H2+) there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^{2+\gamma}] = o(N_n^{\gamma(1-\alpha)})$.

Then, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Theorem 3 (Transition). Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $q > 0$, and $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$. Suppose that (H1), (H2'), and (H2+) hold. Then, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} y) = - \inf_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ q\theta^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} =: -I(y). \quad (4)$$

Let us explicit a little the rate function I . Let $f(\theta) = q\theta^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)^2 y^2 / (2\sigma^2)$. An easy computation shows that, if $y \leq y_0 := ((1-\varepsilon^2)(1+1/\varepsilon)^\varepsilon q\sigma^2)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}$, f is increasing and its minimum $y^2/(2\sigma^2)$ is attained at $\theta = 0$. If $y > y_0$, f has two local minima, at 0 and at $\theta(y)$: the latter corresponds to the greatest of the two roots in $[0, 1]$ of $f'(t) = 0$, equation equivalent to

$$(1-\theta)\theta^\varepsilon = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q\sigma^2}{y^{1+\varepsilon}}. \quad (5)$$

If $y_0 < y \leq y_1 := (1+\varepsilon)(q\sigma^2/(2\varepsilon)^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$, then $f(\theta(y)) \geq f(0)$. And if $y > y_1$, $f(\theta(y)) < f(0)$. As a consequence, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} & \text{if } y \leq y_1 \\ q\theta(y)^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{(1-\theta(y))^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} & \text{if } y > y_1. \end{cases}$$

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1 (Maximal jump regime)

Let us fix $y > 0$. The result for $y = 0$ follows by monotony. First, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) &= \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, \forall i \in [1, N_n] \quad Y_{n,i} < N_n^\alpha y) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, \exists i \in [1, N_n] \quad Y_{n,i} \geq N_n^\alpha y) \\ &=: P_{n,0} + R_{n,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Lemma 4. Under (H1) and (H2), for $\alpha > 1/2$ and $y > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log R_{n,0} = -qy^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Using (H1),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log R_{n,0} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log(N_n \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq N_n^\alpha y)) = -qy^{1-\varepsilon}. \quad (7)$$

Let us prove the converse inequality. Let $\delta > 0$. We have,

$$R_{n,0} \geq \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, Y_{n,1} \geq N_n^\alpha y) \geq \mathbb{P}(T_{n, N_n-1} \geq -N_n^\alpha \delta) \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq N_n^\alpha (y + \delta)).$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{n, N_n-1} \geq -N_n^\alpha \delta) \geq 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{N_n^{2\alpha-1} \delta^2} \rightarrow 1,$$

using (H2). Finally, by (H1), one gets

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log R_{n,0} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq N_n^\alpha(y + \delta)) = -q(y + \delta)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

We conclude by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to prove that, for $\alpha > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log P_{n,0} \leq -qy^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad (8)$$

and to apply the principle of the largest term (see, e.g., [6, Lemma 1.2.15]). Let $q' \in (0, q)$. Using the fact that $\mathbb{1}_{x \geq 0} \leq e^x$, we get

$$P_{n,0} \leq e^{-q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < N_n^\alpha y} \right]^{N_n}.$$

If we prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < N_n^\alpha y} \right] \leq 1 + o(N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)-1}),$$

then

$$\log P_{n,0} \leq -q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon} + o(N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)})$$

and the conclusion follows by letting $q' \rightarrow q$. Write

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < N_n^\alpha y} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq Y_n < N_n^\alpha y} \right].$$

First, by a Taylor expansion and (H2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(1 + \frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n + \frac{(q')^2 e^{q'}}{2(N_n^\alpha y)^{2\varepsilon}} Y_n^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} \right] \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{(q')^2 e^{q'}}{2} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]}{(N_n^\alpha y)^{2\varepsilon}} \\ &= 1 + o(N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To bound above the second expectation, we need the following simple consequence of (H1).

Lemma 5. *Under (H1), for all $y > 0$,*

$$\forall q' < q \quad \exists n_0 \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad \forall u \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y] \quad \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u) \leq -q'u^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5. By contraposition, if the conclusion of the lemma is false, we can construct a sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$, $u_n \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y]$ and $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u_n) > -q'u_n^{1-\varepsilon}$, whence (H1) is not satisfied. □

Now, integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq Y_n < N_n^\alpha y} \right] &= \int_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}^{N_n^\alpha y} e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} u} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in du) \\
&= - \left[e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} u} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u) \right]_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}^{N_n^\alpha y} + \frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} \int_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}^{N_n^\alpha y} e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} u} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u) du \\
&\leq e^{q'} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon) + \frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} \int_{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}^{N_n^\alpha y} e^{\frac{q'}{(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon} u - q'' u^{1-\varepsilon}} du \\
&\leq (1 + q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}) e^{q' - q''(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}} \\
&= o(N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)-1})
\end{aligned}$$

for n large enough, using (H1) and Lemma 5 with $q'' \in (q', q)$, and the supremum of $u \mapsto q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{-\varepsilon} u - q'' u^{1-\varepsilon}$ over $[(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y]$. The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2 (Gaussian regime)

Let us fix $y > 0$. The result for $y = 0$ follows by monotony. For all $m \in \llbracket 0, N_n \rrbracket$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{l,m}(x) &= \mathbb{P} \left(T_{n,l} \geq x, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, l-m \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \forall i \in \llbracket l-m+1, l \rrbracket \quad (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq Y_{n,i} < N_n^\alpha y \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and we denote $\Pi_{N_n,m}(N_n^\alpha y)$ by $\Pi_{n,m}$, so that

$$P_n = P_{n,0} + R_{n,0} = \sum_{m=0}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} + R_{n,0}. \quad (9)$$

By Lemma 4 and the fact that, for $\alpha > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, $2\alpha - 1 > \alpha(1 - \varepsilon)$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log R_{n,0} = -\infty. \quad (10)$$

Lemma 6. *Under (H1), (H2'), and (H2+), for $1/2 < \alpha \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ and $y > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \Pi_{n,0} = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}. \quad (11)$$

Proof of Lemma 6. For all $n \geq 1$, we introduce the variable $Y_n^<$ distributed as $\mathcal{L}(Y_n \mid Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)$. Let $T_n^< = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} Y_{n,i}^<$ where the $Y_{n,i}^<$ are independent random variables distributed as $Y_n^<$. Then

$$\Pi_{n,0} = \mathbb{P}(T_n^< \geq N_n^\alpha y) \mathbb{P}(Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{N_n}.$$

On the one hand, $\mathbb{P}(Y_n < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{N_n} \rightarrow 1$ by (H1). On the other hand, in order to apply the unilateral version of Gärtner-Ellis theorem (see [22], and [4] for a modern formulation), we compute, for $u \geq 0$,

$$\Lambda_n(u) = N_n^{2(1-\alpha)} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^<} \right].$$

Now, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for all $t \leq uy^\varepsilon$, $|e^t - (1 + t + t^2/2)| \leq c|t|^{2+\gamma}$, whence

$$\left| e^{\frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^<} - 1 - \frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^< - \frac{u^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}} (Y_n^<)^2 \right| \leq \frac{cu^{2+\gamma}}{N_n^{(2+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}} |Y_n^<|^{2+\gamma}, \quad (12)$$

by the definition of $Y_n^<$ and $\alpha(1 + \varepsilon) \leq 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^<} \right] - e^{\frac{u^2 \sigma^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}}} \right| &\leq \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^<} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[1 + \frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^< + \frac{u^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}} (Y_n^<)^2 \right] \right| \\ &+ \left| \mathbb{E} \left[1 + \frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} Y_n^< + \frac{u^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}} (Y_n^<)^2 \right] - e^{\frac{u^2 \sigma^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}}} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The first term of (13) is bounded above by

$$\frac{cu^{2+\gamma}}{N_n^{(2+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n^<|^{2+\gamma}] = o(N_n^{-2(1-\alpha)}), \quad (14)$$

by assumptions (H1) and (H2+), and an integration by parts. Using a Taylor expansion of order 2 of the exponential function, the second term of (13) is equal to

$$\left| \frac{u}{N_n^{1-\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^<] + \frac{u^2}{2N_n^{2(1-\alpha)}} (\mathbb{E}[(Y_n^<)^2] - \sigma^2) + o(N_n^{-2(1-\alpha)}) \right|. \quad (15)$$

By (H1) and the fact that $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^<]$ is exponentially decreasing, whence $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^<] = o(1/N_n^{1-\alpha})$; similarly, by (H1), (H2'), and (H2+), $\mathbb{E}[(Y_n^<)^2] \rightarrow \sigma^2$; hence, we get

$$\Lambda_n(u) = \frac{u^2 \sigma^2}{2} + o(1),$$

and the proof of Lemma 6 is complete. \square

Theorem 2 stems from (10), (11) and the fact that, for $1/2 < \alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (16)$$

the proof of which is given now. We adapt the proof in [17, Lemma 5] and focus on the logarithmic scale. Let $m_n = \lceil N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)^2 2y^{(1-\varepsilon)^2}} \rceil$. In particular, for all $m > m_n$,

$$m(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \geq \frac{m(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}}{2} + (N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 7. *Under (H1), for $\alpha > 1/2$, $y > 0$, and $q' < q$,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -q'y^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. For n large enough, using Lemma 5 and inequality (17),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} &\leq \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \mathbb{P}(\forall i \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} \geq (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} e^{-mq'(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}} \\ &\leq e^{-q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} e^{-mq'(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}/2} \\ &\leq e^{-q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} \left(1 + e^{-q'(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}/2} \right)^{N_n}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Here, as $\alpha(1 - \varepsilon) > 2\alpha - 1$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} = -\infty. \quad (18)$$

Now, for $m \in \llbracket 1, m_n \rrbracket$, let us bound above $\Pi_{n,m}$. Let us define

$$f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) := \Pi_{N_n-m,0} \left(N_n^\alpha y - \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \right),$$

which is nondecreasing in each variable. For $q'' < q' < q$ and n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{n,m} &= \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq Y_{n,1}, \dots, Y_{n,m} < N_n^\alpha y, Y_{n,m+1}, \dots, Y_{n,n} < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon) \\ &= \int_{[(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y]^m} f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) d\mathbb{P}_{Y_n}(u_1) \cdots d\mathbb{P}_{Y_n}(u_m) \\ &= \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_m)} \int_{\prod_i (k_i-1, k_i]} f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) d\mathbb{P}_{Y_n}(u_1) \cdots d\mathbb{P}_{Y_n}(u_m) \\ &\leq \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_m)} f_m(k_1, \dots, k_m) \prod_i \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in (k_i - 1, k_i]) \\ &\leq \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_m)} f_m(k_1, \dots, k_m) e^{-q' \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i-1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \int_{[(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y+2]^m} f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) e^{-q'' \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^{1-\varepsilon}} du_1 \cdots du_m \\ &= I_{1,m} + I_{2,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$I_{j,m} := \int_{A_{j,m}} f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) e^{-q'' s_m(u_1, \dots, u_m)} du_1 \cdots du_m \quad (19)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,m} &:= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y + 2]^m \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \geq N_n^\alpha y \right. \right\}, \\ A_{2,m} &:= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y + 2]^m \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < N_n^\alpha y \right. \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$s_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) := \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 8. For $\alpha > 1/2$ and $y > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{1,m} \leq -q'' y^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Since s_m is concave, s_m reaches its minimum on $A_{1,m}$ at the points with all coordinates equal to $(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon$ except one equal to $N_n^\alpha y - (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(m-1)$. Moreover, using the fact that $f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) \leq 1$ in (19), it follows that, for n large enough, for all $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,m} &\leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) - q''(N_n^\alpha y - (m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} e^{-q''(m-1)((N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{1,m} &\leq e^{-q''(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(m-1)((N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)-1)} \\ &\leq e^{-q''(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}} N_n^{1+\alpha} y \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \left(N_n^{1+\alpha} y e^{-q''((N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)-1)} \right)^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows, since the latter sum is bounded. \square

As $\alpha(1-\varepsilon) > 2\alpha - 1$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{1,m} = -\infty. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 9. *Under (H2') and (H2+), for $1/2 < \alpha \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{-1}$, $y > 0$, n large enough, and $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$,*

$$I_{2,m} \leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \exp\left(\sup_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq u < N_n^\alpha y} \phi_m(u)\right)$$

where

$$\phi_m(u) := -\frac{(N_n^\alpha y - u)^2}{2\sigma^2(N_n - m)(1 + c_n)} - q''(u - (m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Here, we use Chebyshev's exponential inequality to control $f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \Pi_{N_n-m,0}(N_n^\alpha y - u_1 - \dots - u_m)$ in $I_{2,m}$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and for all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\Pi_{l,0}(x) \leq \exp\left\{-\lambda x + l \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n \leq (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}\right]\right\}.$$

Let $M > y/\sigma^2$. There exists $c > 0$ such that, for all $s \leq M$, we have $e^s \leq 1 + s + s^2/2 + c|s|^{2+\gamma}$. Hence, as soon as $\lambda \leq M/N_n^{1-\alpha} \leq M/N_n^{\alpha\varepsilon}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n \leq (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon}\right] \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] + c\lambda^{2+\gamma} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^{2+\gamma}] \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} (1 + c_n), \quad (21)$$

where

$$c_n := \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] - \sigma^2 + \frac{2cM^\gamma}{\sigma^2} N_n^{-\gamma(1-\alpha)} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^{2+\gamma}] = o(1),$$

by (H2') and (H2+). Thus, for $\lambda \leq M/N_n^{1-\alpha}$,

$$\Pi_{N_n-m,0}(N_n^\alpha y - u) \leq \exp\left(-\lambda(N_n^\alpha y - u) + (N_n - m) \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} (1 + c_n)\right).$$

For n large enough and $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$, the infimum in λ of the last expression is attained at

$$\lambda^* := \frac{N_n^\alpha y - u}{(N_n - m)\sigma^2(1 + c_n)} \leq \frac{M}{N_n^{1-\alpha}},$$

and is equal to $-(N_n^\alpha y - u)^2 / (2\sigma^2(N_n - m)(1 + c_n))$. So, for n large enough:

$$\Pi_{N_n-m,0}(N_n^\alpha y - u) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(N_n^\alpha y - u)^2}{2\sigma^2(N_n - m)(1 + c_n)}\right). \quad (22)$$

Since s_m is concave, s_m reaches its minimum on

$$A_{2,m,u} := \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y + 2]^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m u_i = u \right\}$$

at the points with all coordinates equal to $(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon$ except one equal to $u - (m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon$, whence, for n large enough and $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,m} &\leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m \sup_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq u < N_n^\alpha y} \exp\left(-\frac{(N_n^\alpha y - u)^2}{2\sigma^2(N_n - m)(1 + c_n)} - q''(u - (m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q''(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)\right) \\ &\leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \exp\left(\sup_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq u < N_n^\alpha y} \phi_m(u)\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, for $1/2 < \alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, n large enough, and $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$, the function ϕ_m is decreasing on $[m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y)$. So,

$$I_{2,m} \leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m \exp\left(-q''m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) - \frac{(N_n^\alpha y - m_n(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^2}{2N_n\sigma^2(1 + c_n)}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{2,m} \leq e^{-\frac{(N_n^\alpha y - m_n(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^2}{2N_n\sigma^2(1 + c_n)}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \left(N_n^{1+\alpha} y e^{-q''(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\right)^m.$$

Since the latter sum is bounded, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{2,m} \leq -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (23)$$

as $m_n(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon = o(N_n^\alpha)$ and $c_n = o(1)$. By (18), (20), and (23), we get the required result.

Remark 10. Notice that, using the contraction principle, one can show that, for all fixed m ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,m} = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3 (Transition)

Here, we assume (H1), (H2'), and (H2+), and we deal with the case $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, so that $\alpha(1 - \varepsilon) = 2\alpha - 1 = (1 - \varepsilon)/(1 + \varepsilon)$. Let us fix $y > 0$. The result for $y = 0$ follows by monotony. We still consider the decomposition (9). By Lemmas 4 and 6, and the very definition of I in (4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log R_{n,0} = -qy^{1-\varepsilon} \leq -I(y)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,0} = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} \leq -I(y).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3, it remains to prove that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,1} \geq -I(y) \quad (24)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{N_n} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -I(y) \quad (25)$$

and to apply the principle of the largest term.

Proof of (24). For all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,1} &\geq \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}\left(T_{n,N_n-1} \geq N_n^\alpha t y, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, N_n - 1 \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} < (N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}\left(N_n^\alpha (1-t)y \leq Y_{n,N_n} < N_n^\alpha y\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{t^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} - q(1-t)^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6 and by (H1). Optimizing in $t \in (0, 1)$ provides the conclusion. \square

Proof of (25). We follow the same lines as in the proof of (16). By Lemma 7, letting $q' \rightarrow q$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -qy^{1-\varepsilon} \leq -I(y). \quad (26)$$

Let $\eta = 1 - q''/q \in (0, 1)$. By Lemma 8,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{1,m} \leq -q''y^{1-\varepsilon} \leq -(1-\eta)I(y). \quad (27)$$

Now, recall that Lemma 9 provides $I_{2,m} \leq (N_n^\alpha y)^m e^{-q''(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}} e^{M_n}$, for n large enough, where

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \sup_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon \leq u < N_n^\alpha y} \left(-\frac{(N_n^\alpha y - u)^2}{2\sigma^2(N_n - m)(1 + c_n)} - q''(u - (m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)} \sup_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \theta < 1} \left(-\frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2(1 + c_n)} - q''\theta^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{\theta} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For n large enough, for all $m \in \{1, \dots, m_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m(N_n^\alpha y)^{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \theta < \eta} \left\{ \frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2(1 + c_n)} + q''\theta^{1-\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{\theta} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \right\} &\geq \frac{(1-\eta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} (1-\eta) \\ &\geq (1-\eta)^3 I(y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{\eta \leq \theta < 1} \left\{ \frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2(1 + c_n)} + q''\theta^{1-\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{(m-1)(N_n^\alpha y)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{\theta} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{\eta \leq \theta < 1} \left\{ \frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} + q''\theta^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} \right\} (1-\eta) \\ &\geq (1-\eta)^2 I(y). \end{aligned}$$

So $M_n \leq -N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)} (1-\eta)^3 I(y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{n}{m} I_{2,m} \\ &\leq -(1-\eta)^3 I(y) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{n}{m} (N_n^\alpha y e^{-q''(N_n^\alpha y)^{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}})^m \\ &= -(1-\eta)^3 I(y). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Finally, (26), (27), and (28) imply

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{N_n} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -(1-\eta)^3 I(y),$$

and (25) follows, letting $q'' \rightarrow q$, i.e. $\eta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark 11. Notice that, using the contraction principle, one can show that, for all fixed m ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,m} = -I(y).$$

4 About the assumptions

Looking into the proof of Theorem 1, one can see that assumption (H1) can be weakened and one may only assume the two conditions that follow.

Theorem 12. *The conclusion of Theorem 1 holds under (H2) and:*

(H1a) for all $y_n = \Theta(N_n^\alpha)$, $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -qy_n^{1-\varepsilon}$;

(H1b) for all $N_n^{\alpha\varepsilon} \preceq y_n \preceq N_n^\alpha$, $\limsup y_n^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \leq -q$.

Lemma 13. *(H1a) is equivalent to:*

(H1a') for all $y > 0$, $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) \sim -q(N_n^\alpha y)^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Proof. If $N_n^\alpha c_1 \leq y_n \leq N_n^\alpha c_2$, then

$$-qc_2 \leq N_n^{-\alpha(1-\varepsilon)} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \leq -qc_1.$$

First extract a convergent subsequence; then, again extract a subsequence such that $N_n^{-\alpha} y_n$ is convergent and use (H1a) to show that $N_n^{-\alpha(1-\varepsilon)} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n)$ is convergent. \square

Lemma 14. *(H1b) is equivalent to the conclusion of Lemma 5:*

(H1b') $\forall y > 0 \quad \forall q' < q \quad \exists n_0 \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad \forall u \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y] \quad \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u) \leq -q'u^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 5. \square

Theorem 15. *The conclusion of Theorem 1 holds under assumptions (H1a), (H1b), and $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^{2+\gamma}]/\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^2]^{1+\gamma/2} = o(N_n^{\gamma/2})$.*

Proof. The only modification in the proof is the minoration of $R_{n,0}$:

$$R_{n,0} \geq \mathbb{P}(T_{n,N_n-1} \geq 0) \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq N_n^\alpha y).$$

Now Lyapunov's theorem [1, Theorem 27.3] applies and provides $\mathbb{P}(T_{n,N_n-1} \geq 0) \rightarrow 1/2$. \square

As for Theorem 2, assumption (H1) can be weakened and one may only assume (H1b), or even the following weaker assumption.

Theorem 16. *The conclusion of Theorem 2 holds under (H2'), (H2+), and:*

(H1c) $\forall y > 0 \quad \exists q > 0 \quad \exists n_0 \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad \forall u \in [(N_n^\alpha y)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha y] \quad \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq u) \leq -qu^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Finally, in Theorem 3, assumption (H1) can be weakened and one may only assume (H1a) and (H1b).

5 Application: truncated random variable

Let us consider a centered real-valued random variable Y , admitting a finite moment of order $2 + \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Set $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}[Y^2]$. Now, let $\beta > 0$ and $c > 0$. For all $n \geq 1$, let us introduce the truncated random variable Y_n defined by $\mathcal{L}(Y_n) = \mathcal{L}(Y \mid Y < N_n^\beta c)$. Such truncated random variables naturally appear in proofs of large deviation results.

If Y has a light-tailed distribution, i.e. $\Lambda_Y(\lambda) := \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then (the unilateral version of) Gärtner-Ellis theorem applies:

- if $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2};$$

- if $\alpha = 1$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\Lambda_Y^*(y) := -\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda y - \Lambda_Y(\lambda)\}.$$

Note that we recover the same asymptotics as for the non truncated random variable Y . In other words, the truncation does not impact the deviation behaviour.

Now we consider the case where $\log \mathbb{P}(Y \geq y) \sim -qy^{1-\varepsilon}$ for some $q > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. In this case, Gärtner-Ellis theorem does not apply since all the rate functions are not convex as usual (as can be seen in Figures 1 to 3). Observe that, as soon as $y_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{y_n^{1-\varepsilon}} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{y_n^{1-\varepsilon}} \left(\log \mathbb{P}(y_n \leq Y < N_n^\beta c) - \log \mathbb{P}(Y < N_n^\beta c) \right) \leq -q,$$

so (H1b) is satisfied. If, moreover, $y_n \leq N_n^\beta c'$ with $c' < c$, then $\log \mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y_n) \sim -qy_n^{1-\varepsilon}$, so (H1) is satisfied for $\alpha < \beta$. In addition, $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] - \mathbb{E}[Y]$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^{2+\gamma}] - \mathbb{E}[Y^{2+\gamma}]$ are exponentially decreasing to zero. Therefore, our theorems directly apply for $\alpha < \max(\beta, (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1})$, and even for $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} < \beta$. For $\alpha \geq \max(\beta, (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1})$, the proofs easily adapt to cover all cases. To expose the results, we separate the three cases $\beta > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, $\beta < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ and $\beta = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ and provide a synthetic diagram at the end of the section (page 18) and the graphs of the exhibited rate functions (pages 17 and 18).

5.1 Case $\beta > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$

Gaussian range When $\alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, Theorem 2 applies and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Transition 1 When $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, Theorem 3 applies and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -I_1(y) := -I(y) = -\inf_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ q\theta^{1-\varepsilon} y^{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{(1-\theta)^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}.$$

Maximal jump range When $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} < \alpha < \beta$, Theorem 1 applies and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qy^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Transition 2 When $\alpha = \beta$, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -I_2(y) := -q \left(\lfloor y/c \rfloor c^{1-\varepsilon} + (y - \lfloor y/c \rfloor c)^{1-\varepsilon} \right).$$

Here, as in all cases where $\alpha \geq \beta$, we adapt the definitions (6) and (9) as:

$$\mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, N_n \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} < N_n^\beta c) =: P_{n,0} \quad (29)$$

($R_{n,0} = 0$) and, for all $m \in \llbracket 0, N_n \rrbracket$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{n,m} = \mathbb{P} \left(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, N_n - m \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} < (N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon, \right. \\ \left. \forall i \in \llbracket N_n - m + 1, N_n \rrbracket \quad (N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon \leq Y_{n,i} < N_n^\beta c \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{n,0} &= \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, N_n \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} < (N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon) \\ &\leq e^{-ty N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{t N_n^{-\alpha \varepsilon} Y_n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_n < (N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon} \right]^{N_n} \\ &= e^{-ty N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)} (1+o(1))}, \end{aligned}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 1), whence Lemma 6 with $\mathcal{L}(Y_n^<) = \mathcal{L}(Y_n \mid Y_n < N_n^\alpha c)$ updates into

$$\frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,0} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\infty.$$

So, by the contraction principle, for all fixed $m \geq 0$,

$$\frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}} \log \Pi_{n,m} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } m \leq y/c - 1 \\ -q \left(\lfloor y/c \rfloor c^{1-\varepsilon} + (y - \lfloor y/c \rfloor c)^{1-\varepsilon} \right) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that provides a minoration of the sum of the $\Pi_{n,m}$'s. To obtain a majoration, let us introduce $m_n = \lceil N_n^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)} 2k \rceil$ where $k = \lfloor y/c \rfloor c^{1-\varepsilon} + (y - \lfloor y/c \rfloor c)^{1-\varepsilon}$. Lemma 7 remains unchanged while Lemmas 8 and 9 requires adjustments. The integration domains defining $I_{1,m}$ and $I_{2,m}$ become

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,m} &= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha c + 2]^m \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \geq N_n^\alpha y \right. \right\}, \\ A_{2,m} &= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon, N_n^\alpha c + 2]^m \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < N_n^\alpha y \right. \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Further, the concave function s_m attains its minimum at points with all coordinates equal to $(N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon$ except $\lfloor y/c_n \rfloor$ coordinates equal to $N_n^\alpha c_n$ and one coordinate equal to $N_n^\alpha (y - \lfloor y/c_n \rfloor c_n) - (N_n^\alpha c)^\varepsilon (m - 1 - \lfloor y/c_n \rfloor)$ with $c_n = c + 2N_n^{-\alpha}$. Then following the same lines as in the proof of Lemmas 8 and 9, we get, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha-1}} \log \sum_{m=1}^{m_n} \binom{N_n}{m} I_{j,m} = -q \left(\lfloor y/c \rfloor c^{1-\varepsilon} + (y - \lfloor y/c \rfloor c)^{1-\varepsilon} \right).$$

Truncated maximal jump range When $\beta < \alpha < \beta + 1$ and $y \geq 0$, or $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $y < c$, the proof of Theorem 1 adapts and provides

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qyc^{-\varepsilon}.$$

As in the previous case, we use the decomposition given by (29) and (30). To upper bound $P_{n,0}$, we write

$$P_{n,0} \leq e^{-qyc^{-\varepsilon} N_n^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{yc^{-\varepsilon} N_n^{-\beta \varepsilon} Y_n} \left(\mathbb{1}_{Y_n < (N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon} + \mathbb{1}_{(N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon \leq Y_n < N_n^\beta c} \right) \right]^{N_n}$$

and follow the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1. To lower bound $P_{n,0}$, we write, for $c' < c$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log P_{n,0} &\geq \log \mathbb{P}(\forall i \in \llbracket 1, \lceil N_n^{\alpha - \beta} y / c' \rceil \rrbracket \quad Y_{n,i} \geq N_n^\beta c') \\ &\sim -N_n^{\alpha - \beta} y (c')^{-1} q (N_n^\beta c')^{1 - \varepsilon} \\ &= -N_n^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon} qy (c')^{-\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

and we recover the upper bound, when $c' \rightarrow c$.

Trivial case When $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $y \geq c$, or $\alpha > \beta + 1$, we obviously have $\mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = 0$.

5.2 Case $\beta < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$

Here, Theorem 2 applies for $\alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$. The notable fact is that the Gaussian range is extended: it spreads until $\alpha < 1 - \beta \varepsilon$.

Gaussian range When $\alpha < 1 - \beta \varepsilon$, the proof of Theorem 2 adapts and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha - 1}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

As we said, the result for $\alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ is a consequence of Theorem 2. Now, suppose $\alpha \geq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} > \beta$. We use the decomposition given by (29) and (30). Lemma 6 works for $\alpha < 1 - \beta \varepsilon$, with $\mathcal{L}(Y_n^<) = \mathcal{L}(Y_n \mid Y_n < (N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon)$. Then, we choose $m_n = \lceil N_n^{\alpha - 2\beta \varepsilon + \beta \varepsilon^2} 2yc^{-\varepsilon} \rceil$. We obtain the equivalent of Lemma 7:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon}} \log \sum_{m=m_n+1}^{N_n} \binom{N_n}{m} \Pi_{n,m} \leq -q'yc^{-\varepsilon}.$$

with $N_n^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon} \gg N_n^{2\alpha - 1}$. Finally, Lemmas 8 and 9 adapt as well, with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,m} &= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon, N_n^\beta c + 2]^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \geq N_n^\alpha y \right\}, \\ A_{2,m} &= \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in [(N_n^\beta c)^\varepsilon, N_n^\beta c + 2]^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < N_n^\alpha y \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Transition 3 When $\alpha = 1 - \beta \varepsilon$, the proof of Theorem 3 adapts and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{1 - 2\beta \varepsilon}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^{1 - \beta \varepsilon} y) &= -I_3(y) := -\inf_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left\{ q(1 - t)yc^{-\varepsilon} + \frac{t^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\ &= -\begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} & \text{if } y \leq y_3 \\ \frac{qy}{c^\varepsilon} - \frac{q^2 \sigma^2}{2c^{2\varepsilon}} & \text{if } y > y_3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with $y_3 := q\sigma^2 c^{-\varepsilon}$.

Truncated maximal jump range When $1 - \beta\varepsilon < \alpha < 1 + \beta$ and $y \geq 0$, or $\alpha = 1 + \beta$ and $y < c$, as before, the proof of Theorem 1 adapts and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qyc^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Trivial case When $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $y \geq c$, or $\alpha > \beta + 1$, we obviously have $\mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = 0$.

5.3 Case $\beta = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$

Gaussian range When $\alpha < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = \beta$, Theorem 2 applies and, for all $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{2\alpha - 1}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Transition T_0 As in Section 2 after the statement of Theorem 3, we define $\theta(y)$ and y_1 for the function $f(\theta) = q\theta^{1-\varepsilon}y^{1-\varepsilon} + (1 - \theta)^2y^2/(2\sigma^2)$. Define $\tilde{\theta}(y) := \mathbb{1}_{y \geq y_1}\theta(y)$ and notice that $\tilde{\theta}$ is increasing on $[y_1, \infty)$ (and $\tilde{\theta}(y) \rightarrow 1$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$). Set $c_0 := \tilde{\theta}(y_1)y_1 = (2\varepsilon q\sigma^2)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}$.

- When $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = \beta$ and $c \leq c_0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qk_{0,1}(y)c^{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{(y - k_{0,1}(c)c)^2}{2\sigma^2} =: -I_{0,1}(y)$$

where

$$k_{0,1}(y) := \max\left(\left\lfloor \frac{y - y_{0,1}(c)}{c} \right\rfloor + 1, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{0,1}(c) := \frac{c}{2} + q\sigma^2c^{-\varepsilon}$$

($y_{0,1}(c)$ is the unique solution in y of $y_{0,1}^2 - (y_{0,1} - c)^2 = 2\sigma^2qc^{1-\varepsilon}$).

- When $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = \beta$ and $c \geq c_0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qk_{0,2}(y)c^{1-\varepsilon} + I(y - k_{0,2}(c)c) =: -I_{0,2}(y)$$

where

$$k_{0,2}(y) := \max\left(\left\lfloor \frac{y - y_{0,2}(c)}{c} \right\rfloor + 1, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{0,2}(c) := c + (1 - \varepsilon)q\sigma^2c^{-\varepsilon}$$

($y_{0,2}(c)$ is the unique solution in y of $\tilde{\theta}(y)y = c$).

Remark: For all $c < c_0$, $y_{0,1}(c) > y_1$: so the Gaussian range in the nontruncated case (which stops at y_1) is extended. Moreover, $y_{0,1}(c_0) = y_1 = y_{0,2}(c_0)$, and, for $c = c_0$, $I_{0,1} = I_{0,2}$ (since $I_1(y) = y^2/(2\sigma^2)$ for $y \leq y_1$).

Truncated maximal jump range When $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = \beta < \alpha < \beta + 1$ and $y \geq 0$, or $\alpha = 1 + \beta$ and $y < c$, as before, the proof of Theorem 1 adapts and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon}} \log \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = -qyc^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Trivial case When $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $y \geq c$, or $\alpha > \beta + 1$, we obviously have $\mathbb{P}(T_n \geq N_n^\alpha y) = 0$.




Figure 1: Representation of the rate functions. Here, $q = 1$, $\sigma^2 = 2$, $\epsilon = 1/2$, and $c = 1$. Left - Gaussian range. The typical event corresponds to the case where all the random variables are small but their sum has a Gaussian contribution. Center - Maximal jump range. The typical event corresponds to the case where one random variable contributes to the total sum ($N_n^\alpha y$), no matter the others. We recover the random variable tail. Right - Truncated maximal jump range. The typical event corresponds to the case where $N_n^{\alpha-\beta} y/c$ variables take the saturation value $N_n^\beta c$, no matter the others.




Figure 2: Representation of the rate functions. Here, $q = 1$, $\sigma^2 = 2$, $\epsilon = 1/2$, and $c = 1$. Left - Transition 1. The typical event corresponds to the case where one random variable is large ($N_n^\alpha \theta(y)y$) and the sum of the others has a Gaussian contribution (two competing terms). Center - Transition 2. The typical event corresponds to the case where $\lfloor y/c \rfloor$ random variables take the saturation value $N_n^\beta c$ and one completes to get the total sum. Right - Transition 3. The typical event corresponds to the case where some random variables (a number of order $N_n^{1-\beta(1+\epsilon)}$) take the saturation value $N_n^\beta c$, and the sum of the others has a Gaussian contribution (two competing terms).




Figure 3: Representation of the rate functions. Here, $q = 1$, $\sigma^2 = 2$, $\epsilon = 1/2$, and $c = 1$. Left - Transition 1- for $c \leq c_0$. The typical event corresponds to the case where $k_3(c)$ variables take the saturation value $N^\beta c$, and the sum of the others has a Gaussian contribution. Right - Transition 1- for $c \geq c_0$. The typical event corresponds to the case where $k_2(c)$ variables take the saturation value $N^\beta c$, one is also large ($N_n^\beta \theta(y - k_2(c)c)(y - k_2(c)c)$) and the sum of the others has a Gaussian contribution.




Figure 4: Rate function transition diagram.

References

- [1] P. Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- [2] A. A. Borovkov. Large deviation probabilities for random walks with semiexponential distributions. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 41(6):1290–1324, 2000.
- [3] A. A. Borovkov. *Asymptotic analysis of random walks*, volume 118. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [4] F. Brosset, T. Klein, A. Lagnoux, and P. Petit. Probabilistic proofs of large deviation results for sums of semiexponential random variables and explicit rate function at the transition. working paper or preprint, July 2020.
- [5] H. Cramér. Sur un nouveau théorème-limite de la théorie des probabilités. *Actualités Sci. Ind.*, (736), 1938.
- [6] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications*, volume 38 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [7] W. Feller. Generalization of a probability limit theorem of Cramér. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54:361–372, 1943.
- [8] A. Gut. Complete convergence for arrays. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 25(1):51–75, 1992.
- [9] A. Gut. The weak law of large numbers for arrays. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 14(1):49 – 52, 1992.
- [10] T.-C. Hu, F. Moricz, and R. Taylor. Strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random variables. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 54(1-2):153–162, 1989.
- [11] S. Janson. Asymptotic distribution for the cost of linear probing hashing. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 19(3-4):438–471, 2001. Analysis of algorithms (Krynica Morska, 2000).
- [12] A. Kinchin. Über einer neuen Grenzwertsatz der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. *Math. Ann.*, 101:745–752, 1929.
- [13] T. Klein, A. Lagnoux, and P. Petit. Deviation results for hashing with linear probing. Preprint, 2020.
- [14] J. V. Linnik. On the probability of large deviations for the sums of independent variables. In *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob., Vol. II*, pages 289–306. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1961.
- [15] T. Mikosch and A. V. Nagaev. Large deviations of heavy-tailed sums with applications in insurance. *Extremes*, 1(1):81–110, 1998.
- [16] A. Nagaev. Integral Limit Theorems Taking Large Deviations into Account when Cramér’s Condition Does Not Hold. I. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, 14(1):51–64, 1969.
- [17] A. Nagaev. Integral Limit Theorems Taking Large Deviations Into Account When Cramér’s Condition Does Not Hold. II. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, 14(2):193–208, 1969.

- [18] S. V. Nagaev. An integral limit theorem for large deviations. *Izv. Akad. Nauk UzSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk*, 1962(6):37–43, 1962.
- [19] S. V. Nagaev. Large deviations of sums of independent random variables. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 745–789, 1979.
- [20] V. V. Petrov. Generalization of Cramér’s limit theorem. *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, 9(4(62)):195–202, 1954.
- [21] V. V. Petrov and J. Robinson. Large deviations for sums of independent non identically distributed random variables. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, 37(18):2984–2990, 2008.
- [22] D. Plachky and J. Steinebach. A theorem about probabilities of large deviations with an application to queuing theory. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 6(4):343–345, 1975.
- [23] N. V. Smirnov. On the probabilities of large deviations. *Mat. Sb.*, 40:443–454, 1933.