

Graph based Clustering Algorithm for Social Community Transmission Prediction of COVID-19

Varun Nagesh Jolly Behera, Ashish Ranjan and Motahar Reza

Abstract A system to model the spread of COVID-19 cases after lockdown has been proposed in this paper, It helps to define preventive measures based on predicted hotspots, using the graph clustering algorithm. This method allows for more lenient measures in areas less prone to the virus spread. There exist methods to model the spread of the virus, by predicting the number of confirmed cases. But the proposed system focuses more on the preventive side of the solution from a geographical point of view. The fact that the virus can only be transmitted by being in close proximity to an already infected person, suggests that, the regions that can easily be reached from an existing hotspot, have a higher chance of becoming a new hotspot. Moreover, in smaller regions, even after strict provisions, positive cases have been found. So, the geodesic between the nearest hotspots can be used as a measure of likelihood of the region also becoming a hotspot. In this paper, a weighted graph of regions, with weight of the nodes as the number of active cases, and the distance as edge weights is used. The graph is connected based on a distance threshold. The nodes are the administrative level, and the distance measure tells the possible transmission between separate communities. Using this data, the potential regions that can become hotspots can be predicted, and preventive measures can be devised.

Key words: graph, covid, algorithm, transmission

Varun Nagesh Jolly Behera
R&D Division, Just Another Media Laboratory (JAM Lab), Mumbai
e-mail: varun.behera@jamlab.in

Ashish Ranjan
R&D Division, Just Another Media Laboratory (JAM Lab), Mumbai
e-mail: ashish.ranjan@jamlab.in

Motahar Reza
Department of Mathematics, GITAM University Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad
e-mail: mreza@gitam.edu

1 Introduction

The 2020 pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 [1] [2], popularly known as COVID-19 has resulted in thousands of deaths and millions being infected. It was officially first identified in December 2019 in Wuhan, China [3]. In mid March the World Health Organization declared it a pandemic, changing its previous designation as an outbreak. Over 25 million have been infected and more than 800,000 dead worldwide, as of 6th September 2020 [4].

Similar to most flu viruses, it is spread via sneeze and cough droplets [5]. Touching the face after touching a contaminated surface may also cause infection. Those who are asymptomatic may also spread the disease, although chances of infection spreading this way are low. The virus is most contagious starting a few days before the initial symptoms, and then staying the same a week or two later [7] [6].

Cough, fever, tiredness, breathing issues, are a few common symptoms. Since this virus primarily causes pneumonia and respiratory issues, those with existing conditions are more at risk. This is evident by the fact that almost 80% deaths in China were aged above 60 [8], most having pre-existing health complications like diabetes and heart diseases. The ratio of number of death to number of cases is 3.3%, as of 6th September 2020, according John Hopkins university.

Social Distancing has been one of the best preventive measure for COVID-19, accompanied by wearing face masks, maintaining personal hygiene, regularly sanitizing one's surroundings, and self-isolating if flu like symptoms evolve [9]. Governments have imposed lockdowns, travel-restrictions and mandatory safety measures to control the virus spread [10].

While the above measures prove to be very effective, they are not 100% foolproof. Restrictions should be based on local situations, rather than be imposed at a country level. It makes no sense to restrict movement in an area that has next to none cases [11]. A balance is required to make sure the economy does not completely destabilise, and people not end up in a position where they are so financially unstable that they may starve to death. At the same time people need to be protected from the infection too. A developing country like India will end up suffering from both a dying economy, and a dying workforce, if dynamic real time decisions are not taken [12].

Most research done regarding disease epidemiology is done on factors like total case numbers, infection rates, and primarily focus on the SEIR (susceptible - exposed - infected - recovered) model [13]. But COVID-19 is unique in the sense that after imposing travel restrictions on air and rail, the only way transmission is possible via roads. This means the virus does not have much spatial transmission range, once mass travel restrictions and lockdowns are imposed. This makes spatial epidemiology an ideal candidate for analyzing and predicting the spread of this type of disease.

A possible model for analyzing diseases like COVID-19, post-lockdown stage, is visualizing administrative zones as graph nodes, and the roads connecting them as the edges. This methodology will work regardless of the administrative level to be analyzed, as long as the zone-wise infection data is available, and has been accurately documented.

2 Related Work

Epidemiology is generally either observational or experimental. Experimental studies follow a controlled factor based approach, while observational studies go for an entirely natural approach, with no interference [14]. Epidemiological studies should reveal relations with underlying health conditions. For example, it is known that cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and other conditions, severely affect a COVID-19 patient. This paper focuses on observational studies, specifically on spatial epidemiology [15].

A lot of work has been done on spatial epidemiology research. One of them being the mapping of diseases, allowing for better understanding of disease spread, and assisting the government in making well informed decisions on resource allocation [16]. There also exist methodologies, based on the geographical demographics, including factors like race, age, etc that may influence the spread of diseases. [17]. Disease clusters, infection groups, hotspots, are also a dynamic to be analyzed. Although there are some issues to these approaches, provided the study has been done properly, they can be beneficial, especially for epidemics and pandemics [18].

There has also been a lot of recent research specific to COVID-19. More prominent studies are based in China, being the origin point of the virus. For example a study [19], explores the spatial epidemic dynamics of COVID-19 using Moran's I spatial statistic. This study was based in Mainland China. It concluded that in fact, there is a spatial correlation regarding spread of COVID-19. A study specific to the difference in spatial transmission effects due to different public transport methods also exists, again based in China [20]. Most studies are based on the SEIR model [21], although SEIRS [22] should have been the way to go, due to WHO stating that reinfection could be possible. Other recent work includes using machine learning, cloud computing and IOT for modelling the COVID-19 trend [23], estimation of the reproduction number of 2019-ncov [24], and clustering analysis of countries for COVID-19 [25].

Studies based on the Indian scenario are more in line with the work explained in this paper. There have been a few studies with regards to spatial transmission, including multi-city modelling [26], trend analysis using a geospatial approach [27], and spatial prediction using ARIMA [28].

3 Goals and Objectives

The objective of this paper is to study the spatial epidemiology of diseases like COVID-19, and devise a methodology to do so. This methodology will help predict future disease hotspots, and help in devising preventive measures as necessary. The modelling and prediction will be done at district level in this paper, but the same methodology can be applied on any administrative level. Following are a few goals of this paper:

1. **Country Independent:** The methodology needs to be independent of the country. As long as the assumptions are met, the algorithm should work automatically for any and all countries.
2. **Administrative Level Independent:** It also should be independent of the administration level that needs to be analyzed. This includes state, county/district, zone, zip codes, etc. As long as both location and infection data is available, the algorithm should run flawlessly.
3. **Automatic Graph Construction:** Graph for that administrative level should be generated automatically on the fly. This includes connecting nodes using clustering, forming edges, and assigning weight to both the nodes and edges.
4. **Division Inclusive/Exclusive:** Impact of a location on itself may be included or excluded, based on user preference. This will give slightly different result in each case.
5. **Real Time Updates:** The system should update periodically, preferably daily, so that better strategies can be made for disease spread prevention and control. The result can be visualized by creating a web app dashboard.

4 Requirements and Assumptions

The algorithm defined in this paper is robust, as long as few base assumptions are met. The reliability of this method is based on a lot of requirements and assumptions including:

1. **Restricted Travel:** This means that both air and rail travel are restricted. Also long distance travel by road is strictly monitored, making it difficult for the disease to have a large radius of influence.
2. **Data Quality:** The data needs to be well defined, and properly cleaned. If the geographical names do not match with the Map API, then the longitude and latitude information of those locations cannot be retrieved. This means it is necessary to make sure there are no naming discrepancies, and the data should be modified as required, so that its information aligns with that of the Map API to be used. Not checking and refining the data will result in varied amounts of information loss.
3. **Data Granularity:** This model can work on various administrative levels including at the district, state and country level. Modelling can be done for a specific political map level only if the data is available for it. Meaning, if the model is to be defined at district level, both the Map API and the disease spread data should have granularity till the district level. If, either the co-ordinate data or the disease spread data of districts are missing, this model cannot be applied at that level.
4. **Data Accuracy:** The data needs to be accurate. If data is old or incorrect, the predictions made by the model will be drastically affected. Accuracy of the current number of infected is most important. This means testing more, and using better quality tests will help this model a lot.

5. **Proper Map API:** Map API that gives accurate co-ordinates of the geographical locations at the required administrative level is necessary. Only then can the graph be created with proper weight values and connections.

5 Methodology Overview

Following is a brief overview of the workflow described in this paper. It is visually represented in Fig.1.

1. **Choosing Administrative Level:** The first step is choosing the administrative level, at which infection spread has to be analyzed and predicted. Administrative levels including, but not limited to, countries, states, counties, districts, zones, wards, zipcodes, can be chosen for analysis. The only limitation in choosing the administrative level, is the unavailability of data at that level. Otherwise, any and all administrative levels can be chosen and analyzed.
2. **Data Acquisition:** The reliability of the algorithm is entirely based on the 'goodness' of data. This includes aspects like availability, accuracy, comprehensiveness, etc. If both, infection data and location data are available and reliable, only then can the algorithm be used. The algorithm does not have the ability to fix inaccuracies and errors in the data. It is assumed that the data is accurate enough to be used. There exist many infection data sources, depending on the disease whose transmission has to be studied. For the purposes of finding location data, a satisfactorily capable Map API is used.
3. **Pre-processing:** The data obtained from the previous step has to be cleaned and restructured properly. This includes dropping unnecessary columns from the infection data, and infection count that has not been assigned to any administrative division. It is also necessary to make sure that the latitudes and longitudes are properly mapped with their respective divisions.
4. **Graph Construction:** Based on the restructured data, individual divisions are designated as separate graph nodes. This is done to create an abstract view of the countries administrative divisions, and how they are connected. Using a clustering method inspired from k-means, nearest nodes for every node are evaluated. All pairs of nearest nodes are connected to form graph edges. A distance metric is used to define the clustering factor. Only divisions within a certain range will be connected to each other. This distance metric has to be changed based on the administrative level chosen, to factor in the difference in distances between division centres.
5. **Finding Danger Level:** Three different measures have been defined in this paper, to evaluate the probability of a district becoming a hotspot, termed as 'danger level'. These measures evaluate this danger level, using different parameters, giving different levels of importance to each parameter.
6. **Predicting Potential Hotspots:** The danger level value obtained can be easily color coded. The color green shall represent a division having low risk of becoming a hotspot anytime soon. Orange signifies that the division is near a few

existing hotspots, and if precautions are not taken, may become one itself. Red marks regions that either already have, or will very soon become major hotspots, unless strict measures are not taken.

6 Data Acquisition

This model requires primarily two types of datasets. First is information regarding the current number of infections at the desired administrative level. This paper explores the models robustness at district level, but as mentioned before, this model can be used at any administrative level. Second is the information regarding the co-ordinates of various locations at same administrative level. This information can be extracted efficiently using a Map API.

6.1 Infection Data

This is the data of the total number of active infections, at the required administration level. Varied number of sources exist, depending on the disease that needs to be studied. Some prominent datasets include the Malaria Atlas Project [29], and Scientific Data for Dengue [30], Leishmania [31] and Ebola [32]. This paper explores the impact of COVID-19 in the Indian scenario. Some datasets currently available for it are nCoV-2019 [33], CORD-19 [35], Lancet Dashboard [34] and Coronavirus Twitter Dataset [36]. Since this paper explores the Indian scenario at district level, the dataset chosen is from covid19india.org [37], a crowd-sourced dataset, as seen in Fig.2. This dataset is highly accurate and is updated daily, sourced both from official government sources and media. There also exists an official Indian Government Dataset that may be used for state level analysis.

6.2 Location Data

This is the data of the administrative division location at the required administrative level. This data can be obtained using a Map API, in the form of latitudes and longitudes. The Map API will take the location string as input and give co-ordinates as output, as shown in Algo. 1 and Fig.3. For the case of districts, the upper level will be state. The most popular Map APIs are the Bing Maps API and the Google Maps Geocoding API.




Fig. 1: Methodology Overview

SlNo	State	State	District_Key	District	Confirmed	Active	Recovered	Deceased	Migrated	Delta_Confir	Delta_Acti	Delta_Rec	Delta_Dec
0	UN	State	UN_Unassigned	Unassigned	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	AN	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	AN_Nicobars	Nicobars	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	AN	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	AN_North and Middle Andaman	North and Middle Andaman	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	AN	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	AN_South Andaman	South Andaman	51	19	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Foreign Evacuees	Foreign Evacuees	434	0	434	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Anantapur	Anantapur	45300	6020	38913	367	0	753	27	718	8
5	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Chittoor	Chittoor	42561	8979	33109	473	0	927	-35	953	9
6	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_East Godavari	East Godavari	66948	14454	52067	427	0	1244	-1339	2578	5
7	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Guntur	Guntur	40135	7597	32119	419	0	703	40	655	8
8	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Krishna	Krishna	18482	2732	15442	308	0	457	87	366	4
9	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Kurnool	Kurnool	48386	5797	42194	395	0	380	-472	848	4
0	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Other State	Other State	2461	0	2461	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Prakasam	Prakasam	29074	14109	14645	320	0	1042	496	538	8
11	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_S.P.S. Nellore	S.P.S. Nellore	37278	6673	30263	342	0	1299	29	1266	4
12	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Srikakulam	Srikakulam	27984	7065	20656	263	0	818	-28	844	2
13	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Visakhapatnam	Visakhapatnam	40787	6503	33964	320	0	573	-126	694	5
14	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Vizianagaram	Vizianagaram	23911	8876	14855	180	0	593	85	507	1
15	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_West Godavari	West Godavari	42903	2832	39718	353	0	1101	18	1078	5
16	AP	Andhra Pradesh	AP_Y.S.R. Kadapa	Y.S.R. Kadapa	31481	8052	23179	250	0	904	27	870	7
17	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Anjaw	Anjaw	52	10	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Changlang	Changlang	525	120	405	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_East Kameng	East Kameng	227	34	193	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_East Siang	East Siang	320	143	176	1	0	0	0	0	0
21	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Kamle	Kamle	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Kra Daadi	Kra Daadi	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Kurung Kumey	Kurung Kumey	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Lepa Rada	Lepa Rada	59	31	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Lohit	Lohit	151	11	140	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Longding	Longding	45	25	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Lower Dibang Valley	Lower Dibang Valley	74	36	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Lower Siang	Lower Siang	125	33	92	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Lower Subansiri	Lower Subansiri	60	12	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Namsai	Namsai	201	12	188	1	0	0	0	0	0
31	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Pakke Kessang	Pakke Kessang	28	6	22	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	AR	Arunachal Pradesh	AR_Papum Pare	Papum Pare	1678	413	1263	2	0	0	0	0	0

Fig. 2: Raw Infection Data

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for finding co-ordinates of every location

Input : Location List**Result:** Location with corresponding Co-ordinates

```

1 READ locationList
2 APPLY Preprocessing
3 foreach location in administrativeLevel do
4   | if location != 'Unassigned' or 'Unknown' or 'Other' then
5   |   | coordinates = geocode(location, upperLevel(location))
6   |   | APPEND location, coordinates to list L
7   | end
8 end

```

6.2.1 Bing Maps API

There are two ways to find longitudes and latitudes using the Bing Maps API.

First is using the 'Find location by address method'. In this method we use a specific URL template to obtain longitudes and latitudes. This is done by filling various parameters in the template URL, like locality, postal code, and street address. To use the API a Bing Maps key is required. Requesting a location using the URL template returns a response containing location data like latitudes and longitudes, location type, and the geographical area that contains the location. The API may be accessed using both HTTP and HTTPS protocols. Information for a location can be obtained by setting either one or more parameters. The output can be obtained either as an XML or JSON response. Both responses will give central latitude and longitude, as well as latitudes and longitudes of the bounding box for that location.

The second is using the 'Find a location by query' method. This method gives longitudes and latitudes, based on a given query. This method is more akin to generally how Map Applications are interacted with generally. The query can be sent as a structured URL or as an unstructured query string. User Context Parameters may be used for better accuracy. The output is similar to the previous method, although more than one output may be received due to ambiguity. It also likewise supports both HTTP and HTTPS.

6.2.2 Google Geocoding

A part of the Google Maps Platform, subset to the Google Cloud Platform, this API is similar to the second Bing Maps API method. This API service can be directly accessed using an HTTP request. An API key has to be obtained from the Google Cloud Platform to use the Geocoding API. Google's API also gives output in JSON or XML as needed. Input can be either a string, containing the address, or as components with their corresponding values. The output will include both, the central latitude and longitude and the bounding box latitudes and longitudes. The

State	District	Latitude	Longitude
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	Nicobars	8	93.5
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	North and Middle Andaman	12.6518832	92.8976254
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	South Andaman	11.8311681	92.6586401
Andhra Pradesh	Foreign Evacuees	15.9128998	79.7399875
Andhra Pradesh	Anantapur	14.6818877	77.6005911
Andhra Pradesh	Chittoor	13.217176	79.1003289
Andhra Pradesh	East Godavari	17.32125	82.0407137
Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	16.3066525	80.4365402
Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	16.6099914	80.7214417
Andhra Pradesh	Kurnool	15.8281257	78.0372792
Andhra Pradesh	Prakasam	15.348463	79.560344
Andhra Pradesh	S.P.S. Nellore	14.2580848	79.9192702
Andhra Pradesh	Srikakulam	18.2949165	83.8938018
Andhra Pradesh	Visakhapatnam	17.6868159	83.2184815
Andhra Pradesh	Vizianagaram	18.1066576	83.3955506
Andhra Pradesh	West Godavari	16.9174181	81.3399414
Andhra Pradesh	Y.S.R. Kadapa	14.5767233	78.8382644
Arunachal Pradesh	Anjaw	28.0666845	96.4922423
Arunachal Pradesh	Changlang	27.7421763	96.642433
Arunachal Pradesh	East Kameng	27.4231261	93.0175712
Arunachal Pradesh	East Siang	28.1097051	95.1432068
Arunachal Pradesh	Kamle	27.7999698	94.0750175
Arunachal Pradesh	Kra Daadi	27.726036	93.642161
Arunachal Pradesh	Kurung Kumey	27.7995361	93.5538411
Arunachal Pradesh	Lepa Rada	28.2179994	94.7277528
Arunachal Pradesh	Lohit	27.9039651	96.1739116
Arunachal Pradesh	Longding	26.872816	95.305748
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Dibang Valley	28.1428728	95.8431018
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Siang	28.1097051	95.1432068
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Subansiri	27.6169349	93.8391557
Arunachal Pradesh	Namsai	27.6692115	95.8644018
Arunachal Pradesh	Pakke Kessang	27.145853	93.246261
Arunachal Pradesh	Papum Pare	27.1719019	93.7029002
Arunachal Pradesh	Shi Yomi	28.1693138	94.800147
Arunachal Pradesh	Siang	28.1097051	95.1432068

Fig. 3: Location Data

benefit of using Google Geocoding is the support for upto 5 administrative levels within a country. Districts are at the administrative area level 2 as per the API.

7 Pre-processing

The model requires administrative division location with its corresponding active number of cases. Before this can be done, co-ordinates i.e. latitudes and longitudes for every division has to be found. The infection data too needs to be cleaned. This includes dropping unnecessary columns and removing rows with undefined divisions. After that both datasets need to be mapped to give the required restructured data. Since this paper focuses on the district level, mapping will be done at that level, as shown in Fig.4.

7.1 Co-ordinate Mapping

This paper explores using the Google Geocoding API for finding latitudes and longitudes of administrative divisions at the required level. The 'googlemaps' package is used, accompanied with the Geocoding API client key. For every district-state pair in the infection data, a query is formed as 'District, State'. For each such query, a corresponding latitude-longitude pair is obtained. All of these obtained location-coordinate pairs are properly stored.

7.2 Data Cleaning

The data obtained from covid19india.org contains a lot of information, most of which is not required for the study done in this paper. This includes attributes like State Code, Confirmed cases, Recovered cases, etc. The attributes required are State, District, Active cases, and Change in Active cases. Change in Active cases is the increase in the number of active cases from the previous day. This is because a district with higher number of currently active cases will have a more severe impact on its neighbouring districts. The use of each of these parameters are mentioned later in this paper.

7.3 Restructuring Data

After data has been cleaned, the geolocation data obtained from the Map API is merged with this cleaned data. The final data will contain District, State, Active Cases, Change in Active Cases, Latitude and Longitude values for every District.

State	District	Confirmed	Active	Recovered	Deceased	Delta_Acti	Latitude	Longitude
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	Nicobars	0	0	0	0	0	8	93.5
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	North and Middle Andaman	1	0	1	0	0	12.65188	92.89763
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	South Andaman	51	19	32	0	0	11.83117	92.65864
Andhra Pradesh	Foreign Evacuees	434	0	434	0	0	15.9129	79.73999
Andhra Pradesh	Anantapur	46325	5705	40241	379	0	14.68189	77.60059
Andhra Pradesh	Chittoor	44614	9072	35053	489	0	13.21718	79.10033
Andhra Pradesh	East Godavari	69686	13561	55690	435	0	17.32125	82.04071
Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	41602	7696	33468	438	0	16.30665	80.43654
Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	19064	2726	16020	318	0	16.60999	80.72144
Andhra Pradesh	Kurnool	49216	4770	44045	401	0	15.82813	78.03728
Andhra Pradesh	Prakasam	30950	14570	16043	337	0	15.34846	79.56034
Andhra Pradesh	S.P.S. Nellore	39269	6232	32684	353	0	14.25808	79.91927
Andhra Pradesh	Srikakulam	29048	6911	21867	270	0	18.29492	83.8938
Andhra Pradesh	Visakhapatnam	41618	6165	35123	330	0	17.68682	83.21848
Andhra Pradesh	Vizianagaram	25103	9085	15837	181	0	18.10666	83.39555
Andhra Pradesh	West Godavari	44975	2482	42128	365	0	16.91742	81.33994
Andhra Pradesh	Y.S.R. Kadapa	32729	7794	24671	264	0	14.57672	78.83826
Arunachal Pradesh	Anjaw	77	31	46	0	0	28.06668	96.49224
Arunachal Pradesh	Changlang	547	107	440	0	0	27.74218	96.64243
Arunachal Pradesh	East Kameng	236	35	201	0	0	27.42313	93.01757
Arunachal Pradesh	East Siang	362	170	191	1	0	28.10971	95.14321
Arunachal Pradesh	Kamle	3	0	3	0	0	27.79997	94.07502
Arunachal Pradesh	Kra Daadi	4	3	1	0	0	27.72604	93.64216
Arunachal Pradesh	Kurung Kumey	10	7	3	0	0	27.79954	93.55384
Arunachal Pradesh	Lepa Rada	65	37	28	0	0	28.218	94.72775
Arunachal Pradesh	Lohit	175	40	135	0	0	27.90397	96.17391
Arunachal Pradesh	Longding	71	48	23	0	0	26.87282	95.30575
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Dibang Valley	91	49	42	0	0	28.14287	95.8431
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Siang	136	18	118	0	0	28.10971	95.14321
Arunachal Pradesh	Lower Subansiri	73	24	49	0	0	27.61693	93.83916
Arunachal Pradesh	Namsai	207	17	189	1	0	27.66921	95.8644
Arunachal Pradesh	Pakke Kessang	28	2	26	0	0	27.14585	93.24626
Arunachal Pradesh	Papum Pare	1891	490	1398	3	0	27.1719	93.7029
Arunachal Pradesh	Shi Yomi	20	10	10	0	0	28.16931	94.80015
Arunachal Pradesh	Siang	42	37	5	0	0	28.10971	95.14321
Arunachal Pradesh	Tawang	208	71	136	1	0	27.58606	91.85941
Arunachal Pradesh	Tirap	214	84	130	0	0	26.99427	95.54073
Arunachal Pradesh	Upper Dibang Valley	1	0	1	0	0	28.81288	96.1527
Arunachal Pradesh	Upper Siang	81	27	54	0	0	28.75888	95.22667
Arunachal Pradesh	Upper Subansiri	157	32	125	0	0	27.7868	94.33596
Arunachal Pradesh	West Kameng	409	117	289	3	0	27.34276	92.30245
Arunachal Pradesh	West Siang	293	213	80	0	0	28.14725	94.74845
Assam	Baksa	687	235	447	5	0	26.66215	91.35777
Assam	Barpeta	1121	93	1024	4	0	26.33042	91.00405
Assam	Biswanath	467	88	379	0	0	26.72666	93.14785
Assam	Bongaigaon	1303	466	834	3	0	26.50301	90.55357
Assam	Cachar	6111	4585	1498	28	0	24.78213	92.85771
Assam	Charaideo	113	5	105	3	0	26.94131	94.87598
Assam	Chirang	280	59	219	2	0	26.53866	90.69391
Assam	Darrang	1967	225	1730	12	0	26.45229	92.02732
Assam	Dhemaji	612	170	440	2	0	27.48111	94.55728
Assam	Dhubri	1723	504	1215	4	0	26.0207	89.97435
Assam	Dibrugarh	7410	4948	2409	53	0	27.47283	94.91196
Assam	Dima Hasao	237	38	199	0	0	25.3478	93.01757
Assam	Goalpara	658	38	568	1	0	26.16413	90.62515
Assam	Golaghat	2620	954	1656	10	0	26.52385	93.96234
Assam	Hailakandi	1632	509	1116	7	0	24.6811	92.56381
Assam	Hojai	1646	478	1160	8	0	26.00166	92.84774
Assam	Jorhat	5087	3318	1752	17	0	26.75092	94.20367
Assam	Kamrup	4590	2496	2074	20	0	26.31608	91.5984
Assam	Kamrup Metropolitan	34258	19583	14599	76	0	26.07943	91.63722

Fig. 4: Final Data

8 Graph Construction

The next step is to construct the graph using the newly restructured data. Each of the divisions/districts shall be considered as a vertex, and few of its connections will be edges. The main aim of creating a graph is to form a high-level view of a country's transport system, or specifically, its road connections. So, the vertices are the districts, and the edges are the shortest paths between neighbouring districts, as shown in Fig.5 and Algo.2. Cases are the vertex weights, shortest distances are the edge weights, and the dotted line represents the threshold boundary. This entire process is accomplished using 'networkx' package.




Fig. 5: Sample Graph

8.1 Vertices

For constructing the graph, the district locations are considered as the vertices. The weight for each vertex is the corresponding number of active cases. If any other administrative level is to be considered, the respective division locations are considered as vertices. Accordingly the vertex weight for each division will be number of active cases for that division.

8.2 Edges

Edges for the graph are the connections between only some specific pairs of vertices. This is because, districts nearby each other are easier to travel to and from, due to air and rail travel restrictions. Also, even if all pairs are considered, the impact from a district very far away, even with high number of cases, will be negligible. It will only result cause more computational complexity. These pairs can be obtained by using some clustering methodology. After that, the weight for an edge can be defined by the distance between its two vertices.

8.2.1 Clustering

The simplest and most logical approach to find appropriate pairs is to take inspiration from something like k-means or fuzzy c-means clustering [38]. Basically, for every vertex in the graph, if another vertex is within some threshold range of that vertex, then they are connected. Each vertex is its own cluster centre, and cluster centres are not updated. Nearest vertices are identified in a single pass, and a vertex can be in multiple clusters. Every administrative division becomes its own cluster centre.

8.2.2 Threshold Value

Two vertices should only be connected based on the above mentioned threshold value. The threshold value is actually the maximum shortest distance between two districts, so that they may be considered as neighbours. This threshold distance needs to be appropriate and has to be set manually. A reasonably large value is chosen for threshold, for a balanced number of connections between districts.

8.3 Visualization

Once the edges are defined, and both nodes and edges have their weights assigned, the graph construction is complete. Now, it can be visualized in the graph, that the

Algorithm 2: Algorithm for generating Graph

Input : Final Data, Threshold
Result: Connected Graph

```

1 READ Final Data, Threshold
2 foreach  $k$  in divisions do
3   | G.addNode( $k$ , cases( $k$ ))
4 end
5 foreach  $i$  in  $G.nodes$  do
6   | foreach  $j$  in  $G.nodes$  do
7     | if  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j$  not in edge then
8       |   if  $distance(i, j) \leq threshold$  then
9         |     G.addEdge( $i, j, distance(i, j)$ )
10        |   end
11       | end
12     | end
13 end

```

nodes are the administrative division centres, and the edges are the shortest paths between nearby divisions.

9 Impact Measures and Danger Level

To find the danger level of each individual division, three measures have been defined. Each measure takes into account different factors, so results may be slightly different. These measures give a danger value, that may be color coded for better visualization.

9.1 Nearest without Self

In this measure, the impact of all other cluster members, except for the cluster centre itself, on the cluster centre, is taken into consideration. This danger level is defined as

$$D_{NWS}(i) = \frac{\sum_j^N impact(i, j)}{N} \quad (1)$$

here i identifies the division whose danger level needs to be evaluated, j is any division adjacent to division i i.e. $ij \in E$, and N is the number of such pairs, where

$$impact(i, j) = \frac{V_{scaled}(j)}{E_{scaled}(i, j)} \quad (2)$$




Fig. 6: Constructed Graph

is the impact factor of j division on i , and the scaled vertex weights and edge weights are

$$V_{scaled}(j) = V(j)/max(V) \quad (3)$$

$$E_{scaled}(j) = E(j)/max(E) \quad (4)$$

9.2 Nearest with Self

In this measure, the impact of all cluster members, including the cluster centre on itself, is taken into consideration. This danger level is defined as

$$D_{NWS}(i) = \frac{\sum_j^N impact(i, j) + impact_{self}(i)}{N + 1} \quad (5)$$

here i identifies the division whose danger level needs to be evaluated, j is any division adjacent to division i i.e. $ij \in E$, and N is the number of such pairs, where $impact(i, j)$ is the same as Eq.2, and

$$impact_{self}(i) = V_{scaled}(i) \times (1 + \Delta V(i)/V(i)) \quad (6)$$

is the impact factor of division i on itself, and the scaled vertex weights and edge weights are same as Eq.3 and 4. If $V(i)$ is zero, then self impact will also be zero.

9.3 Inverse-square Law

In this measure, the impact of all cluster members, including the cluster centre on itself, is taken into consideration. It is similar to Coulomb's Law and Newton's Gravitational Law. This danger level is defined as

$$D_{ISL}(i) = \frac{\sum_j^N impact(i, j)}{N} \quad (7)$$

here i identifies the division whose danger level needs to be evaluated, j is any division adjacent to division i i.e. $ij \in E$, and N is the number of such pairs, where

$$impact_{ISL}(i, j) = \frac{V_{scaled}(i) \times V_{scaled}(j)}{E_{scaled}^2(i, j)} \quad (8)$$

is the impact factor of j division on i , and the scaled vertex weights and edge weights are same as Eq.3 and 4.

10 Predicting Potential Hotspots

Using any of the three measures, the danger level value can be easily color coded. This will allow for ease in visualisation, as the various colors will represent different danger levels, in a gradient manner. Three colours are used, namely green, orange and red. Here red represents the division that is most severely affected, or about to be severely affected, very soon. This division is either already a hotspot, or will become one in a few days. Orange represents divisions that either are moderately affected, or will soon be, if correct precautions are not taken. This is the best stage to impose restrictions, so as to make sure it does not become a red zone i.e. a future disease hotspot. An orange zone may also signify that some of the nearby divisions may already red zones, solidifying the need for major precautions. Finally, green represents a comparatively safe zone, where, as long as there are restrictions on

travelling in and out of that zone, lenient rules may be applied, making sure that people's lives and their livelihood both are not affected.

Since a few highly infected areas may be deemed outliers, a normal distribution is instead used, considering only the second and third quartile. After this, according to danger values, colours are assigned, with the lower danger values getting assigned green, and higher ones as red. The color gradient then helps in easily understanding the spatial situation and dynamically choosing the rules based on the zone.

11 Experimental Results

Data acquisition, pre-processing, graph construction, and the algorithm implementation, were all done in Python 3, using the Google Collaboratory platform. Experimental evaluation was done using an Intel Xeon 2vCPU @ 2.3GHz and more than 12 GB of RAM. Infection data was acquired from covid19india.org, while Location Data was obtained using the Google Maps Geocoding API.

With a threshold distance value of 1.3 degrees, a graph was generated (Visualized in Figures.7-10). This value was found to be large enough to connect all appropriate nodes while being computationally efficient.

To take care of very huge number of active cases that act as outliers, it was assumed that every node with a danger value of 0.3 and above be equal. The danger value was scaled to a value between 0 and 1. These values were mapped to a colour gradient map and the final map visualization of the country was generated. All three measures were used and compared.

The entire system has been converted to a real time web-app where the computation is scheduled daily and the final visualized map is shown on a dashboard. It is available at <https://research.jamlab.in/covid> .

12 Conclusion

A system was proposed to model the spread of COVID-19 cases after lockdown, to define new preventive measures based on hotspots, using the graph clustering algorithm. The system works on any administrative level given that data is available at that level. A graph was constructed to model the virus' spread and danger values were calculated to assign the danger level of each district. This was visualised using a color map.

Although this system was tested on the COVID-19 India data, it should work on dataset for any disease, provided all assumptions that are mentioned are met. There is a lot of scope for this methodology, which will be explored in future work .




Fig. 7: Original Data

13 Future Work

A lot of improvements can be made to this methodology. This includes addition of a time dimension, so as prediction can be made for a defined period of time. A pseudo geospatial time series analysis may be possibly used for this. Also instead of using a rough geodesic graph, an exact graph based on the actual road network can also be explored.

References

1. Jiang, Shibo, et al. "A distinct name is needed for the new coronavirus." *Lancet* (London, England) 395.10228 (2020): 949.
2. Mahase, Elisabeth. "Covid-19: WHO declares pandemic because of "alarming levels" of spread, severity, and inaction." (2020).
3. Zhou, Peng, et al. "A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin." *nature* 579.7798 (2020): 270-273.




Fig. 8: Nearest Without Self

4. Johns Hopkins University. "COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU)." (2020).
5. Feng, Shuo, et al. "Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic." *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 8.5 (2020): 434-436.
6. Lipsitch, Marc, David L. Swerdlow, and Lyn Finelli. "Defining the epidemiology of Covid-19—studies needed." *New England journal of medicine* 382.13 (2020): 1194-1196.
7. Lauer, Stephen A., et al. "The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: estimation and application." *Annals of internal medicine* 172.9 (2020): 577-582.
8. Zhou, Fei, et al. "Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study." *The lancet* (2020).
9. Adhikari, Sasmita Poudel, et al. "Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review." *Infectious diseases of poverty* 9.1 (2020): 1-12.
10. Lau, Hien, et al. "The positive impact of lockdown in Wuhan on containing the COVID-19 outbreak in China." *Journal of travel medicine* 27.3 (2020): taaa037.
11. Alvarez, Fernando E., David Argente, and Francesco Lippi. A simple planning problem for covid-19 lockdown. No. w26981. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
12. Baker, Scott R., et al. Covid-induced economic uncertainty. No. w26983. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
13. Yang, Zifeng, et al. "Modified SEIR and AI prediction of the epidemics trend of COVID-19 in China under public health interventions." *Journal of Thoracic Disease* 12.3 (2020): 165.




Fig. 9: Nearest With Self

14. Stallybrass, Clare Oswald. "The Principles of Epidemiology and the Process of Infection." *The Principles of Epidemiology and the Process of Infection*. (1931).
15. Elliot, Paul, et al. *Spatial epidemiology: methods and applications*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
16. Ostfeld, Richard S., Gregory E. Glass, and Felicia Keesing. "Spatial epidemiology: an emerging (or re-emerging) discipline." *Trends in ecology & evolution* 20.6 (2005): 328-336.
17. Kirby, Russell S., Eric Delmelle, and Jan M. Eberth. "Advances in spatial epidemiology and geographic information systems." *Annals of epidemiology* 27.1 (2017): 1-9.
18. Beale, Linda, et al. "Methodologic issues and approaches to spatial epidemiology." *Environmental health perspectives* 116.8 (2008): 1105-1110.
19. Kang, Dayun, et al. "Spatial epidemic dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China." *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* (2020).
20. Zheng, Ruizhi, et al. "Spatial transmission of COVID-19 via public and private transportation in China." *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease* (2020).
21. Huang, Rui, Miao Liu, and Yongmei Ding. "Spatial-temporal distribution of COVID-19 in China and its prediction: A data-driven modeling analysis." *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* 14.03 (2020): 246-253.
22. Cooke, Kenneth L., and P. Van Den Driessche. "Analysis of an SEIRS epidemic model with two delays." *Journal of Mathematical Biology* 35.2 (1996): 240-260.
23. Tuli, Shreshth, et al. "Predicting the Growth and Trend of COVID-19 Pandemic using Machine Learning and Cloud Computing." *Internet of Things* (2020): 100222.




Fig. 10: Inverse Square Law

24. Zhao, Shi, et al. "Preliminary estimation of the basic reproduction number of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in China, from 2019 to 2020: A data-driven analysis in the early phase of the outbreak." *International journal of infectious diseases* 92 (2020): 214-217.
25. Zarikas, Vasilios, et al. "Clustering analysis of countries using the COVID-19 cases dataset." *Data in Brief* (2020): 105787.
26. Pujari, Bhalchandra S., and Snehal M. Shekatkar. "Multi-city modeling of epidemics using spatial networks: Application to 2019-nCov (COVID-19) coronavirus in India." *medRxiv* (2020).
27. Murugesan, Bagyaraj, et al. "Distribution and Trend Analysis of COVID-19 in India: Geospatial Approach." *Journal of Geographical Studies* 4.1 (2020): 1-9.
28. Roy, Santanu, Gouri Sankar Bhunia, and Pravat Kumar Shit. "Spatial prediction of COVID-19 epidemic using ARIMA techniques in India." *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment* (2020): 1-7
29. Hay, Simon I., and Robert W. Snow. "The Malaria Atlas Project: developing global maps of malaria risk." *PLoS Med* 3.12 (2006): e473.
30. Messina, Jane P., et al. "A global compendium of human dengue virus occurrence." *Scientific Data* 1.1 (2014): 1-6.
31. Pigott, David M., et al. "Global database of leishmaniasis occurrence locations, 1960–2012." *Scientific Data* 1.1 (2014): 1-7.
32. Mylne, Adrian, et al. "A comprehensive database of the geographic spread of past human Ebola outbreaks." *Scientific data* 1.1 (2014): 1-10.
33. Xu, Bo, et al. "Epidemiological data from the COVID-19 outbreak, real-time case information." *Scientific data* 7.1 (2020): 1-6.

34. Dong, Ensheng, Hongru Du, and Lauren Gardner. "An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time." *The Lancet infectious diseases* 20.5 (2020): 533-534.
35. Wang, Lucy Lu, et al. "CORD-19: The Covid-19 Open Research Dataset." *ArXiv* (2020).
36. Chen, Emily, Kristina Lerman, and Emilio Ferrara. "Covid-19: The first public coronavirus twitter dataset." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07372* (2020).
37. COVID-19 India Org Data Operations Group (2020).
38. Jain, Anil K. "Data clustering: 50 years beyond K-means." *Pattern recognition letters* 31.8 (2010): 651-666.